

# MODULI SPACES OF RATIONAL CURVES ON ARTIN-MUMFORD DOUBLE SOLIDS

FUMIYA OKAMURA

ABSTRACT. We describe the irreducible components of the moduli spaces of rational curves on Artin-Mumford double solids. This provides the first example of Fano varieties that satisfy Geometric Manin's Conjecture with multiple Manin components in moduli space of rational curves for each degree.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper, we work over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic 0. *Fano varieties*, normal projective varieties with ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier anticanonical divisors, are one of the main objects in algebraic geometry. Fano varieties have rich geometry of *rational curves* on them. Indeed, it is known that klt Fano varieties are *rationally connected* ([Mor79], [MM86], [KMM92], [HM07], etc.), where Mori's *bend-and-break* technique is used and developed in these papers.

Also, it has been intensively studied the *rationality*, the *stably-rationality*, and the *unirationality* of rationally connected varieties, using various methods (e.g., [IM71], [CG72], [AM72], [Voi15]). In this paper, we focus on the geometry of rational curves on the *Artin-Mumford's example* of unirational, but stably-irrational threefolds ([AM72]). Such a threefold is realized as a double cover  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$  branched along a *quartic symmetroid*, i.e., a quartic surface defined by the determinant of a symmetric matrix of linear forms. We call  $X$  an *Artin-Mumford double solid*. Artin-Mumford proved that its desingularization  $\tilde{X}$  blown-up at ten nodes has 2-torsion in its *Brauer group*  $\mathrm{Br}(\tilde{X})$ , and that Brauer groups of smooth projective varieties are stably-birational invariant. Moreover, [BS83] and [Voi06] proved that for any smooth rationally connected projective threefold  $V$ ,

$$|\mathrm{Br}(V)| = |\mathrm{Ker}(B_1(V)_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow N_1(V)_{\mathbb{Z}})|$$

holds, where  $B_1(V)_{\mathbb{Z}}$  (resp.  $N_1(V)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ) denotes the group of algebraically equivalent classes (resp. numerically equivalent classes) of 1-cycles on  $V$ . In particular, this implies that  $\tilde{X}$  has two algebraic classes in each numerical class of 1-cycles.

The aim of this paper is to prove the following:

**Theorem 1.1** (= Theorem 4.3 + Theorem 4.11). *Let  $X$  be a general Artin-Mumford double solid and let  $H$  be the ample generator of  $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .*

- (1) *The moduli space  $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, 1)$  parametrizing  $H$ -lines consists of two irreducible components  $M_1^+, M_1^-$  of the expected dimension 5.*

(2) For each integer  $d \geq 2$ , the moduli space  $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d)$  parametrizing rational curves of  $H$ -degree  $d$  consists of four irreducible components  $R_d^+, R_d^-, N_d^+, N_d^-$  of the expected dimension  $2d + 3$ , where  $N_d^+, N_d^-$  parametrize  $d$ -sheeted covers of  $H$ -lines of  $M_1^+, M_1^-$  respectively, and  $R_d^+, R_d^-$  generically parametrize embedded, very free curves.

The same statements hold for the Kontsevich spaces  $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$  parametrizing genus 0 stable maps of  $H$ -degree  $d \geq 1$ , but the expected dimension of each component is less than by 3.

This study is also motivated by *Geometric Manin's Conjecture* and we apply the framework developed in [HRS04], [CS09], [LT19], [BLRT22], etc.. Geometric Manin's Conjecture asserts that for sufficiently positive nef class  $\beta \in N_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$  on a Fano variety  $X$ , the number of irreducible components of  $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \beta)$  which satisfy certain deformation properties is constant. Moreover, it is expected that the constant is equal to the size of the *unramified Brauer group*  $\text{Br}_{\text{nr}}(k(X)/k)$ , which is isomorphic to the Brauer group of any smooth resolution of  $X$ . We give a precise statement of this conjecture in Subsection 2.1, or see [LRT24, Section 4.3] for more generalized version of Geometric Manin's Conjecture.

Lehmann-Tanimoto ([LT19]) defined *Manin components* as candidates of irreducible components which we should count in the conjecture, using the *a-invariant* and the *b-invariant*. Furthermore, they proposed *movable bend-and-break* conjecture, which asserts that any free rational curve  $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$  on a Fano variety  $X$  of sufficiently high  $-K_X$ -degree can deform into a union of two free rational curves. This is a powerful tool for running the induction argument for the proof of Geometric Manin's Conjecture.

Fortunately, since Artin-Mumford double solids are terminal factorial del Pezzo threefolds of degree 2 (Section 3), we can apply the results of [Oka24a]. In particular, we can classify non-Manin components and prove movable bend-and-break conjecture for our varieties. Thus, the most part of our study is reduced to showing the base case, i.e., the spaces of  $H$ -lines and  $H$ -conics. In particular, the geometry of lines on Artin-Mumford double solids is related to *Reye congruences*, which are Enriques surfaces containing smooth rational curves (e.g., [Cos83], [DK24]). We see several properties on the spaces of lines on Artin-Mumford double solids comparing with the geometry of Reye congruences in Subsection 4.1.

Another notable result is the following:

**Theorem 1.2** (= Theorem 4.10). *Let  $X$  be a general Artin-Mumford double solid. For each integer  $d \geq 2$ , any component  $M \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$  generically parametrizing birational stable maps contains unions of free curves  $g: C_1 \cup C_2 \rightarrow X$  and  $h: D_1 \cup D_2 \rightarrow X$  such that  $g|_{C_1} \in M_1^+$  and  $h|_{D_1} \in M_1^-$ .*

This is a stronger result than movable bend-and-break, and essential to prove Theorem 1.1 as there are multiple components in the space  $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 1)$  of lines. The proof of Theorem 1.2 involves the conic bundle structure of degree 2 del Pezzo surfaces (Subsection 2.2).

As a corollary of Theorem 1.1, we prove Geometric Manin's Conjecture:

**Corollary 1.3** (= Corollary 4.12). *Geometric Manin's Conjecture holds for general Artin-Mumford double solids.*

Geometric Manin's Conjecture has been proved for homogeneous varieties ([Tho98], [KP01]), smooth toric varieties ([Bou12], [Bou16]), low degree general hypersurfaces ([HRS04], [CS09], [BK13], [BV17], [RY19], etc.), smooth Fano varieties of dimension at most 3 ([Tes05], [Tes09], [BLRT22], [BJ22], etc.) or coindex at most 3 ([CS09], [Cas04], [LT19], [ST22], [LT21], [Oka24b], [JO24], etc.), terminal factorial del Pezzo threefolds of degree at least 3 ([Oka24a]), and so on. In these results, each Fano variety has a unique Manin component in the moduli space  $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \beta)$  for each sufficiently positive  $\beta \in N_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Thus, to the best of the author's knowledge, Theorem 1.1 (and Corollary 1.3) provides the first example of Fano varieties with multiple Manin components in the moduli space  $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d)$  for each degree.

**Outline.** The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect preliminary results on the moduli spaces of rational curves. We also introduce Geometric Manin's Conjecture in Subsection 2.1. In Subsection 2.2, we study conic bundles on del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2, which is used to prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 3, we construct Artin-Mumford double solids from linear systems of quadric surfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  (e.g., [Bea16]). Then we see several basic properties on Artin-Mumford double solids (Subsection 3.1) and the difference between algebraically and numerically equivalent classes of 1-cycles (Subsection 3.2). In Section 4, we study the moduli spaces of rational curves on Artin-Mumford double solids. We concentrate on the geometry of lines and Reye congruences in Subsection 4.1. Lastly, in Subsection 4.2, we prove the main theorems combining the results of [Oka24a].

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Sho Tanimoto for helpful discussions and continuous support. The author also would like to thank Shigeyuki Kondo for answering the questions on Enriques surfaces. The author is grateful to Brendan Hassett, Eric Jovinelly, Brian Lehmann, and Daniel Loughran for their valuable comments on an earlier draft of the paper.

The author was partially supported by JST FOREST program Grant number JPMJFR212Z.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We begin by fixing our notation on numerical cones and moduli spaces of rational curves. Let  $X$  be a projective variety. Let  $N_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $N^1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$  be the set of numerical classes of 1-cycles and Cartier divisors on  $X$  respectively. Set  $N_1(X) = N_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$  and  $N^1(X) = N^1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ . The dimension of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces  $N_1(X)$  and  $N^1(X)$  is called the *Picard number*, denoted by  $\rho(X)$ . We write the *pseudo-effective cones* of 1-cycles and divisors as  $\overline{\text{Eff}}_1(X) \subset N_1(X)$  and  $\overline{\text{Eff}}^1(X) \subset N^1(X)$ . Also, let  $\text{Nef}_1(X) \subset N_1(X)$  and  $\text{Nef}^1(X) \subset N^1(X)$  stand for the *nef cones* of 1-cycles and divisors, which are the dual cones of  $\overline{\text{Eff}}^1(X)$  and  $\overline{\text{Eff}}_1(X)$  respectively.

