

Discord’s Design Encourages “Third Place” Social Media Experiences

JAEWON KIM, The Information School, University of Washington, USA

THEA KLEIN-BALAJEE, The Information School, University of Washington, USA

RYAN M. KELLY, RMIT University, Australia

ALEXIS HINIKER, The Information School, University of Washington, USA

In light of the diminishing presence of physical third places—informal gathering spaces essential for social connection—this study explores how the social media platform Discord fosters third-place experiences. Drawing on Oldenburg’s conceptual framework, we analyze how Discord’s design elements support the creation of virtual third places that foster both dyadic and community-based relationships. Through 25 semi-structured interviews with active Discord users, we identified 21 design elements aligned with Oldenburg’s third-place characteristics. These elements cluster around four core principles: providing themed spaces for repeated interactions, supporting user autonomy and customization, facilitating mutually engaging activities, and enabling casual, low-pressure interactions. This work contributes to understanding how intentional platform design can cultivate virtual spaces that support meaningful social connections. The findings have implications for designing future social technologies that can help address growing concerns about social isolation in an increasingly digital world.

CCS Concepts: • **Human-centered computing** → **Collaborative and social computing**.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: spatial design, relationship-building, Discord, youth, third place, virtual reality

ACM Reference Format:

JaeWon Kim, Thea Klein-Balajee, Ryan M. Kelly, and Alexis Hiniker. 2025. Discord’s Design Encourages “Third Place” Social Media Experiences. In *Woodstock ’18: ACM Symposium on Neural Gaze Detection, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 34 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

1 INTRODUCTION

From wealthy suburbs to small towns, teenagers reported that parental fear, lack of transportation, and structured lives restricted their ability to meet friends face to face... At home, teens grappled with lurking parents. The formal activities teens described were so structured that they allowed little room for casual sociality... This prompts teens to desperately—and, in some cases, sneakily—seek it out. As a result, many turn to asynchronous social media, texting, and other mediated interactions. (boyd, 2014, p. 90) [13]

Human connections are essential, whether at physical spaces, what Oldenburg termed “third places” (i.e., “informal public gathering places” [77]) or online. Third spaces are crucial for community-related collective efforts, including political participation and organizational change [48, 83], but most critically, for fostering communities and nurturing interpersonal connections [12, 21, 78]. However, public places for casual hangouts are rapidly disappearing [77, 82]. In light of their

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Woodstock ’18, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY

© 2025 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

decline, particularly following the COVID-19 pandemic’s quarantine measures that confined social interactions to virtual realms, there is growing debate on whether “virtual third places” could serve as viable alternatives to their physical counterparts.

Discord has become a prominent social media platform for virtual community building, resembling a virtual “third place” [15, 62, 69, 78]. It “exploded into the mainstream” [15] during the COVID-19 pandemic and continues rapidly in server count, user base, funding, and revenue [19, 93]. Its valuation went from \$7B in 2020 to \$15B in 2021 [19]. Its monthly active users (MAU) went from 56M in 2019 to 150M in late 2021 and 200M in 2024 [19]. It ranks among the youth’s most popular social platforms, following YouTube, TikTok, Snapchat, Instagram, and Facebook, with 28%

Discord’s design aim is to create a social platform that serves as a digital third place [24, 66]. Its slogan, “Group chat that’s all fun & games”[27], aligns with Oldenburg’s portrayal of third places as playful yet conversation-centered. Though initially catering to gaming communities [15, 23], they have been trying to redesign it so it can serve as valuable for “literally everyone” and “for anyone who likes to talk”, for example, by reducing game-specific jokes and changing its motto from “Chat for gamers” to “A new way to chat with your communities and friends” in 2020. This aim for flexibility has enabled the rise of diverse communities, from gaming and private groups [15, 50, 53] to fan communities [64] and educational spaces [89, 97].

Given the importance of third places in physical and virtual environments and Discord’s intention and success as a virtual third place for remote relationship- and community-building [24, 66], we propose the following research question:

- **RQ:** How does the design of Discord shape the creation of virtual third places that support remote relationship- and community-building?

We conducted semi-structured interviews with 25 active Discord users who had formed remote friendships on the platform. Participants shared several designs and features of Discord that aligned with the characteristics of third places. Without prompting, many used physical place analogies like “town square,” “cafe,” or “game room” to describe Discord spaces. They reported a sense of community and long-lasting friendships formed in these virtual spaces, with some relationships lasting for years. Many mentioned cultures and interactions on Discord align with the eight characteristics of third places [77]. They appreciated Discord’s support for entering and exiting freely without obligations (*neutral ground*); adopting personas distinct from real-world identities (*leveler*); having engaging conversations with others (*conversation as main activity*); identifying and observing other active members (*regulars*); engaging in casual conversations (*low profile*); participating in shared activities (*playful mood*); and having access to a variety of servers that allow essentially any user to find communities where they feel *at home*.

In this paper, we provide empirical evidence of how the design of social media platforms can shape virtual “third place” experiences through a case study of Discord. Drawing from our data and theoretical framework, such as Oldenburg’s framework on third-place characteristics [77] or Harrison and Dourish’s framework on “Re-place-ing space,” [46] we develop a taxonomy of designs that foster a sense of third-place social media. We identify 21 design considerations across the eight third-place characters that encourage third-place interactions on a 2D social media platform space. Given the critical role of third places in fostering communities and social connections—and their increasing scarcity in both physical and virtual worlds—we hope that this work encourages social media designers to consider these designs when creating platforms. Though not meant to be exhaustive, this taxonomy can apply to various social platforms, from text-based channels to extended reality (XR) environments, serving as a basis for further exploration.

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 "Third Place" in Physical and Virtual Spaces

Oldenburg's "Third Place". Ray Oldenburg [78, 79] defines "third places" as inclusive spaces outside the home (first place) and work (second place), where people form relationships through repeated interactions. Examples include cafes and libraries, which exhibit eight key characteristics: 1) "on neutral ground" where people can come and go freely; 2) social "leveling," making status irrelevant; 3) conversation as the main activity; 4) accessibility and accommodation; 5) regular visitors shaping the ambiance and welcoming newcomers; 6) a "low profile"; 7) a "playful mood"; and 8) a sense of "home away from home." In the U.S., such spaces are crucial for community development but increasingly scarce [90].

Traditional vs. Virtual Third Place. While Oldenburg emphasizes physical proximity, scholars have explored virtual spaces as digital equivalents [38, 55, 67, 90]. Drawing on Goffman's analogy of social interactions as performances [42], Soukup [90] suggests that a sense of place in virtual spaces can be "self-selected and mutually constructed" through repeated interactions, coalescing around a shared theme or interest. Studies have noted similarities between traditional and online spaces [10, 80, 85], where computer-mediated communication, like chatrooms [7, 57, 68], fosters playful conversations with emerging regulars. Platforms like Twitch [45], Second Life [34], Facebook, and online games [31, 92] have been proposed as virtual third place. However, these spaces may not fully meet Oldenburg's criteria given *conversations are not the central focus*, hierarchies exist, and the ambiance of the platform is extravagant rather than home-like.

"Beyond Being There" [47]: What Virtual Third Place Can Offer. The importance of third places, whether physical or virtual, is undeniable. As physical third places decline [13, 82], virtual alternatives become increasingly significant. Traditionally, computer-mediated communication (CMC) has been viewed through deficit-based models [47, 59], often seen as inferior to face-to-face (F2F) communication [10, 40]. However, virtual spaces offer unique benefits, such as social support [26, 99] and communities centered on specific interests or identities [32, 44, 98], fostering connections that may be harder to establish physically. The COVID-19 pandemic underscored the resilience of virtual connections, as CMC tools became essential for maintaining social relationships, work, and education [9, 20, 22, 53, 63, 71, 86]. Soukup [90] suggests that virtual spaces should not merely mimic the physical world but embrace the "*inherent differences*" between CMC and F2F interactions [37]. Virtual third place can even enhance Oldenburg's concept—particularly in accessibility, leveling, playfulness, and comfort—by leveraging CMC's strengths: transcending time and space, offering adaptive environments, and allowing optional anonymity [11, 33, 91, 95].

2.2 Virtual Platforms and the Role of Space

Physical Space Reasoning in Virtual Contexts. Spatial thinking remains highly relevant in designing virtual contexts, where spatial metaphors like "*virtual architecture*," "*cyberspace*," and "*gateway*" [6], as well as "*chat rooms*" [41], help conceptualize and navigate digital environments. These metaphors facilitate navigation and sensemaking within virtual spaces [41, 61, 65]. Since people naturally gravitate towards spatial reasoning, such metaphors are advantageous in virtual design, leveraging familiar cognitive processes, enhancing memory, and aligning with sensory perception to reduce user mental effort [61].

The Role of Space in Relationship Building. The role of space in relationship building has been debated. Historically, physical proximity was seen as essential for forming social relationships [25], but digital technologies led some to argue that space was no longer relevant [81]. However, Small

and Adler’s review [88], “The Role of Space in the Formation of Social Ties,” shows that physical space remains crucial, particularly in facilitating unplanned encounters and deeper connections. They examine how physical contexts support or hinder tie formation—defined as initiating contact and developing it through repeated interactions—at both micro and meso levels (neighborhood and organizational scales). Drawing from geography, architecture, and urban planning, Small and Adler argue that spatial context influences social ties through three mechanisms: spatial propinquity (“*physical proximity between actors*”¹), spatial composition (“*presence or absence of fixed places that encourage interaction*”), and spatial configuration (“*arrangement of barriers and pathways that segment space*”). One idea we explore in this paper is whether and how (given the significant influence of space reasoning in virtual contexts) spatial reasoning in physical contexts affects relationship-building transfers to digital contexts, too. Given how deeply this spatial thinking affects human reasoning and behaviors, they likely play equally important roles in virtual environments, where spatial design choices may similarly facilitate or inhibit relationship formation.

Space vs. Place. As Harrison and Dourish [46] articulate, the distinction between space and place is fundamental when examining spatial metaphors. They present space as the structural potential of digital environments, while place emerges when these environments become enriched with cultural norms and shared understandings of appropriate behaviors. Their key principle effectively captures this distinction: “*Space is the opportunity; place is the understood reality.*” While places inherently exist within spaces, the essence of placeness transcends spatial or structural organization—it is fundamentally about how people interact with and within the environment.

This perspective has found considerable support in the academic community. Freeman [39] offers a nuanced view that cyberspace is “*not space*” in the physical sense, but rather a collection of “*place-like*” units—“*distinct,*” “*recognizable,*” “*intersubjective environments*” with “*spatiotemporal qualities*” and “*bounded*” spaces that can be “*inhabited*” with “*behavioral guidelines and expectations.*” Similarly, Saunders [84] notes that repeated interactions in virtual environments foster presence and immersion, contributing to the perception of a “*real place.*” Nitsche [74] adds that “*identity, self-motivated action, and traces of memory*” are key to creating a sense of “*placeness.*” We maintain the view that “*space*” is a neutral entity with spatial structure, and “*place*” is a bounded space with social rules co-constructed through repeated interactions.

2.3 Designing for Places in Virtual Spaces

Designing for Place vs. Designing for Space. Harrison and Dourish [46] observe that virtual platforms frequently employ spatial metaphors to evoke familiar behavioral patterns by replicating physical spatial mechanisms that guide navigation and action. While our behaviors are indeed contextualized within spatial frameworks and often transfer to virtual spaces through physically-inspired affordances, they emphasize a critical distinction: “*in everyday experience and interaction, it is a sense of place, rather than the structure of space, which frames our behavior.*” They further elaborate that “*placeness*” emerges as a “*cultural phenomenon*” through temporal user interactions, specifically through processes of “*adaptation*” and “*appropriation*”—essentially, customization. This suggests that while we can design spaces to facilitate the emergence of appropriate behaviors and placeness, we cannot predefine space as place, as it is ultimately the users who transform spaces into places through their interactions.

