

ON THE NON-VANISHING OF POINCARÉ SERIES ON IRREDUCIBLE BOUNDED SYMMETRIC DOMAINS

SONJA ŽUNAR

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{D} \equiv G/K$ be an irreducible bounded symmetric domain. Using a vector-valued version of Muić's integral non-vanishing criterion for Poincaré series on locally compact Hausdorff groups, we study the non-vanishing of holomorphic automorphic forms on \mathcal{D} that are given by Poincaré series of polynomial type and correspond via the classical lift to the Poincaré series of certain K -finite matrix coefficients of integrable discrete series representations of G . We provide an example application of our results in the case when $G = \mathrm{SU}(p, q)$ and $K = \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(p) \times \mathrm{U}(q))$ with $p \geq q \geq 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Holomorphic automorphic forms on bounded symmetric domains have long sparked the interest of researchers in representation theory and number theory (see, e.g., [Gar83, Fot07, AllBar19]). In [Car35], É. Cartan proved that every irreducible bounded symmetric domain \mathcal{D} is biholomorphic to an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space G/K , where G is one of the Lie groups $\mathrm{SU}(p, q)$, $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)$, and $\mathrm{SO}_o(n, 2)$ with suitable $p, q, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ or one of the exceptional Lie groups $E_{6(-14)}$ and $E_{7(-25)}$, and K is a maximal compact subgroup of G [Hel78, Ch. X, §6.3]. In the case when $G = \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$, the domain \mathcal{D} is biholomorphic to the Siegel upper half-space \mathcal{H}_n of degree n . Other types of irreducible bounded symmetric domains have analogous unbounded realizations as Siegel domains of the first and second kind [PyaSha69].

In [Žun24], we used G. Muić's integral non-vanishing criterion for Poincaré series on locally compact Hausdorff groups to study the non-vanishing of vector-valued Siegel cusp forms on \mathcal{H}_n that lift in a standard way to the Poincaré series of certain K -finite matrix coefficients of integrable discrete series representations of $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$. In this paper, we adapt the methods of [Žun24] to study the non-vanishing of holomorphic automorphic forms on a general irreducible bounded symmetric domain \mathcal{D} that are given by Poincaré series of polynomial type and lift to Poincaré series of K -finite matrix coefficients of integrable discrete series representations of a suitable Lie group G .

UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB, FACULTY OF GEODESY, KAČIĆEVA 26, 10000 ZAGREB, CROATIA
E-mail address: szunar@geof.hr.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F55, 11F70, 22E46.

Key words and phrases. Holomorphic automorphic forms; bounded symmetric domains; non-vanishing of Poincaré series.

This work is supported by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project number HRZZ-IP-2022-10-4615.

Let us give a brief overview of our main results. We work with the Harish-Chandra realization of irreducible bounded symmetric domains, defined as follows. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple, non-compact real Lie algebra with Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ and a compact Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} . Writing $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ for a real Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} , we fix a positive root system Δ^+ for $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that every α in the set Δ_n^+ of non-compact positive roots is totally positive (see Section 4). Let $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the simply connected complex Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $G, K, K_{\mathbb{C}}, P_{\mathbb{C}}^+,$ and $P_{\mathbb{C}}^-$ be the connected Lie subgroups of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha},$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^- = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha},$ respectively. Every $g \in P_{\mathbb{C}}^+ K_{\mathbb{C}} P_{\mathbb{C}}^-$ has a unique factorization $g = g_+ g_0 g_-$ with $g_+ \in P_{\mathbb{C}}^+, g_0 \in K_{\mathbb{C}},$ and $g_- \in P_{\mathbb{C}}^-.$ We have $G K_{\mathbb{C}} P_{\mathbb{C}}^- \subseteq P_{\mathbb{C}}^+ K_{\mathbb{C}} P_{\mathbb{C}}^-,$ and the quotient $G/K \cong G K_{\mathbb{C}} P_{\mathbb{C}}^- / K_{\mathbb{C}} P_{\mathbb{C}}^-$ identifies with the irreducible bounded symmetric domain

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ \exp^{-1}(g_+) : g \in G \} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^+,$$

where \exp is the exponential map $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^+ \rightarrow P_{\mathbb{C}}^+.$ Under this identification, the canonical left action of G on G/K transforms into the action of G on \mathcal{D} given by

$$g.x = \exp^{-1}((g \exp x)_+), \quad g \in G, x \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Given $\alpha \in \Delta^+,$ there exists a unique $H_{\alpha} \in [\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}]$ such that $\alpha(H_{\alpha}) = 2.$ We fix an analytically integral linear functional Λ on $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\Lambda(H_{\alpha}) \geq 0$ for all compact roots $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ and

$$(\Lambda + \delta)(H_{\alpha}) < -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \in \Delta^+} |\beta(H_{\alpha})|$$

for all $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+,$ where $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \in \Delta^+} \beta.$ It is well known that the irreducible unitary representation (ρ, V) of K of highest weight Λ extends to an irreducible holomorphic representation of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ and occurs with multiplicity one in the integrable discrete series representation $(\pi_{\rho}, \mathcal{H}_{\rho})$ of G of highest weight Λ (see Lemma 5.1).

Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of finite covolume in G such that π_{ρ} occurs in the right regular representation $(R, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G))$ of G with finite multiplicity. This condition is satisfied, e.g., if G and Γ satisfy one of the following (see Remark 3.3):

- (1) The quotient space $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact.
- (2) $G = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R})$ for a connected semisimple algebraic group \mathcal{G} defined over $\mathbb{Q},$ and Γ is an arithmetic subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}).$

We consider the space $\mathcal{H}_{\rho}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ of holomorphic automorphic forms of weight ρ for Γ on $\mathcal{D},$ defined as the space of holomorphic functions $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow V$ with the following two properties:

- (1) $f(\gamma.x) = \rho((\gamma \exp x)_0) f(x)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}.$
- (2) $\sup_{x \in \mathcal{D}} \|\rho(\mathbf{x}_0)^{-1} f(x)\|_V < \infty,$ where $\mathbf{x} \in G/K$ is such that $\mathbf{x}.0 = x.$

We give a representation-theoretic proof of the following classical result (see Theorem 7.4).

Theorem 1.1. *The space $\mathcal{H}_{\rho}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ is the space of (absolutely and locally uniformly convergent) Poincaré series*

$$(P_{\Gamma, \rho} f)(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \rho((\gamma \exp x)_0)^{-1} f(\gamma.x), \quad x \in \mathcal{D},$$

where f goes over the space $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V)$ of polynomial functions $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow V.$

Let us briefly describe our proof of Theorem 1.1, which is based on the methods of [Mui10, proof of Theorem 1-1] and [Žun24, proof of Theorem 1.1]. Let $v_\Lambda \in V$ be a highest weight vector for ρ such that $\|v_\Lambda\|_V = 1$. Given $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow V$, let $F_f : G \rightarrow V$,

$$F_f(g) = \rho(g_0)^{-1} f(g.0),$$

and let $v_\Lambda^* F_f = \langle F_f(\cdot), v_\Lambda \rangle_V : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We show that the assignment $f \mapsto v_\Lambda^* F_f$ defines a linear isomorphism $\Phi_{\rho, \Gamma}$ from $\mathcal{H}_\rho^\infty(\Gamma)$ to the space $\mathcal{L}_\rho^\infty(\Gamma) = \left(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi_\rho^*]} \right)_{-\Lambda}$ of weight $-\Lambda$ vectors in the π_ρ^* -isotypic component of the right regular representation of G on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$, where $(\pi_\rho^*, \mathcal{H}_\rho^*)$ is the contragredient representation of π_ρ (see Theorem 7.1). Next, applying D. Miličić's result [Mui19, Lemma 6.6] (see Proposition 3.4), we prove that $\mathcal{L}_\rho^\infty(\Gamma)$ is the space of the (absolutely and locally uniformly convergent) Poincaré series

$$(P_\Gamma c_{h, h'})(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} c_{h, h'}(\gamma g)$$

of the matrix coefficients $c_{h, h'} = \langle \pi_\rho^*(\cdot)h, h' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\rho^*} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where h is a weight $-\Lambda$ vector of π_ρ^* , and h' goes over the K -finite vectors in \mathcal{H}_ρ^* . Finally, by computing the matrix coefficients $c_{h, h'}$ (see Proposition 6.2) and transferring the resulting description of $\mathcal{L}_\rho^\infty(\Gamma)$ via $\Phi_{\rho, \Gamma}^{-1}$, we obtain Theorem 1.1.

Let \mathfrak{a} be a maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p} . We fix a choice of the set Σ^+ of positive restricted roots of \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{a} and let

$$\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{X \in \mathfrak{a} : \lambda(X) > 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Sigma^+\}.$$

We denote by m_λ the multiplicity of a restricted root $\lambda \in \Sigma^+$.

With Theorem 1.1 and the linear isomorphism $\Phi_{\rho, \Gamma} : \mathcal{H}_\rho^\infty(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\rho^\infty(\Gamma)$ at hand, in Section 8 we apply a vector-valued version, given by Proposition 8.1, of Muic's integral non-vanishing criterion [Mui09, Theorem 4.1] to the Poincaré series $P_\Gamma F_f = F_{P_\Gamma, \rho} f$ with $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V)$, thus proving the following non-vanishing result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V) \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose that there exists a Borel set $S \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^+$ with the following properties:*

- (S1) $K \exp(S)K \exp(-S)K \cap \Gamma = \{1_G\}$.
- (S2) *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_S \int_K \|F_f(k \exp(X))\|_V \left(\prod_{\lambda \in \Sigma^+} (\sinh \lambda(X))^{m_\lambda} \right) dk dX \\ & > \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} \int_K \|F_f(k \exp(X))\|_V \left(\prod_{\lambda \in \Sigma^+} (\sinh \lambda(X))^{m_\lambda} \right) dk dX. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$P_{\Gamma, \rho} f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho^\infty(\Gamma) \setminus \{0\}$$

and

$$P_\Gamma v_\Lambda^* F_f \in \mathcal{L}_\rho^\infty(\Gamma) \setminus \{0\}.$$

In Section 9, we make the above results explicit in the case when $G = \mathrm{SU}(p, q)$ and $K = \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(p) \times \mathrm{U}(q))$ with $p \geq q \geq 1$. In Theorem 9.3(iii), we rephrase Theorem 1.2 in the case when

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_G(N) := \mathrm{SU}(p, q) \cap (I_{p+q} + M_{p+q}(N\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]))$$

for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, rendering it easily applicable to families of Poincaré series $P_{\Gamma_G(N), \rho} f$ with fixed ρ and f and varying N . In Example 9.4, we give an example application of our non-vanishing result to an infinite family of scalar-valued Poincaré series, using Wolfram Mathematica 14.1 [WolMath] for numerical computations; the code can be found in [Žun25].

