# Searching for substellar companion candidates with Gaia.

## III. Search for companions to members of young associations

A.-M. Lagrange<sup>1,2</sup>, F. Kiefer<sup>1</sup>, P. Rubini<sup>3</sup>, V. Squicciarini<sup>1,4</sup>, A. Chomez<sup>1,2</sup>, J. Milli<sup>2</sup>, A. Zurlo<sup>5,6</sup>, J. Bouvier<sup>2</sup>, P. Delorme<sup>2</sup>, H. Beust<sup>2</sup>, J. Mazoyer<sup>1</sup>, O. Flasseur<sup>7</sup>, N. Meunier<sup>2</sup>, L. Mignon<sup>2</sup>, G. Chauvin<sup>8</sup>, and P. Palma-Bifani<sup>8,1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> LIRA, CNRS, Observatoire de Paris, Université PSL, 92190 Meudon, France\*
- <sup>2</sup> Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IPAG, F-38000 Grenoble, France
- <sup>3</sup> Pixyl, 5 Avenue du Grand Sablon, 38700 La Tronche, France
- <sup>4</sup> INAF Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Vicolo dell'Osservatorio 5, I-35122, Padova, Italy
- <sup>5</sup> Instituto de Estudios Astrofísicos, Facultad de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Universidad Diego Portales, Av. Ejército Libertador 441, Santiago, Chile
- <sup>6</sup> Millennium Nucleus on Young Exoplanets and their Moons (YEMS), Chile
- <sup>7</sup> Univ. Lyon, Univ. Lyon1, ENS de Lyon, CNRS, Centre de Recherche Astrophysique de Lyon (CRAL) UMR5574, F-69230 Saint-Genis-Laval, France
- <sup>8</sup> Université Côte d'Azur, OCA, CNRS, Lagrange, 96 Bd de l'Observatoire, 06300 Nice, France

Received ; accepted

#### ABSTRACT

*Context.* Absolute astrometry with Gaia is expected to detect and characterize the orbits of thousands of exoplanets in the coming years. A tool, GaiaPMEX, was recently developed to characterize multiple systems based on two binarity indicators derived from the DR3 astrometric solution: the astrometric signature  $\alpha_{ruwe}$  in linear motion residuals in Gaia-only data, and, when the sources were also observed by Hipparcos, the astrometric signature  $\alpha_{PMa}$  in the Gaia-Hipparcos proper motion anomaly (PMa).

*Aims.* Our aim is to identify close (less than typ. 20 au) (sub)stellar companion candidates to star members of close-by young associations previously monitored in radial velocity (RV) surveys. We wish to compare the detection capabilities of absolute astrometry and spectroscopy, and to characterize planetary-mass companions, combining the astrometric data with direct imaging and RV data.

*Methods.* We use GaiaPMEX to identify binary stars members of close young associations and constrain the mass and semi-major axes (sma) of possible companions. For companion masses possibly in the planetary range, we use direct imaging and when possible, RV data as well, to further constrain their nature and orbital properties.

*Results.* For each of our targets, we provide a diagnosis on its binarity based on absolute astrometry. When no binary is detected, GaiaPMEX provides detection limits in the (sma, mass) space. We identify several companions with possible masses down to the brown dwarfs (BD; 50+) or planetary masses (13). Around the M-type star G80-21, we detected a new companion orbiting at less than 1-2 au. Adding RV and high contrast imaging data shows this companion is a giant planet. In other two cases, AB Pic and HD 14082 B, we confirm the presence of substellar companions, and determine the first robust solutions for their mass and orbital properties. We further identified 9 potentially interesting candidates for planetary mass companions, which remain to be studied. Finally, a detailed treatment of noises in Gaia astrometric measurements shows that there are no evidence at a  $2-\sigma$  level of two exoplanet detections that were previously announced based on the same set of data.

*Conclusions.* Our approach allows to detect all stellar mass companions with sma in the range 0.1-10 au. For separations below 0.1 au, however, spectroscopy outperforms absolute astrometry. Combining GaiaPMEX and RV data is therefore perfectly adapted for a full exploration of the 0.01-10 au sma range when searching for stellar companions, and increases the expected rate of detections derived from RV surveys. Moreover, in the 0.5 to 5 au domain, GaiaPMEX has an excellent sensitivity to BDs, and a good sensitivity to planetary mass planers as well for this sample.

Key words. exoplanets detection ; astrometry ; radial velocities

### 1. Introduction

Planet formation is one of the most challenging questions in today's astronomy. From an observational point of view, the search for low-mass companions (planets, BDs) of stars has driven a lot of efforts in the last decades, to contain statistical information on planets' properties or to characterize exoplanets individually. In both cases, the results are compared to model outputs: population synthesis outputs for surveys, and interiors or atmospheric models for individual objects, which in turn allow further constraining the models.

The conditions in which planetary systems form are key information that motivates, in particular, studies of stellar multiplicity. Indeed, binarity or multiplicity plays a major role in the formation and evolution of planetary systems through protoplanetary disk truncation and shape, disk lifetime, star-planet interactions, etc. The identification and characterization of multiple systems in star-forming regions or in young stellar associations have then motivated many observational efforts for decades. We refer to Offner et al. (2023) for a recent review.

<sup>\*</sup> Please send any request to anne-marie.lagrange@obspm.fr

So far, most estimates of stellar binary occurrence rates for small semi-major axis ( $\leq 1$ -10 au) have been obtained using ground based spectroscopic surveys (see results in Offner et al. 2023). However, such surveys are biased towards nearly equal mass binaries (companion-to-star mass ratio – hereafter, q close to 1), towards periods less than a few years, and towards inclined systems, as the "projected" radial velocity (RV) amplitude, and therefore the survey sensitivity, increases as  $\sin i$ , with *i* the inclination of the companion's orbital momentum with respect to the line of sight. Interferometric surveys can also detect close binaries (see ref. in Offner et al. 2023). They are also biased towards a q-ratio close to 1. High contrast imaging allows identifying companion stars with a low q-ratio, typically at separations down to 10-20 au (depending on the q-ratio). Absolute astrometry with Gaia is well suited to identify and characterize stellar multiplicity in the intermediate region (1-10 au), down to brown dwarfs companions (small q-ratio), as well as planetary mass companions (Perryman et al. 2014; Sahlmann et al. 2015; Holl et al. 2022; Gaia Collaboration et al. 2023a; Holl et al. 2023).<sup>1</sup>

Using proper motion anomalies (PMa) estimated from both Gaia and Hipparcos (hereafter PMa; see Kervella et al. 2019a; Brandt 2021a; Kervella et al. 2022) data has allowed to identify previously unknown substellar companions. Gaia data, on its own, provide information that allows both identifying companions that the PMa alone cannot detect, and lifting degeneracies in the solutions found using the PMa only. Recently, we developed GaiaExplore, a tool designed to identify binaries by analyzing the observed excess astrometric Gaia signal. Additionally, it leverages available data on PMa from both Gaia and Hipparcos data. Complementing GaiaExplore is GaiaPMEX, that allows to constrain the candidate companion mass and sma axis using the same information. GaiaPMEX is described in Kiefer et al. (2024a) (hereafter K24). It has been used already to identify planetary companion candidates around solar-type stars in Kiefer et al. (2024b).

In this paper, we use GaiaExplore and GaiaPMEX to search for companions to star members of young and close-by associations that were already surveyed in RV. Such associations have been studied in detail in the last decades as they are ideal sites to study planetary systems formation and early evolution. The young age of these associations allows us to make the best use of direct imaging to search for planetary mass companions at separations typically larger than 10 au. We identify new stellar binary systems, compare the respective sensitivities of GaiaPMEX and spectroscopic surveys, and identify possible BD and planetary mass candidates. We further vet the planetary mass candidates.

We present our approach in Section 2. We analyze the output of GaiaPMEX in Section 3, and present the results related to binarity in Section 4. We analyze the 13 systems identified with possible planetary mass companions based on Gaia and PMa data in Section 5, and further characterize three "Gaia" planets in Sections 6.2 and 6.3. Grounded on our method, we discuss in Sect. 7 the status of some candidate planets around stars from the present survey, recently detected from PMa measurements. A brief conclusion is provided in Section 8.

**Table 1.** Young Moving Groups (YMG) considered in the present study, with approximate ages and distances (*d*).

	YMG	Age	d	$N_{bf}$	N	ref.
-		Myr	pc			
	ECH	~5	100	36	17	(1),(1),(2)
	TWA	$10 \pm 3$	50	67	17	(3),(1),(4)
	BPC	$24 \pm 3$	50	~200	42	(3),(1),(5)
	THA	$45 \pm 4$	50	142	76	(3),(6),(7)
	COL	$42^{+6}_{-4}$	50	50	43	(3),(6),(3)
	OCT	$35 \pm 5$	130	48	16	(8),(6),(8)
	ARG	$45 \pm 5$	70	40	30	(3),(9),(3)
	ABDor	$150^{+50}_{-19}$	30	89	46	(3),(6),(3)

**Notes.**  $N_{bf}$  indicates the number of bona-fide members of these groups, and *N* indicates the number of stars found in ZF21. References, respectively, for distance, age and  $N_{bf}$  are provided as well. (1): Kastner & Principe (2022), (2): Dickson-Vandervelde et al. (2021), (3): Bell et al. (2015), (4): Luhman (2023), (5): Shkolnik et al. (2017), (6): Gagné et al. (2018), (7): Kraus et al. (2014), (8): Murphy & Lawson (2015), (9): Zuckerman (2019).

#### 2. Approach

#### 2.1. Sample

We first considered the sample gathered by Zúñiga-Fernández et al. (2021) (hereafter ZF21) for their census of spectroscopic binary systems among close associations and moving groups (MG, see Table 1):  $\beta$  Pictoris (BPC), Octans (OCT),  $\epsilon$  Chamaeleontis (ECH), TW Hya (TWA), Argus (ARG), AB Doradus (ABDor), Columba (COL) and Tucana-Horologium (THA). Their primary membership assessment relies on the SACY approach, described in Torres et al. (2006) and in Torres et al. (2008). They also use the BANYAN  $\Sigma$  classification<sup>2</sup> (hereafter BANYAN) based on Gaia DR2 (Gagné et al. 2018). The SACY and BANYAN classifications do not always agree; in particular, 60 targets have a BANYAN-based membership but no SACY-based membership.<sup>3</sup> ZF21 considered both classifications in their analysis, and so did we. An additional criterion in their selection process was that at least one spectrum or one radial velocity (either coming from ground-based surveys or from Gaia DR2) with an uncertainty of less than 3 km/s had to be available. This ensured a first data point to their spectroscopic survey, aiming at identifying companions.

The initial ZF21 list contains 410 targets. Many targets are, however, not members of one of the above-mentioned associations, according to either SACY or BANYAN classification. We do not consider them in the present study, and we keep only the 328 members of these associations according to SACY or BANYAN. They are listed in Table B.1<sup>4</sup>. For each star, we provide the SACY and/or BANYAN parent association, together with relevant information.

The moving group (MG) ages range between 3 Myr and more than 100 Myr. Each MG contains between 16 and 75 members for the SACY classification and between 12 and 58 targets

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Gaia spectroscopy also revealed very close companions with periods in the 1-1000d (see ref. above), but no statistical results have been derived yet.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://github.com/jgagneastro/banyan\_sigma

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Whether these conclusions would be changed once using Gaia DR3 instead of Gaia DR2 for the BANYAN classification is certainly to be tested, but is beyond the scope of this paper, as it would not allow us to compare our results with theirs any longer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Table B.1 is only available in electronic form at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr

for the BANYAN classification. Most of the stars have masses (as provided by ZF21) between 0.7 and 1.2  $M_{\odot}$  (median 0.9  $M_{\odot}$ ) and distances as estimated by ZF21 between 10 and 200 pc (median 67 pc), see Figure 1<sup>5</sup>. Finally, we note that, due to the selection process adopted by ZF21, the present sample gathers only a fraction of the known members of the considered associations.



Fig. 1. Histogram of the sample stars masses and distances.

#### 2.2. Absolute astrometry analysis

GaiaPMEX (for Gaia DR3 Proper Motion anomaly and astrometric noise EXcess) uses the Gaia DR3 database to characterize the properties of companions around any star that was observed with Gaia (K24). Starting from the Renormalised Unit Weight Error (ruwe), it calculates the astrometric signature  $\alpha_{ruwe}$ , that is the angular wandering of the photocenter beyond proper motion, parallax and noise<sup>6</sup>. When available, it also calculates the astrometric signature  $\alpha_{PMa}$ , that is the part of proper motion anomaly (PMa) in excess of the expected PMa of a single star with astrometric noise. The PMa is taken from Kervella et al. (2022). Both astrometric information may provide constraints on a possible companion orbiting the target star. A large number of astrometric models of ruwe - and PMa if relevant - are calculated at each node of a grid of mass and sma of a hypothetical companion. At any of those nodes, the companion and orbital parameters, as well as parallax and stellar mass, are taken randomly, following the prior distributions shown in Table 2. The resulting distributions of ruwe - and PMa - are then used to calculate the likelihood of the corresponding observed data given the model - i.e. mass and sma of the companion. Through Bayesian inversion, this likelihood is used to calculate the confidence region of the companion's mass and sma given the data. When the PMa is available, we also combine its constraints with those from the ruwe, leading to narrower confidence regions on mass and sma.

Moreover, comparing the observed value of  $\alpha_{ruwe}$  – and  $\alpha_{PMa}$  – to the distributions they should follow if a star were single, led us to define the significance of these data. Formally, we calculate the significance of  $\alpha_{ruwe}$  or  $\alpha_{PMa}$  from their *p*-value in the distributions based on the null hypothesis of the star being single. We translate this *p*-value into an *N*- $\sigma$  significance level by using the normal law, such that *p*=0.046 is equivalent to a 2- $\sigma$  significance. In the following, we will note the significance as *N*- $\sigma_{ruwe}$  and *N*- $\sigma_{PMa}$  for the various related quantities, and we will use the 2- $\sigma$  significance as the thresholds for identification of binaries.

Note that a similar analysis can be made using the AEN instead of the RUWE. In exceptional cases where we use the AEN, the astrometric signature relative to the AEN will be noted  $\alpha_{AEN}$ . Similarly, we will note the significance as  $N-\sigma_{AEN}$  when relative to the AEN.

Table	2.	Distribution	of	parameters	sampled	at	each	tested	bin	of	the
mass-s	sma	a grid.									

parameter	type	bounds or law
$\log M_c omp$	uniform	$\log M_c \pm \Delta \log M_c$
log sma	uniform	$\log \operatorname{sma} \pm \Delta \log \operatorname{sma}$
е	uniform	0-0.9
ω	uniform	$0-\pi$
Ω	uniform	$0-2\pi$
$\phi$	uniform	0–1
$I_c$	uniform or sin <i>i</i>	$0 - \pi/2$
$\overline{\sigma}$	normal	$\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{PLX}, \sigma_{\mathrm{PLX}}^2)$
$M_{\star}$	normal	$\mathcal{N}(M_{\star}, \sigma^2_{M_{\star}})$

In addition to GaiaPMEX, which aims at characterizing the mass and sma of the binaries, we also built GaiaExplore, that just aims at quickly identifying sources with a significance beyond the 2– $\sigma$  threshold adopted above. This allows splitting split the faster detection phase and the slower characterization phase of our analysis. For any list of sources, it searches online archives to gather Simbad, Gaia DR3, and, if available, Hipparcos-2 data (van Leeuwen 2007) and PMa data from (Kervella et al. 2022). Then it calculates, as explained above, the astrometric signature from the RUWE and its significance, as well as, when Hipparcos-2 data are available, the significance of the PMa. We note that a 5-parameters fit is necessary on a given source's astrometry in the Gaia DR3 (astrometric\_params\_solved = 31 or 95, see Z24). For some sources, only a 2-parameters (sky RA and DEC coordinates) fit solution is released in the Gaia DR3. In such cases, GaiaPMEX cannot be used, since it requires that parallax and proper motion be also fitted.

#### 2.3. Limitations of GaiaPMEX

One of the most stringent limitations of GaiaPMEX is the source magnitude, as the calibration and AL-scan measurement noises were only determined for sources with G<16. This is nonetheless with a weak impact on studies, such as this work, based on RV surveys that are strictly limited to *V*-mag < 15.

For the sources with G < 16, the determination of calibration and AL-scan measurements noises are certainly only approximative, assuming constancy of noise levels over time, and cannot model systematic non-Gaussian wanderings of astrometric signals, if any, beyond single Keplerian motion. We thus assume that "in average" any source behaves well over time, that is within the typical behavior of single sources of the same category in *G* and Bp - Rp magnitudes, which consists in calibration noise and AL-scan measurement error.

Although this tool can apply, within the above-mentioned limitations, to most sources, the interpretation of the AEN, ruwe and PMa and their respective GaiaPMEX curves, is limited by the possible presence of additional unmodeled signals, beyond single Keplerian orbit, including for instance stellar activity, disk accretion, and multiplicity – as discussed in Section 2.4 below – as well as blended PSF due to visual binary companions or background sources. The presence of a binary stellar companion with a separation typically 200 mas or more (up to 1000 mas) may impact the Gaia PSF, depending on the brightness ratio between the two components (Holl

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Note that CD-621197, classified an ARG member according to SACY (p = 0.8), and as a field star according to BANYAN, has a distance of more than 400 pc; it is probably an outlier.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Noise levels in the data are estimated for any source of a given *G*,  $B_p - R_p$ , ra and dec, from a statistical study of the whole Gaia database of sources with *G*<16. This is thoroughly demonstrated in K24.

et al. 2023). In such a case, the (sma, mass) solutions found by GaiaPMEX can be impacted, and should be taken with care. In practice, the *IPD\_frac\_multi\_peak* (hereafter Frac) and *IPD\_gof\_harmonic\_amplitude* (hereafter GoF) are two useful indicators of such a situation determined by the Image parameter determination (IPD) of Gaia. The first one indicates that multiple peaks are occasionally detected in the PSF and removed from the aperture window, and the second one measures the amplitude of the goodness-of-fit variations when fitting the PSF by a single source model, as a function of the position angle of the scan direction. Note, however, that multiple peaks can both be due to background stars, or physical companions, and thus is not a strong indicator multiplicity.

#### 2.4. Note on the origin of the GaiaPMEX signal

In our approach, we implicitly assume that  $N - \sigma_{ruwe} \ge 2$  or  $N - \sigma_{PMa} \ge 2$  indicates the presence of one companion. The rationale for assuming a single companion instead of several is that such rudimentary astrometric data, basically two points – ruwe and PMa – cannot, on its own, disentangle signals from different companions. Assuming that both the Gaia astrometric excess and PMa are due to a single companion is an additional hypothesis, also taken for the sake of parsimony.

Finally, we identified three other effects, besides a companion, that could a priori produce an astrometric signal: stellar activity, and in the case of young systems, accretion and disks. They are discussed below.

#### 2.4.1. Spots

Low-mass to solar-type stars show various types of activity (see e.g. Meunier 2021, for a review) that can, a priori, induce shifts in the star's photocenter. This is the case, in particular, for dark spots and bright faculae. The astrometric jitter (AJ, defined as the rms of the induced shifts of the photocenter) expected from the Sun seen at 10 pc is less than 0.1 µas for spots only (Lagrange et al. 2011). This translates into an astrometric jitter of 0.04 µas for a Sun-twin at 50 pc. For such a star, the relation between the RV semi-amplitude (RVSA) and the astrometric jitter (AJ) was found to be:  $AJ(µas) = 0.2 \times RVSA$  (m/s). For close-by FGK-type stars, simulations yield astrometric jitters less than 0.5 µas (Meunier & Lagrange 2022). Such values are orders of magnitudes smaller than the values (typ. 0.1 mas) associated to binaries.

The activity of young stars is, however, much more intense than the Sun's one, as reflected by their  $\log(R'HK)$ , down to -4.2 to be compared to that of the Sun, which varies between -4.93 and -5.03 (Hall et al. 2007). It is dominated by a smaller amount of dark spots or bright structures with sizes larger than those of their older counterparts (Berdyugina & Järvinen 2005; Lockwood et al. 2007). The temperature difference between the structures and the star's surface is up to a few hundred of degrees. In the case of the nearby (9.7 pc) M1-type star AU Mic, known for decades to show intense magnetic activity clearly seen in photometry and in spectroscopy (Lannier et al. 2017; Zicher et al. 2022; Mignon et al. 2023), the amplitude of these long-term photometric variations is of the order of 5%. These variations are best reproduced by one dominating, long-lived structure that in-

A rough estimate of the impact of spots/faculae on the astrometric jitter can be made as follows. We assume a single, large and fully dark spot that produces a flux variation of 5 % of the star flux (as in the extreme case of AU Mic). Note that multiple structures spread over the star longitudes would decrease the astrometric jitter. The maximum shift of the photocenter is obtained when the spot is located at the edge of the stellar disk and is  $\simeq 0.05 \times R_*$ . We computed the induced photometric shift for all our targets, taking into account their estimated radius, their distance, and assuming such a spot. To estimate the stellar radii, we employed the MADYS tool (Squicciarini & Bonavita 2022) for stellar parameter determination based on isochrone fitting: the conversion between observed Gaia DR3 (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2023b) and 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006) photometry and stellar parameter was mediated by PARSEC isochrones (Nguyen et al. 2022) with solar metallicity, under the assumption of moving groups' ages.

For all targets, the induced shifts are found to lead to  $\alpha_{ruwe}$ and  $\alpha_{PMa}$  significances much smaller than the thresholds used for companion detection: indeed, the median value of the induced shifts is about 0.003 mas, while all  $\alpha_{ruwe}$  are larger than 0.05 mas. Hence, we conclude that the jitters due to stellar activity are negligible in the context of the present study.

#### 2.4.2. Accretion and circumstellar extinction

In the case of very young (a few Myr) stars, the photocenter shifts can have other origins than a companion. This is potentially the case when stars are still accreting material from their disks. For classical T Tauri members of Taurus, Lupus, or Chameleon, the accretion luminosity may be as high as the star luminosity itself (Alcalá et al. 2021; Gangi et al. 2022). The accretion luminosity is dominated by the accretion spot at the stellar surface but regions within au from the stars may also contribute to this luminosity. Also, scattered light from dust in the non homogeneous disk, variable in time, can also contribute to the photometric budget. In such cases, attributing the astrometric variations to a companion may then be incorrect.

Episodic extinction by the inner disk (dippers, see e.g. McGinnis et al. 2015) may also occur in the case of accreting stars seen with a high inclination (greater than typically 55 degrees). Such effects cannot be estimated without a dedicated modeling of the systems. Hence, in the case of accreting stars, the Gaia signal cannot be straightforwardly attributed only to companions.

Most of the stars considered in the present paper are older than 10 Myr, i.e. much older than classical T Tauri stars. Yet, some members of the youngest associations, eps Cha and TWA do show signs of accretion or of being still embedded in massive disks.

With an age of 3-5 Myr, eps Cha is the youngest nearby moving group in our sample. Three members of Eps Cha in our our sample are actually known to be surrounded by accretion disks: DX Cha (HD 104237A), a Herbig AeBe star which forms, together with the T Tauri-type companion HD 104237B a close binary system, surrounded by a CO-rich circumbinary disk Hales et al. (2014), and two CTT stars, MP Mus (PDS 66, CPD-681894) and T Cha (Kastner et al. 2010; Sacco

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Note that we do not consider the impact of the flares that produce additional, high amplitude photometric variations because they are spurious and very short-lived.

et al. 2014). We will therefore not consider these targets in our study. Six do not show IR excesses indicative of accretion disks (Dickson-Vandervelde et al. 2021). Among the remaining ones, RXJ 10053-7749, and [K2001c] 17 are reported as weak-line T Tauri stars (WTTs) by Wahhaj et al. (2010); we therefore do not expect accretion to be active for these stars.

None of our TWA targets, except TWA 3A, belongs to the list of the 14 confirmed or putative TWA members showing evidence of dense circumstellar material from mid-infrared excess in Spitzer/IRAC or WISE bands (Venuti et al. 2019). We furthermore note that for those 14 accretors, the accretion luminosity is 100 to 1000 times lower than stellar luminosity. Hence, we can assume that the astrometric signal will probably not be impacted by accretion for our TWA targets, but the results have to be taken with caution because of this risk.

