

Diffusion Models in Recommendation Systems: A Survey

TING-RUEN WEI, Santa Clara University, USA

YI FANG, Santa Clara University, USA

Recommender systems remain an essential topic due to its wide application in various domains and the business potential behind them. With the rise of deep learning, common solutions have leveraged neural networks to facilitate collaborative filtering, and some have turned to generative adversarial networks to augment the dataset and tackle the data sparsity issue. However, they are limited in learning the complex user and item distribution and still suffer from model collapse. Given the great generation capability exhibited by diffusion models in computer vision recently, many recommender systems have adopted diffusion models and found improvements in performance for various tasks. Diffusion models in recommender systems excel in managing complex user and item distributions and do not suffer from mode collapse. With these advantages, the amount of research in this domain have been growing rapidly and calling for a systematic survey. In this survey paper, we present and propose a taxonomy on past research papers in recommender systems that utilize diffusion models. Distinct from a prior survey paper that categorizes based on the role of the diffusion model, we categorize based on the recommendation task at hand. The decision originates from the rationale that after all, the adoption of diffusion models is to enhance the recommendation performance, not vice versa: adapting the recommendation task to enable diffusion models. Nonetheless, we offer a unique perspective for diffusion models in recommender systems complementary to existing surveys. We present the foundation algorithms in diffusion models and their applications in recommender systems to summarize the rapid development in this field. Finally, we discuss open research directions to prepare and encourage further efforts to advance the field. We compile the relevant papers in a public GitHub repository¹.

1 Introduction

Recommender systems recommend desired items to users and have gained popularity with the emergence of the World Wide Web. The internet gathers an abundance of users and has facilitated consumer domains such as e-commerce. Optimizing recommender systems leads to large profits for companies and better quality of life for their users. As one of the most common methods for optimizing recommender systems, collaborative filtering considers the similarity between users and items to deliver a recommendation for the target user. However, given the large set of users and items, a user often interacts with only a small portion of items, leading to sparsity [19] in the dataset. Sparse datasets often pose a significant challenge for recommender systems, hindering their ability to deliver confident recommendations. To address the issue, some systems have incorporated generative adversarial networks [42] to augment the dataset and increase its density [41]. Nonetheless, generative adversarial networks are commonly known to suffer from training instability and mode collapse. In addition, the large and complex set of users and items in recommender systems introduce additional challenges in capturing intricate patterns. Given these challenges, there remains an interest in exploring alternative approaches, such as the diffusion model [57, 132], that are better at modeling complex patterns without the shortcomings.

Recently, diffusion models in computer vision have exhibited these advantages. They possess the ability to model complex distributions and estimate noise. The concept first originated from non-equilibrium thermodynamics [129] that aimed to model complex data distributions with probability distributions that are computationally tractable to learn and sample. The model gradually destroys a data distribution through an iterative forward diffusion process and produces a generative model that learns to restore the distribution through the reverse process. Later, NCSN [132] proposed

¹<https://github.com/tingruew/DiffusionModels-In-RecSys>

to perturb the data with Gaussian noise specifically and estimate the gradient of the perturbed distribution under all levels of noise. By sampling through Langevin dynamics, the generated images are comparable to those produced by generative adversarial networks. With the two previous works as foundation, Ho et al. [57] developed Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models (DDPM) as another stream of generative models that rely on a fixed noise schedule. Together, they empowered recent breakthrough models in image generation, including Stable Diffusion [120], DaLLE [118], and DreamBooth [122].

In general, diffusion models apply noise to the data in the forward process and train a neural network model to estimate the amount of added noise. At inference, the trained model predicts and removes an amount of noise from random noise in an iterative process to generate the final denoised output. While these models exhibited appealing capabilities in image generation, the underlying cause originates from their strengths in handling complex distributions of the pixels. Given the data distribution, diffusion models have demonstrated their capabilities in capturing the underlying distribution and generating accordingly. By considering the input, i.e. an image, as a representation, diffusion models thrive in learning representations. Another advantage of diffusion models lies in their flexibility of the denoising network. While the U-net models [121] are common for image generation tasks, they can be replaced by other models, such as a multi-layer perceptron (MLP) or a transformer model [140]. The goal of the network is to serve as an approximator to identify the amount of added noise in the diffusion process. In addition, since diffusion models focus on optimizing the estimation of added noise, they do not experience the training instability that generative adversarial networks commonly have. Furthermore, the iterative denoising process, which incorporates random sampling from the standard normal distribution at each step, introduces variability and diversity in the final generated sample. This inherent randomness helps diffusion models avoid the issue of mode collapse, another common challenge of generative adversarial networks. Given the challenges in recommender systems and the advantages of diffusion models, they show great promise to enhancing recommender systems. Through the number of publications over recent years, we observe an upward trend of using diffusion models in recommender systems, as illustrated in Fig. 1.

Though NCSN [132] and DDPM [57] were introduced in 2019 and 2020, no work has adopted it for recommender systems until 2022. After that, there is an increasing amount of publication, representing a growing interest of this field, which motivates our efforts to construct this survey. We aim to provide a comprehensive list of state-of-the-art methods of using diffusion models in recommender systems and describe the landscape, identifying gaps to be filled and encouraging research efforts. With the field developing at a fast pace, we aim to present the comprehensive knowledge for researchers who intend to quickly grasp the landscape and for graduate students who wish to enter this field. Our contributions are summarized as the following:

- Present a comprehensive survey on diffusion models in recommender systems, covering a wide range of applications in recommender systems such as collaborative filtering, sequential recommendation, multi-domain recommendation, and responsible recommendation.
- Detail the technical knowledge of diffusion models, including further improvements from the original frameworks and techniques to enhance efficiency.
- Highlight the trends in using diffusion models in recommender systems, by summarizing the algorithms and comparing them within their respective application.
- Provide an outlook into open research questions and perspectives that deserve further research efforts, identifying gaps within the current landscape.




Fig. 1. Trend of Diffusion Models in Recommender Systems. We visualize the number of papers published in each year (non-cumulative).




Fig. 2. Timeline of Relevant Papers. We further color-code them based on our taxonomy.

Survey Methodology. With a focus on recommender systems involving diffusion models, we collect relevant papers using Google Scholar under queries such as “diffusion models in recommender systems” and “diffusion models in recommendation.” To differentiate from graph diffusion and information diffusion, we manually examine the definition of diffusion in each paper to only include papers using the diffusion model in common with NCSN [132] or DDPM [57]. Besides, digging into Google Scholar until no relevant papers are available, we complement the paper collection strategy by manually checking the related work section of each relevant paper, ensuring complete coverage of relevant works. This yields 70 papers involving diffusion models in recommender systems that we include in this survey paper. Furthermore, Fig. 2 displays the timeline of some relevant papers categorized by our taxonomy.

Related Work. In recommender systems, surveys exist for subfields such as reinforcement learning [1], conversational recommender systems [66], graph neural networks [40, 154], self-supervised learning [171], multimedia content [29, 89], and session-based recommender systems [143]. These surveys focus on their particular subset of recommender systems and do not cover diffusion models. Reference [28] concentrates on the use of generative models in recommender systems, including diffusion models. However, only a subsection (Section 2.4 in [28]) is dedicated to diffusion models with a few references, leaving much room to improve its comprehensiveness. On the other hand, several surveys with a focus on diffusion models have garnered the works in general methods and applications [166], vision [24], video [160], medical imaging [73], and bioinformatics and computational biology [46]. Lin et al. [84] presented a survey on diffusion models in recommender systems, categorizing the role of diffusion models into three types: data engineering and encoding, recommendation model, and content presentation. At the intersection between diffusion models and




Fig. 3. Overview of the Preliminaries. We structure the preliminaries of diffusion models in recommender systems into three topics: diffusion model frameworks, efficiency enhancement, and conditional generation.

recommender systems, this categorization is based on the perspective of diffusion models. Despite of its insights, we propose that after all, the recommendation task remains the important focus, as the purpose of adopting diffusion models is to enhance the recommendation performance, not vice versa: adapting the recommendation task to enable diffusion models. Therefore, we present an opposite and complementary perspective, focusing on the categorization of recommendation tasks. We identify four major groups: collaborative filtering, sequential recommendation, multi-domain recommendation, and responsible recommendation. For collaborative filtering, we further characterize subgroups based on the type of auxiliary information, including implicit feedback, explicit ratings, item graph, and user graph. For sequential recommendation, we recognize point-of-interest recommendation (POI) as a special case and three other subgroups, categorizing the role of the historical sequence in diffusion models into: sequence as diffusion target and guidance, sequence as diffusion target, and sequence as diffusion guidance. For multi-domain recommendation, multi-modal attributes and cross-domain recommendation fall within this category, along with image generation and text-to-recommendation. For responsible recommendation, we identify four aspects: fairness, accountability, transparency, out-of-distribution (OOD). In this way, readers can easily identify the recommendation domain they are interested in and learn about the diverse application of diffusion models within it. Additionally, we present a section on the datasets used by the papers and describe their respective properties, enhancing the comprehensiveness of the survey.

We organize the rest of the paper as follows. Section 2 introduces the preliminaries on diffusion models, Section 3 categorizes the relevant papers and highlights their individual features, Section 4 discusses the datasets used to train and evaluate the relevant papers, Section 5 reveals open research directions to encourage future efforts, and Section 6 concludes the survey paper.

2 Foundation

In this section, we present the foundation of diffusion models and the follow-up advancement enabling the adoption of diffusion models in recommender systems.

2.1 Diffusion Models

Generally, generative models can be categorized into two groups: probabilistic generative models that explicitly leverage probability distributions and generative adversarial networks that utilize adversarial learning. Probabilistic models usually are constrained to have a tractable normalizing constant to compute the likelihood or are required to approximate maximum likelihood training through surrogate objectives [132]. Generative adversarial networks also have their limitations: instability in the training process [123] and mode collapse, a situation where the model generates similar outputs with limited diversity [106]. As another branch of probabilistic generative models without these limitations, diffusion models operate under the general concept to model the gradient of the logarithmic probability density function of the data, also known as the score function [87].




Fig. 4. Illustration of the Diffusion Model. The forward process goes from left to right, followed by the reverse process from right to left.

These models do not require a tractable normalizing constant and can be trained with score-matching [65]. An illustration of the diffusion model in discrete time steps is shown in Fig. 4. Starting with the initial image, the forward process adds noise to obtain a noisy image at the final time step, followed by the reverse process that removes noise to reconstruct the initial image. The utilization of diffusion models in recommender systems can be categorized into two streams: NCSN [132] and DDPM [57]. We discuss the two most original frameworks following their mathematical notation and subsequent improvements.

NCSN. Song et al. [132] introduces a framework of diffusion models that estimate the score function using score-matching and sample with Langevin dynamics. Given a dataset containing samples $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ from the underlying data distribution $p(x)$, its score function is defined as:

$$\nabla_x \log p(x) \quad (1)$$

A neural network aims to model this function to generate new samples for $p(x)$. Since gradients are hard to estimate on low-dimensional manifolds, they added different levels of Gaussian noise to the data. Let $q_\sigma(x) = \int p(t)N(x|t, \sigma^2 I)dt$ denote the perturbed data distribution, where $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^L$ represents a positive geometric sequence of terms with length L . The noise level is carefully chosen such that the first term is large enough, and the last term is small enough to control its effect on data. The network $s_\theta(x, \sigma)$, termed noise conditional score network, is trained to jointly estimate the scores at all noise levels.

$$\forall \sigma \in \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^L : s_\theta(x, \sigma) \approx \nabla_x \log q_\sigma(x) \quad (2)$$

As first introduced in the image domain, the output of the network has the same dimension as its input, so the model leverages the architecture of a U-net [121]. Additionally, dilated and atrous convolution is incorporated for their strengths [14, 169, 170], and conditional instance normalization [36] helps incorporate the noise level at which the network is estimating for. To train the network $s_\theta(x, \sigma)$ with the noise distribution as $q_\sigma(\tilde{x}|x) = N(\tilde{x}|x, \sigma^2 I)$, the denoising score matching objective is adopted as:

$$\ell(\theta; \sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{p(x)} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim N(x, \sigma^2 I)} \left\| s_\theta(\tilde{x}, \sigma) + \frac{\tilde{x} - x}{\sigma^2} \right\|_2^2 \quad (3)$$

Since one network denoises for all noise levels, Equation 3 is unified as:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta; \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^L) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L \lambda(\sigma_i) \ell(\theta; \sigma_i) \quad (4)$$

where $\lambda(\sigma_i)$ is a positive coefficient function chosen to be σ_i^2 by the authors due to empirical results. Equation 4 is minimized when $s_\theta(x, \sigma_i) = \nabla_x \log q_\sigma(x)$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$. This completes the training of the network, and inference is done through sampling with annealed Langevin dynamics (Algorithm 1), inspired by simulated annealing [74] and annealed importance sampling [108]. The sampling algorithm starts with random noise and runs Langevin dynamics sequentially at each noise level. The first iteration samples from $q_{\sigma_1}(x)$ with step size α_1 , and the second iteration of Langevin dynamics starts from the final sample of the last iteration with a reduced step size. This repeats for all noise levels, and the final sample of the last noise level is returned.

Algorithm 1 Annealed Langevin Dynamics

Require: $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^L, \epsilon, T$

Initialize \tilde{x}_0

for $i \leftarrow 1$ **to** L **do**

$$\alpha_i \leftarrow \frac{\epsilon \cdot \sigma_i^2}{\sigma_L^2}$$

for $t \leftarrow 1$ **to** T **do**

Draw $z_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$

$$\tilde{x}_t \leftarrow \tilde{x}_{t-1} + \frac{\alpha_i}{2} s_\theta(\tilde{x}_{t-1}, \sigma_i) + \sqrt{\alpha_i} z_t$$

end for

$$\tilde{x}_0 \leftarrow \tilde{x}_T$$

end for

return \tilde{x}_T

DDPM. As the other popular framework, DDPM [57] runs a forward process to add Gaussian noise to the input, followed by a reverse process to denoise and reconstruct the original input in an iterative manner. Built on top of previous work [129], diffusion models are assumed to be a Markov chain, a sequence of states where the current state only depends on the previous state and no other states. Given the input $x_0 \sim q(x_0)$ and subsequent states x_1, \dots, x_T , the forward process with known Gaussian transitions is defined as:

$$q(x_{1:T}|x_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t|x_{t-1}), \quad q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t}x_{t-1}, \beta_t I) \quad (5)$$

where β_1, \dots, β_T represents a variance schedule which determines the scale of noise added to each step in the Markov chain and was first designed to be a linear schedule starting from $\beta_1 = 0.0001$ to $\beta_T = 0.02$ over $T = 1,000$ steps. Instead of computing the transition for T times from x_0 to x_T , x_T can be obtained in one step as follows:

$$q(x_T|x_0) = \mathcal{N}(x_T; \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_T}x_0, (1 - \bar{\alpha}_T)I) \quad (6)$$

where $\alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t$ and $\bar{\alpha}_t = \prod_{s=1}^t \alpha_s$. The reverse process leverages a neural network parameterized by θ to learn the reverse transitions defined as the following:

$$p_\theta(x_{0:T}) = p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^T p_\theta(x_{t-1}|x_t), \quad p_\theta(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_\theta(x_t, t), \Sigma_\theta(x_t, t)) \quad (7)$$

where $\mu_\theta(x_t, t)$ and $\Sigma_\theta(x_t, t)$ are the mean and variance estimated by the network which is trained by optimizing the variational lowerbound as:

$$E[-\log p_\theta(x_0)] \leq E_q \left[-\log \frac{p_\theta(x_{0:T})}{q(x_{1:T}|x_0)} \right] = E_q \left[-\log p(x_T) - \sum_{t \geq 1} \log \frac{p_\theta(x_{t-1}|x_t)}{q(x_t|x_{t-1})} \right] \quad (8)$$

which can be rewritten as:

$$E_q \left[\underbrace{D_{KL}(q(x_T|x_0)||p(x_T))}_{L_T} + \sum_{t>1} \underbrace{D_{KL}(q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)||p_\theta(x_{t-1}|x_t))}_{L_{t-1}} - \underbrace{\log p_\theta(x_0|x_1)}_{L_0} \right] \quad (9)$$

where $D_{KL}(\cdot||\cdot)$ is the KL-divergence between the two distributions. Since the forward process follows a fixed schedule and has no parameters, the first term L_T is omitted in training. L_{t-1} represents a sequence of terms and computes the distance between the denoised distribution and the forward process posterior which is only tractable when conditioned on x_0 :

$$q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \tilde{\mu}_t(x_t, x_0), \tilde{\beta}_t I) \quad (10)$$

with $\tilde{\mu}_t$ and $\tilde{\beta}_t$ defined as:

$$\tilde{\mu}_t(x_t, x_0) = \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}\beta_t}{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}x_0 + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_t(1-\bar{\alpha}_{t-1})}}{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}x_t \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\beta}_t = \frac{1-\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}\beta_t \quad (11)$$