2. BASIC NOTATION

Let $i \in \mathbb{C}$ be the imaginary unit. Given a Lie group G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , let $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the universal enveloping algebra of the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the center of the algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Given a complex topological vector space H , we denote by H^* the space of continuous linear functionals $H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If H is a Hilbert space, we denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ the inner product on H and write $h^* = \langle \cdot, h \rangle_H \in H^*$ for every $h \in H$. Given a unitary representation $\pi = (\pi, H)$ of a Lie group G on H , we denote by H_K the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module of smooth, K -finite vectors in H and, given a subset S of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, by $(H_K)^S$ the space of vectors $h \in H_K$ such that $\pi(S)h = 0$. For every irreducible unitary representation σ of G , we denote by $H_{[\sigma]}$ the σ -isotypic component of π , i.e., the closure in H of the sum of irreducible closed G -invariant subspaces of H that are equivalent to σ . The contragredient representation (π^*, H^*) of π is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \pi^*(g)h^* = (\pi(g)h)^*, \quad g \in G, \quad h \in H.$$

Given a unimodular Lie group G with a fixed Haar measure dg , for every discrete subgroup Γ of G we equip the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$ with the G -invariant Radon measure such that

$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi(\gamma g) dg = \int_G \varphi(g) dg, \quad \varphi \in C_c(G),$$

where $C_c(G)$ denotes the space of compactly supported, continuous functions $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Given $p \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ and a finite-dimensional complex Hilbert space V , let $L^p(\Gamma \backslash G, V)$ denote the Banach space of (equivalence classes of) p -integrable functions $\Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow V$. We write $L^p(\Gamma \backslash G) = L^p(\Gamma \backslash G, \mathbb{C})$ and denote by R the right regular representation of G on the Hilbert space $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G, V)$. Given a function $\varphi : G \rightarrow V$, we define a function $\check{\varphi} : G \rightarrow V$,

$$(2.2) \quad \check{\varphi}(g) = \varphi(g^{-1}).$$

Let g^\top , g^* , and $\mathrm{tr}(g)$ denote, respectively, the transpose, the conjugate transpose, and the trace of a complex matrix g , and let $\|g\| = (\mathrm{tr}(g^*g))^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Given $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $r \leq \min\{p, q\}$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}^r$, let

$$(2.3) \quad t_{p \times q} = \mathrm{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_r, 0, \dots, 0) \in M_{p, q}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Given a function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}^r$, let $f(t) = (f(t_1), \dots, f(t_r)) \in \mathbb{C}^r$.

3. REPRESENTATION-THEORETIC PRELIMINARIES

Until the end of this section, we fix a connected semisimple Lie group G with finite center, a maximal compact subgroup K of G , and a discrete subgroup Γ of G .

Given a finite-dimensional complex vector space V , we say that a function $\varphi : G \rightarrow V$ is:

- (1) left K -finite if $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{\varphi(k \cdot) : k \in K\} < \infty$
- (2) right K -finite if $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{\varphi(\cdot k) : k \in K\} < \infty$
- (3) $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite if φ is smooth and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})\varphi < \infty$.

By a straightforward generalization of Harish-Chandra's result [Har66, Lemma 77] from the $L^2(G)$ case to the $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ case, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *If a smooth function $\varphi \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ is $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite and right K -finite, then the smallest closed G -invariant subspace of $(R, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G))$ containing φ is an orthogonal sum of finitely many irreducible closed G -invariant subspaces.*

Given a unitary representation (π, H) of G and vectors $h, h' \in H$, let $c_{h, h'}$ denote the matrix coefficient $\langle \pi(\cdot)h, h' \rangle_H : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. An irreducible unitary representation (π, H) of G is said to be square-integrable (resp., integrable) if $c_{h, h'} \in L^2(G)$ for all $h, h' \in H$ (resp., if $c_{h, h'} \in L^1(G)$ for all $h, h' \in H_K$). If (π, H) is an integrable representation of G , then for all $h, h' \in H_K$, the matrix coefficient $c_{h, h'}$ is a smooth function in $L^1(G)$ with properties (1)–(3). Thus, by the proof of [BaiBor66, Theorem 5.4] and the discussion in [Mui19, the beginning of §6], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (π, H) be an integrable representation of G , and let $h, h' \in H_K$. Then, the Poincaré series*

$$(P_{\Gamma} c_{h, h'}) (g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} c_{h, h'}(\gamma g)$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of G and defines a bounded, right K -finite, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite function $\Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that belongs to $L^p(\Gamma \backslash G)$ for every $p \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$.

We say that the multiplicity of an irreducible unitary representation π of G in $(R, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G))$ is finite, and write $m_{\Gamma}(\pi) < \infty$, if $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi]}$ is an orthogonal sum of finitely many (possibly zero) irreducible closed G -invariant subspaces.

Remark 3.3. It is well known (see, e.g., [Har68, Introduction]) that $m_{\Gamma}(\pi) < \infty$ for every π provided that G and Γ satisfy one of the following:

- (1) The quotient space $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact.
- (2) $G = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R})$ for a connected semisimple algebraic group \mathcal{G} defined over \mathbb{Q} , and Γ is an arithmetic subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q})$.

The following proposition is a variant of Miličić's result [Mui19, Lemma 6.6].

Proposition 3.4. *Let (π, H) be an integrable representation of G such that $m_{\Gamma}(\pi) < \infty$. Then, we have*

$$(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi]})_K = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{P_{\Gamma} c_{h, h'} : h, h' \in H_K\}.$$

Proof. By [Mui19, Lemmas 6.6 and 5.5(ii)(a) and Theorem 6.4(i)], the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -submodule

$$V_{\pi} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{P_{\Gamma} c_{h, h'} : h, h' \in H_K\}$$

of $(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi]})_K$ is dense in $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi]}$. Thus, since the assumption that $m_\Gamma(\pi) < \infty$ implies that $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi]}$ is an admissible representation of G (see [KnaVog95, Theorems 0.2 and 0.3]), it follows by [KnaVog95, Theorem 0.4] that $V_\pi = (L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi]})_K$. \square

4. HARISH-CHANDRA'S REALIZATION OF IRREDUCIBLE BOUNDED SYMMETRIC DOMAINS

From now until the end of Section 8, we fix a simple, non-compact real Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and its (up to conjugation by an inner automorphism of \mathfrak{g} unique) Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ into a Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{k} and a subspace \mathfrak{p} such that $\mathfrak{k} \oplus i\mathfrak{p}$ is a compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ (cf. [Hel78, Ch. III, §7]). Moreover, we fix a maximal abelian subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{k} and assume that \mathfrak{h} is also a maximal abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Thus, $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$, and the root system $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C} : \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$ is a disjoint union of the set $\Delta_K = \Delta(\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C} : \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C})$ of compact roots and the set Δ_n of non-compact roots (cf. [Har55iv, §3]).

We fix a choice of the set Δ^+ of positive roots in Δ , write $\Delta_K^+ = \Delta_K \cap \Delta^+$ and $\Delta_n^+ = \Delta_n \cap \Delta^+$, and denote by \mathfrak{g}_α the root subspace corresponding to a root $\alpha \in \Delta$. We suppose that every root $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$ is totally positive, i.e., every root in $\alpha + \text{span}_\mathbb{Z} \Delta_K$ is positive (cf. [Har55iv, Corollary of Lemma 12]). Thus, $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^- = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ are abelian subspaces of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$, and we have $[\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^+] \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^+$ and $[\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^-] \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^-$ [Har55iv, Lemmas 11 and 12]. Let $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_K^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^- = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_K^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, $\mathfrak{n}^+ = \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^+$, $\mathfrak{n}^- = \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^- \oplus \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^-$, and $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^+$. Given $\alpha \in \Delta$, let H_α be the element of the one-dimensional subspace $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}] \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$ such that $\alpha(H_\alpha) = 2$. Let $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}^*$.

Let $G_\mathbb{C}$ be the simply connected complex Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$, and let G , K , and T be, respectively, the connected (real) Lie subgroups of $G_\mathbb{C}$ with Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{k} , and \mathfrak{h} . The group G is a connected semisimple Lie group with finite center, and K is a maximal compact subgroup of G (cf. [Hel78, Ch. VI, Theorem 1.1(i)] and [Har56v, §2]). Let $K_\mathbb{C}$, $P_\mathbb{C}^+$, and $P_\mathbb{C}^-$ be, respectively, the connected complex Lie subgroups of $G_\mathbb{C}$ with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$, $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^+$, and $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^-$. The group $K_\mathbb{C}$ normalizes $P_\mathbb{C}^+$ and $P_\mathbb{C}^-$, and the assignment $(p^+, k, p^-) \mapsto p^+ k p^-$ defines a biholomorphism from $P_\mathbb{C}^+ \times K_\mathbb{C} \times P_\mathbb{C}^-$ onto the open subset $P_\mathbb{C}^+ K_\mathbb{C} P_\mathbb{C}^-$ of $G_\mathbb{C}$ (cf. [Har56v, Lemma 4] or [Bru58, Lemma 2]). In particular, given $g \in P_\mathbb{C}^+ K_\mathbb{C} P_\mathbb{C}^-$, we have

$$(4.1) \quad g = g_+ g_0 g_-$$

for a unique choice of $g_+ \in P_\mathbb{C}^+$, $g_0 \in K_\mathbb{C}$, and $g_- \in P_\mathbb{C}^-$.