A *rational curve* on  $X$  is a non-constant morphism  $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ . For a numerical class  $\alpha \in N_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \{0\}$ , let  $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \alpha)$  be the moduli space parametrizing rational curves  $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$  such that  $f_*\mathbb{P}^1 \equiv \alpha$ , numerically

equivalent. Let  $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$  be the disjoint union of  $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in N_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \{0\}$ . We also frequently use the *Kontsevich space*  $\overline{M}_{0,r}(X, \alpha)$  parametrizing  $r$ -pointed stable maps  $(f: C \rightarrow X, p_1, \dots, p_r)$  of genus 0 with numerical class  $f_*C \equiv \alpha$ . We set  $\overline{M}_{0,r}(X)$  the disjoint union of  $\overline{M}_{0,r}(X, \alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in N_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \{0\}$ .

We introduce the notion of *free* and *very free* rational curves.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a projective variety of dimension  $n$ . A rational curve  $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$  is *free* (resp. *very free*) if the following conditions hold:

- the image  $f(\mathbb{P}^1)$  is contained in the smooth locus of  $X$ , and
- $H^1(X, f^*T_X(-1)) = 0$  (resp.  $H^1(X, f^*T_X(-2)) = 0$ ).

The second condition is equivalent to the existence of non-negative (resp. positive) integers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  such that

$$f^*T_X \cong \mathcal{O}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_n).$$

Recall that Gorenstein terminal threefolds have at worst isolated *compound Du Val singularities*, i.e., their general hyperplane sections are Du Val singularities ([KM98, Corollary 5.38]). In particular, threefolds with only finitely many nodes (ordinary double points) are Gorenstein terminal. We collect several properties of (free) rational curves on Gorenstein terminal Fano threefolds as Artin-Mumford double solids are Gorenstein terminal Fano, furthermore, factorial by Lemma 3.4.

**Lemma 2.2** ([Kol96], Theorem 1.3; [LT24], Lemma 2.2). *Let  $X$  be a Gorenstein terminal threefold. Let  $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$  be a rational curve on  $X$ . Then we have*

$$\dim_{[f]} \text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X) \geq -K_X \cdot f_*\mathbb{P}^1 + \dim X.$$

*Moreover, if  $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, f^*T_X) = 0$  (e.g.,  $f$  is free), then it is the equality and  $[f] \in \text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$  is a smooth point.*

**Lemma 2.3** (cf. [LT24], Lemma 2.3). *Let  $X$  be a Gorenstein terminal threefold. Let  $M \subset \text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$  be a dominant component, i.e., the evaluation map  $s: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow X$  from the universal family  $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow M$  is dominant. Then there is an open dense subset  $U \subset X$  such that any rational curve parametrized by  $\pi(s^{-1}(U))$  is free.*

*Proof.* By [LT24, Lemma 2.3], a general member of  $M$  is free. Let  $\phi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a smooth resolution and let  $\tilde{M} \subset \text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, \tilde{X})$  be the component generically parametrizing strict transforms of general curves in  $M$ . Then by [Kol96, Theorem 3.11], there is an open dense subset  $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{X}$  such that any rational curve of  $\tilde{M}$  which meets  $\tilde{U}$  is free. Let  $V \subset X$  be the proper closed subset dominated by members of  $M$  intersecting with the singular locus of  $X$ . Since  $\phi$  is isomorphic over  $X \setminus V$ , one can take  $U$  to be  $\phi(\tilde{U}) \setminus V$ .  $\square$

**2.1. Geometric Manin's Conjecture.** In this subsection, we introduce Geometric Manin's Conjecture. The paper [LRT24, Section 4.3] extends Geometric Manin's Conjecture to more general settings, concerning the spaces of sections of Fano fibrations over smooth curves. However, in this paper, we do not treat this version of the conjecture.

We first define the *a-invariant* and the *b-invariant*.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety with a nef and big divisor  $L$ . Then the  $a$ -invariant is defined by

$$a(X, L) = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid K_X + tL \in \overline{\text{Eff}}^1(X)\}.$$

The  $b$ -invariant is defined by

$$b(X, L) = \dim F(X, L),$$

where  $F(X, L) := \{\alpha \in \text{Nef}_1(X) \mid (K_X + a(X, L)L) \cdot \alpha = 0\}$ . When  $X$  is singular, we define

$$a(X, L) := a(\tilde{X}, \phi^*L), \quad b(X, L) := b(\tilde{X}, \phi^*L),$$

where  $\phi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a smooth resolution. It is independent of the choice of smooth resolutions of  $X$  by [HTT15, Proposition 2.7 and Proposition 2.10].

*Remark 2.5.* For a terminal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial weak Fano variety  $X$ , we have  $a(X, -K_X) = 1$  and  $b(X, -K_X) = \rho(X)$ .

The  $a$ -invariant is related to deformation properties on the spaces of rational curves:

**Proposition 2.6** ([Oka24a], Proposition 3.1; cf. [LT19], Proposition 4.2). *Let  $X$  be a Gorenstein terminal Fano threefold. Let  $M \subset \text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$  be an irreducible component such that the evaluation map  $s: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow X$  from the universal family  $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow M$  is non-dominant. Then the closure  $Z$  of the image of  $s$  satisfies*

$$a(Z, -K_X|_Z) > a(X, -K_X) = 1.$$

**Proposition 2.7** ([Oka24a], Proposition 4.1; cf. [LT19], Proposition 5.15). *Let  $X$  be a Gorenstein terminal Fano threefold. Let  $M \subset \text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$  be an irreducible component such that the evaluation map  $s: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow X$  from the universal family  $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow M$  is dominant, but the general fiber is not irreducible. Take a smooth resolution  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and consider the composition  $\tilde{s}: \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow X$ . Then the finite part  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  of the Stein factorization of  $\tilde{s}$  satisfies*

$$a(Y, -f^*K_X) = a(X, -K_X) = 1.$$

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $X$  be a terminal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial weak Fano variety.

- We say that  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is an  $a$ -cover if it is a dominant generically finite morphism of degree at least 2 such that  $a(Y, -f^*K_X) = 1$ .
- We say that an  $a$ -cover  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is *face contracting* if the induced map  $f_*: F(Y, -f^*K_X) \rightarrow \text{Nef}_1(X)$  is not injective.

In light of Propositions 2.6 and 2.7, we define *Manin components*.

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $X$  be a terminal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial weak Fano variety.

- A morphism  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is a *breaking morphism* if one of the following holds:
  - (1)  $f$  satisfies  $a(Y, -f^*K_X) > 1$ ,
  - (2)  $f$  is an  $a$ -cover with Iitaka dimension  $\kappa(K_Y - f^*K_X) > 0$ , or
  - (3)  $f$  is face contracting.

- An irreducible component  $M \subset \text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$  is *accumulating* if there exist a breaking morphism  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  and an irreducible component  $N \subset \text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, Y)$  such that  $f$  induces a dominant map  $f_*: N \rightarrow M$ .
- An irreducible component  $M \subset \text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$  is *Manin* if it is not accumulating.

**Conjecture 2.10** (Geometric Manin’s Conjecture, cf. [Tan21]). *Let  $X$  be a terminal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial weak Fano variety. Then there exists a nef 1-cycle class  $\alpha \in \text{Nef}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that for any  $\beta \in \alpha + \text{Nef}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , the moduli space  $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \beta)$  contains exactly  $|\text{Br}_{\text{nr}}(k(X)/k)|$  Manin components.*

*Remark 2.11* (cf. [LRT24], Remark 4.13). In Geometric Manin’s Conjecture 2.10, the *unramified Brauer group* appears. It is a stably-birational invariant, and when  $X$  is a smooth projective variety, it is isomorphic to the *Brauer group*  $\text{Br}(X) = H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$  (e.g., [CTS21]). For a smooth rationally connected projective variety, the Brauer group is isomorphic to the torsion part of  $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $X$  be a smooth rationally connected projective threefold. Firstly, [BS83, Theorem 1] proves that algebraic equivalence and homological equivalence of 1-cycles on  $X$  coincide. Secondly, [Voi06, Theorem 2] proves the integral Hodge conjecture for 1-cycles on  $X$ . Therefore, we obtain the equality

$$|\text{Br}(X)| = |\text{Ker}(B_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow N_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}})|,$$

where  $B_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$  denotes the set of algebraically equivalent classes of 1-cycles. It is conjectured in higher dimension. Thus, Geometric Manin’s Conjecture for a smooth weak Fano variety  $X$  predicts the uniqueness of Manin component in  $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$  parametrizing rational curves  $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$  such that  $f_*\mathbb{P}^1 \sim_{\text{alg}} \gamma$ , algebraically equivalent, for each sufficiently positive  $\gamma \in B_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . As mentioned in the Introduction, Geometric Manin’s Conjecture is known for various cases where the Brauer groups are trivial.

**2.2. Conic bundles on degree 2 del Pezzo surfaces.** The aim of this subsection is to prove Lemma 2.12, which is used to show Theorem 4.9.

Let  $S$  be a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 2. It is realized as the blow up of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  at 7 points  $p_1, \dots, p_7$  in the general position. For  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 7\}$  with  $i \neq j$ , we let

- $A_i$  be the exceptional curve over  $p_i$ ,
- $B_{ij}$  the strict transform of the line through  $p_i, p_j$ ,
- $C_{ij}$  the strict transform of the conic through all  $p_k$  but  $p_i, p_j$ , and
- $D_i$  the strict transform of the nodal cubic through all  $p_1, \dots, p_7$  with node at  $p_i$ .

These are the all  $56 = 7 + 21 + 21 + 7$  lines on  $S$ . The surface  $S$  admits the double cover  $\pi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  defined by the anticanonical system  $|-K_S|$ . The Geiser involution on  $S$  (i.e., the Galois involution over  $\pi$ ) maps  $A_i$  and  $B_{ij}$  to  $D_i$  and  $C_{ij}$  respectively.

