

# Easy remote observations using web interfaces: controlling an Italian telescope from Japan, and more

Davide Ricci<sup>a,\*</sup>, Lorenzo Cabona<sup>b</sup>, Bernardo Salasnich<sup>a</sup>, Luciano Nicastro<sup>c</sup>, Luca Fini<sup>d</sup>,  
Andrea Damonte<sup>e</sup>, Silvano Tosi<sup>f,g,b</sup>, and Takashi Shibata<sup>h</sup>

<sup>a</sup>INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Vicolo dell'Osservatorio 5, 35122 Padova, (Italy).

<sup>b</sup>INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, Via E. Bianchi 46, 23807, Merate, (Italy).

<sup>c</sup>INAF - Osservatorio di Astrofisica e Scienza dello Spazio, via P. Gobetti 93/3, I-40129  
Bologna, (Italy).

<sup>d</sup>INAF - Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, Largo Enrico Fermi, 5, I-50125 Firenze, (Italy).

<sup>e</sup>INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Palermo "Giuseppe Salvatore Vaiana", Piazza del  
Parlamento 1, 90134 Palermo (Italy).

<sup>f</sup>Università degli Studi di Genova, DIFI Dipartimento di Fisica, Via Dodecaneso 33, 16146,  
Genova, (Italy).

<sup>g</sup>INFN-Sezione di Genova, Via Dodecaneso 33, 16146 Genova, (Italy).

<sup>h</sup>National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 2 Chome-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588  
(Japan).

## ABSTRACT

Remote observations are often limited by user interfaces, which seem frozen to another computer era: low performances, outdated programming languages, command-line scripting, high version-dependent software. Instead, web-based tools are standard: using nothing more than a browser, astronomers can interact with a generic observatory in a native cross-platform, remote-born way. We used this approach while advancing in the remotization of the 1m-class OARPAF telescope, located in Northern Italy. The web-based control software provides easy and integrated management of its components. This solution can be exported not only to similar hardware/software facilities, but also to large instruments such as SHARK-NIR at LBT; not only for single operations, but also for procedure scripting. In this contribution we describe our best practices and present two recent, orthogonal use cases: an in-place professional use for exoplanetary transit follow-ups, and the first remote control of the telescope from a Japanese high school, allowing students to independently observe, in their daytime, globular clusters.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Instrument Control Software (INS) is one of the key components of astronomical instrumentation. It manages and coordinates all instrument components, such as: motors, calibration lamps, eventual Adaptive Optics modules, image generation and reconstruction, and sometimes the telescope interface and the image archiving process.

Large international organizations, such as ESO or ALMA, developed specific frameworks which are used for the development of the INS of their instrumentation, while other observatories, such as LBTO, use more flexible approaches. The latter allows us to experiment new solutions, both tailored on the characteristics of a specific instrument or inherited from common components.

It is the case of LBTI and the recently installed SHARK-NIR instruments at LBT. They share the `TwiceAsNice` framework developed by MPIA for motors, but use separate, custom software developed within INAF for the control of the remaining components.<sup>1</sup>

Concerning control interfaces, LBTO is moving forward to web applications for its telescope pointing system, and suggested the web way also to control SHARK-NIR. Recent updates are being presented in this conference,<sup>2</sup>

---

\*Contact information: [davide.ricci@inaf.it](mailto:davide.ricci@inaf.it)




Figure 1. The OARPAF Control panel.

and are based on an approach developed and tested on the OARPAF (Osservatorio Astronomico Regionale Parco Antola Comune di Fascia) 1m class telescope in Northern Italy.<sup>3</sup>

Here we present the next step of this journey: a software generalization that we hope will encourage its adoption in other similar-class facilities. In the first part we show how to set up from scratch the control software of a dummy observatory by editing configuration files; it can then be operated locally using Python scripts and remotely using REST APIs. In the second part we show how we implemented the control software at OARPAF, building on top of this a web-based control interface. Then, we show a use case of remote control.

## 2. CONFIGURING AN OBSERVATORY CONTROL SOFTWARE FROM SCRATCH

The control software concept presented in this contribution was initially tailored on the OARPAF available elements. In particular, limiting to the main devices: 1. the telescope control is based on `telnet` commands following the proprietary `OpenTSI` protocol. A proprietary `ASCOM` driver for the telescope was also purchased from the Astelco telescope company; 2. the dome control is based on the proprietary `OmegaLab` software, which is also exposed as `ASCOM` device; 3. the imaging control system (CCD and filter wheel), and the separate monitoring IP camera, are based on `HTTP` APIs provided by the respective vendors. 4. `SoftOff` switches allow the CCD camera power to be controlled via `HTTP` APIs. On the basis of this, we initially used the `ASCOM Remote` component, which is essentially a web server exposing `ASCOM` methods as `REST` APIs in a parallel standard called `Alpaca`, and we interfaced telescope and dome to it. We then built: a `python` layer to control all these individual devices; a series of scripts to group common operations in a “ESO-style” Templates and Observation Blocks (OBs) pattern; finally we built our own common `REST` APIs for all the devices. On top of this, we developed web panels to control the devices (see Fig. 2), and to edit and launch OBs. Details of these steps are given in our previous contribution.<sup>3</sup>