The set $G K_\mathbb{C} P_\mathbb{C}^-$ is an open subset of $P_\mathbb{C}^+ K_\mathbb{C} P_\mathbb{C}^-$, and since $G \cap K_\mathbb{C} P_\mathbb{C}^- = K$, we can identify the quotient manifold $G K_\mathbb{C} P_\mathbb{C}^- / K_\mathbb{C} P_\mathbb{C}^-$ canonically with G/K , thus endowing the smooth manifold G/K with a complex structure with respect to which the canonical left action of G on G/K is by biholomorphisms (cf. [Bru58, Lemma 3 and §2]). The exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^+ \rightarrow P_\mathbb{C}^+$ is biholomorphic, and the assignment

$$gK \equiv g K_\mathbb{C} P_\mathbb{C}^- \mapsto \exp^{-1}(g_+), \quad g \in G,$$

defines a biholomorphism ζ from G/K onto a bounded symmetric domain $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^+$ (cf. [Bru58, Theorem 1]). The canonical left action of G on G/K corresponds via ζ to the following left action of G on \mathcal{D} :

$$g.x = \exp^{-1}((g \exp x)_+), \quad g \in G, x \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Given $x \in \mathcal{D}$, let $\mathbf{x} = \zeta^{-1}(x)$. Thus, \mathbf{x} is the unique element of G/K such that $\mathbf{x}.0 = x$. Since K normalizes $P_{\mathbb{C}}^-$, we can use (4.1) to define $\mathbf{x}_0 \in K_{\mathbb{C}}/K$.

Let us fix an (up to a positive multiplicative constant unique) non-zero G -invariant Radon measure ν on \mathcal{D} . Applying [Kna02, Theorem 8.36], we specify a Haar measure dg on G by the condition

$$\int_G \varphi(g) dg = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \int_K \varphi(\mathbf{x}k) dk d\nu(x), \quad \varphi \in C_c(G),$$

where dk is the Haar measure on K such that $\int_K dk = 1$.

5. HOLOMORPHIC AND ANTI-HOLOMORPHIC DISCRETE SERIES OF G

Let (π, H) be a unitary representation of G (resp., K). A linear functional $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ is a weight of π if the subspace

$$H_{\lambda} = \{h \in H_K : \pi(X)h = \lambda(X)h \text{ for all } X \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}\}$$

of H_K is non-trivial. If π is irreducible, then there exists at most one weight λ of π such that $\pi(\mathfrak{n}^+)v = 0$ (resp., $\pi(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}^+)v = 0$) for some $v \in H_{\lambda} \setminus \{0\}$ (see [Hum72, §20.2]), in which case π is said to be of highest weight λ (with respect to the positive system Δ^+ (resp., Δ_K^+)), and the non-zero elements of the (necessarily one-dimensional) subspace H_{λ} are called the highest weight vectors of π . Irreducible unitary representations of G (resp., K) of lowest weight λ and their lowest weight vectors are defined by replacing \mathfrak{n}^+ (resp., $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}^+$) in the above definition by \mathfrak{n}^- (resp., $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}^-$).

From now until the end of Section 8, we fix $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ with the following properties:

- (Λ 1) Λ is analytically integral, i.e., $\Lambda(\{X \in \mathfrak{h} : \exp(X) = 1_T\}) \subseteq 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$.
- (Λ 2) $\Lambda(H_{\alpha}) \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_K^+$.
- (Λ 3) $(\Lambda + \delta)(H_{\alpha}) < 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$.

Lemma 5.1. *There exist up to equivalence unique irreducible unitary representations (ρ, V) of K and $(\pi_{\rho}, \mathcal{H}_{\rho})$ of G that are of highest weight Λ . The representations (ρ, V) and $(\pi_{\rho}, \mathcal{H}_{\rho})$ and their contragredient representations (ρ^*, V^*) and $(\pi_{\rho}^*, \mathcal{H}_{\rho}^*)$ have the following properties:*

- (i) *The representation $(\pi_{\rho}^*, \mathcal{H}_{\rho}^*)$ (resp., (ρ^*, V^*)) is the up to equivalence unique irreducible unitary representation of G (resp., of K) of lowest weight $-\Lambda$, and we have*

$$(5.1) \quad (\mathcal{H}_{\rho}^*)_{-\Lambda} = \{h^* : h \in (\mathcal{H}_{\rho})_{\Lambda}\} \quad \text{and} \quad (V^*)_{-\Lambda} = \{v^* : v \in V_{\Lambda}\}.$$

- (ii) *The (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module $(\mathcal{H}_{\rho})_K$ (resp., $(\mathcal{H}_{\rho}^*)_K$) decomposes into a direct sum of its weight subspaces.*

- (iii) *As a left $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, $(\mathcal{H}_{\rho})_K$ is isomorphic to the generalized Verma module*

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{b})} V,$$

where V is regarded as the left $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{b})$ -module obtained from the $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k})$ -module (ρ, V) by letting $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^+$ act trivially.

- (iv) *In terms of [Kna86, Theorem 9.20], π_{ρ} (resp., π_{ρ}^*) is a discrete series representation of G with Harish-Chandra parameter $\Lambda + \delta$ (resp., $-\Lambda - \delta$) and Blattner parameter Λ (resp., $-\Lambda$).*

(v) The K -type ρ (resp., ρ^*) occurs with multiplicity one in π_ρ (resp., π_ρ^*), and we have

$$(5.3) \quad \pi_\rho(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^+) (\mathcal{H}_\rho)_{[\rho]} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_\rho^*(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^-) (\mathcal{H}_\rho^*)_{[\rho^*]} = 0.$$

(vi) We have the following equalities of one-dimensional complex vector spaces:

$$(vi.i) \quad (\mathcal{H}_\rho)_\Lambda = \left((\mathcal{H}_\rho)_{[\rho]} \right)_\Lambda = \left((\mathcal{H}_\rho)_{[\rho]} \right)_{\mathbb{C}}^+ = \left((\mathcal{H}_\rho)_K \right)^{n^+}.$$

$$(vi.ii) \quad (\mathcal{H}_\rho^*)_{-\Lambda} = \left((\mathcal{H}_\rho^*)_{[\rho^*]} \right)_{-\Lambda} = \left((\mathcal{H}_\rho^*)_{[\rho^*]} \right)_{\mathbb{C}}^- = \left((\mathcal{H}_\rho^*)_K \right)^{n^-}.$$

(vii) The representation π_ρ (resp., π_ρ^*) is integrable if and only if we have

$$(5.4) \quad (\Lambda + \delta)(H_\alpha) < -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} |\beta(H_\alpha)|, \quad \alpha \in \Delta_n^+.$$

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of the representation (ρ, V) follow from $(\Lambda 1)$ and $(\Lambda 2)$ by [Kna86, Theorems 4.28 and 1.15]. The representation π_ρ was constructed and investigated by Harish-Chandra in [Har55iv], [Har56v], and [Har56vi]. Its uniqueness follows from [Har55iv, Theorem 2] and [Har53, Theorem 8].

Let $\eta_\mathfrak{g} : \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ be the conjugation of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ with respect to \mathfrak{g} , given by

$$\eta_\mathfrak{g}(X + iY) = X - iY, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Since $\Lambda(\mathfrak{h}) \subseteq i\mathbb{R}$ and $\eta_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$ [Har55iv, p. 757], the elementary equality

$$(5.5) \quad \pi_\rho^*(X)h^* = (\pi_\rho(\eta_\mathfrak{g}(X))h)^*, \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}, \quad h \in (\mathcal{H}_\rho)_K,$$

implies that if h is the highest weight vector of π_ρ , then the linear functional h^* is the lowest weight vector, of weight $-\Lambda$, of π_ρ^* . This proves the claim on π_ρ^* in (i); the claim on ρ^* is proved analogously.

The claim (ii) follows from (i) by [Hum72, §20.2, Theorem (a)]. The claims (iii) and (iv) are well known (cf. [Rep79] and [Kna86, Remark (1) after Theorem 9.20]). By [Kna86, Theorem 9.20(b)] and [AtiSch77, Theorem (8.5)], (iv) implies the multiplicity one claim in (v). The first equality in (vi.i) follows immediately since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{H}_\rho)_\Lambda = 1$ by [Hum72, §20.2, Theorem (c)]. The remaining equalities in (vi.i) follow from [Hum72, §20.2, Corollary], and (vi.ii) follows by duality. The first equality in (5.3) is a restatement of the fact that in (5.2), $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^+$ annihilates $\mathbb{C} \otimes V$. The second equality in (5.3) follows by duality as in the proof of (i).

Finally, (vii) follows from (iv) and $(\Lambda 3)$ by applying the criterion [HecSch76], or equivalently [Mil77, Part III, §3, Cor. of Theorem 1], for the integrability of a discrete series representation in terms of its Harish-Chandra parameter. \square

The representations π_ρ (resp., π_ρ^*) of Lemma 5.1 constitute the holomorphic (resp., anti-holomorphic) discrete series of G . From now until the end of Section 8, we fix a representation (ρ, V) of K as in Lemma 5.1 and a highest weight vector v_Λ of ρ such that $\|v_\Lambda\|_V = 1$. The unique extension of ρ to an irreducible holomorphic representation of $K_\mathbb{C}$ will also be denoted by ρ .

