Advancing Image Security with Quantum Key Distribution and Multi-Layer Chaotic Encryption for Quantum-Resilient Transmission

Tasmin Karim¹, Md. Shazzad Hossain Shaon¹, Md. Fahim Sultan¹, and Mst Shapna Akter^{1,*}

¹Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Oakland University, Rochester, MI 48309, USA Email: tasminkarim@oakland.edu, shaon@oakland.edu, mdfahimsultan@oakland.edu, akter@oakland.edu

Abstract

Quantum security improves cryptographic protocols by applying quantum mechanics principles, assuring resistance to both quantum and conventional computer attacks. This work ad dresses these issues by integrating Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) utilizing the E91 method with Multi-Layer Chaotic Encryption, which employs a variety of patterns to detect eaves dropping, resulting in a highly secure imagetransmission architecture. The method leverages entropy calculations to determine the unpredictability and integrity of encrypted and decrypted pictures, guaranteeing strong security. Extensive statistical scenarios were used to illustrate the framework's effectiveness in image encryption while preserving high entropy and sensitivity to the original visuals. The findings indicate significant improvement in encryption and decryption performance, demon starting the framework's potential as a robust response to weak nesses introduced by advances in quantum computing. Several metrics, such as Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR), Structural Similarity Index (SSIM), Normalized Cross-Correlation (NCC), Bit Error Rate (BER), entropy values for original, encrypted, and decrypted images, and the correlation between original and decrypted images, are used to validate the framework's effectiveness. The combination of QKD with Multi-Layer Chaotic Encryption provides a scalable and resilient technique to secure image communication. As quantum computing advances, this framework provides a future-proof approach for defining secure communication protocols in crucial sectors such as med ical treatment, forensic computing, and national security, where information confidentiality is valuable.

Keywords: Quantum Key Distribution; E91 mechanism; Quan tam Computing; Chaotic Encryption; Quantum security.

1 Introduction

In modern contributing society, sensitive and confidential information must be sent securely in vital fields such as bank sector, biotechnology, medical center, and confidential information security. The emergence of quantum computers with increasing qubit capacity poses a significant threat to the reliability of traditional encryption methods, risking security of information during communication [1]. Shor's method highlighted the possible

weakness of factorization-based cryptosystems, an issue that has arisen in recent years [2, 3]. Factorization-based cryptography frameworks, such as RSA, are vulnerable to

significant risks as quantum computing advances [4]. As quantum technologies advance, the security guarantees of existing cryptosystems become increasingly in danger, forcing the investigation of quantum resistant alternatives. According to previous study, the projected computational complexity of Shor's method is $O(7^2(\log(N))^3)$, which is a substantial improvement over traditional algorithms that generally operate at $O(n^3)$ [5]. Furthermore, Grover's technique offers a significant danger to many cryptographic systems by limiting the search area for unstructured situations, jeopardizing the security and integrity of transmitted data [6]. The quantum advantage provided by both algorithms calls into question the fundamental assumptions of classical cryptography, emphasizing the critical need for quantum-resistant cryptographic solutions. To overcome the difficulties posed by quantum computing to standard cryptography systems, researchers are investigating several ways for constructing quantum-resistant solutions. One such technique is to employ lattice-based encryption, which is based on mathematical issues that quantum computers are thought to be inefficient at solving. Other initiatives focus on post-quantum encryption methods, which are intended to protect data from both conventional and quantum assaults. Additionally, researchers are looking at incorporating quantum key distribution (QKD) into current systems to improve security [7, 8], as it uses quantum mechanics principles to detect and prevent eavesdropping. Furthermore, multi-layered encryption algorithms are being developed to improve data transmission security by combining conventional and guantum-resistant crypto graphic approaches [9-11]. Through these initiatives, the field of academia desires to create secure and scalable solutions that can protect sensitive information in the era of quantum computing. Therefore, the study designed with a novel method to secure the image data,

A. Introduces an efficient encryption approach that employs numerous chaotic maps (Logistic, Henon, Tent, and Arnold's Cat) to improve visual security.

B. Simulates the E91 protocol for secure authentication and incorporates eavesdropping detection to guard against quantum channel risks.

C. Combines quantum key distribution with multi-layer chaotic encryption to protect classical cryptography from potential quantum computing threats.