One can classify conic bundles on  $S$  in terms of singular fibers. Indeed, any conic bundle on  $S$  has 6 singular fibers, and the description of singular fibers is as follows:

- (I) For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, 7\}$ , the set of singular fibers is  $\{A_j + B_{ij} \mid j \neq i\}$ ;

- (II) For each subset  $\Lambda \subset \{1, \dots, 7\}$  with  $|\Lambda| = 3$ , the set of singular fibers is  $\{A_\lambda + C_{\Lambda \setminus \{\lambda\}} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\} \cup \{B_\Gamma + B_\Delta \mid \{1, \dots, 7\} \setminus \Lambda = \Gamma \sqcup \Delta, |\Gamma| = 2\}$ , where  $B_\Gamma := B_{ij}$  when  $\Gamma = \{i, j\} \subset \{1, \dots, 7\}$ , and so on;
- (III) For each  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 7\}$  with  $i \neq j$ , the set of singular fibers is  $\{A_j + D_i\} \cup \{B_{ik} + C_{jk} \mid k \neq i, j\}$ ;
- (IV) For each subset  $\Lambda \subset \{1, \dots, 7\}$  with  $|\Lambda| = 3$ , the set of singular fibers is  $\{B_{\Lambda \setminus \{\lambda\}} + D_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\} \cup \{C_\Gamma + C_\Delta \mid \{1, \dots, 7\} \setminus \Lambda = \Gamma \sqcup \Delta, |\Gamma| = 2\}$ ;  
or
- (V) For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, 7\}$ , the set of singular fibers is  $\{C_{ij} + D_j \mid j \neq i\}$ .

Hence there are  $126 = 7 + 35 + 42 + 35 + 7$  conic bundles.

**Lemma 2.12.** *Notation as above. Let  $\text{sign}: F(S) \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$  be a map from the set of lines on  $S$  which satisfies  $\text{sign}(A_i) \neq \text{sign}(D_i)$ ,  $\text{sign}(B_{ij}) \neq \text{sign}(C_{ij})$  for any  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 7\}$  with  $i \neq j$ . Suppose furthermore that for any conic bundle on  $S$ , if some singular fiber  $\ell + \ell'$  satisfies  $\text{sign}(\ell) \neq \text{sign}(\ell')$ , then so does any singular fiber. Then  $S$  admits a conic bundle having singular fibers  $\ell_1 + \ell_2$  and  $\ell'_1 + \ell'_2$  such that  $\text{sign}(\ell_1) = \text{sign}(\ell_2) = 1$  and  $\text{sign}(\ell'_1) = \text{sign}(\ell'_2) = -1$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that there exists  $0 \leq m \leq 7$  such that  $\text{sign}(A_i) = 1$  if and only if  $i \leq m$ . We prove the claim dividing into three cases.

- (1) First, we suppose  $m = 7$ . Then  $\text{sign}(A_i) = 1$  for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, 7\}$ . Consider the conic bundles of type (III). For each pair  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 7\}$  with  $i \neq j$ , the components of the fiber  $A_j + D_i$  have signs  $\text{sign}(A_j) = 1, \text{sign}(D_i) = -1$ . By the assumption, we have  $\text{sign}(B_{ik}) \neq \text{sign}(C_{jk})$ . Hence all  $B_{ij}$  have the same sign. We then consider the conic bundle of type (II) for each  $\Lambda \subset \{1, \dots, 7\}$ . Since the components of  $B_\Gamma + B_\Delta$  have the same sign, we see that  $\text{sign}(C_{\Lambda \setminus \{\lambda\}}) = \text{sign}(A_\lambda) = 1$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Varying  $\Lambda \subset \{1, \dots, 7\}$ , we conclude that  $\text{sign}(B_{ij}) = -1$  for any  $i \neq j$ . Hence the claim holds.
- (2) Suppose that  $m = 6$ . Assume that the claim does not hold. Consider the conic bundles of type (III) for  $i = 7$  and  $j \neq 7$ . Since  $\text{sign}(A_j) = \text{sign}(D_7) = 1$ , we have  $\text{sign}(B_{k7}) = \text{sign}(C_{jk}) = 1$  for any  $j \neq k \leq 6$ . Hence we obtain that

$$\text{sign}(B_\Gamma) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 7 \in \Gamma \\ -1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now we consider the conic bundle of type (II) for  $\Lambda = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . The components of the fiber  $A_1 + C_{23}$  have the same sign 1, while the components of the fiber  $B_{45} + B_{67}$  have different signs, a contradiction.

- (3) Finally, suppose that  $2 \leq m \leq 5$ . Assume that the claim does not hold. Consider the conic bundles of type (III). For the pair  $(i, j) = (6, 1)$ , since the components of the fiber  $A_1 + D_6$  have the same sign 1, so do the components of the fiber  $B_{67} + C_{17}$  (the case  $k = 7$ ). On the other hand, for the pair  $(i, j) = (7, 2)$ , since the components of the fiber  $A_2 + D_7$  have the same sign 1, so do the components of the fiber  $B_{17} + C_{12}$  (the case  $k = 1$ ). However, these imply  $\text{sign}(C_{17}) = 1 = \text{sign}(B_{17})$ , a contradiction.



Since one can apply the arguments in (1), (2) to the map  $-\text{sign}$ , the claim holds for  $m = 0, 1$  as well.  $\square$

### 3. ARTIN-MUMFORD DOUBLE SOLIDS

**3.1. Construction of AM double solids.** Firstly, we construct Artin-Mumford double solids:

*Construction 3.1* (e.g., [Bea16], Section 6.3). For an integer  $r$ , let  $S_r \subset |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)| \cong \mathbb{P}^9$  be the locus parametrizing quadrics of rank at most  $r$ . Then there is a stratification

$$\emptyset = S_0 \subset S_1 \subset S_2 \subset S_3 \subset S_4 = |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)|.$$

Moreover, we see that

- $S_3$  is a hypersurface in  $S_4$  of degree 4 whose singular locus is  $S_2$ ,
- $S_2$  is an irreducible subvariety of dimension 6 and degree 10, and
- $S_1$  is an irreducible subvariety of dimension 3 and degree 8.

Consider the incidence correspondence

$$\Sigma := \{(\ell, Q) \in \mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}^3) \times |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)| \mid \ell \subset Q\}$$

with the projections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma & \xrightarrow{q} & |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)| \\ p \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}^3) & & \end{array}$$

Take the Stein factorization  $q: \Sigma \xrightarrow{g} T_4 \xrightarrow{h} S_4$ . Then  $h: T_4 \rightarrow S_4$  is a double cover branched along  $S_3$ .

Now, we take a general linear system  $W \subset |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)|$  of dimension 3, so that

- $W$  is base point free, and
- any line on  $\mathbb{P}^3$  which lies in the singular locus of some quadric  $Q \in W$  is not contained in any other quadric of  $W$ .

In this situation, the following also hold:

- $\mathcal{D}_W := S_3 \cap W$  is a nodal quartic surface,
- $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{D}_W) = S_2 \cap W = \{q_1, \dots, q_{10}\}$  consists of ten nodes, and
- $S_1 \cap W = \emptyset$ .

Let  $f: X := h^{-1}(W) \rightarrow W$  be the restriction of  $h$ , which is the double cover branched along the quartic surface  $\mathcal{D}_W$ . Then  $X$  has ten nodes  $p_1, \dots, p_{10}$  as singularity. We will call  $X$  an *AM double solid*.

Let  $\phi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be the blow up at the nodes  $p_1, \dots, p_{10}$ , and let  $\psi: \tilde{W} \rightarrow W$  be the blow up at  $q_1, \dots, q_{10}$ . Then we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X \\ \tilde{f} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \tilde{W} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & W \end{array}$$



where  $\tilde{f}$  is the double cover branched along the strict transform  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_W$  of the quartic surface  $\mathcal{D}_W \subset W$ . Let  $\iota: X \rightarrow X$  (resp.  $\tilde{\iota}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ ) be the Galois involution over  $f$  (resp.  $\tilde{f}$ ).

**Theorem 3.2** ([AM72]). *Notation as above. Then the Brauer group of  $\tilde{X}$  is non-trivial:*

$$\mathrm{Br}(\tilde{X}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Moreover,  $\tilde{X}$  (and hence  $X$ ) is unirational but not stably-rational.

*Remark 3.3.* As discussed in Remark 2.11,  $\tilde{X}$  contains two algebraically equivalent classes in each numerical class of 1-cycles. In Subsection 3.2, we describe the difference between algebraic and numerical classes on  $\tilde{X}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *An AM double solid  $X$  is factorial.*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is a Gorenstein terminal threefold, the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality and factoriality are equivalent by [Kaw88, Lemma 5.1]. Hence it suffices to see  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial.

By [HT15, Proposition 2.5], the variety  $T_4$  as in Construction 3.1 is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial. Then  $X$  remains  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial by [RS06, Theorem 1].

Alternatively, the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality of  $X$  also follows from [Cle83] and [End99, Lemma 3.5].  $\square$

Lemma 3.4 implies that the blow-up threefold  $\tilde{X}$  of an AM double solid  $X$  at ten nodes has Picard rank 11 and all of 10 exceptional divisors  $E_1, \dots, E_{10}$  are of type E3 in the sense of [KM98, Theorem 1.32] and [Cut88], i.e.,  $(E_i, -K_{\tilde{X}}|_{E_i}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1, 1))$ .