Following [Bru58], we will work with the realization of the representation $(\pi_\rho, \mathcal{H}_\rho)$ in which \mathcal{H}_ρ is the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions $\varphi : P_\mathbb{C}^- K_\mathbb{C} G \rightarrow V$ such that

$$(5.6) \quad \varphi(p^-kw) = \rho(k)\varphi(w), \quad p^- \in P_\mathbb{C}^-, \quad k \in K_\mathbb{C}, \quad w \in P_\mathbb{C}^- K_\mathbb{C} G,$$

and

$$(5.7) \quad \int_G \|\varphi(g)\|_V^2 dg < \infty;$$

the norm on \mathcal{H}_ρ is given by the square root of (5.7), and the action of G is given by

$$\pi_\rho(g)\varphi = \varphi(\cdot g), \quad g \in G, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\rho.$$

Restricting the functions in \mathcal{H}_ρ to G yields a unitary equivalence Ψ_ρ of $(\pi_\rho, \mathcal{H}_\rho)$ with an irreducible closed G -invariant subspace \mathcal{R}_ρ of the right regular representation $(R, L^2(G, V))$. By (5.6), for every $F \in \mathcal{R}_\rho$, we have

$$(5.8) \quad F(kg) = \rho(k)F(g), \quad k \in K, g \in G.$$

Given $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$, we define a function $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow V$,

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \varphi((\exp x)^{-1}).$$

By a computation analogous to that in [Rep79, §4], one shows that the assignment $\varphi \mapsto \tilde{\varphi}$ defines a unitary G -equivalence $\tilde{\Psi}_\rho$ of π_ρ with a representation $\tilde{\pi}_\rho$ of G on the Hilbert space $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\rho$ of holomorphic functions $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow V$ such that

$$(5.9) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\rho(\mathbf{x}_0)^{-1}f(x)\|_V^2 dv(x) < \infty;$$

the norm on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\rho$ is given by the square root of (5.9), and the action of G is given by

$$\tilde{\pi}_\rho(g)f = f|_\rho g^{-1}, \quad g \in G, f \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\rho,$$

where $|_\rho$ denotes the right action of G on the space $V^{\mathcal{D}}$ of functions $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow V$ given by

$$(5.10) \quad (f|_\rho g)(x) = \rho((g \exp x)_0)^{-1}f(g.x), \quad f \in V^{\mathcal{D}}, g \in G, x \in \mathcal{D}.$$

The (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module $(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\rho)_K$ is the space $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V)$ of polynomial functions from the bounded domain $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^+$ to V (cf. [Bru58, Remarque 1]). Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D})$ denote the space of polynomial functions $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The assignment

$$\mu \otimes v \mapsto f_{\mu \otimes v} := \mu(\cdot)v$$

defines a linear isomorphism $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V)$. The assignment $v \mapsto f_{1 \otimes v}$ defines a K -equivalence of (ρ, V) with the space $(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\rho)_{[\rho]}$ of constant functions $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow V$.

Given $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow V$, we define a function $F_f : G \rightarrow V$,

$$(5.11) \quad F_f(g) = (f|_\rho g)(0) = \rho(g_0)^{-1}f(g.0).$$

We will write

$$F_{\mu \otimes v} = F_{f_{\mu \otimes v}} \quad \text{and} \quad F_v = F_{1 \otimes v}$$

for $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D})$ and $v \in V$. The following lemma is elementary.

Lemma 5.2. *The assignment $f \mapsto F_f$ defines a linear isomorphism from $V^{\mathcal{D}}$ to the space of functions $F : G \rightarrow V$ such that*

$$(5.12) \quad F(gk) = \rho(k)^{-1}F(g), \quad g \in G, k \in K.$$

In particular, for every $f \in V^{\mathcal{D}}$, we have

$$(5.13) \quad \|F_f(gk)\|_V = \|F_f(g)\|_V, \quad g \in G, k \in K.$$

We note that the unitary G -equivalence $\Psi_\rho \circ \tilde{\Psi}_\rho^{-1} : \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\rho$ is given by the assignment

$$(5.14) \quad f \mapsto \check{F}_f,$$

where we use the notation (2.2). In particular, we have

$$(5.15) \quad (\mathcal{R}_\rho)_K = \{\check{F}_f : f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V)\},$$

and a K -equivalence from (ρ, V) to $(\mathcal{R}_\rho)_{[\rho]}$ is given by the assignment

$$(5.16) \quad v \mapsto \check{F}_v.$$

By Lemma 5.1(vi.i) and (5.1), it follows that

$$(5.17) \quad (\mathcal{R}_\rho)_\Lambda = \mathbb{C}\check{F}_{v_\Lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{R}_\rho^*)_{-\Lambda} = \mathbb{C}(\check{F}_{v_\Lambda})^*.$$

6. DETERMINATION OF MATRIX COEFFICIENTS

Given $F : G \rightarrow V$ and $v \in V$, we define a function $v^*F : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$(6.1) \quad (v^*F)(g) = \langle F(g), v \rangle_V.$$

Lemma 6.1. *Let $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$. Let $F : G \rightarrow V$ be such that (5.8) or (5.12) holds. If $v^*F = 0$, then $F = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $v^*F = 0$ and (5.8) holds. Then, for every $k \in K$, we have

$$\langle F(\cdot), \rho(k)v \rangle_V = \langle \rho(k)^{-1}F(\cdot), v \rangle_V \stackrel{(5.8)}{=} \langle F(k^{-1}\cdot), v \rangle_V = (v^*F)(k^{-1}\cdot) = 0.$$

Since $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\rho(K)v = V$, this implies that $F = 0$. The proof in the case when F satisfies (5.12) instead of (5.8) is analogous. \square

Let us define a positive constant

$$C_\rho = \|\check{F}_{v_\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{R}_\rho}^2 \stackrel{(5.14)}{=} \|f_{1 \otimes v_\Lambda}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\rho}^2 = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\rho(\mathbf{x}_0)^{-1}v_\Lambda\|_V^2 dv(x).$$

The following proposition gives a formula for some K -finite matrix coefficients of the representations $(\pi_\rho, \mathcal{H}_\rho) \cong \mathcal{R}_\rho$ and $(\pi_\rho^*, \mathcal{H}_\rho^*) \cong \mathcal{R}_\rho^*$.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $F \in (\mathcal{R}_\rho)_K$ and $v \in V$. Then, using the notation introduced in (2.2) and (6.1), we have the following:*

(i) *The matrix coefficient c_{F, \check{F}_v} of \mathcal{R}_ρ is given by*

$$c_{F, \check{F}_v} = C_\rho v^*F.$$

(ii) *The matrix coefficient $c_{(\check{F}_v)^*, F^*}$ of \mathcal{R}_ρ^* is given by*

$$c_{(\check{F}_v)^*, F^*} = C_\rho v^*\check{F}.$$

Proof. We adapt the method of proof of [Mui10, Lemma 3-5] and [Žun23, Proposition 5.3].

Let $F \in (\mathcal{R}_\rho)_K \setminus \{0\}$. Then, F is left K -finite by (5.8) and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite, hence by [Har66, Theorem 1] there exists a smooth function $\alpha \in C_c(G)$ such that $F = \check{\alpha} * F$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (v^*F)(g) &= \left\langle \int_G \check{\alpha}(h^{-1}) F(hg) dh, v \right\rangle_V \\ &= \int_G \langle \alpha(h) F(hg), v \rangle_V dh \\ &= \int_G \left\langle F(hg), \overline{\alpha(h)} v \right\rangle_V dh \\ &= \langle R(g)F, \overline{\alpha}v \rangle_{L^2(G,V)} \\ &= \left\langle R(g)F, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{R}_\rho}(\overline{\alpha}v) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{R}_\rho}, \quad g \in G, v \in V, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{pr}_{\mathcal{R}_\rho}$ is the orthogonal projection $L^2(G, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\rho$. This shows that we have

$$(6.2) \quad v^*F = c_{F, \Phi_F(v)}, \quad v \in V,$$

for some function $\Phi_F : V \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\rho$, which is easily shown to be a K -equivariant linear operator: e.g., to prove its K -equivariance, it suffices to note that for all $k \in K$ and $v \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{F, \Phi_F(\rho(k)v)} &\stackrel{(6.2)}{=} \langle F(\cdot), \rho(k)v \rangle_V = \langle \rho(k)^{-1}F(\cdot), v \rangle_V \stackrel{(5.8)}{=} \langle F(k^{-1}\cdot), v \rangle_V \\ &= (v^*F)(k^{-1}\cdot) \stackrel{(6.2)}{=} c_{F, \Phi_F(v)}(k^{-1}\cdot) = c_{F, R(k)\Phi_F(v)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\Phi_F(\rho(k)v) = R(k)\Phi_F(v)$ by the definition of matrix coefficients and irreducibility of \mathcal{R}_ρ . Since ρ occurs in \mathcal{R}_ρ with multiplicity one (see Lemma 5.1(v)), by Schur's lemma it follows that Φ_F coincides up to a multiplicative constant with the K -equivariant linear operator $V \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\rho$ given by the assignment $v \mapsto \check{F}_v$ (see (5.16)).

Thus, there exists a function $C : (\mathcal{R}_\rho)_K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(6.3) \quad c_{F, \check{F}_v} = C(F) v^*F, \quad F \in (\mathcal{R}_\rho)_K, v \in V.$$

Since for any fixed vector $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$, the assignment

$$F \mapsto c_{F, \check{F}_v} = v^*(C(F)F) \mapsto C(F)F$$

by Lemma 6.1 defines a linear operator on $(\mathcal{R}_\rho)_K$, the function C is necessarily constant on $(\mathcal{R}_\rho)_K \setminus \{0\}$. To determine its value, we evaluate (6.3) at 1_G in the case when $F = \check{F}_{v_\Lambda}$ and $v = v_\Lambda$ and obtain

$$C(F) = \frac{c_{\check{F}_{v_\Lambda}, \check{F}_{v_\Lambda}}(1_G)}{(v_\Lambda^* \check{F}_{v_\Lambda})(1_G)} = \frac{\|\check{F}_{v_\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{R}_\rho}^2}{\|v_\Lambda\|_V^2} = \frac{C_\rho}{1} = C_\rho$$

for all $F \in (\mathcal{R}_\rho)_K \setminus \{0\}$. Thus, again by (6.3), we have

$$c_{F, \check{F}_v} = C_\rho v^*F, \quad F \in (\mathcal{R}_\rho)_K, v \in V.$$

This proves (i), and (ii) follows immediately by the elementary equality

$$c_{h^*, (h')^*} = \check{c}_{h', h}, \quad h, h' \in (\mathcal{R}_\rho)_K. \quad \square$$

Corollary 6.3. *Suppose that the representation π_ρ is integrable (see Lemma 5.1(vii)). Let $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V)$. Then, $F_f \in L^1(G, V)$.*

Proof. By (5.15) and Proposition 6.2(ii), for every $v \in V$, v^*F_f is a K -finite matrix coefficient of $\mathcal{R}_\rho^* \cong (\pi_\rho^*, \mathcal{H}_\rho^*)$ hence belongs to $L^1(G)$ by Lemma 5.1(vii). Thus, $F_f \in L^1(G, V)$. \square

7. A SPACE OF HOLOMORPHIC AUTOMORPHIC FORMS ON \mathcal{D}

In this section, we suppose that the representation π_ρ is integrable (see Lemma 5.1(vii)). Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of finite covolume in G such that $m_\Gamma(\pi_\rho) < \infty$. Let $\mathcal{H}_\rho^\infty(\Gamma)$ denote the space of holomorphic functions $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow V$ with the following two properties:

- (1) $f|_\rho \gamma = f$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
- (2) $\sup_{x \in \mathcal{D}} \|\rho(\mathbf{x}_0)^{-1}f(x)\|_V < \infty$.