For the remaining stars, we collected AllWISE (Cutri et al. 2021) photometry to look for evidence of infrared excess. Assuming ages corresponding to their respective moving group, we computed expected AllWISE magnitudes based on PARSEC isochrones, and then compared the synthetic G - Wi,  $\forall i \in [1, 4]$ colors with the observed ones. Whereas the above-mentioned T Cha and DX Cha show a significant  $(\Delta(G - Wi) > 2)$  IR excess in all bands, other stars only show infrared disks in W3 and W4 bands, indicative of a more evolved disk: in addition to the already mentioned TWA 3A(TWA according to SACY; ? according to Banyan), to this list belong TW Hya (TWA), DZ Cha (ECHA), HD 98800 (TWA), HD 319139 (BPC) and CPD-68 1894 (ECHA according to SACY; LCC according to BANYAN classifications). For the sake of precaution, in the following, we will not consider these stars for the GaiaPMEX analysis, except HD 319139 given its older age.

#### 3. GaiaPMEX overall results

#### 3.1. GaiaPMEX maps

Figure 2 shows examples of GaiaPMEX results on five stars members of the BPC according to SACY and BANYAN classifications, when using our ruwe analysis (left column), when using the PMa only (middle column), and when combining both constraints from ruwe and PMa (right column). Five different cases are considered: no detection of companions (AU Mic, 1st row), detection of a less-than-3-au-sma companion, which, on the basis of the present astrometric data only, can be either a star or a BD (HD 3221, 2nd row), detection of a very-well-constrainedsma companion (HD 139084, 3rd row), detection of a "large"sma (larger than typically 2-3 au) companion which, on the basis of the present astrometric data only, can be either a star or a BD (PZ Tel, 4th row), and detection of a large sma companion which, on the basis of the present astrometric data only, can be either a star, or a BD, or a planet (AF Lep, 5th row). Note that the companion of HD 3221 is actually known to be a star, thanks to RV data (Vogt et al. 2015) and that HD 139084 is classified as a SB1 spectroscopic binary in ZF21. Also, PZ Tel has a BD companion directly imaged (Biller et al. 2010; Mugrauer et al. 2010); its dynamical mass and sma parameters found by ? are compatible with the GaiaPMEX solutions. AF Lep has a planetary mass companion also directly imaged with high contrast imaging (Mesa et al. 2023a; De Rosa et al. 2023; Franson et al. 2023), and the mass and sma found for this planet are compatible with GaiaPMEX solutions.

As expected, when a companion is detected, the (mass, sma) solutions are, in most cases, degenerate when considering ruwe only or PMa only. Combining the constraints from ruwe and

PMa significantly reduces the (sma, mass) solution ranges in case of detection, and improves the detection limits in case of non-detection. In very few cases like HD 139084, the (sma, mass) domain is very well constrained with ruwe and PMa constraints. Most of the time, though, the (mass, sma) domain of the companion is still degenerate, and additional data such as RV or high contrast imaging data are needed to fully constrain the companions' mass and orbital properties. This was the case for PZ Tel and AF Lep (see above).

When a companion is detected, we can distinguish different types of degeneracies, as described in K24:

- the solutions are on both parts of the V-shape locus, with possible sma ranging from a fraction of au to a several (sometimes dozens of) au. This may happen happens when Gaia data only are considered or when only PMa are considered or when they are both considered. Examples can be seen in Figure 2: HD 138084 (left) and PZ Tel or AF Lep (middle).
- all solutions are in the left part of the V-shape locus of solutions only, forming thus a L-shape. All have sma below typ. 1-3 au. The companion mass is often above 13 M<sub>Jup</sub>. This can happen only when both Gaia and Hipparcos data are available. An example can be seen in Figure 2: HD 3221 (right).
- all solutions are located in the right part of the V-shape locus of solutions. All have sma greater than typ. 1-3 au. Depending on the stars, the sma can extend to dozens of au. The companion mass can be as low as 2-3 M<sub>Jup</sub>. This can happen only when both ruwe and PMa are available. Examples can be seen in Figure 2: HD 138084, PZ Tel, or AF Lep (right).

#### 3.2. GaiaPMEX sensitivity

When no companion is detected, the (mass, sma) maps (either based from the ruwe analysis or PMa only or considering both constraints from ruwe and PMa) obtained provide valuable detection limits. An example can be seen in Fig. 2 in the case of AU Mic, and other examples are provided in subsequent figures. The sensitivity domain corresponds to the white/clear upper parts of the maps on the left column (ruwe analysis only) or on the middle (PMa analysis only) on the right column (ruwe + PMa). These areas give the (sma, mass) of companions that can be excluded as they would have been detected if present around the stars. Of course, the sensitivity domain depends on the star's properties (distance and mass, magnitudes, and colors).

At a given distance, the sensitivity domain increases with decreasing star mass. The effect is, yet, rather limited for most of our targets, given the limited range of masses considered in the present analysis (see Figure 1). This is illustrated in Figure 3 where we show the maps obtained for CD-351167 (0.7  $M_{\odot}$ ) and HD 13246 (1.2  $M_{\odot}$ ), both members of THA (according to both classifications), and with similar parallaxes ( $\approx 22$  mas).

For a star with a given mass, the sensitivity domain increases with decreasing distance. This is illustrated in Figure 4 where we show the obtained maps for HD 23208 (0.9 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>,  $\pi = 17.6$  mas), TYC 7066-1037-1 (0.8 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>,  $\pi = 7.4$  mas), two members of OCT according to both SACY and BANYAN classifications, and HD 105 (1.1 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>,  $\pi = 25$  mas) and HD 47875 (1.1 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>,  $p\pi = 14$  mas), two members of THA according to both SACY and BANYAN.

From the inspection of all the GaiaPMEX maps obtained, we conclude that the survey is sensitive to all stellar mass companions in the  $\simeq 0.1$  to  $\simeq 10$  au range for all but 6 (resp. 2, 2, 1, 5, 5, 1, 0) stars members of COL (resp. THA, BPC, OCT, ABD, ARG, TWA, ECH). The sensitivity to BDs is also remarkably good in the  $\simeq 1$  to  $\simeq 3$  au range.

For sma below 0.1 au, and above 10-20 au, the sensitivity is, as expected, limited. The  $\leq 0.1$  au domain can be well explored by spectroscopy, while the  $\geq 10$  au domain can be well covered by high contrast imaging, for stellar and massive BDs companions. Finally, this survey is also sensitive to planetarymass companions, as detailed in Section 5.

#### 4. Astrometric binaries

#### 4.1. GaiaPMEX detections (all masses)

Table C.1<sup>8</sup> provides the binary status found for each target of our sample. For each target, we indicate its N- $\sigma_{ruwe}$  and N- $\sigma_{PMa}$ . As mentioned above, a binary status is attributed when one of these parameters is larger than 2. For comparison purposes, we also indicate the conclusions on their binary status based on spectroscopic diagnostics using ZF21, as well as other indicators of binarity from the literature: WDS flag, S B9 flag, and NSS flag (see Appendix C). We also provide the Frac given by Gaia. In case of a 5 or 6 parameters fit, Frac greater than typically 20-30 indicates a stellar pair (companion or background) with a projected separation of about 400-1000 mas (Holl et al. 2023). In case of a 2-parameter solution, a Frac greater than typically 20 indicates a stellar pair with a projected separation of about 200 mas, while a Frac greater of 30-60 indicates a stellar pair with a projected separation of about 200-400 mas. Finally, a Frac of about 0 associated with a GoF greater than 0.2 is indicative of a galaxy (such a situation is not present in our sample) or a bright binary companion with separation <200 mas. The GoF is below 0.2 for all our targets except two targets, CD-431395 and HD161460, which have also high Frac, and have 3 parameterssolved so no GaiaPMEX computation. Therefore, we do not report the individual values of this indicator. We finally flag, for information, the targets for which companions were detected in direct imaging by Bonavita et al. (2022).

Table C.1 also reports the sma at mimimum mass value, and the maximum masses of the GaiaPMEX solutions for each target. The minimum masses vary significantly (between stellar masses to planetary masses) from one target to the other. The maximum sma is often smaller than about 20 au, but may in some cases be as high as to a few dozen of au.

In the SACY classification, 126 targets are found to be binaries. A similar number is found in the BANYAN classification. When removing the two youngest associations, eps Cha and TWA according to SACY classification, GaiaPMEX finds 60 binaries based on the ruwe only, 13 on PMa only, and 10 on ruwe + PMa. Note we also find 4 targets: CD-471999 (OCT), HD 55279 (COL), VXRPSPC16a (ARG), and HD217379A (ABDor) with  $N-\sigma_{ruwe}$  lower than but close to 2 (1.8, 1.7, 1.8, 1.7, respectively), and  $N-\sigma_{AEN}$  (see K24) greater than 2. We consider them as interesting candidates.

Finally, Table 3 summarizes the number of targets for these associations, as well as the number of binaries found using the ruwe only, PMa only, and ruwe or PMa. These numbers are given for both the SACY and the BANYAN classifications. We note that most detections are with N- $\sigma_{ruwe}$  or N- $\sigma_{PMa}$ > 3. In addition, we note that many of the targets detected with N- $\sigma_{ruwe}$  or N- $\sigma_{PMa}$  between 2 and 3, are known as binaries based on other criteria.

 Table 3. Summary of detections and associated binary rates. SACY classification.

	THA	OCT	COL	BPC	ARG	ABDor
Sample	76	16	43	42	30	46
$N-\sigma_{ruwe} \ge 2$	20	8	8	14	6	15
$2 \leq N - \sigma_{ruwe} \leq 3$	5	0	1 (1 known)	5 (4 known)	2	1
$N - \sigma_{PMa} \ge 2$	5	1	4	6	0	7
$2 \leq N - \sigma_{ruwe} \leq 3$	2 (2 known)	0	0	0	0	0
Astrom. bin. (total)	22	9	12	18	6	17
$2 \leq N \text{-} \sigma \leq 3$	6 (3 known)	1	1 (1 known)	5 (4 known)	2	1
Spec. bin. ZF21	13	2	1	11	1	4
Spec. bin. ZF21 only	6	0	1	4	1	2
Binary (total)	28	9	13	22	7	19
Astrom. bin. rate	$29 \pm 6$		28 ±8	43 ±10		37 ±9
Binary rate	$37 \pm 6$		$30 \pm 8$	$52 \pm 10$		$41 \pm 9$
Nbr Planets/light BD			$1^a$	$3^b$		$1^c$

**Notes.** Note that binaries and binary rates include stars, BD, and planets. 1st row: number of astrometric binaries with  $N \cdot \sigma_{ruwe} \ge 2$ ; 2nd row: number of astrometric binaries with  $2 \le N \cdot \sigma_{ruwe} \le 3$ ; 3rd row: number of astrometric binaries with  $N \cdot \sigma_{PMa} \ge 2$ ; 4th row : number of astrometric binaries with  $2 \le N \cdot \sigma_{PMa} \le 3$ ; 5th row: total number of astrom. binaries (this work); 6th row: number of astrom. binaries with  $2 \le N \cdot \sigma \le 3$ . In parenthesis, the number of already known binaries, independently of the astrometric data. The following lines provide respectively the number of SB or SB? listed by ZF21, the number of spec. binaries not found as astrometric binaries among the spectroscopic binaries listed in the previous row, the rate of astrom. binaries, the rate of astrom+spec. binaries, and the number of known planets/light BDs among the sample. (a): AB Pic, (b) : PZ Tel, AF Lep, HD 14082B b, (c) G80-21.

#### 4.2. Comparison with ZF21 survey

ZF21 lists 0 binaries in OCT (among 15 targets)<sup>9</sup>, 8 binaries in BPC (40 targets), 10 in THA (75 targets), 0 in OCT, 0 in ARG (29 targets), 1 in COL (44 targets), and 3 in AB Dor (40 targets). The 22 binaries are flagged as SB or SB1, SB2, SB?, SB1?, SB2?, SB3 when classifications can be applied, or as ? when no conclusion was drawn from their analysis. These numbers can be compared to the numbers of binaries with sma unambiguously less than 10 au found by GaiaPMEX: 6, 7, 6, 3, 7, and 5 in the same associations (total of 28) (Table 3). Hence, GaiaPMEX finds more binaries (28) with sma unambiguously less than 10 au than ZF21 (22). Note that additional binaries identified by GaiaPMEX with sma up to a few dozens of au could actually have their sma in this range as well. The larger sensitivity to binary can be explained by the fact that GaiaPMEX is sensitive to binaries with larger sma, and with lower mass ratio than spectroscopy. Also, spectroscopic detection relies on favorable inclinations of the companion orbital plane, while absolute astrometry does not.

Fourteen of the stars identified by ZF21 as binaries or possible binaries are not found as binaries by GaiaPMEX given our detection criteria using ruwe or PMa. One of them, HD 217379A, reported as an SB3 by ZF21 on the basis of Elliott et al. (2014) results, and characterized by Tokovinin (2016) is just below the  $2-\sigma_{RUWE}$  detection limit and just above the  $2-\sigma_{AEN}$  one. We discuss these thirteen stars below, and show in Fig. 5 their GaiaPMEX maps, when available.

HD 86356 (THA) is reported as an SB2 by ZF21. It was classified as a THA member by Torres et al. (2006) but was later classified as a PMS member of Chameleon (Lopez Martí et al. 2013), and then newly rediscovered as a THA member (Elliott et al. 2016). An SB2? status was tentatively proposed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Table C.1 is only available in electronic form at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr

 $<sup>^9\,</sup>$  HD 155177 is flagged as a SB2 on the basis of three RV by ZF21, though.

by James et al. (2006) on the basis of CCF analysis, but according to these authors, new data were needed for confirmation. Yet, James et al. (2016) did not confirm its SB2 status. No new data were available to ZF21. The Frac is 0. GaiaPMEX excludes all stellar companions with sma in the range of 0.2 to 4 au, and all BDs in with sma in the range of 1 to 2 au. Depending on their masses, stellar or BD companions can also be excluded outside these boundaries (see Fig. 5). We conclude that the binary status of this star is not assessed.

- CD -42 3328 (THA) is reported as an SB2 by ZF21, but no RV could be found in their paper or in the literature to assess this classification. The Frac is 0. GaiaPMEX excludes all stellar companions with sma in the range 0.2 to 3 au, and all BDs in with sma in the range 1 to 3 au. Depending on their masses, stellar or BD companions can also be excluded outside these boundaries (see Fig. 5). We conclude that the binary status of this star is not assessed.
- HD 13183 (THA) is mentioned as a SB1 by ZF21 but not reported in their Table 3. This target was flagged as an SB1 by Cutispoto et al. (2002) on the basis of RV variations. Yet, ZF21 do not confirm the RV variations but find an asymmetrical CCF profile. Note that it is classified as a WDS with a large separation (700+ as), but the wide companion cannot explain a SB1 status. The Frac is 0. GaiaPMEX excludes all stellar companions with sma in the range 0.1 to more than 10 au, and all BDs in with sma in the range 1 to 10 au. Depending on their masses, stellar or BD companions can also be excluded outside these boundaries (see Fig. 5). Notably, no companion around this star is reported in Gratton et al. (2024). We conclude that the binary status of this star is not assessed.
- HD 22213 (THA) is flagged as SB1 by ZF21 on the basis of 2 UVES RV at 8 and 14 km/s, while the target is a relatively fast rotator (v sin i about 41 km/s). A visual binary (0.9 and 0.5 M<sub>☉</sub>) with a projected separation of 1.7" (≈ 85 au) was reported by Hagelberg et al. (2020). The Frac is low. GaiaPMEX is not sensitive to this visual binary. GaiaPMEX excludes all stellar companions with sma in the range 0.1 to 4 au, and all BDs in with sma in the range 1 to 2 au. Depending on their masses, stellar or BD companions can also be excluded outside these boundaries (see Fig. 5).
- HD 32195 (THA) is classified as an SB? by ZF21, but it has a high v sin i, more than 45 km/s. UVES data reveal variations with 1 km/s amplitude. The Frac is 0. This star was reported as a PMa binary by Kervella et al. (2019b), but GaiaPMEX does not confirm this status. GaiaPMEX excludes all stellar companions with sma in the range 0.3 to more than 10 au, and all BDs in with sma in the range 2 to 10 au. Depending on their masses, stellar or BD companions can also be excluded outside these boundaries (see Fig. 5). No companion around this star is reported in Gratton et al. (2024). We conclude that the binary status of this star is not assessed.
- HD 17250 (THA) is classified as a SB by ZF21. Three UVES data separated by 5 and 14 days show variations of 0.3 and 0.5 km/s but it has a high vsin(i) of 42 km/s. SOPHIE data also show variations on days timescales which can be compatible either with a companion or with pulsations. It is classified as a less than 2" (120 au) binary in the WDS catalogue. Tokovinin & Horch (2016) report a SB for this star member of a quadruple system but without references. Frac is 0. GaiaPMEX excludes all stellar companions with sma in the range of 0.3 to more than 10 au, and all BDs in with sma in the range of 2 to 4 au. Additional stellar or BD companions

can be excluded from these boundaries, depending on their masses (see Fig.5). Additional data are needed to assess the SB status.

- HD 36329 (COL) is classified by Torres et al. (2006) as an SB2. Frac is 0. GaiaPMEX excludes all stellar companions with sma in the range 0.3 to more than 10 au, and all BDs in with sma in the range 2 to 4 au. Depending on their masses, stellar or BD companions can also be excluded outside these boundaries (see Fig. 5). It is classified as a non single star by Gaia.
- HD 161460 (BPC) is reported as a SB2 (Torres et al. 2006). HD 161460 is a SB2 (KOIV + K1IV), observed only twice, one near conjunction and in the other spectrum the lines are not very well resolved, but both Li lines are strong. An almost equal mass ( $q \approx 0.9$ ) stellar companion at ~ 8 au is reported in Gratton et al. (2024). GaiaPMEX cannot find a solution because the Gaia DR3 archive only reports a 2-parameters fit (astrometric\_params\_solved=3). Frac is 35, indicating a possible pair. The absence of a 5-parameters fit solution in the DR3 leads to suspect a possibly unidentified issue with the 5-parameters fit previously performed in the DR2.
- HD 191089 is classified as SB1? by ZF21. Yet, our HARPS data recorded over more than 1000 days do not reveal any hint of binarity and show rather pulsations (Grandjean et al. 2020). It is classified as a visual binary in the WDS catalog, but all companions are unbound. Frac is 0. GaiaPMEX excludes all stellar companions with sma in the range 0.3 to more than 10 au, and all BDs in with sma in the range 1.5 to 6 au. Depending on their masses, stellar or BD companions can also be excluded outside these boundaries (see Fig.5). Furthermore, no companion is reported in the analysis by Gratton et al. (2024).
- CD -27 11535 is classified as an SB1 by ZF21 and was recently found as a member of a very close resolved system by Thomas et al. (2023). The latter, however, cannot reconcile the orbital fit results and the luminosity constraints based on the Gaia DR3 data, maybe due to a discrepant parallax measurement from Gaia attributed by the authors to variability, or to the presence of an additional unresolved companion to one of the two components. No companion around this star is reported in Gratton et al. (2024). GaiaPMEX cannot find a solution because the Gaia DR3 archive only reports a 2parameters fit (astrometric\_params\_solved=3). Frac is 19, indicating possibly a possible pair. The absence of a 5parameters fit solution in the DR3 leads to suspect a possibly unidentified issue with the 5-parameters fit previously performed in the DR2. It is thus likely that the DR2 reported an anomalous parallax.
- V\* V1005 Ori (BPC) is an M-type star classified as a SB by ZF21, from the publication of Elliott et al. (2014) based on "literature RV". However, ZF21 do not confirm RV variations with their data. Our HARPS data, spread over 3000 days, do reveal RV variations (amplitude 300 m/s), but also significant (100 m/s) bissector variations indicative of stellar activity, well correlated with the RV variations, indicative of stellar activity. They do not show direct indication of a stellar binary. V\* V1005 Ori is classified as a very wide visual binary, a fact that cannot account for an SB status. Frac is 0. GaiaPMEX excludes all stellar companions with sma in the range 0.03 to more than 10 au, and all BDs in with sma in the range 0.2 to more than 10 au. Depending on their masses, stellar or BD companions can also be excluded outside these boundaries (see Fig. 5). Such limits leave very little room for

a close stellar companion, except at very short separations, but there are today no evidence for such a companion in the available RV data.

- V\*V379 Vel (ARG) is classified as a SB? by ZF21 on the basis of two epochs available with two instruments, and a variation of 3.2 km/s. Its v sin i is about 14 km/s. Frac is 0. GaiaPMEX excludes most stellar companions with sma between 0.1 and 8 au, and most BD companions with sma between 0.5 and 3 au as well. GaiaPMEX excludes all stellar companions with sma in the range 0.3 to 4 au, and all BD in with sma in the range 1.5 to 2 au. Depending on their masses, stellar or BD companions can also be excluded outside these boundaries (see Fig. 5). Additional data are needed to assess the SB status.
- V\*PX Vir (AB Dor) is classified as a SB1 by ZF21, and mentioned as a single line binary characterized by Griffin (2010) with a period of 216 d. Recently, both components were characterized using RV, absolute and relative astrometry, revealing a mass ratio of about 0.5 (Wang et al. 2023). Frac is 3. GaiaPMEX cannot find a solution because the Gaia DR3 archive only reports a 2-parameters fit (astrometric\_params\_solved=3).

In summary, among the 14 SB reported by ZF21 in BPC, THA, OCT, ARG, COL and ABDor which are not found by GaiaPMEX as binaries based on the ruwe or PMa criteria, eight need to be confirmed as spectroscopic binaries. In three cases, GaiaPMEX failed at finding solutions, but two have high Frac, possible indicating a pair, and one has a Frac of 3. One is detected using the AEN excess. For the remaining cases, the nondetection by Gaia indicates that the companions must orbit closer than 0.1-0.3 au.

Globally, we conclude that GaiaPMEX is very efficient at identifying stellar binaries for non-accreting stars members of young and close associations in the range of about 0.1 to 10-20 au. Moreover, it is yet only partly sensitive to very close binaries (sma less than typically 0.1 au), which consequently represents the sweet spot region for spectroscopic detection of binaries in the Gaia context. For separations greater than 10-20 au, high contrast imaging is best suited to the detection of binaries down to BD masses for these young systems. This demonstrates the complementarity of the various detection methods.

#### 4.3. Binary rates

Determining accurate binary rates is beyond the scope of this paper, because the number of targets considered for each association is small and the sample is probably biased due to selection effects (stars suitable for RV measurements), and because, as seen above, there are for a number of sources doubts about their classifications among the different associations. Furthermore, computing binary rates corrected from completeness effects requires taking several assumptions on the distribution of the *q*-ratio, from very low values (planets) to 1 (equal-mass binaries) and on the radial distribution of the binaries that are not justified (these are information that we would actually like to know). We note that such assumptions were taken by ZF21 to estimate their corrected binary rates and because of the associated uncertainties, they caution about the obtained corrected rates.

Nonetheless, we provide hereafter very tentative estimates of *observed* binary rates for BPC ( $\simeq 24$  Myr, see Table 1), COL ( $\simeq 42$  Myr), THA ( $\simeq 45$  Myr), and AB Dor (120-200 Myr), which have more than 40 targets in the ZF21 sample. Using the numbers reported in Table 3, we compute the binary rates

as Ndet/Ntotal, where Ndet is the number of binaries detected with Gaia (either from the ruwe and/or PMa). We do not correct Ndet from any false positive (FP) effect related to the detections with N- $\sigma_{ruwe}$  or N- $\sigma_{PMa}$  between 2 and 3, because they are very few (see Table 3), because among them, several are known as binaries from other technics, and also because in a second step, we also consider the spectroscopic detections, for which we do not know the FP. The uncertainties were computed as sqrt(Ndet)/Ntotal. We obtained rates of astrometric binaries of  $43 \pm 10$ ,  $28 \pm 8$ ,  $29 \pm 6$  and  $37 \pm 9$ % for BPC, COL, THA, and AB Dor, respectively.

In a second step, we added the number of astrometric binaries found with GaiaPMEX and the number of spectroscopic binaries (SB or SB?) that were not already identified as astrometric binaries. We assume thus that when an SB is reported and a GaiaPMEX companion is found, they correspond to the same companion (which may actually not be always the case, and may reduce the actual number of binaries). We obtained rates of  $52 \pm 11$ ,  $30 \pm 8$ ,  $37 \pm 6$  and  $41 \pm 9$ %. We see that in most cases, the rates are of about 30-40%, except for BPC, for which the rate is higher. We note, however, that the BPC hosts three planetary mass companions, that are included in the estimation, and contribute to the estimated rate.