The DDPM framework [57] sets $\Sigma_\theta(x_t, t) = \sigma_t^2 I$, a step-dependent constant with two choices of σ_t^2 : β_t and $\tilde{\beta}_t$. The former is optimal for $x_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$, and the latter is optimal for a deterministic x_0 . With $\Sigma_\theta(x_t, t) = \sigma_t^2 I$, L_{t-1} is proportionate to the following:

$$L_{t-1} \propto E_q \left[\frac{1}{2\sigma_t^2} \|\tilde{\mu}_t(x_t, x_0) - \mu_\theta(x_t, t)\|^2 \right] \quad (12)$$

also denoted as x -prediction. By considering x_t as a function of x_0 and ϵ , i.e. $x_t(x_0, \epsilon) = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}x_0 + \sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon$ with $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$, L_{t-1} can be expanded to:

$$L_{t-1} \propto E_{x_0, \epsilon} \left[\frac{1}{2\sigma_t^2} \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}}(x_t(x_0, \epsilon) - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}}\epsilon) - \mu_\theta(x_t(x_0, \epsilon), t) \right\|^2 \right] \quad (13)$$

where $\mu_\theta(x_t(x_0, \epsilon), t)$ is chosen as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}}(x_t(x_0, \epsilon) - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}}\epsilon)$ to minimize the objective. Using ϵ_θ to predict ϵ from x_t , sampling $x_{t-1} \sim p_\theta(x_{t-1}|x_t)$ corresponds to computing $x_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}}(x_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}}\epsilon_\theta(x_t, t))$, and x -prediction is reformulated into ϵ -prediction as:

$$E_{x_0, \epsilon} \left[\frac{\beta_t^2}{2\sigma_t^2 \alpha_t (1-\bar{\alpha}_t)} \|\epsilon - \epsilon_\theta(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}x_0 + \sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon, t)\|^2 \right] \quad (14)$$

which is further simplified to the following:

$$L_{simple} = E_{t, x_0, \epsilon} \left[\|\epsilon - \epsilon_\theta(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}x_0 + \sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon, t)\|^2 \right] \quad (15)$$

as the training objective with t being uniform between 1 and T , since the coefficient dropped was found to have negligible effect on the model performance. This introduces the training algorithm in Algorithm 2 and the inference procedure in Algorithm 3.

Algorithm 2 DDPM Training

Require: $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^T, T$
repeat
 $x_0 \sim q(x_0)$
 $t \sim \text{Uniform}(\{1, \dots, T\})$
 $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$
 Take gradient descent step on $\nabla_{\theta} \|\epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta}(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon, t)\|^2$
until converged
return ϵ_{θ}

Algorithm 3 DDPM Inference

Require: $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^T, T, \epsilon_{\theta}$
 $x_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$
for $t = T, \dots, 1$ **do**
 $z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ if $t > 1$, else $z = 0$
 $x_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}} \left(x_t - \frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}} \epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t) \right) + \sigma_t z$
end for
return x_0

2.2 Improvements to the Original Framework

Upgrades to DDPM. Improvements [111] were introduced to advance the DDPM framework [57], including more diffusion steps from 1,000 to 4,000, a cosine variance schedule, importance sampling, and faster sampling speed, on top of modeling the variance $\Sigma_{\theta}(x_t, t)$. Since the linear variance schedule corrupts the input heavily towards the end of the schedule, the sample quality is limited, especially for low-resolution images. Therefore, a cosine variance schedule is proposed as follows:

$$\beta_t = 1 - \frac{\bar{\alpha}_t}{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_t = \frac{f(t)}{f(0)}, \quad f(t) = \cos\left(\frac{t/T + s}{1 + s} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 \quad (16)$$

where t is the current time step, T is the total number of steps, and s is a small offset to prevent β_t from being too small near $t = 0$. This achieves a more steady decline in the middle with minor changes near both ends to avoid large changes in noise levels. Though DDPM [57] modeled $\mu_{\theta}(x_t, t)$ and set the variance $\Sigma_{\theta}(x_t, t)$ to a constant, Nichol and Dhariwal [111] found that modeling both terms together achieve higher log-likelihood. Instead of setting $\Sigma_{\theta}(x_t, t)$ to β_t or $\tilde{\beta}_t$, $\Sigma_{\theta}(x_t, t)$ is constructed as the interpolation between the two choices as:

$$\Sigma_{\theta}(x_t, t) = \exp\left(v \log \beta_t + (1 - v) \log \tilde{\beta}_t\right) \quad (17)$$

where v is a vector output by the neural network containing a value for each dimension, and the corresponding loss term is defined as:

$$L_{vlb} = -\log p_{\theta}(x_0|x_1) + \sum_{i=1}^{T-1} L_i + D_{KL}(q(x_T|x_0), p(x_T)) \quad (18)$$

where $L_i = D_{KL}(q(x_i|x_{i+1}, x_0) || p_{\theta}(x_i|x_{i+1}))$. Together, the training objective becomes:

$$L_{hybrid} = L_{simple} + \lambda L_{vlb} \quad (19)$$




Fig. 5. Process Structure in DDPM [57] and DDIM [131]

where the λ weight is set to 0.001, and a stop-gradient of L_{vlb} is applied to the $\mu_\theta(x_t, t)$ output to maintain the influence of L_{simple} on $\mu_\theta(x_t, t)$. Since the terms in L_{vlb} have high variance across the diffusion steps, the authors proposed importance sampling on time step t :

$$L_{vlb} = E_{t \sim p_t} \left[\frac{L_t}{p_t} \right], \quad \text{where } p_t \propto \sqrt{E[L_t^2]}, \quad \text{and } \sum p_t = 1 \quad (20)$$

where $E[L_t^2]$ is computed by keeping track of the 10 most recent values for each loss term. At the start of training, t is sampled uniformly until 10 samples have been drawn for every t . Another contribution from the series of improvements includes faster sampling speed. Instead of denoising iteratively at each step for a total number of T steps, the number of steps can be reduced to K by using K equally-spaced numbers between 1 and T . This new sequence S_t can obtain the sampled noise schedule $\bar{\alpha}_{S_t}$ and compute the new variance schedule as:

$$\beta_{S_t} = 1 - \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{S_t}}{\bar{\alpha}_{S_{t-1}}}, \quad \tilde{\beta}_{S_t} = \frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{S_{t-1}}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{S_t}} \beta_{S_t} \quad (21)$$

Since $\Sigma_\theta(x_{S_t}, S_t)$ is interpolated between β_{S_t} and $\tilde{\beta}_{S_t}$, $\Sigma_\theta(x_{S_t}, S_t)$ can be automatically rescaled and we can compute $p(x_{S_{t-1}}|x_{S_t}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_\theta(x_{S_t}, S_t), \Sigma_\theta(x_{S_t}, S_t))$.

2.3 Efficiency Enhancement

DDIM. Meanwhile, DDIM [131] also worked on making sampling more efficient by formulating the diffusion processes as non-Markovian (Fig. 5a) instead of Markovian in DDPM [57] (Fig. 5b). The inference distribution is reformulated as the following:

$$q_\sigma(x_{1:T}|x_0) = q_\sigma(x_T|x_0) \prod_{t=2}^T q_\sigma(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0) \quad (22)$$

where $q_\sigma(x_T|x_0) = \mathcal{N}(\sqrt{\alpha_T}x_0, (1 - \alpha_T)I)$, and the mean function is the following:

$$q_\sigma(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0) = \mathcal{N}\left(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1} - \sigma_t^2} \cdot \left(\frac{x_t - \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}x_0}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}}\right), \sigma_t^2 I\right) \quad (23)$$

where σ controls the stochasticity of the forward process. With Bayes' rule, the forward process is derived as:

$$q_\sigma(x_t|x_{t-1}, x_0) = \frac{q_\sigma(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)q_\sigma(x_t|x_0)}{q_\sigma(x_{t-1}|x_0)} \quad (24)$$

and the training objective is shown to be equivalent to that in DDPM [57] (Equation 15), requiring no changes to the training procedure. By rewriting $x_t = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon$ as $x_0 = \frac{x_t - \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}}$, we can predict x_0 with ϵ -prediction, and sampling x_{t-1} from x_t can be simplified to:

$$x_{t-1} = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}} \left(\frac{x_t - \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \cdot \epsilon_\theta(x_t, t)}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}} \right) + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1} - \sigma_t^2} \cdot \epsilon_\theta(x_t, t) + \sigma_t \epsilon_t \quad (25)$$

where $\epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ and $\bar{\alpha}_0$ is defined as one. This framework achieves better sample quality than DDPM [57] for $T = 10, 20, 50, 100$, except for $T = 1000$, enabling the tradeoff between sample quality and efficiency. As a special case when $\sigma_t = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\bar{\alpha}_t}{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}}$, the processes become Markovian and the framework becomes DDPM [57]. The other special case is when $\sigma_t = 0$, the forward process becomes deterministic and the reverse process has a zero-value noise term, turning into an implicit probabilistic model [107]. To accelerate the sampling process, some of the intermediate states t can be omitted by setting their σ_t to zero, making those states deterministic and sparing the inference computation at those states.

Efficient Sampling via ODE Solvers. In parallel, Song et al. [133] proposed a general framework of diffusion models from the aspect of stochastic differential equations (SDE) whose reverse process can be solved by numerical approaches to enhance efficiency. The diffusion process $\{x(t)\}_{t=0}^T$ under the continuous time interval $t \in [0, \dots, T]$, with $x(0) \sim p_0$ as the data distribution and $x(t) \sim p_t$ as the prior distribution, the diffusion is modeled as the solution to an Ito SDE [2] as:

$$dx = f(x, t)dt + g(t)dw \quad (26)$$

where w is the standard Wiener process, $f(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is the drift coefficient of $x(t)$, and $g(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the diffusion coefficient of $x(t)$. The probability density of $x(t)$ is denoted by $p_t(x)$, with the transition from $x(s)$ to $x(t)$ denoted as $p_{st}(x)$. When $f(\cdot, t)$ is affine, the transition becomes Gaussian with known mean and variances. The reverse process starts with $x_T \sim p_T$ and ends with $x_0 \sim p_0$ through reverse-time SDE:

$$dx = \left[f(x, t) - g(t)^2 \nabla_x \log p_t(x) \right] dt + g(t)d\bar{w} \quad (27)$$

where \bar{w} is the standard Wiener process backwards from T to 0, and dt is an infinitesimal negative time step. The SDE corresponds to a deterministic process with the same marginal probability densities $\{p_t(x)\}_{t=0}^T$, which satisfies to be an ordinary differential equation (ODE) that are more efficiently computed by existing solvers. After computing $\nabla_x \log p_t(x)$ for all t , we use Equation 27 to sample from p_0 . To estimate $\nabla_x \log p_t(x)$, a time-dependent score network $s_\theta(x, t)$ can be trained via the objective:

$$\theta^* = \arg \min_{\theta} E_t \left[\lambda(t) \mathbb{E}_{x(0)} \mathbb{E}_{x(t)|x(0)} \left[\left\| s_\theta(x(t), t) - \nabla_{x(t)} \log p_{0t}(x(t)|x(0)) \right\|_2^2 \right] \right] \quad (28)$$

where λ is a positive weighting function with t uniformly sampled $\in [0, T]$, $x(0) \sim p_0(x)$ and $x(t) \sim p_{0t}(x(t)|x(0))$. To generalize to NCSN [132] and DDPM [57], λ can be chosen as $\lambda \propto 1/E[\|\nabla_{x(t)} \log p_{0t}(x(t)|x(0))\|_2^2]$. Furthermore, NCSN [132] and DDPM [57] are shown to be two discretizations of SDEs. In NCSN [132] where the state transition follows:

$$x_i = x_{i-1} + \sqrt{(\sigma_i^2 - \sigma_{i-1}^2)} z_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad (29)$$

as the total number of states $N \rightarrow \infty$, the Markov chain $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^N$ becomes a continuous stochastic process $\{x(t)\}_{t=0}^1$ given by the variance-exploding (VE) SDE:

$$dx = \sqrt{\frac{d[\sigma^2(t)]}{dt}} dw \quad (30)$$




Fig. 6. Diffusion Model in Latent Space. Diffusion in latent space utilizes the encoder of an autoencoder for compression and its decoder to reconstruct in the pixel space.

where $\sigma(t)$ is a function for $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^N$. Similarly, DDPM [57] has the Markov chain as:

$$x_i = \sqrt{1 - \beta_i}x_{i-1} + \sqrt{\beta_i}z_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad (31)$$

As $N \rightarrow \infty$, the Markov chain converges to the variance-preserving (VP) SDE as:

$$dx = -\frac{1}{2}\beta(t)x dt + \sqrt{\beta(t)}dw \quad (32)$$

Latent Diffusion Model. Besides better sampling techniques, Rombach et al. [120] conducts diffusion in the latent space instead of the pixel space, improving efficiency from a different direction. They utilize an encoder to encode samples into the latent space, apply the diffusion model, and decode the latent features back to samples with a decoder, and the illustration is shown in Fig. 6. The encoder-decoder compression model adopts two separate regularizations: a KL-divergence penalty towards the standard Gaussian distribution, similar to the variational autoencoder, and a vector quantization layer within the decoder. This requires the pre-training of an autoencoder, but significantly lowers the computational cost of latent diffusion models.

2.4 Conditional Generation

Another line of research relevant to recommender systems is classifier [30] and classifier-free [58] guidance. They enable controllable generation, creating a sample corresponding to a certain class or text prompt. As the earlier work between the two, classifier guidance [30] incorporated a classifier in the diffusion process. Given the reverse process $p_\theta(x_t|x_{t+1}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$, classifier guidance conditions the process on a label y , modifying the denoising process to:

$$p_{\theta, \phi}(x_t|x_{t+1}, y) = Z p_\theta(x_t|x_{t+1}) p_\phi(y|x_t) \quad (33)$$

where Z is a normalizing constant. Though directly sampling from the distribution is challenging, it can be approximated with Taylor expansion into:

$$\log(p_\theta(x_t|x_{t+1})p_\phi(y|x_t)) \approx \log p(z), \quad z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu + s\Sigma\nabla_{x_t} \log p_\phi(y|x_t), \Sigma) \quad (34)$$

where s represents the gradient scale. The conditional transition operator can be approximated by the unconditional transition operator with its mean shifted, and the conditional sampling algorithm is shown in Algorithm 4. By using an additional classifier, the sampling algorithm samples towards where the class label has a higher likelihood.