The following theorem identifies $\mathcal{H}_\rho^\infty(\Gamma)$ with a finite-dimensional space of square-integrable automorphic forms on $\Gamma \backslash G$. This result is well known to the experts, but we include a proof due to the lack of a suitable reference.

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose that the representation π_ρ is integrable (see Lemma 5.1(vii)). Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of finite covolume in G such that $m_\Gamma(\pi_\rho) < \infty$. Then, the assignment $f \mapsto v_\Lambda^*F_f$ defines a linear isomorphism*

$$\Phi_{\rho, \Gamma} : \mathcal{H}_\rho^\infty(\Gamma) \rightarrow \left(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi_\rho^*]} \right)_{-\Lambda}.$$

Our proof of Theorem 7.1 will rely on the properties of the lift $f \mapsto F_f$ listed in the following lemma.

Lemma 7.2. (i) *Given $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow V$ and $\gamma \in G$, the following equivalence holds:*

$$f|_\rho \gamma = f \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad F_f(\gamma \cdot) = F_f.$$

(ii) *For every $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow V$, we have*

$$\sup_{g \in G} \|F_f(g)\|_V = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{D}} \|\rho(\mathbf{x}_0)^{-1}f(x)\|_V.$$

(iii) *A smooth function $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow V$ is holomorphic if and only if $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^- F_f = 0$. Here, $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^- \subseteq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ acts by left-invariant differential operators.*

(iv) *Let $F : G \rightarrow V$ be a smooth function that satisfies (5.12) and is such that $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^- F = 0$. Then, F is $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite.*

(v) *Let $F \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G, V) \setminus \{0\}$ be such that (5.12) holds. Then, the assignment $v^* \mapsto v^*F$ defines a K -equivalence of (ρ^*, V^*) with the irreducible K -invariant subspace $V^*F := \{v^*F : v \in V\}$ of $(R, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G))$. In particular, $v_\Lambda^*F \in (V^*F)_{-\Lambda}$ is the lowest weight vector of the K -representation V^*F .*

Proof. The claims (i) and (ii) are elementary. So is, by Lemma 6.1, the first claim in (v), which implies the second claim in (v) by Lemma 5.1(i). The claims (iii) and (iv) follow from [BaiBor66, Lemma 5.7]. \square

Proof of Theorem 7.1. By Lemmmas 5.2 and 7.2(i)–(iii), the assignment $f \mapsto F_f$ defines a linear isomorphism from $\mathcal{H}_\rho^\infty(\Gamma)$ onto the space $L_\rho(\Gamma)$ of bounded, smooth functions $F : \Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow V$ that are annihilated by $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^-$ and satisfy (5.12). Thus, it remains to show that the assignment $F \mapsto v_\Lambda^* F$ defines a linear isomorphism

$$\Theta : L_\rho(\Gamma) \rightarrow \left(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi_\rho^*]} \right)_{-\Lambda}.$$

Let $F \in L_\rho(\Gamma)$. Then, $v_\Lambda^* F \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ since F is bounded and $\text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) < \infty$. Moreover, the function $v_\Lambda^* F$ is smooth, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite by Lemma 7.2(iv), and right K -finite by (5.12). Thus, by Lemma 3.1, the smallest closed G -invariant subspace H of $(R, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G))$ containing $v_\Lambda^* F$ is an orthogonal sum of finitely many irreducible closed G -invariant subspaces H_j . Furthermore, $v_\Lambda^* F$ belongs to $H_{-\Lambda}$ by Lemma 7.2(v) and is annihilated by both $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^-$ (by Lemma 7.2(v)) and $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^-$ (since F is) and hence by \mathfrak{n}^- . By the G -equivariance of the orthogonal projections $\text{pr}_{H_j} : H \rightarrow H_j$, the same holds for the functions $\text{pr}_{H_j} v_\Lambda^* F \in (H_j)_K \setminus \{0\}$. Thus, by Lemma 5.1(i), the subspaces H_j are unitarily equivalent to π_ρ^* , hence $H \subseteq L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi_\rho^*]}$. Thus, we have

$$v_\Lambda^* F \in H_{-\Lambda} \subseteq \left(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi_\rho^*]} \right)_{-\Lambda}.$$

This shows that the operator Θ is well-defined.

The operator Θ is injective by Lemma 6.1. To prove its surjectivity, let $\varphi \in \left(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi_\rho^*]} \right)_{-\Lambda}$. Since $m_\Gamma(\pi_\rho^*) = m_\Gamma(\pi_\rho) < \infty$, we can assume without loss of generality that the smallest closed G -invariant subspace H_φ of $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi_\rho^*]}$ containing φ is irreducible, hence equivalent to π_ρ^* . Since $\varphi \in (H_\varphi)_{-\Lambda} \setminus \{0\}$, Lemma 5.1(vi) implies that φ is the lowest weight vector of the irreducible K -representation $(H_\varphi)_{[\rho^*]}$, hence there exists a K -equivalence $\Phi : V^* \rightarrow (H_\varphi)_{[\rho^*]}$ such that $\Phi(v_\Lambda^*) = \varphi$. Since by Proposition 3.4, Lemma 3.2, and (5.3), the elements of $(H_\varphi)_{[\rho^*]} \subseteq \left(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi_\rho^*]} \right)_K$ are smooth, bounded functions $\Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ annihilated by $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^-$, we can define a function $F : \Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow V$ with the same properties by the condition

$$(7.1) \quad \langle F(g), v \rangle_V = (\Phi(v^*))(g), \quad g \in G, v \in V.$$

For all $k \in K$ and $v \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F(\cdot k), v \rangle_V &\stackrel{(7.1)}{=} R(k)\Phi(v^*) = \Phi\rho^*(k)v^* = \Phi(\rho(k)v)^* \\ &\stackrel{(7.1)}{=} \langle F(\cdot), \rho(k)v \rangle_V = \langle \rho(k)^{-1}F(\cdot), v \rangle_V. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, F satisfies (5.12) hence belongs to $L_\rho(\Gamma)$. Finally, we have

$$\Theta F = v_\Lambda^* F \stackrel{(7.1)}{=} \Phi(v_\Lambda^*) = \varphi.$$

This shows that the operator Θ is surjective. \square

Our next goal is to prove Theorem 1.1. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 7.3. *Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of G . Let $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow V$ and $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$. Then, the following claims are mutually equivalent:*

(a) *The Poincaré series*

$$(7.2) \quad P_{\Gamma, \rho} f = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f|_{\rho} \gamma$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of \mathcal{D} .

(b) *The Poincaré series*

$$(7.3) \quad P_{\Gamma} F_f = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F_f(\gamma \cdot)$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of G .

(c) *The Poincaré series*

$$P_{\Gamma} v^* F_f = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \langle F_f(\gamma \cdot), v \rangle_V$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of G .

Moreover, assuming (a)–(c), we have

$$(7.4) \quad F_{P_{\Gamma, \rho} f} = P_{\Gamma} F_f.$$

Proof. For every $g \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (P_{\Gamma} F_f)(g) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F_f(\gamma g) \stackrel{(5.11)}{=} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (f|_{\rho} \gamma g)(0) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (f|_{\rho} \gamma|_{\rho} g)(0) \\ &\stackrel{(5.10)}{=} \rho(g_0)^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (f|_{\rho} \gamma)(g \cdot 0) = \rho(g_0)^{-1} (P_{\Gamma, \rho} f)(g \cdot 0). \end{aligned}$$

This implies the equivalence of claims (a) and (b) since the assignment $gK \mapsto g \cdot 0$ defines a homeomorphism $G/K \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. Next, (b) trivially implies (c). Conversely, if (c) holds, then for every $k \in K$, the series

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \langle F_f(\gamma \cdot), \rho(k)v \rangle_V &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \langle \rho(k)^{-1} F_f(\gamma \cdot), v \rangle_V \stackrel{\text{Lem. 5.2}}{=} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \langle F_f(\gamma \cdot k), v \rangle_V \\ &= (P_{\Gamma} v^* F_f)(\cdot k) \end{aligned}$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of G , which implies (b) since the vectors $\rho(k)v$ span V . Finally, (7.4) follows directly from (5.11), (7.2), and (7.3). \square

Theorem 1.1 is subsumed within the following theorem.

Theorem 7.4. *Suppose that the representation π_{ρ} is integrable (see Lemma 5.1(vii)). Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of finite covolume in G such that $m_{\Gamma}(\pi_{\rho}) < \infty$. Then, for every $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V)$, the Poincaré series $P_{\Gamma, \rho} f$ (resp., $P_{\Gamma} v_{\Lambda}^* F_f$) converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of \mathcal{D} (resp., G), and we have*

$$(7.5) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\rho}^{\infty}(\Gamma) = \{P_{\Gamma, \rho} f : f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V)\}$$

and

$$(7.6) \quad \left(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi_{\rho}^*]} \right)_{-\Lambda} = \{P_{\Gamma} v_{\Lambda}^* F_f : f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V)\}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V)$. By (5.15) and Proposition 6.2(ii), the K -finite matrix coefficient $c_{(\check{F}_{v_\Lambda})^*, (\check{F}_f)^*}$ of $\mathcal{R}_\rho^* \cong (\pi_\rho^*, \mathcal{H}_\rho^*)$ is given by

$$(7.7) \quad c_{(\check{F}_{v_\Lambda})^*, (\check{F}_f)^*} = C_\rho v_\Lambda^* F_f.$$

Thus, by Lemmas 3.2 and 5.1(vii), the series

$$(7.8) \quad P_\Gamma v_\Lambda^* F_f \stackrel{(7.7)}{=} C_\rho^{-1} P_\Gamma c_{(\check{F}_{v_\Lambda})^*, (\check{F}_f)^*}$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of G , hence by Lemma 7.3 the series $P_{\Gamma, \rho} f$ converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of \mathcal{D} .

Next, since $m_\Gamma(\pi_\rho^*) = m_\Gamma(\pi_\rho) < \infty$, by Lemma 5.1(ii) the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module

$$\left(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi_\rho^*]} \right)_K \stackrel{\text{Prop. 3.4}}{=} \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ P_\Gamma c_{h, h'} : h, h' \in (\mathcal{R}_\rho^*)_K \}$$

decomposes into a direct sum of its weight subspaces, which are, by the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -equivariance of the assignment $h \mapsto P_\Gamma c_{h, h'}$, given by

$$(7.9) \quad \left(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi_\rho^*]} \right)_\lambda = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ P_\Gamma c_{h, h'} : h \in (\mathcal{R}_\rho^*)_\lambda, h' \in (\mathcal{R}_\rho^*)_K \},$$

where λ goes over the weights of $(\pi_\rho^*, \mathcal{H}_\rho^*) \cong \mathcal{R}_\rho^*$. In the case when $\lambda = -\Lambda$, by (5.17) and (5.15) the equality (7.9) states that $\left(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi_\rho^*]} \right)_{-\Lambda}$ is the space of functions

$$P_\Gamma c_{(\check{F}_{v_\Lambda})^*, (\check{F}_f)^*} \stackrel{(7.8)}{=} C_\rho P_\Gamma v_\Lambda^* F_f = C_\rho v_\Lambda^* P_\Gamma F_f \stackrel{(7.4)}{=} C_\rho v_\Lambda^* F_{P_{\Gamma, \rho} f},$$

where $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V)$. This proves (7.6), and (7.5) follows by applying the inverse of the linear isomorphism of Theorem 7.1 using Lemmas 5.2 and 6.1. \square

8. A NON-VANISHING RESULT

In this section, we study the non-vanishing of Poincaré series $P_{\Gamma, \rho} f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho^\infty(\Gamma)$ of Theorem 7.4 and the corresponding automorphic forms $P_\Gamma v_\Lambda^* F_f \in \left(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi_\rho^*]} \right)_{-\Lambda}$ using the following vector-valued version of Muić's integral non-vanishing criterion [Mui09, Theorem 4.1].

Proposition 8.1. *Let \mathbf{G} be a unimodular, second-countable, locally compact Hausdorff group. Let Γ a discrete subgroup of \mathbf{G} , and let E be a complex finite-dimensional Hilbert space. Let $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbf{G}, E)$. Then, we have the following:*

(i) *The Poincaré series*

$$(P_\Gamma \varphi)(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi(\gamma g)$$

converges absolutely almost everywhere on \mathbf{G} and defines an element of $L^1(\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{G}, E)$.

(ii) *Suppose that there exists a Borel set $C \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ with the following properties:*

(C1) $CC^{-1} \cap \Gamma = \{1_{\mathbf{G}}\}$.

(C2) $\int_C \|\varphi(g)\|_E dg > \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{G}} \|\varphi(g)\|_E dg$.

Then, we have

$$P_\Gamma \varphi \in L^1(\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{G}, E) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Proof. A proof is obtained by replacing the absolute value symbol $|\cdot|$ by $\|\cdot\|_E$ in the proofs of the scalar-valued versions of these results given in [Mui09, beginning of §4] (resp., [Žun19, Theorem 5.3]). \square

Let \mathfrak{a} be a maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p} . We fix a choice of the set Σ^+ of positive restricted roots of \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{a} (cf. [Kna02, Ch. VI, §4]) and let

$$\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{X \in \mathfrak{a} : \lambda(X) > 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Sigma^+\}.$$

We denote by m_λ the multiplicity of a restricted root $\lambda \in \Sigma^+$, i.e., the (real) dimension of \mathfrak{g}_λ , the restricted root subspace of \mathfrak{g} corresponding to λ .

Let A^+ denote the closure of $\exp(\mathfrak{a}^+)$ in G . For every $g \in G$, there exist $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and a unique $a \in A^+$ such that $g = k_1 a k_2$ [Hel78, Ch. IX, Theorem 1.1]. Moreover, there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$M \int_G \varphi(g) dg = \int_K \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} \int_K \varphi(k_1 \exp(X) k_2) \left(\prod_{\lambda \in \Sigma^+} (\sinh \lambda(X))^{m_\lambda} \right) dk_1 dX dk_2$$

for all $\varphi \in L^1(G)$ [Fle80, (2.14)] (see also [Kna86, Proposition 5.28]). In particular, by Corollary 6.3 and (5.13), we have the following lemma.

Lemma 8.2. *Suppose that the representation π_ρ is integrable (see Lemma 5.1(vii)). Then, for every $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V)$ and for every Borel set $S \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^+$, the integral $\int_{K \exp(S)K} \|F_f(g)\|_V dg \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is given by*

$$M^{-1} \int_S \int_K \|F_f(k \exp(X))\|_V \left(\prod_{\lambda \in \Sigma^+} (\sinh \lambda(X))^{m_\lambda} \right) dk dX.$$

Our main non-vanishing result is the following theorem, which was stated in the Introduction as Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 8.3. *Suppose that the representation π_ρ is integrable (see Lemma 5.1(vii)). Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of finite covolume in G such that $m_\Gamma(\pi_\rho) < \infty$. Let $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V) \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose that there exists a Borel set $S \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^+$ with the following properties:*

- (S1) $K \exp(S)K \exp(-S)K \cap \Gamma = \{1_G\}$.
- (S2) *We have*

$$(8.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_S \int_K \|F_f(k \exp(X))\|_V \left(\prod_{\lambda \in \Sigma^+} (\sinh \lambda(X))^{m_\lambda} \right) dk dX \\ & > \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} \int_K \|F_f(k \exp(X))\|_V \left(\prod_{\lambda \in \Sigma^+} (\sinh \lambda(X))^{m_\lambda} \right) dk dX. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$P_{\Gamma, \rho} f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho^\infty(\Gamma) \setminus \{0\}$$

and

$$P_\Gamma v_\Lambda^* F_f \in \left(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi_\rho^*]} \right)_{-\Lambda} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Proof. We apply Proposition 8.1 with $\mathbf{G} = G$, $E = V$, $\varphi = F_f$, and $C = K \exp(S)K$, noting that in this case the conditions (C1) and (C2) of Proposition 8.1(ii) are equivalent, respectively, to the conditions (S1) and (S2) by Lemma 8.2. We conclude that $P_\Gamma F_f \in L^1(\Gamma \backslash G, V) \setminus \{0\}$. By (7.4), Theorem 7.4, and Lemma 5.2, it follows that $P_{\Gamma, \rho} f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho^\infty(\Gamma) \setminus \{0\}$. Consequently, the function $P_\Gamma v_\Lambda^* F_f = v_\Lambda^* P_\Gamma F_f \stackrel{(7.4)}{=} v_\Lambda^* F_{P_{\Gamma, \rho} f}$ belongs to $\left(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)_{[\pi_\rho^*]}\right)_{-\Lambda} \setminus \{0\}$ by Theorem 7.1. \square

9. THE CASE OF BOUNDED SYMMETRIC DOMAINS OF TYPE **A III**

In this section, we make the theory of preceding sections explicit and give an example application of Theorem 8.3 in the case when the bounded symmetric domain \mathcal{D} is of type **A III** in the notation of [Hel78, Ch. X, Table V], i.e., is biholomorphic to the irreducible Hermitian symmetric space

$$\mathrm{SU}(p, q) / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(p) \times \mathrm{U}(q))$$

for some fixed integers p and q such that $p \geq q \geq 1$.

Writing $n = p + q$ and $I_{p, q} = \begin{pmatrix} I_p & \\ & -I_q \end{pmatrix}$, we specify the main objects of the preceding sections as follows. We set

$$G = \mathrm{SU}(p, q) = \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}) : g^* I_{p, q} g = I_{p, q}\},$$

$K = \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(p) \times \mathrm{U}(q)) = G \cap \mathrm{U}(n)$, and $G_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Thus, we have

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(p, q) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}) : A \in M_p(\mathbb{C}), A^* = -A, D^* = -D \right\},$$

and \mathfrak{k} is the subalgebra of anti-Hermitian matrices in \mathfrak{g} . We let \mathfrak{p} (resp., \mathfrak{h}) be the space of Hermitian (resp., diagonal) matrices in \mathfrak{g} . Thus, $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the Lie algebra of diagonal matrices in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. For $r = 1, \dots, n$, we define a linear functional $e_r \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, $e_r(X) = X_{r, r}$, and set

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_K^+ &= \{e_r - e_s : 1 \leq r < s \leq p \text{ or } p+1 \leq r < s \leq n\}, \\ \Delta_n^+ &= \{e_r - e_s : 1 \leq r \leq p \text{ and } p+1 \leq s \leq n\}. \end{aligned}$$

The groups $K_{\mathbb{C}}$, $P_{\mathbb{C}}^+$, and $P_{\mathbb{C}}^-$ consist, respectively, of the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & D \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} I_p & B \\ & I_q \end{pmatrix}$, and $\begin{pmatrix} I_p & \\ C & I_q \end{pmatrix}$, where $A \in \mathrm{GL}_p(\mathbb{C})$, $D \in \mathrm{GL}_q(\mathbb{C})$, $\det A \cdot \det D = 1$, $B \in M_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$, and $C \in M_{q, p}(\mathbb{C})$. The factorization $g = g_+ g_0 g_-$ of a matrix $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in P_{\mathbb{C}}^+ K_{\mathbb{C}} P_{\mathbb{C}}^-$ is given by

$$(9.1) \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} I_p & BD^{-1} \\ & I_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A - BD^{-1}C & \\ & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_p & \\ D^{-1}C & I_q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Following [Kna86, Ch. VI, §2], we identify the bounded symmetric domain

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \underline{z} := \begin{pmatrix} z \\ \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}) : z \in M_{p, q}(\mathbb{C}), I_q - z^* z > 0 \right\} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^+$$

with

$$\Omega = \{z \in M_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}) : I_q - z^*z > 0\}$$

acted upon by G by

$$(9.2) \quad g.z = (Az + B)(Cz + D)^{-1}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in G, \quad z \in \Omega.$$

We fix a maximal abelian subspace

$$\mathfrak{a} = \left\{ H_t := \begin{pmatrix} & t_{p \times q} \\ t_{q \times p} & \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R}^q \right\}$$

of \mathfrak{p} , where we use the notation (2.3). For $r = 1, \dots, q$, let $\varepsilon_r \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ such that $\varepsilon_r(H_t) = t_r$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^q$. We fix the set Σ^+ of positive restricted roots λ listed, along with their multiplicities m_λ , in the following table, whose last row should be removed in the case when $p = q$:

$$(9.3) \quad \begin{array}{c|c} \lambda \in \Sigma^+ & m_\lambda \\ \hline \varepsilon_r - \varepsilon_s, & 1 \leq r < s \leq q & 2 \\ \varepsilon_r + \varepsilon_s, & 1 \leq r < s \leq q & 2 \\ 2\varepsilon_r, & 1 \leq r \leq q & 1 \\ \varepsilon_r, & 1 \leq r \leq q & 2(p-q) \end{array} .$$

We have $\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{H_t : t_1 > t_2 > \dots > t_q > 0\}$. Given $R \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, let

$$T_R = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^q : R > t_1 > \dots > t_q > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_R = \{H_t : t \in T_R\} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^+.$$

Lemma 9.1. *Let $R \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $g \in K \exp(S_R)K \exp(-S_R)K$. Then,*

$$\|g\|^2 < n \cosh 4R.$$

Proof. We have $g = k_1 \exp(H_t) \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & D \end{pmatrix} \exp(H_{-l}) k_2$ for some $k_1, k_2 \in K$, $A \in \mathbf{U}(p)$, $D \in \mathbf{U}(q)$, and $t, l \in T_R$. Let $D' = \begin{pmatrix} D & \\ & \end{pmatrix} \in M_p(\mathbb{C})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|^2 &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(t_{p \times p}) & (\sinh t)_{p \times q} \\ (\sinh t)_{q \times p} & \cosh(t_{q \times q}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(l_{p \times p}) & -(\sinh l)_{p \times q} \\ -(\sinh l)_{q \times p} & \cosh(l_{q \times q}) \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{r,s=1}^p \left(\begin{aligned} &|\cosh t_r A_{r,s} \cosh l_s - \sinh t_r D'_{r,s} \sinh l_s|^2 \\ &+ |\cosh t_r A_{r,s} \sinh l_s - \sinh t_r D'_{r,s} \cosh l_s|^2 \\ &+ |\sinh t_r A_{r,s} \cosh l_s - \cosh t_r D'_{r,s} \sinh l_s|^2 \\ &+ |\sinh t_r A_{r,s} \sinh l_s - \cosh t_r D'_{r,s} \cosh l_s|^2 \end{aligned} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we write $t_r = l_r = 0$ for $r > q$. One checks easily that the right-hand side equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^p \left(|A_{r,s} - D'_{r,s}|^2 \cosh 2(t_r + l_s) + |A_{r,s} + D'_{r,s}|^2 \cosh 2(t_r - l_s) \right) \\ & < \frac{\cosh 4R}{2} \left(\|A - D'\|^2 + \|A + D'\|^2 \right) = (\|A\|^2 + \|D'\|^2) \cosh 4R \\ & = n \cosh 4R. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

In the example application of our non-vanishing result in Theorem 9.3 below, the role of Γ will be played by the arithmetic subgroup

$$\Gamma_G(1) = \mathrm{SU}(p, q; \mathbb{Z}[i]) = G \cap \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[i])$$

of G and its “principal congruence subgroups”

$$\Gamma_G(N) = G \cap (I_n + M_n(N\mathbb{Z}[i]))$$

of various levels $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Lemma 9.2. *Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_G(N) \setminus K$. Then, we have*

$$\|\gamma\|^2 \geq 4N^2 + n.$$

Proof. We have $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ for some $A \in M_p(\mathbb{Z}[i])$, $B \in M_{p,q}(N\mathbb{Z}[i])$, $C \in M_{q,p}(N\mathbb{Z}[i])$, and $D \in M_q(\mathbb{Z}[i])$. Since $\gamma \in G \setminus K$, we have $B \neq 0$ or $C \neq 0$. Moreover, the equalities $\gamma^* I_{p,q} \gamma = \gamma I_{p,q} \gamma^* = I_{p,q}$ imply that $D^* D - B^* B = I_q$ and $AA^* - BB^* = A^* A - C^* C = I_p$. Thus, $A \notin \mathrm{U}(p)$, and both B and C are non-zero matrices with coefficients in $N\mathbb{Z}[i]$. In particular, $\|B\|^2 \geq N^2$, $\|C\|^2 \geq N^2$, and $|b_{r,s}| \geq N$ for some $r \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ and $s \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, hence $(BB^*)_{r,r} \geq N^2$ and $(B^* B)_{s,s} \geq N^2$. Consequently, $(AA^*)_{r,r} = (BB^*)_{r,r} + 1 \geq N^2 + 1$ and $(D^* D)_{s,s} = (B^* B)_{s,s} + 1 \geq N^2 + 1$. Since moreover the equalities $AA^* = BB^* + I_p$ and $D^* D = B^* B + I_q$ imply that $(AA^*)_{k,k} \geq 1$ for $k = 1, \dots, p$ and $(D^* D)_{k,k} \geq 1$ for $k = 1, \dots, q$, it follows that $\|A\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^p (AA^*)_{k,k} \geq N^2 + p$ and $\|D\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^q (D^* D)_{k,k} \geq N^2 + q$. Thus, $\|\gamma\|^2 = \|A\|^2 + \|B\|^2 + \|C\|^2 + \|D\|^2 \geq 4N^2 + n$. \square

Let us define the functions $\mu_{p,q} : T_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$,

$$\mu_{p,q}(x) = \left(\prod_{r=1}^q \frac{x_r^{p-q}}{(1-x_r)^n} \right) \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq q} (x_r - x_s)^2,$$

and $\nu_n : \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \rightarrow [0, 1]$,

$$(9.4) \quad \nu_n(N) = \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{n}{2N^2}} + \sqrt{\frac{n}{2N^2}} \right)^{-2}.$$

Theorem 9.3. *Let (ρ, V) be an irreducible unitary representation of K of highest weight $\Lambda = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} a_r e_r$, where $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 - 2n \geq a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_p$, and $a_{p+1} \geq \dots \geq a_{n-1} \geq 0$. Then, extending ρ to an irreducible holomorphic representation of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have the following:*

(i) Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of finite covolume in G such that $m_\Gamma(\pi_\rho) < \infty$ (e.g., $\Gamma = \Gamma_G(N)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$). Then, for every $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V)$, the Poincaré series

$$(9.5) \quad (P_{\Gamma, \rho} f)(\underline{z}) = \sum_{\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma} \rho \begin{pmatrix} A - (\gamma.z)C & \\ & Cz + D \end{pmatrix}^{-1} f(\underline{\gamma.z}), \quad z \in \Omega,$$

(resp., $P_\Gamma v_\Lambda^* F_f$) converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of \mathcal{D} (resp., G), and we have (7.5) and (7.6).

(ii) Let $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V) \setminus \{0\}$. Then, there exists the smallest integer $N \geq 3$, which we will denote by $N_0(\rho, f)$, such that

$$(9.6) \quad \int_{T_{\nu_n(N)}} \int_K \varphi_{\rho, f}(k, x) \mu_{p, q}(x) dk dx > \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_1} \int_K \varphi_{\rho, f}(k, x) \mu_{p, q}(x) dk dx,$$

where the function $\varphi_{\rho, f} : K \times T_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is given by

$$(9.7) \quad \varphi_{\rho, f}(k, x) = \left\| \rho \begin{pmatrix} (I_p - x_{p \times p})^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \\ & (I_q - x_{q \times q})^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \rho(k)^{-1} f \left(A \begin{pmatrix} x^{\frac{1}{2}} & \\ & D^* \end{pmatrix}_{p \times q} \right) \right\|_V,$$

where $A \in \mathrm{U}(p)$ and $D \in \mathrm{U}(q)$ are such that $k = \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & D \end{pmatrix}$.

(iii) Let $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V) \setminus \{0\}$. Then, we have

$$P_{\Gamma_G(N), \rho} f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho^\infty(\Gamma_G(N)) \setminus \{0\}$$

and

$$P_{\Gamma_G(N)} v_\Lambda^* F_f \in \left(L^2(\Gamma_G(N) \backslash G)_{[\pi_\rho^*]} \right)_{-\Lambda} \setminus \{0\}$$

for all integers $N \geq N_0(\rho, f)$.

Proof. The assumption on $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}^*$ in the statement of the theorem is easily shown equivalent to the conditions (A1)–(A3) and (5.4). Thus, the representation π_ρ is well-defined and integrable. Moreover, the expression (9.5) for the Poincaré series $P_{\Gamma, \rho} f$ defined by (7.2) follows from (5.10) since

$$(\gamma \exp \underline{z})_0 = \left(\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_p & z \\ & I_q \end{pmatrix} \right)_0 \stackrel{(9.1)}{=} \begin{pmatrix} A - (\gamma.z)C & \\ & Cz + D \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ and $z \in \Omega$. Thus, (i) follows directly from Theorem 7.4.

Next, we apply Theorem 8.3 with $S = S_R$ for a suitable $R \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. For every $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$, we have $\Gamma_G(N) \cap K = \{1_G\}$, hence by Lemmas 9.1 and 9.2, the set S_R satisfies the condition (S1) of Theorem 8.3 with $\Gamma = \Gamma_G(N)$ provided that

$$4N^2 + n \geq n \cosh 4R, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad R \leq \operatorname{artanh} \sqrt{\nu_n(N)}.$$

Next, we consider the condition (S2) of Theorem 8.3 for a fixed $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V) \setminus \{0\}$. By (5.11), (9.1), (9.2), and (9.3), for every $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, writing $k = \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & D \end{pmatrix}$ for $k \in K$ as in (9.7),

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S_{\operatorname{artanh} \sqrt{\nu_n(N)}}} \int_K \|F_f(k \exp(X))\|_V \left(\prod_{\lambda \in \Sigma^+} (\sinh \lambda(X))^{m_\lambda} \right) dk dX \\
(9.8) \quad &= \int_{T_{\operatorname{artanh} \sqrt{\nu_n(N)}}} \int_K \left\| \rho \begin{pmatrix} \cosh t_{p \times p} & \\ & (\cosh t_{q \times q})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \rho(k)^{-1} f(A(\tanh t)_{p \times q} D^*) \right\|_V \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq q} \sinh^2(t_r - t_s) \sinh^2(t_r + t_s) \right) \left(\prod_{r=1}^q \sinh(2t_r) \sinh^{2(p-q)} t_r \right) dk dt \\
&= \int_{T_{\nu_n(N)}} \int_K \varphi_{\rho, f}(k, x) \mu_{p, q}(x) dk dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is obtained by introducing the change of variables $x = \tanh^2(t)$. Analogously, we obtain

$$(9.9) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} \int_K \|F_f(k \exp(X))\|_V \left(\prod_{\lambda \in \Sigma^+} (\sinh \lambda(X))^{m_\lambda} \right) dk dX = \int_{T_1} \int_K \varphi_{\rho, f}(k, x) \mu_{p, q}(x) dk dx.$$

Since the set T_1 equals the increasing union $\bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} T_{\nu_n(N)}$, by the monotone convergence theorem the integral (9.8) tends to (9.9) as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, since $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, V) \setminus \{0\}$, by Corollary 6.3 and Lemma 5.2 the function F_f belongs to $L^1(G, V) \setminus \{0\}$, hence by Lemma 8.2 the integral (9.9) belongs to $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Thus, (ii) holds, and the inequality (9.6) is satisfied by all integers $N \geq N_0(\rho, f)$. By (9.8) and (9.9), so is the condition (S2) of Theorem 8.3 with $S = S_{\operatorname{artanh} \sqrt{\nu_n(N)}}$.