Finally, considering the BANYAN classification leads to rates of  $41 \pm 10$ ,  $37 \pm 10$ ,  $28 \pm 8$ , and  $43 \pm 9$ % for BPC, COL, THA, and AB Dor, respectively. When adding the spectrosopic binaries, we get rates of  $51 \pm 10$ ,  $40 \pm 10$ ,  $39 \pm 8$ ,  $43 \pm 9$ %. This highlights the importance of securing the members of these associations.

#### 5. Vetting planetary candidate companions

#### 5.1. Planetary candidate companions derived from ruwe and from combining ruwe and PMa

Among the binaries identified in all associations but TWA and ECH, GaiaPMEX, based on either ruwe or PMa astrometric signatures, as well as combining ruwe + PMa, identified 13 targets with companions with masses possibly in the planetary regime. Two companions have sma less than  $\approx 1$  au, and the others have sma greater than  $\approx 1$  au. We show their GaiaPMEX solutions in Figure 6, and describe them below.

- HD 3221 (HIP 2729, THA SACY, THA BAN; ? in ZF21) is a K4 star, reported both as a binary with a proj. sep. of 20 mas from high contrast imaging (Bonavita et al. 2022), and an RV binary (Grandjean et al. 2020). The 20 mas separation is consistent with the Frac of 0 and the very low GoF. All GaiaPMEX solutions have sma less than 1 au (short period (SP) solutions). The solutions are degenerate with L-shaped solutions. Only the equal mass binary solutions are compatible with the observed projected separation (1 au), and the flux ratio of about 1 reported by (Bonavita et al. 2022).
- HD 40216 (HIP 28036, COL SACY, COL BAN; ? in ZF21) was classified as a binary by (Bonavita et al. 2022) based on data from the Spectro-Polarimetric High-contrast Exoplanet Research (SPHERE) instrument (Beuzit et al. 2019). It was previously detected by Galicher et al. (2016) and Song et al. (2003). The mass 0.12  $M_{\odot}$  and projected separation (110 au) are compatible with the low Frac and GoF, and with the Ga-iaPMEX solutions.
- HD 178085 (HIP 94235, AB Dor SACY, AB Dor BAN;
   ? in ZF21) was classified as binary thanks to NACO data (Chauvin et al. 2015). Interestingly, a short period (7.7 d)

mini Neptune was recently reported around this star by Zhou et al. (2022), who also further constrained the properties of the stellar companion. With an sma of about 56 au (about 0.9") and mass of about 0.26  $M_{\odot}$ , the companion may explain the observed Frac (68) and almost zero GoF. They are also compatible with characteristics are compatible with the GaiaPMEX solutions.

- HD 222259 (HIP 116748, DS Tuc, THA SACY, THA BAN; ? in ZF21) has a 8.1 d period giant planet detected by TESS (Newton et al. 2019) and a binary companion at 5" i.e. more than 100 au (Torres et al. 2006; Pearce et al. 2020). The sma and mass (about 175 au (3.8") and 0.8  $M_{\odot}$ , Pearce et al. 2020) of the binary companion, are consistent with the low Frac and GoF, since it must be outside Gaia's window aperture. They are also compatible with the GaiaPMEX solutions.
- CD -561032 (HIP 22738, AB Dor SACY, AB Dor BAN; SB1 in ZF21) is classified as a SB in SIMBAD. It is member of a binary system made of two M-type stars with q=0.7 and separated by about 90 au (8") (Tokovinin & Kiyaeva 2016; Winters et al. 2019). These values are consistent with the low Frac and GoF, as the binary is resolved by Gaia. They are also compatible with the GaiaPMEX solutions, even though these results should be taken with care given the angular separation and low constrast between both components (see Section 2).
- HD 53842 (HIP 32435, THA SACY, THA BAN; ? in ZF21) is reported as a member of a binary system, with a secondary M-dwarf at a projected separation of 82 au (1.3") by (Dahlqvist et al. 2022). These values are marginally compatible with the Frac value (6), given the binary separation and the window size. The GaiaPMEX solutions are in agreement with the properties of the companion.
- HD 41071 (HIP 28474, RT Pic, COL SACY, COL BAN; ? in ZF21) is an eclipsing binary (Malkov et al. 2006) with a not yet determined period. It has a known low mass stellar companion (Chauvin et al. 2010; Bonavita et al. 2022; Tokovinin et al. 2020) with a 0.7" projected separation and a 4.6 mag contrast (Tokovinin et al. 2020). Such values are consistent with the low Frac and GoF, and compatible with the GaiaP-MEX solutions.
- V\* PZ Tel (HIP 92680, BPC SACY, BPC BAN; SB? in ZF21) is known to host a BD which is well characterized through direct imaging and RV data. The most recent estimates using also the star PMa (Fra 2023) of its mass (27 M<sub>Jup</sub>) and sma (27 au, 0.6") fit well with the GaiaPMEX solutions. Note that the high contrast in magnitude explains the low Frac and GoF despite a separation of 0.6".
- V\* AF Lep (HIP 25486, BPC SACY, BPC BAN; ? in ZF21) has been recently shown to host a planet whose properties (Mesa et al. 2023b) are compatible with Gaia data. Note that the high contrast in magnitude explains the low Frac and GoF despite a separation of 0.4".
- HD 23208 (HIP 17338, OCT SACY, OCT BAN; ? in ZF21) is a close (DR3 parallax = 17.6 mas) G8V type star. It was identified to have a PMa by Kervella et al. (2019b) and Brandt (2021b). The low Frac and GoF do not suggest the presence of a close pair, in agreement with the GaiaPMEX results. Palomar observations rule out stellar companion beyond about 1" (56 au) (Metchev & Hillenbrand 2009). This leaves us with solutions most probably in the BD or planet domain. We further characterize the companion in the next section. We note that the smallest sma, down to 1-2 au, would require higher spatial resolution instruments on the ELT, while planetary mass companions at 10 au could be detected with VLT high contrast instruments.

- G80-21 (HIP 67659, AB Dor SACY, AB Dor BAN; ? in ZF21), HD 44627 (aka AB Pic or HIP 30034, COL SACY, CAR BANYAN, and HD 14082 B (HIP 10679, BPC SACY, BPC BAN) are found to have light companions. we discuss and characterize them in a dedicated section below.

In summary, among the 13 candidates for planetary-mass companions, 7 are in fact stellar companions, one is a well known and characterized BD, one is a well known and characterized planet. Another one, HD 23208 is known in this paper to have a sub-stellar companion orbiting below typically 60 au, which requires additional data for further characterization. The last three targets, G80-21, AB Pic and HD14082B are shown to have sub-stellar companions that we characterize and discuss in Section 6 thanks to high contrast imaging and RV data in addition to Gaia and PMa data.

#### 5.2. Planetary candidate companions derived from ruwe analysis only

GaiaPMEX, based on the ruwe astrometric signature only, finds 19 companions with masses ranging from the stellar regime to the planetary regime in these associations. We wish to vet, whenever possible, between stellar companions and planetary mass companions, using available data.

- HD 217379A (AB Dor, SACY), BD +30397B (BPC, SACY), BD -211074B (BPC, SACY), HD 139084B (BPC, SACY), HD 164249 B (BPC, SACY) are members of known close bound binary systems. Hence, no planets are needed to explain the Gaia results.
- 2MASS J10010873-7913074 (THA SACY, THA BAN) is also classified as a T Tauri, but is classified as a THA member by BANYAN. Its status needs further clarification. We note low values of Frac and GoF.
- HD 319139 (BPC SACY, BPC BAN) is reported as a binary in the WDS and as a SB2 by ZF21, and as a member of a K7+K2 system in SIMBAD. This can explain the Gaia results.
- TYC 8083-45-5 (THA SACY, THA BAN) is reported as a visual binary (sep. 1"; delta K = 1.2 in the near IR) by the WDS. The high Frac (50) and low GoF is consistent with a binary system. The Gaia data are compatible with a bound binary provided the orbit of the secondary is elliptical, but as seen in section 2, the GaiaPMEX results have to be taken with care when the Frac is high.
- CD -29 2531 (COL SACY, ČOL BAN). It is reported as a member of a visual binary system (0.8") in the WDS. Given the star distance (more than 100 pc), the visual companion, if gravitationally bound to the star, can account for the Gaia results only if orbiting on a very eccentric orbit.
- 2MASS J08500540-7554380 (THA SACY, LCC BAN) is reported as a T Tauri star in SIMBAD, and classified as a LCC member by BANYAN. If its T Tauri status is confirmed, the Gaia results should be taken with caution.
- BD -13 1328 (AB Dor BAN; ? SACY) is reported as a dubious member of a visual binary system with a 2" separation by the WDS, based on the Tycho Double Star catalog (additional DD list). It is also reported as a member of a bound binary system with a separation of 20". This remote companion cannot be responsible for Gaia results, as the maximum sma of the solution is less than 30 au. More observations are needed to further constrain the status of the dubious companion candidate reported in the WDS. Note that the Frac and GoF do not suggest the presence of a close stellar companion.

- EXO 02352-5216 (COL BAN, ? SACY) is not reported as a binary in the literature. The (sma, mass) solutions go well in the planetary mass regime and the maximum sma is 20 au. It is reported as a SB ? by ZF21. More observations are needed to clarify the SB status of this object.
- Barta 16112 (BPC SACY, ? BAN), LP 776-25 (AB Dor SACY, AB Dor BAN), HW Cet (AB Dor SACY, AB Dor BAN), HD 309851 (ARG SACY, ARG BAN), UCAC361-3820 (THA SACY, THA BAN), CD-292360 (ARG, BANYAN, ? SACY) are not reported as members of binary systems or spectroscopic binaries. HD 309851 is not reported as a binary by Gratton et al. (2024). At this stage, these targets are then promising candidates and deserve dedicated observations to further constrain the companions responsible for the Gaia signature. Note that all but CD -29 2360 have low Frac and GoF, while CD -29 2360 has a large Frac (64) and a low GoF, suggesting the possible presence of a stellar companion.
- RXJ 05200+0612 (THOR BAN, ? SACY) is reported to be a member of a bound binary system, with a very remote companion, which cannot account for the ruwe. This target is then also a promising candidate, and more observations are needed to further constrain its companion. Note that Frac and GoF are low.

In summary, among the 19 stars found as hosting a companion with possible mass in the planetary regime using ruwe only, eight are known binaries, and two need to be confirmed as non-accreting stars before further interpretation. Additional data are needed to further constrain the companions of the remaining nine stars.

# 6. Characterization of the low mass companions around G80-21, AB Pic and HD 14082B

6.1. G80-21

#### 6.1.1. A close sub-stellar companion to G80-21

G80-21 (HIP 17659, AB Dor SACY, AB Dor BAN; ? in ZF21) is classified as an eruptive variable,  $0.46M_{\odot}$ , M3-type star. Figure 7 shows the (sma, mass) solutions compatible with the ruwe only (Left), the PMa (Middle), and both quantities combined (Right). G80-21 shows a significant  $\alpha_{ruwe}$  with  $N-\sigma_{ruwe} = 3.5$ , and no significant PMa excess at<sup>10</sup>, indicative, like in the case of HD 3221, of a close (less than 1-3 au) companion. The low Frac and GoF also suggest either a very close pair, as for HD 3221, or a high contrast companion BD/planetary mass companion if below 1".

ZF21 do not report a SB status for G80-21 on the basis of a few UVES data spread over 100 days. The analysis of higher RV accuracy HARPS data (described in Appendix D) spread over about 110 days reveal 0.4 km/s peak to peak variations (compatible with the amplitude of the UVES data). These variations occur over a few days period of time, a timescale compatible with the estimated rotation period of 3.8 days for this young and active (log(R'HK) = -3.98 Shan et al. 2024) M-star. Hence these high amplitude variations are probably linked with stellar activity. Note that, unlike the case of AU Mic, the bisector velocity spans are not fully correlated to the RVs, which might indicate either a complex spots pattern or a very close companion

in addition to the spot-induced variations. The TESS light curve clearly shows a periodic signal with a  $\simeq$  4d period, compatible with two features. Furthermore, we note that the minimum mass of the companion that would be needed to explain the RV variations would not exceed 2 Jupiter masses, and would therefore not account for the absolute astrometry signal, unless orbiting on a very improbable pole-on orbit. We conclude that most if not all the RV variations are due to stellar activity.

Finally, G80-21 was observed by many teams in highcontrast imaging, but no companion has been found yet (see e.g. Lannier et al. 2016, who got a 7.76 contrast at 0.5" at L'), corresponding to roughly 10  $M_{Jup}$ .

We then constrained the properties of the "astrometric" companion using these HARPS RV in addition to the absolute astrometry. We first computed the detection limits set by the RV data and overplotted the GaiaPMEX solutions. To get a global overview, we considered sma up to 10 au, an eccentricity between 0 and 0.9, and an inclination of the orbital plane between 10 and 90° (10° were chosen instead of 0 to avoid any divergence when, at  $i \approx 0^\circ$ , sin  $i \approx 0$ ). The results are showed in Figure 8. We see that the sma solutions are mainly in the range 0.5-2 au, and the mass is lower than 8-10  $M_{Jup}.\ A$  peak is present at 0.3 au, which corresponds to the gap in the available RV temporal coverage. Additional smaller peaks, correspond to harmonics of this peak. These peaks are probably not physical. We therefore conclude that unless the orbit of the companion is seen pole-on, the companion to G80-21 is a planet orbiting between 0.5 and 2 au. As the RV limits are very sensitive to the inclination of the planet, we then repeated this approach fixing the inclination to 10, 45 and 90 degrees. The results are also showed in Figure 8. As expected, the higher the inclination, the lower the RV detection limits, and hence the lower peaks below 0.5 au.

We then used our MCMC code described in Appendix E to check these results in the general case (no assumptions on the inclination). The priors and resulting corner plot are provided in Appendix E. As expected, given the limited RV data available, most parameters are not well constrained, except the sma and the companion mass. We show in Figure 9 the obtained (sma, mass) solutions. We first note that the peak centered at about 0.33 au is also present, and due to the window function of the RV observations. The companion sma is therefore less in the range 0.5-2 au, with a maximum probability between 3 and 4 M<sub>Jup</sub>. Additional RV data are needed to improve the temporal coverage of the data and therefore the window function, and thus further constrain its physical and orbital parameters.

#### 6.1.2. Additional companions to G80-21

Finally, we constrain the properties of any additional companion, using at the same time the RV and absolute astrometry (Gaia and PMa). Figure 10 provides these detection limits. The tool used to do so is described in Appendix E.

#### 6.1.3. Discussion

To our knowledge, G80-21 b is the only young planet among the 11 RV planets with masses in the range 1-20  $M_{Jup}$  orbiting stars with masses in the range 0.2-0.5 Msun (exoplanet.eu) and with a known sma between 0.017 and 5 au. As such, it offers the possibility to study the early stages of planetary systems around low mass stars. Additional RV data are needed to further constrain its actual sma, and to precise its location with respect to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Note that it was flagged as a binary by Kervella et al. (2019a) on the basis of Gaia DR2 PMa, but GaiaPMEX does not confirm this classification. N- $\sigma_{PMa} = 0.7$ 

the snow line. Also, detailed RV monitoring of such a system with an outer giant planet (if its sma is indeed in the range 1-2 au) would be particularly interesting to search for inner terrestrial planets, forming thus a young solar system-like "scaled" to a low mass star, with the possibility to image the outer giant planet in the future with Gravity+ or with the ELT.

#### 6.2. AB Pic

With a  $\simeq 13~M_{Jup}$  companion (AB Pic b) imaged at about 260 au in the mid 2000 (Chauvin et al. 2005), the solar-type star AB Pic (K1V) has become an iconic system. This was indeed the first low-mass substellar object (at the boundary between planets and BD mass) imaged around a main sequence star. The star was recently classified as a member of the Carina association (CAR) with therefore an age of about 13 Myr instead of 20 Myr as previously adopted (Booth et al. 2021). Such an age would lead to a planet mass of  $10 \pm 1$  M<sub>Jup</sub>, lower than previously estimated, and well into the planetary mass domain. Due to its large projected distance to the star (about 190 au), its orbital parameters are still rather poorly constrained, though there is evidence of a non-negligible eccentricity and an almost edge-on orbit (Palma-Bifani et al. 2023, herefater PB23). The formation process of this remote planet is still unclear. In situ formation via core accretion is not an option because of a lack of material and inappropriate timescales, but a formation closer to the star followed by an ejection due to the dynamical interaction with a third body is plausible (a scenario also considered to explain the presence of HD 106906 b orbiting currently at 650 au from the star (Rodet et al. 2017, 2019). Alternatively, the planet could have formed by gravitational instability in an extended disk or by gravo-turbulent fragmentation.

#### 6.2.1. AB Pic c properties: previous works

Using the PMa estimated using Gaia DR3 and Hipparcos data, PB23 concluded that an additional, inner planet (AB Pic c) could be present in the system. Comparing the (sma, mass) solutions derived on the one hand from the PMa constraints using Kervella et al. (2019b) approach, and on the other hand, the detection limits obtained using SPHERE data obtained in Dec. 2015, PB23 estimated a sma of 2 to 10 au, and a mass of 6 M<sub>Jup</sub> for this companion. These values need further confirmation for three reasons. First, PB23 did not consider the possibility of companions closer than typically 1-2 au, while such companions would have been compatible with the PMa constraints only. Second, the (sma, mass) constraints derived from the PMa based on the approach proposed by Kervella et al. (2019b) are approximate as they take into account the impact of inclination and eccentricity only statistically. Finally, Kervella et al. (2019b) does not take into account any instrumental noise in this approach. These points were left for a more detailed study (the present one).

Recently, Gratton et al. (2024) published a very narrow solution range of solutions for the mass and sma of AB Pic c: 8.4  $\pm$ 4.2 M<sub>Jup</sub>and 3.571  $\pm$  1.167 au. However, no detail is provided on the way the sma and masses are computed and how the compatibility of each simulated orbit with the various measurements (Gaia and Hipparcos astrometry, RV, high contrast imaging) is quantified, and which errors are taken into account.

#### 6.2.2. AB Pic c properties: more robust estimates

AB Pic shows a clear PMa (N- $\sigma_{PMa} = 4.2$ ) and no significant  $\alpha_{ruwe}$  at N- $\sigma_{ruwe} = 0.6$ , indicative of a companion beyond a few au. Figure 12 shows the (sma, mass) solutions compatible with the Gaia astrometric signature only (Left), the PMa (Middle), and both quantities combined (Right). We see that the solutions found with the PMa data alone are very degenerate, while the combined Gaia signature + PMa constraints lift a significant part of the degeneracies. A mass of  $0.84 \pm 0.1 M_{\odot}$  was assumed for AB Pic (Stassun et al. 2019). AB Pic b (190 au, 9-11 M<sub>Jup</sub>) is well out of the domain of possible solutions. Hence, an additional companion is necessarily present in the system.

We use in the following SPHERE, GPI (Macintosh et al. 2014) and RV data to robustly constrain the properties of this additional companion. The data, as well as their reduction, are described in Appendix D and E. Noticeably, compared to PB23 and to Gratton et al. (2024), we use, in addition to the Dec. 2015 SPHERE data, a GPI data set, as well as an additional SPHERE data obtained in Oct. 2023 (see Appendix for a description of the data and their reduction). These two additional data sets allow to significantly improve the detection limits in the case of edge-on orbits. This can be seen comparing Figure 11, which provides the detection limits obtained respectively with the Dec 2015 set of data and the limits obtained using in addition both Dec 2015 and Oct. 2023 data (see Appendix for a description of the computation of the detection limits). While companions can be detected (68 % probability) beyond 11 au when using the Dec 2015 data only, they can be detected beyond 5.5 au when using these additional data (case  $i = 90^{\circ}$ ). This significant improvement is due to the fact that the data sets were obtained several years apart and companions hidden behind the mask in the first data set (and hence not detectable) could be outside the mask during the second observation (and hence detectable). The effect is, expectedly, not as high when considering less inclined orbits.

To estimate the possible (sma, mass) of the companion, we superimpose GaiaPMEX maps with the detection limit maps obtained using all available direct imaging data (that is the two SPHERE epochs and one GPI set of data, see Appendix D) and radial velocity data, assuming different inclinations. We show in Figure 13 the results obtained assuming inclinations of 90°,  $45^{\circ}$  and<sup>11</sup> 10°. We see that the (sma, mass) space is wider in case of pole-on orbits, and more reduced in case of edge-on ones. For pole-on orbits, most solutions (68% probability) are between 2.5 and 9 M<sub>Jup</sub>, and sma between 2.5 and 6 au. For edge-on orbits, the solutions in terms of mass are much more reduced, between 3 and 5 M<sub>Jup</sub>.

Given the sma of AB Pic c, and the distance of the system, a direct detection of AB Pic c is not within the reach of current high-contrast imagers on 10-m class telescopes, but should be easily detected by imagers on the ELTs. Alternatively, it might within the reach of the VLT/GRAVITY instrument if its mass and sma are on the higher sides of the current possible ranges. This would imply a pole-on orbit, hence a configuration different from the almost edge-on orbit of AB Pic b. Note that, in such a case, the detection would be more demanding in terms of performances than the detection of AF Lep b (~ 3 M<sub>Jup</sub>, ~ 300 mas).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> We used 10° instead of 0 to avoid any divergence when, at  $i \approx 0^\circ$ ,  $\sin i \approx 0$ 

#### 6.2.3. Additional companions around AB Pic

Finally, we constrain the properties of any additional companion, using at the same time the RV, absolute astrometry (Gaia and PMa), and the direct imaging data from SPHERE and GPI described in Table D.2, and the detection tool described in Appendix E. The results are shown in Fig.14 assuming three inclinations: 90, 45, and 10 degrees. As expected, the detection limits depend on the inclination of the companion, especially in the regions where they are dominated by the RV (sin(i) effect) or direct imaging (reduced limits for inclined orbit with respect to pole-on one, as long period planets may happen to be located in projection behind the mask at all observation epochs).

In any case, the data at hand allow, for the first time, a quantitative estimate of the properties of any possible additional planet (planet d) around the star from less than 0.01 au to more than 100 au. We can exclude additional planets more massive than AB Pic b or c in the system.

#### 6.2.4. Consequences on the system

This work shows that AB Pic c is definitely lighter than AB Pic b, and that there is no companion more massive than AB Pic b at all separations, up to AB Pic b distance. This severely questions any scenario of ejection of AB Pic b by a third companion close to the star to explain its present location. This is for instance in contrast with the case of the HD 106906 system, for which the binary companion was shown to possibly be responsible for the ejection of HD 106906 b. Here any gravitational interaction between AB Pic b and AB Pic c, whether direct of resonant, would inevitably result in a stronger perturbation of the lighter body's orbit, i.e., AB Pic c.

Among the other possible explanations of AB Pic b's large separation, a fly-by acting on an originally much closer-in AB Pic b cannot be ruled out but is rather improbable as the perturbing star should have come very close to the initial position of AB Pic b. Subsequently, AB Pic c should have been impacted as well by this process. Hence the geometry of this encounter should be able to explain why only AB Pic b was ejected. The suitable parameter space is presumably reduced, but our still poor knowledge of AB Pic b and c's current orbital properties prevents us from initiating any detailed dynamical investigation.

