

Ion densities of cold clouds driven by galactic outflows

Lisiyuan Yang,^{1*} Neal Katz,¹ Evan Scannapieco² and Marcus Brüggen,³

¹*Astronomy Department, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA*

²*School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, P.O. Box 871404, Tempe, AZ 85287-1404, USA*

³*Universität Hamburg, Hamburger Sternwarte, Gojenbergsweg 112, D-21029, Hamburg, Germany*

17 January 2025

ABSTRACT

Observations of the circumgalactic medium (CGM) often display coincident absorption from species with widely varying ionization states, providing direct evidence for complex, multiphase interactions. Motivated by these measurements, we perform a series of cloud-crushing simulations that model cold clouds traveling through the hot CGM. We analyze the ion distributions of these clouds, generate mock absorption spectra, and study their implications on quasar (QSO) absorption observations. Our results show interesting multiphase features, in which ions with significantly different ionization potentials exist in the same absorber and share similar spectral features. However, our simulations are unable to explain high ions like O VI and their coexistence with lower ions that appear in many observed QSO absorption systems.

Key words: quasars: absorption lines – hydrodynamics

1 INTRODUCTION

The circumgalactic medium (CGM) and intergalactic medium (IGM) are believed to play an important role in the evolution of galaxies (Putman et al. 2012; Tumlinson et al. 2017). They are perturbed and enriched in metals by galactic outflows (Tumlinson et al. 2011) from both stellar (Heckman et al. 1990; Tremonti et al. 2004; Tripp et al. 2011; Chisholm et al. 2016; Johnson et al. 2017) and AGN feedback (Scannapieco & Oh 2004; Di Matteo et al. 2005; Fabian 2012; King & Pounds 2015), and hence serve as probes of these processes. Properties of the CGM have also been found to correlate with the properties of host halos, providing constraints on cosmological structure formation (Chen et al. 2010; Churchill et al. 2013; Werk et al. 2014; Tumlinson et al. 2017; Bordoloi et al. 2018).

There are several ways to probe the CGM and IGM. X-ray emission through thermal bremsstrahlung (e.g. Wang et al. 2014; Anderson et al. 2015) and the Sunyaev-Zel'dovich (SZ) effect (e.g. Birkinshaw 1999; Lim et al. 2020) can be used to detect the hot, virialized phase, especially around massive halos and clusters. In the presence of a background QSO, or if the host galaxy itself has a strong UV continuum, observations of absorption lines of H I and other metal ions probe the cooler, photoionized components of the CGM and IGM (e.g. Bechtold 2001; Tripp et al. 2008; Danforth & Shull 2008; Stocke et al. 2013; Danforth et al. 2016; Chisholm et al. 2018). Compared to X-ray emission and the SZ effect, such absorption line studies are restricted by the requirement of a continuous UV background source. Furthermore, QSO absorption lines are necessarily restricted to pencil beams through the

halo gas. Despite these limits, they have the unique advantage of being more sensitive to low column densities and have the ability to probe different metal ions with a wide range of ionization potentials.

Through these observations, the CGM has been found to be multiphase and complex in nature. Numerous studies (e.g. Tripp et al. 2008; Meiring et al. 2013; Haislmaier et al. 2020; Zahedy et al. 2021) have discovered, using a variety of ionization models and fits, that a large number of QSO absorption systems likely consist of two or more ionization phases. Some samples even show ions with vastly different ionization potentials that are kinematically well-aligned and have similar line widths, indicating a physical connection between the various phases.

On a larger scale, statistical studies of the Ly α forest (Oort 1981) from the CGM and IGM can be used to measure cosmological perturbations of baryons and the evolution of the Universe (Hernquist et al. 1996; Weinberg 2003; Seljak et al. 2006; Kirkman et al. 2007; Baur et al. 2016), and thereby provide constraints on cosmic models.

On the simulation side, the CGM and IGM are also of great interest. Since they are affected by gas accretion and feedback processes, simulated CGM and IGM ion distributions are frequently compared with QSO absorption observations to study inflows and outflows (Oppenheimer & Davé 2006; Davé et al. 2010; Ford et al. 2013; Hummels et al. 2013; Ford et al. 2014, 2016; Oppenheimer et al. 2018; Kauffmann et al. 2019; Appleby et al. 2021). These studies have reinforced the multiphase nature of the CGM and stressed the importance of inflows and outflows in shaping the CGM and galaxies themselves, which are very dependent on the feedback models.

However, it has been shown through zoom-in simulations

* E-mail: lyang@umass.edu

that the scale lengths of local density and pressure variations in the CGM are at least as small as several hundred parsecs (e.g. [Lochhaas et al. 2023](#)), which is close to the typical sizes of single absorption clouds inferred from QSO absorption observations ([Rudie et al. 2019](#); [Haislmaier et al. 2020](#)). Tracing the evolution of these cool clouds embedded in the hot phase requires even higher resolutions (e.g. [McCourt et al. 2018](#)). This is far out of reach of any cosmological-sized or even zoom-in simulation and poses a significant challenge to our theoretical understanding of QSO absorption systems, and galaxy formation itself.

To bridge the gap, numerous small-scale simulations have been conducted that focus on metal-rich clouds in galactic outflows traveling supersonically in a hot ambient medium (e.g. [Klein et al. 1994](#); [Scannapieco & Brüggen 2015](#); [Brüggen & Scannapieco 2016](#); [Schneider & Robertson 2017](#); [Banda-Barragán et al. 2019](#); [Banda-Barragán et al. 2020, 2021](#); ?). Through such studies, a wide variety of physical processes, including radiative cooling, thermal conduction, magnetic fields, and chemical inequilibrium, are found to have significant impacts on the evolution of the clouds.

Studies of the ion distributions and absorption spectra of these simulations are relatively few (e.g. [Cottle et al. 2018](#); [de la Cruz et al. 2021](#); ?), and most of them only focus on down-the-barrel observations, i.e. lines of sight along the direction that the clouds travel. In addition, theoretical works use various simplifying assumptions (for example, [Cottle et al. 2018](#) used the mean column densities and broadenings of entire projections to generate single Gaussian profiles, while [de la Cruz et al. 2021](#) ignored thermal broadening in their synthetic lines).

To implement some of the findings of these cloud crushing simulations into cosmological simulations, we have developed the PhEW (Physically Evolved Winds) model ([Huang et al. 2020](#); [Huang et al. 2021](#)), an analytical subgrid model for cosmological hydrodynamic simulations that tracks galactic outflows from stellar feedback. In cosmological simulations that use this model, after a wind particle is ejected by stellar feedback and reaches the CGM, it is converted into a PhEW particle. PhEW particles are modeled as collections of cool clouds that travel supersonically through the hot CGM, with an initial temperature of 10^4 K and a fixed initial cloud mass of 10^4 to $10^5 M_\odot$. Their evolution is analytically tracked using results from the cloud crushing simulations conducted by [Scannapieco & Brüggen \(2015\)](#) and [Brüggen & Scannapieco \(2016\)](#), until they fully dissolve into the hot ambient medium. [Huang et al. \(2021\)](#) have shown that this model is robust against changes in the cloud parameters, choice of hydrodynamic method, and numerical resolution, unlike other approaches. They also find that the PhEW model enhances wind recycling and metal mixing from the outflows into the hot phase of the CGM and IGM.

In this work, we carry out a series of cloud-crushing simulations similar to those performed by [Scannapieco & Brüggen \(2015\)](#) and [Brüggen & Scannapieco \(2016\)](#), but with a lower cloud density that matches the CGM of a typical Milky Way-like galaxy. Using these simulations, we compute the ion distributions of the clouds, generate mock absorption spectra, and subsequently fit them to study the statistical properties of individual, distinct absorbers, and how they are affected by the simulation parameters. We compare our results with observations and discuss the possible implications of our results

on some of the commonly observed absorption features. Our results can also be applied to cosmological simulations that use physics-driven, multiphase subgrid wind models, such as the PhEW model, to study the effect of stellar feedback on quasar absorption ([Yang et al., in prep.](#)).