Though classifier guidance enables the generation for specific class labels, a separate classifier is required for the framework. Ho and Salimans [58] presented an alternative framework without such

Algorithm 4 Conditional Sampling

Require: diffusion model $(\mu_\theta, \Sigma_\theta)$, classifier $p_\phi(y|x_t)$, gradient scale s , class label y

$x_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$

for $t = T, \dots, 1$ **do**

$x_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_\theta + s\Sigma_\theta \nabla_{x_t} \log p_\phi(y|x_t), \Sigma_\theta)$

end for

return x_0

classifiers, named classifier-free guidance, by jointly training a conditional and an unconditional model through a single neural network as below:

$$\epsilon_\theta(z_\lambda, c) = (1 + s)\epsilon_\theta(z_\lambda, c) - s\epsilon_\theta(z_\lambda) \quad (35)$$

The joint training paradigm randomly switches to the unconditional model with probability p_{rand} , which makes the class representation null. The diffusion model operates in continuous time, with the VP forward process $q(z|x)$ defined as:

$$q(z_\lambda|x) = \mathcal{N}(\alpha_\lambda x, \sigma_\lambda^2 I), \quad q(z_\lambda|z_{\lambda'}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\left(\frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\alpha_{\lambda'}}\right)z_{\lambda'}, \sigma_{\lambda|\lambda'}^2 I\right) \quad (36)$$

where $z = \{z_\lambda \mid \lambda \in [\lambda_{min}, \lambda_{max}]\}$, $\alpha_\lambda^2 = \frac{1}{1+e^{-\lambda}}$, $\sigma_\lambda^2 = 1 - \alpha_\lambda^2$, $\lambda < \lambda'$, and $\sigma_{\lambda|\lambda'}^2 = (1 - e^{\lambda-\lambda'})\sigma_\lambda^2$. The forward process adds less noise over time, which is controlled by $\lambda = \log \frac{\alpha_\lambda^2}{\sigma_\lambda^2}$, the logarithmic signal-to-noise ratio of z_λ . The forward process in reverse $q(z'_\lambda|z_\lambda, x)$ has mean $\tilde{\mu}_{\lambda'|\lambda}(z_\lambda, x)$ and variance $\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda'|\lambda}^2$ defined as:

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\lambda'|\lambda}(z_\lambda, x) = e^{\lambda-\lambda'} \left(\frac{\alpha_{\lambda'}}{\alpha_\lambda} z_\lambda + (1 - e^{\lambda-\lambda'}) \alpha_{\lambda'} x \right), \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda'|\lambda}^2 = (1 - e^{\lambda-\lambda'}) \sigma_\lambda^2 \quad (37)$$

The reverse process $p_\theta(z_{\lambda'}|z_\lambda)$ follows:

$$p_\theta(z_{\lambda'}|z_\lambda) = \mathcal{N}\left(\tilde{\mu}_{\lambda'|\lambda}(z_\lambda, x_\theta(z_\lambda, y)), \left(\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda'|\lambda}^2\right)^{1-v} \left(\sigma_{\lambda|\lambda'}^2\right)^v\right) \quad (38)$$

where v is a hyperparameter constant. This uses $x_\theta(z_\lambda, y) = \frac{(z_\lambda - \sigma_\lambda \epsilon_\theta(z_\lambda, y))}{\alpha_\lambda}$ to estimate x to plug into $q(z'_\lambda|z_\lambda, x)$ for sampling, since $z_t = \alpha_\lambda x_\theta(z_\lambda, y) + \sigma_\lambda \epsilon_\theta(z_\lambda, y)$. As shown in Fig. 7, there are two general ways to fuse z_λ and y together for the neural network to obtain the condition-enhanced representation. Concatenation (Fig. 7a) combines the two representations into a larger one with no changes to the respective values. Cross-attention (Fig. 7b) applies the attention mechanism to adjust the z_λ representation according to the condition. The conditional ϵ -prediction $\epsilon_\theta(z_\lambda, y)$ follows the same training objective as the unconditional ϵ -prediction in Equation 15, with the addition of conditioning on y , and the training procedure is presented in Algorithm 5. The sampling process adopts the discrete-time ancestral sampler as in DDPM [57] over T time steps from λ_{min} to λ_{max} , and the sampling algorithm is presented in Algorithm 6.

Besides x -prediction and ϵ -prediction, Salimans and Ho [124] introduced v -prediction as another parameterization of the diffusion model:

$$v = \alpha_t \epsilon - \sigma_t x \quad (39)$$

where v is the velocity of z . This is facilitated by defining $\phi_t = \arctan(\alpha_t/\sigma_t)$, making $\alpha_\phi = \cos(\phi)$ and $\sigma_\phi = \sin(\phi)$. Velocity v is predicted as:




Fig. 7. Methodologies to Incorporate the Condition into the Neural Network

Algorithm 5 Classifier-free Guidance Training

Require: p_{rand}
repeat
 $(x, y) \sim p(X, Y)$
 $y \leftarrow \emptyset$ with probability p_{rand}
 $\lambda \sim p(\lambda)$
 $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$
 $z_\lambda = \alpha_\lambda x + \sigma_\lambda$
 Take gradient descent step on $\nabla_\theta \|\epsilon - \epsilon_\theta(z_\lambda, y)\|^2$
until converged
return ϵ_θ

Algorithm 6 Classifier-free Guidance Sampling

Require: $g, y, \{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^T, \epsilon_\theta$
 $z_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$
for $t = 1, \dots, T$ **do**
 $\tilde{\epsilon}_t = (1 + g)\epsilon_\theta(z_t, y) - g\epsilon_\theta(z_t)$
 $\tilde{x}_t = \frac{z_t - \sigma_{\lambda_t} \tilde{\epsilon}_t}{\alpha_{\lambda_t}}$
 $z_{t+1} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\tilde{\mu}_{\lambda_{t+1}|\lambda_t}(z_t, \tilde{x}_t), \tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda_{t+1}|\lambda_t}^2\right)^{1-v} \left(\sigma_{\lambda_t|\lambda_{t+1}}^2\right)^v$ if $t < T$ else $z_{t+1} = \tilde{x}_t$
end for
return z_{T+1}

$$\hat{v}_\theta(z_\phi) = \cos(\phi)\hat{\epsilon}_\theta(z_\phi) - \sin(\phi)\hat{x}_\theta(z_\phi) \quad (40)$$

where $\hat{\epsilon}_\theta(z_\phi) = \frac{(z_\phi - \cos(\phi)\hat{x}_\theta(z_\phi))}{\sin(\phi)}$. The DDIM [131] update rule in Equation 25 is simplified to the following:

$$z_{\phi_t - \delta} = \cos(\delta)z_{\phi_t} - \sin(\delta)\hat{v}_\theta(z_{\phi_t}) \quad (41)$$

where δ is the number of time steps from ϕ_t to the current time step. Training on the objective $\|v_t - \hat{v}_t\|_2^2$ is equivalent to $(\frac{\alpha^2}{\sigma^2} + 1)\|x_t - \hat{x}_t\|_2^2$, applying a weight of signal-to-noise ratio plus one to the x -prediction objective. The relationship between x, ϵ, z, ϕ , and v is shown in Fig. 8.

Armed with the foundation described above on score-based generative models (NCSN [132] and DDPM [57]) through x -prediction, ϵ -prediction, and v -prediction, further improvements on performance [111] and efficiency [120, 131, 133], and conditional generation [30, 58], we dive into the application of diffusion models in recommendation problems in the next section.




Fig. 8. Relationship between x , ϵ , z , ϕ , and v in v -prediction [124]

3 Taxonomy

Diffusion models facilitate recommender systems to solve various tasks, including sequential recommendation, collaborative filtering, multi-domain recommendation, and responsible recommendation. In this section, we categorize relevant papers into a taxonomy in Fig. 9 and describe the algorithms in more detail.

3.1 Collaborative Filtering

Collaborative filtering remains a main method in recommendation, which considers the preferences of other users to recommend items to a user. Implicit feedback considers the binary interaction, while explicit feedback involves the numeric scores. As shown in Fig. 10 where preferences are indicated by implicit feedback (likes and dislikes), the objective is to find the preferences of user 3 on items 1, 2, and 5. To enhance the recommendation performance, systems can leverage auxiliary information, including item attributes, user attributes, the item graph, and the user graph. Based on the type of feedback and auxiliary information, we further categorize the papers into implicit feedback, explicit ratings, item graph, and user graph.

Implicit Feedback. With only the user-item interaction matrix, some papers utilize the diffusion model to reconstruct and denoise with guidance in the reverse process. As the first recommendation work using the diffusion model, CODIGEM [141] reconstructs the user-item interaction vector. At inference, the test user’s history passes through the forward process, followed by reverse sampling, and the final scores for each item are ranked for prediction. To enhance the recommendation task, DDRM [178] designs a model-agnostic framework to reconstruct both user and item embeddings for an additional downstream recommender model. On the other hand, DiffRec [147] utilizes the diffusion model to reconstruct the user vector. To retain personalized information, the diffusion process follows a noise schedule that does not diffuse into pure noise. The reverse process uses the predicted mean and ignores the variance due to the natural existence of false-positive and false-negative interactions. To address the data imbalance problem in recommendation, Taskin et al. [138] utilizes TabDDPM [75], a diffusion model for tabular data imputation, to generate synthetic data.

Some other papers leverage extracted signals for the reverse process. DiffGT [168] treats each user and item as a separate node, and interactions are represented as edges. Instead of the regular isotropic noise, the forward process adds anisotropic noise to the node features, and the reverse process leverages the adjacency matrix encoded by a graph neural encoder for guidance. CF-Diff [61] diffuses the user’s historical interactions, and the reverse process utilizes multi-hop neighbors




Fig. 9. Taxonomy for Recommender Systems with Diffusion Models. Based on the recommendation problem at hand, existing papers are classified into four groups: collaborative filtering, sequential recommendation, multi-domain recommendation, and responsible recommendation. Each group is further categorized into subgroups linking to their respective papers.




Fig. 10. Illustration of Collaborative Filtering. Given the other users, their preferences and auxiliary information, items are recommended to the user.

as guidance. 2-hop and 3-hop neighbors of the user are encoded to represent the higher-order connectivity and fused through cross-attention. SCONE [76] utilizes the diffusion model to generate contrastive views and hard negative samples for contrastive learning in a graph encoder. Contrastive views are samples similar to the data and are obtained through the reverse process, while hard negative samples are generated similarly, with an additional input of a positive sample as guidance in the reverse process. Through a joint training objective, the generated embeddings are used to train a graph encoder that outputs embeddings to compute similarity for prediction. Under classifier-free guidance, Buchanan et al. [9] uses a clean copy of the data as guidance in the diffusion model, leading an effort to innovate upon DiffRec [147].

Other papers have their distinct features including binomial diffusion and regarding diffusion as a deterministic process. Instead of Gaussian noise, Recfusion [4] introduces a family of recommender models employing the diffusion model that utilizes binomial diffusion with the Bernoulli process. To enhance efficiency, the inference process terminates after one reverse process. Instead of stochastic processes, BSPM [22] considers the forward process as a deterministic blurring process and treats the reverse processes as a deterministic sharpening process on the interaction matrix. By formulating the processes as an ODE, the framework does not conduct training and can be solved by an ODE solver. Additionally, it has been shown to be a generalization of graph filter-based collaborative filtering [126].

Explicit Ratings. Instead of binary interactions, some papers operate with the rating matrix. DGRM [71] combines the diffusion model with a generative adversarial network, utilizing its generator to generate in the reverse process and its discriminator to reinforce the generation. The framework is applied to the user-item rating matrix, and masking is applied to the matrix to only compute loss on rated items. At inference, the noisy matrix is denoised for a fewer number of time steps to preserve personalized information and retain some noise to simulate real-world uncertainties. Similarly, EDGE-Rec [115] applies the EDGE framework [17] to recommendation systems. A diffusion transformer diffuses patches of the rating matrix to predict the added noise,

and the reverse process conditions on the user and item features to recover the original rating matrix.

Item Graph. Meanwhile, some papers explicitly utilize the item graph to enhance the recommendation system. DICES [32] reconstructs the item graph in the form of an adjacency matrix to facilitate the contrastive learning between users, items, and user-item interactions in a joint Bayesian Personalized Ranking framework. The generated item graph is denoised and represents a cleaner version with fewer false connections. DiffKG [69] also reconstructs the item graph but the two-stage pipeline first leverages user-item interactions to generate item embeddings. The reverse process depends solely on the mean and ignores the variance. With well-trained item embeddings, the second stage adopts contrastive learning with the Bayesian Personalized Ranking framework. Similarly, G-Diff [15] diffuses the user interaction vector in the forward process and reconstructs the vector in the reverse process utilizing the item graph through a graph network. To account for the change of preference over time, more recent interactions have a higher weight in training. Inference starts with the forward process instead of initiating the reverse process with noise. By learning to reconstruct the user vector from a corrupted version, GiffCF [182] drops out a random portion of the user vector and applies anisotropic diffusion with graph signal from the item graph. The inference process conditions on the original user vector to denoise with a deterministic sampler like DDIM [131].

User Graph. Instead of the item graph, some papers utilize the user graph to enhance the recommendation system. RecDiff [82] operates on the user graph for social recommendation. Each user, represented as a node in the social graph, is encoded by a graph encoder, followed by the diffusion model. The framework jointly optimizes the reconstruction and the recommendation tasks. At inference, the social tie embedding of the test user passes through the reverse process iteratively to obtain the denoised embedding for final prediction. On the other hand, DiffuSAR [174] designs a framework to utilize the diffusion model to remove noise from user embeddings and incorporate it with graph convolutional networks and contrastive learning. The forward process applies noise to the user embedding, and the reverse process conditions on the user's connections with other users for denoising. The generated user embedding is passed to the remaining network for recommendation.

3.2 Sequential Recommendation

Sequential recommendation has gathered lots of attention in integrating diffusion models in recommender systems, where the system predicts the next item that the user will interact with, as shown in Fig. 11. After recognizing POI as a special application of sequential recommendation, we further categorize other papers based on their uses of the historical sequence into three subgroups: sequence as diffusion target and guidance, sequence as diffusion target, and sequence as diffusion guidance. In sequential recommendation, the historical sequence can be the subject of the diffusion process, guidance in the reverse process, or both.

POI. Some papers focus on the POI recommendation problem to predict the next geographical place a user is interested in, given the user's previous visit history. DCPR [93] utilizes two diffusion models separately for the POI categorical embedding and location embedding. The model diffuses the last POI and uses the past sequence as guidance in the reverse process. The generation process relies on DDIM sampling [131] to reduce the number of sampling steps and enhance efficiency. With a pre-trained representation model to encode the sequence, DSDRec [151] diffuses the normalized sequence representation and uses the user's short-term mobility pattern semantics as guidance in the reverse process. Some other works [116, 183] apply the diffusion model from the aspect of SDE instead of DDPM. Diff-POI [116] diffuses the embedding of the target location and denoises with an MLP, followed by the softmax function for prediction, while Diff-DGMN [183] adopts VP




Fig. 11. Illustration of Sequential Recommendation. Given the historical interaction sequence, the next item is predicted for the user.

SDE to also diffuse the embedding of the target location into a location vector. The reverse process conditions on the user embedding, and the joint loss function includes the reconstruction and cross-entropy for prediction.

Sequence as Diffusion Target and Guidance. Outside of POI, some papers utilize the historical sequence as both the subject of the diffusion process and guidance in the reverse process. DCRec [64] diffuses the target item embedding utilizing the historical sequence in two ways. The embeddings are concatenated with the target item embedding to form the input to the diffusion model, and the embeddings also serve as guidance in the reverse process. The model adds noise to the historical sequence and the target item embeddings in the forward process and denoises the representation under the guidance of the historical sequence embeddings. As the historical sequence plays a crucial role in sequential recommendation, this utilizes the historical information at a significant scale. Similarly, SDRec [18] proposes a semantic fusion layer to enhance the noisy representation of the target item with the historical item embeddings in the diffusion model. The forward process applies diffusion on the target item and incorporates contrastive learning to pull closer the target item embedding and the encoded representation of the historical sequence. The reverse process initializes the target item embedding with noise and performs iterative denoising to obtain the final embedding vector for recommendation. CaDiRec [25] diffuses a number of selected items in the sequence and recovers them in the reverse process utilizing the other non-selected items as guidance in a bidirectional transformer. In the iterative reverse process, non-selected items are kept identical while the selected items are denoised and mapped to the closest candidate items. Two augmented views are generated and considered a positive pair in the subsequent contrastive learning framework which brings the representation closer.