Migration within the protoplanetary disk is not favored because of the high mass of AB Pic b, and because this would require a very massive disk up to 200 au at least. A more complex possible scenario could be that AB Pic was formerly a member of a wide (> 1000 au separation), now disrupted binary system with a low-mass star with a highly inclined orbit with respect to the orbital plane of AB Pic b. Such a configuration would inevitably lead to strong coupled eccentricity and inclination oscillations of AB Pic b due to Kozai-Lidov resonance (Kozai 1962) (hereafter KL). Under this process, the apoastron of AB Pic b could have been increased up to its present position. However such a scenario requires a rapid stabilization of AB Pic b around 200 au by a direct interaction at apoastron. As a matter of fact, a similar extra interaction at apoastron after ejection was also required in the HD 106906 system to freeze the present-day configuration (Rodet et al. 2017). Here again also, KL oscillations triggered by the putative binary companion are also expected to affect AB Pic c as well, and one should explain why only AB Pic b was affected. The answer to this issue could be a matter of timescales. KL cycles in triple systems are characterized be a oscillation period basically equal to

$$P_{\rm KL} = \frac{M_{\rm tot}}{M_{\rm in}} \frac{P_{\rm out}^2}{P_{\rm in}} \tag{1}$$

within a factor of order unity (Beust & Dutrey 2006; Krymolowski & Mazeh 1999; Ford et al. 2000), where  $M_{tot}$  is the total mass,  $M_{\rm in}$  is the inner mass,  $P_{\rm out}$  is the outer orbital period (here the outer binary companion), and  $P_{in}$  is the inner orbital period (here AB Pic b or c). Now, if we assume that the ratio  $M_{\rm tot}/M_{\rm in}$  is ~ 1.5 (the outer companion should be less massive than AB Pic), that the outer companion's sma is  $\sim 1000$  au, and that the initial sma of AB Pic b is  $\sim 40$  au, then we derive  $P_{\rm KL} \simeq 4$  Myr. Comparing to the estimated age of 13 Myr for AB Pic, this gives enough time to KL to have been able to significantly affect AB Pic b. Redoing the same calculation for AB Pic c assuming now a sma of ~ 4 au leads to  $P_{\rm KL}$  > 100 Myr, which is far above the age of AB Pic. KL resonance should not have had enough time to perturb AB Pic c. This way we may explain why only AB Pic b could have been ejected. However, a stabilization process at large distance is still required.

Other possible scenarios include AB Pic b having been captured from another system or being a captured free-floating planet. Note that a capture from another system necessarily implies a similar ejection at large distance within that other system prior to capture by AB Pic, which drives us back to our previous issue. But that other system could have hold an inner binary like HD 106906 and more easily trigger the ejection.

The last, probably simpler scenarios are either in situ gravitational instability within a disk, or a gravitational collapse. In such a case, AB Pic b would be a so far unique system with two planets definitely formed by different processes. Detailed studies of the planets atmospheric compositions could further help test this hypothesis.

#### 6.3. HD 14082 B

HD 14082 B is a close (40 pc) young solar-type star, member of the BPMG. Like many members of this moving group, the star shows IR excess in the mid- and far-infrared (e.g. Riviere-Marichalar et al. 2014), indicative of the presence of a yet unresolved dust disk. Noticeably, it shares a common proper motion with the F-type star HD 14082 A, orbiting 557 au away, i.e. 14" on the plane of the sky (Mamajek & Bell 2014; Elliott & Bayo 2016).

# 6.3.1. A sub-stellar companion close to the ice-line of HD 14082 B

Like AB Pic, HD14082B shows a clear PMa ( $N-\sigma_{PMa} = 5.0$  and no significant  $\alpha_{ruwe}$  at  $N-\sigma_{ruwe} = 0.5$ , indicative of a companion beyond a few au. The ruwe, the PMa, and the combined ruwe + PMa maps are shown in Figure 15. They were computed considering inclinations between 0 and 90 degrees. The PMa map reveals the presence of a companion, with a still unconstrained PMa between 0.1 and about 100 au. Adding the ruwe constraints allows us to rule out short-period companions. HD 14082 A is too far to account for the observed GaiaPMEX excess. We note that the GaiaPMEX map is reminiscent of the AF Lep or AB Pic maps, where possible companion masses reach the planetary mass, albeit slightly higher.

At the time of writing this paper, a candidate companion with a mass of  $0.0054 \pm 0.0030 M_{\odot}$  (=  $5.7 \pm 3.1 M_{Jup}$ ) and a distance of  $5.74 \pm 2.96$  au was reported by Gratton et al. (2024) around

HD14082B. Yet, as in the case of AB Pic b, detailed information on the way these parameters and their associated uncertainties were derived is lacking. Given the limited amount of data available on this system (no RV data, less imaging data; hence less data than in the case of AB Pic), and given the fact that the PMa used does not take into account the instrumental noises, the robustness of the derived parameters is not assessed.

We used SPHERE data to further constrain the companion characteristics. They are described in Appendix D. The data were both reduced with the Patch Covariance (PACO) tool (Flasseur et al. 2020b), as the AB Pic data, and with the SPEckle CALibration (SPECAL) tool (Galicher et al. 2018). Both clearly (SNR > 40 with PACO) detect a companion close to the edge of the FoV (see Figure 6.3.1). Checking the Gaia DR3 database shows that this companion is a faint, M star, not connected to HD 14082B.

As for AB Pic, we combined the direct imaging detection limits with the GaiaPMEX solutions to find the possible (sma, mass) solutions for the companion. We considered three different inclinations: 0, 45 and 90 degrees. The results are shown in Fig. 17. As expected, the solutions are much more constrained when the inclination is moderate, with a mass ranging between 8 and 20  $M_{Jup}$  and a sma between 3 and 5 au (1 $\sigma$  values). For highly inclined orbits, the mass ranges between 8 and 40  $M_{Jup}$ , and the sma between 3 and 7 au (1 $\sigma$  values). Given the distance of the star, the companion is well suited for direct detection with the VLTI/Gravity instrument.

#### 6.3.2. Additional companions around HD 14082 B

Finally, we combine the ruwe + PMa data with the direct imaging data to compute the upper limits in (sma, mass) of any additional companion to HD 14082B. We consider three inclinations: 0, 45, and 90 degrees. The results are provided in Fig. 18. As we do not have RV data, the (sma, mass) constraints are rather poor below a fraction of an au. At 1 au, we exclude additional companions more massive than 10  $M_{Jup}$  beyond 1 au and more massive than 2  $M_{Jup}$  at 10 au, except for edge-on orbits. For edge-on orbits, the corresponding masses are higher: 5  $M_{Jup}$  (68 % probability) and 30  $M_{Jup}$  (90 % probability) at 10 au. Adding high-contrast imaging data and RV data would help further constraining the properties of additional companions.

#### 6.3.3. Notes on the HD 14082 system

Among the confirmed exoplanets with semi-major axes in the 3-10 au range, only three have been directly detected (HCI and/or interferometry):  $\beta$  Pictoris b and c (parent star A5), and AF Lep b (parent star F8). Given its angular separation, HD 14082B b could be with SPHERE+ and with forthcoming imagers on the ELT. It may also be within the reach of the Gravity interferometer. Gravity data would in addition allow spectroscopic studies of its atmosphere.

Interestingly, HD14082B forms a binary system with HD14082A, located  $\sim 500$  au away, opening up the opportunity to study planetary formation in binary systems. Finally, HD 14082B also hosts a debris disk detected through its Spitzer/MIPS infrared excess (Sierchio et al. 2014), suggesting two belts at respectively 1 and 18 au (Cotten & Song 2016), not detected in scattered light yet. The putative planet may therefore orbit between the two belts, similarly to the giant planets between the asteroid and Kuiper belt in our Solar System, opening also the perspective to study disk/planet interactions.

#### 7. Unconfirmed astrometric detections of planets

Recently, a list of 17 candidate planets based on a PMa computed by (Kervella et al. 2019b) has been proposed, together with associated (sma, mass) solutions (Gratton et al. 2024). In addition to AB Pic and HD 14082B that were discussed above, two candidates belong to the present sample: HIP 30314 (HD 45270) and HIP 88399 (HD 164249). The reported sma and masses are  $13.28 \pm 13.93$  au and  $0.0011 \pm 0.0004~M_{\odot}~(= 1.2 \pm 0.4~M_{Jup})$  for HIP 30314b, and 7.02  $\pm$  3.39 au and 0.0043  $\pm$  0.0026  $M_{\odot}$  (=  $4.5 \pm 2.7 \text{ M}_{Jup}$ ) for HIP 88399b. No quantitative information is available, though, on how these numbers were determined. Based on our estimation of the noise budget in the measured PMa and RUWE, we rule out any evidence of companion in the HIP 30314 system, as the *N*- $\sigma_{ruwe}$  and *N*- $\sigma_{PMa}$  are respectively 0.4 and 1.2, hence below  $2\sigma$ . For HIP 88399, we find a *N*- $\sigma_{ruwe}$ of 1.4 and a N- $\sigma_{PMa}$  of 1.7, hence below  $2\sigma$ , hence again below our detection threshold. Gaia and Hipparcos data do not show sufficient evidence for a companion in this system. We show in Figure 19 the detection limits obtained with GaiaPMEX on these targets. We attribute the discrepancy between our negative detection results and the positive detection of (Gratton et al. 2024) to the fact that unlike in Kervella et al. (2019b), we take into account the instrumental noise when computing the PMa. These examples highlight the importance of estimating and taking into account instrumental noise when dealing with the PMa or the Gaia excess. Note that this need will remain in the context of Gaia DR4.

#### 8. Conclusion

We have searched for companions around a sample of more than 300 stars members of young close associations or moving groups (BPC, OCT, ECH, TWA, ARG, ABDor, COL, THA), based on available absolute astrometry data: Gaia alone (ruwe), or Gaia and Hipparcos data (ruwe+ PMa). We showed that large ruwe cannot be straightforwardly attributed to the presence of companions for some members of the two youngest associations, ECH and TWA. These specific targets were not analyzed with GaiaPMEX.

Detection limits in terms of (mass, sma) have been derived for each target. This information will allow for optimizing the target samples in future surveys dedicated to the search for low mass companions, and avoiding spending observing time on targets for which absolute astrometry already tells that no companion is present within the instrument sensitivity domain.

We computed the (sma, mass) solutions for all binaries. For each target with a positive detection, degeneracies are observed, so that the companions may be either stars, or stars or BDs, or stars or BD or planets (referred to as "planetary mass candidates").

The sample was built for a spectroscopic binary search. It allows therefore to compare the respective merits of spectroscopy and absolute astrometry for stellar binary searches. It turns out that absolute astrometry sensitivity outperforms spectroscopy for separations greater than 0.1 au, while below, spectroscopy outperforms absolute astrometry. Such techniques are then very complementary to get full and (almost) unbiased census of stellar binarity below 10 au. We thus derive minimum unbiased binary rates for sma of different values.

Ten of the 13 planetary-mass candidates found using both ruwe and PMa in BPC, OCT, ARG, ABDor, COL, THA, happen to be in fact stellar companions or known BD/planet companions, based on SPHERE imaging data, and/or literature. One

target need additional observations to conclude on the nature of its companion. For the last three systems, G80-21, AB Pic and HD 14082B, we show that the companions are definitely planetary/BD objects. We provide quantitative information on their possible (sma, mass). G80-21 has a 4-8 M<sub>Jup</sub> companion with an sma in the range 0.5-1 au. Noticeably, conversely to AB Pic and HD 14082B, which show no significant  $\alpha_{ruwe}$ , but a significant PMa, G80-21 does not show a significant PMa, but a significant  $\alpha_{ruwe}$ . AB Pic c has a mass ranging between 2.5 and 9 M<sub>Jup</sub>, and a sma between 2.5 and 6 au. The mass range can be refined according to the inclination of the orbital plane with respect to the line of sight. AB Pic c being less massive and the outer AB Pic b tend to favor a gravitational instability or gravitational collapse for the origin of AB Pic b. For HD 14082B, the mass varies between 8 and 20 M<sub>Jup</sub>, and the sma between 3 and 5 au in case of moderate inclinations. Higher masses and sma are however possible in case of close-to-edge-on configurations. With separations below 100 mas, the companions to G80-21, AB Pic, and HD 14082B cannot be detected with current high contrast imagers, but they could be within the reach of the future ELT imagers. In addition, AB Pic c and HD 14082B b could be within the reach of the VLTI/Gravity instrument.

From a methodological point of view, this work highlights the impact of the use of Gaia data to precise the (sma, mass) parameters, compared to the sole use of Gaia-Hipparcos PMa, and the need for additional data (RV, imaging), to lift the degeneracies in the absolute astrometry solutions. We anticipate that the need for such additional data will remain even with the DR4 outputs, in case of long-period companions. This work also shows the important impact of the inclination of the orbit of the companion on the (sma, mass) solutions. This has to be taken into account to optimize the direct detection of these companions.

Finally, we do not confirm the presence of a planetary-mass companion recently announced around HD 45270, and show that the companion announced around HD 164249 is below the  $2\sigma$ detection threshold of GaiaPMEX. These examples highlight the importance of the instrumental noise in the analysis of the Gaia-Hipparcos PMa.

Acknowledgements. We thank the anonymous referee for her/his comments. This work has made use of data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission Gaia (https://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia), processed by the Gaia Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC, https://www.cosmos.esa. int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium). Funding for the DPAC has been provided by national institutions, in particular the institutions participating in the Gaia Multilateral Agreement. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (COBREX; grant agreement 885593). A.Z. acknowledges support from ANID - Millennium Science Initiative Program - Center Code NCN2021\_080. This work has made use of the High Contrast Data Centre, jointly operated by OSUG/IPAG (Grenoble), PYTHEAS/LAM/CeSAM (Marseille), OCA/Lagrange (Nice), Observatoire de Paris/LESIA (Paris), and Observatoire de Lyon/CRAL, and supported by a grant from Labex OSUG@2020 (Investissements d'avenir - ANR10 LABX56). This work made use of the European Space Agency space mission Gaia, and of the SIMBAD and VizieR CDS facilities. Last, AML thanks D. Segransan, C. Mordasini and O. Schid for fruitful discussions.

#### References

2023, AJ, 165, 246

- Alcalá, J. M., Gangi, M., Biazzo, K., et al. 2021, A&A, 652, A72
- Bell, C. P. M., Mamajek, E. E., & Naylor, T. 2015, MNRAS, 454, 593 Berdyugina, S. V. & Järvinen, S. P. 2005, Astronomische Nachrichten, 326, 283 Beust, H. & Dutrey, A. 2006, A&A, 446, 137

- Beuzit, J. L., Vigan, A., Mouillet, D., et al. 2019, A&A, 631, A155 Biller, B. A., Liu, M. C., Wahhaj, Z., et al. 2010, ApJ, 720, L82 Bonavita, M., Gratton, R., Desidera, S., et al. 2022, A&A, 663, A144
- Booth, M., del Burgo, C., & Hambaryan, V. V. 2021, MNRAS, 500, 5552
- Article number, page 14 of 46

- Brandt, T. D. 2021a, ApJS, 254, 42
- Brandt, T. D. 2021b, ApJS, 254, 42
- Chauvin, G., Lagrange, A. M., Bonavita, M., et al. 2010, A&A, 509, A52
- Chauvin, G., Lagrange, A. M., Zuckerman, B., et al. 2005, A&A, 438, L29
- Chauvin, G., Vigan, A., Bonnefoy, M., et al. 2015, A&A, 573, A127
- Chomez, A., Lagrange, A. M., Delorme, P., et al. 2023, A&A, 675, A205
- Cotten, T. H. & Song, I. 2016, ApJS, 225, 15
- Cutispoto, G., Pastori, L., Pasquini, L., et al. 2002, A&A, 384, 491
- Cutri, R. M., Wright, E. L., Conrow, T., et al. 2021, VizieR Online Data Catalog, II/328
- Dahlqvist, C. H., Milli, J., Absil, O., et al. 2022, A&A, 666, A33
- De Rosa, R. J., Nielsen, E. L., Wahhaj, Z., et al. 2023, A&A, 672, A94
- Dickson-Vandervelde, D. A., Wilson, E. C., & Kastner, J. H. 2021, AJ, 161, 87 Elliott, P. & Bayo, A. 2016, MNRAS, 459, 4499
- Elliott, P., Bayo, A., Melo, C. H. F., et al. 2014, A&A, 568, A26 Elliott, P., Bayo, A., Melo, C. H. F., et al. 2016, A&A, 590, A13
- Flasseur, O., Denis, L., Thiébaut, É., & Langlois, M. 2020a, Astronomy & Astrophysics, 634, A2
- Flasseur, O., Denis, L., Thiébaut, E., & Langlois, M. 2020b, Astronomy & Astrophysics, 637, A9
- Ford, E. B., Kozinsky, B., & Rasio, F. A. 2000, ApJ, 535, 385
- Franson, K., Bowler, B. P., Zhou, Y., et al. 2023, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2302.05420
- Gagné, J., Mamajek, E. E., Malo, L., et al. 2018, ApJ, 856, 23
- Gaia Collaboration, Arenou, F., Babusiaux, C., et al. 2023a, A&A, 674, A34
- Gaia Collaboration, Vallenari, A., Brown, A. G. A., et al. 2023b, A&A, 674, A1
- Galicher, R., Boccaletti, A., Mesa, D., et al. 2018, A&A, 615, A92
- Galicher, R., Marois, C., Macintosh, B., et al. 2016, A&A, 594, A63
- Galland, F., Lagrange, A. M., Udry, S., et al. 2005, A&A, 443, 337
- Gangi, M., Antoniucci, S., Biazzo, K., et al. 2022, A&A, 667, A124
- Grandjean, A., Lagrange, A. M., Keppler, M., et al. 2020, A&A, 633, A44
- Gratton, R., Bonavita, M., Mesa, D., et al. 2024, A&A, 685, A119
- Griffin, R. F. 2010, The Observatory, 130, 125
- Hagelberg, J., Engler, N., Fontanive, C., et al. 2020, A&A, 643, A98
- Hales, A. S., De Gregorio-Monsalvo, I., Montesinos, B., et al. 2014, AJ, 148, 47
- Hall, J. C., Lockwood, G. W., & Skiff, B. A. 2007, AJ, 133, 862
- Holl, B., Perryman, M., Lindegren, L., Segransan, D., & Raimbault, M. 2022, A&A, 661, A151
- Holl, B., Sozzetti, A., Sahlmann, J., et al. 2023, A&A, 674, A10
- James, D. J., Aarnio, A. N., Richert, A. J. W., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 459, 1363
- James, D. J., Melo, C., Santos, N. C., & Bouvier, J. 2006, A&A, 446, 971
- Kastner, J. H., Hily-Blant, P., Sacco, G. G., Forveille, T., & Zuckerman, B. 2010, ApJ, 723, L248
- Kastner, J. H. & Principe, D. A. 2022, in Handbook of X-ray and Gamma-ray Astrophysics, 49
- Kervella, P., Arenou, F., Mignard, F., & Thévenin, F. 2019a, A&A, 623, A72
- Kervella, P., Arenou, F., Mignard, F., & Thévenin, F. 2019b, A&A, 623, A72

Kervella, P., Arenou, F., & Thévenin, F. 2022, A&A, 657, A7

- Kiefer, F., Lagrange, A.-M., Rubini, P., & Philipot, F. 2024a, arXiv e-prints, A&A, in press, arXiv:2409.16992
- Kiefer, F., Lagrange, A.-M., Rubini, P., & Philipot, F. 2024b, arXiv e-prints, A&A, in press, arXiv:2409.16993
- Kozai, Y. 1962, AJ, 67, 591
- Kraus, A. L., Shkolnik, E. L., Allers, K. N., & Liu, M. C. 2014, AJ, 147, 146
- Krymolowski, Y. & Mazeh, T. 1999, MNRAS, 304, 720
- Lagrange, A. M., Meunier, N., Desort, M., & Malbet, F. 2011, A&A, 528, L9
- Lannier, J., Delorme, P., Lagrange, A. M., et al. 2016, A&A, 596, A83
- Lannier, J., Lagrange, A. M., Bonavita, M., et al. 2017, A&A, 603, A54
- Lockwood, G. W., Skiff, B. A., Henry, G. W., et al. 2007, ApJS, 171, 260 Lopez Martí, B., Jimenez Esteban, F., Bayo, A., et al. 2013, A&A, 551, A46
- Luhman, K. L. 2023, AJ, 165, 269
- Macintosh, B., Graham, J. R., Ingraham, P., et al. 2014, Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, 111, 12661
- Malkov, O. Y., Oblak, E., Snegireva, E. A., & Torra, J. 2006, A&A, 446, 785
- Mamajek, E. E. & Bell, C. P. M. 2014, MNRAS, 445, 2169
- Martioli, E., Hébrard, G., Correia, A. C. M., Laskar, J., & Lecavelier des Etangs, A. 2021, A&A, 649, A177
- McGinnis, P. T., Alencar, S. H. P., Guimarães, M. M., et al. 2015, A&A, 577, A11
- Mesa, D., Gratton, R., Kervella, P., et al. 2023a, A&A, 672, A93
- Mesa, D., Gratton, R., Kervella, P., et al. 2023b, A&A, 672, A93
- Metchev, S. A. & Hillenbrand, L. A. 2009, ApJS, 181, 62
- Meunier, N. 2021, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2104.06072
- Meunier, N. & Lagrange, A. M. 2022, A&A, 659, A104
- Mignon, L., Meunier, N., Delfosse, X., et al. 2023, A&A, 675, A168
- Mugrauer, M., Vogt, N., Neuhäuser, R., & Schmidt, T. O. B. 2010, A&A, 523, L1
- Murphy, S. J. & Lawson, W. A. 2015, MNRAS, 447, 1267
- Newton, E. R., Mann, A. W., Tofflemire, B. M., et al. 2019, ApJ, 880, L17
- Nguyen, C. T., Costa, G., Girardi, L., et al. 2022, A&A, 665, A126

- Offner, S. S. R., Moe, M., Kratter, K. M., et al. 2023, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 534, Protostars and Planets VII, ed. S. Inutsuka, Y. Aikawa, T. Muto, K. Tomida, & M. Tamura, 275
- Palma-Bifani, P., Chauvin, G., Bonnefoy, M., et al. 2023, A&A, 670, A90
- Pearce, L. A., Kraus, A. L., Dupuy, T. J., et al. 2020, ApJ, 894, 115
- Perrin, M. D., Ingraham, P., Follette, K. B., et al. 2016, in , Edinburgh, United Kingdom, 990837
- Perrin, M. D., Maire, J., Ingraham, P., et al. 2014, in , 91473J, arXiv:1407.2301 [astro-ph]
- Perryman, M., Hartman, J., Bakos, G. Á., & Lindegren, L. 2014, ApJ, 797, 14
- Riviere-Marichalar, P., Barrado, D., Montesinos, B., et al. 2014, A&A, 565, A68
- Rodet, L., Beust, H., Bonnefoy, M., et al. 2019, A&A, 631, A139
- Rodet, L., Beust, H., Bonnefoy, M., et al. 2017, A&A, 602, A12
- Sacco, G. G., Kastner, J. H., Forveille, T., et al. 2014, A&A, 561, A42
- Sahlmann, J., Triaud, A. H. M. J., & Martin, D. V. 2015, MNRAS, 447, 287
- Shan, Y., Revilla, D., Skrzypinski, S. L., et al. 2024, A&A, 684, A9
- Shkolnik, E. L., Allers, K. N., Kraus, A. L., Liu, M. C., & Flagg, L. 2017, AJ, 154, 69
- Sierchio, J. M., Rieke, G. H., Su, K. Y. L., & Gáspár, A. 2014, ApJ, 785, 33
- Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163
- Song, I., Zuckerman, B., & Bessell, M. S. 2003, ApJ, 599, 342
- Squicciarini, V. & Bonavita, M. 2022, A&A, 666, A15
- Stassun, K. G., Oelkers, R. J., Paegert, M., et al. 2019, AJ, 158, 138
- Thomas, A. D., Nielsen, E. L., De Rosa, R. J., et al. 2023, AJ, 166, 246
- Tokovinin, A. 2016, AJ, 152, 11
- Tokovinin, A. & Horch, E. P. 2016, AJ, 152, 116
- Tokovinin, A. & Kiyaeva, O. 2016, VizieR Online Data Catalog, J/MNRAS/456/2070
- Tokovinin, A., Mason, B. D., Mendez, R. A., Costa, E., & Horch, E. P. 2020, AJ, 160, 7
- Torres, C. A. O., Quast, G. R., da Silva, L., et al. 2006, A&A, 460, 695
- Torres, C. A. O., Quast, G. R., Melo, C. H. F., & Sterzik, M. F. 2008, in Handbook of Star Forming Regions, Volume II, ed. B. Reipurth, Vol. 5, 757
- Trifonov, T., Tal-Or, L., Zechmeister, M., et al. 2020, A&A, 636, A74
- van Leeuwen, F. 2007, A&A, 474, 653
- Venuti, L., Stelzer, B., Alcalá, J. M., et al. 2019, A&A, 632, A46
- Vogt, N., Mugrauer, M., Neuhäuser, R., et al. 2015, Astronomische Nachrichten, 336, 97
- Wahhaj, Z., Cieza, L., Koerner, D. W., et al. 2010, ApJ, 724, 835
- Wang, J. J., Ruffio, J.-B., De Rosa, R. J., et al. 2015, Astrophysics Source Code Library, ascl:1506.001, aDS Bibcode: 2015ascl.soft06001W
- Wang, X., Xia, F., & Fu, Y. 2023, PASJ, 75, 368
- Winters, J. G., Henry, T. J., Jao, W.-C., et al. 2019, AJ, 157, 216
- Zhou, G., Wirth, C. P., Huang, C. X., et al. 2022, AJ, 163, 289
- Zicher, N., Barragán, O., Klein, B., et al. 2022, MNRAS, 512, 3060
- Zúñiga-Fernández, S., Bayo, A., Elliott, P., et al. 2021, A&A, 645, A30
- Zuckerman, B. 2019, ApJ, 870, 27

#### A&A proofs: manuscript no. main



**Fig. 2.** GaiaPMEX (sma, mass) solutions for potential companions. Constraints from ruwe only (Left), constraints from PMa only (Middle), and constraints from ruwe + PMa (Right). Each row, from Top to Bottom: 1/ No detection: AU Mic. 2/ Star with a "small" sma or BD companion: HD 3221. 3/ Star with a well constrained sma companion: HD 139084. 4/ Star with a "large" sma or BD companion: PZ Tel. 5/ Star with a "large" sma or BD or Planet companion: AF Lep. The contours show the 68%, 95%, and 99.7% confidence intervals. The black lines show the model relationships developed in K24



**Fig. 3.** Impact of the star masses on the Gaia alone sensitivity domain. Left: CD-351167 (0.7  $M_{\odot}$ ) and Right: HD 13246 (1.2  $M_{\odot}$ ). Both stars have parallaxes of  $\simeq 22$  mas. Note that the y-axes cover different mass ranges.