D. Uses entropy calculations to assess the randomness and integrity of the encrypted and decrypted images, ensuring strong security.

2 Related Works

Significant advancement has been obtained in the field of quantum security using different types of methods over the past few years. According to previous studies, different statisticians have made significant advances by developing a wide variety of chaotic operations. Examples include the Lorentz Chaos, Logistic Chaos [12], and the Henon Map [13]. These mathematical models have one thing in common: they are extremely sensitive to beginning circumstances and can exhibit pseudo-random behavior. However, the combination of these methods would be an innovative procedure for the future. In the 1980s, the identification of non-periodic circulation generated debate on the crypto graphic uses of chaos, particularly Chua's network [14]. In another study, the logistic map was used to generate floating-point values, which were subsequently XOR'd with plaintext to create ciphertext [15]. A modern image encryption method that utilizes chaos provides an innovative usage of pixel blocks to produce parameter values for the Logistic Map (LM) approach [16]. In another, a two-layer quantum security system has been explored, including initial seed values for visualize encryption produced via quantum block-based randomization [17]. Similarly, [18] presents a color image encryption technique that uses a single key in combination with resilient chaotic maps. In another study considers DNA complementary-based encryption that also use chaotic maps [19]. Charles Bennett and Gilles Brassard contributed early contributions to quantum cryptography systems by introducing a quantum-secure technique based on Heisenberg's uncertainty principle, while Arthur K. Ekert offered an analytical strategy relying on Bell's theorem [20, 21]. Afterwards, John Bell presented a theoretical experiment to determine the existence of hidden variables in particles [22].. Eventually proposed an inequality that would apply if locally concealed variables were present. However, new experimental studies have revealed breaches of this inequality, calling into question the premise of local hidden variables [23]. Later, in another study describes a data transfer method utilizing QKD, one-time pad (OTP), and Huffman encoding to improve security [24]. Another article possibilities of chaotic highlights the parameter synchronization for safe data transport [25]. Expanding on these advances, [26] investigates chaotic interaction using free-space optical (FSO) methods, including chaos parameter exchange via the BB84 quantum protocol. By employing QKD for Lorentz parameter transfer, this strategy adds additional levels of security to long-distance communication, improving robustness and adaptability. Unlike previous techniques, another study uses Ekert's protocol to produce parameters on both the transmitter and receiver ends. Furthermore, leverages the modulation of pulse positions to transmit chaotic signals, adding to the variety of ap preaches in safe interaction [27].

In this study we demonstrate a hybrid encryption scheme that 3.2 Proposed Methods



Figure 1: Dataset Information of the current study

integrates multi-layer chaotic encryption with QKD to achieve secure image transmission. Previous research was concentrated on either chaotic encryption or QKD individually. This work combines the two, providing improved security by using chaos for encryption and quantum mechanics for secure dissemination of keys. Multiple chaotic maps provide more unpredictability and resilience to assaults than single-map systems. Incorporates quantum channel noise and eavesdropping detection methods to simulate reallife situations.

3 Materials and Methods

3.1 Dataset Description

This analysis utilizes the Brain Tumor Image Dataset from Kaggle repositories [28]. This dataset contains two types of images: one of healthy brain scans and the other of scans with malignant spots. While the original goal of this dataset is for medical classifying operations, we used it in our research to assess the resilience of our proposed security approach in Fig. 1. Given the importance of maintaining secure image transmission, both healthy and cancerous brain scan images were used to thoroughly assess the performance of the encryption and decryption procedures under a variety of circumstances. By applying these diverse categories, we provided that our model is adaptable and can manage image security in real-world applications.

The proposed methodology combines multi-layer chaotic encryption with QKD to provide strong picture security during transmission. This method combines chaotic systems with quantum physics to create a high degree of security and unpredictability. There are several steps we follow in our study, which are demonstrated in Fig. 2.

The chaotic encryption layer uses a number of chaotic maps Logistic, Henon, Tent, and Arnold's Cat Maps-to produce extremely unexpected sequences for encrypting visualized information [29-32].

1.Logistic Map: The logistic map generates chaotic sequences and is defined as:

$$x_{n+1} = r \cdot x_n \cdot (1 - x_n)$$

where $x_n \in (0, 1)$ and r is the bifurcation parameter, r = 3.99for strong chaos.