The first upgrade came from the idea to get rid of the buffer Windows pc that run the `ASCOM Remote` server; then we developed our own `OpenTSI`-based `python` interface to the telescope, instead of relying on the `ASCOM` driver. With the same aim, we adopted a custom `python` daemon to control the dome hardware, exposed as a native `Alpaca` device, which was initially developed for a same class dome in use at the OPC observatory. Effort are in progress to support the OPC telescope, based on `LX200` commands, and to develop a common software layer for both observatories. Our goal is to try to involve observers who can share compatible or totally different components within this project. Among the first we could typically list the dome electronics and the related software. On the other hand, scientific cameras have their own specific protocols and interfaces. Involving as

many contributors as possible would allow us to build increasingly generic interfaces and consequently expand the user community.

Following this pattern, the code provides an easy customization via INI configuration files. In this example, we assume to configure an observatory composed by 1. an ASCOM dome; 2. an ASCOM telescope, 3. a SonOff switch. We first fill the `config/nodes.ini` configuration file, to specify where the devices can be found. In our example, two different addresses are inserted. Then, in the `config/devices.ini` configuration file, we associate each device to a node, specifying the device type and class (for example an “Alpaca Telescope”):

```
# config/nodes.ini                                     # config/devices.ini

[MY_ASCOM_REMOTE_SERVER]                               [my_tel]
protocol = http                                       module = alpaca
ip = 192.168.1.111                                   class = Telescope
hostname = ascom.myobservatory.net                   node = MY_ASCOM_REMOTE_SERVER
port = 533
endpoint = /api/v1

[MY_SONOFF_ADDRESS]                                   [my_dome]
protocol = https                                      module = alpaca
user = admin                                          class = Dome
password = admin                                     node = MY_ASCOM_REMOTE_SERVER
ip = 192.168.1.222
hostname = sonoff.myobservatory.net
port = 1234

[my_light]
module = domotics
class = Switch
node = MY_SONOFF_ADDRESS
outlet = 3
```

With this simple configuration, it is already possible to control the devices using a python script, or directly from a python console, using a series of *pythonic* getter/setter methods:

```
import devices
devices.my_dome.azimuth # gets current value, i.e. 123
devices.my_dome.azimuth = 234 # moves the dome to the new value
```

Scripts can also be organized into `Template` classes, inheriting from the `BaseTemplate` class. This allow us to invoke them from the `sequencer.py` script, passing parameters in JSON Observation Blocks that may contain one or more template instances. In the following example, we provide a very dummy `Template` script to change the dome azimuth, called `templates/change_az.py`. Of course, scripts are expected to manage more complex, multi-device operations. Then, we show the corresponding Observation Block parameter file (`ob/my_azimuth_update.json`), and the sequencer call:

```
# templates/change_az.py                               // ob/my_azimuth_update.json
import devices                                         [
from templates.basetemplate import BaseTemplate      {"template" : "change_az",
                                                    "params": { "azimuth": 234 }
                                                    }
class Template(BaseTemplate):                          ]
    def content(self, params):
        current_az = devices.my_dome.azimuth
        next_az = params["azimuth"] # 234
        devices.my_dome.azimuth = next_az

# Running the Observation Block in the console
./sequencer.py ob/my_azimuth_update.json
```

This series of examples show a basic use case of the control software concept. It allows us to operate locally at the device level using a python console, or building and run custom scripts, or building `Template`-like scripts and

running them using a sequencer. Of course, as each observatory has its own configuration, all templates must be customized.

Another control layer allows us to configure REST APIs for remote control at device or template level. It is sufficient to edit an additional configuration file, `config/api.ini`, choosing the desired endpoints and specifying the API resource with the corresponding device. This layer allows us not only to remotely control devices with an HTTP call, but also adds additional information in a JSON response, with respect to the `python` layer, such as grouped values, a timestamp and a dictionary-parsed output. Once completed, endpoints can be accessed after starting the API server with the command `./app.py 1111 localhost --noweb`. In the following example we suggest some endpoints, we show the output of a getter using the `curl` UNIX command, an example of a setter command, and a specific “bulk GET call” that retrieves all endpoints of a first level nesting in once:

```
# config/api.ini

[/dome/lamp]
resource = State
device = my_light
priority = 1

[/alias/to/the/switch]
resource = State
device = my_light

[/dome/position]
resource = Position
device = my_dome

[/telescope/coordinates]
resource = Coordinates
device = my_tel

# Get azimuth
curl http://localhost:1111/api/dome/position

# Returned JSON example:
# {"timestamp" : "2024-03-26T16:48:13.766195"
# "error" : [],
# "raw" : False, # is parked?
# "response" : {
#   "azimuth" : 123.00,
#   "parked" : "No"
# },
# }

# Change azimuth
curl -X POST http://localhost:1111/api/dome/position \
      -d '234' -H 'Content-Type:application/json'

# Retrieve all GET endpoints relative to the dome
curl http://localhost:1111/all/dome
```

The next step foresees to generalize, via configuration file, also a web snippet for each API resource, in order to provide an easy set up of a default web page.