**Fig. 4.** Impact of the distance on the Gaia alone sensitivity domain. Top, Left: HD 23208 (0.9  $M_{\odot}$ ,  $\pi = 17.6$  mas) and Right: TYC 7066-1037-1 (0.8  $M_{\odot}$ ,  $\pi = 7.4$  mas). Bottom, Left: HD 105 (1.1  $M_{\odot}$ ,  $\pi = 25$  mas) and Right: HD 47875 (1.1  $M_{\odot}$ ,  $\pi = 14$  mas). Note that the y-axes cover different mass ranges.

A&A proofs: manuscript no. main



Fig. 5. GaiaPMEX maps for stars listed as SB by ZF21 and not classified as binaries according to GaiaPMEX results (see text).



A.-M. Lagrange et al.: Searching for substellar companion candidates with Gaia.

**Fig. 6.** Targets having companions with masses possibly in the planetary regimes (see text). From top to bottom and left to right: HD3221, G80-21, HD40216, HD 178085, HD 222259, CD-561032, HD 53842, PZ Tel, AF Lep, HD 41071, HD 23208, AB Pic, and HD 14082B.



Fig. 7. From Left to Right: Gaia excess, PMa and combined maps for G80-21.









**Fig. 8.** Possible sma and mass of G80-21 b. Superimposition of the RV detection limits and the GaiaPMEX (sma,mass) solutions. The black-lines limiting the blue regions correspond to the RV detection limits (90 Article W probabilities). From Top to Bottom: an inclination between 10 and 90 deg is assumed, then inclinations of 10, 45, and 90 degrees.



**Fig. 9.** (sma,mass) solutions for G80-21 b, derived from the MCMC analysis, and taking into account the available RV data, and the Gaia and PMA data.



**Fig. 10.** Limits on the masses of the potential planets around G80-21, using RV and GAIPMEX.



**Fig. 11.** Detection limits for AB Pic obtained using SPHERE high contrast imaging data obtained in Dec. 2015 (First row) and the same data plus SPHERE data obtained in Oct. 2023, as well as GPI high contrast imaging data obtained in 2018 (see Appendix). We assume, from Left to Right, inclinations of  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $45^{\circ}$  and  $90^{\circ}$ . The iso-contours correspond to 90 and 68 % detection probabilities.



Fig. 12. From Left to Right: Gaia excess, PMa and combined maps for AB Pic. No constraint on the inclination of the orbital plane of companions.



Fig. 13. AB Pic. Superimposition of the (sma,mass) solutions from



Fig. 14. From Left to Right: Detection limits for AB Pic combining RV, GaiaPMEX, and HCI data. From Left to Right: i = 0, 45, and 90 degrees.



Fig. 15. From Left to Right: Gaia excess, PMa, and combined maps for HD 14082 B. No constraints on the orbital plane inclination.



**Fig. 16.** SPHERE image of HD 14082B as reduced with PACO. A faint source (indicated by an arrow) is observed at 7.7" from the star (indicated by a yellow circle, at the center of the image).



Fig. 17. HD 14082B. Superimposition of the GaiaPMEX (sma,mass)



Fig. 18. From Left to Right: Detection limits for HD14082B combining GaiaPMEX and HCI data. From Left to Right: *i* = 0, 45, and 90 degrees.



Fig. 19. GaiaPMEX results on HD 45270 (top), and HD 164249 (bottom). From left to right: Gaia, PMA, and Gaia+PMa.

## Appendix A: Acronyms and symbols

Acronyms or Symbols	B   Definition
Young associations an	nd moving groups
MG	Moving Group
YMG	Young Moving Groups
BPC	$\beta$ Pictoris
BPMG	$\beta$ Pictoris moving group
OCT	Octans
ECH	$\epsilon$ Chamaeleontis
TWA	TW Hydrae or TW Hya
ARG	Argus
ABDor	AB Doradus
COL	Columba
THA	Tucana-Horologium
	Lower Centaurus Cruy
CAR	Carina association
	22 Ori MC
Diblic anombio Defense	
Bibliographic Rejeren	ces
7521	Zúñiga Farmóndaz at al. (2021)
	$E_{\text{Linga-remainded et al. (2021)}}$
K24	Kieler et al. (2024a)
WDS	wasnington Double Star Catalog
PB23	Palma-Bilani et al. (2023)
Methods	
C. D. IEV	
GalaPMEX	Gaia DR3 Proper Motion anomaly and astrometric noise Excess
GaiaExplore	Gaia DR3 Exploring and characterisation of PMa, AEN and ruwe
SACY	Search for associations containing young stars (Torres et al. 2008)
BANYAN or BAN	Bayesian Analysis for Nearby Young AssociatioNs (?)
MCMC	Markov-Chain Monte-Carlo algorithm
Other Data, Symbols	and Names
DM	December 1
PMa	Proper Motion anomaly
ruwe	Renormalised Unit Weight Error
AEN	Astrometric Excess Noise
$\alpha_{\rm ruwe}$	Astrometric Signature Based on ruwe
$lpha_{ m PMa}$	Astrometric Signature Based on PMa
$lpha_{ m AEN}$	Astrometric Signature Based on AEN
$N$ – $\sigma_{ m ruwe}$	Significance as <i>p</i> -value of ruwe compared to noise converted to a number of $\sigma$
$N$ – $\sigma_{ m PMa}$	Significance as p-value of PMa compared to noise converted to a number of $\sigma$
$N$ – $\sigma_{ m AEN}$	Significance as <i>p</i> -value of AEN compared to noise converted to a number of $\sigma$
PSF	Point Spread Function
GoF	<pre>ipd_gof_harmonic_amplitude</pre>
Frac	<pre>ipd_frac_multi_peak</pre>
IPD	Image Parameter Determination
AL	Along-scan Direction on Gaia's Detector
RVSA	Radial Velocity Semi-Amplitude
BD	Brown Dwarf
SB	Spectroscopic Binary
SB1	1-spectral-component SB
SB2	2-spectral-component SB
KI	Kozaï-Lidov resonance
	Kozar-Lidov resoliance

 Table A.1. List and definition of relevant, non-trivial, acronyms and symbols used in the text.

Appendix B: Target sample

Star name	Gaia DR3 ID	RAICES	$DEC_{ICRS}$	SACYMG	SACYprob	BANMG	BANprob	star mass	plx(mas)	plx err
HD 105	4995853014646189696	00:05:52.6847	-41:45:12.269	THA	0.997	THA	1.000	1.11	25.75	0.02
HD 987	4688292536884945536	00:13:53.3451	-74:41:18.636	THA	0.996	THA	1.000	0.0	21.8	0.01
HD 984	2431157720981843200	00:14:10.3654	-07:11:57.900	COL	0.843	COL	1.000	1.26	21.88	0.02
HD 1466	4901229043960053248	00:18:26.3386	-63:28:39.928	THA	0.997	THA	1.000	1.15	23.36	0.02
Smethells 165	4901926409210453760	00:24:09.1823	-62:11:05.115	THA	0.950	THA	1.000	0.74	22.8	0.06
V* CT Tuc	4902014095262270336	00:25:14.8587	-61:30:49.151	THA	0.996	THA	1.000	0.7	22.65	0.01
HD 3221	4901802507993393664	00:34:51.4029	-61:54:58.972	THA	0.801	THA	1.000	0.96	22.51	0.02
CD-78 24	4635593356881798912	00:42:20.7179	-77:47:40.215	THA	0.998	THA	1.000	0.82	20.08	0.01
HD 6569	2371914419569515136	01:06:26.2633	-14:17:48.620	ABD	0.930	ABD	1.000	0.88	21.99	0.02
<b>BD-12</b> 243	2470272812780609280	01:20:32.3893	-11:28:05.918	ABD	0.976	ABD	1.000	0.91	28.33	0.03
HD 8558	4909846500703006976	01:23:21.4390	-57:28:51.267	THA	0.999	THA	1.000	0.97	22.09	0.02
HD 8813	4637584916037247488	01:23:26.3274	-76:36:42.740	THA	0.932	THA	1.000	1.04	21.62	0.01
V* CC Phe	4916062039935185792	01:28:08.8475	-52:38:19.835	THA	0.999	THA	1.000	0.82	25.11	0.01
Barta 16112	2477870708709917568	01:35:14.0286	-07:12:52.240	BPC	0.567	ż		0.4	26.82	0.05
V* EX Cet	2477815222028038272	01:37:35.6513	-06:45:39.100	ż		BPC	1.000	0.89	41.56	0.02
CD-52 381	4913138232358905216	01:52:14.7130	-52:19:33.352	COL	0.987	COL	1.000	0.86	11.54	0.01
UCAC3 61-3820	4717559779772987520	01:52:18.5247	-59:50:17.210	THA	0.995	THA	1.000	0.5	25.26	0.02
V* DK Cet	5135093346121603712	01:57:49.0967	-21:54:06.148	THA	0.841	THA	1.000	0.97	24.21	0.02
BD-16 351	5147904718169224704	02:01:35.6428	-16:10:01.345	ż		COL	0.999	1.0	13.8	0.06
CD-53 386	4936385034903884288	02:01:53.7824	-52:34:53.351	COL	0.765	COL	1.000	1.0	8.51	0.03
HD 13183	4743944043046490240	02:07:18.2141	-53:11:56.895	THA	0.998	THA	1.000	0.98	20.16	0.02
HD 13246	4714764481913306496	02:07:26.3208	-59:40:46.236	THA	1.000	THA	1.000	1.19	22.03	0.02
CD-60 416	4714764447553568640	02:07:32.4055	-59:40:21.419	THA	0.997	THA	1.000	0.73	22.0	0.01
CD-46 644	4943150845347891840	02:10:55.4777	-46:03:59.082	ż		ABD	1.000	0.79	12.34	0.06
HD 14082B	107774198474602368	02:17:24.8414	+28:44:29.272	BPC	0.902	BPC	1.000	1.06	25.23	0.02
HD 14082	107774202769886848	02:17:25.3926	+28:44:40.958	BPC	0.911	BPC	1.000	1.15	25.28	0.02
BD+30 397B	132363027978672000	02:27:28.1581	+30:58:39.184	BPC	0.900	BPC	1.000	0.54	24.43	0.03
BD+30 397	132362959259196032	02:27:29.3532	+30:58:23.442	BPC	0.880	BPC	1.000	0.83	24.42	0.02
CD-44 753	4946633857666189952	02:30:32.5254	-43:42:23.505	ż		COL	0.998	0.87	18.53	0.21
EXO 02352-5216	4745195905754074496	02:36:51.8800	-52:03:03.606	ż		COL	0.993	0.39	25.75	0.02
CD-53 544	4742040410461492096	02:41:47.0072	-52:59:52.621	THA	0.912	THA	1.000	0.89	22.94	0.05
V* AF Hor	4742040513540707072	02:41:47.4711	-52:59:30.830	THA	0.747	THA	1.000	0.69	23.08	0.04
CD-58 553	4726518501732727936	02:42:33.1923	-57:39:36.958	THA	1.000	THA	1.000	0.74	20.11	0.01
HD 17250	6478258087046528	02:46:14.6896	+05:35:32.616	THA	0.868	THA	0.987	1.23	17.53	0.02
HD 17332B	84625630419434496	02:47:27.3490	+19:22:18.229	ABD	0.975	ABD	1.000	1.17	30.24	0.03
HD 17332A	84625634714435968	02:47:27.5540	+19:22:16.027	ABD	0.989	ABD	1.000	1.2	30.19	0.02
GSC 08057-00342	4748158986511426688	02:54:33.3239	-51:08:31.580	ż		THA	0.999	0.67	22.84	0.08
TYC 8862-19-1	4721546166554166528	02:58:04.0973	-62:41:13.476	COL	0.985	COL	1.000	0.8	9.71	0.01
V* IS Eri	5166951386298774144	03:09:42.3848	-09:34:48.384	ż		ABD	1.000	0.92	25.82	0.02
HD 20121A	4850405974392619648	03:12:25.8918	-44:25:10.947	THA	0.892	i		1.32		
V* HW Cet	14457169156045440	03:12:34.3466	+09:44:55.660	ABD	0.893	ABD	1.000	0.82	17.42	0.03
HD 20385	3261733202649972992	03:16:40.7557	-03:31:49.711	THA	0.605	COL	0.981	1.29	20.37	0.03
CD-35 1167	5047124994197379456	03:19:08.7812	-35:07:00.616	THA	0.999	THA	1.000	0.7	22.02	0.02
BD-11 648	5163141131831884544	03:21:49.6986	-10:52:18.207	COL	0.794	FIELD	1.000	0.88	7.67	0.02

Table B.1. Targets sample. The star masses are from ZF21.

Cton a numo		Tabl	e B.1 continued f	rom previous	page		DAMmuch		(1000) m	
	Uala UNU UI 170200031517100000	NAICRS	DECICRS	OM LINU		DMINING	1 000	Stat IIIass	pix(ilias)	
GSC 08499-00304	7667671107720200	03:24:15.15/2	092.21:10:62-		0.9/5	COL	1.000	0.82	11.94	0.04
BD+03 480	32/33011/0130020432	7000.01:82:50	+04:09:4 / . / 60	IHA	800.0	AKG	1.00U	1.18	12.2	0.03
CD-46 1064	4846466733467661184	03:30:49.2369	-45:55:57.444	THA	1.000	THA	1.000	0.83	22.78	0.01
HD 21997	5084963312478488960	03:31:53.7108	-25:36:51.179	COL	0.998	COL	1.000	1.27	14.35	0.03
CD-44 1173	4848412525451859328	03:31:55.7725	-43:59:13.615	THA	0.999	THA	1.000	0.76	22.17	0.03
HD 22213	5115334331896590208	03:34:16.4336	-12:04:07.846	THA	0.993	THA	0.998	1.09	19.46	0.02
HD 22705	4832672020067562624	03:36:53.5519	-49:57:28.842	THA	0.997	THA	1.000	1.19	23.66	0.18
HD 23208	5088895681454956672	03:42:39.8085	-20:32:43.224	OCT	0.806	OCT	0.995	0.89	17.57	0.02
G80-21	3250328209054347264	03:47:23.5340	-01:58:24.332	ABD	0.981	ABD	1.000	0.47	59.43	0.03
HD 24636	4629074455519836032	03:48:11.7279	-74-41-38-427	THA	0.996	THA	1.000	1.36	17.51	0.02
HD 74681	3256189465023650048	03.55.20 4501	-01-43-46 666	ARD	0 030	ARD	1 000	0.08	17 94	20.0
DD 15 705	0+00C0C20C0+C010C2C	100-07.00.00	15.71.20.726		0002		1 000	0.20	10.01	0.02
	3109911/8100/804004	1000001:20:40	067.06:17:01-	AUI	0.990 0.000	AUI	1.000	0.0 0	10.20	10.0
HD 2545/	3256/8653419/166208	04:02:36.9043	-00:16:12.145	ABD	0.993	ABD	1.000	1.2	53.40	0.07
HD 25953	3258856089957102720	04:06:41.5722	+01:41:00.563	ABD	0.871	ABD	1.000	1.2	17.52	0.03
CD-58 860	4682151077248467456	04:11:55.6645	-58:01:46.892	OCT	0.988	OCT	1.000	0.91	10.43	0.01
HD 26980	4844618213903654784	04:14:22.6258	-38:19:01.537	COL	1.000	COL	1.000	1.15	12.61	0.01
HD 27679	4896343707641120384	04:21:10.3715	-24:32:21.097	COL	0.993	COL	1.000	1.07	11.82	0.01
CD-43 1395	4839354748662015488	04.21.48.7175	-43.17.32.527	COL	0.643	6		1.25		
CD-44 1533	4830130553671190784	04.77.45 7030	-44.32.51 760	THA	0.757	· U	1 000	101	0 08	0.06
UNT IDAJADOA 5517772		020/10101010000	55.17.77 170		0000	, S	0001	1.01	12.75	0.00
ZIM J04240094-3312223	2164//0/001010101/14	07101.020	- 22:12:22:120		0.909		1 000		00.11	20.0
CD-441308	4/91203492348311232	0600.02:/2:40	-44:20:39.219	COL	0.02.0	UUL	1.000	0.84	11.28	0.02
CD-43 1451	4791763208191226624	04:30:27.3194	-42:48:45.811	OCT	0.783	ċ		1.07	7.73	0.51
CD-36 1785	4867591989673394944	04:34:50.8225	-35:47:21.132	COL	0.996	COL	1.000	0.79	11.43	0.01
BD-12 943	3178069026432500992	04:36:47.1580	-12:09:21.016	THA	0.979	THA	1.000	0.88	14.59	0.01
* c Eni	3205095125321700480	04:37:36.1796	-02:28:25.800	BPC	0.792	BPC	1.000	1.512	33.44	0.08
GJ 3305	3205094369407459456	04:37:37.5138	-02:29:29.707	ż		BPC	1.000	0.78	36.01	0.48
TYC 91-82-1	3282264001022709248	04:37:51.4944	+05:03:07.544	ż		ABD	1.000	0.88	10.37	0.04
HD 29615	4891725758804030208	04:38:44.0094	-27:02:01.978	THA	0.999	THA	1.000	1.11	18.4	0.01
HD 30447	4881312593414911616	04:46:49.5687	-26:18:08.932	THA	0.895	FIELD	1.000	0.9	12.45	0.02
TYC 8083-45-5	4784584668571800960	04:48:00.7634	-50:41:25.387	THA	0.973	THA	1.000	0.77	17.19	0.02
HD 32195	4622453093418397952	04:48:05.4948	-80:46:44.618	THA	0.913	THA	1.000	1.2	16.01	0.02
GSC 08077-01788	4786900240059859968	04:51:53.0778	-46:47:30.730	THA	0.947	COL	1.000	0.7	11.96	0.03
HD 31242	4786900244356750976	04:51:53.5864	-46:47:13.102	COL	0.998	COL	1.000	0.83	11.88	0.01
LP 776-25	2980822034888930176	04:52:24.5508	-16:49:25.296	ABD	0.969	ABD	1.000	0.47	63.13	0.03
BD-20 951	2974596325173490816	04:52:49.5637	-19:55:01.939	THA	0.930	FIELD	1.000	1.12	16.09	0.02
HD 272836	4786264859778429824	04:53:05.2471	-48:44:38.484	COL	0.996	COL	1.000	0.86	11.9	0.01
CD-561032B	4776266798684508928	04:53:30.8232	-55:51:30.551	ABD	1.000	ABD	1.000	0.31	90.15	0.02
CD-561032	4776266794388393472	04:53:31.4640	-55:51:35.954	ż		ABD	1.000	0.42	90.17	0.02
HD 31652	3183414542727824896	04:57:22.3391	-09:08:00.388	ż		ABD	1.000	0.95	10.99	0.01
BD-08 995	3183457561120188672	04:58:48.5657	-08:43:40.067	COL	0.884	COL	1.000	0.92	11.47	0.02
BD-19 1062	2975997549665260416	04:59:32.0864	-19:17:41.924	THA	0.993	THA	1.000	0.81	15.04	0.01
V* V1005 Ori	3231945508509506176	04:59:34.8760	+01:46:59.151	BPC	0.993	BPC	1.000	0.7	40.99	0.01
CD-57 1054	4764027962957023104	05:00:47.1994	-57:15:24.267	BPC	0.992	BPC	1.000	0.78	37.21	0.01
HD 32372	4813691219557127808	05:00:51.9118	-41:01:06.558	COL	0.998	COL	1.000	1.07	12.88	0.01
LP 476-207	3291643148740384128	05:01:58.8072	+09:58:58.095	BPC	0.975	BPC	1.000	0.95	42.04	0.03

		Tabl	e B.1 continued f	rom previous	s page					
Star name	Gaia DR3 ID	$RA_{ICRS}$	$DEC_{ICRS}$	SACYMG	SACYprob	BANMG	BANprob	star mass	plx(mas)	plx err
CD-40 1701	4814134872498801408	05:02:30.4750	-39:59:13.404	ż		ABD	1.000	0.63	18.11	0.01
HD 32981	2986060653741078784	05:06:27.7083	-15:49:31.119	¢.		ABD	1.000	1.1	11.76	0.01
BD-21 1074B	2962658549474035712	05:06:49.4823	-21:35:04.301	BPC	0.978	BPC	1.000	0.6	50.52	0.06
BD-21 1074A	2962658549474035584	05:06:49.9703	-21:35:09.374	BPC	0.956	BPC	1.000	0.46	50.43	0.02
HD 271037	4651456423469407744	05:06:50.5557	-72:21:11.245	OCT	0.797	OCT	1.000	1.2	7.04	0.05
HD 33999	4825917101662188928	05:12:35.8493	-34:28:48.587	ż		ABD	1.000	1.24	10.79	0.05
BD-09 1108	3014411427921646080	05:15:36.5557	-09:30:51.663	THA	0.953	THA	1.000	0.92	12.2	0.01
CD-30 2310	2906284045696794880	05:18:29.0938	-30:01:32.162	THA	0.998	THA	1.000	0.77	14.91	0.03
HD 35650	4819591920506512768	05:24:30.2287	-38:58:11.663	ABD	0.998	ABD	1.000	0.7	57.27	0.01
HD 35841	2958833623399371392	05:26:36.6091	-22:29:23.798	THA	0.844	COL	1.000	1.21	9.7	0.01
V* AF Lep	3009908378049913216	05:27:04.7817	-11:54:04.255	BPC	0.963	BPC	1.000	1.14	37.25	0.02
HD 36705	4660766641264343680	05:28:44.9676	-65:26:52.662	ż		BPC	1.000	0.92	67.33	0.44
HD 274576	4799008375640297344	05:28:51.3555	-46:28:19.026	OCT	0.998	OCT	1.000	1.02	7.69	0.01
HD 274561	4799433474323211776	05:28:55.1266	-45:34:58.213	COL	0.970	COL	1.000	0.76	9.78	0.01
V* UX Col	2901725882804010368	05:28:56.5317	-33:28:15.985	ABD	0.924	ABD	1.000	0.84	15.84	0.03
HD 36329	4822948351549058304	05:29:24.1334	-34:30:55.425	COL	1.000	COL	1.000	1.26	13.87	0.02
HD 269620	4658442922197295232	05:29:27.1575	-68:52:04.324	THA	0.916	ż		1.1	11.23	0.01
V* AG Lep	2968785096982770304	05:30:19.0995	-19:16:31.924	COL	0.876	COL	1.000	1.28	8.84	0.02
BD-20 1111	2965432033197734016	05:32:29.3251	-20:43:33.088	OCT	0.958	OCT	1.000	1.14	6.92	0.03
TYC 8098-414-1	4793327233418050176	05:33:25.6490	-51:17:12.759	THA	1.000	THA	1.000	0.67	18.56	0.01
V* AH Lep	2972231722338351360	05:34:09.1896	-15:17:03.551	COL	0.971	COL	1.000	1.19	17.35	0.02
CD-29 2360	2905633272252337920	05:34:59.2533	-29:54:03.444	ċ		ARG	0.996	0.9	17.42	0.02
CD-34 2331	2900656848263249920	05:35:04.1199	-34:17:52.337	ABD	0.775	ABD	1.000	0.72	11.62	0.01
CD-48 1893	4795596831576255488	05:36:55.1418	-47:57:47.897	ABD	0.997	ABD	1.000	0.7	40.76	0.04
V* UY Pic	4795598309045006208	05:36:56.8896	-47:57:52.902	ABD	0.998	ABD	1.000	0.92	40.66	0.01
V* AT Col	4808094705371742464	05:37:05.3557	-39:32:26.290	ċ		THA	1.000	1.09	13.19	0.44
HD 37551	4802947444765743104	05:37:12.9359	-42:42:55.937	ABD	0.913	ABD	1.000	1.09	12.43	0.01
HD 37551B	4802947444765742848	05:37:13.2591	-42:42:57.507	ABD	0.911	ABD	1.000	1.04	12.43	0.01
HD 37484	2907308172059100544	05:37:39.6563	-28:37:34.705	ċ		COL	1.000	1.36	17.0	0.02
TYC 119-1242-1	3224016964521247616	05:37:45.3664	+02:30:56.894	COL	0.942	COL	0.992	0.8	14.75	0.01
TYC 119-497-1	3224017823514705920	05:37:46.5219	+02:31:25.833	COL	0.931	COL	0.999	0.79	14.74	0.01
HD 269921	4657783116463742336	05:38:34.5749	-68:53:06.151	THA	0.791	CARN	1.000	0.97	10.8	0.04
BD-08 1195	3015216991987620096	05:38:35.0254	-08:56:40.790	COL	0.989	COL	1.000	1.04	12.21	0.02
Parenago 2752	3016917008762062336	05:38:56.6423	-06:24:41.477	ż		ABD	0.999	0.92	8.08	0.01
TYC 7605-1429-1	4804618114028288896	05:41:14.3307	-41:17:58.675	ċ		ABD	0.995	0.96	8.17	0.06
HD 38207	2966779995793890560	05:43:20.9734	-20:11:21.513	ċ		COL	1.000	1.25	9.09	0.02
CD-47 1999	4795466883045648768	05:43:32.1181	-47:41:10.675	OCT	0.868	OCT	1.000	1.31	7.19	0.01
HD 38397	4808165830030420352	05:43:35.8442	-39:55:24.494	COL	1.000	COL	0.997	1.17	18.66	0.02
HD 38497	2996181112816572160	05:45:41.2531	-14:46:31.144	ċ		ABD	1.000	1.27	13.09	0.06
CD-41 2076	4804797819756106112	05:48:30.4226	-41:27:30.252	ABD	0.850	ABD	1.000	0.78	17.85	0.1
CD-27 2520	2904801835303287808	05:49:06.5957	-27:33:55.614	THA	0.959	THA	1.000	0.86	12.86	0.01
CD-29 2531	2904320936405427072	05:50:21.4507	-29:15:20.563	COL	0.924	COL	1.000	0.83	8.8	0.01
CD-52 1363	4792175838585883904	05:51:01.1779	-52:38:12.432	COL	0.808	COL	1.000	1.05	7.54	0.01
V* TZ Col	2904454389629265536	05:52:15.9890	-28:39:25.414	ċ		ABD	1.000	1.18	10.86	0.16
HD 42270	4621305817457618176	05:53:29.5095	-81:56:52.170	COL	0.964	CAR	1.000	1.07	17.0	0.01

2	5 6 6 7 7	Tabl	le B.1 continued f	rom previou	s page					
Star name	Gala DR3 ID	$KA_{ICRS}$	DECICRS	SACYMG	SACY prob	BANMG	BANprob	star mass	plx(mas)	plx err
HD 40216	2883892182159616256	05:55:43.1902	-38:06:16.098	COL	0.996	COL	1.000	1.33	18.95	0.02
V* TY Col	2884080885842578176	05:57:50.8042	-38:04:03.449	ABD	0.918	ABD	1.000	0.84	13.75	0.04
TYC 7066-1037-1	2889149393928673664	05:58:11.7871	-35:00:48.996	OCT	0.986	OCT	1.000	0.77	7.39	0.01
HD 41071	5570953464908732928	06:00:41.3260	-44:53:49.733	COL	0.979	COL	0.986	0.89	18.48	0.01
BD-13 1328	2997190322755484032	06:02:21.8915	-13:55:34.074	ż		ABD	1.000	0.68	22.13	0.02
CD-49 2037	5554101009671475584	06:03:35.4318	-49:11:25.353	ż		OCT	1.000	1.18	6.02	0.07
CD-34 2676	2886635051355088640	06:08:33.8714	-34:02:55.215	ABD	0.884	ABD	1.000	0.94	11.48	0.03
CD-35 2722	2885863400349980288	06:09:19.2033	-35:49:31.962	ABD	0.994	ABD	1.000	0.6	44.72	0.01
V* AO Men	5266270443442455040	06:18:28.1811	-72:02:40.257	BPC	0.955	BPC	1.000	0.85	25.57	0.01
V* V1358 Ori	3116883781327753216	06:19:08.0689	-03:26:21.035	COL	0.872	ż		1.16	19.35	0.02
HD 44627	5495052596695570816	06:19:12.9419	-58:03:14.803	COL	0.998	CAR	0.997	0.89	19.95	0.01
HD 45270	5481848424118748672	06:22:30.9163	-60:13:06.112	ABD	0.998	ABD	1.000	1.11	41.89	0.01
CD-66 395	5283645647739198464	06:25:12.3918	-66:29:09.980	OCT	0.998	OCT	1.000	1.0	7.47	0.01
GSC 08894-00426	5481843025342799104	06:25:56.0383	-60:03:25.266	ABD	0.999	ABD	1.000	0.29	74.42	0.02
CD-40 2458	5570338906629079936	06:26:06.9183	-41:02:53.587	COL	0.949	COL	1.000	1.11	10.12	0.01
CD-48 2324	5552120961029170944	06:28:06.0891	-48:26:52.351	THA	0.655	COL	0.994	1.08	7.76	0.01
HD 47875	5278895306528592000	06:34:41.0462	-69:53:05.551	THA	0.974	THA	1.000	1.07	13.86	0.01
HD 48189	5479778765278589568	06:38:00.2597	-61:31:58.991	ABD	0.891	ABD	1.000	1.16	45.71	0.44
CD-61 1439	5479782647926975360	06:39:49.9631	-61:28:40.329	ABD	0.997	ABD	1.000	0.68	45.03	0.01
CD-30 3394	2894187150051042560	06:40:04.8608	-30:33:03.210	OCT	0.851	OCT	1.000	1.22	5.37	0.09
CD-30 3394B	2894187150052094080	06:40:05.7116	-30:33:08.778	OCT	0.727	OCT	1.000	1.15	5.21	0.15
HD 48370	3105749748629384576	06:43:01.0393	-02:53:20.455	COL	0.971	COL	1.000	0.94	27.81	0.02
HD 49855	5265670762922792960	06:43:46.2712	-71:58:34.422	COL	0.970	CAR	1.000	1.03	18.05	0.01
HD 53842	5194203331750283392	06:46:13.7255	-83:59:28.515	THA	0.960	THA	1.000	1.33	17.34	0.02
CD-36 3202	5578127400323942016	06:52:46.7417	-36:36:16.824	COL	0.980	COL	1.000	0.87	11.03	0.01
TYC 9178-284-1	5280793308412118656	06:55:25.2000	-68:06:20.505	COL	0.965	LCC	0.997	0.86	9.02	0.01
HD 51797	5557658685702796544	06:56:23.5440	-46:46:54.776	ż		COL	1.000	1.05	10.59	0.01
HD 55279	5208216951043609216	07:00:30.5017	-79:41:45.026	COL	0.995	CAR	1.000	0.85	15.76	0.01
CD-39 3026	5565429312334118272	07:01:51.7792	-39:22:04.202	ż		ARG	0.984	0.84	6.82	0.03
CD-42 2906	5562571883473697152	07:01:53.3887	-42:27:55.601	ż		ARG	1.000	0.91	11.37	0.01
CD-57 1709	5486699125824396928	07:21:23.7018	-57:20:36.612	THA	0.843	ċ		0.89	9.32	0.01
BD+20 1790	3363049071685181056	07:23:43.5146	+20:24:54.959	ċ		ABD	1.000	0.66	36.09	0.02
CD-48 2972	5506101790904438656	07:28:21.9862	-49:08:36.941	ċ		ARG	1.000	1.03	11.47	0.01
CD-29 4446	5605469402661155968	07:28:51.2160	-30:14:51.885	ABD	0.881	ċ		0.66	64.14	0.47
CD-84 80	5193207655251945088	07:30:59.2321	-84:19:26.760	ABD	0.907	ABD	1.000	0.94	14.54	0.02
CD-42 3328	5536071767758647808	07:33:21.1715	-42:55:42.143	THA	0.838	FIELD	1.000	0.83	7.2	0.01
HD 61005	5592237639209047424	07:35:47.3929	-32:12:12.855	ARG	0.733	ARG	1.000	0.89	27.43	0.02
CD-60 1850	5289434954410657280	07:43:42.8646	-61:07:16.892	THA	0.977	ż		0.87	10.22	0.03
HD 64982	5208790376420706432	07:45:35.4456	-79:40:07.500	ABD	0.820	ABD	0.992	1.15	11.52	0.12
CD-48 3199	5518068330006200192	07:47:25.9364	-49:02:50.630	ARG	0.993	ARG	1.000	0.87	8.75	0.01
CD-43 3604	5532434308418963072	07:48:49.7856	-43:27:05.058	ż		ARG	1.000	0.97	10.62	0.08
TYC 8561-970-1	5295688117557736448	07:53:55.4413	-57:10:06.926	ARG	0.568	ARG	1.000	0.85	6.52	0.01
TYC 8557-1251-1	5488367737800067072	07:55:31.6313	-54:36:50.464	THA	0.979	ċ		0.87	7.87	0.01
TYC 8570-1980-1	5319076203049591808	08:11:09.3268	-55:55:56.098	THA	0.952	CARN	0.992	0.85	7.06	0.01
BD-07 2388	3062970156370624512	08:13:50.9664	-07:38:25.381	COL	0.885	FIELD	1.000	0.86	19.97	0.2

C 4		Tabl	e B.1 continued f	rom previou	s page				() <u> </u>	
Star fiallic	Cala DK3 ID	AAICRS	DECICRS	DAL I MU	SALT PIOD	DMINING	DAINPIOU	star mass	pix(ilias)	pix err
TYC 8162-1020-1	5321517672922567040	08:28:45.5936	-52:05:26.393	ARG	0.974	ARG	1.000	1.12	6.5 2.7	0.01
UCAC4 192-01843/	53222810088694/1488	6088.10:62:80	-11:40:39.201	AKG	0.81/	AKG	1.000	1.0/	5.75	0.02
GSC08162-01222	5321275295028390912	08:34:18.1087	-52:15:57.377	ARG	0.998	ARG	0.971	0.8	6.5	0.01
UCAC4 189-019053	5321280728169745536	08:35:01.1496	-52:14:01.039	ARG	1.000	ż		0.84	6.83	0.01
Cl* IC 2391 PMM 3359	5318176875565072768	08:36:54.9475	-53:08:33.890	ARG	0.997	IC2391	1.000	0.86	6.74	0.01
V* EG Cha	5209082129256747008	08:36:56.0495	-78:56:45.203	ECH	0.869	ETAC	1.000	1.22	10.11	0.09
CPD-54 1712	5317655157295238656	08:37:10.9445	-55:18:10.334	THA	0.927	CARN	0.999	1.14	6.69	0.01
HD 73777	5321190155898133248	08:37:47.0084	-52:52:11.893	ARG	0.943	IC2391	1.000	1.3	6.58	0.01
Cl* IC 2391 D 41	5318077507199948672	08:37:51.5236	-53:45:45.404	ARG	0.999	IC2391	1.000	0.9	6.66	0.01
VXR PSPC 2a	5318185671658160768	08:37:55.5257	-52:57:10.477	ċ		ARG	1.000	0.95	6.71	0.06
CD-52 2467	5318186221414047104	08:38:22.8647	-52:56:47.650	ARG	0.996	IC2391	0.999	1.02	69.9	0.01
CD-58 2194	5302826215770873088	08:39:11.4815	-58:34:27.597	ċ		ARG	1.000	1.06	8.73	0.03
Cl* IC 2391 PMM 686	5318059532750974720	08:39:22.5213	-53:55:05.326	ARG	0.990	¢.		0.83	6.51	0.04
V* V364 Vel	5318545521198976000	08:39:53.0064	-52:57:56.463	ARG	0.988	IC2391	1.000	0.89	6.62	0.01
VXR PSPC 16a	5318546822567826944	08:40:16.2070	-52:56:28.801	ARG	0.984	IC2391	1.000	0.82	6.66	0.02
CI* IC 2391 SMY 23	5318093243960659456	08:40:49.0574	-53:37:44.981	ARG	1.000	IC2391	1.000	1.09	6.72	0.01
CD-61 2010	5300857711997333120	08:42:00.4641	-62:18:26.094	THA	0.748	PL8	0.994	1.02	7.93	0.01
Cl* IC 2391 PMM 756	5317884439832479872	08:43:00.3609	-53:54:07.268	ARG	0.933	IC2391	1.000	1.07	6.46	0.01
V* V376 Vel	5318512260971706496	08:44:05.1821	-52:53:16.839	ARG	0.988	IC2391	1.000	1.15	6.5	0.02
V* EO Cha	5209135352491538432	08:44:31.7278	-78:46:30.712	ECH	0.907	ETAC	1.000	0.58	10.19	0.01
V* V379 Vel	5318328676892604800	08:45:26.8863	-52:52:01.728	ARG	0.999	IC2391	1.000	0.75	6.7	0.01
2M J08500540-7554380	5216440061069982592	08:50:05.3754	-75:54:37.562	THA	0.817	LCC	1.000	0.84	9.75	0.02
CD-57 2315	5304243658062322176	08:50:08.0323	-57:45:58.677	ARG	0.848	ARG	1.000	1.2	10.27	0.12
TYC 8927-2035-1	5301732820175622912	08:52:19.2044	-60:04:43.960	THA	0.947	ċ		1.06	7.24	0.01
TYC 8590-1193-1	5304374843544543104	08:56:31.4803	-57:00:40.436	THA	0.867	CARN	0.994	0.857	6.35	0.01
TYC 8582-3040-1	5317269950278675072	08:57:45.5879	-54:08:36.462	THA	0.660	PL8	1.000	0.94	7.28	0.01
CD-49 4008	5325281335588219008	08:57:52.1249	-49:41:50.379	COL	0.907	PL8	0.998	0.96	7.93	0.01
TYC 8594-58-1	5303472350654243712	09:02:03.8820	-58:08:49.456	ARG	0.979	ARG	1.000	0.88	6.73	0.01
CD-55 2543	5310127660192135552	09:09:29.3222	-55:38:26.970	THA	0.729	PL8	1.000	1.14	7.88	0.04
TYC 8174-1586-1	5325609711604226048	09:11:15.7842	-50:14:14.701	THA	0.539	PL8	0.999	0.77	8.2	0.01
CD-621197	6630890508110702208	18:16:36.9899	-62:44:59.178	ARG	0.805	FIELD	1.000	1.15	2.26	0.02
V* V479 Car	5299141546145254528	09:23:34.9192	-61:11:35.604	COL	0.885	CAR	0.999	1.08	10.37	0.01
TYC 7695-335-1	5426190217812767360	09:28:54.0755	-41:01:18.926	ARG	0.706	FIELD	1.000	1.08	7.03	0.07
HD 309751	5247811842236238592	09:31:44.7109	-65:14:52.283	THA	0.714	FIELD	1.000	1.28	7.22	0.02
CPD-52 2481	5312245422658960768	09:32:26.0514	-52:37:39.449	THA	0.564	ċ		0.86	7.63	0.01
[K2001c] 17	5203033750152434176	09:34:55.7518	-78:04:18.938	ECH	0.841	ċ		0.65		
BD-20 2977	5664853853864480768	09:39:51.3711	-21:34:17.328	ċ		ARG	1.000	1.01	11.27	0.02
TYC 9217-641-1	5218300499165464320	09:42:47.3161	-72:39:49.621	ARG	0.678	ARG	1.000	0.8	6.42	0.01
CD-39 5833	5431592977432228992	09:47:19.7918	-40:03:09.579	ARG	0.570	ARG	1.000	0.93	9.71	0.01
HD 85151A	5412014832876362624	09:48:43.1193	-44:54:07.376	ARG	0.642	ARG	1.000	1.02	9.42	0.01
CD-65817	5249086141843932544	09:49:08.9888	-65:40:20.784	ARG	0.937	ARG	1.000	1.17	6.82	0.01
HD 86356	5202135964549093248	09:51:50.5349	-79:01:37.210	THA	0.995	THA	0.975	0.91	12.67	0.01
HD 309851	5245641784241253760	09:55:58.1881	-67:21:21.446	ARG	0.981	ARG	1.000	1.12	9.28	0.01
TYC 9217-417-1	5242190004920798592 5201022412150125054	09:59:57.5510	-72:21:46.770	THA	0.992	LCC	0.994	0.79	11.98	0.01
+/0016/-0/0010016 M7	40000C1001C147C6107C	46/C.00.1U.UI	160.10.51.61-	ни	CKK.N	<b>VUI</b>	1.000	10.0	76.21	10.0

Gaia ]	DR3 ID	Table	e B.1 continued fr DEC <sub>ICRS</sub>	rom previous SACYMG	page SACY prob	BANMG	BANprob	star mass	plx(mas)	plx err
52026/0052321415040 10:05:19.8405 - 5356713413789909632 10:13:14.6621 -	10:13:19.8405 - 10:13:14.6621 -	• •	-77:48:42.008 -52:30:53.848	ECH	0.998 0.915	LCC CAR	1.000	0.43 0.88	10.03 18.84	0.01 0.01
5355751581627180288 10:17:26.5848 -5	10:17:26.5848 -5	Ņ	3:54:26.538	BPC	0.925	BPC	1.000	0.17	50.52	0.2
3855208897392952192 10:28:54:9080 +0	10:28:54.9080 +0	0+	0:50:15.889	ABD	0.985	ABD	1.000	0.41	142.1	0.02
5444751795151480320 10:42:29:9496 -33:	10:42:29.9496 -33:		40:16.544	TWA	0.646	TWA	0.999	0.56	10.02 29.33	0.03
5231590094359089024 10:53:51.3740 -70:0	10:53:51.3740 -70:0	-70:(	12:15.899	ذ		ARG	1.000	1.12	6.35	0.03
5401795662560500352 11:01:51.8166 -34:4	11:01:51.8166 -34:4	-34:4	12:17.255	TWA	0.999	TWA	1.000	0.74	16.63	0.02
5240822208157707648 11:08:07.7976 -63:	11:08:07.7976 -63:	-63:	41:46.821	COL	0.879	LCC	0.975	0.92	11.23	0.09
5452498541764280832 11:09:13.6871 -30:	11:09:13.6871 -30:	-30:	01:40.223	TWA	0.774	CARN	0.985	0.93	21.77	0.2
5396978667759696000 11:10:27.7567 -37	11:10:27.7567 -37	-37	:31:51.805	TWA	0.841	ċ		0.66	26.99	0.04
5375025440645600000 11:13:26.1529 -45	11:13:26.1529 -45	-45	:23:42.826	TWA	0.676	ċ		0.83	10.87	0.02
5396105586807802880 11:21:05.3951 -38:	11:21:05.3951 -38:	-38:	45:16.756	TWA	1.000	TWA	1.000	0.62	15.29	0.02
5399220743767211776 11:21:17.1295 -34:	11:21:17.1295 -34:	-34:	46:45.790	TWA	1.000	TWA	1.000	0.74	16.71	0.02
5399220743767211264 11:21:17.3533 -34:	11:21:17.3533 -34:	-34:	46:50.044	TWA	1.000	TWA	1.000	0.82	16.71	0.02
3534414590303807232 11:22:05.1894 -24:	11:22:05.1894 -24:	-24:	46:40.286	TWA	0.979	TWA	0.993	1.34	23.75	0.39
5398663566249861120 11:31:55.1510 -34	11:31:55.1510 -34	-34	:36:27.564	TWA	0.932	TWA	1.000	0.99	20.13	0.06
3485098646237003392 11:32:41.1575 -26	11:32:41.1575 -26	-26	:51:56.400	TWA	0.976	TWA	1.000	0.54	21.76	0.03
3485098646237003136 11:32:41.0644 -26	11:32:41.0644 -26	-26	:52:09.494	TWA	0.985	TWA	1.000	0.16	21.63	0.03
5197213279191665920 11:40:16.2131 -83	11:40:16.2131 -83	-83	:20:59.927	THA	0.985	THA	1.000	0.77	12.72	0.01
3463395519358168064 11:48:23.6581 -37	11:48:23.6581 -37	-37	:28:48.774	TWA	0.926	TWA	1.000	0.39	13.14	0.03
3463395523652894336 11:48:24.1514 -37:	11:48:24.1514 -37:	-37:	28:49.407	TWA	0.980	TWA	1.000	0.84	13.07	0.01
5200035927402217088 11:49:31.6210 -78:	11:49:31.6210 -78	-78	51:01.126	ECH	0.999	ECH	1.000	0.66	9.94	0.02
5199981334076455680 11:57:13.2842 -79	11:57:13.2842 -79	-79	:21:31.668	ż		ECH	1.000	0.75	9.74	0.03
5224879500011370496 11:59:42.0899 -76	11:59:42.0899 -76	-76	01:26.274	ECH	0.994	ECH	1.000	0.76	10.09	0.01
5836666564476158336 12:00:04.8820 -78	12:00:04.8820 -78	-78	::11:34.658	ECH	0.959	ECH	1.000	1.61	9.38	0.04
5836666560185012352 12:00:08.0589 -7	12:00:08.0589 -7	ŗ,	8:11:39.416	ECH	0.981	ECH	1.000	0.84	9.77	0.02
5836666564480769280 12:00:09.0895 -7	12:00:09.0895 -7	5	78:11:42.387	ECH	0.987	ECH	1.000	0.93	9.98	0.03
5788345708499360256 12:01:38.8817 -7	12:01:38.8817 -7	5	8:59:16.996	ECH	0.977	ECH	1.000	0.85	10.18	0.12
5788534858859490432 12:02:03.4702 -7	12:02:03.4702 -7	5	8:53:01.394	ECH	0.900	ECH	1.000	0.86	10.18	0.06
3466308095597260032 12:07:27.2829 -3	12:07:27.2829 -3	ကိ	2:47:00.668	TWA	0.596	TWA	1.000	0.6	17.6	0.09
5854812388999707008 12:11:38.0188 -7	12:11:38.0188 -7	5	1:10:36.144	ECH	0.921	ż		0.71	9.47	0.01
6150861598484393856 12:15:30.6136 -3	12:15:30.6136 -3	ņ	9:48:43.057	TWA	0.996	TWA	1.000	0.82	18.66	0.03
5788664871812309376 12:16:45.7320 -7	12:16:45.7320 -7	5	7:53:33.607	ECH	0.997	ECH	1.000	0.49	9.82	0.02
5841382846589454080 12:19:43.5462 -7	12:19:43.5462 -7	Ļ	4:03:57.400	ECH	0.992	ECH	1.000	0.57	9.92	0.01
5841334609821849472 12:20:21.6304 -7	12:20:21.6304 -74	Ľ-	1:07:39.645	ć		ECH	0.998	0.86	8.42	0.35
6132134299824086144 12:34:56.2301 -45	12:34:56.2301 -45	-45	:38:07.956	TWA	0.953	ż		0.81	12.22	0.16
5838361599097855104 12:39:21.1178 -75	12:39:21.1178 -75	-75	02:39.381	ECH	0.996	ECH	0.999	1.23	9.7	0.01
5844573358774024320 12:58:25.4527 -70	12:58:25.4527 -70	-70	:28:49.412	ECH	0.814	rcc	0.999	1.37	10.51	0.01
3677911582262521728 13:03:49 4499 -0*	13.03.49 4499 -0-	Ģ	5·09·46 016	ABD	0 714	6		0.91		
5844796781847830737 13:00:01 13:00:01	13-22-07 4246 -6		0.38.12 530	HCH	0.817	ري 1 ري	0000	1 57	10.22	0.01
578086760003615360 13:49:12 6730	13.49.17 6739		-75-49-47 933	ARG	0.860	ARG	1 000	101	12.00	0.01
5848129213744138880 14:46:21.2691 -	14:46:21.2691 -		67:46:16.263	ARG	0.605	ARG	1.000	1.24	12.13	0.01
5792536565791371776 15:12:23.1264 -7	15:12:23.1264 -7	5	5:15:16.834	ARG	0.771	ARG	1.000	0.85	19.09	0.01
5882581895192805632 15:38:56.6791 -5	15:38:56.6791 -5	Ŷ	7:42:20.554	BPC	0.898	BPC	1.000	0.2	25.44	0.02