This paper is organized as follows. In §2, we introduce our cloud-crushing simulations and the physical parameters characterizing our clouds. In §3 we track the mass evolution of the clouds in our simulations and discuss physical factors that affect them. In §4 we describe our method for computing the ion distributions, generating mock spectra and fitting them to obtain lists of individual absorbers in our simulations. We also display examples of the ion maps and mock spectra. In §5 we analyze the statistical distributions of the column densities and line widths of the absorbers in our simulations, explore their dependence on multiple physical parameters, and study the correlation between different ions. Our conclusions are presented in §6.

2 METHODS

2.1 Simulations

In this work, we conduct a set of cloud-crushing simulations similar to those carried out by [Scannapieco & Brüggen \(2015\)](#) and [Brüggen & Scannapieco \(2016\)](#). In our simulations, a spherical cloud of mass $M_c = 10^5 M_\odot$, density $\rho_c = 10^{-26}$ g/cm³ and radius $R_c = (3M_c/4\pi\rho_c)^{1/3} = 545$ pc is placed in a supersonic hot flow that is in thermal pressure equilibrium with the cloud. Our clouds have a lower density than the ones used in [Scannapieco & Brüggen \(2015\)](#) and [Brüggen & Scannapieco \(2016\)](#), which have an initial density of $\rho_{c,\text{old}} = 10^{-24}$ g/cm³, as they modeled down-the-barrel observations, and hence their clouds were expected to be much closer to the central galaxies. Our initial conditions of the clouds are made to match the pressure of the CGM of a Milky Way-like galaxy between redshifts 0 and 2 (see Appendix A).

The system is evolved using the grid-based FLASH code ([Fryxell et al. 2000](#)) with radiative cooling, and either including or excluding thermal conduction. The equations governing the evolution of the system are identical to those given in [Brüggen & Scannapieco \(2016\)](#):

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\rho [\partial_t \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}] = -\nabla p, \quad (2)$$

$$\partial_t E + \nabla \cdot [E \mathbf{u}] = -\nabla \cdot (p \mathbf{u}) - n^2 \Lambda(T) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}, \quad (3)$$

where ρ is the density, \mathbf{u} is the velocity, $p = k_B T \rho / (\mu m_p)$ is the pressure and $E = p / (\gamma - 1) + \frac{1}{2} \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2$ is the energy density. The thermal conduction rate, $\mathbf{q} = 0$ for the non-conductive runs, is given by

$$\mathbf{q} = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \kappa(T) \nabla T \\ 0.34 n_e k_B T c_{s,e} \nabla T / |\nabla T|, \end{array} \right. \quad (4)$$

for the conductive runs. Here

$$\kappa(T) = \eta \cdot 5.6 \times 10^{-7} T^{5/2} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

and $c_{s,e} = (k_B T / m_e)^{1/2}$. We use a factor η to model the suppression of thermal conduction by magnetic fields: $\eta = 1$ for full Spitzer conduction and 0.1 for weak conduction. Only isotropic conduction is considered in this work. Since the radii of our clouds are larger than those presented in [Scannapieco](#)

& Brügger (2015) and Brügger & Scannapieco (2016), by a factor of $R_c/R_{c,\text{old}} = 5.45$, we increase the size of the simulation box and the spatial resolution by the same factor compared to their simulations. Apart from these changes, our simulations are identical to theirs. These simulations are characterized by two dimensionless numbers: the Mach number of the hot flow M_{hot} and the initial density contrast $\chi_0 = \rho_c/\rho_{\text{hot}}$, in addition to the level of thermal conduction. Table 1 lists the cloud crushing simulations that are used in this work, where t_{cc} , the cloud crushing time, is given by (Klein et al. 1994)

$$t_{cc} = \frac{\chi_0^{1/2} R_c}{v_{\text{hot}}}, \quad (6)$$

and t_{cool} , the cooling time, is given by equation 7 of Scannapieco & Brügger (2015). Most of our simulations have identical values of M_{hot} and χ_0 to their counterparts in Scannapieco & Brügger (2015) and Brügger & Scannapieco (2016). The only exceptions are the T0.1_v150_chi100 and T0.1_v150_chi100_cond_0.1 simulations, which model clouds with low Mach numbers and density contrasts that appear in some cosmological simulations, including those that use the PhEW model (Yang et al., in prep).

In this work, we mainly focus on the non-conductive and weakly-conductive simulations, while using the fully-conductive simulation occasionally as comparisons to the other conduction levels. As will be discussed in §3, the clouds in the fully-conductive simulations tend to evaporate much faster than their non-conductive and weakly-conductive counterparts.

2.2 Cloud selection

The goal of our study is to explore the ion distributions due to the presence of the cloud. Therefore, it is crucial to separate the cloud region from its ambient material. Since FLASH is a grid-based code, a criterion must be employed to identify grid cells that belong to the cloud region. In Scannapieco & Brügger (2015), to determine the mass of the cloud after partial disruption, they tested three different density criteria: 1, 1/3, and 1/10 times the initial cloud density, where grid cells with densities above these criteria were attributed to the cloud. They found that changing the density criteria did not significantly affect the cloud mass, and ultimately settled for a criterion $\rho > \rho_c/3$, which was subsequently used by Cottle et al. (2018) in their absorption line study. However, when considering the distribution of ion densities within the cloud, special caution must be exercised. When changing the criterion, most of the regions affected are on the edge of the cloud. These regions are usually small in volume and have relatively low densities, but their temperatures are generally higher than the central part of the cloud owing to interactions with the ambient gas. Therefore, even though they do not make up a considerable portion of the cloud mass, they can potentially contribute significantly to middle and high ion absorption.

To account for this, we adopt a different criterion for the cloud region that uses the tracer mass fraction C_{cloud} (Xu & Stone 1995; Orlando et al. 2005). This is a scalar field implemented in our simulations that equals the fraction of mass within each grid cell that originates from the cloud region in the initial setup. We define the cloud region as the collection

of grid cells that are dominated by cloud material, i.e. those that have

$$C_{\text{cloud}} \geq 0.5. \quad (7)$$

We find that this criterion accounts for the fragments of the clouds much better than density-based criteria. If not specified, “cloud region” refers to regions that satisfy criterion (7) hereafter.

3 EVOLUTION OF THE CLOUDS

Scannapieco & Brügger (2015) and Brügger & Scannapieco (2016) primarily focused on 4 specific snapshots from each simulation, namely those with remaining cloud masses roughly equal to 90%, 75%, 50%, and 25% of their initial mass. In our work, we also focus on snapshots that have remaining cloud mass fractions close to these percentages, which we label t90, t75, t50, and t25. It should be noted, however, that our cloud masses are based on cloud criterion (7) rather than the density criterion used by Scannapieco & Brügger (2015) and Brügger & Scannapieco (2016). Two processes cause mass loss from the clouds: Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities (KHI), and, in cases with thermal conduction, conductive evaporation.

In Figure 1, we show the mass loss curves of all the simulations tabulated in Table 1. We use different colors to depict simulations with different levels of thermal conduction. It is clear that thermal conduction has a significant impact on the evolution of the clouds. As Brügger & Scannapieco (2016) demonstrated, it has two competing effects on the survival of the cloud. On the one hand, it suppresses the KHI, thereby prolonging the survival time of the cloud. On the other hand, thermal conduction causes evaporation from the surface of the cloud, which can shorten its lifetime. It is clear that in our simulations, conductive evaporation is very efficient at full Spitzer conduction and reduces the lifetime of the clouds in all cases. The most extreme case of this is found in the T0.3_v3000_chi300_cond simulation, where the cloud blows up early on, leaving only a diffuse shell of evaporated gas. In contrast, weak conduction sometimes lowers the mass loss rates of the clouds by suppressing the KHI but not having enough conduction to cause rapid evaporation. Morphologically, the evaporative pressure compresses the clouds in the conductive simulations, making them more compact than their non-conductive counterparts.

The effect of conduction can also be observed in the phase space distributions of the clouds. In Figure 2, we show three such examples. Here the three columns correspond to simulations with three different sets of (M, χ_0) values, and in each column the conduction level increases from top to bottom. The cores of the clouds are clearly distinguishable on the lower right corner in all of the simulations. The mixing layers in the non-conductive simulations all display very extended distributions in phase space, while the evaporated material in the conductive simulations is predominantly concentrated on the upper left.

Comparing the full conductive simulations with the weak conduction ones, one might assume that the mass loss rate of the former would be 10 times higher than the latter, based on the suppression factor $\eta = 0.1$ we impose on the weak conduction runs (Equation 5). Such an assumption is,

Table 1. Cloud-crushing simulations used in this work.