In the case of OARPAF, we built a custom web page. We use the “bulk GET endpoints” to build JSON statuses that are periodically sent to the web page using websockets. Then, we interrogate APIs for the control. FITS files obtained by the camera are currently visualized using JS9, which sends data in binary format and renders them with a web worker in a html canvas.

We are developing a `WebGL` custom solution, and we will test sending the data as an `ArrayBuffer`, omitting the least significant byte of each pixel to reduce the transfer size, sacrificing some display counting accuracy for speed purposes.

In the next Section we show an example of remote control at OARPAF using the custom web interface.

### 3. REMOTE CONTROL USE CASE

Kōryō High School is an institute of the Hokuto city in the Yamanashi prefecture, offering astronomy as side activity in the framework of its “Science Club”. We organized a remote observation at OARPAF, conducted by several students directly from their classroom. Students preliminarily discussed the science case, choosing the multi-filter study of the Globular Cluster M13, and formalised it into an “observation proposal” complemented by a visibility chart and the scientific goals.

They then connected at 8:00 JST, corresponding to 23:00 UTC, or 1:00 CET, using a 4G mobile hotspot to optimize internet connection speed. Then, they remotely controlled the telescope cover, the dome shutter, the




Figure 2. Left: Remote OARPAF observations driven by Kōryō High School students. Right: Color-Magnitude produced by the students; blue: M13 obtained at OARPAF; orange: literature data.

telescope pointing. After that they run a focus procedure, and finally took three 180s frames in the  $V$  filter and three 180s frames in the  $I$  filter, displaying each FITS file in the JS9 instance of the control web page, all with a limited lag due to the data file transfer (around 30s for each 33MB of a full frame image). Operations ended at sunrise, i.e. around 12:00 JST = 03:00 UTC = 05:00 CET.

They decided to carry out a very rough photometry estimation of  $\approx 200$  stars using the ring options of `ds9`, and choosing as photometric standards isolated bright stars of the cluster for which the Simbad catalog provided both  $V$  and  $I$  magnitude. Finally, they compared the results in a Color-Magnitude Diagram (see Fig. 3) with respect to literature data<sup>a</sup> of another Globular Cluster, M3.

The students presented the results of the observing night at the *44th Yamanashi Prefecture High School Arts and Culture Festival Natural Science Division “Student Natural Science Research Presentation Competition”*, and have been awarded with the second place over 50 groups and a total of 200 high school participants. This allowed us to validate our web-based approach for a  $\approx 10\,000$  km distance use case, confirming its usability and paving the foundation for a further iteration of the collaboration, and in general for activities in the third mission sector.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In this work we presented the progresses in generalizing the control software we are implementing for the OARPAF 1m-class observatory towards other observatories. The main effort consisted in providing a simple set of configuration files to set up supported devices and control them locally using `python` (individually or using OBs based on Template scripts), and remotely using REST APIs. We developed a custom web-based client interface for OARPAF, based on websockets for periodic status check and API calls for control. We aim at providing in the future a common, default web control interface customizable by editing a configuration file. This remote control system has been successfully operated by Japanese High School students in the framework of a science project, which confirms it to be a valid approach not only for a professional use but also for outreach activities.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

DR acknowledges Comitato Interministeriale per la Programmazione Economica (C93C23008400001).

<sup>a</sup><https://www.astroarts.co.jp/alacarte/messier/index-j.shtml>

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ricci, D., Laudisio, F., Chavan, S., De Pascale, M., and Baruffolo, A., “Improvements to SHINS, the SHARK-NIR instrument software, during the AIT phase,” in [*Software and Cyberinfrastructure for Astronomy VII*], *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **12189**, 1218920 (Aug. 2022).
- [2] Lorenzetto, A., “SHARK-NIR Instrument Control Software: new features and tools approaching science runs ,” in [*Software and Cyberinfrastructure for Astronomy VIII*], Proc. SPIE **13101-143** (2024).
- [3] Ricci, D., Cabona, L., Tosi, S., and Zappatore, S., “Toward the remotization and robotization of the OARPAF Telescope,” in [*Observatory Operations: Strategies, Processes, and Systems IX*], Adler, D. S., Seaman, R. L., and Benn, C. R., eds., *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **12186**, 121860P (Aug. 2022).