*Remark 3.5.* The smooth threefold  $\tilde{X}$  is not weak Fano. Indeed,

$$(-K_{\tilde{X}})^3 = (-\phi^*K_X)^3 - \sum_{i=1}^{10} E_i^3 = 16 - 20 = -4 < 0.$$

Hence we consider Geometric Manin's Conjecture for AM double solids  $X$ , instead for  $\tilde{X}$ .

**3.2. Algebraic equivalence and numerical equivalence.** Notation as in Subsection 3.1.

For  $\tilde{X}$ , we have

$$\mathrm{Pic}(\tilde{X}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\tilde{H} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{10} \mathbb{Z}E_i,$$

where  $\tilde{H}$  is the pull back of the fundamental divisor  $H$  of  $X$  and each  $E_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is the exceptional divisor over  $p_i$ .

Let  $N_1(\tilde{X})$  be the set of numerical classes of 1-cycles on  $\tilde{X}$ . One can write  $N_1(\tilde{X})$  using the dual basis as

$$N_1(\tilde{X}) = \mathbb{R}\tilde{\ell} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{10} \mathbb{R}e_i,$$

where  $\tilde{\ell}$  is the numerical class of strict transforms of  $H$ -lines which do not pass through any node of  $X$ , and  $e_i$  is the numerical class of the push forward of lines on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  via the inclusion  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \cong E_i \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$ . For  $i \neq j$ ,

let  $\tilde{\ell}_i = \tilde{\ell} - e_i$  (resp.  $\tilde{\ell}_{i,j} = \tilde{\ell} - e_i - e_j$ ) be the numerical class of strict transforms of  $H$ -lines through  $p_i$  (resp.  $p_i$  and  $p_j$ ).

**Lemma 3.6.** *Notation as above.*

- (1) For any  $i$ , the generators  $e_i^+$  and  $e_i^- = \tilde{i}(e_i^+)$  of the rulings of  $E_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  are in the same numerical class  $[e_i]$  but not algebraically equivalent.
- (2) For any  $i \neq j$ , the two irreducible curves  $\tilde{\ell}_{i,j}^+$  and  $\tilde{\ell}_{i,j}^- = \tilde{i}(\tilde{\ell}_{i,j}^+)$  in the numerical class  $[\tilde{\ell}_{i,j}]$  are not algebraically equivalent.
- (3) For any  $i$ , the space  $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\ell}_i)$  consists of a disjoint union of two elliptic curves  $\tilde{\Gamma}_i^+$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_i^-$ .
- (4) Let  $\tilde{\ell}^+ \in [\tilde{\ell}]$  be an irreducible curve. Then  $\tilde{\ell}^+$  and its conjugate  $\tilde{\ell}^- = \tilde{i}(\tilde{\ell}^+)$  are not algebraically equivalent.

*Proof.* For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, 10\}$ , the projection from  $q_i \in W \cong \mathbb{P}^3$  induces a dominant morphism  $\nu_i: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  such that the restriction of  $\nu_i$  to the complement of  $\bigcup_{j \neq i} E_j$  is a conic bundle. By [Cay69], or [ORSV15, Theorem 1.2], the discriminant locus of  $\nu_i$  is the union of two elliptic curves  $\delta_i^+ \cup \delta_i^-$ . Then, by [AM72, Section 2], for each point  $q^* \in \delta_i^* \setminus (\delta_i^+ \cap \delta_i^-)$  ( $* \in \{+, -\}$ ), the components  $\tilde{\ell}_i^*$  and  $\tilde{i}(\tilde{\ell}_i^*)$  of  $\nu_i^{-1}(q^*)$  are algebraically equivalent, but  $\tilde{\ell}_i^+$  and  $\tilde{\ell}_i^-$  are not.

Fix a point  $q_j := \nu_i(E_j) \in \delta_i^+ \cap \delta_i^-$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, 10\}$  with  $j \neq i$ . Then there are two ways to specialize the one parameter families of 1-cycles, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\ell}_i^+ \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_{i,j}^+ + e_j^+, \quad \text{as } \delta_i^+ \ni q^+ \rightarrow q_j$$

and

$$\tilde{\ell}_i^- \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_{i,j}^- + e_j^-, \quad \text{as } \delta_i^- \ni q^- \rightarrow q_j,$$

after replacing signs  $+$ ,  $-$ , components  $\tilde{\ell}_i^+$ ,  $\tilde{i}(\tilde{\ell}_i^+)$ , and  $\tilde{\ell}_i^-$ ,  $\tilde{i}(\tilde{\ell}_i^-)$  if necessary. Combining the fact that  $\tilde{\ell}_i^+$  and  $\tilde{\ell}_i^-$  are not algebraically equivalent, we conclude that  $e_j^+$  and  $e_j^-$  are not algebraically equivalent, hence nor are  $\tilde{\ell}_{i,j}^+$  and  $\tilde{\ell}_{i,j}^-$ , proving (1) and (2).

As for (3), again by [AM72, Section 2], one can take the curves  $\tilde{\Gamma}_i^+$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_i^-$  to be étale double covers of the strict transforms of  $\delta_i^+$  and  $\delta_i^-$  via the blow up of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  at the intersection points  $\delta_i^+ \cap \delta_i^-$ .

The statement (4) follows from the fact  $\tilde{\ell} = \tilde{\ell}_{i,j} + e_i + e_j$  for any  $i \neq j$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *The fiber of the evaluation map  $\overline{M}_{0,1}(X, \ell) \rightarrow X$  over a node  $p_i$  is isomorphic to a connected union of two elliptic curves  $\Gamma_i^+$  and  $\Gamma_i^-$ . In particular, the algebraic equivalence and the numerical equivalence of 1-cycles on  $X$  coincide.*

*Proof.* One can see that  $\Gamma_i^+$  and  $\Gamma_i^-$  are the images of  $\tilde{\Gamma}_i^+$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_i^-$  by the morphism  $\phi_*: \overline{M}_{0,0}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\ell}_i) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \ell)$  induced by  $\phi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ . This morphism maps  $\tilde{\Gamma}_i^+$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_i^-$  isomorphically to  $\Gamma_i^+$  and  $\Gamma_i^-$  respectively, but  $\Gamma_i^+$  and  $\Gamma_i^-$  intersect at the points corresponding to the lines through  $p_i$  and another node. This proves the first claim. Then the second claim follows from Theorem 3.2 and Remark 2.11.  $\square$

4. RATIONAL CURVES ON AM DOUBLE SOLIDS

**4.1. Lines on AM double solids and Reye congruences.** In this subsection, we discuss relations between the spaces of lines on AM double solids, the spaces of bitangent lines, and Reye congruences. We use the notation as in Section 3 freely.

Let  $\ell$  be a line on an AM double solid  $X$ , i.e., a curve with  $H \cdot \ell = 1$ . Then the image of  $\ell$  by  $f: X \rightarrow W \cong \mathbb{P}^3$  is a line by the projection formula. Conversely, if  $L \subset W$  is a line, then the inverse image is a union of two lines if and only if  $L$  is bitangent to the quartic surface  $\mathcal{D}_W \subset W$ : otherwise, the inverse image is an irreducible curve of  $H$ -degree 2. Therefore,  $f$  induces a finite morphism  $f_*: \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 1) \rightarrow \text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W)$  of degree 2, where  $\text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W) \subset \mathbb{G}(1, W)$  denotes the space of lines on  $W$  bitangent to  $\mathcal{D}_W$ .

Now, we define the *Reye congruence* associated with  $W$ :

**Definition 4.1.** For a linear web  $W \subset |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)|$  as in Construction 3.1, we define the *Reye congruence* associated with  $W$  by

$\text{Rey}(W) := \{\mathfrak{l} \in \mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}^3) \mid \mathfrak{l} \subset \text{Bs}(L) \text{ for some linear pencil } L \in \mathbb{G}(1, W)\}$ , where  $\text{Bs}(L)$  denotes the base locus of a pencil  $L \subset W$ . We call an element  $\mathfrak{l} \in \text{Rey}(W)$  a *Reye line*.

Note that for a Reye line  $\mathfrak{l}$ , the pencil  $L$  whose base locus contains  $\mathfrak{l}$  is uniquely determined since  $W$  is base point free.

From now on, we assume moreover that  $W$  is *excellent* in the sense of [Cos83], or [DK24, Definition 7.2.8]. It is equivalent to the condition that  $\mathcal{D}_W \subset W$  does not contain lines. A general linear web  $W$  satisfies this condition as well.

**Theorem 4.2** (e.g., [DK24], Section 7.4). *Assume  $W$  is excellent. Then the Reye congruence  $\text{Rey}(W)$  is an Enriques surface. Moreover, we have the normalization morphism*

$$\nu: \text{Rey}(W) \rightarrow \text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W)$$

which sends a Reye line  $\mathfrak{l} \in \mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}^3)$  to the unique linear pencil  $L \in \mathbb{G}(1, W)$  such that  $\mathfrak{l} \subset \text{Bs}(L)$ .