Name	Conduction ^a	M_{hot}	v_{hot} (km/s)	T_{hot} (10^6K)	χ_0	t_{cc} (Myr)	t_{cool} (Myr)
T0.3_v1000_chi300	no	3.8	1000	3	300	9.17	0.17
T0.3_v1000_chi300_cond.0.1	weak	3.8	1000	3	300	9.17	0.17
T0.3_v1000_chi300_cond	full	3.8	1000	3	300	9.17	0.17
T0.3_v1700_chi300	no	6.5	1700	3	300	5.40	0.38
T0.3_v1700_chi300_cond.0.1	weak	6.5	1700	3	300	5.40	0.38
T0.3_v1700_chi300_cond	full	6.5	1700	3	300	5.40	0.38
T0.3_v3000_chi300	no	11.4	3000	3	300	3.06	3.56
T0.3_v3000_chi300_cond.0.1	weak	11.4	3000	3	300	3.06	3.56
T0.3_v3000_chi300_cond	full	11.4	3000	3	300	3.06	3.56
T1_v1700_chi1000	no	3.5	1700	10	1000	9.85	0.17
T1_v1700_chi1000_cond.0.1	weak	3.5	1700	10	1000	9.85	0.17
T1_v1700_chi1000_cond	full	3.5	1700	10	1000	9.85	0.17
T3_v3000_chi3000	no	3.6	3000	30	3000	9.67	0.18
T3_v3000_chi3000_cond.0.1	weak	3.6	3000	30	3000	9.67	0.18
T3_v3000_chi3000_cond	full	3.6	3000	30	3000	9.67	0.18
T0.1_v150_chi100	no	1.0	150	1	100	35.3	0.76
T0.1_v150_chi100_cond.0.1	weak	1.0	150	1	100	35.3	0.76

^aHere “weak” means $\eta = 0.1$ in Equation 5.




Figure 1. Mass loss curves of the clouds in our simulations. The colors are indicative of the conduction levels. As a comparison, two simulations from Scannapieco & Brüggén (2015) and Brüggén & Scannapieco (2016) (dubbed “hcol”) are also shown.

however, clearly not true for any of the conductive simulations shown in Figure 1. The reason for this discrepancy is that the suppression factor η is only applied to the thermal conduction rate in the classical regime, while the saturated conduction rate, which is solely determined by the total number density of free electrons, remains unaffected. Therefore, at the surface of the cloud, where the temperature gradient can be very steep, the thermal conduction rate is not reduced by a factor of 10 in the weak conduction runs

compared to their full conduction counterparts. This is best demonstrated by the T3_v3000_chi3000 simulations, which have the steepest temperature gradient in all of our simulations. Apart from an early delay, we note that the clouds in T3_v3000_chi3000_cond.0.1 and T3_v3000_chi3000_cond have almost identical mass loss rates throughout their evolution, indicating that the thermal conduction across their surfaces is mostly saturated in both cases.

As discussed in §2.1, our clouds have lower column densi-




Figure 2. The phase space distributions of the cloud regions in several simulations (as labelled) at t_{50} .

ties than those in the simulations conducted by Scannapieco & Brüggén (2015) and Brüggén & Scannapieco (2016). To demonstrate the effect of this, the mass loss curves of the T0.3_v1700_chi300 and T0.3_v1700_chi300_cond simulations from their works, dubbed “hcol”, are also shown in the top right panel of Figure 1. It is clear that the mass loss rate of our non-conductive T0.3_v1700_chi300 simulation is significantly higher than theirs. We find that this owes to inefficient cooling of the mixing layer in our simulations. While the cooling time of the post-shock cloud center, which increases at lower densities, is still much shorter than the cloud crushing time in our T0.3_v1700_chi300 simulation (see Table 1), we find that the cooling time of the warm gas in the mixing layer is much longer than the cloud crushing time. In the absence of conduction, this results in a much more extended mixing layer that dissolves into the ambient gas at a higher rate than in the high column density cloud. This only stops at $t \approx 8t_{cc}$, when the entire mixing layer is stripped away, significantly reducing the mass loss rate afterward.

In Scannapieco & Brüggén (2015) and Brüggén & Scannapieco (2016), they found that their clouds never gained more

than 20% of the ambient velocity. We find that this is true in our simulations as well. Therefore, we can estimate the distance the clouds travel relative to the ambient material as

$$d \approx v_{\text{hot}} t = \chi_0^{1/2} R_{\text{ct}} / t_{\text{cc}}. \quad (8)$$

Table 2 shows d_{25} , the distance the clouds travel at t_{25} . We note that d_{25} is over 100 kpc in several of our simulations. However, a few of our conductive clouds are notably short-lived and do not travel very far. For example, both the weakly conductive and fully conductive versions of T0.3_v3000_chi300 only travel ≈ 30 kpc at t_{25} . As discussed above, this owes to fast conductive evaporation. On the other hand, in some simulations, such as T0.3_v1700_chi300, the clouds travel much farther than d_{25} before fully dissolving, because their mass loss rates decrease significantly at low mass fractions. It should also be noted that the hot gas in the CGM does not have a uniform density distribution. As a cloud travels outward, the ambient density decreases over time. This may increase the lifetime and travel distance of the cloud.

Table 2. The distances the clouds travel relative to the ambient gas at t25.

Name	d_{25} (kpc)
T0.3.v1000_chi300	142
T0.3.v1000_chi300_cond.0.1	133
T0.3.v1000_chi300_cond	72
T0.3.v1700_chi300	76
T0.3.v1700_chi300_cond.0.1	124
T0.3.v1700_chi300_cond	73
T0.3.v3000_chi300	150
T0.3.v3000_chi300_cond.0.1	34
T0.3.v3000_chi300_cond	22
T1.v1700_chi1000	233
T1.v1700_chi1000_cond.0.1	103
T1.v1700_chi1000_cond	51
T3.v3000_chi3000	249
T3.v3000_chi3000_cond.0.1	77
T3.v3000_chi3000_cond	59
T0.1.v150_chi100	46
T0.1.v150_chi100_cond.0.1	68

4 ION DENSITIES

4.1 Ion maps

For this work, we include 14 ions in our analysis: H I, O I, Mg II, Si II, C II, O II, Si III, C III, Si IV, O III, C IV, O IV, O VI and Ne VIII, listed in order of increasing ionization potential. To obtain the ion densities of the clouds, we follow a similar approach to [Cottle et al. \(2018\)](#) that we will describe here. Assuming solar metallicities and the [Haardt & Madau \(2012\)](#) (HM12) background at redshifts $z = 0.101, 0.540, 1.053, 2.013$ and 4.895, we first generate ionization fraction tables using Cloudy 23 ([Chatzikos et al. 2023](#)). Using these tables, we compute the ion densities of each grid cell at each of the redshifts listed above using the YT-based ([Turk et al. 2011](#)) TRIDENT code ([Hummels et al. 2017](#)) under the assumption that the cloud is optically thin and does not have significant self-shielding. The ion density distributions are then projected onto pixelated maps using YT’s off-axis projection function, with lines of sight at different angles θ from the direction of the ambient flow. For each simulation, we generate 11 such maps at projection angles of $\cos \theta$ ranging from 0 to 1 with a step of 0.1 and use a pixel size of 10 pc, which is ≈ 2 times the finest resolution in our simulations.

Figure 3 shows the edge-on column density distributions of several ions in the cloud regions of the T0.3.v1700_chi300 simulations with different conduction levels at t50 with $z = 0.540$. From left to right, the three columns show simulations with no conduction, weak conduction, and full conduction. As discussed in [Brüggen & Scannapieco \(2016\)](#), there is a clear morphological difference between the conductive and non-conductive clouds. The non-conductive cloud fractures owing to Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities (KHI), which is smoothed out by thermal conduction, leaving the conductive cloud largely intact. This occurs in all of the cloud crushing runs that we study in this work. In addition, we also note that in all three simulations, different ions show different distribution patterns. For example, low ions such as Mg II are concentrated at the center of the clouds, while the higher ions spread out to the surface of the clouds as the ionization potential increases.