ť		Tabl	e B.1 continued f	rom previou	s page				~	
Star name	Uala DK3 ID	KAICRS	DECICRS	SACYMG	SACY prob	BANMG	BAINProb	star mass	plx(mas)	plx err
HD 139084	5882581895219921024	15:38:57.4476	-57:42:28.830	BPC	0.775	BPC	1.000	1.11	25.83	0.2
HD 139751	6254299662396908288	15:40:28.3225	-18:41:48.648	ABD	0.785	ABD	1.000	0.81	25.96	0.04
LP 745-70	4338433743723131648	16:33:41.5395	-09:33:14.782	ABD	0.963	ABD	1.000	0.58	32.17	0.02
HD 152555	4377537462448122112	16:54:08.1026	-04:20:26.487	ABD	0.903	ABD	1.000	1.16	22.13	0.02
CD-2711535	4107812485571331328	17:15:03.6033	-27:49:40.470	BPC	0.649	BPC	0.996	1.14		
GSC 08350-01924	5949369736173100544	17:29:20.66	-50:14:53.9	BPC	0.964	ċ		0.84	15.96	0.08
CD-54 7336	5924485966955008896	17:29:55.0675	-54:15:49.664	BPC	0.962	BPC	1.000	1.06	14.79	0.01
HD 155177	5767017686883156864	17:42:09.1975	-86:08:05.596	OCT	0.939	OCT	1.000	0.59	7.83	0.05
HD 161460	5945104490030338432	17:48:33.7368	-53:06:44.288	BPC	0.670	BPC	0.993	1.22		
HD 164249	6702775135228913280	18:03:03.4137	-51:38:57.811	BPC	0.993	BPC	1.000	1.29	20.29	0.02
HD 164249B	6702775508886369408	18:03:04.1114	-51:38:57.712	BPC	0.825	BPC	1.000	0.54	20.33	0.02
HD 319139	4045698423617983488	18:14:10.4863	-32:47:35.360	BPC	0.980	BPC	0.973	1.11	13.99	0.02
GSC 07396-00759	4045698732855626624	18:14:22.0777	-32:46:10.976	BPC	0.975	BPC	1.000	0.9	13.92	0.02
CD-64 1208	6438274728259539072	18:45:37.0992	-64:51:48.359	BPC	0.818	ARG	1.000	0.86	35.16	0.18
Smethells 20	6631685008336771072	18:46:52.5824	-62:10:37.896	BPC	0.986	BPC	1.000	0.72	19.72	0.02
UCAC2 1331888	6418631162754209920	18:49:45.1858	-71:56:58.178	OCT	0.900	OCT	1.000	0.89	6.8	0.01
CD-31 16041	6736232346363422336	18:50:44.5047	-31:47:48.539	BPC	0.952	BPC	1.000	0.86	20.22	0.01
V* PZ Tel	6655168686921108864	18:53:05.9006	-50:10:51.265	BPC	0.840	BPC	1.000	1.14	21.16	0.02
TYC 6872-1011-1	6760846563417053056	18:58:04.1696	-29:53:05.439	BPC	0.919	BPC	1.000	0.98	13.45	0.04
HD 178085	6632318361397624960	19:10:57.8753	-60:16:21.539	ABD	0.902	ABD	1.000	1.19	17.08	0.02
CD-26 13904	6764026419748892160	19:11:44.6913	-26:04:09.301	ċ		BPC	1.000	1.11	14.77	0.21
* eta Tel	6643602576214115584	19:22:51.2534	-54:25:27.473	BPC	0.991	BPC	1.000	1.19	20.6	0.1
HD 181327	6643589352010758400	19:22:58.9885	-54:32:18.290	BPC	0.966	BPC	1.000	1.19	20.93	0.03
TYC 486-4943-1	4289366113217575424	19:33:03.7686	+03:45:38.654	ABD	0.893	ABD	1.000	0.78	14.23	0.01
CPD-79 1037	6362543597150911616	19:47:03.9241	-78:57:43.429	OCT	0.914	ċ		1.04	6.92	0.01
CPD-82 784	6347492932234149120	19:53:57.0553	-82:40:42.057	OCT	0.880	ż		1.14	8.28	0.02
UCAC3 116-474938	6747467431032539008	19:56:02.9824	-32:07:19.826	BPC	0.685	BPC	0.998	0.72	19.54	0.73
TYC 7443-1102-1	6747467224874108288	19:56:04.4141	-32:07:38.769	BPC	0.989	BPC	1.000	0.78	19.49	0.02
2M J20013718-3313139	6747106443324127488	20:01:37.2100	-33:13:14.993	BPC	0.987	BPC	1.000	0.73	16.68	0.02
BD-03 4778	4222102218052861440	20:04:49.3880	-02:39:21.547	ABD	0.916	ABD	1.000	0.96	14.88	0.01
CD-52 9381	6666178680963755008	20:07:23.9230	-51:47:29.558	ċ		ARG	1.000	0.59	29.36	0.01
HD 191089	6847146784384459648	20:09:05.2635	-26:13:27.598	BPC	0.990	BPC	1.000	1.32	19.96	0.02
V* AT Mic	6792436799475128960	20:41:51.5010	-32:26:12.677	BPC	0.985	FIELD	1.000	$0.442^{*}$	100.79	0.07
V* AU Mic	6794047652729201024	20:45:09.8838	-31:20:33.000	BPC	0.992	BPC	1.000	0.61	102.94	0.02
HD 199058	1750317374916618752	20:54:21.1180	+09:02:22.855	ABD	0.845	ABD	1.000	1.31	13.06	0.06
1RXS J2054280+090615	1750318749306157824	20:54:28.0340	+09:06:05.803	ABD	0.887	ABD	1.000	0.77	13.34	0.02
HD 358623	6882838031333427456	20:56:02.8029	-17:10:54.762	BPC	0.940	ż		0.92	21.7	0.02
HD 201919	6834123756346400768	21:13:05.3650	-17:29:15.030	ABD	0.999	ABD	1.000	0.72	26.02	0.02
HD 202917	6463782435948431616	21:20:50.0133	-53:02:04.685	THA	0.975	THA	1.000	0.9	21.41	0.02
BD+22 4409	1797276382668029440	21:31:01.8704	+23:20:05.055	ABD	0.998	FIELD	1.000	0.76	41.29	0.02
Smethells 86	6409848126430574592	21:44:30.2139	-60:58:40.391	THA	0.990	THA	1.000	0.68	21.55	0.01
HD 207575	6408937971321777152	21:52:09.8251	-62:03:09.965	THA	0.976	THA	1.000	1.26	21.39	0.01
BPS CS 22881-0003	6571446820698811904	22:02:16.3330	-42:10:34.777	THA	0.950	ċ		0.62	22.49	0.02
CPD-72 2713	6382640367603744128	22:42:49.2563	-71:42:22.037	BPC	0.991	BPC	1.000	0.79	27.23	0.01
V* WW PsA	6603693881832177792	22:44:58.1923	-33:15:03.715	BPC	0.998	BPC	1.000	0.35	47.92	0.03

plx err	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05	
plx(mas)	48.0	31.47	31.48	19.22	20.93	21.04	21.61	36.43	22.65	22.64	15.44	22.68	45.55	8.92	
star mass	0.19	1.02	0.93	0.84	1.0	0.55	0.63	0.7	0.89	0.97	0.98	0.87	0.82	1.21	
BANprob	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
BANMG	BPC	ABD	FIELD	THA	ABD	ABD	THA	BPC	THA	THA	ABD	ABD	ABD	OCT	
SACYprob	0.993		0.923	0.945	0.979		0.997	0.992	0.982	0.993	0.899	0.985	1.000	0.937	
SACYMG	BPC	ċ	ABD	THA	ABD	ċ	THA	BPC	THA	THA	ABD	ABD	ABD	OCT	
$DEC_{ICRS}$	-33:15:28.025	-26:09:16.082	-26:18:45.332	-02:25:55.981	-45:08:12.130	-45:08:01.943	-73:23:50.915	-12:15:52.776	-69:11:40.547	-69:11:45.788	-35:58:40.867	-28:07:17.347	-39:03:11.382	-86:26:23.802	
$RA_{ICRS}$	22:45:00.2897	23:00:19.4167	23:00:28.0956	23:09:37.1951	23:11:52.1858	23:11:53.7516	23:26:10.9662	23:32:31.0135	23:39:39.5042	23:39:39.7196	23:41:54.3862	23:48:50.6117	23:56:10.9564	23:58:18.0852	
Gaia DR3 ID	6603693808817829760	2382711653818308224	2382705816958084224	2638216857371313664	6527965258988306304	6527965293348044288	6380514358792367232	2433191886212246784	6387058411482257280	6387058411482257536	2311789825227125632	2328250334633289856	2307132568850133376	6343364815827362688	
Star name	V* TX PsA	HD 217343	HD 217379A	BD-03 5579	HD 218860	CD-45 14955B	TYC 9344-293-1	BD-13 6424	HD 222259B	HD 222259	HD 222575	HD 223340	HD 224228	CD-87 121	

### **Appendix C: Results**

This table provides the results on the stars not known to be accreting and found to be have a companion in the present study.

The column labeled ZF21 indicates the binary status reported by ZF21. WDS stands for Washington visual Double Stars catalogue (?). SB9 stands for the 9th Catalogue of Spectroscopic Binary Orbits (?). NSS refers to the Gaia DR3 Part 3 Non-single stars (Gaia Collaboration, 2022). FMP refers to *IPD\_frac\_multi\_peak*.  $\sigma_{ruwe}$  refers to the *N*- $\sigma_{ruwe}$ , and  $\sigma_{PMa}$  refers to the *N*- $\sigma_{PMa}$  (see Text). Note that values of 10.0 indicate actual values equal or larger than 10. Max sma indicates the maximum sma of the (sma, Mass) solutions for each identified binary, and Min mass gives the minimum mass in terms of class (Stellar, BD, or Planet) of the (sma, Mass) solutions.

Oton nome		7571	Nff	Nieto I	1	Der		JC S	V OC	נטני ב		at Von			Louis and	Ain moon	
Star name	UII CALI DASI	ZF21	NIOV	INPUS 1	.dn/	Far.	NUWE W	2	י ה ה		ы Ч	iol var.	σruwe (	σ <sub>PMa</sub> IN	Tax sma_N	un mass	
					S	olved	<del>L</del>	ag 1	lag t	lag	lag					sma n (au)	nass
CD-29 2360	2905633272252337920	ċ	56	491	0	31	1913.0	0	0	0	2.0	NAV	8.2		20	BD	
CD-44 1173	4848412525451859328	ċ	4	357	0	95	1811.0	. —	0	0	6.0	NAV	8.0		20	BD	
BD-20 951	2974596325173490816	SB2	68	602	0	31	1806.0	0	0	7	1.0	NAV	7.8		20	BD	
V* V376 Vel	5318512260971706496	ż	40	352	0	31	1919.0	0	0	0	1.0	NAV	7.7		15	BD	
V* DX Cha	5836666564476158336	ċ	33	293	<del>, -</del>	31	2071.0	<del>, -</del>	0	0	2.0	NAV	L.T	3.1	Э	S	
V* V1215 Cen	5375025440645600000	SB2	80	693	0	31	1727.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	6.9		20	BD	
[FLG2003] eps Cha 7	5836666564480769280	ċ	39	344	0	95	1554.0	Ļ	0	0	0.0	NAV	6.5		10	BD	
BD-21 1074B	2962658549474035712	ċ	52	454	0	95	1948.0	-	0	0	0.6	NAV	6.1		20	Р	
CPD-82 784	6347492932234149120	ċ	39	342	0	31	1.72	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	6.1		20	BD	
CD-84 80	5193207655251945088	ż	31	273	0	31	1.63	Ţ	0	7 0	17.0	NAV	5.7		20	BD	
BD+03 480	3275301176136626432	SB2	18	159	0	31	1862.0	Ļ	0	0	0.0	NAV	5.3		20	BD	
V* AG Lep	2968785096982770304	ż	47	412	0	31	1575.0	0	0	0	0.0	VAR	5.2		20	BD	
HD 38497	2996181112816572160	ż	29	235	0	95	1885.0	<del>, ,</del>	0	7 0	4.0	NAV	4.8		20	Р	
Barta 16112	2477870708709917568	ż	37	313	0	31	1.488	0	0	0	2.0	NAV	4.4		15	Р	
HD 139751	6254299662396908288	ż	23	199	0	95	1807.0	1	0	7 0	0.6	NAV	4.2	10.0	20	Р	
V* DZ Cha	5200035927402217088	ż	35	306	0	31	1508.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	4.0		15	BD	
[FLG2003] eps Cha 6	5836666560185012352	ż	40	354	0	31	1.4	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	4.0		20	BD	
G80-21	3250328209054347264	ż	33	294	0	31	1.52	0	0	0	1.0	NAV	3.9	0.7	e	Р	
TYC 8083-45-5	4784584668571800960	ż	27	231	0	31	1541.0	<del>, ,</del>	0	0	0.0	NAV	3.9		20	Р	
LP 776-25	2980822034888930176	ż	53	460	0	31	1.38	0	0	0	1.0	NAV	3.3		30	Р	
CD-26 8623B	3485098646237003136	ż	47	409	0	31	1.3	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	3.3		10	Р	
V* HW Cet	14457169156045440	ż	21	187	0	31	1.45	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	3.2		25	Р	
$V^* T Cha$	5199981334076455680	ż	34	291	0	95	1.38	_	0	0	0.0	NAV	3.1	0.4	2	BD	
CD-36 7429B	3463395519358168064	ċ	4	385	0	31	1.34	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	3.0		10	Р	
HD 20385	3261733202649972992	SB? not stable RV	23	203	0	31	1332.0	-	0	0	3.0	NAV	2.9	10.0	40	BD	
EXO 02352-5216	4745195905754074496	SB?	46	404	0	31	1265.0	0	0	0	1.0	NAV	2.7		20	Р	
BD-21 1074A	2962658549474035584	ż	53	465	0	31	1278.0	_	0	0	0.0	NAV	2.6		30	Р	
RXJ 10053-7749	5202670052321415040	ż	41	359	0	31	1274.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	2.6		15	Ь	
CD-34 7390B	5399220743767211264	ż	49	425	0	31	1267.0	-	0	0	2.0	NAV	2.6		30	Ь	
HD 309851	5245641784241253760	ż	39	339	0	31	1.17	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	2.5		30	BD	
HD 309751	5247811842236238592	SB2	43	379	0	95	1334.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	2.5		20	BD	
2M J08500540-7554380	5216440061069982592	ż	32	278	0	31	1164.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	2.4		20	Ь	
CD-34 7390A	5399220743767211776	ż	51	440	0	31	1.25	<u> </u>	0	0	0.0	NAV	2.4		30	Ь	
HD 139084B	5882581895192805632	ċ	60	523	0	31	1226.0		0	0	0.0	NAV	2.4		20	Ь	
CD-29 2531	2904320936405427072	ż	48	416	0	31	1243.0	_	0	0	5.0	VAR	2.2		20	Р	
BD+30 397B	132363027978672000	ż	23	196	0	31	1332.0	<b>_</b>	0	0	0.0	NAV	2.1		20	Р	
UCAC4 192-018437	5322281008869471488	ż	34	292	0	31	1148.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	2.1		20	BD	
BD-13 1328	2997190322755484032	ż	42	368	0	31	1233.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	2.0		30	Р	
HD 319139	4045698423617983488	SB2	23	201	0	31	862.0	<del></del>	0	0	0.0	VAR	2.0		30	Ь	
UCAC3 61-3820	4717559779772987520	ż	42	369	0	31	1.19	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	2.0		30	Р	
CD-561032B	4776266798684508928	ż	36	317	0	31	1215.0	<b>—</b>	0	0	4.0	NAV	2.0		30	Ь	
2M J10010873-7913074	5201932413160136064	ż	39	342	0	31	1.2	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	2.0		30	Ь	

Star name	Gaia DR3 ID	ZF21	Nfov	Nnts I	un	Par	RUME	VDS	SR9	SSN	FMP	Phot Var	<u> </u>	(TaMe	Max sma	Min mass	
				•		solved		flag	flag	flag	flag		v ruwe	v PMa	ning with	sma	mass
								0	o	O	O					(au)	
TWA 3A	5396978667759696000	ċ	40	348	0	31	1286.0		0	0	14.0	NAV	2.0		20	Р	
HD 164249B	6702775508886369408	ż	35	299	0	31	1226.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	2.0		25	Р	
HD 199058	1750317374916618752	SB2	36	318	0	31	3188.0	-	0	0	20.0	NAV	10.0		15	BD	
V* TY Col	2884080885842578176	ċ	42	370	0	31	2662.0	0	0	0	0.0	VAR	10.0		15	BD	
CD-34 2676	2886635051355088640	ć	46	406	0	95	2317.0	1	0	0	57.0	VAR	10.0		15	BD	
CD-30 3394	2894187150051042560	ż	46	400	0	31	7096.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		L	S	
CD-30 3394B	2894187150052094080	ż	46	388	0	95	10.9	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		Г	S	
V* UX Col	2901725882804010368	ż	49	415	0	95	3.01	-	0	0	40.0	NAV	10.0		20	BD	
V* TZ Col	2904454389629265536	ż	52	456	0	31	4481.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0	10.0	Г	S	
CD-30 2310	2906284045696794880	ż	55	479	0	31	2.98	1	0	0	35.0	NAV	10.0		10	BD	
BD-20 1111	2965432033197734016	SB?	95	816	-	31	1862.0	1	0	0	0.0	VAR	10.0		15	BD	
BD-07 2388	3062970156370624512	ż	59	519	0	31	13796.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		10	S	
GJ 3305	3205094369407459456	ż	49	417	0	31	22864.0	-	0	0	38.0	NAV	10.0		10	S	
TYC 91-82-1	3282264001022709248	ż	49	427	0	31	2817.0	1	0	0	60.0	NAV	10.0		10	BD	
TWA 23	3466308095597260032	SB	99	580	0	31	4327.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		8	BD	
HD 98800	3534414590303807232	SB2	22	186	0	95	9354.0	-	-	0	97.0	NAV	10.0	10.0	10	S	
HD 98800	3534414590303807232	SB2	22	186	0	95	9354.0	-	-	0	97.0	NAV	10.0	10.0	10	S	
HD 271037	4651456423469407744	ż	38	336	0	31	4928.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		6	S	
HD 269921	4657783116463742336	ż	35	309	0	31	2847.0	0	0	-	0.0	NAV	10.0		10	BD	
HD 36705	4660766641264343680	ż	30	267	0	31	25.13	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0	10.0	8	S	
GSC 08499-00304	4723899224517492992	ż	34	291	-	31	2863.0	-	0	0	78.0	NAV	10.0		1		
CD-53 544	4742040410461492096	ż	46	404	0	31	4115.0	1	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		20	BD	
V* AF Hor	4742040513540707072	ż	47	403	0	31	3327.0	1	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		10	BD	
GSC 08057-00342	4748158986511426688	SB1	48	424	0	31	5267.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		10	BD	
2M J04240094-5512223	4778915109878774912	ż	42	362	0	31	2017.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		10	BD	
GSC 08077-01788	4786900240059859968	SB2	38	334	0	31	2529.0	-	0	-	0.0	NAV	10.0		15	BD	
CD-441568	4791203492348511232	ć.	40	355	0	31	2085.0		0	0	25.0	NAV	10.0		15	BD	
CD-43 1451	4791763208191226624	¢.	32	260	0	31	t1935.0		0	0	91.0	NAV	10.0		L	S	
CD-48 1893	4795596831576255488	c. (	43	380	0	31	3799.0	<u> </u>	0		1.0	NAV	10.0	10.0	50	д ;	
I YC /605-1429-1	4804618114028288896		49 :	433	0	<u>3</u> 1	6402.0		0	0	0.0	VAK	10.0		, y	n	
CD-41 2076	4804797819756106112	c. (	45	389	0	31 31	8.22	0,	0	0	2.0	NAV	10.0		10	BD ,	
V* AI Col	4808094/053/1/42464		33	262	0	31	64589.0	_	0	0	12.0	NAV	10.0		n j	N	
HD 33999	4825917101662188928	SB3	32	272	0	31	2567.0		0	0	72.0	NAV	10.0		15	BD	
HD 22705	4832672020067562624	SB?	34	301	0	31	9442.0		0	c	0.0	NAV	10.0	10.0	10	BD	
CD-44 1533	4839139553621190784	ċ	41	350	0	95	5718.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		10	S	
HD 3221	4901802507993393664	ċ	48	419	0	31	2081.0	-	0		0.0	NAV	10.0	1.4	20	Р	
Smethells 165	4901926409210453760	SB?	40	347	0	95	3264.0	-	0	0	78.0	NAV	10.0	10.0	10	BD	
CD-53 386	4936385034903884288	ż	47	406	0	95	2693.0	-	0	0	28.0	NAV	10.0		10	BD	
CD-46 644	4943150845347891840	ć	52	461	0	31	4448.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		10	BD	
CD-44 753	4946633857666189952	ċ	55	483	0	31	9741.0	1	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		15	BD	
BD-16 351	5147904718169224704	ċ	37	322	0	31	2844.0	-	0	0	62.0	NAV	10.0		15	BD	
HD 64982	5208790376420706432	ć	31	274	0	31	7.6		0	-	0.0	NAV	10.0	3.5	3	s	