Combining Theorem 4.2 and a small observation, we can describe the irreducible components of  $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 1)$ :

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be the AM double solid associated with an excellent web  $W$ . Then the space  $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 1)$  of lines on  $X$  consists of two irreducible components  $M_1^+$  and  $M_1^-$ . These components are conjugate by the involution  $\iota_*$  induced by  $\iota: X \rightarrow X$ . Moreover, there is a natural lift of the normalization map  $\nu$  to  $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 1)$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M_1^+ & \hookrightarrow \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 1) \\ & \nearrow \bar{\nu} & \downarrow f_* \\ \text{Rey}(W) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W). \end{array}$$

*Proof.* We prove the existence of the lift  $\bar{\nu}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{l} \in \text{Rey}(W)$  be a Reye line. By construction, any quadric  $Q$  in the pencil  $L := \nu(\mathfrak{l})$  contains the Reye

line  $\mathfrak{l}$ . We consider the pairs  $(\mathfrak{l}, Q)$  for  $Q \in L$ , which are nothing but the elements of  $\Sigma_W := q^{-1}(W)$ , where  $q: \Sigma \rightarrow |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)|$  as in Construction 3.1. Hence the morphism  $g|_{\Sigma_W}: \Sigma_W \rightarrow X$  maps the set  $\{(\mathfrak{l}, Q) \mid Q \in L\}$  to a line  $\ell^+$  on  $X$ . Therefore, we obtain a morphism  $\bar{\nu}: \text{Rey}(W) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 1)$ . Since  $\text{Rey}(W)$  is irreducible and maps surjectively to  $\text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W)$ , we see that the image of  $\bar{\nu}$  is an irreducible component  $M_1^+ \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 1)$ . Moreover, since any fiber of  $f_*$  consists of two points which are conjugate by  $\iota_*$  while  $\nu$  is birational, we see that  $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 1)$  consists of  $M_1^+$  and its conjugate  $M_1^- := \iota_*(M_1^+)$ , as desired.  $\square$

*Remark 4.4.* The map  $\bar{\nu}: \text{Rey}(W) \rightarrow M_1^+$  is not isomorphic: let  $L_{ij} \in \text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W)$  be the line passing through nodes  $q_i, q_j$ . Then both of the components of  $f^{-1}(L_{ij})$  are elements of  $M_1^+$  while the preimage  $\nu^{-1}(L_{ij})$  consists of four Reye lines. Thus  $M_1^+$  and  $M_1^-$  are non-normal.

The following lemmas are used to describe the spaces of conics on AM double solids (Theorem 4.9).

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $\text{Rey}(W) \subset \mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}^3)$  be the Reye congruence associated with an excellent web  $W \subset |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)|$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Rey}(W)}(1)$  be the restriction of the very ample line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(1)$  via the Plücker embedding  $\text{Rey}(W) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}^3) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$ . Then any non-integral divisor of  $|\mathcal{O}_{\text{Rey}(W)}(1)|$  contains a prime divisor  $D$  with  $\dim |D| \leq 1$ . The same statement is true for the very ample linear system  $|\omega_{\text{Rey}(W)}(1)|$ .*

*Proof.* First, we prove that for an effective divisor  $D$  on  $\text{Rey}(W)$ ,  $D$  satisfies  $\dim |D| \leq 1$  if and only if  $D^2 \leq 2$  holds. If  $D$  is an effective divisor such that  $D^2 \leq 0$ , then clearly,  $\dim |D| \leq 1$ . Let  $D$  be an effective divisor with  $D^2 > 0$ . By the Riemann-Roch theorem, we have

$$\chi(D) = \frac{1}{2}D \cdot (D - K_{\text{Rey}(W)}) + \chi(0) = \frac{D^2}{2} + 1$$

since  $\text{Rey}(W)$  is an Enriques surface. By the Serre duality, we have  $h^2(D) = h^0(K_{\text{Rey}(W)} - D) \leq h^0(-2D) = 0$ . Hence  $h^2(D) = 0$ . Thus, we obtain that

$$\dim |D| = \frac{D^2}{2} + h^1(D) \geq 1.$$

This implies  $|D|$  dominates  $\text{Rey}(W)$ , hence  $D$  is nef. Moreover,  $D$  is also big since  $D^2 > 0$ . Then the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem says  $h^1(D) = 0$  since  $D + K_{\text{Rey}(W)}$  is also nef and big. Therefore, we obtain that  $\dim |D| = D^2/2$ , which implies the claim.

Assume that there exist non-integral divisors of  $|\mathcal{O}_{\text{Rey}(W)}(1)|$  which fail the lemma. Since  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Rey}(W)}(1)^2 = 10$  (e.g., [DK24, Theorem 7.4.4]), the only possibility for such divisors is the union of two prime divisors  $D_1 + D_2$  such that  $D_1^2 = D_2^2 = 4$ ,  $D_1 \cdot D_2 = 1$ . Now, consider the map  $\phi := \phi_{|D_1|}: \text{Rey}(W) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  defined by the linear system  $|D_1|$ . Resolving the indeterminacies, we obtain the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & & \\ \psi \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{\phi} & \\ \text{Rey}(W) & \dashrightarrow \phi & \mathbb{P}^2. \end{array}$$

By the projection formula, we have

$$1 = D_1 \cdot D_2 = \psi_*^{-1} D_1 \cdot \psi^* D_2 = \tilde{\phi}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \cdot \psi^* D_2 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \cdot \tilde{\phi}_* \psi^* D_2.$$

Hence,  $\phi_*(D_2)$  is contained in a line, i.e.,  $D_2$  is an irreducible component of a divisor of  $|D_1|$ . However, since  $\dim |D_1| = \dim |D_2|$ , this implies that a general member of  $|D_1|$  is reducible, which contradicts the assumption.

Since  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Rey}(W)}(1)$  and  $\omega_{\text{Rey}(W)}(1)$  are numerically equivalent and we only use numerical properties in this argument, the last statement also holds.  $\square$

For a line  $l \in \mathbb{G}(1, 3)$ , let  $\sigma_l$  be the Schubert cycle

$$\sigma_l = \{l' \in \mathbb{G}(1, 3) \mid l \cap l' \neq \emptyset\},$$

which corresponds to the tangent hyperplane  $T_{[l]}\mathbb{G}(1, 3)$  in the Plücker embedding  $\mathbb{G}(1, 3) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $X$  be the AM double solid associated with an excellent web  $W$ . Let  $(M_1^+)' \subset \overline{M}_{0,1}(X, 1)$  (resp.  $(M_1^-)' \subset \overline{M}_{0,1}(X, 1)$ ) be the component corresponding to  $M_1^+ \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 1)$  (resp.  $M_1^- \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 1)$ ). Then  $(M_1^+)' \times_X (M_1^+)', (M_1^+)' \times_X (M_1^-)',$  and  $(M_1^-)' \times_X (M_1^-)'$  are irreducible.*

*Proof.* Since the involution  $\iota: X \rightarrow X$  induces an isomorphism  $M_1^+ \cong M_1^-$ , it suffices to prove  $(M_1^+)' \times_X (M_1^+)'$  and  $(M_1^+)' \times_X (M_1^-)'$  are irreducible. Moreover, it is reduced to showing that for general  $\ell \in M_1^+$ , the loci

$$M_{1,\ell}^+ := \{\ell' \in M_1^+ \mid \ell' \cap \ell \neq \emptyset\}$$

and

$$M_{1,\iota(\ell)}^+ := \{\ell' \in M_1^+ \mid \ell' \cap \iota(\ell) \neq \emptyset\}$$

are irreducible. We consider the inverse images of  $M_{1,\ell}^+$  and  $M_{1,\iota(\ell)}^+$  by the morphism  $\bar{\nu}: \text{Rey}(W) \rightarrow M_1^+$  as in Theorem 4.3. Note that the union of  $M_{1,\ell}^+$  and  $M_{1,\iota(\ell)}^+$  is the inverse image of  $\sigma_{f(\ell)} \cap \text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W)$  by the morphism  $M_1^+ \hookrightarrow \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 1) \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W)$ . Hence the union of  $\bar{\nu}^{-1}(M_{1,\ell}^+)$  and  $\bar{\nu}^{-1}(M_{1,\iota(\ell)}^+)$  equals to the inverse image of the hyperplane section  $\sigma_{f(\ell)} \cap \text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W)$  by the normalization morphism  $\nu: \text{Rey}(W) \rightarrow \text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W)$ . For a pair  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 10\}$  with  $i \neq j$ , consider the line  $L_{ij} \in \text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W)$  containing the nodes  $q_i, q_j$ . The node  $q_i$  (resp.  $q_j$ ) corresponds to the union of two plains  $P_i, P'_i$  (resp.  $P_j, P'_j$ ). Then the inverse image  $\nu^{-1}(L_{ij})$  consists of four Reye lines

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{l}_{ij} &= P_i \cap P_j, \\ \mathfrak{l}_{ij'} &= P_i \cap P'_j, \\ \mathfrak{l}_{i'j} &= P'_i \cap P_j, \\ \mathfrak{l}_{i'j'} &= P'_i \cap P'_j. \end{aligned}$$

For any smooth quadric  $Q \in L_{ij}$ , we see that  $\mathfrak{l}_{ij}, \mathfrak{l}_{i'j'}$  are in the same ruling of  $Q$  and  $\mathfrak{l}_{ij'}, \mathfrak{l}_{i'j}$  are in the other ruling. Now we claim that  $2\nu^*(\sigma_{L_{ij}} \cap \text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W))$  is linearly equivalent to