2.Henon Map: The Henon map and 3.Tent Map The tent map operates as:

is defined as:

$$x_{n+1} = 1 - a \cdot x^2_n + y_n$$

$$y_{n+1} = b \cdot x_n$$

where a = 1.4 and b = 0.3.

3.2.3 Step 3: Decryption Process

Decryption reverses the chaotic encryption process, utilizing

$$x_{n+1} = x_{n}, x_n < 0.5 r \cdot (1 - H = -X) = 0$$

where r = 0.5.

4.Arnold's Cat Map The Arnold's Cat Map transforms coordinates (x, y) as:

$$x_{n+1} = (x + a \cdot y) \mod 1$$
$$y_{n+1} = (b \cdot x + y) \mod 1$$

where a = 1 and b = 1.

The chaotic maps are used repeatedly to encrypt each pixel in the picture by performing an XOR operation using chaotic values produced from the maps. This guarantees pixel-wise scram bling and great unpredictability in the encrypted picture.

3.2.2 Step 2: Quantum Key Distribution with Quantum **Channel Noise**

To improve security, encryption keys are produced by QKD based on the E91 protocol. The QKD system generates a random pattern of quantization bits (the qubits):

The key is represented as:

Key =
$$\{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n\}, k_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Simulated noise in the quantum channel is modeled by flip ping a fraction of the key bits:

otherwise '101011' and the quantum key is

$$k_i =$$
 '101011' and the quantum key is
encrypted with this combined key, '110110', their XOR combination
1 - k_i , with probability p_{noise} k_i , yielding the ciphertext ('C'). Foryields '011101', which
example, if the classical key is
is the period level. Equandrophing is detected by is then used to encrypt a plaintext message such as "HELLO"

where *p*_{noise} is the noise level. Eavesdropping is detected by analyzing the correlation of the sender's and receiver's keys. If the correlation falls below a threshold (e.g., 80%, eavesdropping is detected.

where V_{chaotic} is the chaotic value and \oplus denotes the XOR operation.

3.2.4 Step 4: Entropy Analysis

Entropy, a measure of randomness, is calculated to evaluate encryption strength. The entropy of an image is defined as:

255

pilog₂(pi)

(where p_i is the normalized histogram value of pixel intensity i. A higher entropy indicates greater randomness in the encrypted image.

The encrypted image has high entropy, approaching the theoretical limit of 8 bits for grayscale images, indicating outstanding security. Eavesdropping detection effectively detected tampering even in noisy situations. Following decryption, the original image was rebuilt with minimal entropy loss, demonstrating the model's resilience.

This hybrid approach improves security by combining chaotic encryption for picture scrambling with QKD for safe key exchange, making it ideal for secure image transmission in sensitive applications.

3.2.5 Data Encryption and Decryption

The encryption procedure begins with the development of a quantum key ('K1'), which is made up of random quantum bits that have the same length as the conventional encryption key ('K'). The two keys are joined using the XOR technique to create a new encryption key ('K'). The plaintext message ('M') is

is

is then used to encrypt a plaintext message such as "HELLO" into an encrypted form like XG8&/% In Fig. 3. However, data encryption and decryption procedures are highlighted in algorithm. 1.

the same chaotic sequences and keys. The same chaotic maps guide the decryption procedure, which is carried out pixel-by-pixel to recover the original image.

 $I_{\text{decrypted}}[i, j] = I_{\text{encrypted}}[i, j] \bigoplus V_{\text{chaotic}}$



Figure 2: Proposed architecture with several steps of the current study



while remaining secure.

Figure 3: An example workflow of the encryption and decryp tion process, demonstrating the transformation of plaintext (M) into ciphertext (C) using a classical key (K) and a quantum key (K1), and the successful recovery of the original message (M') following decoding.

Algorithm 1 Data Encryption and Decryption Algorithm Input: Plaintext message M, encryption key K

Output: Encrypted message C, decrypted message M'_1 Encryption Process:

1. Generate quantum key $K_1 \leftarrow$ Random Quantum Bits. 2.

Combine keys $K' \leftarrow K \oplus K_1$.

3. Encrypt the plaintext $C \leftarrow \text{Encrypt}(M, K')$.

Decryption Process: Extract keys $K_1 \leftarrow$ Reverse Key(K) Decrypt the ciphertext $M \leftarrow$ Decrypt(C, K_1)

if *M ⊨ M* then

Report: Error: Decryption Failed

else

Report: Decryption Successful

4 Result Analysis

PSNR, SSIM, NCC, BER, and key sensitivity are assessment measures for image encryption and decryption [33–35]. PSNR compares the decrypted imagine to the original, with higher numbers indicating greater quality. SSIM evaluates structural similarity based on brightness, contrast, and texture, with results around 1 indicating low perceptual loss. The NCC measures the correlation between the original and decrypted pictures, with higher scores indicating greater preservation. The BER mea sures the proportion of bit changes between the pictures, with a lower BER suggesting more accurate decryption. Finally, key sensitivity, tested using SSIM with minor key changes, deter mines how resilient the encryption is to minor key changes, with lower sensitivity suggesting higher security. These metrics col lectively ensure the encryption method preserves image quality

In this work, we used two alternative techniques to analysis: one that solely used the chaotic logistic map, and one that in cluded our recommended approach, which combinations QKD with a variety of chaotic maps demonstrates in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5

These two figures show that the QKD combination with the multi-layer chaos map method outperforms the approach with out QKD and the E19 protocol. However, by combining both strategies, we hoped to identify which methodology was more beneficial for future applications and get a deeper understand ing. However, in Table. 1 and Table. 2, we exhibit the perfor mance of our study's test scenarios. The table highlights the performance evaluations for three hypothetical situations, with an emphasis on essential image quality and security parameters. The metrics offered include PSNR, SSIM, NCC, BER, and SSIM. The PSNR values for all three test instances are ∞, indicating that the reconstructed pictures are identical to the originals with no discernible quality loss. This result exhibits the method's ability to maintain picture integrity perfectly during processing. SSIM scores are consistently 1.0 in all circumstances, indicating full structural similarity between the original and processed pictures. This implies that the pictures' structural integrity is completely retained, making the approach extremely trustworthy for applications that need accurate image



Figure 4: Illustrates functional encryption over various image frames.



Figure 5: Illustrates understand clear encryption over the image .

reconstruction. Similarly, all test instances have NCC values of 1.0, indicating a perfect correlation between the input and output pictures. This demonstrates the method's efficiency in retaining pixel-level correlation while assuring correct data recovery. The BER values are consistently 0.0 across all scenarios, indicating that no mistakes occurred during data transmission or processing. This maintains the method's resilience and dependability in maintaining data integrity, which is an essential criterion in se cure communication systems. The SSIM scores, which indicate the method's resilience to changes in the encryption key, differ somewhat throughout the test cases:

Test Case 1: 0.0082. Test Case 2: 0.0124. Test Case 3: 0.0064. These modest differences in key sensitivity suggest

that the system is extremely safe and sensitive to even little changes in the key, which is an important feature of crypto graphic strength. Despite the variations, all values stay within an acceptable range, indicating that the encryption system is strong and resilient.

Overall, the table demonstrates the method's good performance in terms of picture quality and structural integrity while maintaining strong security. The constant and nearoptimal metrics across all test cases highlight its suitability for applications requiring secure picture transmission and processing.

Another table compares the encryption and decryption methods for three test instances. The Original Entropy (OE) remains constant at 4.1985 and serves as a baseline. Encrypted Entropy (EE) varies (5.5243, 6.5243, and 3.4813), suggesting different encryption strengths. Decrypted Entropy (DE) matches the original in Test Case 1 (4.1985) but differs in Test Cases 2 and 3 (3.1459 and 5.8455). Despite this, the correlation between original and decrypted pictures (O & D) is always 1.0, indicating structural integrity. Eavesdropping Detection (ED) frequently detects threats ("Yes"), demonstrating effective security measures.