In Figure 4, we compare the three $\chi = 300$ weakly-conductive simulations with different Mach numbers at t50. From left to right, the Mach numbers are 3.8 ($v_{\text{hot}} = 1000$ km/s), 6.5 ($v_{\text{hot}} = 1700$ km/s) and 11.4 ($v_{\text{hot}} = 3000$ km/s). It is clear that the morphologies of the clouds with $M = 3.8$ and $M = 6.5$ are very similar, while the $M = 11.4$ cloud is much more compressed. From Figure 7 of [Brüggen & Scannapieco \(2016\)](#), their conductive cloud with $M = 11.4$ and $\chi_0 = 300$, which has a higher column density than ours, does not display such a strong compression. This compression likely owes to inefficient cooling in the cloud, as the cooling time of the post-shock cloud is actually longer than the cloud crushing time in our simulation (see Table 1). This causes rapid evaporation early on (see Figure 1), which in turn compresses the cloud. A detailed analysis of the dependence of the cloud morphology on these various time scales is, however, beyond the scope of this work.

4.2 Mock spectra and absorbers

In the projected maps, each pixel corresponds to a line of sight. As a result of the complex and non-uniform nature of the clouds in our simulations, many of these pass through multiple distinct absorbers. Therefore, instead of using the total column density for our analysis, we fit individual lines. For each map, we randomly select 10% of all pixels with a column density of any metal ion larger than 10^{11} cm $^{-2}$ to save computer time. We use the `LightRay` module of Trident to generate an optical depth spectrum of each ion for each pixel with a velocity resolution of 5 km/s. If the peak optical depth, τ_{peak} , of an ion is larger than a threshold value of 10^{-4} , we normalize the spectrum to a peak value of 4 by multiplying the entire optical depth spectrum with a factor of $k = 4/\tau_{\text{peak}}$, and generate a normalized mock absorption spectrum with $\bar{F} = \exp(-k\tau)$. The normalized absorption spectrum is fed into the `absorption_spectrum_fit` module of Trident, which identifies individual lines, fits them with Gaussian profiles, and gives a list of normalized column densities \bar{N} and line widths b of individual absorbers. We then denormalize these column densities using $N = \bar{N}/k$ to obtain the real column densities of the absorbers, while the line widths remain unchanged. It should be noted that owing to the normalization of the optical depths, our lists of absorbers do not always reflect what can actually be fit in real observations. Our goal here is to separate absorbers that are physically distinct, regardless of whether or not they are distinguishable observationally.

Apart from the aforementioned physical motivation, another advantage of our approach is the flexibility with regard to scaling. In observations, the clouds encountered may have different masses, sizes, and metallicities from those in our simulations. In addition, the UV background responsible for the photoionization can be different from the ones we use. At higher column densities, self-shielding may also become important. As a zeroth-order approximation, we can scale our clouds to match these observations by multiplying the column densities of our absorbers by constant factors, allowing absorbers that are below the observational limit to become detectable. It is for the same reason that when we study the column density distributions of our absorbers in §5, we include all of the absorbers with column densities down to 10^{11} cm $^{-2}$, which is far below the detection limit of any of




Figure 3. Edge-on projections of the distributions of several ions in the cloud regions of the T0.3_v1700_chi300 cloud crushing simulations with 3 different conduction levels (none, weak and full) at $t50$ and $z = 0.540$.

our ions. After scaling, these column density histograms can be shifted horizontally so that absorbers on the low column density end become observable.

While we include photoionization in our ion fraction calculations, photoheating is not included in the simulations. Through photoheating, the temperature of the low-density, cool regions with $T \approx 10^4$ K can be raised to several times 10^4 K, which could potentially affect the distributions of the low ions. To study the effect of this, we artificially set a temperature floor of $T_f = 3 \times 10^4$ K on all grid cells with densities $\rho < \rho_c/3 = 3.3 \times 10^{-27}$ g/cm³. We find that this does not affect the distribution of any ion in our simulations. Photoheating is therefore not important in our analysis.

Figure 5 showcases one set of mock spectra from the T0.3_v1700_chi300 simulation. The zero point of the velocity is set to the H I-mass-weighted line-of-sight velocity. The unnormalized absorption spectra are shown as solid lines. For each ion, we also show the absorption spectrum of each fitted component as a dotted line, as well as the total fitted spectrum as a dashed line. In the legend, the name of each ion is followed by a set of numbers. The first number is the real $\log N$ of the ion, the second number is $\log(\Sigma N)$ for all the fitted components, and the numbers in the parenthesis

are the $\log N$ for each individual fitted component. It is clear that this system consists of several distinct absorbers. Interestingly, the component on the left, centered at ≈ -50 km/s, only appears in the middle ions. We find that this is a common occurrence in the spectra of the non-conductive simulations, where such components originate from fragments that are separated from the central core.

Because of the relative integrity of the clouds, the conductive runs usually either do not display multiple components or have components that are very close to each other in their spectra. Figure 6 shows an example from the T0.3_v1700_chi300_cond_0.1 simulation, where there is only one distinguishable component across all ions up to O IV.

5 COLUMN DENSITIES AND LINE WIDTHS OF THE ABSORBERS

5.1 Mach number, density contrast and conduction

In Figure 7, we show the column density and line width distributions of the absorbers in several cloud crushing simulations with $\chi = 300$, $z = 0.540$ at $t50$, and with lines of sight at an angle of 60° from the direction of the ambient flow.




Figure 4. Edge-on projections of the distributions of several ions in the T0.3_v1000_chi300_cond.0.1, T0.3_v1700_chi300_cond.0.1 and T0.3_v3000_chi300_cond.0.1 at t_{50} and $z = 0.540$.

The solid lines indicate the non-conductive simulations, and the dashed lines show the weakly-conductive simulations. In the non-conductive simulations, increasing the Mach number results in fewer low-column density absorbers across all ions. At the high column density end, the number of absorbers increases with the Mach number for the low ions H I, Mg II and O II. For ions with higher ionization potentials, however, the number of high column density absorbers is not strongly affected by the Mach number.

In comparison to their non-conductive counterparts, the weakly-conductive simulations have more high column density absorbers of low ions, such as H I and Mg II. However, the effect of conduction on the high ions depends on the Mach number. While the run with the highest Mach number, T0.3_v3000_chi300_cond.0.1, produces more high ion absorbers on the high column density end than T0.3_v3000_chi300, the other two weakly-conductive runs with smaller Mach numbers show fewer high ion absorbers than their non-conductive counterparts across the entire column density range. This owes to the extreme compression of the T0.3_v3000_chi300_cond.0.1 cloud (see Figure 4).

The right panel of Figure 7 shows the line width distributions of these simulations. Here we only include absorbers

with column densities $N > 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ to avoid having the line width distributions dominated by absorbers with lower column densities, which are not observable unless we have a very large scaling factor. We find that the non-conduction runs have more broad absorbers than the weakly-conductive runs for all the ions. This likely owes to the fragmentation of the clouds in the non-conductive runs, which results in more kinematic broadening, whereas the conductive clouds remain intact due to the suppression of KHI by thermal conduction.

To further explore how thermal conduction affects the ions, we compare the T0.3_v1700_chi300 simulations with 3 different conduction levels (no conduction, weak conduction, and full conduction) in Figure 8. From this figure, it is clear that the level of conduction has a profound effect on the distributions of the ions. Compared to the weakly conductive simulation, we note that the cloud in the fully conductive simulation has more high column density absorbers for almost all ions. This is an effect of the stronger evaporative compression in the fully conductive run, as can be observed in Figure 3.

In Figure 9, we show the ion density and linewidth distributions of simulations at similar Mach numbers $M \approx 3.5$ but with different density contrasts. In the non-conductive simulations, we find that the ion distributions do not depend




Figure 5. Absorption spectra of several ions from a line of sight through the T0.3.v1700.chi300 simulation. This specific line of sight is at an angle of 60° from the direction of the ambient velocity and goes through the rear part of the cloud at a time when $\approx 50\%$ of the cloud mass remains. The total fitted fluxes are marked by dashed lines, and the fitted fluxes of each identified component are marked by dotted lines. The first number after the ion name in the legend is the total $\log N$ for this specific line of sight, the second number is the total $\log N$ for all the fitted components, and the numbers in the parenthesis are those for each individual fitted component.