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{l}_{ij}} \cap \text{Rey}(W) + \sigma_{\mathfrak{l}_{ij'}} \cap \text{Rey}(W) + \sigma_{\mathfrak{l}_{i'j}} \cap \text{Rey}(W) + \sigma_{\mathfrak{l}_{i'j'}} \cap \text{Rey}(W),$$

and their supports coincide. Let  $\mathfrak{l} \in \nu^{-1}(\sigma_{L_{ij}} \cap \text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W))$ . Then  $\nu(\mathfrak{l})$  intersects  $L_{ij}$  at a point  $[Q] \in L_{ij}$ . Since  $Q$  is a smooth quadric or a union of two planes, we see that  $\mathfrak{l}$  intersects exactly two of  $\mathfrak{l}_{ij}, \mathfrak{l}_{ij}', \mathfrak{l}'_{ij}, \mathfrak{l}'_{ij}'$ . Conversely, if  $\mathfrak{l} \in \text{Rey}(W)$  intersects some of the four lines, then  $\nu(\mathfrak{l})$  intersects  $L_{ij}$  since  $W$  is base point free. Hence the claim holds. Thus we have either  $\nu^*(\sigma_{L_{ij}} \cap \text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W)) \in |\mathcal{O}_{\text{Rey}(W)}(2)|$  or  $\nu^*(\sigma_{L_{ij}} \cap \text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W)) \in |\omega_{\text{Rey}(W)}(2)|$  (In fact, one can check that  $\nu^*(\sigma_{L_{ij}} \cap \text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W)) \in |\omega_{\text{Rey}(W)}(2)|$  using the fact that  $\sigma_{P_i} \cap \text{Rey}(W) - \sigma_{P_i'} \cap \text{Rey}(W) \sim K_{\text{Rey}(W)}$ . However, we omit the proof because, even if  $\nu^*(\sigma_{L_{ij}} \cap \text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W)) \in |\mathcal{O}_{\text{Rey}(W)}(2)|$  holds, it does not affect the discussion).

Let  $L \in \text{Bit}(\mathcal{D}_W)$  be a bitangent line which does not pass through any node. Let  $\ell \subset f^{-1}(L)$  be the line on  $X$  such that  $\ell \in M_1^+$ . Then we claim that  $\bar{\nu}^*(M_{1,\ell}^+)$  is linearly equivalent to  $\sigma_{\nu^{-1}(L)} \cap \text{Rey}(W)$ . Indeed, if  $\mathfrak{l} \in \sigma_{\nu^{-1}(L)} \cap \text{Rey}(W)$ , then  $\nu(\mathfrak{l})$  contains a point  $[Q] \in L$ . If  $Q$  is a cone over a smooth conic, then  $\bar{\nu}(\mathfrak{l})$  intersects  $\iota(\ell)$  at the point  $f^{-1}([Q])$ . If  $Q$  is a smooth quadric, then  $\mathfrak{l}$  and  $\nu^{-1}(L)$  are in the different rulings of  $Q$  since  $\mathfrak{l} \cap \nu^{-1}(L) \neq \emptyset$ . Recall that the elements of the fiber  $f^{-1}([Q])$  are identified with the rulings of  $Q$  (Construction 3.1). Therefore, the lines  $\bar{\nu}(\mathfrak{l})$  and  $\ell$  are disjoint, hence  $\bar{\nu}(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \iota(\ell) \neq \emptyset$ . Conversely, let  $\mathfrak{l} \in \bar{\nu}^{-1}(M_{1,\ell}^+)$ . Then  $\bar{\nu}(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \iota(\ell) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $\nu(\mathfrak{l})$  contains a point  $[Q] \in L$ . If  $Q$  is a cone over a smooth conic, then  $\mathfrak{l}$  and  $\nu^{-1}(L)$  intersect at the vertex of  $Q$ . If  $Q$  is a smooth quadric, then  $\mathfrak{l}$  and  $\nu^{-1}(L)$  are in the different rulings of  $Q$  since  $\bar{\nu}(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \iota(\ell) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{l} \cap \nu^{-1}(L) \neq \emptyset$ , and the claim holds.

Combining these claims, we have  $\bar{\nu}^*(M_{1,\ell}^+) \in |\omega_{\text{Rey}(W)}(1)|$  and  $\bar{\nu}^*(M_{1,\iota(\ell)}^+) \in |\mathcal{O}_{\text{Rey}(W)}(1)|$ . We now assume that for general  $\ell \in M_1^+$ , the locus  $M_{1,\ell}^+$  is reducible. Then Lemma 4.5 shows that  $\nu^*(M_{1,\ell}^+)$  contains an irreducible component  $D$  such that  $\dim |D| \leq 1$ . Since  $\omega_{\text{Rey}(W)}(1) \cdot D \leq \omega_{\text{Rey}(W)}(1)^2 = 10$ , there are only finitely many possibilities for the linear equivalent class of  $D$ . Hence there exists a curve  $C$  on  $\text{Rey}(W)$  such that  $C \subset \nu^{-1}(M_{1,\ell}^+)$  for any general  $\ell \in M_1^+$ , which is absurd. Thus, a general  $M_{1,\ell}^+$  is irreducible. The same argument shows that a general  $M_{1,\iota(\ell)}^+$  is irreducible, as required.  $\square$

**4.2. Higher degree rational curves on AM double solids.** In this subsection, we prove the main theorem 1.1 and Geometric Manin's Conjecture. The following lemma and theorem follow from the results of [Oka24a].

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $X$  be an AM double solid. Then any component of  $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X)$  is dominant of the expected dimension.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.4,  $X$  is a factorial terminal del Pezzo threefold of degree 2. Hence by [Oka24a, Theorem 1.1],  $X$  does not have subvarieties  $Y$  with  $a(Y, -K_X|_Y) > 1$ , which proves the claim by Proposition 2.6.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.8** (Movable Bend-and-Break). *Let  $X$  be an AM double solid. Let  $d \geq 2$  be an integer and let  $M \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$  be an irreducible component. Then  $M$  contains a stable map  $g: C_1 \cup C_2 \rightarrow X$  such that each restriction  $g|_{C_i}: C_i \rightarrow X$  is free.*

*Proof.* When  $d \geq 3$  or  $M$  parametrizes double covers of lines, then the claim follows by [Oka24a, Section 5]. Hence we may assume that  $M$  generically parametrizes smooth  $H$ -conics.

Let  $M_p \subset M$  be the sublocus parametrizing  $H$ -conics through a general point  $p \in X$ . Then by Lemma 2.3, any rational curve of  $H$ -degree  $\leq 2$  through  $p$  is free. Hence  $M_p$  has the expected dimension 2. Consider the image  $N_p := f_*(M_p)$ . Note that the general curve parametrized by  $M_p$  maps birationally to a smooth conic on  $W \cong \mathbb{P}^3$  by  $f$ . Then the locus in  $N_p$  parametrizing unions of two lines has dimension 1, and so does the locus in  $M_p$  parametrizing unions of two lines. Since any line through  $p$  is free, there are only finitely many lines through  $p$ , all of which do not contain any nodes of  $X$ . Then by dimension count, one can obtain a stable map  $(g: C_1 \cup C_2 \rightarrow X) \in M_p$  such that  $p \in g(C_1) \cap g(C_2)$ . By the generality assumption on  $p$ , each restriction  $g|_{C_i}$  is free.  $\square$

We now describe the spaces of conics on AM double solids.

**Theorem 4.9.** *Let  $X$  be the AM double solid associated with an excellent web  $W$ . The space  $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 2)$  of conics on  $X$  consists of four irreducible components  $R_2^+, R_2^-, N_2^+, N_2^-$ , where  $N_2^+, N_2^-$  parametrize double covers of lines of  $M_1^+, M_1^-$  respectively, and  $R_2^+, R_2^-$  generically parametrize embedded, very free curves.*

*Proof.* Let  $N_2 \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 2)$  be the locus parametrizing double covers of lines on  $X$ . By dimension count, each component of  $N_2$  form a component of  $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 2)$ . Since  $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 1)$  consists of two components  $M_1^+, M_1^-$ , we see that  $N_2$  has also two components  $N_2^+, N_2^-$  parametrizing double covers of lines of  $M_1^+, M_1^-$ .

Let  $R_2$  be the union of components of  $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 2)$  parametrizing birational maps. By Lemma 4.7, any component of  $R_2$  generically parametrizes free birational maps. Let  $M$  be an irreducible component of  $R_2$ . By Theorem 4.8,  $M$  contains a union  $\ell_1 \cup \ell_2$  of two free lines. Let  $\Delta^{++} \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 2)$  be the image of the morphism  $(M_1^+)' \times_X (M_1^+)' \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 2)$ , where  $(M_1^+)' \subset \overline{M}_{0,1}(X, 1)$  denotes the component corresponding to  $M_1^+ \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 1)$ , and we define the loci  $\Delta^{+-}$  and  $\Delta^{--}$  similarly. Then  $\ell_1 \cup \ell_2$  is contained in one of  $\Delta^{++}, \Delta^{+-}$ , or  $\Delta^{--}$ . By Lemma 4.6, these loci are irreducible. Since  $\Delta^{++}, \Delta^{+-}$ , and  $\Delta^{--}$  generically parametrize unions of two free lines, each locus contains smooth points of  $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 2)$ . Hence  $\Delta^{++}, \Delta^{+-}, \Delta^{--}$  are contained in unique components  $R^{++}, R^{+-}, R^{--}$  of  $R_2$  respectively. For general conics  $C^{++} \in R^{++}, C^{+-} \in R^{+-}, C^{--} \in R^{--}$ , let  $\tilde{C}^{++}, \tilde{C}^{+-}, \tilde{C}^{--}$  be the strict transforms of  $C^{++}, C^{+-}, C^{--}$  by the smooth resolution  $\phi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ . Then Lemma 3.6 shows that  $\tilde{C}^{++}$  and  $\tilde{C}^{--}$  are algebraically equivalent, but  $\tilde{C}^{++}$  and  $\tilde{C}^{+-}$  are not. This implies that  $R^{+-} \neq R^{++}, R^{--}$ . Set  $R_2^+ := R^{+-}$ .