** EG Cha PD-691432					•	solved		flag	flag	flag	flag					sma n	
EG Cha D-691432																	lass
EG Cha D-691432					¢	ě		,	¢	¢	0				d	(au) ĩ	
D-691432	5209082129256747008	ċ	37	326	0	31	9226.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		6	S	
	5231590094359089024	ż	39	344	0	31	2.15	0	0	0	8.0	NAV	10.0		10	BD	
C 8962-1/4/-1	5240822208157707648	ż	47	413	0	31	7.0	1	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		10	S	
-60 1850	5289434954410657280	ż	33	286	0	31	2661.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		15	BD	
-58 2194	5302826215770873088	6	4	361	C	<del>،</del>	2527.0	C	C	<del>.</del>	0 0	NAV	10.0		5	BD	
-57 2315	5304243658062322176	· c	3	275		95	6 46	~ <del></del>			0.0	NAV	10.0		10	V	
-55 2543	5310127660192135552	۰ د	10	212 215		50	2000 U				0.0	NAV	10.0		10	RD	
TC 2301 DMM 686	531805053775007770	. د	E PP	385		, <del>.</del>	4176.0	- 0			0.0	NAV	10.0		10	d V	
IC 2391 FIMIN 000	0714160017605050505050505050505050505050505050505	- 0	;			10	4120.0				0.07		10.01		2 נ	ממ	
K PSPC 2a	89/0918091/90818180		47	364	0	31	45//.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		/	<b>N</b>	
IA 22	5355751581627180288	ż	43	374	0	31	8878.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		S	S	
-337795	5398663566249861120	VB	09	531	0	31	3327.0	1	0	0	2.0	NAV	10.0		15	BD	
C 7695-335-1	5426190217812767360	ċ	09	527	0	31	5073.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		L	S	
-29 8887	5452498541764280832	ż	39	319	0	95	8792.0		0	0	0.66	NAV	10.0		10	S	
48189	5479778765278589568	ċ	20	176	0	31	24094.0	1	0	0	64.0	NAV	10.0	10.0	8	S	
-43 3604	5532434308418963072	SB1	4	390	0	31	9325.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		10	BD	
-49 2037	5554101009671475584	ż	27	220	0	95	3.45	-	0	0	73.0	NAV	10.0		8	S	
-39 3026	5565429312334118272	ċ	37	324	0	31	2129.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		10	BD	
-29 4446	5605469402661155968	SB	45	392	0	95	10317.0	1	0	0	76.0	NAV	10.0	10.0	8	S	
155177	5767017686883156864	SB2	35	306	0	31	3483.0	0	0	0	25.0	NAV	10.0		L	BD	
104467	5788345708499360256	SB1	41	362	0	31	7.68	0	0	С	0.0	NAV	10.0		7	S	
J12020369-7853012	2 5788534858859490432	SB1	41	362	0	31	4.49	1	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		10	S	
J12204-7407	5841334609821849472	ż	45	386	0	95	11496.0	1	0	0	23.0	NAV	10.0		10	S	
139084	5882581895219921024	SB1	55	485	-	31	8.6	-	0	-	0.0	NAV	10.0	10.0	9	S	
C 08350-01924	5949369736173100544	ċ	99	551	0	95	1605.0	-	0	0	0.06	NAV	10.0		10	BD	
A 16	6132134299824086144	ċ	44	375	0	31	10459.0	-	0	0	0.06	NAV	10.0		7	S	
-87 121	6343364815827362688	ż	37	317	0	31	4.95	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		10	S	
-64 1208	6438274728259539072	SB2	52	451	0	31	10937.0	1	0	0	6.0	VAR	10.0		10	BD	
45 14955B	6527965293348044288	ż	85	743	0	31	3425.0	-	0	0	0.0	VAR	10.0		10	BD	
AC3 116-474938	6747467431032539008	SB3	32	281	0	31	29.71	-	0	0	7.0	NAV	10.0		e	S	
C 6872-1011-1	6760846563417053056	SB2	25	218	0	31	2372.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		15	BD	
26 13904	6764026419748892160	i	26	231	0	31	11992.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	10.0		8	S	
AT Mic	6792436799475128960	ż	48	427	-	31	2331.0	-	0	0	2.0	NAV	10.0	10.0	20	NB	
17332A	84625634714435968	ż	21	184	0	31	1011.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	1.0	7.2			
40216	2883892182159616256	ż	39	344	0	31	967.0	-	0	0	1.0	NAV	0.5	5.3	100	Р	
14082B	107774198474602368	ż	21	186	0	31	919.0	1	0	0	0.0	NAV	0.5	5.0	100	NB	
44627	5495052596695570816	ż	30	257	0	31	923.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	0.6	4.2	100	Р	
AF Lep	3009908378049913216	ż	72	627	<del></del>	31	918.0	0	0	0	0.0	VAR	0.0	4.0	200	Ь	
178085	6632318361397624960	ż	55	480	0	31	1.07	1	0	0	0.0	NAV	1.3	3.9	200	Р	
PZ Tel	6655168686921108864	SB?	35	308	0	31	949.0	1	0	0	0.0	NAV	0.6	3.0	200	Р	
22229	6387058411482257536	ż	4	383	0	31	913.0	1	0	0	1.0	NAV	0.3	2.6	200	Р	
53842	5194203331750283392	ż	36	313	0	31	1032.0	-	0	0	6.0	NAV	1.1	2.2	20	Р	
23208	5088895681454956672	ż	49	431	0	31	993.0	0	0	0	0.0	VAR	0.8	2.2	200	Ь	

Ň
7777
3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
3 3 3 3 3 3
31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31
31 31 31
31 31
31
31
31
31
31
31
31
31
31
31
31
31
3
ŝ
ŝ
ŝ
ŝ
c
c
<b>(</b> 1)
(1)
m d
n c
n c
0 (
0 9
л с
ο c
$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$
31
3
ŝ
ŝ
ŝ
<b>G</b> )

Star name	Gaia DR3 ID	ZF21	Nfov	Npts ]	Dup.	Par.	RUWE	WDS	SB9	NSS	FMP ]	Phot Var.	$\sigma_{ruwe}$	JPMa	Max sma	Ain mas	
						solved		flag	flag	flag	flag					sma (au)	mass
HD 32195	4622453093418397952	SB?	34	295	0	31	957.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	0.7	0.6		~	
V* WW PsA	6603693881832177792	ż	28	247	0	31	1139.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	1.5	0.5			
V* V479 Car	5299141546145254528	ċ	38	331	0	31	976.0	-	0	0	0.0	VAR	1.1	0.5			
HD 13183	4743944043046490240	SB1	48	418	0	31	983.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	0.9	0.5			
HD 17250	6478258087046528	SB	24	211	0	31	965.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	0.7	0.5			
LP 745-70	4338433743723131648	ċ	69	604	0	31	1.02	-	0	0	0.0	VAR	0.3	0.5			
V* V1005 Ori	3231945508509506176	SB	56	495	0	31	0.9	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	0.1	0.5			
V* TW Hya	5401795662560500352	ż	45	399	0	31	1175.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	1.7	0.4			
HD 29615	4891725758804030208	ċ	63	554	0	31	1027.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	0.9	0.4			
HD 6569	2371914419569515136	ċ	38	335	0	31	956.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	0.8	0.4			
HD 218860	6527965258988306304	ċ	84	732	-	31	1011.0	-	0	0	1.0	VAR	0.7	0.4			
BD+22 4409	1797276382668029440	ċ	70	605		31	1039.0	-	0	0	1.0	VAR	0.5	0.4			
* eta Tel	6643602576214115584	ż	27	238	0	31	1013.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	0.5	0.4			
HD 61005	5592237639209047424	ż	54	471	0	31	0.94	-	0	0	1.0	NAV	0.3	0.4			
V* CC Phe	4916062039935185792	ċ	51	445	0	31	873.0		0	0	0.0	VAR	0.2	0.4			
HD 222575	2311789825227125632	ċ	27	234	0	31	1079.0	0	0	0	0.0	VAR	1.4	0.3			
HD 35650	4819591920506512768	ż	40	355	0	31	1081.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	1.4	0.3			
CD-36 7429A	3463395523652894336	ż	42	375	-	31	1043.0	-	0	0	0.0	VAR	0.9	0.3			
CD-61 1439	5479782647926975360	ż	27	236	0	31	961.0	0	0	0	1.0	NAV	0.8	0.3			
HD 8813	4637584916037247488	ż	43	380	-	31	957.0	0	0	0	1.0	NAV	0.7	0.3			
BD+30 397	132362959259196032	ċ	23	200	0	31	892.0	-	0	0	0.0	VAR	0.3	0.3			
HD 987	4688292536884945536	ż	36	315	0	31	835.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	0.2	0.3			
V* AU Mic	6794047652729201024	ż	46	404	0	31	927.0	-	0	0	1.0	NAV	0.2	0.3			
Smethells 86	6409848126430574592	ż	65	561	0	31	1171.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	1.6	0.2			
HD 224228	2307132568850133376	ż	30	262	0	31	1.02	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	1.1	0.2			
HD 37484	2907308172059100544	ċ	53	470	0	31	1032.0	0	0	0	1.0	NAV	0.8	0.2			
HD 25457	3256786534197166208	ċ	21	182	0	31	989.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	0.7	0.1			
2M J12164593-7753333	5788664871812309376	ż	37	319	0	31	1177.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	1.9				
V* CE Ant	5444751795151480320	ć	35	302	0	31	1187.0		0	0	1.0	NAV	1.8				
HD 48370	3105749748629384576	ċ	25	222	0	31	1112.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	1.7		Limit 0		
CD-47 1999	4795466883045648768	<b>~</b> ··	38	328	0	31	1097.0		0	0	0.0	NAV	1.7				
VXR PSPC 16a	5318546822567826944	ċ	36	322	0	31	1087.0	0	0	0	2.0	VAR	1.7				
HD 73777	5321190155898133248	ċ	44	391	0	31	1105.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	1.7				
BPS CS 22881-0003	6571446820698811904	ċ	54	468	0	31	1128.0	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	1.7				
HD 17332B	84625630419434496	ċ	20	175	0	31	1095.0	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	1.7				
BD-13 6424	2433191886212246784	ż	23	202	0	31	1.13	0	0	0	0.0	NAV	1.6				
CD-40 1701	4814134872498801408	ċ	48	422	0	31	1087.0	0	0	0	0.0	VAR	1.5				
$V^* TX P_SA$	6603693808817829760	ż	29	249	0	31	1.17	-	0	0	0.0	NAV	1.5				
HD 223340	2328250334633289856	ż	24	212	0	31	1085.0	-	0	0	0.0	VAR	1.4				
HD 24681	3256189465023650048	ż	29	252	0	31	1102.0	0	0	0	0.0	VAR	1.4				
HD 272836	4786264859778429824	ċ	40	352	0	31	1019.0		0	0	9.0	NAV	1.3				
Cl* IC 2391 PMM 756	5317884439832479872	ċ	36	317	0	31	1048.0	0	0	0	49.0	NAV	1.3				

s	mass																																										
Min mas	sma (au)																																										
x sma																																											
Ma Ma																																											
TD OD		ei.	2	5	5	2	2	2				0.	0.	0.	0.	6.(	6.0	6.(	6.(	6.0	6.(	6.(	6.(	6.0	.8	.8	.8	.8	.8	8.0	0.0	×.0	0.0	0.1		- 1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	7.0		.7	.6	9.0
Var. $\sigma$		N I	2	R	N N	N N	N I	<u>V</u>	<u>v</u>	<u>V</u>	N I	<u>V</u>	R	N N	2	2 2	2 2	<u>&gt;</u>	$\sim$	R (	R (	R (	$\sim$	R (	$\sim$	2	R	R L		20							2;		ж (	2	a l	R	$\sim$
Phot		NA	NA	VA	ΝA	ΝA	NA	ΝA	ΝA	NA	ΝA	ΝA	VA	ΝA	ΝA	NA	ΝA	ΝA	NA	VA	VA	VA	NA	VA	ΝA	ΝA	VA	A	ΥZ Ζ	AZ 2				AV AV			AZ Z	AN :	AY S	AZ ;	AY ;	VA	ΥA
S FMP	f flag	2.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.2	0.0				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0 2	0.0	0.0	0.0
SN 6	g flag	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0								⊃	0 0	0	0	0	0
DS SB	g fla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0									0 0		0	0	0
E WI	fla	0.	0.	0.	.0	0.	0.0	0.0	.0	0.	.0	0.0	0.	0.	0	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0.	0	0	0	0.	0.	0	0	0	0,0						، ر ہ		, c 0 0	0		0.0	0	0
RUW		1101.	1026.	1084.	1001.	1104.	1045.	1091.	1077.	1071.	1074.	1052.	1031.	1009.	961.(	1023.	1046.	1001.	976.(	963.(	1041.	0.97	985.(	981.(	1006.	1013.	939.(	976.0	984.(	1027.	177	947.	1270	1.006	1.106		9/0/1 702	1.000	932.(	1.03	1039.	928.(	967.(
Par.	solved	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	5 1 2	ع 12	10	10 12	1 C	51	<u>5</u>	رن 1 د	31	31	31	31	31
Dun.	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0 0			> -				⊃ <		0 0	0	0	0	0
Nots	-	344	386	468	471	381	349	297	601	407	293	435	322	364	238	365	394	355	309	373	397	202	220	373	295	514	278	418	298	320	040 740	C15	070	660 100	107	7007	384	249	353	476 010	350	353	313
Nfov		39	44	54	54	4	40	34	69	47	34	50	38	42	27	42	46	41	36	42	46	24	25	43	34	59	32	47	<del>8</del>	36	90 7	00 00 00	200	<del>2</del> 5	75	5 r	4 ç	87	41	55	41	41	36
																													-				c										
ZF2		ć	ċ	ċ	ċ	ć	ċ	ċ	ċ	ċ	ċ	ċ	ć	ċ	ċ	ċ	ċ	ċ	ć	ċ	ć	ċ	ć	ċ	¢.	ċ	ċ	¢.	SB	<u>.</u>	~· c	·· c	с С С	ם י מי	-• c	~ 0	>- c	~ 0	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u> . (	<u>.</u>	ċ	ċ
		104	564	308	t24	380	192	188	080	392	288	396	528	320	336	288	96(	<b>)</b> 36	976	348	)64	544	768	320	324	360	176	944	208	432 	44 S			707		574	715	232	104 202	208	908 108	140	176
3 ID		42799]	286730	032878	175754	078028	06200]	241274	21646(	370033	741082	278248	541665	654643	634223	499802	876200	327279	567509	657428	67864(	318845	644807	740243	061578	383513	576181	733949	965902	915384	107020	1760200	100000	710170	10100	07066	21/98/	1.0202 0	858839	107023	63755(	528614	18050
aia DR		302534	939393	18353	61132	55868	83300	64433	142793	86462	72248	45427	61665	04991	23463	34003	699193	85017	02443	74447	17810	11318	38538	33587	87493	17223	58174:	19896	43318	53524	01410	20050	01007	0107160	01/06	07010	333068	17767	58385	05081	86809	22180	72334
Ü		548184	288914	290480	428936	539610	551806	574710	301441	348509	574746	318341	472154	521830	573623	288586	301521	472651	478690	480294	510991	516314	566485	584457	175031	297223	462130	486759	511533	520913 -24000	0064702	688170	0000000	204479 226204		40C+U+	163009	770017 100017	479217	563089	566617	422210	479332
		4,	CN.	C 1	4	4)	4)	139 €	(1) (1)	(1)	Ų	(1)	4	4)	Ç	ι I	(1)	4	4	4	4)	4)	4)	ч)	0615 1	C.A.	4	4	4)	4 ) L	4	, u	, <b>u</b>	10		1.4	л. <b>т</b>	ч. <b>*</b>	ч <sup>-</sup> /		J .	4	4
		0426	37-1		3-1	u		3-3313			02-1		-1	1-1											80+09										0320	6010							4-1
ne		<u>894-00</u>	)66-10.	2520	36-494.	217 Cei	3199	013718	1108	8623	143-11	552	362-19.	217-64	16041	2722	1195	553	342	551B	705	648	2977	1055	20542	Lep	020	1785	213	Cha	21/	C/S	1001	1700		N-040	24	0796	1363	197	9381 . <u></u> .	4778	)98-41 <sup>,</sup>
tar nar		<b>3SC 08</b>	LYC 70	CD-27	<b>FYC 45</b>	V* V12	CD-48	<b>2M J20</b>	3D-09	<b>CD-26</b>	<b>LYC 74</b>	HD 316	<b>LYC 85</b>	<b>LYC 92</b>	CD-31	CD-35	3D-08	CD-58	HD 312	HD 375	3D-15	3D-11	3D-20	CD-69	RXS J	V* AH	HD 422	CD-36	HD 222	V* EO		514 (JE					8/-U.	10 Z05	D-52	D-621	D-52	3D-03	<b>LYC 8(</b>
		Γ	L _	0	L –	-	J	C N	щ	J	<u> </u>	H			0	0	щ	0	H	H	щ	<u> </u>	щ	0		-	H		, <u></u>	- (					- `			- `					

Gaia DR3 ID
ċ
SB?
ż
¢. ¢
• •
ن
ż
ż
<u>د</u> ،
c∙ o
c
• •
SB2
ċ
ż
ż
ż
ż
ż
ż
¢. °
c
~· c
· ~·
ż
ċ
ż
c · ·
ċ
ċ
ż
ż
ż
ż
ż
ċ
ć
ż
ż

s mass																																				
Ain mas sma	(au)																																			
sma N																																				
<sub>Ma</sub> Max																																				
ruwe <i>O</i> Ph		0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
not Var. $\sigma$		VAR (	NAV (	NAV (	VAR (	VAR (	VAR (	NAV (	VAR (	NAV (	NAV (	VAR (	NAV (	VAR (	NAV (	VAR (	VAR (	VAR (	NAV (	VAR (	NAV (	NAV (	NAV	NAV	NAV	NAV	NAV	NAV								
MP Pr lag		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.6	61.0	0.6	1.0	5.0
NSS F flag		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SB9 1 flag		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
WDS flag		0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	-	0	0	0	1	1	-	1	-	-		-
RUWE		0.81	0.82	0.84	795.0	0.82	0.87	963.0	0.81	833.0	0.82	0.84	905.0	1102.0	985.0	758.0	785.0	753.0	816.0	768.0	0.82	799.0	0.84	0.87	804.0	828.0	0.89	721.0	911.0	973.0						
Par. olved		31	31	31	31	31	31	95	31	31	31	31	31	95	95	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	95	31	95	95	31	95	95	e	e	e	б	ŝ	e
Dup.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Npts ]		373	344	384	344	317	351	463	320	326	315	392	474	558	342	280	320	321	388	380	377	392	415	361	412	273	304	342	305	498	270	402	334	323	284	364
Nfov		43	40	4	39	36	40	53	37	38	36	45	54	64	39	33	38	37	4	4	4	45	47	42	47	31	35	39	35	57	31	47	38	37	35	42
ZF21		ċ	ż	ż	ċ	ż	ż	ż	ż	ż	ż	ċ	ċ	ċ	ż	ż	ċ	ċ	ż	ċ	ż	SB2	ż	ċ	ż	ċ	ċ	ż	ż	ż	SB1	SB1	ż	ż	ż	SB2
Gaia DR3 ID		5317269950278675072	5318077507199948672	5318186221414047104	5318545521198976000	5319076203049591808	5325609711604226048	5412014832876362624	5488367737800067072	5562571883473697152	5854812388999707008	6362543597150911616	2966779995793890560	2975997549665260416	4799008375640297344	5295688117557736448	5301732820175622912	5303472350654243712	5318093243960659456	5325281335588219008	5506101790904438656	5536071767758647808	3016917008762062336	4913138232358905216	5242190004920798592	5283645647739198464	5300857711997333120	5304374843544543104	5486699125824396928	6882838031333427456	3677911582262521728	4107812485571331328	4839354748662015488	4850405974392619648	5203033750152434176	5945104490030338432
Star name		TYC 8582-3040-1	Cl* IC 2391 D 41	CD-52 2467	V* V364 Vel	TYC 8570-1980-1	TYC 8174-1586-1	HD 85151A	TYC 8557-1251-1	CD-42 2906	HD 105923	CPD-79 1037	HD 38207	BD-19 1062	HD 274576	TYC 8561-970-1	TYC 8927-2035-1	TYC 8594-58-1	CI* IC 2391 SMY 23	CD-49 4008	CD-48 2972	CD-42 3328	Parenago 2752	CD-52 381	TYC 9217-417-1	CD-66 395	CD-61 2010	TYC 8590-1193-1	CD-57 1709	HD 358623	V* PX Vir	CD-2711535	CD-43 1395	HD 20121A	[K2001c] 17	HD 161460

**Table D.1.** RV (relative to the median RV) and BVS and associateduncertainties (epsRV, epsBVS) for G80-21.

JDB-2400000	RV km/s	epsRV km/s	BVS km/s	epsBVS km/s
57666.692176	0.0269	0.0072	0.0035	0.0181
57666.705881	0.0353	0.0069	0.0346	0.0172
57667.695570	-0.1006	0.0072	0.0301	0.0180
57667.706242	-0.1161	0.0075	0.0344	0.0188
57668.680072	0.0136	0.0059	-0.0311	0.0149
57668.693221	0.0219	0.0063	-0.0547	0.0158
57669.745330	0.0644	0.0053	-0.0035	0.0134
57669.759729	0.0587	0.0054	-0.0477	0.0136
57670.682837	0.0216	0.0058	0.0153	0.0147
57670.696391	0.0321	0.0060	-0.0073	0.0151
57671.687117	-0.0664	0.0056	0.0223	0.0142
57671.701643	-0.0762	0.0057	0.0380	0.0143
57771.563217	0.0283	0.0074	-0.0136	0.0186
57771.574096	0.0122	0.0070	-0.0563	0.0177

#### Appendix D: Log of observations

#### D.1. G80-21

The HARPS data were standard Echelle observations taken as part of program 097.C-0864 B (PI: Lannier). The RV and Bisector velocity spans (BVS) were computed using our SAFIR software (Galland et al. 2005). They are given it Table D.1. Note that the RV are compatible with those found by Trifonov et al. (2020).

#### D.2. AB Pic

The coronagraphic SPHERE and GPI data used are described in Table D.2. Additional PSF were recorded prior to and after the coronagraphic observations in the case of SPHERE data. The 2015 AB Pic data were obtained as part of the SPHERE SHINE survey in H2H3 mode. The Oct 2023 data were part of a GO Program (112.25.001, P.I. Alice Zurlo), and were obtained in starhopping mode.

The RV data of AB Pic were obtained with HARPS as part of a long-term search for RV exoplanets. They are presented in (Grandjean et al. 2020).

#### D.3. HD 14082 B

The data were taken as part of the SHARDS survey to search for disks around IR excess stars members of Sco Cen associations (P.I. J. Milli; 096.C-0388(A)). The observing set up and conditions are described in Table D.2. No RV data are available for HD 14082B.

#### Appendix E: Data processing and analysis

#### E.1. Data processing

The reduction and analysis of SPHERE data are presented in (Chomez et al. 2023). They made use of the PACO algorithm, described in Flasseur et al. (2020a,b) and in (Chomez et al. 2023).

For the GPI data, every raw frame of the archival sequence was dark subtracted, flat-fielded, cleaned of correlated detector noise, and corrected for bad pixel and flexure effects by means of the standard GPI Data Reduction Pipeline (DRP v1.6; Perrin et al. 2014, 2016). Individual frames were therefore re-centered and combined into a spectral datacube using Pyklip (Wang et al. 2015), which also allowed for a more accurate wavelength and PSF re-calibration. As for SPHERE, post-processing was performed using PACO ASDI (Flasseur et al. 2020b).

#### E.2. Detection maps from direct imaging

The  $5\sigma$  detection maps from the DI images expressed in terms of contrast are finally converted in units of Jupiter masses using a suitable routine of the MADYS tool (Squicciarini & Bonavita 2022): the conversion assumes the age of the system and is mediated by the AMES-Cond isochrones.

#### E.3. Detection limits from combined data

Finally, (sma, mass) detection limits are derived using the direct imaging detection maps, together with the RV data once corrected from stellar activity to estimate the probability of the presence of other planets in the system. We generate (Monte Carlo approach) planets with various orbital properties and masses and test whether these simulated planets are detected at least for one epoch in direct imaging or are detected in RV (see details in Lannier et al. 2017).

#### E.4. MCMC characterization of G80-21

The MCMC tool is based on the emcee 3.0 library (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). It uses a mix of custom move functions to alleviate potential multi-modality problems and the cyclicity of angular variables. We consider the RV, ruwe and PMa data. For Gaia data, the logic implemented in the aforementioned GaiaP-MEX tool has been used to compute Gaia-related likelihoods.

The free parameters considered are the orbital parameters (semi-major axis, eccentricity, argument of periastron and phase), the minimum mass(es) of the planet(s), and the RV (global offset due to the star systemic velocity), and a stellar jitter. A value of 200 m/s was adopted for the jitter to account for the observed short term variability. Uniform priors were considered for all parameters. The star RV was between 18 and 18.5 km/s, the range of sma was between 0.001 and 5 au, given the detection limits provided by high contrast imaging (see Section 6.1.2), the mass between 0.1 and 20 M<sub>Jup</sub>, and the eccentricity arbitrarily taken 0 and 0.2. The resulting corner plot is given in Figure E.1 (see discussion in Section 6.1).

Star		AB Pic		HD 14	082 B
instrument	SPHERE	GPI	SPHERE	SPHERE	SPHERE
OBS night	2015-02-05	2018-02-05	2023-10-25	2015-12-23	2016-09-18
DIT(s)×Nframe	64×64	60×68	64×24	16×144	16×288
$\Delta PA$ (deg)	28.28	23.60	22.23	11.15	22.74
seeing $(")^a$	1.08	0.99	0.49	0.84	0.67
airmass <sup>a</sup>	1.20	1.24	1.21	1.72	1.70
IRDIS filter	DH_H23	_	DH_H23	BB_H	BB_H
$ au_0 (\mathrm{ms})^a$	5.3	unknown	4.9	2.6	5.7
coronograph	N_ALC_YJH_S	APO+FPM_H_G6225+080m12_04	N_ALC_YJH_S	N_ALC_YJH_S	N_ALC_YJH_S
program ID	095.C-0298(H)	GS-2017B-Q-500	112.25QU.001	096.C-0388(A)	096.C-0388(A)

Table D.2. Observation logs for the SPHERE and GPI observations considered in this work.

**Notes.** DIT = detector integration time per frame,  $\Delta PA$  = amplitude of the parallactic rotation,  $\tau_0$  = coherence time. <sup>*a*</sup>: for SPHERE, values extracted from the updated DIMM information and averaged over the sequence.



Fig. E.1. MCMC results for G80-21. See Text.