Sequence as Diffusion Target. Some other papers apply the diffusion model to diffuse the sequence without utilizing it for guidance in the reverse process. Diff4Rec [156] utilizes the diffusion model as a separate module purely for data augmentation purposes to reinforce the downstream recommender model in a two-stage process. The diffusion model learns to reconstruct the user interaction sequence, and at inference, the reconstructed user interaction sequence is used to augment the original sequence into 10 new sequences. Each new sequence is obtained by replacing random samples in the original sequence by random samples in the reconstructed sequence. In training the downstream recommender model, a curriculum scheduler is designed to optimize the processing of these new sequences. Similarly, MISD [78] utilizes the diffusion model as a denoiser to reconstruct the historical sequence. The reverse process denoises the noisy sequence iteratively for a fewer number of steps and ignores the variance. On the other hand, DiffuASR [88] splits the historical sequence into two segments and utilizes the diffusion model to generate the former segment with the guidance of the latter segment. The forward process diffuses the former segment to Gaussian noise and the reverse process recovers the same segment through a sequential U-net

with the latter segment. At inference, a given historical sequence guides the denoising process of random Gaussian noise to an augmented sequence. Cosine similarity is used to map the embeddings to candidate items which are inserted at the start of the historical sequence for data augmentation. Also utilizing the diffusion model for augmentation purposes, DGFedRS [31] tackled personal sequential recommendation in a federated system. Each client has a local model using the diffusion model in latent space to reconstruct the interaction sequence. Iteratively, local clients receive a copy of the global model, and after local training, the global model is updated by aggregating the local models. At inference, a user’s interaction sequence passes through the forward and reverse processes to obtain a generated sequence which is used to randomly replace items in the original sequence to create multiple augmented user sequences for training a sequential recommender model.

The sequence can also serve as the final step of the diffusion process. SdifRec [158] incorporates the diffusion model in the Schrödinger Bridge problem [27] for sequential recommendation. The Schrödinger Bridge problem aims to approximate a process that sequentially adds noise to the data to reach a prior. Considering the target item as the data and the historical sequence as the prior, the diffusion model is leveraged to diffuse the target item into a representation of the historical sequence obtained by a transformer to solve the Schrödinger Bridge problem. At inference, the representation is denoised iteratively to reach the final embedding, and items closest in the embedding space are selected for recommendation. To assist the reverse process, a pre-trained model [53] encodes the user information and its clustering assignment serves as the condition to enhance the transformer representation. DDSR [157] formulates the diffusion model in the discrete space with categorical distribution instead of adding noise to embeddings in the continuous space. From the semantic identifiers converted by vector quantization inspired by VQ-Rec [59], historical items are represented as one-hot vectors for their corresponding categories in the categorical distribution. After applying random probabilities of transitioning to other categories, a transformer model conducts next-item prediction on the sequence. The training objective minimizes the cross-entropy for all items, and inference follows the same iterative process.

Some papers construct the diffusion model as a plug-in module for an existing recommendation framework. PDRec [97] leverages the diffusion model to generate top-ranked items as the soft positive augmentations of the target item. The diffusion model applies time-interval reweighting to T-DiffRec [147] and is separately pre-trained. S2IDM [98] leverages the concept of sememes and diffuses in the domain of sememes instead of the item domain for lower complexity. The module serves as a plug-in to another existing recommendation framework, including SASRec [72] and CL4SRec [159]. DIDER [155] utilizes the diffusion model as a pre-trained module, to denoise the interaction sequence for the downstream recommender model.

Moreover, the diffusion model can also be applied to the reranking task for multi-stage recommender systems which rely on a stage to retrieve items of interest and another stage to rerank them for the final recommendation. DCDR [85] leverages the diffusion model for the reranking task which conducts a permutation on the input list. Instead of diffusion in the continuous space through Gaussian distribution, the item sequence follows the categorical distribution, and the discrete diffusion swaps and substitutes items in the sequence where items are encoded in the permutation space. The reverse process conditions on the user feedback which is defined as all positive in the generation phase. At inference, noise is added to the input list and a number of sequences are generated through beam search for the sequence evaluator to select the final sequence as the reranked list.

Sequence as Diffusion Guidance. Besides serving as the subject of diffusion, the historical sequence can instead serve as guidance in the reverse process. This enables the inference to start from the reverse process on noise instead of running the forward process on the historical sequence,

enhancing efficiency. DiffRIS [113] and IDSRec [112] diffuse the target item embedding and encode the historical sequence with a convolutional neural network and long short-term memory for guidance in the reverse process. LeadRec [146] adopts the IDDPM framework [111] and diffuses the target item embedding, utilizing the encoded history as guidance with a transformer model followed by an MLP. DimeRec [79] diffuses the embedding of the last item through geodesic random walk and extracts multi-interest embeddings to guide the reverse process. Meanwhile, the model learns to select the informative features as guidance. On the other hand, DiffRec2 [33] diffuses the last item embedding and applies a transformer encoder on the entire historical sequence to obtain the last representation. A linear layer on this representation with the softmax function is used to predict the target item. At inference, the last item embedding is initialized by random noise, and the first item in the historical sequence is dropped to maintain the maximum sequence length.

Similarly, DiffuRec [81] adds Gaussian noise to the last item embedding in the historical sequence. The noisy representation is injected into the historical sequence which is used to recover the last item through a transformer model. At the inference stage, the target item embedding is initialized by a random Gaussian sample and iteratively denoised by the trained transformer model. The final item embedding is rounded to the most similar candidate item through dot product. DreamRec [167] also diffuses the target item embedding and denoises it with the guidance of the historical sequence encoded by a transformer encoder. iDreamRec [63] enhances DreamRec [167] by utilizing user intention in generating the target item embedding. With item descriptions generated by ChatGPT [8], a pre-trained text encoder maps each item to a representation for the sequential recommender model. The historical sequence is encoded by a transformer model as the condition. At inference, DDIM sampling is adopted to expedite the algorithm, and user intention, generated by ChatGPT, is incorporated to enhance the embedding generation. Using the same text encoder, the user intention is encoded and fused into the condition.

While most papers learn to generate with diffusion models, PreferDiff [90] incorporates the learning of preference by adding a loss term adapted from Bayesian Personalized Ranking [119]. On top of learning to generate the positive item embedding, the diffusion model learns to differentiate positive from negative items. Multiple negative items are passed to the diffuse model, and their centroid is compared to the positive item in the loss term. Additionally, the loss term is shown to be a special case of Direct Preference Optimization [117], an algorithm for aligning human preference in large language models. Instead of Gaussian noise, EDiffuRec [77] diffuses all item embeddings in the sequence with noise sampled from the Weibull distribution. The entire noised sequence is used to predict the target item. In an auto-regressive fashion, CDDRec [149] diffuses the target item embedding in the forward process and conditions on previous items in the reverse process. Masked self-attention is applied to prevent the leak of future information when encoding the historical sequence for guidance. By integrating the step indicator as the query in cross-attention, the denoiser learns to denoise for that specific step. Different from the norm of selecting a random diffusion step in training, every step is taken into account. For recommendation, the past sequence is encoded into a representation by the learned denoiser, and the dot product scores between the representation and all candidate item embeddings are ranked for prediction.

3.3 Multi-domain Recommendation

Besides sequential recommendation and collaborative filtering, multi-domain recommendation covers recommender systems that involve multiple domains, including image generation, text-to-recommendation (text-to-rec), item recommendation with multi-modal attributes, and cross-domain recommendation.

Image Generation. Item images present an alternative way to introduce and visualize the recommended item for a better delivery. DiFashion [161] recommends personal outfits through

image generation in the latent space. Given an outfit consisting of separate pieces, the model can fill in the blank by generating the image of a piece given the images of other pieces. The diffusion model diffuses the set of images altogether, and the reverse process conditions on the other existing pieces and the user history to generate a complete outfit. Another function of the model attempts to generate an image from text for each separate piece within the outfit. With the same forward process, the reverse process conditions on the text prompts instead and the user history. Given a set of text prompts describing an outfit, the generated images visualize the entire outfit. From the advertisement perspective, CG4CTR [165] generates an image using the diffusion model to advertise a product and optimize the click-through rate. The approach identifies the object in an input image and generates many candidate images according to the prompt selected by the user group information. The click-through rate is predicted for each image and used to filter for the better images for training the Stable Diffusion model [120] through low-rank adaptation fine-tuning [62]. Similarly, AdBooster [127] fine-tunes the Stable Diffusion model [120] to create product images from text prompts and Czapp et al. [26] generates product images to raise the click-through rate for an online system. The approach separates the object from the background, identifies the edges of the object, and utilizes a diffusion model, ControlNet [175], to generate an image with the edges as constraint. Finally, the original object is placed back to the generated image for the final output. Leveraging diffusion models for image generation, GEMRec [45] presents the idea of generative model recommendation by integrating image generation into a recommender system. Based on textual information provided by users, a user interface displays the generated images and allows them to engage and create a ranking list based on their preferences.

Text to Recommendation. Instead of considering the user’s previous interactions, DMSR [139] focuses on recommending a slate or a list of items based on the user query alone. The diffusion model diffuses a list of items (i.e. music playlist) in the embedding space, and the reverse process conditions on the textual description of the list for guidance. With a user query provided at inference, a music playlist is generated by iteratively running the reverse process. Unlike many previous papers, the diffusion model implements v -prediction [124] which empirically leads to better convergence and performance compared to predicting the noise or the embedding itself. The approach relies on a fixed encoder to represent items and allows the addition and removal of individual items. Generated item embeddings are rounded to the closest candidate items, and the next closest candidate item is selected to avoid duplicates.

Multi-modal Attributes. Another branch of multi-domain recommender systems utilizes additional attributes of various modalities in the recommendation process. DiffMM [68] utilizes the diffusion model for data augmentation to facilitate the downstream contrastive learning across visual, textual, and acoustic modalities. The user interaction sequence is the subject of diffusion, and top items in the denoised sequence are added to the augmented interaction sequence for the downstream recommendation model. RDRec [55] represents each user and item by their historical reviews and predicts the rating for each pair of user and item. The diffusion model corrupts the review features for a given user and item and utilizes the user and item features as guidance in the reverse process. For a user and item provided at inference, Gaussian noise is denoised for a fewer number of time steps by a transformer encoder, and the final representation is fed to an MLP to predict the rating. Some other papers also utilize the visual and textual attributes to guide the reverse process. LD4MRec [172] diffuses the user interactions in the forward process and conditions on all items’ visual and textual features in the reverse process. Smoothing is applied to all items to discount interacted items and promote non-interacted items. As opposed to an iterative process for inference, the noisy interaction sequence is denoised in one step to reduce computational complexity. On the other hand, MCDRec [99] diffuses the item embedding and conditions on the

item’s visual and text features in the reverse process. The final denoised representation is added to the initial one for the joint Bayesian Personalized Ranking framework with user embeddings.

Cross-domain. Besides involving multiple modalities, some recommender systems specialize in cross-domain recommendation, a scenario where user discrepancies between domains can lead to negative transfer and the cold-start problem. Diff-MSR [148] tackles this challenge with the generation ability of diffusion models in a four-stage process. The first stage pre-trains the embedding space from all domains, and the second stage trains two diffusion models for reconstructing the embedding of clicked and non-clicked items in each cold-start domain. The third stage trains a binary classifier to distinguish those from the cold-start and rich domains given the user and item embeddings. The ones incorrectly classified to be from the cold-start domains are utilized to fine-tune the recommendation model in the final stage. The incorrect classification can be regarded as a sign of high-quality data generation that suggests its informativeness from the rich domain. By leveraging valuable generations, the recommender model is fine-tuned to enhance the performance. On the other hand, DiffCDR [162] proposes to enrich the cold-start domain with the source domain by generating user embeddings for the target domain for item prediction through a similarity score. The diffusion model diffuses the user embedding in the target domain and conditions on the user embedding in the source domain in the reverse process. To tackle the negative impact of randomness, the generated user embedding is aligned in the item space through rating prediction. Instead of iterative denoising, the DPM solver [95] expedites the reverse process in a fewer number of sampling steps.

3.4 Responsible Recommendation

Besides focusing on the recommendation tasks such as collaborative filtering, sequential recommendation, and multi-domain generation, some papers work on the responsible aspect of recommendation systems, utilizing the diffusion model in fairness, accountability, transparency, and OOD generation.

Fairness. Recommender systems can recommend items that are biased or discriminate a subgroup of users. DiffFaiRec [70] presents the first effort in constructing a fair diffusion recommendation model. Given a rating vector, the diffusion model adds Gaussian noise in the forward process, and the reverse process incorporates user group vectors through cross-attention to improve fairness. After iterative denoising, the resulting rating vector contains the rating predictions for unseen items. Malitesta et al. [101] investigates the fairness of the DiffRec model [147] by comparing to nine previous models in consumer and provider fairness. The results show that while DiffRec [147] might have an unfairness issue, its variant, L-DiffRec [147] do not exhibit such a pattern. Given the tradeoff between accuracy and fairness, the authors [101] suggest that existing diffusion recommender models not be incorporated as is, since the consequence of such decision can exacerbate the unfairness issue in recommender systems. The absence of such issues in L-DiffRec [147] suggests that modifications to existing diffusion recommender models is a worthy effort to mitigate the issue.

Besides fairness, some papers tackle popularity bias, the notion that popular items tend to be recommended more frequently than unpopular ones, neglecting them in the recommendation process and resulting in less diversity. DCASR [48] aims to alleviate popularity bias by generating counterfactual data with the diffusion model for the downstream recommendation model. The diffusion model is trained on user sessions and generates synthetic counterfactual sessions which are labeled by the temporal SCM response model [47]. After data augmentation, the downstream recommender model trains on these synthetic data along with the original observed data to reduce popularity bias. CGSoRec [54] filters out redundant social information in the user graph and adjusts the user’s preference to mitigate popularity bias. In the user preference representation, a higher

weight is assigned to unpopular items, while a lower weight is assigned to popular items. By conditioning on the adjusted user preference, better performance is observed on less popular items. On the other hand, D3Rec [49] can directly enhance the diversity of the recommended items by controlling their categories. The forward process adds noise to the user interaction vector, and the reverse process is guided by their category embeddings. Two encoders are modeled to separately learn category-dependent and category-independent information to facilitate auxiliary tasks for tailoring the recommended item's category. By specifying the desired category of the recommended item, the reverse process conditions on such representation for iterative denoising. Inference follows a deterministic process by ignoring the variance and the process denoises for more iterations than the forward process.

Accountability. Apart from the objective to optimize the performance for a specific recommendation scenario, some papers investigate the privacy and security aspects of recommender systems. SDRM [83] studies whether the diffusion model can be used to generate synthetic user interactions and replace the original data to increase privacy. To tackle the issues of score-matching objectives when the data is sparse, the approach utilizes a variational autoencoder to increase the data density and modifies the score-based objective function to transform it into a denoising task. IPDGI [13] designs a framework to generate an adversarial image of an item to reveal the vulnerability of visually-aware recommender systems. The approach diffuses a product image in the forward process and recovers the image in the reverse process. With the goal to make the target item appear popular, the distance between their images in the embedding space is computed to serve as guidance to iteratively denoise pure noise in the reverse process. ToDA [92] utilizes the diffusion model to create fake user profiles for shilling attacks which increase the probability for the target items to appear in the recommendation lists. The model diffuses user profiles in the latent space and the reverse process conditions on the target item to generate fake user profiles. The target item is represented by its features encoded with a graph encoder, covering the global view of the user-item graph. Similarly, GDMPPD [173] purifies product image with a diffusion model to detect item promotion attacks in federated recommender systems involving images. Given an image, the approach applies noise to it with a pre-trained diffusion model in the forward process. The iterative reverse process denoises the image, utilizing the similarity between the current image and the image at the same time step in the forward process as guidance. For detection, the final and the original images are encoded by a pre-trained image encoder to compare the similarity. In practical applications, the recommendation model is subject to various objectives, including accuracy, diversity, and fairness. Some models aim to have the highest accuracy possible, while others are willing to promote item diversity at the sacrifice of accuracy. Training or re-training a model on a certain objective at test time is challenging as it can be time-consuming. PaDiRec [125] tackles this challenge by training a model adapter for each objective and using these adapters to train a diffusion model. Given a desired objective at test time, the diffusion model can generate the parameters of such model adapter, resolving the necessity for re-training and the limitation to store all model adapters. This promotes the flexibility and robustness of recommender systems.

Transparency. Besides fairness and accountability, transparency is another crucial aspect in responsible recommender systems. DIEXRS [44] aims to enhance the explainability of recommender systems by involving product reviews with the user and item information. A transformer model estimates the noise added to their embeddings, and simultaneously, a decoder is trained to generate the explanation. Given the user, item, and sentence embeddings, the transformer model iteratively denoises to a final output where the sentence embedding can be extracted and used to generate the recommendation explanation from the decoder.

Out of Distribution. As bias and fairness issues can arise due to a shift in the distributions for different subgroups, OOD recommendation remains a critical part in responsible recommendation.

Due to many factors such as the environment, user preference can exhibit temporal, exposure, and popularity shifts on the items users interact with. A well-trained recommender model can suffer from the distribution shift and deliver inferior performance when the factors are influential. CausalDiffRec [177] attempts to improve the OOD recommendation performance by generating several user interaction graphs with reinforcement learning and learning the embeddings of users and items, facilitating the joint training of the downstream recommender model [53]. A variational graph autoencoder encodes the user-item graph along with their features, followed by the forward process of the diffusion model to add noise. The reverse process conditions on the latent vector of a variational autoencoder on the same inputs. The framework learns features invariant to the latent factors and aims to mitigate the loss of generality under OOD settings.

4 Datasets

Additionally, we compile the datasets used in the papers to present a comprehensive view on the available datasets. Table 1 lists the datasets in alphabetical order with their information on dataset size, domain, and the papers that use them. In this section, we describe each dataset in more detail.

Alibaba-iFashion [16]. This dataset contains outfits from Taobao’s fashion experts that are reviewed before posted online. The 80 most frequent leaf categories consist of 1.01M outfits and 583K individual items. User clicks are collected from 3.57M active users who have viewed more than 40 outfits, containing 4.46M items and 127K outfits in total. Each item is represented by a white background image, title, and leaf category.

Amazon [52, 60, 104, 105, 110]. As one of the most widely used dataset for recommendation, the Amazon dataset contains product reviews and metadata from the e-commerce platform. The dataset has four versions, collected in different years. The 2013 version [104] includes 34M reviews from 6M users on 2M items in 28 domains. The 2014 version [52, 105] covers 82M reviews from 21M users on 9M items in 24 domains. The 2018 version [110] consists of 233M reviews from 43M users on 15M items in 29 domains. Finally, the most version in 2023 [60] collects 571M reviews from 54M users on 48M items in 33 domains, consistently increasing its scale.

Ciao [137]. The British website allows users to write product reviews and rate reviews written by other users, forming social connections. The dataset collects user activity from the website, reaching a total of 12K users on 107K products for 484K ratings. Each review additionally contains a timestamp and an item category, and all users have at least five reviews.

CreativeRanking [144]. The Chinese e-commerce platform, Alibaba, displays images of the products for advertisement, and the dataset collects such images and their user impressions. It contains 215M impressions and 1M unique product images from 500K products.

Douban [181]. The dataset is collected from the Douban website, a platform for users to exchange their opinions on various domains, including books, movies, and music. The book subset contains 96K interactions from 2,110 users and 6,777 items, the movie subset covers 1.28M interactions from 2,712 users and 34K items, and the music subset includes 70K interactions from 1,672 users and 5,567 items. All users and items are filtered to have at least five interactions, and the dataset contains detailed information such as user profiles, item details, ratings, reviews, and tags.

Epinions [38]. The dataset collects user interactions on Epinions, a social network website for users to rate items and write reviews. A key feature of the platform is to add friends and form social connections between users, serving as a user graph to provide auxiliary information. The dataset contains 764K ratings from 18,088 users on 261K items, including 355K social connections.

FilmTrust [43]. The dataset collects user ratings from FilmTrust, a movie website. It contains 35K movie ratings from 1,508 user and 2,071 items, with ratings in the range of 1 to 5.

Food [100]. The dataset collects over 18 years of recipes and user reviews from the Food.com website. It includes more than 230K recipe texts and 1M reviews. Each recipe has at least 3 steps

Table 1. Datasets

Dataset	Size	Domain	Used in
Alibaba-iFashion [16]	1,781,093 interactions	Fashion	[69, 161]
Amazon [52, 60, 104, 105, 110]	571,540,000 reviews	Product	[9, 13, 15, 18, 22, 25, 31–33, 44, 55, 63, 64, 68, 76, 77, 81, 83, 88, 90, 97–99, 125, 141, 146–149, 155–158, 162, 172, 173, 178, 182]
Bundle [134, 136]	5,444 bundles	Product	[139]
Ciao [137]	484,086 ratings	Social	[54, 82, 174]
CreativeRanking [144]	1,204,988 images	Product	[165]
Douban [181]	1,444,151 interactions	Product	[54, 76, 97, 148, 177]
Epinions [38]	764,352 ratings	Society	[82, 174]
FilmTrust [43]	35,497 ratings	Movie	[92]
Food [100]	718,379 reviews	Recipe	[177]
Foursquare [163, 164]	33,278,683 check-ins	Location	[93, 101, 116, 151, 168, 183]
Gowalla [21]	6,442,890 check-ins	Location	[22, 76, 92, 116]
KuaiRec [39]	12,530,806 interactions	Video	[79, 112, 113, 146, 167, 177]
Last-FM [7]	1,000,000 songs	Music	[54, 69, 70]
MIND [152]	24,155,470 interactions	News	[32, 69, 155]
MovieLens-100K [51]	100,000 ratings	Movie	[71, 83, 115]
MovieLens-1M [51]	1,000,209 ratings	Movie	[4, 9, 15, 18, 25, 31–33, 49, 61, 63, 70, 71, 76, 81, 83, 101, 115, 125, 141, 146, 147, 156, 168, 173, 178, 182]
MovieLens-10M [51]	10,000,054 ratings	Movie	[79]
MovieLens-20M [51]	20,000,263 ratings	Movie	[141]
MovieLens-25M [51]	25,000,095 ratings	Movie	[4]
Netflix [5]	100,000,000 ratings	Movie	[4]
PixelRec [20]	195,755,320 interactions	Image	[98]
Polyvore [96]	127,326 outfits	Fashion	[161]
Spotify [10]	1,000,000 playlists	Music	[139]
Steam [72, 114, 142]	7,793,069 reviews	Games	[49, 63, 81, 88, 156]
Tmall [176, 180]	1,333,729,303 interactions	Product	[76, 78]
WeePlace [91]	923,600 check-ins	Location	[93]
Yelp	6,990,280 reviews	Business	[9, 22, 25, 31, 32, 44, 61, 64, 76, 82, 88, 147, 156, 158, 168, 174, 177, 178, 182]
YooChoose [3]	11,825,218 sessions	Product	[18, 79, 112, 113, 167]
Zhihu [50]	99,978,523 interactions	QA	[18, 112, 167]

and leverages 4 to 20 ingredients. Each user has at least 4 reviews, leaving more than 180K recipes and 700K reviews in the filtered dataset. The training set contains 160K recipes, including 698K actions and 25K users.

Foursquare [163, 164]. This dataset includes check-in information from users to cities around the world, collected from the Foursquare website. It contains 33M check-ins from 266K users on 3M venues, covering the 415 most frequent cities in 77 countries. Each city contains at least 10K check-ins.

Gowalla [21]. The dataset contains location check-ins on the social network website Gowalla. There are 6M check-ins from 196K users and 950K friendship connections between them during the years of 2009 and 2010.

KuaiRec [39]. This dataset contains recommendation logs of Kuaishou, an application that recommends videos for users to watch. The matrix includes 12M interactions from 7,176 users and 10K items. A subset is fully observed with 4M interactions from 1,411 users and 3,327 items, reaching almost 100% density with the exception of users blocking some videos.

Last-FM [7]. Last.fm, a music website, presents tags and similarity information on 1M songs from the Million Song Dataset [7]. A tag is a descriptor of a song, with the most frequent ones as rock, pop, and alternative. There are 505K tracks with at least one tag, 584K tracks with at least one similar track, 522K unique tags, 8M pairs of tracks and tags, and 56M pairs of similar tracks.

MIND [152]. The dataset contains news recommendation from the Microsoft News website, including 24M clicks from 1M users on 161K English news. Each article has a title, abstract, body, and category information. The user clicks are organized by impression logs which record the clicks, non-interacted events, and the user’s historical behaviors.

MovieLens [51]. The dataset collects movie reviews from the website MovieLens and is available in various sizes. The most widely used version, MovieLens-1M, contains 1M movie ratings from 6,000 users on 4,000 movies. Additional information includes the user’s gender, age, occupation, and the movie’s title and genre.

Netflix [5]. The movie streaming company, Netflix, hosted the Netflix Challenge in 2006 to predict user ratings on movies. The dataset contains 100M ratings from 480K users on 18K movie titles. Ratings are on the scale of 1 to 5, and 3M most recent ratings are spared as the test set.

PixelRec [20]. The multimodal dataset is collected from a video-sharing website for content consumption. It contains 195M interactions from 29M users on 408K videos, represented by their cover images. The interactions are user comments which pose as a stronger signal than clicks, since the users have to click on the item before posting a comment. To enhance feasibility, the dataset is available at various scales, including 200K, 1M, and 8M.

Polyvore [96]. The dataset collects outfits created by users on Polyvore, a fashion website. Each outfit consists of a few items, and the dataset in its largest version contains 127K outfits and 159K items from 630 users in the training set. The test set includes 23K outfits and 45K items.

Spotify [10]. This dataset is provided by Spotify, a music streaming service that allows users to listen to music and recommends songs. Used for the 2018 RecSys Challenge, the dataset contains 1M playlists created by the users, including 2M unique tracks, 734K unique albums, and the metadata. The recommendation task aims to fill in missing tracks in the playlists under various missing scenarios.

Steam [72, 114, 142]. This dataset records video game reviews from Steam, a gaming platform. Besides user reviews on individual games, a key feature of the dataset is the bundle information, listing the combination of games sold together. Overall, the dataset has 7M reviews from 2M users on 15K items, including 615 bundles. Other metadata includes user purchases, plays, recommendations, and prices.

Tmall [176, 180]. The dataset is provided by Tmall, a business unit of the Alibaba group, and records user interactions, including clicks, additions to favorite, additions to cart, and purchases. It contains 1B user interactions and 11M reviews on 8M items, along with their metadata, including title, image, brand, category, and seller identifier.

WeePlace [91]. This is another check-in dataset powered by WeePlaces, an organization that creates geographical profiles to facilitate user check-ins. The dataset collects 923K check-ins from 4,560 users on 44K locations, including 625 categories. The average user has 202 check-ins.

*Yelp*². The dataset collects reviews from Yelp, a platform to rate local businesses and provide feedback. It contains 6M reviews from 1M users on 150K businesses. Additional features include over 1M business attributes such as hours, parking, availability, and aggregated check-ins over time.

YooChoose [3]. As the dataset for the 2015 RecSys Challenge, YooChoose is a European e-commerce business for consumer goods. The training set includes 9M user sessions on clicks and purchases, and the test set consists of 2M click sessions to predict the items purchased next.

Zhihu [50]. The dataset is provided by the knowledge-sharing platform, Zhihu, in a time span of 10 days. The largest version contains 100M interactions from 798K users with 165K questions and 554K answers, corresponding to 240K authors on 70K topics and 501K user query logs. Additional descriptions on the users, answers, questions, authors, and topics are available and anonymous.

5 Open Research Directions

5.1 FAccT

As the majority of papers focus on task performance in collaborative filtering, sequential recommendation, and multi-domain recommendation, responsible recommendation has received less attention, despite being an important aspect of recommendation systems. Commonly referred to as FAccT, fairness, accountability, and transparency represent the three crucial perspectives to analyze ethical recommender systems [37].

Fairness in recommender systems [150] ensures that the recommendation of items to users are not biased and do not discriminate or particularly favor any subgroup. DiffFaiRec [70] proposes the first recommendation model for fairness utilizing the diffusion model, while Malitesta et al. [101] analyze the fairness of a diffusion recommendation model, DiffRec [147], and find that simply adopting an existing diffusion recommendation model can increase the unfairness of the overall system. Consequences of such decision can exacerbate the unfairness issue in the recommender systems. As a major contributing factor to unfairness, bias [12], in the form of popularity bias, is studied in a few papers we covered [48, 54]. However, the diffusion model has not been experimented on other forms of bias, including selection bias [86], exposure bias [103], conformity bias [67], position bias [23], inductive bias [11], and bias amplification [102]. These observations encourage further research efforts to systematically study the fairness aspect of other diffusion recommender models, presenting a clearer analysis on the relationship between performance and fairness in these models.

As a second perspective, accountability [6] ensures that the recommender system is responsible in the recommendation it makes, including the maintenance of user privacy and robustness to system attacks. For user privacy, federated recommender systems [135] manage recommendation models as a federated system to locally maintain a copy of the model weights trained on the user's data. The strategy aggregates local models into a global model that is available to all users without privacy issues, serving as a way to maintain user privacy for recommender systems. SDRM [83] utilizes the diffusion model to generate synthetic user data and replace the original version to maintain user privacy, presenting an initial effort in this direction. Future efforts can explore further in its efficacy, efficiency, and relationship between privacy and performance. For security in recommender systems [56], there are two lines of work: attacker models and defender models. IPDGI [13] utilizes a diffusion model to generate adversarial product images to visually appear like popular items. Such techniques can be used to promote the product by manipulating the system,

²<https://www.yelp.com/dataset>

which is known as poisoning attacks [109]. For shilling attacks [128], ToDA [92] generates fake user profiles with a diffusion model to promote target items. However, there exists room for more depth in this area, including the expansion to other attacks such as fake reviews [35]. On the other hand, as a defender model, GDMPD [173] utilizes a diffusion model to purify product images and detect item promotion attacks. To counter attacker models, plenty of research directions are available in detecting and mitigating malicious attacks, such as detecting fake user profiles and data manipulation.

A third component of responsible recommendation belongs to the transparency aspect [130], the ability to be transparent and provide explanations for the recommendations, taking a further step to trustworthy recommender systems [145]. With a diffusion model and a decoder model, DIEXRS [44] generates the recommendation explanation for a particular pair of user and item. By helping users understand the recommendation logic, recommender systems can become trustworthy and boost user experience. With one existing work, further efforts can dedicate to improving the explainability of recommender systems through generating the explanation directly from a diffusion model, such as a diffusion language model [80] or one in the latent space [94]. The language model can generate descriptions of the recommendation logic, the data collection process, and the arrival of individual recommendations. On the other hand, as opposed to that traditional neural network models are considered black-box systems, diffusion models have the ability to visualize the forward and reverse process. In particular, the reverse process can be illustrated by gradually removing noise from a random embedding to map to the final item. Users can visually grasp the process of denoising a random item into various other items in an ascending order of interaction likelihood. Towards the end of the denoising process, the item turns from one that the user will maybe like into one that the user will probably prefer. This can give diffusion models an advantage in transparency over traditional neural network models.

5.2 Efficiency

The original diffusion models suffer from inefficiency, requiring a substantial amount of time to denoise and generate a sample. Therefore, subsequent efforts aimed to improve this aspect, including the DDIM sampling [131] to upgrade DDPM [57], diffusion process in the latent space [120] instead of the original pixel space, and the ODE solver [133] to enhance score-based generative model sampling. In the recommendation problem, inference time in online systems is certainly critical for real-time rendering and user interaction. Some diffusion recommender models have adopted similar measures to enhance efficiency. DiffCDR [162] leverages an ODE solver to expedite sampling, and some papers [25, 92, 156, 161, 172] apply the diffusion process in the latent space. Meanwhile, DCPR [93] utilizes DDIM sampling, and others [55, 71, 78] instead simply denoise for a fewer number of steps at inference. As most papers aim for and demonstrate state-of-the-art recommendation performance, only a few have compared the inference time cost between their diffusion recommendation models and the previous state-of-the-art systems. In order to integrate diffusion models into practical recommender systems, consistent benchmarks of inference time cost is necessary across all models, and future efforts can be dedicated in this direction. After benchmarking has become consistent, we have a better picture of how diffusion recommender models perform against previous models, and we have a better idea of how to adapt them for more efficient inference. In particular, a comparison can be made against lightweight models that excel in inference cost, by analyzing the relationship between the performance gain by a diffusion model and the potentially higher time cost. This comparison will present a clear analysis on the feasibility of diffusion recommender systems. Nonetheless, the importance of latency in a recommender system warrants further research efforts in benchmarking and enhancing the inference speed of diffusion recommender systems.

5.3 Effective Use of Guidance

Auxiliary information, such as the historical sequence in sequential recommendation and the user and item features in collaborative filtering, are influential in the recommendation problem. Fusing such information into recommendation frameworks remains a critical step in the system. The original diffusion models [57, 132] lack an appropriate mechanism to do so, and such feature is later introduced by classifier guidance [30] which turns into classifier-free guidance [58] that dominated the pathway for conditional generation. Many diffusion recommender models [70, 99, 139, 149, 161, 183] adopts classifier-free guidance [58] to enhance the recommendation performance by incorporating the auxiliary information into the noisy representation through concatenation or cross-attention. After obtaining the noisy representation through the forward process or initializing it as pure noise, concatenation simply concatenates it with the auxiliary information as the neural network input. On the other hand, cross-attention fuses the auxiliary information into the noisy representation through the attention mechanism, adjusting the representation based on the additional information. They serve as guidance to guide the denoising process into a desired outcome. In addition to these two methods, future efforts can focus on extracting more informative guidance and incorporating it into the input representation. As auxiliary information remains a critical component in the recommendation problem, it deserves further study.

Meanwhile, for sequential recommendation we observe in the taxonomy that there are a few ways to utilize the sequence. While most papers encode the sequence and utilize it only for guidance, a few papers propose to also diffuse the sequence along with the target. This creates a new pathway to not only utilize the sequence as guidance, but also in another module in the diffusion model to enhance the performance. Future efforts can leverage the inspiration and effectively utilize auxiliary information to tackle the recommendation problem. Additionally, many papers focus on applying the diffusion model to the recommendation problem and utilize a simple MLP or transformer model as the denoising model in the reverse process. Their ablation studies mostly do not center on investigating the architecture or the configuration of the denoising model. As such optimization can affect the recommendation performance, it is worthwhile to invest future efforts in analyzing and carefully designing the denoising network for diffusion models in recommender systems.

5.4 Large Language Models

Recently, large language models (LLM) have shown great success in natural language processing and related domains such as question answering. Many foundation models, such as ChatGPT [8] and Llama [34], excel in responding to user inquiries and have also been applied to recommender systems [153, 179]. With LLM as a recent trend, it is certainly worthwhile to incorporate LLMs with diffusion models for recommender systems. As the only existing paper to do so, iDreamRec [63] enhances the item representation by generating detailed item descriptions and encoding them with a text encoder to represent the items. This introduces an innovative way to apply a pre-trained module and enhance item embeddings without further training, leveraging the broad knowledge of LLMs. In addition, LLM inference and text encoding can be computed once before the diffusion process, which does not burden the time complexity of the algorithm. The same can be applied to enhance the representations for the user, the historical sequence, and other entities in a recommender system. Given a user's interaction vector, LLMs can generate a detailed description regarding multiple aspects of interest, including preference, sentiment, personality, and habits. By conditioning on an individual aspect to guide the reverse process, diffusion models can generate recommendations tailored to individual aspects of interest. By keeping an overall representation for the user, through aggregation for example, the diffusion model can generate an overall recommendation to the user. As for the historical sequence, LLMs can describe the user's trend and generate descriptions on any

change of preference across the sequence to enrich the sequence representation. We can utilize the real-world knowledge of LLMs through inference to describe the change of taste in natural language and encode it through a text encoder. Conditioning on such embeddings in the reverse process can guide the diffusion model in generating the target item recommendation based on the specific change of taste. Though the embeddings can be jointly learned, it adds additional complexity to the system. On the other hand, initializing random embeddings can fail to take advantage of the difference between items. Therefore, utilizing LLMs to initialize the embeddings offers a great starting point for diffusion models without adding significant computation. Another potential improvement from LLMs is to enhance the recommender system’s explainability. Given its nature as a language model, the textual output sequence can communicate with users through natural language and enhance the explainability of recommender systems. With a recommendation as input, the model can generate explanations to the user, serving as a module after the diffusion model in the algorithm.

6 Conclusion

Due to its ability to model complex distributions, diffusion models have recently gained popularity in recommender systems. We present a comprehensive survey on diffusion models in recommender systems to provide a full landscape of such application and encourage further research efforts. We prepare readers with the relevant background knowledge and present our taxonomy, classifying existing works into a tree of categories. By grouping the works based on their recommendation task, we enable readers to easily identify the subgroups of their interest. Additionally, we compile all the datasets used in the current literature and describe their properties. Finally, we discuss and describe four open research directions worthy of further efforts to advance the field of recommender systems through diffusion models. As the field is young, parts of the landscape can be incomplete and in exploration in the meantime. Given a recent surge of interest in this field, we hope to deliver an informative background to readers. Nonetheless, we look forward to reading more papers in this field and further expanding or solidifying the landscape.

References

- [1] M Mehdi Afsar, Trafford Crump, and Behrouz Far. 2022. Reinforcement learning based recommender systems: A survey. *Comput. Surveys* 55, 7 (2022), 1–38.
- [2] Brian DO Anderson. 1982. Reverse-time diffusion equation models. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* 12, 3 (1982), 313–326.
- [3] David Ben-Shimon, Alexander Tsikinovsky, Michael Friedmann, Bracha Shapira, Lior Rokach, and Johannes Hoerle. 2015. Recsys challenge 2015 and the yoochoose dataset. In *Proceedings of the 9th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems*. 357–358.
- [4] Gabriel Bénédict, Olivier Jeunen, Samuele Papa, Samarth Bhargav, Daan Odijk, and Maarten de Rijke. 2023. Recfusion: A binomial diffusion process for 1d data for recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.08947* (2023).
- [5] James Bennett, Stan Lanning, et al. 2007. The netflix prize. In *Proceedings of KDD cup and workshop*, Vol. 2007. New York, 35.
- [6] Nolwenn Bernard and Krisztian Balog. 2023. A Systematic Review of Fairness, Accountability, Transparency and Ethics in Information Retrieval. *Comput. Surveys* (2023).
- [7] Thierry Bertin-Mahieux, Daniel P.W. Ellis, Brian Whitman, and Paul Lamere. 2011. The Million Song Dataset. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR 2011)*.
- [8] Tom B Brown. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.14165* (2020).
- [9] Noah Buchanan, Susan Gauch, and Quan Mai. 2024. Incorporating Classifier-Free Guidance in Diffusion Model-Based Recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.10494* (2024).
- [10] Ching-Wei Chen, Paul Lamere, Markus Schedl, and Hamed Zamani. 2018. Recsys challenge 2018: Automatic music playlist continuation. In *Proceedings of the 12th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems*. 527–528.
- [11] Jiawei Chen, Hande Dong, Yang Qiu, Xiangnan He, Xin Xin, Liang Chen, Guli Lin, and Keping Yang. 2021. AutoDebias: Learning to debias for recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research*

and *Development in Information Retrieval*. 21–30.

- [12] Jiawei Chen, Hande Dong, Xiang Wang, Fuli Feng, Meng Wang, and Xiangnan He. 2023. Bias and debias in recommender system: A survey and future directions. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems* 41, 3 (2023), 1–39.
- [13] Lijian Chen, Wei Yuan, Tong Chen, Guanhua Ye, Nguyen Quoc Viet Hung, and Hongzhi Yin. 2024. Adversarial Item Promotion on Visually-Aware Recommender Systems by Guided Diffusion. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems* 42, 6 (2024), 1–26.
- [14] Liang-Chieh Chen, George Papandreou, Iasonas Kokkinos, Kevin Murphy, and Alan L Yuille. 2017. Deeplab: Semantic image segmentation with deep convolutional nets, atrous convolution, and fully connected crfs. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 40, 4 (2017), 834–848.
- [15] Ruixin Chen, Jianping Fan, Meiqin Wu, Rui Cheng, and Jiawen Song. 2024. G-Diff: A Graph-Based Decoding Network for Diffusion Recommender Model. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* (2024).
- [16] Wen Chen, Pipei Huang, Jiaming Xu, Xin Guo, Cheng Guo, Fei Sun, Chao Li, Andreas Pfadler, Huan Zhao, and Binqiang Zhao. 2019. POG: personalized outfit generation for fashion recommendation at Alibaba iFashion. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery & data mining*. 2662–2670.
- [17] Xiaohui Chen, Jiaying He, Xu Han, and Liping Liu. 2023. Efficient and Degree-Guided Graph Generation via Discrete Diffusion Modeling. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 4585–4610.
- [18] Yaoqi Chen, Jianjin Zhang, Qi Chen, Weihao Han, Zhengxin Zeng, Mingzheng Li, Xue Wu, Yujing Wang, Hao Sun, Xu Tan, Weiming Zhang, Jiang Bian, Weiwei Deng, Feng Sun, and Qi Zhang. 2024. Semantic-Aware Diffusion Model for Sequential Recommendation. <https://openreview.net/forum?id=2E6OK8cSoB>
- [19] Zefeng Chen, Wensheng Gan, Jiayang Wu, Kaixia Hu, and Hong Lin. 2024. Data Scarcity in Recommendation Systems: A Survey. *ACM Transactions on Recommender Systems* (2024).
- [20] Yu Cheng, Yunzhu Pan, Jiaqi Zhang, Yongxin Ni, Aixin Sun, and Fajie Yuan. 2024. An Image Dataset for Benchmarking Recommender Systems with Raw Pixels. In *Proceedings of the 2024 SIAM International Conference on Data Mining (SDM)*. SIAM, 418–426.
- [21] Eunjoon Cho, Seth A Myers, and Jure Leskovec. 2011. Friendship and mobility: user movement in location-based social networks. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*. 1082–1090.
- [22] Jeongwhan Choi, Seoyoung Hong, Noseong Park, and Sung-Bae Cho. 2023. Blurring-sharpening process models for collaborative filtering. In *Proceedings of the 46th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 1096–1106.
- [23] Andrew Collins, Dominika Tkaczyk, Akiko Aizawa, and Joeran Beel. 2018. Position bias in recommender systems for digital libraries. In *International Conference on Information*. Springer, 335–344.
- [24] Florinel-Alin Croitoru, Vlad Hondru, Radu Tudor Ionescu, and Mubarak Shah. 2023. Diffusion models in vision: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 45, 9 (2023), 10850–10869.
- [25] Ziqiang Cui, Haolun Wu, Bowei He, Ji Cheng, and Chen Ma. 2024. Diffusion-based Contrastive Learning for Sequential Recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.09369* (2024).
- [26]  Tibor Czapp, M Jani, B Domin, and Balzs Hidasi. 2024. Dynamic Product Image Generation and Recommendation at Scale for Personalized E-commerce. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems*. 768–770.
- [27] Valentin De Bortoli, James Thornton, Jeremy Heng, and Arnaud Doucet. 2021. Diffusion schrdinger bridge with applications to score-based generative modeling. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 34 (2021), 17695–17709.
- [28] Yashar Deldjoo, Zhankui He, Julian McAuley, Anton Korikov, Scott Sanner, Arnau Ramisa, Ren Vidal, Maheswaran Sathiamoorthy, Atoosa Kasirzadeh, and Silvia Milano. 2024. A review of modern recommender systems using generative models (gen-recsys). In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. 6448–6458.
- [29] Yashar Deldjoo, Markus Schedl, Paolo Cremonesi, and Gabriella Pasi. 2020. Recommender systems leveraging multimedia content. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)* 53, 5 (2020), 1–38.
- [30] Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. 2021. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 34 (2021), 8780–8794.
- [31] Yicheng Di, Hongjian Shi, Xiaoming Wang, Ruhui Ma, and Yuan Liu. 2024. Federated Recommender System Based on Diffusion Augmentation and Guided Denoising. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems* (2024).
- [32] Hao Dong, Haochen Liang, Jing Yu, and Keke Gai. 2024. DICES: Diffusion-Based Contrastive Learning with Knowledge Graphs for Recommendation. In *International Conference on Knowledge Science, Engineering and Management*. Springer, 117–129.
- [33] Hanwen Du, Huanhuan Yuan, Zhen Huang, Pengpeng Zhao, and Xiaofang Zhou. 2023. Sequential recommendation with diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.04541* (2023).

- [34] Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. 2024. The llama 3 herd of models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783* (2024).
- [35] Ramadhani Ally Duma, Zhendong Niu, Ally S Nyamawe, Jude Tchaye-Kondi, Nuru Jingili, Abdulganiyu Abdu Yusuf, and Augustino Faustino Deve. 2024. Fake review detection techniques, issues, and future research directions: a literature review. *Knowledge and Information Systems* (2024), 1–42.
- [36] Vincent Dumoulin, Jonathon Shlens, and Manjunath Kudlur. 2017. A Learned Representation For Artistic Style. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [37] Michael D Ekstrand, Toshihiro Kamishima, Amifa Raj, and Karlijn Dinnissen. 2024. FAccTRec 2024: The 7th Workshop on Responsible Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems*. 1200–1201.
- [38] Wenqi Fan, Yao Ma, Qing Li, Yuan He, Eric Zhao, Jiliang Tang, and Dawei Yin. 2019. Graph neural networks for social recommendation. In *The world wide web conference*. 417–426.
- [39] Chongming Gao, Shijun Li, Wenqiang Lei, Jiawei Chen, Biao Li, Peng Jiang, Xiangnan He, Jiabin Mao, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2022. KuaiRec: A fully-observed dataset and insights for evaluating recommender systems. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*. 540–550.
- [40] Chen Gao, Yu Zheng, Nian Li, Yinfeng Li, Yingrong Qin, Jinghua Piao, Yuhuan Quan, Jianxin Chang, Depeng Jin, Xiangnan He, et al. 2023. A survey of graph neural networks for recommender systems: Challenges, methods, and directions. *ACM Transactions on Recommender Systems* 1, 1 (2023), 1–51.
- [41] Min Gao, Junwei Zhang, Junliang Yu, Jundong Li, Junhao Wen, and Qingyu Xiong. 2021. Recommender systems based on generative adversarial networks: A problem-driven perspective. *Information Sciences* 546 (2021), 1166–1185.
- [42] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. Generative adversarial nets. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 27 (2014).
- [43] G. Guo, J. Zhang, and N. Yorke-Smith. 2013. A Novel Bayesian Similarity Measure for Recommender Systems. In *Proceedings of the 23rd International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*. 2619–2625.
- [44] Yupu Guo, Fei Cai, Honghui Chen, Chonghao Chen, Xin Zhang, and Menxi Zhang. 2023. An Explainable Recommendation Method based on Diffusion Model. In *2023 9th International Conference on Big Data and Information Analytics (BigDIA)*. IEEE, 802–806.
- [45] Yuanhe Guo, Haoming Liu, and Hongyi Wen. 2024. GEMRec: Towards Generative Model Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*. 1054–1057.
- [46] Zhiye Guo, Jian Liu, Yanli Wang, Mengrui Chen, Duolin Wang, Dong Xu, and Jianlin Cheng. 2024. Diffusion models in bioinformatics and computational biology. *Nature reviews bioengineering* 2, 2 (2024), 136–154.
- [47] Muskan Gupta, Priyanka Gupta, Jyoti Narwariya, Lovekesh Vig, and Gautam Shroff. 2024. SCM4SR: Structural Causal Model-based Data Augmentation for Robust Session-based Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 47th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 2609–2613.
- [48] Muskan Gupta, Priyanka Gupta, and Lovekesh Vig. 2024. Guided Diffusion-based Counterfactual Augmentation for Robust Session-based Recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.21892* (2024).
- [49] Gwangseok Han, Wonbin Kweon, Minsoo Kim, and Hwanjo Yu. 2024. Controlling Diversity at Inference: Guiding Diffusion Recommender Models with Targeted Category Preferences. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.11240* (2024).
- [50] Bin Hao, Min Zhang, Weizhi Ma, Shaoyun Shi, Xinxing Yu, Houzhi Shan, Yiqun Liu, and Shaoping Ma. 2021. A large-scale rich context query and recommendation dataset in online knowledge-sharing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.06467* (2021).
- [51] F Maxwell Harper and Joseph A Konstan. 2015. The movielens datasets: History and context. *Acm transactions on interactive intelligent systems (tiis)* 5, 4 (2015), 1–19.
- [52] Ruining He and Julian McAuley. 2016. Ups and downs: Modeling the visual evolution of fashion trends with one-class collaborative filtering. In *proceedings of the 25th international conference on world wide web*. 507–517.
- [53] Xiangnan He, Kuan Deng, Xiang Wang, Yan Li, Yongdong Zhang, and Meng Wang. 2020. Lightgcn: Simplifying and powering graph convolution network for recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 43rd International ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in Information Retrieval*. 639–648.
- [54] Xin He, Wenqi Fan, Ruobing Wang, Yili Wang, Ying Wang, Shirui Pan, and Xin Wang. 2024. Balancing User Preferences by Social Networks: A Condition-Guided Social Recommendation Model for Mitigating Popularity Bias. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.16772* (2024).
- [55] Xiangfu He, Qiyao Peng, Minglai Shao, and Yueheng Sun. 2024. Diffusion Review-Based Recommendation. In *International Conference on Knowledge Science, Engineering and Management*. Springer, 255–269.
- [56] Yassine Himeur, Shahab Saquib Sohail, Faycal Bensaali, Abbes Amira, and Mamoun Alazab. 2022. Latest trends of security and privacy in recommender systems: a comprehensive review and future perspectives. *Computers & Security* 118 (2022), 102746.

- [57] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. 2020. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 33 (2020), 6840–6851.
- [58] Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. 2021. Classifier-Free Diffusion Guidance. In *NeurIPS 2021 Workshop on Deep Generative Models and Downstream Applications*.
- [59] Yupeng Hou, Zhankui He, Julian McAuley, and Wayne Xin Zhao. 2023. Learning vector-quantized item representation for transferable sequential recommenders. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2023*. 1162–1171.
- [60] Yupeng Hou, Jiacheng Li, Zhankui He, An Yan, Xiusi Chen, and Julian McAuley. 2024. Bridging language and items for retrieval and recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.03952* (2024).
- [61] Yu Hou, Jin-Duk Park, and Won-Yong Shin. 2024. Collaborative Filtering Based on Diffusion Models: Unveiling the Potential of High-Order Connectivity. In *Proceedings of the 47th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 1360–1369.
- [62] Edward J Hu, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, Weizhu Chen, et al. 2022. LoRA: Low-Rank Adaptation of Large Language Models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [63] Guoqing Hu, Zhengyi Yang, Zhibo Cai, An Zhang, and Xiang Wang. 2024. Generate and Instantiate What You Prefer: Text-Guided Diffusion for Sequential Recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.13428* (2024).
- [64] Hongtao Huang, Chengkai Huang, Xiaojun Chang, Wen Hu, and Lina Yao. 2024. Dual Conditional Diffusion Models for Sequential Recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.21967* (2024).
- [65] Aapo Hyvärinen and Peter Dayan. 2005. Estimation of non-normalized statistical models by score matching. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 6, 4 (2005).
- [66] Dietmar Jannach, Ahtsham Manzoor, Wanling Cai, and Li Chen. 2021. A survey on conversational recommender systems. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)* 54, 5 (2021), 1–36.
- [67] JunJie Jia, TianYue Shang, LiFang Li, and Si Chen. 2023. De-Biasing user conformity bias and item popularity bias in Group recommendation. In *2023 IEEE 6th Information Technology, Networking, Electronic and Automation Control Conference (ITNEC)*, Vol. 6. IEEE, 1559–1563.
- [68] Yangqin Jiang, Lianghao Xia, Wei Wei, Da Luo, Kangyi Lin, and Chao Huang. 2024. DiffMM: Multi-Modal Diffusion Model for Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Multimedia*. 7591–7599.
- [69] Yangqin Jiang, Yuhao Yang, Lianghao Xia, and Chao Huang. 2024. Diffkg: Knowledge graph diffusion model for recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*. 313–321.
- [70] Zhenhao Jiang and Jicong Fan. 2024. DiffFairRec: Generative Fair Recommender with Conditional Diffusion Model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.02791* (2024).
- [71] Deng Jiangzhou, Wang Songli, Ye Jianmei, Ji Lianghao, and Wang Yong. 2024. DGRM: Diffusion-GAN recommendation model to alleviate the mode collapse problem in sparse environments. *Pattern Recognition* (2024), 110692.
- [72] Wang-Cheng Kang and Julian McAuley. 2018. Self-attentive sequential recommendation. In *2018 IEEE international conference on data mining (ICDM)*. IEEE, 197–206.
- [73] Amirhossein Kazerouni, Ehsan Khodapanah Aghdam, Moein Heidari, Reza Azad, Mohsen Fayyaz, Ilker Hacihaliloglu, and Dorit Merhof. 2023. Diffusion models in medical imaging: A comprehensive survey. *Medical Image Analysis* 88 (2023), 102846.
- [74] Scott Kirkpatrick, C Daniel Gelatt Jr, and Mario P Vecchi. 1983. Optimization by simulated annealing. *science* 220, 4598 (1983), 671–680.
- [75] Akim Kotelnikov, Dmitry Baranchuk, Ivan Rubachev, and Artem Babenko. 2023. Tabddpm: Modelling tabular data with diffusion models. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 17564–17579.
- [76] Chaejeong Lee, Jeongwhan Choi, Hyowon Wi, Sung-Bae Cho, and Noseong Park. 2024. Stochastic Sampling for Contrastive Views and Hard Negative Samples in Graph-based Collaborative Filtering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.00287* (2024).
- [77] Hanbyul Lee and Junghyun Kim. 2024. EDiffuRec: An Enhanced Diffusion Model for Sequential Recommendation. *Mathematics* 12, 12 (2024), 1795.
- [78] Qingfeng Li, Huifang Ma, Wangyu Jin, Yugang Ji, and Zhixin Li. 2024. Multi-Interest Network with Simple Diffusion for Multi-Behavior Sequential Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 2024 SIAM International Conference on Data Mining (SDM)*. SIAM, 734–742.
- [79] Wuchao Li, Rui Huang, Haijun Zhao, Chi Liu, Kai Zheng, Qi Liu, Na Mou, Guorui Zhou, Defu Lian, Yang Song, et al. 2024. DimeRec: A Unified Framework for Enhanced Sequential Recommendation via Generative Diffusion Models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.12153* (2024).
- [80] Xiang Li, John Thickstun, Ishaan Gulrajani, Percy S Liang, and Tatsunori B Hashimoto. 2022. Diffusion-lm improves controllable text generation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 35 (2022), 4328–4343.
- [81] Zihao Li, Aixin Sun, and Chenliang Li. 2023. Diffurec: A diffusion model for sequential recommendation. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems* 42, 3 (2023), 1–28.

- [82] Zongwei Li, Lianghao Xia, and Chao Huang. 2024. Recdiff: diffusion model for social recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 33rd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*. 1346–1355.
- [83] Derek Lilienthal, Paul Mello, Magdalini Eirinaki, and Stas Tiomkin. 2024. Multi-Resolution Diffusion for Privacy-Sensitive Recommender Systems. *IEEE Access* (2024).
- [84] Jianghao Lin, Jiaqi Liu, Jiachen Zhu, Yunjia Xi, Chengkai Liu, Yangtian Zhang, Yong Yu, and Weinan Zhang. 2024. A Survey on Diffusion Models for Recommender Systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.05033* (2024).
- [85] Xiao Lin, Xiaokai Chen, Chenyang Wang, Hantao Shu, Linfeng Song, Biao Li, and Peng Jiang. 2024. Discrete conditional diffusion for reranking in recommendation. In *Companion Proceedings of the ACM on Web Conference 2024*. 161–169.
- [86] Haochen Liu, Da Tang, Ji Yang, Xiangyu Zhao, Hui Liu, Jiliang Tang, and Youlong Cheng. 2022. Rating distribution calibration for selection bias mitigation in recommendations. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2022*. 2048–2057.
- [87] Qiang Liu, Jason Lee, and Michael Jordan. 2016. A kernelized Stein discrepancy for goodness-of-fit tests. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 276–284.
- [88] Qidong Liu, Fan Yan, Xiangyu Zhao, Zhaocheng Du, Huifeng Guo, Ruiming Tang, and Feng Tian. 2023. Diffusion augmentation for sequential recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*. 1576–1586.
- [89] Qijiong Liu, Jieming Zhu, Yanting Yang, Quanyu Dai, Zhaocheng Du, Xiao-Ming Wu, Zhou Zhao, Rui Zhang, and Zhenhua Dong. 2024. Multimodal pretraining, adaptation, and generation for recommendation: A survey. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. 6566–6576.
- [90] Shuo Liu, An Zhang, Guoqing Hu, Hong Qian, and Tat-seng Chua. 2024. Preference Diffusion for Recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.13117* (2024).
- [91] Xin Liu, Yong Liu, Karl Aberer, and Chunyan Miao. 2013. Personalized point-of-interest recommendation by mining users’ preference transition. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM international conference on Information & Knowledge Management*. 733–738.
- [92] Xiaohao Liu, Zhulin Tao, Ting Jiang, He Chang, Yunshan Ma, and Xianglin Huang. 2024. ToDA: Target-oriented Diffusion Attacker against Recommendation System. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.12578* (2024).
- [93] Jing Long, Guanhua Ye, Tong Chen, Yang Wang, Meng Wang, and Hongzhi Yin. 2024. Diffusion-based cloud-edge-device collaborative learning for next POI recommendations. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. 2026–2036.
- [94] Justin Lovelace, Varsha Kishore, Chao Wan, Eliot Shekhtman, and Kilian Q Weinberger. 2024. Latent diffusion for language generation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 36 (2024).
- [95] Cheng Lu, Yuhao Zhou, Fan Bao, Jianfei Chen, Chongxuan Li, and Jun Zhu. 2022. Dpm-solver++: Fast solver for guided sampling of diffusion probabilistic models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.01095* (2022).
- [96] Zhi Lu, Yang Hu, Yunchao Jiang, Yan Chen, and Bing Zeng. 2019. Learning Binary Code for Personalized Fashion Recommendation. In *CVPR*.
- [97] Haokai Ma, Ruobing Xie, Lei Meng, Xin Chen, Xu Zhang, Leyu Lin, and Zhanhui Kang. 2024. Plug-in diffusion model for sequential recommendation. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 38. 8886–8894.
- [98] Haokai Ma, Ruobing Xie, Lei Meng, Yimeng Yang, Xingwu Sun, and Zhanhui Kang. 2024. SeedRec: Sememe-based Diffusion for Sequential Recommendation. In *Proceedings of IJCAI*. 1–9.
- [99] Haokai Ma, Yimeng Yang, Lei Meng, Ruobing Xie, and Xiangxu Meng. 2024. Multimodal Conditioned Diffusion Model for Recommendation. In *Companion Proceedings of the ACM on Web Conference 2024*. 1733–1740.
- [100] Bodhisattwa Prasad Majumder, Shuyang Li, Jianmo Ni, and Julian McAuley. 2019. Generating Personalized Recipes from Historical User Preferences. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, Kentaro Inui, Jing Jiang, Vincent Ng, and Xiaojun Wan (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, Hong Kong, China, 5976–5982. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1613>
- [101] Daniele Malatesta, Giacomo Medda, Erasmo Purificato, Ludovico Boratto, Fragkiskos D Malliaros, Mirko Marras, and Ernesto William De Luca. 2024. How Fair is Your Diffusion Recommender Model? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.04339* (2024).
- [102] Masoud Mansoury, Himan Abdollahpouri, Mykola Pechenizkiy, Bamshad Mobasher, and Robin Burke. 2020. Feedback loop and bias amplification in recommender systems. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM international conference on information & knowledge management*. 2145–2148.
- [103] Masoud Mansoury, Bamshad Mobasher, and Herke van Hoof. 2024. Mitigating exposure bias in online learning to rank recommendation: A novel reward model for cascading bandits. In *Proceedings of the 33rd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*. 1638–1648.

- [104] Julian McAuley and Jure Leskovec. 2013. Hidden factors and hidden topics: understanding rating dimensions with review text. In *Proceedings of the 7th ACM conference on Recommender systems*. 165–172.
- [105] Julian McAuley, Christopher Targett, Qinfeng Shi, and Anton Van Den Hengel. 2015. Image-based recommendations on styles and substitutes. In *Proceedings of the 38th international ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in information retrieval*. 43–52.
- [106] Luke Metz, Ben Poole, David Pfau, and Jascha Sohl-Dickstein. 2017. Unrolled Generative Adversarial Networks. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [107] Shakir Mohamed and Balaji Lakshminarayanan. 2016. Learning in implicit generative models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.03483* (2016).
- [108] Radford M Neal. 2001. Annealed importance sampling. *Statistics and computing* 11 (2001), 125–139.
- [109] Thanh Toan Nguyen, Nguyen Quoc Viet hung, Thanh Tam Nguyen, Thanh Trung Huynh, Thanh Thi Nguyen, Matthias Weidlich, and Hongzhi Yin. 2024. Manipulating recommender systems: A survey of poisoning attacks and countermeasures. *Comput. Surveys* 57, 1 (2024), 1–39.
- [110] Jianmo Ni, Jiacheng Li, and Julian McAuley. 2019. Justifying recommendations using distantly-labeled reviews and fine-grained aspects. In *Proceedings of the 2019 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing and the 9th international joint conference on natural language processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*. 188–197.
- [111] Alexander Quinn Nichol and Prafulla Dhariwal. 2021. Improved denoising diffusion probabilistic models. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 8162–8171.
- [112] Yong Niu, Xing Xing, Zhichun Jia, Ruidi Liu, and Mindong Xin. 2025. Implicit local-global feature extraction for diffusion sequence recommendation. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 139 (2025), 109471.
- [113] Yong Niu, Xing Xing, Zhichun Jia, Ruidi Liu, Mindong Xin, and Jianfu Cui. 2024. Diffusion Recommendation with Implicit Sequence Influence. In *Companion Proceedings of the ACM on Web Conference 2024*. 1719–1725.
- [114] Apurva Pathak, Kshitiz Gupta, and Julian McAuley. 2017. Generating and personalizing bundle recommendations on steam. In *Proceedings of the 40th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 1073–1076.
- [115] Utkarsh Priyam, Hemit Shah, and Edoardo Botta. 2024. EDGE-Rec: Efficient and Data-Guided Edge Diffusion For Recommender Systems Graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.14689* (2024).
- [116] Yifang Qin, Hongjun Wu, Wei Ju, Xiao Luo, and Ming Zhang. 2023. A diffusion model for poi recommendation. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems* 42, 2 (2023), 1–27.
- [117] Rafael Rafailov, Archit Sharma, Eric Mitchell, Christopher D Manning, Stefano Ermon, and Chelsea Finn. 2024. Direct preference optimization: Your language model is secretly a reward model. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 36 (2024).
- [118] Aditya Ramesh, Mikhail Pavlov, Gabriel Goh, Scott Gray, Chelsea Voss, Alec Radford, Mark Chen, and Ilya Sutskever. 2021. Zero-shot text-to-image generation. In *International conference on machine learning*. Pmlr, 8821–8831.
- [119] Steffen Rendle, Christoph Freudenthaler, Zeno Gantner, and Lars Schmidt-Thieme. 2009. BPR: Bayesian personalized ranking from implicit feedback. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*. 452–461.
- [120] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. 2022. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 10684–10695.
- [121] Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. 2015. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *Medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention—MICCAI 2015: 18th international conference, Munich, Germany, October 5–9, 2015, proceedings, part III* 18. Springer, 234–241.
- [122] Nataniel Ruiz, Yuanzhen Li, Varun Jampani, Yael Pritch, Michael Rubinstein, and Kfir Aberman. 2023. Dreambooth: Fine tuning text-to-image diffusion models for subject-driven generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 22500–22510.
- [123] Tim Salimans, Ian Goodfellow, Wojciech Zaremba, Vicki Cheung, Alec Radford, and Xi Chen. 2016. Improved techniques for training gans. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 29 (2016).
- [124] Tim Salimans and Jonathan Ho. 2022. Progressive Distillation for Fast Sampling of Diffusion Models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [125] Chenglei Shen, Jiahao Zhao, Xiao Zhang, Weijie Yu, Ming He, and Jianping Fan. 2024. Generating Model Parameters for Controlling: Parameter Diffusion for Controllable Multi-Task Recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.10639* (2024).
- [126] Yifei Shen, Yongji Wu, Yao Zhang, Caihua Shan, Jun Zhang, B Khaled Letaief, and Dongsheng Li. 2021. How powerful is graph convolution for recommendation?. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM international conference on information & knowledge management*. 1619–1629.

- [127] Veronika Shilova, Ludovic Dos Santos, Flavian Vasile, Gaëtan Racic, and Ugo Tanielian. 2023. AdBooster: Personalized Ad Creative Generation using Stable Diffusion Outpainting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.11507* (2023).
- [128] Mingdan Si and Qingshan Li. 2020. Shilling attacks against collaborative recommender systems: a review. *Artificial Intelligence Review* 53 (2020), 291–319.
- [129] Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surya Ganguli. 2015. Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2256–2265.
- [130] Nasim Sonboli, Jessie J Smith, Florencia Cabral Berenfus, Robin Burke, and Casey Fiesler. 2021. Fairness and transparency in recommendation: The users’ perspective. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM Conference on User Modeling, Adaptation and Personalization*. 274–279.
- [131] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. 2021. Denoising Diffusion Implicit Models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [132] Yang Song and Stefano Ermon. 2019. Generative modeling by estimating gradients of the data distribution. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 32 (2019).
- [133] Yang Song, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Diederik P Kingma, Abhishek Kumar, Stefano Ermon, and Ben Poole. 2021. Score-Based Generative Modeling through Stochastic Differential Equations. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [134] Zhu Sun, Kaidong Feng, Jie Yang, Hui Fang, Xinghua Qu, Yew-Soon Ong, and Wenyuan Liu. 2024. Revisiting Bundle Recommendation for Intent-aware Product Bundling. *ACM Transactions on Recommender Systems* (2024).
- [135] Zehua Sun, Yonghui Xu, Yong Liu, Wei He, Lanju Kong, Fangzhao Wu, Yali Jiang, and Lizhen Cui. 2024. A survey on federated recommendation systems. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* (2024).
- [136] Zhu Sun, Jie Yang, Kaidong Feng, Hui Fang, Xinghua Qu, and Yew Soon Ong. 2022. Revisiting Bundle Recommendation: Datasets, Tasks, Challenges and Opportunities for Intent-Aware Product Bundling. In *Proceedings of the 45th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*.
- [137] Jiliang Tang, Huiji Gao, and Huan Liu. 2012. mTrust: Discerning multi-faceted trust in a connected world. In *Proceedings of the fifth ACM international conference on Web search and data mining*. 93–102.
- [138] Fatih Cihan Taskin, Ilknur Akcay, Muhammed Pesen, Said Aldemir, Ipek Iraz Esin, and Furkan Durmus. 2024. Effects of Using Synthetic Data on Deep Recommender Models’ Performance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.18286* (2024).
- [139] Federico Tomasi, Francesco Fabbri, Mounia Lalmas, and Zhenwen Dai. 2024. Diffusion Model for Slate Recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.06883* (2024).
- [140] A Vaswani. 2017. Attention is all you need. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (2017).
- [141] Joojo Walker, Ting Zhong, Fengli Zhang, Qiang Gao, and Fan Zhou. 2022. Recommendation via collaborative diffusion generative model. In *International Conference on Knowledge Science, Engineering and Management*. Springer, 593–605.
- [142] Mengting Wan and Julian McAuley. 2018. Item recommendation on monotonic behavior chains. In *Proceedings of the 12th ACM conference on recommender systems*. 86–94.
- [143] Shoujin Wang, Longbing Cao, Yan Wang, Quan Z Sheng, Mehmet A Orgun, and Defu Lian. 2021. A survey on session-based recommender systems. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)* 54, 7 (2021), 1–38.
- [144] Shiyao Wang, Qi Liu, Tiezheng Ge, Defu Lian, and Zhiqiang Zhang. 2021. A hybrid bandit model with visual priors for creative ranking in display advertising. In *Proceedings of the web conference 2021*. 2324–2334.
- [145] Shoujin Wang, Xiuzhen Zhang, Yan Wang, and Francesco Ricci. 2024. Trustworthy recommender systems. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology* 15, 4 (2024), 1–20.
- [146] Weidong Wang, Yan Tang, and Kun Tian. 2024. LeadRec: Towards Personalized Sequential Recommendation via Guided Diffusion. In *International Conference on Intelligent Computing*. Springer, 3–15.
- [147] Wenjie Wang, Yiyan Xu, Fuli Feng, Xinyu Lin, Xiangnan He, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2023. Diffusion recommender model. In *Proceedings of the 46th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 832–841.
- [148] Yuhao Wang, Ziru Liu, Yichao Wang, Xiangyu Zhao, Bo Chen, Huifeng Guo, and Ruiming Tang. 2024. Diff-MSR: A Diffusion Model Enhanced Paradigm for Cold-Start Multi-Scenario Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*. 779–787.
- [149] Yu Wang, Zhiwei Liu, Liangwei Yang, and Philip S Yu. 2024. Conditional denoising diffusion for sequential recommendation. In *Pacific-Asia Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. Springer, 156–169.
- [150] Yifan Wang, Weizhi Ma, Min Zhang, Yiqun Liu, and Shaoping Ma. 2023. A survey on the fairness of recommender systems. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems* 41, 3 (2023), 1–43.
- [151] Ziwei Wang, Jun Zeng, Lin Zhong, Ling Liu, Min Gao, and Junhao Wen. 2024. DSDRec: Next POI recommendation using deep semantic extraction and diffusion model. *Information Sciences* (2024), 121004.
- [152] Fangzhao Wu, Ying Qiao, Jiun-Hung Chen, Chuhan Wu, Tao Qi, Jianxun Lian, Danyang Liu, Xing Xie, Jianfeng Gao, Winnie Wu, et al. 2020. Mind: A large-scale dataset for news recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 58th annual meeting of the association for computational linguistics*. 3597–3606.

- [153] Likang Wu, Zhi Zheng, Zhaopeng Qiu, Hao Wang, Hongchao Gu, Tingjia Shen, Chuan Qin, Chen Zhu, Hengshu Zhu, Qi Liu, et al. 2024. A survey on large language models for recommendation. *World Wide Web* 27, 5 (2024), 60.
- [154] Shiwen Wu, Fei Sun, Wentao Zhang, Xu Xie, and Bin Cui. 2022. Graph neural networks in recommender systems: a survey. *Comput. Surveys* 55, 5 (2022), 1–37.
- [155] Tengqing Wu. 2024. A Diffusion Data Enhancement Retentive Model for Sequential Recommendation. In *2024 7th International Conference on Computer Information Science and Application Technology (CISAT)*. IEEE, 114–118.
- [156] Zihao Wu, Xin Wang, Hong Chen, Kaidong Li, Yi Han, Lifeng Sun, and Wenwu Zhu. 2023. Diff4rec: Sequential recommendation with curriculum-scheduled diffusion augmentation. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*. 9329–9335.
- [157] Wenjia Xie, Hao Wang, Luankang Zhang, Rui Zhou, Defu Lian, and Enhong Chen. 2024. Breaking Determinism: Fuzzy Modeling of Sequential Recommendation Using Discrete State Space Diffusion Model. In *The Thirty-eighth Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- [158] Wenjia Xie, Rui Zhou, Hao Wang, Tingjia Shen, and Enhong Chen. 2024. Bridging User Dynamics: Transforming Sequential Recommendations with Schrödinger Bridge and Diffusion Models. In *Proceedings of the 33rd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*. 2618–2628.
- [159] Xu Xie, Fei Sun, Zhaoyang Liu, Shiwen Wu, Jinyang Gao, Jiandong Zhang, Bolin Ding, and Bin Cui. 2022. Contrastive learning for sequential recommendation. In *2022 IEEE 38th international conference on data engineering (ICDE)*. IEEE, 1259–1273.
- [160] Zhen Xing, Qijun Feng, Haoran Chen, Qi Dai, Han Hu, Hang Xu, Zuxuan Wu, and Yu-Gang Jiang. 2023. A survey on video diffusion models. *Comput. Surveys* (2023).
- [161] Yiyang Xu, Wenjie Wang, Fuli Feng, Yunshan Ma, Jizhi Zhang, and Xiangnan He. 2024. Diffusion Models for Generative Outfit Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 47th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 1350–1359.
- [162] Yuner Xuan. 2024. Diffusion Cross-domain Recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.02182* (2024).
- [163] Dingqi Yang, Daqing Zhang, Longbiao Chen, and Bingqing Qu. 2015. Nantotelescope: Monitoring and visualizing large-scale collective behavior in lbsns. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications* 55 (2015), 170–180.
- [164] Dingqi Yang, Daqing Zhang, and Bingqing Qu. 2016. Participatory cultural mapping based on collective behavior data in location-based social networks. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST)* 7, 3 (2016), 1–23.
- [165] Hao Yang, Jianxin Yuan, Shuai Yang, Linhe Xu, Shuo Yuan, and Yifan Zeng. 2024. A New Creative Generation Pipeline for Click-Through Rate with Stable Diffusion Model. In *Companion Proceedings of the ACM on Web Conference 2024*. 180–189.
- [166] Ling Yang, Zhilong Zhang, Yang Song, Shenda Hong, Runsheng Xu, Yue Zhao, Wentao Zhang, Bin Cui, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. 2023. Diffusion models: A comprehensive survey of methods and applications. *Comput. Surveys* 56, 4 (2023), 1–39.
- [167] Zhengyi Yang, Jiancan Wu, Zhicai Wang, Xiang Wang, Yancheng Yuan, and Xiangnan He. 2024. Generate what you prefer: Reshaping sequential recommendation via guided diffusion. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 36 (2024).
- [168] Zixuan Yi, Xi Wang, and Iadh Ounis. 2024. A Directional Diffusion Graph Transformer for Recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.03326* (2024).
- [169] Fisher Yu and Vladlen Koltun. 2016. Multi-Scale Context Aggregation by Dilated Convolutions. In *4th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2016, San Juan, Puerto Rico, May 2-4, 2016, Conference Track Proceedings*, Yoshua Bengio and Yann LeCun (Eds.). <http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.07122>
- [170] Fisher Yu, Vladlen Koltun, and Thomas Funkhouser. 2017. Dilated residual networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 472–480.
- [171] Junliang Yu, Hongzhi Yin, Xin Xia, Tong Chen, Jundong Li, and Zi Huang. 2023. Self-supervised learning for recommender systems: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* 36, 1 (2023), 335–355.
- [172] Penghang Yu, Zhiyi Tan, Guanming Lu, and Bing-Kun Bao. 2023. LD4MRec: Simplifying and Powering Diffusion Model for Multimedia Recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.15363* (2023).
- [173] Wei Yuan, Shilong Yuan, Chaoqun Yang, Nguyen Quoc Viet hung, and Hongzhi Yin. 2023. Manipulating Visually Aware Federated Recommender Systems and Its Countermeasures. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems* 42, 3 (2023), 1–26.
- [174] XiuBo Zang, HongBin Xia, and Yuan Liu. 2025. Diffusion social augmentation for social recommendation. *The Journal of Supercomputing* 81, 1 (2025), 208.
- [175] Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. 2023. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*. 3836–3847.

- [176] Yuyu Zhang, Liang Pang, Lei Shi, and Bin Wang. 2014. Large scale purchase prediction with historical user actions on B2C online retail platform. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1408.6515* (2014).
- [177] Chu Zhao, Enneng Yang, Yuliang Liang, Pengxiang Lan, Yuting Liu, Jianzhe Zhao, Guibing Guo, and Xingwei Wang. 2024. Graph Representation Learning via Causal Diffusion for Out-of-Distribution Recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.00490* (2024).
- [178] Jujia Zhao, Wang Wenjie, Yiyan Xu, Teng Sun, Fuli Feng, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2024. Denoising diffusion recommender model. In *Proceedings of the 47th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 1370–1379.
- [179] Zihuai Zhao, Wenqi Fan, Jiatong Li, Yunqing Liu, Xiaowei Mei, Yiqi Wang, Zhen Wen, Fei Wang, Xiangyu Zhao, Jiliang Tang, et al. 2024. Recommender systems in the era of large language models (llms). *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* (2024).
- [180] Wenliang Zhong, Rong Jin, Cheng Yang, Xiaowei Yan, Qi Zhang, and Qiang Li. 2015. Stock constrained recommendation in tmall. In *Proceedings of the 21th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. 2287–2296.
- [181] Feng Zhu, Chaochao Chen, Yan Wang, Guanfeng Liu, and Xiaolin Zheng. 2019. Dtcd: A framework for dual-target cross-domain recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM international conference on information and knowledge management*. 1533–1542.
- [182] Yunqin Zhu, Chao Wang, Qi Zhang, and Hui Xiong. 2024. Graph Signal Diffusion Model for Collaborative Filtering. In *Proceedings of the 47th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 1380–1390.
- [183] Jiankai Zuo and Yaying Zhang. 2024. Diff-DGMN: A Diffusion-Based Dual Graph Multi-Attention Network for POI Recommendation. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal* (2024).