Thus, by applying Theorem 8.3 with $S = S_{\operatorname{artanh} \sqrt{\nu_n(N)}}$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma_G(N)$, where $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq N_0(\rho, f)}$, we obtain (iii). \square

Example 9.4. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2n-1}$. An irreducible unitary representation χ_m of K of highest weight $-m \sum_{r=1}^p e_r$ is, upon extension to an irreducible holomorphic representation of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$, given by

$$\chi_m(k) = (\det D)^m, \quad k = \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & D \end{pmatrix} \in K_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Suppose that $p = q$. For every $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define a function $f_l \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$f_l(\underline{z}) = (\det z)^l, \quad z \in \Omega.$$

By applying Theorem 9.3 to the Poincaré series $P_{\Gamma_G(N), \chi_m} f_l$, we obtain the following non-vanishing result.

Corollary 9.5. *Suppose that $p = q$. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2n-1}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then, we have the following:*

(i) *The integer $N_0(\chi_m, f_l)$ defined in Theorem 9.3(ii) is the smallest integer $N \geq 3$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T_{\nu_n(N)}} \left(\prod_{r=1}^p x_r^{\frac{l}{2}} (1-x_r)^{\frac{m}{2}-n} \right) \left(\prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq p} (x_r - x_s)^2 \right) dx \\ & > \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_1} \left(\prod_{r=1}^p x_r^{\frac{l}{2}} (1-x_r)^{\frac{m}{2}-n} \right) \left(\prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq p} (x_r - x_s)^2 \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *For all integers $N \geq N_0(\chi_m, f_l)$, the Poincaré series*

$$(P_{\Gamma_G(N), \chi_m} f_l)(z) = \sum_{\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_G(N)} \frac{\det(Az + B)^l}{\det(Cz + D)^{l+m}}, \quad z \in \Omega,$$

which converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of \mathcal{D} , defines an element of $\mathcal{H}_{\chi_m}^\infty(\Gamma_G(N)) \setminus \{0\}$.

In Tables 1 and 2, the values of integers $N_0(\chi_m, f_l)$ are listed for $p \in \{1, 2\}$ and some choices of l and m . We computed these values using Wolfram Mathematica 14.1 [WolMath]; the code can be found in [Žun25].

TABLE 1. Some values of $N_0(\chi_m, f_l)$ in the case when $p = 1$.

$l \backslash m$	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
0	7	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
1	12	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
2	16	6	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
3	20	8	5	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
4	25	9	6	5	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
5	29	11	7	5	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
6	34	12	8	6	5	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3
7	38	13	8	6	5	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3
8	42	15	9	7	6	5	4	4	4	3	3	3	3
9	47	16	10	8	6	5	5	4	4	4	3	3	3
10	51	18	11	8	7	6	5	4	4	4	4	3	3
11	56	19	12	9	7	6	5	5	4	4	4	4	3
12	60	21	13	9	8	6	6	5	5	4	4	4	3

TABLE 2. Some values of $N_0(\chi_m, f_l)$ in the case when $p = 2$.

$l \backslash m$	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
0	50	17	10	8	6	5	5	4	4	4	3	3	3	3
1	64	21	13	9	7	6	5	5	4	4	4	4	3	3
2	77	25	15	11	9	7	6	6	5	5	4	4	4	4
3	91	29	17	12	10	8	7	6	6	5	5	4	4	4
4	105	33	19	14	11	9	8	7	6	6	5	5	5	4
5	119	37	22	15	12	10	8	7	7	6	6	5	5	5
6	133	41	24	17	13	11	9	8	7	7	6	6	5	5
7	147	45	26	18	14	12	10	9	8	7	7	6	6	5
8	161	49	28	20	15	12	11	9	8	8	7	6	6	6
9	175	54	30	21	16	13	11	10	9	8	7	7	6	6
10	189	58	33	23	17	14	12	11	9	8	8	7	7	6
11	202	62	35	24	19	15	13	11	10	9	8	8	7	7
12	216	66	37	26	20	16	14	12	10	9	9	8	7	7

REFERENCES

- AllBar19. Alluhaibi, N., Barron, T.: On vector-valued automorphic forms on bounded symmetric domains. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **55**(3), 417–441 (2019)
- AtiSch77. Atiyah, M., Schmid, W.: A geometric construction of the discrete series for semisimple Lie groups. *Invent. Math.* **42**, 1–62 (1977)
- BaiBor66. Baily, W. L., Jr., Borel, A.: Compactification of arithmetic quotients of bounded symmetric domains. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **84**, 442–528 (1966)
- Bru58. Bruhat, F.: Travaux de Harish-Chandra. *Séminaire Bourbaki*, Vol. 4, Exp. No. 143, 85–93, Société Mathématique de France, Paris (1958)
- Car35. Cartan, É.: Sur les domaines bornés homogènes de l’espace de n variables complexes. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **11**(1), 116–162 (1935)
- Fle80. Flensted-Jensen, M.: Discrete series for semisimple symmetric spaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **111**(2), 253–311 (1980)
- Fot07. Foth, T.: Poincaré series on bounded symmetric domains. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135**(10), 3301–3308 (2007)
- Gar83. Garrett, P. B.: Arithmetic and structure of automorphic forms on bounded symmetric domains. I, II. *Amer. J. Math.* **105**(5), 1171–1193, 1195–1216 (1983)
- Har53. Harish-Chandra: Representations of a semisimple Lie group on a Banach space. I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75**, 185–243 (1953)
- Har55iv. Harish-Chandra: Representations of semisimple Lie groups. IV. *Amer. J. Math.* **77**, 743–777 (1955)
- Har56v. Harish-Chandra: Representations of semisimple Lie groups. V. *Amer. J. Math.* **78**, 1–41 (1956)
- Har56vi. Harish-Chandra: Representations of semisimple Lie groups. VI. Integrable and square-integrable representations. *Amer. J. Math.* **78**, 564–628 (1956)
- Har66. Harish-Chandra: Discrete series for semisimple Lie groups. II. Explicit determination of the characters. *Acta Math.* **116**, 1–111 (1966)
- Har68. Harish-Chandra: *Automorphic forms on semisimple Lie groups. Notes by J. G. M. Mars.* Lecture Notes in Math. **62**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1968)
- HecSch76. Hecht, H., Schmid, W.: On integrable representations of a semisimple Lie group. *Math. Ann.* **220**(2), 147–149 (1976)
- Hel78. Helgason, S.: *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces.* Pure Appl. Math. 80, Academic Press, Inc., New York-London (1978)
- Hum72. Humphreys, J. E.: *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory.* Grad. Texts in Math. **9**, Springer-Verlag, New York–Berlin (1972)

- Kna86. Knapp, A. W.: *Representation theory of semisimple groups. An overview based on examples*. Princeton Math. Ser. **36**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1986)
- Kna02. Knapp, A. W.: *Lie groups beyond an introduction*. Second edition, Progr. Math. **140**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA (2002)
- KnaVog95. Knapp, A. W., Vogan, D. A., Jr.: *Cohomological induction and unitary representations*. Princeton Math. Ser. **45**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1995)
- Mil77. Miličić, D.: Asymptotic behaviour of matrix coefficients of the discrete series. *Duke Math. J.* **44**(1), 59–88 (1977)
- Mui09. Muić, G.: On a construction of certain classes of cuspidal automorphic forms via Poincaré series. *Math. Ann.* **343**(1), 207–227 (2009)
- Mui10. Muić, G.: On the cuspidal modular forms for the Fuchsian groups of the first kind. *J. Number Theory* **130**(7), 1488–1511 (2010)
- Mui19. Muić, G.: Smooth cuspidal automorphic forms and integrable discrete series. *Math. Z.* **292**(3–4), 895–922 (2019)
- PySha69. Pyatetskii-Shapiro, I. I.: *Automorphic functions and the geometry of classical domains*. Math. Appl. **8**, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris (1969)
- Rep79. Repka, J.: Tensor products of holomorphic discrete series representations. *Canadian J. Math.* **31**(4), 836–844 (1979)
- WolMath. Wolfram Research, Inc.: *Mathematica*, Version 14.1, Champaign, IL (2024)
- Žun19. Žunar, S.: On the non-vanishing of Poincaré series on the metaplectic group. *Manuscripta Math.* **158**(1–2), 1–19 (2019)
- Žun23. Žunar, S.: On a family of Siegel Poincaré series. *Int. J. Number Theory* **19**(9), 2215–2239 (2023)
- Žun24. Žunar, S.: Construction and non-vanishing of a family of vector-valued Siegel Poincaré series. *J. Number Theory* **268**, 95–123 (2025)
- Žun25. Žunar, S.: *Addendum to “On the non-vanishing of Poincaré series on irreducible bounded symmetric domains”*: Wolfram Mathematica code for computing the constants $N_0(\chi_m, f_l)$, <http://www2.geof.unizg.hr/%7Eszunar/papers/bsd/Addendum.pdf>, accessed 12 January 2025