Figure 6. Absorption spectra from a line of sight through the T0.3.v1700.chi300.cond.0.1 simulation at an angle of 60° from the direction of the ambient velocity at a time when $\approx 50\%$ of the cloud mass remains. The annotations are the same as Figure 5.

strongly on the density contrast apart from an increase in the number of high column density absorbers of H I, Mg II and O II at the highest density contrast of 3000. This is consistent with the finding of Scannapieco & Brüggén (2015), that the scatter between different clouds with the same Mach number but different χ_0 values is small. In the presence of thermal conduction, the impact of the density contrast on the ion distributions is more complex and ion-dependent. Nonetheless, there is an overall shift in column densities from low to high with increasing density contrasts for most ions, possibly owing to stronger evaporative compression.

5.2 Low M_{hot} and χ_0

To explore clouds with very low Mach numbers and density contrasts, we compare the ion distributions of T0.1.v150.chi100 and T0.1.v150.chi100.cond.0.1 with those of T0.3.v1000.chi300 and T0.3.v1000.chi300.cond.0.1, which have the second lowest Mach numbers and density contrasts in our simulations. Because of the lower temperature gradient resulting from the lower density contrast, the thermal conduction in the T0.1.v150.chi100.cond.0.1 simulation is much weaker than in any of our other conductive simulations. This is reflected in the ion distributions: the col-




Figure 7. A comparison of the column density (left column) and line width (right column) distributions of the absorbers in cloud crushing simulations with $\chi = 300$, $z = 0.540$ at t_{50} . The lines of sight are at an angle of 60° from the direction of the ambient flow. The line styles indicate the level of conduction. The area under each column density histogram is equal to the covering area of the corresponding ion, while that under each line width histogram equals 1. The line width distributions only include absorbers with $N_{\text{ion}} > 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-2}$ for the corresponding ions. From top to bottom, the ions are ranked in order of increasing ionization potential.




Figure 8. The column density (left column) and line width (right column) distributions of the absorbers in the T0.3_v1700_chi300 simulations with 3 different levels of conduction: no conduction (blue), weak conduction (green) and full conduction (red). The redshift of the radiation background, the evolutionary time, and the line-of-sight angle are the same as those used for Figure 7.




Figure 9. A comparison of the column density (left column) and line width (right column) distributions of the absorbers in several cloud crushing simulations with $M \approx 3.5$, $z = 0.540$ but with different density contrasts at t_{50} . The lines of sight are at an angle of 60° from the direction of the ambient flow.




Figure 10. The column density (left column) and line width (right column) distributions of the absorbers in T0.1_v150_chi100 and T0.1_v150_chi100_cond.0.1. As a comparison, the clouds with the second lowest Mach number and density contrast, T0.3_v1000_chi300 and T0.3_v1000_chi300_cond.0.1 are also shown. The redshift and projection angle are the same as those in Figure 7. The times are at t_{50} for all simulations.

umn density and line width distributions are almost identical between T0.1_v150_chi100 and T0.1_v150_chi100_cond.0.1 for the low and middle ions, while only the distributions of O VI and Ne VIII are significantly affected by the thermal conduction. Compared to the T0.3_v1000_chi300 clouds, the T0.1_v150_chi100 clouds have fewer high column density absorbers of H I, Mg II and O II, while producing more high column density absorbers of C IV and O IV. These are caused by a more extended mixing layer. Interestingly, in the cases of O VI and Ne VIII, where thermal conduction does make a significant difference in the T0.1_v150_chi100 simulations, its effect on the ion distributions is the opposite from the T0.3_v1000_chi300 simulations. Namely, thermal conduction increases the numbers of absorbers of these ions in the former simulations, while it decreases them in the latter. This likely owes to a difference in the temperature of the evaporated material.

5.3 Time evolution

Figure 11 shows the time evolution of the column density and line width distributions of the absorbers in the T0.3_v1700_chi300 and T0.3_v1700_chi300_cond.0.1 simulations. The line style indicates the remaining mass fraction of the cloud, which we use as a proxy for the cloud's evolutionary stage. We first note that the non-conductive simulation shows very little evolution in the shape of the column density and line width distributions of all ions, with only an overall decrease in the numbers of absorbers across the entire column density range for each ion, as expected when the cloud loses mass. On the other hand, the evolution of the conductive simulation is more interesting. The evaporative compression, which finishes at around t_{90} in the T0.3_v1700_chi300_cond.0.1 simulation, drastically increases the number of high column density absorbers momentarily for the low ions, while the middle and high ions are much less affected, due to the efficient cooling within the dense compressed cloud.

5.4 Projection angles

Figure 12 shows the column density and line width distributions of absorbers in the T0.3_v1700_chi300 and T0.3_v1700_chi300_cond.0.1 simulations at 4 different projection angles. From this figure, it is immediately obvious that between $\theta = 90^\circ$ (edge-on projections) and $\theta = 26^\circ$ ($\cos^{-1} 0.9$), the column density and line width distributions do not depend on the projection angle in the non-conductive simulation. It is only at a head-on angle of $\theta = 0^\circ$ that we see much more high column density absorbers, as expected from the needle-like shape of the cloud. In the conductive simulation, there is a gradual increase in the column densities of absorbers with decreasing θ . However, for most ions there is still a clear distinction between the head-on projection at $\theta = 0^\circ$ and the other three angles. We find that all of our simulations display similar behavior to the ones shown in Figure 12. This might indicate a significant difference in observed cloud properties between down-the-barrel observations and QSO absorption systems, where the clouds are unlikely to be perfectly aligned with the lines of sight.

5.5 Redshift and photoionization

The role photoionization plays in QSO absorption systems has long been recognized and studied in observations (e.g. Tripp et al. 2008; Chisholm et al. 2016), simulations (e.g. Oppenheimer et al. 2018; Appleby et al. 2022) and theoretical calculations (e.g. Strawn et al. 2022). Because of the dependence of the UV radiation background on the redshift, the photoionization rates of the ions are also redshift-dependent. In Figure 13, we show the absorber column density and line width distributions of the clouds in T0.3_v1700_chi300 and T0.3_v1700_chi300_cond.0.1 that are photoionized by the HM12 UV background at several different redshifts between $z = 0.1$ and $z = 5$. As a comparison, we also show the distributions of the same clouds under a zero background, where the ions are only collisionally ionized.

The variation of the HM12 UV background with redshift is shown on the top panel of Figure 4 in Strawn et al. (2022). Going up the redshift ladder, it is clear that the background increases across the entire energy range between $z = 0$ and $z = 2$. From $z = 2$ to $z = 4$, the spectrum decreases slightly between the H I Lyman limit and the He II Lyman series, but dips much further at energies higher than the He II Lyman limit, which lies between the ionization energies of C III and C IV. In Figure 13, we can clearly see the impact of photoionization on the ion distributions. The low ions H I and Mg II are destroyed by photoionization, with the zero background having the largest number of high column density absorbers. For the higher ions O II, Si III and C III, we note that the numbers of high column density absorbers of these ions are much larger with photoionization in both the conductive and non-conductive simulations. This indicates that these ions are predominantly photoionized. The actual dependence on redshift varies between ions. For example, in both simulations the numbers of O II absorbers on the high column density end are only weakly dependent on redshift, possibly owing to charge exchange with H II. Meanwhile, for Si III and C III the numbers of high column density absorbers increase significantly with redshift between $z = 0.101$ and $z = 2.013$, and decrease slightly at $z = 4.895$. This corresponds with the increase and decrease of the flux of UV photons from the HM12 background at these redshifts. Photoionization contributes much less for the higher ions. For C IV and O IV, photoionization is only significant between $z = 1.053$ and $z = 2.013$. Furthermore, O VI and Ne VIII are almost entirely collisionally ionized.

Comparing the line width distributions, we note that an increase in photoionization correlates with an increase in the number of narrow absorbers. We attribute this to the photoionized absorbers having lower temperatures than the collisionally ionized ones.

5.6 Correlations between different ions

In observed QSO absorption systems, ions with different ionization potentials are frequently found to be well aligned. From Figures 5 and 6, it is clear that such alignment occurs in our simulations as well. To investigate this systematically, we devise a way to identify matching absorbers of different ions from each line of sight. In this part of our study, we only include absorbers of each ion with $N_{\text{ion}} > 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. For each pair of ions, which we refer to as ion 1 and ion 2, we go




Figure 11. Time evolution of the column density (left column) and line width (right column) distributions of the absorbers in T0.3_v1700_chi300 and T0.3_v1700_chi300_cond. The redshift and projection angle are the same as those in Figure 7. The times, parameterized by the remaining mass fractions, are marked with different line styles.




Figure 12. The column density and line width distributions of absorbers in the T0.3_v1700_chi300 and T0.3_v1700_chi300_cond.0.1 simulations at $z=0.540$ with 4 different projection angles.




Figure 13. The column density (left column) and line width (right column) distributions of the absorbers in T0.3_v1700_chi300 and T0.3_v1700_chi300_cond_0.1, photoionized by the HM12 UV background at different redshifts, as well as without photoionization (“zero background”). The projection angles are $\theta = 60^\circ$.

through the fitted absorber list of ion 1 in order of increasing velocity offsets. For each ion 1 absorber, we go through the absorber list of ion 2 in the same order until we find an absorber within $\Delta v = 10$ km/s of the ion 1 absorber. The pair is now considered matched, and the ion 2 absorber is removed from our search list. This process is repeated for all ion 1 absorbers.

While it is easy to prove that the pairs matched this way remain unchanged if ion 1 and ion 2 are switched, if one of the ions have two absorbers that are both within 10km/s of an absorber of the other ion, the matching process is always biased toward the one with a lower velocity offset. To mitigate this, we repeat the entire search process in the reverse order in velocity space (i.e. going from high to low velocity offsets). The average number of matched pairs that are found in both orders is subsequently taken as the actual number of matched pairs.

In Figure 14, we plot the matched fraction of each pair of ions in several of our cloud-crushing simulations at $t50$. The color of each grid indicates the probability that an absorber of the ion on the x -axis is matched to another absorber of the ion on the y -axis. The top row shows the matching fractions of ions in three non-conductive simulations with different M and χ_0 values, and the bottom row shows those of their weakly-conductive counterparts. Comparing the upper left parts of all panels, which show the matched fractions of lower ions to higher ones, we note that both the non-conductive and conductive simulations have high fractions of matches between low and medium ions with ionization potentials below that of C IV. The matched fractions between the low ions and higher ions are larger in the non-conductive runs than in their conductive counterparts. However, we note that none of our simulations produce a large number of matching absorbers between the low ions and O VI.

Comparing the lower right parts of the panels on the top row with those on the bottom row, we find that the high ion absorbers in the non-conductive simulation are predominantly isolated, i. e. not matched to absorbers of lower ions. On the other hand, the matching probability of absorbers of high ions to those of low ions is much higher in the conductive simulations. It is interesting that the ion-matching patterns depend much more strongly on the thermal conduction than the Mach number or the initial density contrast. The other simulations, which are not shown in Figure 14, also follow very similar trends.

Interesting patterns can also be seen in the column density and line width co-distributions of these matching pairs. In Figure 15, we plot the co-distributions of the line widths of matching absorbers between H I and other ions. Here we treat pairs of absorbers matched in the order of increasing velocity offset and those matched in the reverse order as independent, separate matches. On the bottom panels, the green dashed lines indicate matched absorbers with equal line widths, and the orange dashed lines denote matched absorbers that are completely thermally broadened with the same temperature for both ions. We find that the non-conductive simulation shows a very clear high-line width streak in the co-distributions of the line widths of matched absorbers between H I and ions with ionization potentials higher than Mg II. For ions below O IV, this streak clearly follows the equal-temperature orange line, meaning that these absorbers are thermally-broadened with equal temperatures for both

ions. For O IV and O VI, there seems to be more kinematic broadening. While there are also a few pairs of absorbers in T0.3_v1700_chi300_cond_0.1 on this line, they occur much less frequently than those in T0.3_v1700_chi300. These streaks can also be seen in the column density co-distributions on the top panels, where they appear on the bottom. We attribute them to the fragments inside the mixing layer in the non-conductive simulation, which have lower densities than the core, allowing the temperatures to stay higher, but not hot enough to completely destroy these ions.

6 CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this work, we conduct a series of cloud-crushing simulations similar to those carried out by Scannapieco & Brüggén (2015) and Brüggén & Scannapieco (2016), but with lower cloud densities that match the CGM of a Milky Way-like galaxy. These are characterized by two dimensionless numbers: the initial density contrast, χ_0 , and the Mach number of the ambient flow, M . In addition, we run our simulations with three different levels of conduction: no conduction, full Spitzer conduction and weak conduction, which has a reduction factor of $\eta = 0.1$ from Spitzer (see Equation 5).

For each simulation, we compute the ionization fractions of various ions and generate ion maps and mock absorption spectra from our simulations. We find that different ions reside in different regions in our clouds. In particular, low ions concentrate at the center of the clouds, while higher ones are more spread out and extend to the edge of the clouds. The mock spectra generated from our simulations show the coexistence of multiple ions with vastly different ionization potentials. However, our models are unable to generate a significant amount of O VI, which is sometimes found to coexist with H I and other low ions in observed absorption systems.

Through fitting the mock absorption spectra, we obtain lists of absorbers and study the statistical distributions of their column densities and line widths. We find a significant impact of thermal conduction on the ion distributions in most of our simulations, the concrete form of which depends on the Mach number and the density contrast. The only exceptions are the simulations with the lowest Mach numbers and density contrasts, T0.1_v150_chi100 and T0.1_v150_chi100_cond_0.1, where only the high ions O VI and Ne VIII are affected by thermal conduction. Tracking their evolution, we find that the ion column density distributions of the clouds in the non-conductive simulations do not significantly evolve, while those in their conductive counterparts vary more over time, in accordance with the initial evaporative compression and subsequent stretching of the clouds. In addition, we also explore the dependence of the distributions on the projection angles, and find a clear distinction between the head-on projections ($\theta = 0^\circ$) and all other directions in both the conductive and non-conductive simulations.

By comparing the column densities of absorbers exposed to the UV ionization backgrounds at different redshifts, as well as those in the absence of photoionization, we can determine the contribution of photoionization to each ion. In all of our simulations, the low ionization potentials ions H I and Mg II are destroyed by photoionization, while the number of high column density absorbers with ionization potentials between those of O II and C III are significantly increased by




Figure 14. The matching probabilities of ions in 3 of our non-conductive cloud-crushing simulations (top row) and their weakly conductive counterparts (bottom row) at $t50$, $z = 0.540$ and a projection angle of $\theta = 60^\circ$ from the direction of the ambient flow. From left to right, the Mach numbers and initial density contrasts of the runs in each column are $(M, \chi_0) = (3.8, 300)$, $(6.5, 300)$ and $(3.5, 1000)$, respectively. The color of each grid cell indicates the fraction of absorbers of the ion on the x -axis that is matched to those of the ion on the y -axis.




Figure 15. Co-distributions of the column densities and line widths of matching absorbers between H I and other ions in the T0.3_v1700_chi300 and T0.3_v1700_chi300_cond.0.1 simulations at $t50$, $z = 0.540$ and a projection angle of $\theta = 60^\circ$. As a reference, two dashed lines are plotted on each panel, indicating absorbers with equal line widths (green lines) and thermally broadened absorbers with the same temperature (orange lines).

photoionization. We also find that the high ions O VI and Ne VIII are almost entirely collisionally ionized and unaffected by the ionization background.

We have emphasized the impact the various parameters have on the column density and line width distributions of the ions in our clouds. However, it is also worth noting that, with the exception of the T0.3_v3000_chi300_cond.0.1 simulation,

in which the morphology of the cloud is significantly different due to inefficient cooling, the shapes of the column density and line-width distributions are quite similar across all of our simulations at the same conduction level.

We also explored the correlation between absorbers of different ions in the same absorption systems. We find that a significant fraction of low ion absorbers have matching mid-

dle ion absorbers with a velocity offset within $\Delta v = 10\text{km/s}$. However, as with the mock absorption lines, there is a lack of high ion absorbers that are matched with the low ions in our simulations. We also find a clear separation between absorbers in the center regions and those in the fragments of the clouds in the non-conductive simulations, indicating that the fragments have higher temperatures and lower densities.

Our results can provide a physical foundation for interpreting QSO absorption observations. As discussed in §4.2, when comparing our results with observations, the column density histograms of our absorbers can be horizontally shifted, depending on the mass column densities and metallicities of the observed clouds, as well as the ionization background. It is clear that our clouds display the coexistence of ions with vastly different ionization potentials, both from individual absorption spectra, such as those shown in Figures 5 and 6, and the matched fractions between low and middle ions shown in Figure 14. However, our simulations are unable to explain the absorption systems with aligned H I and O VI that have been widely observed.

One possible explanation is that the clouds in these observed galaxies have larger sizes and masses than our clouds, thereby requiring an upscaling of the column densities of metal ions. In addition, we have used a solar metallicity throughout our study, while in observed absorption systems, the clouds can have super-solar metallicities, which results in more O VI. Another possible factor that affects the coexistence of low and high ions is the conduction model. For example, ? performed a series of anisotropically conductive cloud-crushing simulations, where anisotropy in the thermal conduction was introduced through the effect of magnetic fields, and found that the morphology of the clouds were significantly altered by the anisotropic conduction. In some cases, their clouds displayed a wider range of ρ and T , which could help in having low and high excitation ions coexist. Alternatively, these observed O VI lines may originate from the hot phase of the CGM that is somehow aligned with the clouds. It is also possible that these lines come from collections of clouds that travel together and have similar velocity offsets as a result. Even though each cloud does not contain an observable amount of O VI, a quasar sightline might go through multiple clouds and the combined column density can be much higher.

In future work, we will establish a routine that translates our results to cosmological simulations that use physics-driven, multiphase wind models, such as the PhEW model. This will enable us to study the ion distributions in the CGM of the galaxies in these simulations while properly accounting for the multiphase and non-uniform nature of the clouds in the outflows. Another question we find worthwhile for future studies is to address how the cooling efficiency affects the evolution of the clouds. As discussed in §3, some of our clouds have cooling time scales that are comparable with their cloud crushing times both in their mixing layers and in their cores. It is clear that the evolution of the clouds is affected by the inefficient cooling in these cases. A systematic exploration of this will help establish a criterion for the conditions under which cool clouds are able to survive in the CGM and provide insights on the physical properties of the surviving clouds.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to acknowledge J'Neil Cottle and Todd Tripp for helpful discussions that greatly improved this manuscript. NSK and LY acknowledge support by NASA ATP grant 80NSSC18K1016. NSK also acknowledges funding from NSF grant AST-2205725. ES acknowledges support by NASA grant 80NSSC25PA052. MB acknowledges support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under Germany's Excellence Strategy - EXC 2121 "Quantum Universe" - 390833306 and from the BMBF ErUM-Pro grant 05A2023. The work includes simulations run on NASA High-End Computing resources. The analyses of our simulations were performed on the Unity cluster at the Massachusetts Green High Performance Computing Center (MGHPCC).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, M. E., Gaspari, M., White, S. D. M., Wang, W., & Dai, X. 2015, *MNRAS*, 449, 3806, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv437](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv437)
- Appleby, S., Davé, R., Sorini, D., Storey-Fisher, K., & Smith, B. 2021, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 507, 2383, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stab2310](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab2310)
- Appleby, S., Davé, R., Sorini, D., Cui, W., & Christiansen, J. 2022, *The Physical Nature of Circumgalactic Medium Absorbers in Simba*, arXiv, doi: [10.48550/ARXIV.2207.04068](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2207.04068)
- Banda-Barragán, W. E., Brüggén, M., Federrath, C., et al. 2020, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 499, 2173, doi: [10.1093/mnras/staa2904](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa2904)
- Banda-Barragán, W. E., Brüggén, M., Heesen, V., et al. 2021, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 506, 5658, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stab1884](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab1884)
- Banda-Barragán, W. E., Zertuche, F. J., Federrath, C., et al. 2019, *Mon Not R Astron Soc*, 486, 4526. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1040>
- Baur, J., Palanque-Delabrouille, N., Yèche, C., Magneville, C., & Viel, M. 2016, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 2016, 012, doi: [10.1088/1475-7516/2016/08/012](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2016/08/012)
- Bechtold, J. 2001, doi: [10.48550/ARXIV.ASTRO-PH/0112521](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.ASTRO-PH/0112521)
- Birkinshaw, M. 1999, *Physics Reports*, 310, 97. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370157398000805>
- Bordoloi, R., Prochaska, J. X., Tumlinson, J., et al. 2018, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 864, 132, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aad8ac](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aad8ac)
- Brüggén, M., & Scannapieco, E. 2016, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 822, 31. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/822/1/31>
- Chatzikos, M., Bianchi, S., Camilloni, F., et al. 2023, *Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis.*, 59, 327, doi: [10.22201/ia.01851101p.2023.59.02.12](https://doi.org/10.22201/ia.01851101p.2023.59.02.12)
- Chen, H.-W., Wild, V., Tinker, J. L., et al. 2010, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 724, L176, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/724/2/L176](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/724/2/L176)
- Chisholm, J., Bordoloi, R., Rigby, J. R., & Bayliss, M. 2018, *Mon Not R Astron Soc*, 474, 1688. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx2848>
- Chisholm, J., Tremonti, C. A., Leitherer, C., Chen, Y., & Wofford, A. 2016, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 457, 3133, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw178](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw178)
- Churchill, C. W., Nielsen, N. M., Kacprzak, G. G., & Trujillo-Gomez, S. 2013, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 763, L42, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/763/2/L42](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/763/2/L42)

- Cottle, J. N., Scannapieco, E., & Brügggen, M. 2018, *ApJ*, 864, 96, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aad55c](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aad55c)
- Danforth, C. W., & Shull, J. M. 2008, *ApJ*, 679, 194, doi: [10.1086/587127](https://doi.org/10.1086/587127)
- Danforth, C. W., Keeney, B. A., Tilton, E. M., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 817, 111, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/817/2/111](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/817/2/111)
- Davé, R., Oppenheimer, B. D., Katz, N., Kollmeier, J. A., & Weinberg, D. H. 2010, *Mon Not R Astron Soc*, 408, 2051, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17279.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17279.x)
- de la Cruz, L. M., Schneider, E. E., & Ostriker, E. C. 2021, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 919, 112, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ac04ac](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac04ac)
- Di Matteo, T., Springel, V., & Hernquist, L. 2005, *Nature*, 433, 604, doi: [10.1038/nature03335](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03335)
- Fabian, A. C. 2012, *ARA&A*, 50, 455, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-081811-125521](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081811-125521)
- Faerman, Y., Pandya, V., Somerville, R. S., & Sternberg, A. 2022, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 928, 37, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ac4ca6](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac4ca6)
- Ford, A. B., Davé, R., Oppenheimer, B. D., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 444, 1260, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu1418](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu1418)
- Ford, A. B., Oppenheimer, B. D., Davé, R., et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, 432, 89, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt393](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt393)
- Ford, A. B., Werk, J. K., Davé, R., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 459, 1745, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw595](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw595)
- Fryxell, B., Olson, K., Ricker, P., et al. 2000, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 131, 273. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/317361>
- Haardt, F., & Madau, P. 2012, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 746, 125. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/746/2/125>
- Haislmaier, K. J., Tripp, T. M., Katz, N., et al. 2020, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 502, 4993, doi: [10.1093/mnras/staa3544](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa3544)
- Heckman, T. M., Armus, L., & Miley, G. K. 1990, *ApJS*, 74, 833, doi: [10.1086/191522](https://doi.org/10.1086/191522)
- Hernquist, L., Katz, N., Weinberg, D. H., & Miralda-Escudé, J. 1996, *ApJ*, 457, L51, doi: [10.1086/309899](https://doi.org/10.1086/309899)
- Huang, S., Katz, N., Cottle, J., et al. 2021, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2106.01511. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.01511>
- Huang, S., Katz, N., Scannapieco, E., et al. 2020, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 2586. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa1978>
- Hummels, C. B., Bryan, G. L., Smith, B. D., & Turk, M. J. 2013, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 430, 1548, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sts702](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sts702)
- Hummels, C. B., Smith, B. D., & Silvia, D. W. 2017, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 847, 59. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa7e2d>
- Johnson, S. D., Chen, H.-W., Mulchaey, J. S., Schaye, J., & Straka, L. A. 2017, *ApJ*, 850, L10, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aa9370](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa9370)
- Kauffmann, G., Nelson, D., Borthakur, S., et al. 2019, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 486, 4686, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz1029](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1029)
- King, A., & Pounds, K. 2015, *ARA&A*, 53, 115, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-082214-122316](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-082214-122316)
- Kirkman, D., Tytler, D., Lubin, D., & Charlton, J. 2007, *MNRAS*, 376, 1227, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11502.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11502.x)
- Klein, R. I., McKee, C. F., & Colella, P. 1994, *ApJ*, 420, 213, doi: [10.1086/173554](https://doi.org/10.1086/173554)
- Lim, S. H., Barnes, D., Vogelsberger, M., et al. 2020, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 504, 5131, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stab1172](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab1172)
- Lochhaas, C., Tumlinson, J., Peebles, M. S., et al. 2023, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 948, 43, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/acbb06](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acbb06)
- McCourt, M., Oh, S. P., O'Leary, R., & Madigan, A.-M. 2018, *Mon Not R Astron Soc*, 473, 5407. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx2687>
- Meiring, J. D., Tripp, T. M., Werk, J. K., et al. 2013, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 767, 49, doi: [10.1088/0004-637x/767/1/49](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637x/767/1/49)
- Mo, H., Van den Bosch, F., & White, S. 2010, *Galaxy formation and evolution* (Cambridge University Press)
- Oort, J. 1981, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, Vol. 94, P. 359, 1981, 94, 359
- Oppenheimer, B. D., & Davé, R. 2006, *Mon Not R Astron Soc*, 373, 1265. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10989.x>
- Oppenheimer, B. D., Schaye, J., Crain, R. A., Werk, J. K., & Richings, A. J. 2018, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 481, 835, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty2281](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2281)
- Orlando, S., Peres, G., Reale, F., et al. 2005, *A&A*, 444, 505, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20052896](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20052896)
- Putman, M. E., Peek, J. E. G., & Joung, M. R. 2012, *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 491. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081811-125612>
- Reed, D., Gardner, J., Quinn, T., et al. 2003, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 346, 565, doi: [10.1046/j.1365-2966.2003.07113.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2966.2003.07113.x)
- Rudie, G. C., Steidel, C. C., Pettini, M., et al. 2019, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 885, 61, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab4255](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab4255)
- Scannapieco, E., & Brügggen, M. 2015, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 805, 158. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/805/2/158>
- Scannapieco, E., & Oh, S. P. 2004, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 608, 62, doi: [10.1086/386542](https://doi.org/10.1086/386542)
- Schneider, E. E., & Robertson, B. E. 2017, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 834, 144, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/834/2/144](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/834/2/144)
- Seljak, U., Slosar, A., & McDonald, P. 2006, doi: [10.48550/ARXIV.ASTRO-PH/0604335](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.48550/ARXIV.ASTRO-PH/0604335)
- Stocke, J. T., Keeney, B. A., Danforth, C. W., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 763, 148, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/763/2/148](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/763/2/148)
- Strawn, C., Roca-Fàbrega, S., & Primack, J. 2022, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 519, 1, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stac3567](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac3567)
- Tremonti, C. A., Heckman, T. M., Kauffmann, G., et al. 2004, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 613, 898, doi: [10.1086/423264](https://doi.org/10.1086/423264)
- Tripp, T. M., Sembach, K. R., Bowen, D. V., et al. 2008, *ApJS*, 177, 39, doi: [10.1086/587486](https://doi.org/10.1086/587486)
- Tripp, T. M., Meiring, J. D., Prochaska, J. X., et al. 2011, *Science*, 334, 952, doi: [10.1126/science.1209850](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1209850)
- Tumlinson, J., Peebles, M. S., & Werk, J. K. 2017, *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 389. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-091916-055240>
- Tumlinson, J., Thom, C., Werk, J. K., et al. 2011, *Science*, 948. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1209840>
- Turk, M. J., Smith, B. D., Oishi, J. S., et al. 2011, *ApJS*, 192, 9, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/192/1/9](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/192/1/9)
- Wang, L., Yang, X., Shen, S., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 439, 611, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt2481](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt2481)
- Weinberg, D. H. 2003, in *AIP Conference Proceedings* (AIP), doi: [10.1063/1.1581786](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1581786)
- Werk, J. K., Prochaska, J. X., Tumlinson, J., et al. 2014, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 792, 8, doi: [10.1088/0004-637x/792/1/8](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637x/792/1/8)
- Xu, J., & Stone, J. M. 1995, *ApJ*, 454, 172, doi: [10.1086/176475](https://doi.org/10.1086/176475)
- Zahedy, F. S., Chen, H.-W., Cooper, T. M., et al. 2021, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 506, 877, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stab1661](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab1661)

APPENDIX A: DENSITY OF A CLOUD IN THE CGM

Using a halo overdensity $\Delta_h = 200/\Omega_m$, the radius of a halo is given by

$$r_h = \left(\frac{GM_h}{100H^2} \right)^{1/3} = 206.3 \text{ kpc} \cdot \left(\frac{M_{h,12}}{\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda} \right)^{1/3},$$

z	$[\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda]^{4/3} \cdot 10^{-2/3 \cdot z}$
0	1
0.5	0.95
1	0.97
2	0.84
4	0.27

Table A1. Redshift dependence of the pressure ratio.

where $M_{h,12} = M_h/10^{12} M_\odot$ and we adopt a Hubble parameter of $h = 0.7$. Assuming the CGM follows an inverse square law distribution truncated at r_h , the CGM density profile is then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\text{CGM}} &= \frac{M_{\text{CGM}}}{4\pi r_h r^2} \\ &= 6.134 \times 10^{-29} \text{ g/cm}^3 \cdot M_{\text{CGM},11} \cdot x^{-2} \cdot \frac{\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}{M_{h,12}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_{\text{CGM},11} = M_{\text{CGM}}/10^{11} M_\odot$ and $x = r/r_h$. From equation (8.45) of [Mo et al. \(2010\)](#), the virial temperature of a truncated isothermal sphere equals

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\text{vir}} &= 3.6 \times 10^5 \text{ K} \cdot \left(\frac{v_c}{100 \text{ km/s}} \right)^2 \\ &= 7.51 \times 10^5 \text{ K} \cdot M_{h,12}^{2/3} \cdot [\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda]^{1/3}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that both the CGM and the cloud are fully ionized and in thermal pressure equilibrium, we therefore have, for a cloud at a temperature of 10^4 K ,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_c &= \frac{\rho_{\text{CGM}} T_{\text{vir}}}{T_c} \\ &= 4.61 \times 10^{-27} \text{ g/cm}^3 \cdot M_{\text{CGM},11} \cdot M_{h,12}^{-1/3} \cdot x^{-2} \cdot [\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda]^{4/3}. \end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

According to [Faerman et al. \(2022\)](#), the Milky Way, with a halo mass of $\sim 10^{12} M_\odot$, has a nominal hot CGM mass of $\approx 5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$. Substituting these numbers, the density of a cloud at $0.5r_h$ and $z = 0$ is $9.2 \times 10^{-27} \text{ g/cm}^3$. For a $5 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$ halo, if we assume that the CGM mass is half the Milky Way value, the density of a cloud at $0.5r_h$ equals $5.81 \times 10^{-27} \text{ g/cm}^3$. The redshift dependence is harder to obtain, since the halo mass itself changes with time. From Figure 1 of [Reed et al. \(2003\)](#), at $z = 0 \sim 4$ the characteristic mass in the Press-Schechter formalism, M_* , roughly scales with z as $M_* \propto 10^{-z}$. Assuming a fixed CGM to halo mass ratio, an additional redshift-dependent factor of $10^{-2/3 \cdot z}$ needs to multiply to Equation A1 for abundance matching. We tabulate the full redshift dependence at a few different redshifts in Table A1.

It is, therefore, clear that $\rho_c = 10^{-26} \text{ g/cm}^3$ is a fairly good approximation for clouds in the CGM of Milky Way-like galaxies between redshifts 0 and 2. However, we also note that different works have different definitions of the virial temperature, varying by a factor of ≈ 2 . In addition, the range of the CGM mass of the Milky Way proposed by [Faerman et al. \(2022\)](#) is quite wide, from $(3-10) \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, with $5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ only being a ‘‘nominal’’ value.