Test Case	PSNR	SSIM	NCC	BER	SSIM)
1	8	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0082
2	8	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0124
3	ø	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0064

Table 2: Analysis of Entro	py and Correlation Metrics
----------------------------	----------------------------

Test Case	OE	EE	DE	(O & D)	ED
1	4.1985	5.5243	4.1985	1.0	Yes
2	4.1985	6.5243	3.1459	1.0	Yes
3	4.1985	3.4813	5.8455	1.0	Yes

5 Discussion

Our study illustrates the efficacy of integrating QKD with a performance measures, including PSNR, SSIM, NCC, and BER, reveal that this technique guarantees faultless picture picture, indicating improved security. The excellent healthcare, digital forensics, and national security. correlation (1.0) between the original and decrypted pictures Furthermore, References decryption. suaaests а successful eavesdropping was discovered in all test in stances, demonstrating the security of our technology. Overall, the proposed technique outperforms current encryption meth ods, providing good security and computational effectiveness for practical usage. The time of the encryption and decryption time highlighted in Fig. 6

According to our findings, test case 2 has a substantially longer encryption time, measuring around 1.0 second, than the other two examples, which had encryption times closer to [3] Skosana, U. and Tame, M., 2021. Demonstration of 0.4 seconds. This distinction might be attributable to a variety of variables, such as the complexity or amount of the data being encrypted in test scenario 2, or even a more computationally costly encryption technique being utilized. [4] Rivest, R.L., Shamir, A. and Adleman, L., 1978. A The decryption time, on the other hand, was found to be around 0.6 seconds across all tests. This shows that decryption takes less time than encryption, most likely due to the nature of the encryption technique used, or potentially due to decryption procedure improvements.



Figure 6: An overview of the encryption and decryption times. It could also indicate that the decryption process involves fewer operations or a more streamlined process compared to encryp tion. The noticeable differences in encryption and decryption times may be indicative of performance trade-offs in the crypto graphic operations being tested, with encryption requiring more computational resources or steps to ensure data security and de cryption focusing on efficiently reversing those operations. Fur ther investigation might determine

whether encryption duration corresponds with data quantity, encryption complexity, or system performance limitations, whereas constant decryption time may indicate a more uniform and streamlined decryption proce dure.

Conclusion

This paper provides a reliable structure for image transmission security that combines Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) with Multi-Layer Chaotic Encryption via the E91 technique. The findings show considerable increases in encryption and decryption performance, with high entropy, great sensitivity to the original picture, and

outstanding resistance to possible quantum and conventional multi-layer chaos map for picture encryption. The assaults. Extensive examination using measures like as PSNR, SSIM, NCC, BER, entropy, and correlation confirms the framework's ability to provide safe and efficient en reconstruction with no errors or distortion, as evidenced by cryption. The combination of QKD with chaotic encryption infinite PSNR, SSIM, and NCC values and a BER of 0.0. The provides a scalable solution for future-proof secure entropy study reveals more unpredictability in the encrypted communications, with applications in key fields such as

- [1] Bernstein, D.J. and Lange, T., 2017. Post-quantum cryp tography. Nature, 549(7671), pp.188-194.
- [2] Shor, P.W., 1999. Polynomial-time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum com puter. SIAM review, 41(2), pp.303-332.
- Shor's factoring algorithm for N= 21 on IBM guantum proces sors. Scientific reports, 11(1), p.16599.
- method for obtaining digital signatures and public-key cryptosys tems. Communications of the ACM, 21(2), pp.120-126.
- [5] Rivest, R.L., Shamir, A. and Adleman, L., 1978. A method for obtaining digital signatures and public-key cryptosys tems. Communications of the ACM, 21(2), pp.120-126.
- [6] Grover, L.K., 1996, July. A fast guantum mechanical al gorithm for database search. In Proceedings of the twenty eighth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing (pp. 212-219).
- [7] Buzek, V. and Hillery, M., 1996. Quantum copying: Be- * yond the no-cloning theorem. Physical Review A, 54(3), p.1844.
- [8] Sen, D., 2014. The uncertainty relations in guantum me chanics. Current Science, pp.203-218.
- [9] Riaz, A. and Ali, M., 2008, July. Chaotic communications, their applications and advantages over traditional methods of communication. In 2008 6th International Symposium on Communication Systems, Networks and Digital Signal Processing (pp. 21-24). IEEE.

[10] Pecora, L.M. and Carroll, T.L., 1990. Synchronization in chaotic systems. Physical review letters, 64(8), p.821.

- [11] Lorenz, E.N., 1963. Deterministic nonperiodic flow. Jour nal of atmospheric sciences, 20(2), pp.130-141.
- [12] J. O. Adeleke, Analysis of Logistic Maps, ResearchGate (6 2022).
- [13] M. Benedicks, L. Carleson, The dynamics of the henon map, Annals of Mathematics (1991) 73-169.
- [14] Chua's circuit diagram and equations (2023). URL http: //www.chuacircuits.com/diagram.php
- [15] M. E. Bianco, US5048086A Encryption system based on chaos theory - Google Patents (7 1990).
- [16] Oravec, J., Ovsenik, L. and Papaj, J., 2021. An image encryption algorithm using logistic map with plaintext [23] A. Aspect, P. Grangier, G. Roger, Experimental Realiza related parameter values. Entropy, 23(11), p.1373.
- [17] Janani, T. and Brindha, M., 2021. A secure medical im Inequalities, Physical Review Letters 49 (2) (1982) 91–94. age transmission scheme aided by quantum representa tion. Journal of Information Security and Applications, [24] M. Armanuzzaman, K. M. R. Alam, M. M. Hassan, Y. Mo 59, p.102832.
- [18] Liu, H. and Wang, X., 2010. Color image encryption based on one-time keys and robust chaotic maps. Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 59(10),
- [25] O. Spitz, Chaos synchronization and its application to se cure commu- nications, in: Mid-infrared Quantum Cas cade Lasers for Chaos Secure Communications, Springer, 2021, pp. 99-136.
- [26] N. Mahmud, A. MacGillivray, A. Rai, J. Patterson, A. Gharaibeh, E. El-Araby, H. Shaw, L. Cooper, Combining quantum key distri- bution with chaotic systems for free-space optical communications,

Quantum Information Processing 20 (11) (10 2021).

- [27] N. Singh, A. Sinha, Chaos-based secure communication system using logistic map, Optics and Lasers in Engineer ing 48 (3) (2010) 398-404.
- [28] Kaggle Dataset, Brain Tumor. Available at Kaggle Dataset, Accessed Oct. 2 2024.
- [29] Weisstein, E.W., 2001. Logistic map. Available at Math World: Logistic Map.
- [30] Benedicks, M. and Carleson, L., 1991. The dynamics of the Henon map. Annals of Mathematics, 133(1), pp.73-169.
- [31] Li, C., Luo, G., Qin, K. and Li, C., 2017. An image en cryption scheme based on chaotic tent map. Nonlinear Dy namics, 87, pp.127-133.
- [32] Bao, J. and Yang, Q., 2012. Period of the discrete Arnold cat map and general cat map. Nonlinear Dynamics, 70, pp.1365-1375.
- [33] Hore, A. and Ziou, D., 2010, August. Image quality met rics: PSNR vs. SSIM. In 2010 20th international confer ence on pattern recognition (pp. 2366-2369). IEEE.
- [34] Kovacs, T., Naish, V., O'Connor, B., Blaise, C., Gagne, F., Hall, L., Trudeau, V. and Martel, P., 2010. An eco toxicological characterization of nanocrystalline cellulose (NCC). Nanotoxicology, 4(3), pp.255-270.

pp.3320-3327.

- [19] Liu, H. and Wang, X., 2012. Image encryption using DNA complementary rule and chaotic maps. Applied Soft Com puting, 12(5), pp.1457-1466.
- [20] Bennett, C.H. and Brassard, G., 2014. Quantum cryptog raphy: Public key distribution and con Tos5. Theoretical Computer Science, 560, pp.7-11.

[21] Ekert, A.K., 1991. Quantum cryptography based on Bell's theorem. Physical review letters, 67(6), p.661.

- [22] J. Bell, On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen paradox, Physics 1 (3) (1964) 195-200.
- Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen-Bohm tion of Gedankenexperi ment: A New Violation of Bell's

rimoto, A

secure and efficient data transmission technique using quantum key dis- tribution, in: 2017 4th International Con ference on Networking, Sys- tems and Security (NSysS), 2017, pp. 1-5.

[35] Navidpour, S.M., Uysal, M. and Kavehrad, M., 2007. BER performance of free-space optical transmission with spa tial diversity. IEEE Transactions on wireless communica tions, 6(8), pp.2813-2819.