We prove that  $R^{++} = R^{--}$ . Since  $X$  has isolated singularities, the general member  $S \in |H|$  is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 2. Let  $F(S) \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 1)$  be the set of 56 lines on  $X$  contained in  $S$ . Now define the map  $\text{sign}: F(S) \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$  by

$$\text{sign}(\ell) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \ell \in M_1^+, \\ -1, & \text{if } \ell \in M_1^-. \end{cases}$$



This is well-defined since  $M_1^+ \cap M_1^-$  consists of lines through nodes. Moreover, one can see that this map satisfies all the assumptions of Lemma 2.12. Thus,  $S$  admits a conic bundle having singular fibers  $[\ell_1 \cup \ell_2] \in \Delta^{++}$  and  $[m_1 \cup m_2] \in \Delta^{--}$ . This implies that there is a rational curve  $\gamma: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow R_2$  such that  $\gamma(0) \in \Delta^{++} \setminus \Delta^{--}$  and  $\gamma(1) \in \Delta^{--} \setminus \Delta^{++}$ . Then, we must have  $R_2^+ := R^{++} = R^{--}$ .

Now the evaluation map  $(R_2^+)^{\prime\prime} \rightarrow X \times X$  (resp.  $(R_2^-)^{\prime\prime} \rightarrow X \times X$ ) is dominant, where  $(R_2^+)^{\prime\prime}, (R_2^-)^{\prime\prime} \subset \overline{M}_{0,2}(X, 2)$  denote the components corresponding to  $R_2^+, R_2^- \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 2)$ . Thus, a general member of  $R_2^+$  (resp.  $R_2^-$ ) is very free, hence also an embedding by [Kol96, Theorem 3.14], completing the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.10.** *Let  $X$  be the AM double solid associated with an excellent web  $W$ . Let  $d \geq 2$  and let  $M \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$  be a component generically parametrizing birational stable maps. Then  $M$  contains unions of free curves  $g: C_1 \cup C_2 \rightarrow X$  and  $h: D_1 \cup D_2 \rightarrow X$  such that  $g|_{C_1} \in M_1^+$  and  $h|_{D_1} \in M_1^-$ .*

*Proof.* The case  $d = 2$  is proved in Theorem 4.9. Suppose  $d > 2$ . Let  $M \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$  be a component generically parametrizing birational maps. By Theorem 4.8,  $M$  contains a chain  $g: C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_d \rightarrow X$  of free lines. Assume that we have  $g|_{C_1}, \dots, g|_{C_d} \in M_1^+$ . Since  $g|_{C_1 \cup C_2}$  deforms into a union  $h': D'_1 \cup D'_2 \rightarrow X$  of free lines such that  $h'|_{D'_1}, h'|_{D'_2} \in M_1^-$ , we see that  $g$  also deforms into  $h: D'_1 \cup D'_2 \cup C_3 \cup \dots \cup C_d \rightarrow X$  such that  $h|_{D'_1}, h|_{D'_2} \in M_1^-$  by [LT19, Lemma 5.9]. Smoothing the subchain  $D'_2 \cup C_3 \cup \dots \cup C_d \rightarrow X$ , we obtain a desired map  $h: D_1 \cup D_2 \rightarrow X$  such that  $h|_{D_1} \in M_1^-$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let  $X$  be the AM double solid associated with an excellent web  $W$ . For each integer  $d \geq 2$ , the space  $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$  consists of four irreducible components  $R_d^+, R_d^-, N_d^+, N_d^-$ , where  $N_d^+, N_d^-$  parametrize  $d$ -sheeted covers of lines of  $M_1^+, M_1^-$  respectively, and  $R_d^+, R_d^-$  generically parametrize embedded, very free curves.*

*Proof.* The case  $d = 2$  is proved in Theorem 4.9. Suppose  $d > 2$ .

By the dimension count, we see that the locus  $N_d^+$  (resp.  $N_d^-$ ) parametrizing  $d$ -sheeted covers of lines of  $M_1^+$  (resp.  $M_1^-$ ) forms a component of  $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$ , and any other component generically parametrizes birational maps.

Let  $M \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$  be a component generically parametrizing birational maps. By Theorem 4.10,  $M$  contains stable maps  $g: C_1 \cup C_2 \rightarrow X$  and  $h: D_1 \cup D_2 \rightarrow X$  such that  $g|_{C_1} \in M_1^+$  and  $h|_{D_1} \in M_1^-$ . Now, we consider the loci

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{1,d-1}^{++} &\cong (M_1^+)' \times_X (R_{d-1}^+)', \\ \Delta_{1,d-1}^{+-} &\cong (M_1^+)' \times_X (R_{d-1}^-)', \\ \Delta_{1,d-1}^{-+} &\cong (M_1^-)' \times_X (R_{d-1}^+)', \\ \Delta_{1,d-1}^{--} &\cong (M_1^-)' \times_X (R_{d-1}^-)' \end{aligned}$$

in  $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$ , where  $(R_{d-1}^+)' \subset \overline{M}_{0,1}(X, d-1)$  denotes the component corresponding to  $R_{d-1}^+ \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d-1)$  and so on. By the classification of

$a$ -covers [Oka24a, Theorem 1.2] and Proposition 2.7, we see that the evaluation maps  $(R_{d-1}^+)' \rightarrow X$  and  $(R_{d-1}^-)' \rightarrow X$  have irreducible fibers. Hence the loci  $\Delta_{1,d-1}^{++}, \Delta_{1,d-1}^{+-}, \Delta_{1,d-1}^{-+}, \Delta_{1,d-1}^{--}$  are irreducible. Thus each locus is contained in a unique component respectively. However, Theorem 4.10 implies that  $\Delta_{1,d-1}^{++}, \Delta_{1,d-1}^{--}$  are contained in the same component, say  $R_d^+$ , and  $\Delta_{1,d-1}^{+-}, \Delta_{1,d-1}^{-+}$  are contained in the same component, say  $R_d^-$ . Since strict transforms of general curves of  $R_d^+$  and  $R_d^-$  by the smooth resolution  $\phi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  are not algebraically equivalent, we see that  $R_d^+ \neq R_d^-$ . By the argument in Theorem 4.9, general members of  $R_d^+, R_d^-$  are very free and embedded, which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.12.** *Geometric Manin’s Conjecture holds for AM double solids associated with excellent webs.*

*Proof.* The argument in [Oka24a, Theorem 1.4] is valid and one can prove that only  $R_d^+$  and  $R_d^-$  for  $d \geq 2$  are Manin components. Indeed, by [Oka24a, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2], any breaking morphism is an  $a$ -cover of Iitaka dimension 2, which factors rationally through a family of  $H$ -lines. Hence  $M_1^+, M_1^-$  and  $N_d^+, N_d^-$  for  $d \geq 2$  are accumulating components. Thus, Geometric Manin’s Conjecture holds for  $X$  since  $|\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr}}(k(X)/k)| = 2$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AM72] M. Artin and D. Mumford. “Some elementary examples of unirational varieties which are not rational”. In: *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* 25 (1972), pp. 75–95. DOI: [10.1112/plms/s3-25.1.75](https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/s3-25.1.75).
- [Bea16] A. Beauville. “The Lüroth problem”. In: *Rationality problems in algebraic geometry*. Vol. 2172. Lecture Notes in Math. Springer, Cham, 2016, pp. 1–27.
- [BJ22] A. Burke and E. Jovinelly. “Geometric Manin’s Conjecture for Fano 3-Folds”. In: (2022). arXiv: [2209.05517](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.05517) [math.AG].
- [BK13] R. Beheshti and N. M. Kumar. “Spaces of rational curves on complete intersections”. In: *Compos. Math.* 149.6 (2013), pp. 1041–1060. DOI: [10.1112/S0010437X12000504](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X12000504).
- [BLRT22] R. Beheshti, B. Lehmann, E. Riedl, and S. Tanimoto. “Moduli spaces of rational curves on Fano threefolds”. In: *Adv. Math.* 408 (2022), Paper No. 108557, 60. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2022.108557](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2022.108557).
- [Bou12] D. Bourqui. “Moduli spaces of curves and Cox rings”. In: *Michigan Math. J.* 61.3 (2012), pp. 593–613. DOI: [10.1307/mmj/1347040261](https://doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1347040261).
- [Bou16] D. Bourqui. “Algebraic points, non-anticanonical heights and the Severi problem on toric varieties”. In: *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* 113.4 (2016), pp. 474–514. DOI: [10.1112/plms/pdw035](https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/pdw035).
- [BS83] S. Bloch and V. Srinivas. “Remarks on correspondences and algebraic cycles”. In: *Amer. J. Math.* 105.5 (1983), pp. 1235–1253. DOI: [10.2307/2374341](https://doi.org/10.2307/2374341).
- [BV17] T. Browning and P. Vishe. “Rational curves on smooth hypersurfaces of low degree”. In: *Algebra Number Theory* 11.7 (2017), pp. 1657–1675. DOI: [10.2140/ant.2017.11.1657](https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2017.11.1657).
- [Cas04] A.-M. Castravet. “Rational families of vector bundles on curves”. In: *Internat. J. Math.* 15.1 (2004), pp. 13–45. DOI: [10.1142/S0129167X0400220X](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X0400220X).