Discord’s Spatial Architecture. From a spatial perspective—(i.e., how the platform is structured and arranged three-dimensionally)—Discord’s architecture closely parallels Slack [87]. Both platforms feature segmented spaces (“Servers” in Discord, “Workspaces” in Slack) represented as icons

¹Proximity is used literally here, not metaphorically

in the left sidebar, hierarchical channel structures, centralized chat interfaces, and video/audio communication capabilities. However, these similar spatial structures manifest as distinctly different *places*. Slack positions itself as “Where work happens” [87], while Discord promotes itself as “Group chat that’s all fun & games” [27]. This distinction materializes in their feature priorities: Slack emphasizes productivity-enhancing third-party integrations, while Discord prioritizes audio communication, reflecting its gaming community origins. Their monetization strategies further reflect these different identities—Slack’s premium features center on information access with per-member pricing suited for organizational use, while Discord’s premium offerings focus on individual self-expression without significantly impacting community functionality.

Discord as a Space-Based Social Media. From a “placeness” standpoint, Discord functions as a social media platform. Research shows its significant presence among teens, identifying Discord as one of their primary social media applications without prompting [58], and it regularly appears among the most popular social media platforms for youth [8, 49, 100], reflecting cultural expectations similar to other social media environments. While Discord serves as a space for social connection, its spatial organization differs fundamentally from mainstream social media platforms. Unlike the network-based or commons-based sharing models of platforms like Instagram and TikTok, Discord employs a space-based approach [102], where interactions occur within dedicated spaces such as channels.

This space-based sharing naturally fosters placeness through repeated member interactions. Discord has successfully positioned itself as a third place, aligning with its design objectives to support playful chat for everyone [24, 27]. While virtual third place has traditionally been explored in gaming or three-dimensional space contexts [31, 34, 92], where synchronous occupation and shared activities define the experience, Discord takes a different architectural approach. Rather than leveraging “*relational orientation and reciprocity*” or “*proximity and action*” [46], Discord’s spatial design focuses on “*partitioning*” and “*presence and awareness*” [46] without avatar-based embodiment.

Discord’s Approach to Virtual Third Place. Prior research has largely focused on virtual third places within gaming environments [31, 34, 92], where users engage via embodied avatars in three-dimensional worlds that simulate physical proximity and shared spatial intuitions [46]. In contrast, Discord adopts a two-dimensional architecture centered on “*partitioning*” and “*presence and awareness*” [46]. This structural divergence sets Discord apart, positioning it as neither a conventional social media platform nor a traditional virtual third place. Instead, Discord demonstrates how social platforms can be intentionally designed to cultivate a sense of place—specifically a virtual “third place”—departing from the norms of typical social media interfaces.

General Design Considerations for Virtual Third Place. Virtual “third place” and online relationship-building rely on well-designed social interactions and spatial structures to foster engagement and connection. Key strategies include structuring interactions through roles, shared times, and spaces [31, 90], offering flexible shared focuses for interactions [17, 65], creating welcoming atmospheres through “active hosting” [72], and offering user-generated spaces [31]. While visual and interactive cues help with social navigation, virtual spaces often require explicit conveyance of social connotations [65]. This is addressed by social translucence—comprising “visibility,” “awareness,” and “accountability”—which enhances users’ presence and promotes natural interactions [35]. These cues also help maintain appropriate social density, fostering a balanced environment [72]. Well-defined boundaries enhance proximity and community [90], involving both physical and epistemological aspects [103], such as naming themed chatrooms [90]. Aligning the space’s organization with users’ intuitive navigation [65] strengthens the virtual third place and user experience.

While other studies examine elements like moderation and anonymity [16, 56, 60], this paper focuses on spatial design in virtual third places.

3 METHOD

We conducted semi-structured interviews with 25 participants to examine how, if at all, the design of space on social platforms supports remote tie formation.

Of the 74 survey respondents, 55 met the eligibility criteria. We scheduled and conducted interviews with 25 participants on a first-come, first-served basis. The mean age of the participants was 18.0 years ($N=25$, $\text{min}=15$, $\text{max}=24$, $\text{SD}=1.97$), with 15 (60%) identifying as women, 7 (28%) as men, and 3 (12%) as non-binary. On average, participants had used Discord for 46.3 months, and 80% reported forming friendships beyond casual acquaintances. A detailed list of participant demographics is provided in Table 1, with additional information in Appendix A.

3.1 Platform Choice

Discord has recently become one of the dominant social media platforms, with its valuation increasing from \$7B in 2020 to \$15B in 2021, its monthly active users growing from 56 million in 2019 to 200 million in 2024, and 28% of U.S. teenagers reporting regular use, solidifying its position as one of the top platforms for youth, with many non-gaming communities also adopting Discord. This rapid growth may be attributed to its role as a virtual third place during the COVID-19 pandemic, providing a space for social connection when physical interactions were limited.

We, therefore, saw Discord as a representative case of a virtual third place to examine the design elements that foster a sense of third place on social media. To identify comparable platforms, we used Google Play (Android) and App Store (iOS) scrapers to search for apps using queries such as ['hang out,' 'find community,' 'find friends,' 'meet new people']. Among the apps appearing in both search results—such as Discord [27], Telegram [4], Yubo [2], Bumble [18], and Meetup [70]—we sorted them by the number of reviews, which served as a proxy for popularity. Discord had the highest number of reviews. To further validate this selection, we conducted a review of news articles and academic literature, which confirmed Discord's role as a meaningful virtual third place, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic, surpassing many other social platforms in growth and engagement [14, 29, 30, 43, 52, 62, 73].

3.2 Participants and Recruitment

We used purposive and convenience sampling to recruit participants. The first and second authors shared recruitment materials via a screener survey from a consented contact list from previous studies and posted flyers across our University campus. Participants received a \$25 Amazon gift card for a 60-minute interview. Eligibility criteria were: 1) youth aged 15-24 years [96, 101]; 2) active Discord users, defined as at least one 15-minute visit per week for three months, and 3) having formed at least one new "friendship" through Discord. We focused on youth due to their high adoption of social technologies and the importance of social connections in their development.

3.3 Materials and Procedure

The first and second authors conducted 60-minute semi-structured Zoom interviews with 21 and 4 participants, respectively. Although the division of interviews between the authors could have introduced challenges with consistency, they held frequent meetings and practice sessions to ensure alignment. While the lead author had a more comprehensive understanding of the research, the second author, as a frequent Discord user and gamer, brought valuable insight from both their deeper familiarity with the platform and demographic closeness to the participant group, which may have enhanced rapport.

Table 1. Summary of Study Participant Demographics (N=25)

Category	Details
Gender Identity	Girls (12; 60%), Boys (7; 28%), Non-Binary or Third Gender (3; 12%)
Age	15 (2; 8%), 16 (4; 16%), 17 (4; 16%), 18 (4; 16%), 19 (7; 28%), 20 (3; 12%), 24 (1; 4%)
Race	Asian or Asian-American (14; 56%), White (8; 32%), Black or African-American (2; 8%), Hispanic or Latino (1; 4%)
Social Media Platforms Used	Discord (25; 100%), Instagram (23; 92%), TikTok (18; 72%), Snapchat (17; 68%), Reddit (15; 60%), Facebook (13; 52%), Twitter/X (11; 44%), BeReal (11; 44%), Threads (5; 20%), LinkedIn (1; 4%), GroupMe (1; 4%), Slack (1; 4%), Noplace (1; 4%)
Frequency of New Discord Connections	2: <i>Rarely</i> (I have made 1-2 new connections, but it’s not common for me.) (1; 4%); 3: <i>Occasionally</i> (I make new connections from time to time, but it’s not frequent.) (13; 52%); 4: <i>Frequently</i> (I regularly make new connections on Discord.) (9; 36%); 5: <i>Very Often</i> (I make new connections on Discord almost all the time.) (2; 8%)
Discord Connection Experience	<i>I’ve formed close, lasting friendships on Discord</i> (11; 44%), <i>I’ve made several good friends</i> (9; 36%), <i>I’ve made a few casual acquaintances</i> (5; 20%)

The interview protocol covered three areas: 1) participants’ experiences with Discord, including their perceptions of its culture, purpose, and daily interactions; 2) the development and nature of relationships formed on the platform; and 3) specific Discord features that facilitate or hinder relationship-building, with comparisons to other platforms (see Appendix B for the full interview protocol). We did not introduce the concept of a third place, but when participants made analogies to physical third places, we explored these further while maintaining neutrality. The procedure was approved by our Institutional Review Board (IRB) for both minors (with parental consent) and adults.

3.4 Data Analysis

We employed an inductive-deductive analysis approach [36], using Small and Adler’s [88] framework on physical spatial contexts that influence tie formation. We used this framework as the basis for generating initial codes on virtual space designs relevant to relationship-building. Using these codes, we performed an analysis of 25 interview transcripts, auto-transcribed via Zoom.²

In the first coding phase, all four authors independently open-coded two transcripts line by line, using the initial codes from the deductive framework we developed while remaining open to emerging themes. The first author then refined the codes based on this initial analysis. Following this, the first and second authors coded four additional transcripts, iterating on the codes until saturation was reached. These codes were synthesized and mapped to specific elements from Oldenburg’s framework of eight third-place characteristics [78]. This process led to the development of a taxonomy (see Table 2) that outlines how Discord, as a social media platform, is shaped into a third place. We present these findings in detail in Section 4. Codes from each iteration are included in the supplementary materials.

²Audio recordings were referenced during coding to correct any transcription inaccuracies.

Table 2. Designs on Discord That Allow Third Place Experiences to Emerge

Discord's Features and Affordances	Relevant Data from Participants
1. On Neutral Ground: <i>A space where people can come and go freely, without obligation to participate or engage. (Section 4.1)</i>	
A. Search and Invite-Based Joining Joining communities through invites or by actively searching for communities of interest, avoiding unsolicited algorithmic suggestions.	<i>"With Instagram, it's so much easier to... go into a random comment section... on Discord, you have to... meaningfully search out a community online and join it"</i> (P11)
B. Open-Access Channels Channels that users can join or leave at their discretion, mostly without needing approval or permission.	<i>"That's why I like Discord better than Skype—you don't have to request to join or ask someone to add you to the call. You just click... and join."</i> (P07)
2. The Third Place is a Leveler: <i>A place where social status and hierarchy are minimized, making everyone equal. (Section 4.2)</i>	
A. Pseudonymous Profiles Profiles independent of real-world identities, allowing users to adopt different personas and interact on equal footing.	<i>"[My favorite thing about Discord is that] I can be anyone I want without other people judging me or knowing who I am."</i> (P04)
B. Contribution-Based Hierarchy In Discord, roles are the primary indicators of hierarchy—if present. These roles reflect responsibilities and contributions rather than status, with respect earned through participation.	<i>"Usually the moderators are people that are veterans, like long time members... and are also really involved in the community"</i> (P02); <i>"[Roles] just shows up on your profile... if you wanted the role. It's kind of just identification"</i> (P08)
3. Conversation Is the Main Activity: <i>A setting where lighthearted, playful conversation is the primary focus. (Section 4.3)</i>	
A. Conversation-Driven Communication Discord's design prioritizes conversation through text channels, voice chats, group chats, etc., unlike platforms focused on content consumption or broadcasting.	<i>"(Discord) just [has] so many different ways to communicate... group chat... individual call... voice chat... channels... there's always somewhere I can talk in"</i> (P12)

Continued on next page

Table 2 – continued from previous page

Discord’s Features and Affordances	Relevant Data from Participants
<p>B. Conversation Organization Discord fosters meaningful conversations through features like hierarchical channels and customizable notifications, helping users stay on top of relevant discussions, access important conversations quickly, and minimize distractions.</p>	<p><i>“(In regular group chats) You can’t hide certain notifications, and so, you’ll [either] miss out on everything, or you’ll get everything” (P16); “[on Discord] You could just mute channels or specific things... or people” (P02); “If the server feels too cluttered up... You can just close those folders to find the channel you want quicker” (P21)</i></p>
<p>4. Accessibility and Accommodation: <i>A place that is easy to access, open to everyone, and welcoming to a diverse range of people. (Section 4.4)</i></p>	
<p>A. Low-Barrier Connections Discord’s username-based friend system and shared server contexts enable users to initiate connections without requiring prior real-world relationships or extensive personal information.</p>	<p><i>“Skype has... the people you have added, and your group chats right? But Discord has... big community, based rather than just like your friends” (P25)</i></p>
<p>B. Diverse Ecosystem of Public Spaces Discord’s flexible structure and central discovery page allow users to easily find and join public servers with diverse topics or themes.</p>	<p><i>“One thing about Discord that stands out is the communities and the servers, and the fact that I’m able to join a group full of people who like the same thing. It’s not just... a group chat that only some people get. Discord servers are more out in the open, and anyone can join them if they’re set on public.” (P21)</i></p>
<p>C. Tiered Engagement Discord’s design allows users to preview servers before joining and observe quietly after joining. This supports gradual acclimation, lowering social barriers to participation.</p>	<p><i>“You can choose to join after observing” (P13); “It gives you an idea of who to be wary of, and who you might want to talk to.” (P09)</i></p>
<p>D. Cross-Platform Support Discord’s cross-platform compatibility and optimized performance ensure a consistent experience for users, regardless of their technical capabilities.</p>	<p><i>“But he has an older iPhone. So it’s really laggy for him... But Discord... makes it a lot more accessible for him... So we can watch Youtube or watch a movie together.” (P12)</i></p>
<p>5. The Regulars: <i>A core group of frequent visitors sustains the community’s culture and character. (Section 4.5)</i></p>	

Continued on next page

Table 2 – continued from previous page

Discord's Features and Affordances	Relevant Data from Participants
<p>A. Persistent Servers Consistent, enduring spaces that support ongoing interactions, enabling lasting relationships and a sense of continuity within the community.</p>	<p><i>“(On Discord) You’re in the same channels... and you know you come back... and you see them again, and you just keep crossing paths” (P12)</i></p>
<p>B. Awareness and Visibility Activity tracking and status help users recognize frequent participants and shared activities, fostering organic relationship development and a sense of community.</p>	<p><i>“On Discord people notice when you are a frequent participant because... people will keep seeing the same name over and over, talking... Different from Instagram in that way that it’s very clear when there’s like an in-group and an out-group.” (P11); “I love how this one tells you like which game they’re playing, how long they’ve been playing I just check in” (P07)</i></p>
<p>C. Custom Roles Custom roles foster connections by recognizing regulars, facilitating access to specific spaces, and encouraging interaction, even among users without inherent commonalities.</p>	<p><i>“You can add roles like on the spot so that you can access certain channels” (P03); “I think that a good comparison would be like school... because you have to be there at the same time... you get familiar with their faces” (P12)</i></p>
<p>6. A Low Profile: <i>An informal, unpretentious environment where there’s no need to maintain a polished image. (Section 4.6)</i></p>	
<p>A. Conversational Flow and Ephemerality Chat-centered design encourages fleeting, low-stakes messages, unlike platforms focused on permanent, curated posts.</p>	<p><i>“[Discord is] more of a group chat kind of environment... you see all those 4 or 5 different people constantly chatting... so it feels more of a community-building platform rather than Facebook, where you have to make an entire post [that over time feels a lot more] formal.” (P04)</i></p>
<p>B. (Visual) Anonymity By prioritizing text and voice interactions over visual presentation, Discord fosters connections based on conversation and authentic self-expression, relieving the pressure of social performance.</p>	<p><i>“On Discord, you tend to not judge a book by its cover because you don’t know who they are. You don’t know anything about what they look like, what their life’s like or whatever. So you tend to make friends based on text or based on like just personal interactions.” (P13)</i></p>
<p>7. The Mood Is Playful: <i>A place where interactions are lighthearted, fun, and free from hostility or seriousness. (Section 4.7)</i></p>	
<p>A. Gaming Integration Discord’s gaming features, like streaming and game activity sharing, foster entertainment-focused interactions and shared experiences.</p>	<p><i>“People can just tune in and watch you streaming a game.” (P07); “Discord’s big thing is being a big community for gamers, I mean, the logo is a game controller.” (P19)</i></p>

Continued on next page

Table 2 – continued from previous page

Discord’s Features and Affordances	Relevant Data from Participants
<p>B. Bots and Activities [5] Bots and integrated Activities on Discord offer interactive experiences and collaborative tasks that encourage engagement and playfulness.</p>	<p><i>“We also have a counting bot where... We just count numbers like where you have to go like 1, 2, 3. And if someone goes 5 instead of 4, we have to start over... People just love counting, and people do a lot of fun things with it, like they do math. So like, instead of saying 19, they say 20 minus one.” (P03)</i></p>
<p>C. Playful Visual Reactions Support for GIFs, memes, and emojis enhances emotional expression and encourages playful interactions.</p>	<p><i>“A lot of kids, they like to send GIFs in after an exam. It’s like ‘Oh, I’m in danger,’ you know, just like that. So it’s just a way to... bond over how hard the class is, and... lowers the tension of class, and it makes it feel a little less lonely that you’re struggling.” (P09)</i></p>
<p>8. A Home Away from Home: <i>A place where people feel comfortable, familiar, and a sense of belonging. (Section 4.8)</i></p>	
<p>A. Server Customization Custom emojis, roles, themes, and channels help shape unique community identities, fostering in-group bonding and a sense of belonging.</p>	<p><i>“Our servers do have like inside joke emotes like there are some WeChat ones that we think are funny, that we use in one of them... [but]without discord nitro, you can only use a certain servers emotes within that server” (P25)</i></p>
<p>B. Interest-Based Subspaces Subchannel architecture and the ability to create new servers as needed help users find or establish smaller, niche groups within larger communities, enabling intimate connections and a greater sense of comfort and belonging.</p>	<p><i>“A smaller channel, there’s less people... you’re more likely to see their messages... generally, if the channel is a bit smaller, you’re more likely to see the same people and build up a connection with them” (P12)</i></p>
<p>C. Contextual Profiles Customizable profiles for each server allow users to adapt their self-presentation, helping them feel more comfortable and at home within different communities.</p>	<p><i>“My profile is different... in every server... I have so many different profiles. Those are all me.” (P25); “You can change your nickname [for each server]. So because this one is associated with my school, I could attach my real name... so that way people would know who I am.” (P05)</i></p>

4 RESULTS

4.1 On Neutral Ground

Discord’s design fosters a sense of neutrality, offering spaces where interactions are user-driven rather than dictated by algorithms or gate-keeping mechanisms. This section explores how users join communities and interact with open-access channels, highlighting the platform’s emphasis on choice, accessibility, and autonomy.

A. Search and Invite-Based Joining. Joining communities on Discord relies on direct invites or actively searching (see Figure 1) for communities of interest, which helps users avoid unsolicited




Fig. 1. Screenshot of Discord's Server Discovery Page.

algorithmic suggestions. Participants appreciated this approach, noting how it fosters more intentional and meaningful interactions. P19 explained, *“I get to choose the Discord servers I’m in and the people that are in there. So it makes it easier [to build connections] because I know I’m choosing this group of people... to at least try and start interactions and relationships with.”* In contrast to platforms like Instagram, where algorithmically curated content often exposes users to “random” content (P11), Discord requires users to *“meaningfully search out a community online... and join it.”* This process can involve steps like agreeing to community rules, which P11 noted *“helps decrease the level of toxicity.”* P09 compared Instagram’s algorithm-driven approach to a *“quilt”* of mainstream topics, where interactions follow patterns laid out for the user. In contrast, Discord allows users to *“pick which string”* or *“which pattern”* they want to follow, leading to more focused and purposeful interactions.

B. Open-Access Channels. Discord exemplifies neutral ground through open-access channels—spaces where users can come and go freely, without obligation to engage or formal permissions. These channels significantly lower the barrier to entry or exit, making interactions feel more accessible and less burdensome. The ability to join or leave without needing approval or disrupting others also supports a sense of comfort and autonomy. For example, P04 appreciated Discord’s voice channels, saying *“You can just drop in and drop out of a particular voice channel at any point without taking anybody else’s consent.”* P16 similarly noted, *“You can leave without disturbing the call... , or if you can’t join at the beginning... you can just join in later.”* This contrasts with platforms like FaceTime, where *“[users] have to individually add [others]”* (P23). On Discord, *“anyone could join that specific call”* (P17). P07 echoed this, stating, *“That’s why I like Discord better than Skype—you don’t have to request to join or ask someone to add you to the call. You just click on the [channel]... and join.”*




Fig. 2. Mock-up Screenshots of Discord Features Around Rules and Roles: (a) Sectioned categories with channels; (b) Server entry regulation—a bot-managed system ensuring users accept rules before gaining full access. The screenshot displays the channel list after rule acceptance; (c) Self-assigned server roles—customizable tags that grant access to specific channels based on permissions. Roles can be hierarchical, allow users to hold multiple roles, be mentioned as a group, and view others by their roles; (d) User list organized by roles; (e) User profile displaying bio, roles, current activity status (e.g., the game they are playing and for how long), and a server-specific nickname

4.2 The Third Place is a Leveler

Discord levels the social playing field by allowing users to interact without real-world identity or status constraints. The platform emphasizes self-expression and community involvement over real-world status through pseudonymous identities and role-based systems.

A. Pseudonymous Profiles. On Discord, users are not required to link their profiles to their real-world identities. Perhaps influenced by the playful culture of Discord, many users predominantly opt for pseudonymous profiles characterized by chosen usernames and avatars, creating an environment that facilitates identity exploration and expression beyond real-world constraints. As P17 describes, “Yeah, like for example, if someone in real life were to be introverted or keep to themselves, and they seem like a nice person... but then, on Discord, they could be really loud and extroverted, and talk to all these people online, because it’s behind a screen.” This cultural norm of pseudonymity is further emphasized by P10, who observes: “There’s no link to who you actually are... like Instagram, people tend to post their face or artwork... things that link back to who they are outside of the little digital world. Discord kind of curates a space where you don’t have to do that.” This deliberate separation from real-world identity creates opportunities for self-expression, as noted by P04: “[My favorite thing about Discord is that] I can be anyone I want without other people judging me or knowing who I am.”

B. Contribution-Based Hierarchy. While pseudonymous profiles diminish the influence of real-world social status, Discord servers maintain a form of internal organization through a role-based system [28]. These roles serve both administrative and social functions, with most being purely




Fig. 3. Screenshot of Notification Settings for Discord’s Official Discord Server.

identificatory rather than hierarchical. As P08 explains, “[Roles] just shows up on your profile if you wanted the role. It’s kind of just identification.” When hierarchical roles do exist, typically in the form of administrators and moderators, they represent the primary—and often only—formal power structure within Discord communities. Notably, these positions of authority are divorced from real-world social status or prestige. Instead, they are server-specific appointments typically granted based on community involvement, tenure, social connections within the server, or voluntary commitment. As P02 explains, “Usually, the moderators are people that are veterans, like long-time members... and are also really involved in the community.” While these roles carry significant responsibilities and rights, including rule enforcement and member management, they are generally viewed as service positions rather than status symbols. P25 emphasizes this dynamic: “It’s just volunteer... so people just apply to us privately. And honestly, most people don’t want to. So we just kind of take what we can get in that regard.”

4.3 Conversation Is the Main Activity

At its core, Discord thrives on conversation, offering an environment where dialogue is the primary form of engagement. Through its chat-driven design and flexible organization, the platform supports fluid, ongoing interactions that distinguish it from more rigid forms of social media.

A. Conversation-Driven Communication. Discord distinguishes itself as a chat-centered platform, placing conversation as the primary mode of communication. This contrasts with other social media platforms, where sustained, reciprocal dialogue is less common. P03 shared that while on Instagram, communication feels “one-way” because users would “post, and then you don’t really know if the person on the other end will see it or not,” whereas on Discord, it is “just easier for people to get like get engaged” and it feels “two-way.” P15 echoed this, saying, “It almost feels like social media but just for messaging... whereas on Instagram, messaging feels a little more out of the ordinary.” Similarly, on Reddit, “someone asking a question or sharing a picture, and people responding to the OP, but they’re not really talking to each other” (P25). P25 described TikTok as also “restricting communication-wise”, encouraging content creation over interaction. Twitch offers “just live streaming” and “quick chat”, where “you can’t form relationships” (P21). The need to “make an entire post” (P04) to interact, as many platforms such as Facebook groups do, experiences there



Fig. 4. Mock-up Screenshots of Discord Features Around Conversation Support: (a) Soccer game streaming in the “game-field” voice channel; (b) Re-orderable server list—allows users to organize channels into folders based on custom topics; (c) Text chat window for a voice channel; (d) Public voice channel—users can join by clicking the channel, with visibility of current participants; (e) Music bot—users can activate a bot to play background music for everyone in the channel.

feel very different than those on Discord, where users feel more “a part of a community” (P04) by chatting in groups.

B. Conversation Organization. Discord’s interface offers multiple pathways for organizing conversations, significantly *enhancing* users’ ability to engage in meaningful discussions. Particularly on PC, users can efficiently manage multiple conversations simultaneously. As P19 shared, switching between different servers and message channels is “really easy,” allowing them to manage “2 or 3 conversations at once” or even “4 conversations with 2 people” simultaneously. This multi-conversation capability makes Discord more effective for relationship-deepening interactions compared to platforms like Instagram, where users must constantly “click in and out” to manage different conversations (P10).

The platform’s organizational structure mirrors the importance of space segmentation in physical third places. Just as crowded physical spaces can hinder meaningful connections, Discord addresses this challenge by supporting multiple channels within servers. P21 drew an analogy: “It’s kind of like how a house has multiple rooms. If you’re walking through your house and you see your sister talking on the phone to someone in her room, you’re not going to go in that room. You’re gonna find another room.” These smaller spaces prevent users from getting “buried away” in larger servers (P12) and create more intimate environments for closer conversations.

While Discord’s flexibility in organizing conversations is powerful, it can present challenges. Users often manage numerous servers and channels simultaneously, which can become “very overwhelming” for newcomers (P24). To *combat this complexity*, users employ various organizational strategies: pinning important communities, organizing servers into folders based on importance, and using collapsible sections to de-clutter their interface. The platform also offers granular notification controls (see Figure 3), allowing users to manage their attention effectively. As P02 noted, “You don’t have to mute the whole server. You could just mute channels or specific things... or people.”




Fig. 5. Screenshots of Discord’s Support for Visitors and Newcomers.

This level of control helps users maintain responsiveness to important messages while preventing notification overload.

4.4 Accessibility and Accommodation

Discord prioritizes accessibility and inclusivity, offering users a range of features that simplify connections, support diverse public spaces, and accommodate various engagement levels. Its flexibility and cross-platform compatibility make it an inviting space for users from different backgrounds and needs.

A. Low-Barrier Connections. Discord’s design makes it easy to form connections without needing prior real-world relationships or extensive personal information. Its username-based friend system and shared server contexts allow users to initiate conversations freely, fostering the potential for serendipitous connections similar to those in physical public spaces. Many participants appreciated how Discord servers feel *“more out in the open”* (P21), enabling them to *“shoot message[s] directly without hitting a friend request”* (P04). In contrast, platforms like Facebook require users to navigate *“100 different posts”*, *“filter out”* interests, send a friend request, and *“wait for 2-3 days to connect”* (P04), making connections feel less spontaneous, serendipitous, or casual. P25 noted that Discord allows interactions with a *“big community”* beyond one’s immediate circle, unlike Skype’s more restrictive friend-only model. This openness encourages *“incidental friendships”* (P12), differing from Instagram, where users actively *“seek out... individual people”*. P11 compared Instagram to *“a private party”* where *“you’re trying to get in the party, and you can’t get in the party. So you get sent to the back of the line.”* In contrast, building friendships on Discord is more like being *“in the party, and then you’re talking to somebody who also doesn’t like the party. And it’s like, ‘Hey, you know, let’s just start talking.’”*

B. Ecosystem of Diverse Public Spaces. Discord servers are widely seen as highly accessible, offering public, topic-based communities that attract both gamers and non-gamers alike. The flexible structure of Discord supports a wide range of communities, from gaming to educational or other interest-based groups. P04 highlights this: *“I can find a server... for whatever purpose...”*

there's something for everything, something for everyone." P12 echoed this sentiment: *"In servers that are more inclusive and actually interact with new people, it gets really easy to make friends... with enough time and patience, you can almost always find a community, regardless of the topic."* Discord's flexibility supports a wide range of community types and interactions, allowing for diverse, user-driven experiences that contrast with Instagram, where content feels more homogeneous. P09 said that when looking for Love Island-related content on Discord, one could find a community or server *"where we're going to be recreating Love Island, but through Discord, and find the love of your life..."*, whereas on Instagram there would just be *"a post about it, and it's going to be mainly 'Oh my God, this couple got with this couple! They broke up, though they never loved each other.'"*

While many platforms allow users to create multiple rooms or groups, these spaces often lack integration into a cohesive whole. For example, P04 compared Facebook groups, which *"don't have as much categorization capabilities,"* noting that what would be 10 channels in one Discord server becomes *"10 different Facebook groups... I can't find them under one roof."* This lack of connected segmentation on other platforms means that even if communities are public, they can feel fragmented, making discovery difficult. Unlike Discord, where everything exists within a cohesive ecosystem, finding related spaces on other apps often requires more effort, as users must navigate between isolated groups or pages rather than seamlessly exploring connected channels within a single server.

C. Tiered Engagement. One important aspect of accessibility and accommodation is the support for visitors or newcomers (see Figure 5), which Discord facilitates through features designed for tiered access. When browsing servers, users can peek into spaces without joining, allowing them to get a sense of the environment. Even after joining, they can remain passive observers. This freedom lets users acclimate to unfamiliar environments at their own pace, giving them time to evaluate the community and its members before deciding when, if, and with whom to interact. Participants appreciated this flexibility. P08 contrasted Discord with other platforms where approaching users feel *"less in control"* and *"like a stranger."* On Discord, however, *"they're already within the group,"* and users can *"choose to join after observing,"* making it feel like *"you kind of know them a bit."* P13 valued the *"trial period in the server,"* where they could *"talk to people... without committing to a friendship"* (i.e., sending a friend request). This felt reassuring because, as they (humorously) noted, *"even if it's anonymous, I kind of know this person's not a murderer."* Others valued the *"waiting period for like a week or two"* (P12) to *"lurk"* (P12, P19), *"get the vibe"* (P12), and present themselves more confidently when ready to interact.

D. Cross-Platform Support. Another element contributing to openness and accessibility is the platform's *inclusiveness*. Discord's compatibility across various operating systems and devices makes it feel like a more truly public space in the digital realm. P15 noted Discord is *"easily accessible"* as users can *"access it on multiple devices at once"*. P08 explained that many young users without phones rely on Discord, making it *"convenient for everyone"* (P01). This contrasts with iMessage, which excludes non-Mac users (P07). P09 highlighted that platforms like FaceTime and Instagram are *"so wonky"* for tasks like streaming. P16 shared how Discord *"makes it a lot more accessible"* for their boyfriend with an *"older iPhone"*, allowing them to *"watch YouTube or a movie together"*. P09 also emphasized Discord's PC accessibility, which benefits gamers who are mostly *"on their PC[s]"* (P03).

4.5 The Regulars

Discord fosters a sense of community by creating spaces where users frequently encounter familiar faces. Through persistent servers, visibility cues, and custom roles, the platform supports




Fig. 6. Screenshot of Custom Statuses for Discord Profiles (from Discord’s Official Webpage [3]).

repeated interactions, fostering users’ perception and awareness of these exchanges, which deepens relationships and strengthens social bonds.

A. Persistent Servers. We find that fixed, immobile virtual spaces—“place-like” spaces—effectively support online relationship building by *providing stable gathering spaces* that facilitate frequent interactions. Unlike most broadcast platforms where interaction points (e.g., posts, comments) are transient, Discord offers stable channels for communication.³ One participant (P16) likened this permanence to “*a party that never stops,*” appreciating the ability to “*leave, and... come back,*” knowing “*it’s always gonna be there.*” In contrast, platforms like Instagram felt “*random*” (P11, P12). P12 summarized how consistent communication in fixed spaces fosters community: “*Discord has a much stronger way to make friends... Instagram is more random... but on Discord, you’re in the same channels, see each other regularly... chat, come back a day later, and cross paths again. There’s not nearly that much on Instagram.*”

B. Awareness and Visibility. Another way Discord supports recognizing regulars is through affordances that enhance the visibility and awareness of other users. In virtual spaces, where we lack the social cues and physical presence available in face-to-face interactions, explicit signals are essential to improve social translucence. Discord provides these cues through features that indicate users’ activities (see Figure 6), such as “*what song [they’re] listening to*” (P21) or “*which game they’re playing and how long*” (P07). These signals help users decide whether to “*not bother*” someone, “*ask questions about the game*” (P21), or “*check in*” (P07). This type of awareness often leads to thoughts about social initiation like, “*maybe I’ll go join them*” (P20). Participants also appreciated the “*friends tab*” that shows “*friends that are active right now*” (P20). Seeing “*the same name over and over*” (P11) gave them a clearer sense of who is “*in-group*” versus “*out-group*” (P11),

³Most chat services provide fixed spaces via chat rooms, but they are often not immobile in chat lists, whereas Discord channels’ locations are user-controlled.

which often led to the regulars forming *"a small connection"* (P06). Moreover, notifications when someone joins a server were likened to *"opening a door to conversation,"* acting as a casual *"Hi!"* (P05).

C. Custom Roles. Servers custom roles to highlight regulars or members with similar patterns of engagement, using these signals to encourage repeated interactions even among users who otherwise had little in common. For example, in servers, users *"say a certain message in chat or react to... a bot"* (P21) to receive a role. Such roles created ties with unfamiliar users and facilitated more frequent and natural interactions. P12 likened it to building friendships at school, saying, *"It's like you'll see people at, say, high school, where you're going around, and you see the same people around campus, and you get familiar with their faces, kind of the same way that you would be familiar with the profile picture of someone you keep seeing in your chat rooms."* P15 used a similar analogy, comparing their experience of getting familiar with members in the same channels as *"having a lot of your daily life overlap"* in a large school. They explained that *"even if... you've never met in person, there's so many ways that you could connect,"* similar to having the *"same teacher."* This overlap creates relatable experiences and opportunities to help each other, which *"ties you together"* (P15).

4.6 A Low Profile

Discord's design encourages a low-pressure environment where users can engage comfortably and authentically. Through ephemeral communication and visual anonymity, the platform fosters casual interactions and authentic connections, free from the social pressures often found in real life or on other platforms.

A. Conversational Flow and Ephemerality. Discord's ephemeral communication style creates a low-stakes, casual environment that feels more like a natural gathering space than traditional social media. The fleeting nature of messages encourages users to feel more comfortable and spontaneous. As P19 explains: *"It's a lot... more casual on Discord... On Facebook groups, if I'm gonna make a post, I have to have something to say... I am contributing something, and then everyone's responding to me... But on Discord... I'm saying my thing, but then so is the next person, and so is the next person... It is back and forth and doesn't feel centered on me."* This dynamic fosters an easy-going, ongoing conversational flow. P04 notes that *"you see all those four or five different people constantly chatting. And you are also part of that group. So it feels more like a... community-building platform."* The informal, transient nature of these interactions shapes communication style, allowing users to engage more freely. Compared to platforms like Instagram, where interactions feel *"a lot more formal"* and *"less about joking"* (P09), Discord conversations support a fluid, low-profile way of connecting.

B. (Visual) Anonymity. Anonymity on Discord fosters authentic social connections, especially by removing the appearance-based pressures often found in person and on other platforms. P13 shared, *"I cared way less anonymously on any Discord server... But I can't in person. When I try making friends, I'm consistently thinking someone's eyes are on me, or like... people are consistently looking at what I'm doing and making sure they're with the quote, unquote, right person."* For those with social anxiety, this anonymity can be especially helpful. P04 humorously shared how it lets them build trust at their own pace, ensuring *"this person won't kill me"* before sharing more personal details. Discord's text-based nature also shifts how people connect. As P13 noted, *"you tend to not judge a book by its cover because you don't know who they are. You don't know anything about what they look like, what their life's like, or whatever. So you tend to make friends based on text or just personal interactions."* P13 further reflected, *"When I started college, everyone seemed super friendly but also*




Fig. 7. Screenshots of Discord’s Support for Playful Interactions: (a) Discord’s logo that resembles a game controller; (b) Discord’s Bots and Activities that support shared fun among server members; (c) Discord’s support for playful visual communication via GIFs, memes, and emojis.

super fake. But online, because they were anonymous, they were their true selves. And that’s kind of easy for you to tell right off the bat whether you wanna hang out with this person... or not.” (B) by minimizing appearance and social expectations, Discord reduces the pressure to perform socially, allowing users to connect over shared interests and fostering a more authentic experience.

4.7 The Mood is Playful

Discord cultivates a playful atmosphere that encourages lighthearted interactions and community bonding. Through gaming features, bots, and playful visual elements, the platform supports a culture of fun, creativity, and shared enjoyment.

A. Gaming Integration. Discord’s foundation as a gaming platform shapes its playful atmosphere, as one participant noted, “Discord’s big thing is being a big community for gamers, I mean, the logo is a game controller” (P19). The platform integrates various entertainment features, from games to media sharing. As P03 explains, “Discord has loaded on a bunch of games. You can watch YouTube. You can invite like... Discord bots, which are basically like robots that developers make for Discord to play music.” Beyond gaming, the platform pioneered features like screen sharing and party games, with P02 noting, “Discord had screen sharing before... iOS did, for Facetime... Now, even Discord has, ... little mini games.” This gaming-focused environment creates a casual space that P18 likens to a game room: “it’s kind of its own thing, where it’s kind of just to have fun conversations, and like it’s not as serious... not as structured or... like... professional.” These features foster relaxed, entertainment-focused interactions that distinguish Discord from more formal social platforms.

B. Bots and Activities. Bots and integrated Activities (see Figure 4.7 (b)) on Discord provide interactive experiences and collaborative tasks that foster engagement and playfulness within communities. Many participants shared experiences of bonding over Activities on Discord, such

as “*playing games*” (P11). Beyond using Discord for communication during external games, users can engage with interactive games offered on the platform, with P06 describing one as “*a really fun game to get to know other people.*” Participants noted that servers often feature “*Question of the Day*” channels, where bots post prompts like “*What’s your favorite ice cream flavor?*” These questions spark conversations, as P25 noted, “*People are gonna talk and be like, ‘Oh, I like that flavor too.’*” Bots also “*promote a lot of activity*” (P05) in the server by initiating discussions. An interesting example was a “*counting bot,*” (P03) where members take turns counting in sequence. P03 described it as “*the most active channel on the server,*” where people enjoy the simple activity, even adding fun twists like using math: “*Instead of saying 19, they might say 20 minus 1, and it still counts.*”

C. Playful Visual Reactions. Discord’s support for GIFs, memes, and custom emotes (see Figure 4.7 (c)) enables rich emotional expression and playful interactions in ways that foster connection. These visual elements help users bond over shared emotions, as P09 describes: “*A lot of kids, they like to send GIFs in after an exam. It’s like ‘Oh, I’m in danger,’ you know, just like that. So it’s just a way to... bond over how hard the class is, and... lowers the tension of class, and it makes it feel a little less lonely that you’re struggling.*” Discord also allows communities to create their own visual language through custom emotes, as P03 notes: “*in our server specifically, you can use specific emojis that we upload. And so we have a Duolingo bird which we name ‘Fear’... , and we have like... clowns... different servers, have different things.*” Users appreciate this flexibility in emotional expression, as P25 notes: “*Sometimes they kind of convey different emotions than the regular like Discord ones... sometimes people make emotes that go together so... if you put them in a certain order, they make a picture or a word or something.*” These playful visual elements help users express themselves more authentically and create shared cultural touchstones within their communities.

4.8 A Home Away from Home

Discord creates a sense of home through customizable servers, niche subspaces, and contextual profiles. These features enable users to organize their communities, bond over shared interests, and express themselves authentically across different spaces, fostering comfort and belonging.

A. Server Customization. Virtual community spaces, especially larger ones, thrive on well-designed structures. As P07 explains, Discord offers extensive control over community organization, which makes it feel “*more of like a chatting organization or chatting organizing ‘place.’*” Participants emphasized two key aspects: first, that a well-defined structure is essential for the effective functioning of large, dynamic communities, especially in welcoming newcomers. Second, a well-thought-out structure *signals leaders’ commitment*, showing members their experience is valued, boosting loyalty and engagement. P19 compared joining larger servers to “*walk[ing] into a room with a thousand people I didn’t know... and just having to figure out how to introduce myself to people.*” Participants stressed the importance of keeping servers “*not too messy*” (P06) and using bots to manage spaces, such as those that handle “*tickets*” (P24). Conversely, P19 noted that a lack of organization in larger spaces signaled neglect, discouraging engagement. They shared, “*I’ve seen servers where the only roles are admin and non admin... and those servers typically only have a few text channels like General... and for me, that shows a lack of structure. It’s absolute chaos. I can’t track who’s who. I can’t track the conversation. I don’t think I’ve been in one of those servers longer than 12 hours before I’ve left.*”

B. Interest-Based Subspaces. The ability for users to create subspaces—whether as new servers, channels, or chats—allows them to carve out spaces where they feel truly at home. These spaces, built around shared or niche interests, foster a sense of belonging and connection. P08 highlighted how themed channels can “*giv[e] people things to talk about...* ” as “*ideas or conversation starters*”.

P15 shared a similar view, noting that servers “[tie] us together”. P11 described niche communities as providing a sense of togetherness that feels “more authentic” and “pure” because “your main focus is on that specific topic that you care a lot about”. Without such mutual interest, P12 imagined that relationship-building would be harder, saying, “You don’t usually just stumble across someone and say, ‘Hey, do you want to be my friend?’... I think you get a couple of weird looks if you [do that].”

Simply being active in specific Discord servers or channels signal “some kind of mutual interest... off the bat” (P19), helping users gauge compatibility. This contrasts with other platforms, where, as P23 noted, “in messages, I would never know if someone likes music unless I ask them directly.” This implicit understanding fosters immediate comfort and reassurance that there is common ground (P24). P11 captured this dynamic, likening it to being “the wallflower at the party... talking to somebody else who’s also a wallflower... And you’re like, ‘Hey, this party kind of sucks.’ ‘Yeah, it does kind of suck.’” P11 also felt the bond formed through shared experience or sentiment can make Discord feel more like a welcoming, familiar place compared to the broader, less-focused interactions of platforms like Instagram.

These subspaces also allow for flexibility in conversation, enhancing the sense of ease and comfort. P02 explained that while stream chats tend to be reactive, Discord servers enable discussions that stray off-topic while still feeling grounded by a common interest. They compared it to choir socials, where “we don’t have to be talking about singing, but we’re just united through that... and we just have a good time.” This dynamic creates an atmosphere where users can relax, connect naturally, and feel at home.

Another benefit of Discord’s structure is its ability to foster diverse cultural spaces. P09 explained that “Instagram is like one big server, whereas Discord is multiple servers connected together.” On Instagram’s non-segmented space, “the loudest people tend to determine what happens” and “you cannot change that environment.” In contrast, Discord allows users to “ban” toxic individuals or “just join a different server with the same topic.” This flexibility enables the creation of micro-communities with distinct cultures and norms while still being part of the larger platform.

C. Contextual Profiles. Discord’s flexible profile system lets users shape how they appear and interact across their different communities. As P17 notes: “You can customize it to your own liking and interests... make it pretty specific.” Users appreciate being able to maintain distinct personas that reflect their role in each space, with P25 sharing: “My profile is different... in every server... I have so many different profiles. Those are all me... I think it gives you a lot more personality, and it does stimulate conversation.” This flexibility accommodates both practical needs, as P05 explains: “You can change your nickname [for each server]. So because this one is associated with my school, I could attach my real name... so that way people would know who I am,” and personal expression through playful bios, which P15 observes: “one says, ‘student academic weapon’ and ‘academic victim’ depending on the hour of the day. So usually a lot of people do funny things.” The platform further enriches these personalized spaces through integration features, with P11 noting: “It connects with Spotify. And it also connects with the games you’re playing on PlayStation.” These customization options allow users to adapt and express themselves authentically within each community, making each server feel like a natural space for different aspects of their identity.

4.9 The Emergence of Discord as a Third Place Social Media

Ten participants used “place-like” analogies to describe their Discord experiences, highlighting the platform’s ability to create a “virtual third place” atmosphere—casual, playful, accessible, leveling, and comfortable. One participant (P24) even described a server structured like a “house” with various third-place-like spaces. These descriptions often contrasted Discord with platforms like Instagram, which participants felt lacked a sense of space.

Beyond occasional spatial metaphors like “spaces” or “rooms,” participants deliberately used analogies to well-defined virtual “places.” When asked to describe Discord, P11 likened it to a “*town square*” for gathering and branching into niche areas. P20 saw it as a “*concert hall*” with themed rooms, while P18 called it a “*game room*” full of interactive elements. P07 compared it to a “*cafe*” where strangers communicate like friends, and P24 described it as an organized “*house*” with distinct sections. P06 viewed it as an “*activity room*” with clubs for socializing, and P16 compared it to a “*hotel*” with dedicated spaces for discussions and private conversations. These analogies illustrate how Discord creates a “virtual third place,” despite its flat, 2D interface, through its experiential and structural-spatial elements.

4.10 Relational Benefits of Discord as a Third Place Social Media

Participants emphasized that virtual third places on Discord contributed to relationship-building through two main mechanisms: 1) dyadic relationship-building and 2) community-based relationship-building.

Dyadic Relationship-Building. Participants reported that focused, public, and fixed third spaces facilitated serendipitous encounters with compatible individuals. Thematic segmentation enabled in-depth conversations on diverse topics, enhancing dyadic relationship-building by increasing the chances of meeting suitable people and strengthening bonds. P22 described making friends through Discord servers focused on “*very niche hobbies*”, noting they “*only knew [them] over Discord*” but now talk regularly and have met in person “*literally dozens and dozens of times*”. P19 shared how segmented fixed spaces such as channels for specific topics like math and books helped them feel more comfortable contributing and forming connections, explaining that “*it would start off as... math help... which then turn into daily texting and... some of the friendships I still have.*” Several participants (P09, P10, P16, P21, P22) even formed romantic relationships with users they met on Discord. These experiences highlight Discord’s ability to foster close connections in a virtual space, reflecting Tidwell’s observation that it is “*not in-person but not impersonal*” [94].

Community-Based Relationship-Building. Participants frequently highlighted the strong sense of community they experienced within “servers,” which functioned as virtual third places centered around specific foci. These spaces fostered a sense of togetherness, lowering barriers to relationship-building. Servers offered conveniences like channel lists that felt like “*a direct line of communication*” and cues like “*seeing another active person,*” helping participants “*see the same people very frequently*” and creating a “*community aspect*” where they felt “*a sense of joy and... belonging,*” making it “*easier to make genuine friends*” (P11). P07 agreed, noting “*once you’re in the community, everybody just wants to make friends... everyone’s friendly.*” P24 appreciated finding people “*that aren’t necessarily very easy to find... in person*” through niche communities. P01 described how their network “*just basically grew... friends of friends*” and how they met a close friend through this network, adding that they are “*still friends... today... which is crazy*” after six years.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 From Space to Virtual Third Place: Design and Social Construction

Our analysis revealed 21 distinct design elements across eight third place characteristics that collectively contribute to creating a virtual third place atmosphere. These elements appear to be guided by four underlying design principles:

- (1) **Providing Themed Spaces for Repeated Interactions.** Through designs like the diverse ecosystem of public spaces, interest-based subspaces, persistent servers, and custom roles,

Discord creates dedicated spaces that encourage regular, meaningful interactions. These spaces serve as anchors for community formation and relationship development.

- (2) **Supporting Autonomy and Customization.** Discord empowers users through search and invite-based joining, open-access channels, flexible conversation organization, server customization, contextual profiles, and technical accessibility. This autonomy allows users to shape their experience and environment, essential for developing a sense of place.
- (3) **Facilitating Mutually Engaging Activities.** Designs like conversation-driven communication, gaming integration, Bots and Activities, and awareness/visibility tools create opportunities for shared experiences and natural interactions. These activities serve as catalysts for relationship formation and community building.
- (4) **Enabling Casual Interactions.** Through pseudonymous profiles, low-barrier connections, contribution-based hierarchy, tiered engagement, conversational flow and ephemerality, visual anonymity, and playful visual reactions, Discord fosters a relaxed, low-pressure environment conducive to organic social connections.

Importantly, these design principles do not operate in isolation but work together synergistically to support the emergence of place-like experiences. As Harrison and Dourish's framework [46] suggests, while these design elements create the potential for place-making, the actual sense of place emerges through active user participation and appropriation over time. The platform's design can only create favorable conditions—users ultimately transform these spaces into meaningful places through their interactions and shared experiences.

This highlights the importance of minimal restrictions and extensive customization options in Discord's design. Since place-making stems from users' adaptation and appropriation of spaces, providing flexibility allows communities to shape their environments to meet their specific needs. Even identical structural spaces can evolve into distinctly different "places" based on how communities choose to utilize and customize them.

5.2 Discord's Space-Based Design: An Alternative Approach to Social Media

While platforms like Reddit, SecondLife, Slack, and Gather share similar aims of creating virtual third places or structural similarities with Discord, our participants naturally gravitated toward comparing Discord with mainstream social media platforms like Instagram. This tendency reflects the participants' perception of Discord as a social media platform and society's established mental model of such platforms. This suggests that Discord could offer an alternative approach to social platform design, especially in cultivating third-place characteristics that support community and relationship building.

Social media have moved from space-based models to network-based (like Instagram) and now commons-based (like TikTok) approaches [102]. While no single model is inherently superior—each serves different purposes, leading many users to maintain multiple platform presences—Discord's space-based nature offers distinct benefits that the youth seek online:

- The ability to engage in casual, organic conversations without the pressure of creating formal posts
- Opportunities for community-based interactions and belonging
- Freedom from appearance-based pressures and profile curation expectations
- Support for serendipitous and gradual friendship development through persistent spaces
- Access to (niche) communities with like-minded individuals or those with shared interests
- An overall casual, playful atmosphere

These characteristics are often absent or difficult to achieve on network-based platforms like Instagram, where interactions can feel more formal, curated, and less conducive to organic relationship development. Discord's focus on casual conversation, playful engagement, and cross-platform support has also established it as an effective "hangout" space, compared to gaming platforms or business-oriented tools like Slack.

While loneliness and isolation have reached epidemic levels [75], existing social media platforms have not effectively addressed these challenges. Current policy discussions and academic research primarily focus on restricting youth access to platforms like TikTok and addressing mental health concerns [76], rather than investigating new approaches to foster meaningful online connections [59]. This reactive stance, while important for protecting users, may overlook opportunities to develop more supportive social technologies.

5.3 Relationship-Building in Virtual Spaces

Our examination of Discord reveals key design elements that support the development of place-based experiences and community in online environments. By synthesizing these findings into a taxonomy of designs, we provide a framework for crafting virtual spaces that not only connect people but cultivate lasting relationships.

Discord's ability to function as a third place, despite its digital nature, facilitates both intimate friendships and broader community-building. This demonstrates the potential for well-designed virtual spaces to help address the decline of physical gathering places and growing concerns about social isolation in the digital age. Our findings also highlight how many current social platforms lack "placeness," often reducing interactions to fleeting exchanges rather than fostering meaningful connections.

As we navigate an increasingly online future, understanding how to create supportive virtual spaces becomes essential for individual and societal well-being. The lesson is clear: the architecture and cultures within our virtual spaces profoundly shape the architecture and cultures of our relationships. The digital realm, often criticized for its potential to isolate, can—when mindfully designed—enhance rather than detract from our fundamental human need for connection.

5.4 Limitations and Future Work

Our study has several limitations. First, we only focused on Discord as a virtual third place, but other platforms may offer different or more effective implementations. Another key limitation is our incomplete examination of the potential risks associated with Discord's design, which may harm social connections rather than foster them. For example, the platform's high accessibility and lack of robust safeguards have contributed to serious issues such as catfishing and grooming, particularly affecting youth [51, 54]. Finally, our interviews were conducted with people who actively use Discord and have prior experience forming friendships on the platform. This may introduce bias, particularly in comparisons between Discord and other social media platforms.

The opportunity exists to explore how these design principles might extend to emerging technologies like Social Virtual Reality (VR), though current accessibility limitations constrain its potential as a widespread virtual third place in the near term. Additionally, as discussed in Section 2, Discord does not leverage all possible "features of space"—elements like "relational orientation and reciprocity" and "proximity and action" remain unexplored, as the platform lacks embodied avatars or open-world navigation. Further, future social media platforms may evolve into 3D environments like *Animal Crossing* [1], where users build "villages" and interact in more spatially oriented ways. This suggests opportunities for future work to investigate how incorporating such elements might expand our taxonomy for supporting virtual third places.

6 CONCLUSION

Our study demonstrates how Discord's intentional design facilitates third-place experiences on social media, fostering both dyadic and community-based relationship-building. By aligning with Oldenburg's third-place characteristics, Discord offers a space that promotes casual, playful interactions, reduces social hierarchies, and supports organic, meaningful connections. As physical third places continue to diminish, the potential for platforms like Discord to mitigate social isolation and cultivate community becomes increasingly significant. The design of social media that incorporates more place-like environments may offer a pathway to better societal well-being in an increasingly digital world. Future research should explore how these design principles can extend to other emerging technologies and address potential risks associated with virtual third places.

REFERENCES

- [1] [n. d.]. Animal Crossing series – Official Site. <https://animalcrossing.nintendo.com/>. Accessed: 2024-9-4.
- [2] [n. d.]. *Chat. Stream. Vibe*. Accessed: 2024-09-04.
- [3] [n. d.]. Custom Status. <https://support.discord.com/hc/en-us/articles/360035407531-Custom-Status>. Accessed: 2024-12-10.
- [4] [n. d.]. *Telegram – a new era of messaging*. Accessed: 2024-09-04.
- [5] 2024. Discord Activities: Play Games and Watch Together. <https://discord.com/blog/server-activities-games-voice-watch-together>. Accessed: 2024-12-8.
- [6] Paul C Adams. 2010. Cyberspace and virtual places. *Geogr. Rev.* 87, 2 (April 2010), 155–171. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1931-0846.1997.tb00069.x>
- [7] Katrina Alcalá, Anthony D'Achille, and Amy Bruckman. 2023. The Stage and the Theatre: AltspaceVR and its Relationship to Discord. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 7, CSCW1 (April 2023), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3579529>
- [8] Monica Anderson, Michelle Faverio, and Jeffrey Gottfried. 2023. Teens, social media and technology 2023. *Pew Res Cent* (2023).
- [9] Katie Baumel, Mara Hamlett, Brittany Wheeler, Deborah Hall, Ashley K Randall, and Kristin Mickelson. 2021. Living Through COVID-19: Social Distancing, Computer-Mediated Communication, and Well-Being in Sexual Minority and Heterosexual Adults. *Journal of Homosexuality* 68, 4 (March 2021), 673–691. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00918369.2020.1868190>
- [10] N Baym. 1995. The emergence of community in computer mediated communication. (1995).
- [11] J M Bishop, L Taylor, and F Froy. 2000. Computer-mediated communication use by the deaf and hard-of-hearing. *Kybernetes* 29, 9/10 (Dec. 2000), 1078–1086. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03684920010342143>
- [12] Caryl Bosman and Joanne Dolley. 2019. Rethinking third places and community building. *Rethinking Third Places* (May 2019). <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781786433916.00009>
- [13] danah boyd. 2014. *It's Complicated: The Social Lives of Networked Teens* (1 ed.). Yale University Press, New York.
- [14] Kellen Browning. 2021. 5 Ways Young People Are Using Discord. *The New York Times* (Dec. 2021).
- [15] Kellen Browning. 2021. How Discord, Born From an Obscure Game, Became a Social Hub for Young People. *The New York Times* (Dec. 2021).
- [16] Amy Bruckman. [n. d.]. Finding One's Own in Cyberspace.
- [17] Amy Bruckman and Mitchel Resnick. 1995. The MediaMOO project: Constructionism and professional community. *Converg. Int. J. Res. New Media Technol.* 1, 1 (March 1995), 94–109. <https://doi.org/10.1177/135485659500100110>
- [18] bumble bff [n. d.]. Find New Friends With Bumble For Friends App. <https://bumble.com/bff>. Accessed: 2024-9-4.
- [19] Business of Apps. 2020. Discord Revenue and Usage Statistics (2024). <https://www.businessofapps.com/data/discord-statistics/>. Accessed: 2024-9-4.
- [20] Pablo Cabrera-Barona, Gabriel Gaona, and Andrea Carrión. 2023. Importance of public space, neighbors' support, and safety in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic lockdown. *J. Urban Manag.* 12, 3 (June 2023), 284–294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jum.2023.06.001>
- [21] Vicky Cattell, Nick Dines, Wil Gesler, and Sarah Curtis. 2008. Mingling, observing, and lingering: everyday public spaces and their implications for well-being and social relations. *Health Place* 14, 3 (Sept. 2008), 544–561. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2007.10.007>
- [22] Mina Choi and Hyesun Choung. 2021. Mediated communication matters during the COVID-19 pandemic: The use of interpersonal and masspersonal media and psychological well-being. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships* 38, 8 (Aug. 2021), 2397–2418. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02654075211029378>

- [23] Tatiana Cirisano. 2020. How Gamer Chat App Discord Is Connecting Artists With Core Fans. <http://www.billboard.com/pro/discord-connecting-music-artists-with-fans-chat-platform/>. Accessed: 2024-9-3.
- [24] Jason Citron. 2020. Building On Our Momentum. <https://discord.com/blog/building-on-our-momentum>. Accessed: 2024-12-8.
- [25] Randall Collins and Peter M Blau. 1979. Inequality and heterogeneity: A primitive theory of social structure. *Soc. Forces* 58, 2 (Dec. 1979), 677. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2577612>
- [26] Neil S Coulson, Heather Buchanan, and Aimee Aubeeluck. 2007. Social support in cyberspace: a content analysis of communication within a Huntington's disease online support group. *Patient Educ. Couns.* 68, 2 (Oct. 2007), 173–178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2007.06.002>
- [27] Discord. [n. d.]. Discord - Group Chat That's All Fun & Games. <https://discord.com/>. Accessed: 2024-9-4.
- [28] Discord. [n. d.]. Role Management 101. <https://support.discord.com/hc/en-us/articles/214836687-Role-Management-101>. Accessed: 2024-9-1.
- [29] discord connects friends, peers during pandemic 2021. Discord connects friends, peers during pandemic. https://www.coastreportonline.com/features/article_9a7a4db2-bf25-11eb-90ce-0bf413ec7b54.html. Accessed: 2024-9-4.
- [30] discord covid 19 response 2020. Discord's COVID-19 Response. <https://www.zendesk.com/customer/discords-covid-19-response/>. Accessed: 2024-9-4.
- [31] Nicolas Ducheneaut, Robert J Moore, and Eric Nickell. 2007. Virtual "third places": A case study of sociability in massively multiplayer games. *Comput. Support. Coop. Work* 16, 1-2 (April 2007), 129–166. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10606-007-9041-8>
- [32] Brianna Dym and Casey Fiesler. 2018. Generations, migrations, and the future of fandom's private spaces. *TWC* 28 (Sept. 2018). <https://doi.org/10.3983/twc.2018.1583>
- [33] Katie Ellis and G Goggin. 2013. Disability and social media. *The social media handbook* (Oct. 2013), 134–151. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203407615-15>
- [34] Firat Erdoğan. 2009. CONCEPTUALIZING ONLINE ENVIRONMENTS AS THIRD PLACES: AN ANALYSIS ON SECOND LIFE AND FACEBOOK.
- [35] Thomas Erickson and Wendy A Kellogg. 2000. Social translucence: an approach to designing systems that support social processes. *ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact.* 7, 1 (2000), 59–83.
- [36] Jennifer Fereday and Eimear Muir-Cochrane. 2006. Demonstrating rigor using thematic analysis: A hybrid approach of inductive and deductive coding and theme development. *International journal of qualitative methods* 5, 1 (2006), 80–92.
- [37] Geraldine Fitzpatrick, Simon Kaplan, and Tim Mansfield. 1996. Physical spaces, virtual places and social worlds: a study of work in the virtual. In *Proceedings of the 1996 ACM conference on Computer supported cooperative work - CSCW '96*. ACM Press, New York, New York, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/240080.240322>
- [38] J W Foster. 2013. Oldenburg's great good places online: assessing the potential for social network sites to serve as third places. (2013).
- [39] Guo Freeman and E Jacob. 2012. Reconceptualizing Cyberspace: "Real" Places in Digital Space. *International Journal of Science in Society* 3, 2 (2012), 91–102. <https://doi.org/10.18848/1836-6236/CGP/V03I02/51318>
- [40] Barbro Fröding and Martin Peterson. 2012. Why virtual friendship is no genuine friendship. *Ethics Inf. Technol.* 14, 3 (Sept. 2012), 201–207. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-011-9284-4>
- [41] Mark Giese. 1998. Constructing a virtual geography: Narratives of space in a text-based environment. *J. Commun. Inq.* 22, 2 (April 1998), 152–176. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0196859998022002004>
- [42] Erving Goffman. 2016. The presentation of self in everyday life. In *Social Theory Re-Wired*. Routledge, 482–493.
- [43] Rebecca Haddad. [n. d.]. The Rise of Discord Among Students During the COVID-19 Pandemic. <https://www.thelance.ca/2021/09/27/the-rise-of-discord-among-students-during-the-covid-19-pandemic/>. Accessed: 2024-9-4.
- [44] Oliver L Haimson, Justin Buss, Zu Weinger, Denny L Starks, Dykee Gorrell, and Briar Sweetbriar Baron. 2020. Trans Time: Safety, Privacy, and Content Warnings on a Transgender-Specific Social Media Site. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 4, CSCW2 (Oct. 2020), 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3415195>
- [45] William A Hamilton, Oliver Garretson, and Andriud Kerne. 2014. Streaming on twitch: fostering participatory communities of play within live mixed media. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2556288.2557048>
- [46] Steve Harrison and Paul Dourish. 1996. Re-place-ing space: the roles of place and space in collaborative systems. In *Proceedings of the 1996 ACM conference on Computer supported cooperative work - CSCW '96*. ACM Press, New York, New York, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/240080.240193>
- [47] Jim Hollan and Scott Stornetta. 1992. Beyond being there. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Monterey, California, USA) (*Chi '92*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 119–125.

- [48] John B Horrigan. 2001. Part 2: The Internet, Communities, and the Virtual “Third Place”. <https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2001/10/31/part-2-the-internet-communities-and-the-virtual-third-place/>. Accessed: 2024-8-20.
- [49] Josh Howarth. 2023. Top 35 Social Media Platforms (September 2024). <https://explodingtopics.com/blog/top-social-media-platforms>. Accessed: 2024-12-9.
- [50] Kelin Hull and Cory Pettit. 2021. Making community through the utilization of discord in a (suddenly) online writing center. *The Peer Review* 5, 2 (2021).
- [51] Julie Jargon. 2019. The Dark Side of Discord, Your Teen’s Favorite Chat App. *The Wall Street Journal* (June 2019).
- [52] Elijah Jhee. [n. d.]. It’s called Discord, but the instant messaging app has actually done more uniting during COVID-19 pandemic. <https://shhsacolade.com/4890/ae/its-called-discord-but-the-instant-messaging-app-has-actually-done-more-uniting-during-covid-19-pandemic/>. Accessed: 2024-9-4.
- [53] Heather Kelly. 2021. They laughed, they cried, they killed monsters: How friendships thrived in video games during the pandemic. <https://www.seattletimes.com/entertainment/they-laughed-they-cried-they-killed-monsters-how-friendships-thrived-in-video-games-during-the-pandemic/>. Accessed: 2024-9-1.
- [54] Samantha Murphy Kelly. 2022. The dark side of Discord for teens. <https://www.cnn.com/2022/03/22/tech/discord-teens/index.html>. Accessed: 2024-7-29.
- [55] Lori Kendall. 2002. *Hanging out in the virtual pub: Masculinities and relationships online*. University of California Press.
- [56] Amy Jo Kim. 2000. *Community building on the web: Secret strategies for successful online communities*. Addison Wesley, London, England.
- [57] Da-Jung Kim and Youn-Kyung Lim. 2015. Dwelling Places in KakaoTalk: Understanding the Roles and Meanings of Chatrooms in Mobile Instant Messengers. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 775–784. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2675133.2675198>
- [58] Jaewon Kim, Soobin Cho, Robert Wolfe, Jishnu Hari Nair, and Alexis Hiniker. 2024. Privacy as Social Norm: Systematically Reducing Dysfunctional Privacy Concerns on Social Media. *arXiv [cs.HC]* (Oct. 2024). arXiv:2410.16137 [cs.HC]
- [59] Jaewon Kim, Lindsay Popowski, Anna Fang, Cassidy Pyle, Guo Freeman, Ryan M Kelly, Angela Y Lee, Fannie Liu, Angela D R Smith, Alexandra To, and Amy X Zhang. 2024. Envisioning new futures of positive social technology: Beyond paradigms of fixing, protecting, and preventing. In *Companion Publication of the 2024 Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 701–704. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3678884.3681833>
- [60] Robert E Kraut, Paul Resnick, and Sara Kiesler. 2011. *Building successful online communities: evidence-based social design*. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- [61] Werner Kuhn and Brad Blumenthal. 1996. Spatialization: spatial metaphors for user interfaces. In *Conference companion on Human factors in computing systems common ground - CHI '96*. ACM Press, New York, New York, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/257089.257361>
- [62] Frankie Lantican. 2020. I Found a Family in Strangers I Met on Discord During the Pandemic. <https://www.vice.com/en/article/making-friends-strangers-discord-coronavirus-pandemic-internet-social-media-online/>. Accessed: 2024-9-4.
- [63] Soyoung Lee and Kentaro Toyama. 2024. Friendship formation in an enforced online regime: Findings from a U.s. university under COVID. *Proc. ACM Hum. Comput. Interact.* 8, CSCW1 (April 2024), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3641007>
- [64] Taylor Lorenz. 2019. How an App for Gamers Went Mainstream. <https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2019/03/how-discord-went-mainstream-influencers/584671/>. Accessed: 2024-7-29.
- [65] Paul P Maglio and Teenie Matlock. 1999. The conceptual structure of information space. In *Social Navigation of Information Space*. Springer London, London, 155–173. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-0837-5_9
- [66] Making Markets. [n. d.]. Discord: Building the Third Place - [Founder’s Field Guide, Replay].
- [67] E Markiewicz. 2019. Third places in the era of virtual communities. *Studia Periegetica* 28 (2019), 9–21.
- [68] John A McArthur and Ashleigh Farley White. 2016. Twitter chats as third places: Conceptualizing a digital gathering site. *Soc. Media Soc.* 2, 3 (July 2016), 205630511666585. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305116665857>
- [69] Rea McNamara. 2021. How Artists Used the Discord App to Build Community During COVID-19. <http://hyperallergic.com/632565/how-artists-used-the-discord-app-to-build-community-during-covid-19/>. Accessed: 2024-9-3.
- [70] meetup [n. d.]. Meetup. <https://www.meetup.com/>. Accessed: 2024-9-4.
- [71] Jennifer V Meier, Josephine A Noel, and Kai Kaspar. 2021. Alone together: Computer-mediated communication in leisure time during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. *Front. Psychol.* 12 (June 2021), 666655. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.666655>
- [72] Robert Moore, E Hankinson Gathman, and Nicolas Ducheneaut. 2009. From 3D space to third place: The social life of small virtual spaces. *Hum. Organ.* 68, 2 (June 2009), 230–240. <https://doi.org/10.17730/humo.68.2.q673k16185u68v15>

- [73] Jo Moses. [n. d.]. In praise of Discord for making quarantine connections. <http://eic.opalstacked.com/in-praise-of-discord-for-making-quarantine-connections/>. Accessed: 2024-9-4.
- [74] Michael Nitsche. 2009. *Video game spaces: Image, play, and structure in 3D worlds*. Mit Press.
- [75] Office of the Surgeon General (OSG). 2023. *Our Epidemic of Loneliness and Isolation: The U.S. Surgeon General's Advisory on the Healing Effects of Social Connection and Community*. Technical Report. US Department of Health and Human Services, Washington (DC).
- [76] Office of the Surgeon General (OSG). 2023. *Social Media and Youth Mental Health: The U.S. Surgeon General's Advisory*. Technical Report. US Department of Health and Human Services.
- [77] R Oldenburg. 1997. Our vanishing third places. *Planning commissioners journal* 25, 4 (1997), 6–10.
- [78] Ray Oldenburg. 1999. *The Great Good Place: Cafes, coffee shops, bookstores, bars, hair salons, and other hangouts at the heart of a community*. Da Capo Press, London, England.
- [79] Ramon Oldenburg and Dennis Brissett. 1982. The third place. *Qualitative sociology* 5, 4 (1982), 265–284.
- [80] Malcolm R Parks and Lynne D Roberts. 1998. 'Making Moosic': The Development of Personal Relationships on Line and a Comparison to their Off-Line Counterparts. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships* 15, 4 (Aug. 1998), 517–537. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407598154005>
- [81] L Rainie. 2012. Networked: The new social operating system. (2012).
- [82] Devika Rao and The Week US. 2024. The unfortunate, ongoing disappearance of 'third places'. <https://theweek.com/culture-life/third-places-disappearing>. Accessed: 2024-8-20.
- [83] Kali Saposnick. 2016. Making a Garden Out of a Jungle: The Power of "Third Places". <https://thesystemsthinker.com/making-a-garden-out-of-a-jungle-the-power-of-third-places/>. Accessed: 2024-9-4.
- [84] C Saunders, A Rutkowski, M Genuchten, D Vogel, and J M Orrego. 2011. Virtual space and place: Theory and test. *Miss. Q.* 35 (Dec. 2011), 1079–1098. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41409974>
- [85] Douglas Schuler. 1996. New community networks: Wired for change. (Jan. 1996).
- [86] Kevin N Shufford, Deborah L Hall, Ashley K Randall, Bailey M Braunstein, Mary M O'Brien, and Kristin D Mickelson. 2021. Connected while apart: Associations between social distancing, computer-mediated communication frequency, and positive affect during the early phases of COVID-19. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships* 38, 10 (Oct. 2021), 2906–2920. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02654075211041316>
- [87] Slack. [n. d.]. Where work happens. <https://slack.com/>. Accessed: 2024-12-9.
- [88] Mario L Small and Laura Adler. 2019. The role of space in the formation of social ties. *Annu. Rev. Sociol.* 45, 1 (July 2019), 111–132. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-073018-022707>
- [89] Renato Soeiro, Gabriel David, and Ana M A Neves. 2023. Discord in a university STEM learning environment: collective learning. (2023).
- [90] Charles Soukup. 2006. Computer-mediated communication as a virtual third place: building Oldenburg's great good places on the world wide web. *New Media & Society* 8, 3 (June 2006), 421–440. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444806061953>
- [91] Russell Spears and Martin Lea. 1994. Panacea or panopticon?: The hidden power in computer-mediated communication. *Communic. Res.* 21, 4 (Aug. 1994), 427–459. <https://doi.org/10.1177/009365094021004001>
- [92] Constance A Steinkuehler and Dmitri Williams. 2006. Where everybody knows your (screen) name: Online games as "third places". *J. Comput. Mediat. Commun.* 11, 4 (July 2006), 885–909. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2006.00300.x>
- [93] Kate Sukhanova. 2023. The Latest Discord Statistics & Trends for 2023. <https://techreport.com/statistics/software-web/discord-statistics/>. Accessed: 2024-9-4.
- [94] Christy Tidwell. 2023. In Defense of Facelessness Not In-Person but Not Impersonal. *Pedagogy* 23, 2 (April 2023), 321–332. <https://doi.org/10.1215/15314200-10296042>
- [95] S Tong and J B Walther. 2011. Relational maintenance and CMC. *Computer-mediated communication in personal relationships* 53, 9 (2011), 1689–1699.
- [96] United Nations. [n. d.]. Youth | United Nations. ([n. d.]).
- [97] Monica Vladioiu and Zoran Constantinescu. 2020. Learning During COVID-19 Pandemic: Online Education Community, Based on Discord. In *2020 19th RoEduNet Conference: Networking in Education and Research (RoEduNet)*. IEEE, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/RoEduNet51892.2020.9324863>
- [98] Welmoed Fenna Wagenaar. 2024. Discord as a fandom platform: Locating a new playground. *TWC* 42 (March 2024). <https://doi.org/10.3983/twc.2024.2473>
- [99] M White and S M Dorman. 2001. Receiving social support online: implications for health education. *Health Educ. Res.* 16, 6 (Dec. 2001), 693–707. <https://doi.org/10.1093/her/16.6.693>
- [100] Wikipedia contributors. 2024. List of social platforms with at least 100 million active users. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_social_platforms_with_at_least_100_million_active_users.
- [101] World Health Organization (WHO). [n. d.]. Adolescent health SEARO. <https://www.who.int/southeastasia/health-topics/adolescent-health>. Accessed: 2024-9-4.

- [102] Amy X Zhang, Michael S Bernstein, David R Karger, and Mark S Ackerman. 2024. Form-From: A Design Space of Social Media Systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.05388* (2024).
- [103] Guo Zhang and Elin K Jacob. 2013. Understanding boundaries: physical, epistemological and virtual dimensions. (2013).

A DEMOGRAPHICS DATA

Table 3. Demographics of Individual Discord Users

PID	Age	Gender	*	**	***	Purpose of Use
P01	19	Girl/Woman	48	40	1-2	Work, gaming/talking with friends, keeping track of student clubs for gaming and other topics
P02	17	Boy/Man	84	150+	5	Playing games, talking, communicating with streamers/finding fanbases, streaming games
P03	17	Boy/Man	36	20	7	Chatting/talking to friends who play games, acting as moderators, finding scholarships/scholarship communities
P04	24	Boy/Man	3	N/A	2	Finding local communities with similar interests in their location
P05	19	Girl/Woman	53	N/A	N/A	School-related servers for study groups and social interactions, servers related to games and other interests
P06	16	Boy/Man	36	20-30+	4-5	School, talking to friends, making plans, and engaging in activities like gaming and general chatting
P07	20	Boy/Man	62	9	N/A	Talk to friends, manage servers, and maintain a community, specifically around games like LoL for YouTube and streaming
P08	16	Girl/Woman	60	20	5	Talk to friends, play games, and access servers related to school programs and other interests
P09	19	Girl/Woman	12	N/A	N/A	School - for help/meeting people in classes, sharing notes, and gaming with friends
P10	18	Non-binary/ Third gender	24	13	2	Drama club/tracking theater updates, talking with distant friends, school-related servers, and specific fandom servers (Dungeons and Dragons)
P11	18	Girl/Woman	60	15-20	7	Group messaging, often as a residential assistant (RA) at college, in the past for video game communities
P12	19	Boy/Man	72	40	12	Maintaining/supporting hobbies, gaming, and interacting with real-life friends
P13	20	Girl/Woman	48	27-30	N/A	Mainly for class communication, clubs, and interacting in various servers regarding interests/communication
P14	15	Girl/Woman	18	12-15	6	Engaging in common interests like anime and K-pop, texting and coordinating activities with friends, often via video calls
P15	17	Girl/Woman	36	6	4	Communicating with people that typically use Discord and for extracurricular activities
P16	16	Girl/Woman	48	240	6	YouTuber communities, school activities, gaming and socializing, screensharing with partner
P17	16	Girl/Woman	24	N/A	10	Communication within specific communities, cheerleading team, and school-related servers
P18	17	Girl/Woman	40	15-20	2-3	Mostly video games, sometimes group chats or streaming games
P19	20	Girl/Woman, Non-binary/ Third gender	30	30	5	Video games/supporting streamers, specific servers regarding conditions around mental health/interests

PID	Age	Gender	*	**	***	Purpose of Use
P20	19	Boy/Man	78	15-20	5	Primarily for academic purposes regarding their online program, used for gaming in the past
P21	15	Girl/Woman	36	80	10	Specific interests like streaming, the musical Hamilton, learning French, etc. Gaming servers as well
P22	19	Girl/Woman, Non-binary/ Third gender	100	150-200	100	Hobby-based servers regarding gaming and programming, also servers with friends
P23	18	Girl/Woman	55	130	32	Communicating with friends, meeting people, and playing games
P24	18	Girl/Woman	20	15	5-6	Communicating with friends, servers related to disabilities and health conditions, moderating servers
P25	19	Girl/Woman	74	104	3+	Communicating with friends, interests in art/aesthetics, streamer engagement, moderating

*: Months of Discord Use

** : Total Number of Servers Joined on Discord

***: Number of Servers Frequently Used

****: Non-binary or third gender.

B INTERVIEW PROTOCOL

INTRODUCTION

Welcome, and thank you for participating in our study. Before we begin, I want to ensure that you've read the consent form I sent earlier. Have you had a chance to review it?

[Response]

Today we're going to talk about your experiences making new connections or friends over Discord.

The interview will last no longer than an hour, and we will make sure that none of the information that you share in this interview will be shared with anyone in a personally identifiable way.

Please remember that you should feel free to decline to answer a question if you feel uncomfortable with it.

Just to reiterate, this session will be recorded for research purposes. Do I have your consent to proceed with the recording?

[Response]

Thank you. If you have any questions or concerns regarding this interview during or after our interview, please feel free to email me through my email.

INTERVIEW

I first want to ask you a few general questions about your experience with Discord.

1. Do you remember when you first decided to download and join Discord?
 - a. How did you learn about the platform and why did you decide to use it?
 - b. What is it known for amongst your friends?
 - c. What was your first impression of Discord?
2. How often do you use it?
 - a. What do you mainly use it for?
 - b. What's your typical session when you use Discord?
 - c. How many servers do you have joined?
 - d. Could you briefly describe each server? Maybe, like how many members there are, what the purpose of it is, etc.
3. Can you walk me through your typical experience of joining a new Discord server?
4. How do you make sense of a new server? Its rules, its vibes, the people there, and more.
5. Could you briefly describe how your experience has been like making new connections or friendships over Discord?
 - a. Who, what kind, where, when, how, why?
 - b. Is there a specific reason to choosing Discord to make friends, or no?
6. Can you recall any of your typical first interactions with strangers on Discord?
 - a. Where and how did you meet?
 - b. How big was the server or the channel that you both were a part of?
 - c. What element of Discord do you think helped you the most with that experience of meeting someone, a potential friend, for the first time?
 - d. How might other platforms compare to Discord in this process?
7. Can you describe your typical experience when you transitioned from being a stranger to becoming friends with someone on Discord?
 - a. How do you decide who to develop stronger relationships with?
 - i. What are some signals that make you decide not to want to develop a connection with someone?
 - b. How did the relationship/friendship progress?

- i. Where and how do you keep in contact with them?
 - c. What element of Discord do you think helped you the most with that experience?
 - d. How might other platforms compare to Discord in this process?
 8. Are there any unique aspects of Discord that you think contribute to friendship development?
 - a. What features of Discord do you think contribute most to building friendships?
 - b. How do you use these to maintain and deepen your friendships?
 - c. Are these features available on other platforms too? If so, how do they feel different?
 - d. Are there particular channels or servers that helped you with making connections? What were their unique characteristics?
 - e. Does the size of the channels or servers affect how you initiate or follow up with other users there?
 - f. Did the theme or topic of the server affect your friendship-building?
 9. What might be some hurdles to making friends over Discord, if any?
 10. Which social media platforms, besides Discord, do you use or have used before?
 - a. Which platforms were the most effective for you in making and/or developing new connections?
 - b. How does Discord compare to that platform? What's unique or different about Discord?
 - c. What is your favorite thing about Discord compared to the other platforms?
 - d. What is your least favorite thing about Discord compared to the other platforms?
 - e. If you could change or add any features to Discord to better support friendship development, what would they be? Why?
 - f. If you were to describe the vibe of each platform metaphorically, how would you describe them?