- [Cay69] A. Cayley. “A Memoir on Quartic Surfaces”. In: *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 3 (1869/71), pp. 19–69. DOI: [10.1112/plms/s1-3.1.19](https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/s1-3.1.19).
- [CG72] C. H. Clemens and P. A. Griffiths. “The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefold”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 95 (1972), pp. 281–356. DOI: [10.2307/1970801](https://doi.org/10.2307/1970801).
- [Cle83] C. H. Clemens. “Double solids”. In: *Adv. in Math.* 47.2 (1983), pp. 107–230. DOI: [10.1016/0001-8708\(83\)90025-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(83)90025-7).
- [Cos83] F. R. Cossec. “Reye congruences”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 280.2 (1983), pp. 737–751. DOI: [10.2307/1999644](https://doi.org/10.2307/1999644).
- [CS09] I. Coskun and J. Starr. “Rational curves on smooth cubic hypersurfaces”. In: *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 24 (2009), pp. 4626–4641. DOI: [10.1093/imrn/rnp102](https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnp102).
- [CTS21] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and A. N. Skorobogatov. *The Brauer-Grothendieck group*. Vol. 71. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2021, pp. xv+453. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-030-74248-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-74248-5).
- [Cut88] S. Cutkosky. “Elementary contractions of Gorenstein threefolds”. In: *Math. Ann.* 280.3 (1988), pp. 521–525. DOI: [10.1007/BF01456342](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01456342).
- [DK24] I. Dolgachev and S. Kondō. *Enriques surfaces II*. to appear in Springer Singapore, already available from Igor Dolgachev’s Home Page, 2024.
- [End99] S. Endraß. “On the divisor class group of double solids”. In: *Manuscripta Math.* 99.3 (1999), pp. 341–358. DOI: [10.1007/s002290050177](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002290050177).
- [HM07] C. D. Hacon and J. Mckernan. “On Shokurov’s rational connectedness conjecture”. In: *Duke Math. J.* 138.1 (2007), pp. 119–136. DOI: [10.1215/S0012-7094-07-13813-4](https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-07-13813-4).
- [HRS04] J. Harris, M. Roth, and J. Starr. “Rational curves on hypersurfaces of low degree”. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 571 (2004), pp. 73–106. DOI: [10.1515/crll.2004.045](https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.2004.045).
- [HT15] S. Hosono and H. Takagi. “Geometry of symmetric determinantal loci”. In: (2015). arXiv: [1508.01995](https://arxiv.org/abs/1508.01995) [math.AG].
- [HTT15] B. Hassett, S. Tanimoto, and Y. Tschinkel. “Balanced line bundles and equivariant compactifications of homogeneous spaces”. In: *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 15 (2015), pp. 6375–6410. DOI: [10.1093/imrn/rnu129](https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnu129).
- [IM71] V. A. Iskovskikh and J. I. Manin. “Three-dimensional quartics and counterexamples to the Lüroth problem”. In: *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* 86(128) (1971), pp. 140–166.
- [JO24] E. Jovinely and F. Okamura. *Rational Curves on Coindex 3 Fano Varieties*. 2024. arXiv: [2409.00834](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.00834) [math.AG].
- [Kaw88] Y. Kawamata. “Crepanant blowing-up of 3-dimensional canonical singularities and its application to degenerations of surfaces”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 127.1 (1988), pp. 93–163. DOI: [10.2307/1971417](https://doi.org/10.2307/1971417).
- [KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*. Vol. 134. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from

- the 1998 Japanese original. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, pp. viii+254. DOI: [10.1017/CB09780511662560](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511662560).
- [KMM92] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka, and S. Mori. “Rational connectedness and boundedness of Fano manifolds”. In: *J. Differential Geom.* 36.3 (1992), pp. 765–779.
- [Kol96] J. Kollár. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*. Vol. 32. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996, pp. viii+320. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-662-03276-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-03276-3).
- [KP01] B. Kim and R. Pandharipande. “The connectedness of the moduli space of maps to homogeneous spaces”. In: *Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry (Seoul, 2000)*. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2001, pp. 187–201. DOI: [10.1142/9789812799821\\\_0006](https://doi.org/10.1142/9789812799821\_0006).
- [LRT24] B. Lehmann, E. Riedl, and S. Tanimoto. *Non-free sections of Fano fibrations*. 2024. arXiv: [2301.01695](https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.01695) [math.AG].
- [LT19] B. Lehmann and S. Tanimoto. “Geometric Manin’s conjecture and rational curves”. In: *Compos. Math.* 155.5 (2019), pp. 833–862. DOI: [10.1112/s0010437x19007103](https://doi.org/10.1112/s0010437x19007103).
- [LT21] B. Lehmann and S. Tanimoto. “Rational curves on prime Fano threefolds of index 1”. In: *J. Algebraic Geom.* 30.1 (2021), pp. 151–188. DOI: [10.1090/jag/751](https://doi.org/10.1090/jag/751).
- [LT24] B. Lehmann and S. Tanimoto. “Classifying sections of del Pezzo fibrations, I”. In: *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 26.1 (2024), pp. 289–354. DOI: [10.4171/jems/1363](https://doi.org/10.4171/jems/1363).
- [MM86] Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori. “A numerical criterion for uniruledness”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 124.1 (1986), pp. 65–69. DOI: [10.2307/1971387](https://doi.org/10.2307/1971387).
- [Mor79] S. Mori. “Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundles”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 110.3 (1979), pp. 593–606. DOI: [10.2307/1971241](https://doi.org/10.2307/1971241).
- [Oka24a] F. Okamura. “Rational curves on Fano threefolds with Gorenstein terminal singularities”. In: (2024). arXiv: [2401.15633](https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.15633) [math.AG].
- [Oka24b] F. Okamura. “The irreducibility of the spaces of rational curves on del Pezzo manifolds”. In: *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 12 (2024), pp. 9893–9909. DOI: [10.1093/imrn/rnae080](https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnae080).
- [ORSV15] J. C. Ottem, K. Ranestad, B. Sturmfels, and C. Vinzant. “Quartic spectrahedra”. In: *Math. Program.* 151.2 (2015), pp. 585–612. DOI: [10.1007/s10107-014-0844-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10107-014-0844-3).
- [RS06] G. V. Ravindra and V. Srinivas. “The Grothendieck-Lefschetz theorem for normal projective varieties”. In: *J. Algebraic Geom.* 15.3 (2006), pp. 563–590. DOI: [10.1090/S1056-3911-05-00421-2](https://doi.org/10.1090/S1056-3911-05-00421-2).
- [RY19] E. Riedl and D. Yang. “Kontsevich spaces of rational curves on Fano hypersurfaces”. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 748 (2019), pp. 207–225. DOI: [10.1515/crelle-2016-0027](https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2016-0027).
- [ST22] N. Shimizu and S. Tanimoto. “The spaces of rational curves on del Pezzo threefolds of degree one”. In: *Eur. J. Math.* 8.1 (2022), pp. 291–308. DOI: [10.1007/s40879-021-00516-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40879-021-00516-2).

- [Tan21] S. Tanimoto. “An introduction to Geometric Manin’s conjecture”. In: *Proceedings of Miyako-no-Seihoku Algebraic Geometry Symposium 2021, “Positivity of tangent bundles and related topics”* (2021), pp. 102–118. arXiv: [arXiv:2110.06660](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.06660) [math.AG].
- [Tes05] D. Testa. *The Severi problem for rational curves on del Pezzo surfaces*. Thesis (Ph.D.)—Massachusetts Institute of Technology. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2005, (no paging).
- [Tes09] D. Testa. “The irreducibility of the spaces of rational curves on del Pezzo surfaces”. In: *J. Algebraic Geom.* 18.1 (2009), pp. 37–61. DOI: [10.1090/S1056-3911-08-00484-0](https://doi.org/10.1090/S1056-3911-08-00484-0).
- [Tho98] J. F. Thomsen. “Irreducibility of  $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ ”. In: *Internat. J. Math.* 9.3 (1998), pp. 367–376. DOI: [10.1142/S0129167X98000154](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X98000154).
- [Voi06] C. Voisin. “On integral Hodge classes on uniruled or Calabi-Yau threefolds”. In: *Moduli spaces and arithmetic geometry*. Vol. 45. Adv. Stud. Pure Math. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2006, pp. 43–73. DOI: [10.2969/aspm/04510043](https://doi.org/10.2969/aspm/04510043).
- [Voi15] C. Voisin. “Unirational threefolds with no universal codimension 2 cycle”. In: *Invent. Math.* 201.1 (2015), pp. 207–237. DOI: [10.1007/s00222-014-0551-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-014-0551-y).

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FURO-CHO, CHIKUSAKU, NAGOYA, 464-8601, JAPAN

*Email address:* [fumiya.okamura.e6@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp](mailto:fumiya.okamura.e6@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp)