

MS-Temba : Multi-Scale Temporal Mamba for Efficient Temporal Action Detection

Arkaprava Sinha, Monish Soundar Raj, Pu Wang, Ahmed Helmy, Srijan Das
University of North Carolina at Charlotte
asinha13@charlotte.edu




Figure 1. **Temporal Action Detection using Mamba**: On the left, a visual sample demonstrates that our model, MS-Temba, accurately localizes detected action labels in comparison to MS-TCT for temporal action detection in untrimmed videos. On the right, a plot of GPU memory usage versus video duration highlights the efficiency of MS-Temba in processing long untrimmed videos.

“Temba, his arms wide.”

Star Trek: The Next Generation episode, “Darmo”

Abstract

Action detection in real-world scenarios is particularly challenging due to densely distributed actions in hour-long untrimmed videos. It requires modeling both short- and long-term temporal relationships while handling significant intra-class temporal variations. Previous state-of-the-art (SOTA) Transformer-based architectures, though effective, are impractical for real-world deployment due to their high parameter count, GPU memory usage, and limited throughput, making them unsuitable for very long videos. In this work, we innovatively adapt the Mamba architecture for action detection and propose **Multi-scale Temporal Mamba (MS-Temba)**, comprising two key components: *Temporal Mamba (Temba) Blocks* and the *Temporal Mamba Fuser*. Temba Blocks include the *Temporal Local Module (TLM)* for short-range temporal modeling and the *Dilated Temporal SSM (DTS)* for long-range dependencies. By introducing dilations, a novel concept for Mamba, TLM and DTS capture local and global features at multiple scales. The Temba Fuser aggregates these scale-specific features using

*Mamba to learn comprehensive multi-scale representations of untrimmed videos. MS-Temba is validated on three public datasets, outperforming SOTA methods on long videos and matching prior methods on short videos while using only one-eighth of the parameters.*¹

1. Introduction

Learning representations in long, untrimmed videos is challenging due to complex, long-term dependencies that advanced neural networks struggle to optimize end-to-end. One such task, action detection, involves precisely localizing temporal boundaries of actions in untrimmed videos, with applications in areas like patient monitoring and video surveillance. Real-world deployment of temporal action detection models requires efficiency in capturing actions and their boundaries, yet real-world videos differ significantly from sports datasets [2, 22], where a few, non-overlapping actions with clear motion patterns are present. Real-world videos often contain densely distributed actions over time with subtle motion patterns, further complicated by large intra-class variance (e.g., the same action occurring with varying durations), the need for long-term relation model-

¹The code is available at <https://github.com/thearkaprava/MS-Temba>

ing, and simultaneous actions. This paper focuses on developing an efficient model for action detection in hour-long videos, addressing these real-world challenges.

To learn temporal representations in untrimmed videos, early methods employed proposal-based approaches [43], which, while effective for videos with sparse action distributions, are resource-intensive due to the need to generate numerous proposals. Consequently, temporal convolutional methods [14, 31, 32] became popular for action detection, although their local receptive fields limit the capture of long-term dependencies. With the success of self-attention mechanisms, transformer-based methods [8, 39] were introduced to learn global representations across time frames. Despite their effectiveness, these transformer models impose high computational costs in terms of model parameters, memory usage, and throughput, making them challenging to deploy on edge devices for real-world applications.

In recent research, Mamba [17, 26, 50] is gaining attention for its efficiency in handling long sequences with linear complexity, making it well-suited for action detection in untrimmed videos. While Mamba has seen exploration in the vision domain, its application to action detection in untrimmed videos remains underexplored, motivating us to develop a Mamba-based architecture tailored for this purpose. To address this, we propose **Multi-scale Temporal Mamba** (MS-Temba), an architecture designed for efficient action detection (see Figure 1). MS-Temba incorporates two key components: 1) Temporal Mamba Blocks (Temba blocks) and 2) the Temporal Mamba Fuser (Temba fuser). The Temba Blocks are designed to learn temporal representations at multiple scales, achieved through two modules: the Temporal Local Module (TLM) and the Dilated Temporal State Space Module (DTS). TLM captures local temporal representations, while DTS learns long-range relationships using state space models enhanced with dilations. Although dilated convolutions are widely used, this work is the first to incorporate dilations into SSMS, allowing DTS to skip immediate neighbors and capture relationships across different temporal scales. This approach enhances Temba Blocks’ ability to model long-term temporal relations effectively. Additionally, the Temba Fuser aggregates scale-specific temporal information from each Temba Block, learning a multi-scale representation. This fusion, performed via Mamba, is computationally lighter than dense layers. We assess MS-Temba on three publicly available datasets with densely labeled actions, finding that it performs on-par with previous state-of-the-art method on short-duration videos and surpasses it on long-duration videos, using only one-eighth the parameters. Our study reveals that MS-Temba outperforms transformer-based models when both use a foundational visual backbone, highlighting Mamba’s potential not only for long sequences but

also for feature inputs from foundation models [33].

To summarize the main contributions:

1. We introduce a novel Mamba-based architecture **Multi-scale Temporal Mamba** (MS-Temba), tailored for densely labeled Temporal Action Detection
2. MS-Temba explores different temporal scales through our novel components in Temba block, especially dilated Temporal SSMS, and aggregates them to learn multiscale representation through the Temporal Mamba Fuser
3. This carefully crafted design of MS-Temba achieves performance on par with Transformer-based architectures on short videos and outperforms the previous state-of-the-art on long-duration videos with 88% less parameters.

Figure 1 illustrates a visual sample of action detection using MS-Temba compared to previous state-of-the-art methods. MS-Temba efficiently processes hour-long videos with low GPU memory usage and fewer parameters, maintaining high accuracy. This motivates the suitability of the Mamba architecture for temporal action detection in untrimmed videos.

2. Related Work

Understanding actions in long temporal sequences has become a key task in computer vision [10, 11, 16, 27, 44, 48]. Early action detection approaches focused on videos with sparse action labels [2, 22, 48], primarily using proposal-based methods [43, 49] inspired by object detection to generate action proposals. However, temporal action detection with densely labeled action distribution offers greater challenges due to concurrent actions, where proposal-based methods struggle with the combinatorial explosion in proposal generation.

This led to the adoption of temporal convolution-based models [14, 31] for processing long videos. Convolutional methods like PDAN [7] and TGM [32] use specialized kernels designed to model long-term dependencies and capture composite actions. However, the shared kernels in temporal convolutions are limited to neighboring frames, and even with dilations, struggle to capture global relationships between frames. This limitation is significant for densely labeled Temporal Action Detection, where long-term actions are common. In response, transformer-based architectures [12, 13, 29, 40, 41], which have proven effective in computer vision, have been adapted for action detection [8, 34, 39]. These architectures can model both short- and long-term dependencies in videos. For example, MLAD [39] employs a Transformer encoder to capture cross-class features and temporal relationships, while MS-TCT [8] uses a temporal hierarchy to model local relationships through temporal convolutions and global interactions through attention. These transformer based approaches enhances multi-scale representation learning, but the effec-

tiveness comes at a high computational cost. The linear complexity of state space models (Mamba [17]) makes it an ideal test bed for processing long untrimmed videos.

In recent times, Structured State Space Model (S4) [18] has gained popularity in effectively processing long sequence lengths in linear complexity. Mamba [17] addresses some challenges of S4 (selective copying and induction heads) and introduces data-dependent SSM layer and a hardware aware efficient algorithm. Consequently, Mamba has been adapted for various computer vision downstream tasks using static images such as image classification, object detection, semantic segmentation, image restoration in [1, 19, 20, 28, 42, 50, 51]. Naturally, these state space models have been adapted for videos in a wide range of applications such as action classification [26, 52], video object segmentation [45], video retrieval [38] and physiological measurement from face videos [30]. However, these methods are trained on videos which span only few seconds and have a global class per video. It is non trivial to adapt these methods for action detection since action detectors requires two stage training, first feature extraction from untrimmed videos using a visual backbone and then temporal learning of these features for dense action-label prediction. For long-range temporal modeling, TranS4mer [21] has shown effectiveness in video classification and movie scene detection tasks by identifying scene changes within videos. Closest to our approach, Video Mamba Suite [4] performs action localization on datasets like HACS [48] and GTEA [15]. These Mamba-based methods rely on frame sampling and compressing all information within their architectures limiting them to process videos around 3 minutes. However, in this work, we target untrimmed videos exceeding 40 minutes, featuring dense labels and co-occurring actions. Linear-complexity state space models have not yet been applied to action detection in such untrimmed videos. To the best of our knowledge, MS-Temba is the first to leverage Mamba for the task of temporal action detection in densely labeled untrimmed videos.

3. Preliminaries

State space models map continuous input sequences $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ to continuous outputs $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ using a hidden state $h(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For the above mapping and updating of the hidden state, SSMs use a transition state matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ projection matrices $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ and $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$. The update equations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} h'(t) &= \mathbf{A}h(t) + \mathbf{A}x(t) \\ y(t) &= \mathbf{C}h(t) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Previous works [17, 18, 50], use discrete versions of the above system using a discretization parameter Δ known as

the step size. Following is an example of a discretization transformation using Δ , known as zero-order hold (ZOH):

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{A}} &= \exp(\Delta \mathbf{A}) \\ \bar{\mathbf{B}} &= (\Delta \mathbf{A})^{-1}(\exp(\Delta \mathbf{A}) - \mathbf{I})\Delta \mathbf{B} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

This discretization of \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} to $\bar{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{B}}$ leads to the following updated state-space equations:

$$\begin{aligned} h_t &= \bar{\mathbf{A}}h_{t-1} + \bar{\mathbf{B}}x_t \\ y_t &= \mathbf{C}h_t \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Standard SSMs are typically designed to process 1D inputs in a linear sequence. However, [26, 50] introduce bidirectional scanning of tokens (illustrated in Figure 3), which are more effective for vision tasks. In this approach, the SSM operates on the input sequence v and it's reversed counterpart v' , fusing the projected outputs for robust bidirectional sequence modeling. For brevity, we call it **Bi-Mamba** for the remainder of the paper. This enhancement enables more effective representation learning, especially in domains requiring complex temporal dependencies. In this work, we extend the Mamba based architectures for understanding long untrimmed videos.

4. Multi-scale Temporal Mamba

In Temporal Action Detection, we aim to classify multiple activities at each time-step of an untrimmed video. Formally, given a video of T temporal segments, we associate an action label y_t^c for each time-step t , where c denotes the action classes, with $c \in \{1, \dots, C\}$.

Here, we introduce **Multi-Scale Temporal Mamba** (MS-Temba), which is capable of detecting fine-grained as well as composite actions efficiently in long untrimmed videos. As illustrated in Figure 2, MS-Temba consists of: i) a **Visual Backbone** to extract discriminative features from untrimmed videos, ii) **Temba** blocks which captures temporal information at different scales, iii) **Temba Fuser** to combine the learned representations across different scales, and iv) a **Classification Head** to predict multiple actions within each temporal segment of the input video. In the following sections, we detail each of these components of MS-Temba.

4.1. Visual Backbone

The input to MS-Temba is an untrimmed video potentially spanning long durations (several minutes). Training an end-to-end model across both spatial and temporal dimensions poses significant computational demands. Consequently, in line with prior work [7, 8, 14, 47], we first segment the untrimmed video into T contiguous, non-overlapping segments, each consisting of 8 or 16 frames. Each segment,




Figure 2. Overall Architecture of **Multiscale Temporal Mamba (MS-Temba)** for action detection. MS-Temba is composed of a Visual Backbone, a Temporal Encoder consisting of Temporal Mamba (Temba) Blocks, a Temporal Mamba Fuser and a Classification Head.

known as a visual token, is encoded via a visual backbone, capturing spatial features through either a 3D ConvNet (e.g., I3D [3]) pre-trained on the target dataset, or a larger foundational model such as CLIP [33]. Unlike action classification tasks, sparse frame sampling is unsuitable here, as it risks omitting short-duration actions crucial for detection. The segment-wise representations are then stacked to form an input feature vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D}$, where D is the feature dimension and T is the total temporal sequence length. Finally, v is projected to $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D_0}$ to obtain a latent representation of the input, which serves as input tokens to our proposed Mamba-based temporal encoder.

4.2. Temporal Mamba (Temba) Blocks

For understanding the semantics of short-term and long-term actions in untrimmed videos, it is essential to model temporal relations at different scales. To this end, we introduce Temporal Mamba (Temba) Blocks which consists of two primary modules. i) **Temporal Local Module** to capture local temporal relations between tokens and ii) **Dilated**




Figure 3. **Temba Block**. A single Temba block consists of a Temporal Local Module (TLM) and a Dilated Temporal SSM. The TLM is responsible for learning local feature while the DTS learns long-range temporal dependencies. Φ denotes a non-parameterized operation to facilitate dilation in the SSM.

Temporal SSM to capture long-range temporal dependencies within videos.

Temporal Local Module (TLM) forms an essential component of Temba blocks, specifically designed to introduce local interactions between tokens in neighboring temporal region. In order to capture multiscale temporal representations it is important to process the tokens locally at different neighborhoods. Therefore, TLM uses a dilated temporal convolution layer with a kernel size of $k = 3$, stride of 1 and dilation of δ . We gradually increase δ in subsequent blocks. This layer incrementally captures temporally spaced features, allowing the model to focus on local neighborhoods in early blocks, where the dilation is minimal, and progressively incorporates more distant neighborhoods in later blocks as the dilation increases. Formally, each module can be described as follows:

$$z_b = W_b z_{b-1} + \beta_b, \quad z_b \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times T \times D_b} \quad (4)$$

where W_b is the 1D convolutional kernel of the b^{th} Temba Block and β_b is the bias, D_b is the feature dimension computed as $D_b = \gamma D_{b-1}$. Thus, the TLM progressively increases the feature dimension by a factor of γ in each successive block, enabling the model to capture complex patterns effectively as its receptive field increases. The receptive field for a kernel of size 3 and dilation δ is given by,

$$ReceptiveField(\delta) = 2^\delta + 1 \quad (5)$$

Functionalities of the TLM can also be achieved using MLPs or more complex networks for local interaction. Consequently, the TLM introduces a strong inductive bias by mapping token representations across multiple temporal scales, subsequently enabling the temporal SSM to capture global token interactions effectively.

Dilated Temporal SSM. (DTS) While the TLM captures interactions in a local neighborhood, it is not sufficient for learning long-range temporal relationships among actions which is crucial for Temporal Action Detection, as




Figure 4. **Scanning Strategy.** An illustration of scanning strategy in Dilated Temporal SSM (DTS). Note this diagram shows only the forward scanning in the second Temba Block. MS-Temba uses bidirectional scanning.

discussed in Section 1. These global interactions are effective in Transformer-based architectures, but they come at a high computational cost and are infeasible for hour-long videos. However, SSMs, albeit efficient in processing long sequences, scan the input tokens sequentially and update the state-space only with neighboring token features. We aim to introduce the concept of dilation in SSMs, to effectively capture the long-range relationships. To this end, we introduce a non-parameterized invertible operation $\Phi_\eta : \mathbb{R}^{B \times T \times D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\eta B \times T/\eta \times D}$, which is responsible for extracting distinct tokens at different temporal resolutions controlled by the SSM dilation rate η . For example, for $\eta = 2$, the temporal sequence $T = [t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, \dots, t_{2N}]$ is divided into two subsets T_1 and T_2 , consisting of non-overlapping tokens $T_1 = [t_1, t_3, t_5, \dots, t_{2N-1}]$ and $T_2 = [t_2, t_4, t_6, \dots, t_{2N}]$, where $T_1 \cap T_2 = \phi$. These are scanned separately by a bidirectional SSM (BiMamba), illustrated in Figure 4, and the learned representations are merged together in the respective initial positions (as in T) to achieve a global interaction among the tokens in the SSM. This approach, dubbed Dilated Temporal SSM, is formally described as follows:

$$z_b = \Phi_\eta(z_b), \quad z_b \in \mathbb{R}^{\eta B \times T/\eta \times D} \quad (6)$$

$$z_b = BiMamba(z_b), \quad z_b \in \mathbb{R}^{\eta B \times T/\eta \times D} \quad (7)$$

$$z_b = \Phi_\eta^{-1}(z_b), \quad z_b \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times T \times D} \quad (8)$$

The combination of TLM with dilated token scanning enables the Temba blocks to refine state representations across layers at various temporal scales, defined by the two dilation parameters, δ and η .

4.3. Temporal Mamba Fuser

The Temporal Mamba Fuser (Temba Fuser), illustrated in Figure 5, plays a crucial role in aggregating temporal features learned across different scales through Temba blocks, enabling the learning of multi-scale temporal representations. It first applies a linear projection to the output of each




Figure 5. **Temporal Mamba Fuser (TMF)** is composed of projection layers to aggregate features learnt at different Temba Blocks. After these features are fused, **BiMamba** is responsible for interaction between the fused features.

block standardizing the feature dimensionality to match that of the final block (E). The resulting features are fused to form a unified temporal representation. Finally, we leverage a BiMamba to facilitate interactions across the fused representation from various blocks, each capturing distinct temporal patterns, as follows:

$$z_f = \sum_b W_f z_b + \beta_f, \quad z_f \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times T \times E} \quad (9)$$

$$y' = BiMamba(z_f), \quad y' \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times T \times E} \quad (10)$$

Here, W_f and β_f denote the weight matrix and bias of the linear projector.

4.4. Classification Head

The Classification Head receives the fused features from the Temba Fuser post interaction in the BiMamba. This component is designed as a linear layer that projects the high-dimensional temporal features into the space of multi-label action classes.

$$\tilde{y} = W_h y' + B_h, \quad \tilde{y}_s \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times T \times C} \quad (11)$$

Here, $y' \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times T \times E}$ is the representation obtained from Temba Fuser, W_h and B_h are the parameters of the classification head projector and C is the number of action classes. To train the model effectively for multi-label action detection, following [8, 39], we optimize MS-Temba using a Binary Cross-Entropy (BCE) loss, given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B [y_i \log(\tilde{y}_i) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - \tilde{y}_i)] \quad (12)$$

where B is the number of samples in a mini-batch and y_i is the ground truth label.

5. Experiments

Datasets. We evaluate MS-Temba, on three multi-label action detection datasets: Toyota Smarthome Untrimmed [5],

MultiTHUMOS [46], and Charades [35]. All three datasets contain densely labeled activities, making the task of action detection challenging. Toyota Smarthome Untrimmed (TSU) [5] is an untrimmed video dataset capturing indoor activities related to daily living. It includes 51 action classes, with up to 5 co-occurring actions in a single frame, as well as composite actions. The average duration of the videos in TSU is around 21 minutes, considerably higher than the other datasets making it challenging for action detection. MultiTHUMOS [46] is another densely annotated dataset containing frame-level annotations of 400 videos from the THUMOS’14 [22] dataset. It consists of 65 action classes with an average of 10.5 action instances per video and 1.5 labels per frame. Charades [35] is another large-scale dataset consisting of 9848 untrimmed videos spanning 157 actions. It contains 66500 annotations of daily activities with an average of 6.8 action instances per video. We evaluate our methods on these datasets using the "action localization" protocol described in [5], [36], and [46], respectively, to detect frame-level actions and compare them with the temporal annotations.

Implementation Details. In MS-Temba, we use I3D [3] and CLIP-L/14 [33] as our visual backbones. Their feature dimensions D are 1024 and 768 respectively. The input features are projected to $D_0 = 256$ before it is input to the first Temba block. MS-Temba consists of 3 Temba blocks with a feature expansion ratio of $\gamma = 1.5$. Furthermore, each Temba block consists of a single bidirectional Mamba layer. We follow the Mamba configurations of [50], with a state-dimension of 16. The dilation parameters are set to $\delta = \eta = b$ for Temba block b . For TSU, MultiTHUMOS and Charades, the input Temporal dimensions are fixed at 2500, 256 and 2000 respectively. In Appendix A, we provide the configuration details for each of the datasets. We use Adam optimizer [25] and cosine learning rate scheduler. All our models are trained on a single 24GB RTX A5000 GPU.

Table 1. **Comparison with the State-of-the-art.** Bold denotes best scores, underline denotes the second best.

Method	Visual Backbone	#Param (M)	FLOPs (G)	TSU (mAP)	MTHUMOS (mAP)	Charades (mAP)
Super-event [31]	I3D	26	0.8	17.2	36.4	18.6
TGM [32]	I3D	2	1.2	26.7	37.2	20.6
PDAN [7]	I3D	6	3.2	32.7	40.2	23.7
Coarse-Fine [23]	X3D	8	73.4	-	-	25.1
MLAD [39]	I3D	21	45.2	-	42.2	18.4
CTRN [6]	I3D	11	11.3	33.5	44.0	<u>25.3</u>
MS-TCT [8]	I3D	87	6.6	<u>33.7</u>	43.1	25.4
MS-Temba (ours)	I3D	10	0.6	34.9	<u>43.7</u>	<u>25.3</u>
TTM [34]	ViViT	89	0.8	-	-	26.3
AAN [9]	CLIP	-	-	41.3	-	32.0
MS-TCT [8]	CLIP	87	6.6	40.6	41.6	31.9
MS-Temba (ours)	CLIP	10	0.6	42.0	41.9	32.3

5.1. Comparison to the State-of-the-Art

In Table 1, we benchmark MS-Temba against the state-of-the-art temporal action detection methods on TSU, MultiTHUMOS and Charades. The top section of Table 1 demonstrates the performance of models using an I3D visual backbone for feature extraction, which is predominantly used in previous works [6–8, 32, 39]. In the TSU and MultiTHUMOS datasets, while other methods struggle to detect actions in the videos due to their longer duration compared to other datasets, MS-Temba achieves state-of-the-art, demonstrating its ability to learn effective long-range representations in the state space. We observe that MS-Temba achieves comparable performance with state-of-the-art [8] in Charades **88.5%** less number of parameters.

The bottom section of Table 1, presents the performance of Temporal models with foundation models as backbone. TTM [34] uses a ViViT backbone, pretrained on the large-scale datasets JFT [37] and Kinetics-400 [24] and finetuned on the target dataset, for feature extraction whereas the other models use the pretrained foundation model CLIP. We observe that MS-Temba trained using CLIP features outperforms MS-Temba trained with I3D features on TSU and Charades, highlighting the fact that foundation models learn more generalizable discriminative features compared to specialized models. For a detailed discussion of the I3D vs CLIP features, see Appendix. Interestingly, we find that I3D features outperform CLIP features on the MultiTHUMOS dataset. This advantage likely stems from MultiTHUMOS’s focus on short, atomic actions, which align well with the temporal modeling capacity inherent in I3D through 3D convolutions. MS-Temba consistently outperforms the previous state-of-the-art methods across all the datasets. MS-Temba achieves a breakthrough in efficiency, utilizing **73.9%** less GPU memory and significantly fewer FLOPs compared to previous state-of-the-art methods. Notably, MS-Temba achieves state-of-the-art performance with **90.9%** less GFLOPs when compared to MS-TCT[8].

Figures 6 and 7 present the plots of action detection accuracy and throughput versus video duration for MS-Temba and MS-TCT. These results indicate that MS-Temba, with its unique components, effectively models long temporal relationships as video duration increases. Additionally, throughput improves in the Mamba-based architecture over transformer-based architectures as video duration grows, aligning with observations of Mamba methods in other domains [50]. This efficiency makes MS-Temba well-suited for handling long sequences, such as untrimmed videos with complex temporal dependencies, which challenge transformer-based models due to their quadratic complexity.

Figure 9 provides a qualitative comparison between MS-Temba and MS-TCT, showing that MS-Temba predicts ac-




Figure 6. Illustration of the evolution of MS-Temba’s accuracy with increase in video duration. MS-Temba’s effectiveness increases considerably with increase in duration.




Figure 7. **Throughput vs Video Duration.** We show that as video duration increases, the throughput of MS-Temba increases compared to the baseline.




Figure 8. **Top-5 action improvements.** We illustrate the top five actions where our model outperforms the baseline. These include long-duration as well as short actions.



Figure 9. **Qualitative Evaluation** of Action Detection Heatmap on an Example Video.

tion classes more accurately, highlighting its superior performance. In Figure 8, the Top-5 improvements in action class detection over MS-TCT are presented. These improvements span both long (*breakfast*, *use laptop*) and short (*stir*, *use oven*, *use cupboard*) action types, as indicated by mean action duration, and occur in classes with high temporal variability, as shown by the standard deviation of action duration. Thus, MS-Temba effectively captures actions at multiple temporal scales and handles significant intra-class variation.

In Table 2, we measure MS-Temba’s ability to temporal dependencies and co-occurrence dependencies of actions on the Toyota Smarthome Untrimmed dataset, using the Action Conditioned Metrics introduced in [39]. The metrics compute Precision (P_{AC}), Recall (R_{AC}), F1-Score ($F1_{AC}$) and mAP (mAP_{AC}) which are conditioned on actions occurring within a temporal window τ . Thus $\tau = 0$ indicates co-occurring actions while $\tau > 0$ suggests actions with a temporal dependency. In Table 2, we show that MS-Temba achieves competitive performance with MSTCT when $\tau = 0$ and $\tau = 20$, with only $\frac{1}{8}$ of the parameter size. Furthermore, it achieves state-of-the-art on $\tau = 40$ which suggests that MS-Temba is effective in capturing long-range

dependencies between action instances.

5.2. Ablation Study

In this section, we ablate the different component of MS-Temba. All the ablations are conducted on the TSU and Charades datasets.

Impact of each component in MS-Temba. In this section, we aim to study the impacts of the building blocks of MS-Temba. Specifically, how the Temporal Local Module (TLM), Dilated Temporal SSM (DTS) and Temporal Mamba Fuser (TMF) are responsible for the effectiveness of MS-Temba. Table 3, demonstrates the impact of each component for action detection on Charades and TSU. We compare each model with the scenario where all the components are absent and the features extracted by the visual backbone are directly discriminated by the classification head. In Table 3, we observe that using either TLM or DTS with Temba Fuser leads to an improvement over the baseline. As mentioned in Section 4.2, TLM focuses on the local inter-token relations while DTS is responsible to capture long-range dependencies. TMF further enhances action detection accuracy by integrating temporal representations learned at different scales across blocks, as defined by the dilations in TLM and DTS. Consequently, each component of MS-Temba captures complementary information, and their combination is instrumental for effective action detection.

Analysis of Temba Fuser. In Table 4, we present the strategies for fusing the outputs of each TEMBA block, to learn the multi-scale temporal representations. In the fuser, we aim to first merge the representations and then have an interaction between the merged tokens to have a unified multi-scale representation. First, following [8], we concatenate the features and have a Temporal Convolution layer for interaction among the tokens (denoted by ‘Concat + Temporal Conv.’). It is observed that a linear interaction between the tokens after concatenation (denoted by ‘Concat + Lin-

Table 2. Performance on Action Conditioned Metrics on TSU

Method	$\tau = 0$				$\tau = 20$				$\tau = 40$			
	P_{AC}	R_{AC}	$F1_{AC}$	mAP_{AC}	P_{AC}	R_{AC}	$F1_{AC}$	mAP_{AC}	P_{AC}	R_{AC}	$F1_{AC}$	mAP_{AC}
I3D [3]	12.84	3.73	5.79	13.94	24.1	6.14	9.79	21.39	25.05	6.12	9.84	20.41
I3D + MS-TCT [8]	23.26	18.15	20.4	25.74	34.19	22.67	27.26	37.12	35.49	23.86	28.53	36.35
I3D + MS-Temba (ours)	22.21	16.28	18.79	25.43	34.72	22.32	27.17	37.49	37.59	23.98	29.28	37.64
CLIP + MS-TCT [8]	26.49	21.34	23.64	31.14	40.78	30.93	35.18	43.44	42.66	32.40	36.80	43.36
CLIP + MS-Temba (ours)	25.97	22.47	24.09	29.44	42.10	30.62	35.45	43.97	43.55	32.17	37.01	44.17

Table 3. Impact of Temporal Local Module (TLM), Dilated Temporal SSM (DTS) and Temporal Mamba Fuser (TMF) in MS-Temba

Temporal Local Module	Dilated Temporal SSM	TMF	TSU (mAP)	Charades (mAP)
\times	\times	\times	25.3	18.1
\checkmark	\times	\checkmark	37.8	31.3
\times	\checkmark	\checkmark	39.0	32.3
\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	42.0	32.3

ear Proj.’) outperforms the former as it does not impose any specific temporal constraints leading to an effective aggregation. Furthermore, we find that aggregation through summation of the features leads to a better performance. Finally, a BiMamba interaction layer (‘Sum + BiMamba Int.’) after the aggregation leads to the optimal results which demonstrates the ability of BiMamba to learn an effective state space that represents the features from each Temba block.

Table 4. Analysis of Temporal Mamba Fuser

Method	TSU	Ch
Concat + Temp Conv.	36.9	23.7
Concat + Lin Proj.	37.7	31.4
Sum	39.1	31.7
Sum + BiMamba Int.	42.0	32.3

Table 5. Effect of Dilation in TLM & DTS

TLM	DTS	TSU	Ch
\times	\times	24.9	26.6
\checkmark	\times	41.2	30.7
\times	\checkmark	41.7	31.8
\checkmark	\checkmark	42.0	32.3

Dilation in TLM and DTS. Here, we explore the effectiveness of dilation in the Temba block, particularly in TLM and DTS. Here, our baseline is a model which does not use dilation in either the temporal convolution in TLM or DTS. As illustrated in Table 5, we find that using dilation in either TLM or DTS results in a substantial improvement over the baseline scenario, highlighting the importance of dilation to capture multi-scale token interactions without scaling the Temporal dimension (see Appendix). Furthermore, using dilation in both TLM and DTS leads to an improvement of 21.4% in Charades and 68.7% increase in TSU.

5.3. Other Design Choices

In this section, we present the design choices of the Temba blocks in MS-Temba, particularly the scanning strategy of

the SSM and number of Temba blocks.

Scanning Strategy. Mamba scans the input tokens sequentially. However, recent computer vision approaches [26, 50] have introduced bidirectional scanning to more effectively capture inter-token interactions in the state-space. We ablate unidirectional as well as bidirectional scanning approaches in the Mamba layers. In Table 6, we find that using a bidirectional scanning leads to optimal results owing to its ability to compute global information.

Number of Temba blocks. Table 7, we evaluate the impact of varying the number of Temba blocks in MS-Temba. Notably, the dilation rates δ and η adjust in proportion to the block count, leading to larger dilation in the later blocks. It is observed that the performance peaks with three Temba blocks. Further increasing the number of blocks leads the model to learn redundant representations.

Table 6. Effect of Scanning Strategy in SSM


Scanning	TSU	Ch
Unidirectional	37.0	31.0
Bidirectional	42.0	32.3

Table 7. Effect of Number of Temba Blocks


#Blocks	TSU	Charades
1	38.6	30.8
2	39.5	31.6
3	42.0	32.3
4	25.0	31.0

6. Comparison of CLIP and I3D Features

The superior performance of MS-Temba in action detection, leveraging features from foundation models instead of traditional 3D ConvNets, warrants the examination of the distinctions between features extracted by a CLIP backbone and I3D backbone — widely adopted in prior state-of-the-art approaches [7, 8, 39]. Note that CLIP is a foundational model with considerable larger number of parameters compared to I3D and it is trained on a huge dataset as outlined in [33]. I3D is pre-trained on comparatively small-scale dataset [24] and fine-tuned on the short clips of the target dataset. To facilitate this comparison, we employ information density as a metric to evaluate the representational quality of the features. In a given video V , the information



(a) Comparison of Information Density of I3D and CLIP features of a sample of 15 frames averaged over 10 videos of Charades



(b) Comparison of Information Density of I3D and CLIP features of a sample of 100 frames averaged over 10 videos of TSU

Figure 10. Comparison of Information Density of I3D and CLIP features in Charades and TSU

density of a frame v can be computed as:

$$I(v) = \frac{1}{|V|} \sum_{v' \in V} \text{sim}(v, v') \quad (13)$$

where $\text{sim}(v, v')$ denotes the similarity between features of frames v and v' . $|V|$ denotes the total number of frames of the video V . Here, we use cosine similarity to measure the similarity between frame features.

In Figure 10, we compare the information density of features extracted using a CLIP and an I3D backbone on a random sample of 15 frames for Charades (Fig. 10a) and 100 frames of TSU (Fig. 10b). Figure 10 shows the information density averaged over 10 videos from the respective datasets. We observe that for both Charades and TSU, owing to their superior generalization capabilities, the CLIP features possess higher average information density per frame compared to the I3D features. This enables MS-Temba to learn more effective temporal relationships from the dense CLIP features. Consequently, Mamba-inspired architectures for visual understanding have the potential to outperform transformer-based architectures when provided with features of high information density. We leave the exploration of this property of Mamba for other visual understanding tasks as future work.

7. Conclusion

In this work, we propose Multiscale Temporal Mamba (MS-Temba), leveraging the recent state-space model (SSM), Mamba, as a temporal encoder for action detection in untrimmed videos. It combines the strengths of SSMs and convolutions: convolutions model local information, while SSMs capture global dependencies. To enhance long-term relationship modeling, we introduce dilations in Mamba. This combination enables MS-Temba to learn temporal representations at multiple scales. We also utilize Mamba blocks to aggregate scale-specific information into a unified representation, which is then classified for action detection.

MS-Temba achieves state-of-the-art performance on long-video datasets and matches the best-performing method on short-video datasets, using 88.5% fewer parameters. Additionally, MS-Temba outperforms baseline models when leveraging visual features from foundation models. This work establishes a foundation for employing Mamba in efficient and effective long-video understanding.

Acknowledgements

We thank the members of the Genius Lab at UNC Charlotte for helpful discussions. This work is supported in part by the National Science Foundation (IIS-2245652).

References

- [1] Anwai Archit and Constantin Pape. Vim-unet: Vision mamba for biomedical segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.07705*, 2024. 3
- [2] Fabian Caba Heilbron, Victor Escorcia, Bernard Ghanem, and Juan Carlos Niebles. Activitynet: A large-scale video benchmark for human activity understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 961–970, 2015. 1, 2
- [3] Joao Carreira and Andrew Zisserman. Quo vadis, action recognition? a new model and the kinetics dataset. In *IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recog.*, pages 4724–4733. IEEE, 2017. 4, 6, 8
- [4] Guo Chen, Yifei Huang, Jilan Xu, Baoqi Pei, Zhe Chen, Zhiqi Li, Jiahao Wang, Kunchang Li, Tong Lu, and Limin Wang. Video mamba suite: State space model as a versatile alternative for video understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.09626*, 2024. 3
- [5] Rui Dai, Srijan Das, Saurav Sharma, Luca Minciullo, Lorenzo Garattoni, Francois Bremond, and Gianpiero Francesca. Toyota Smarthome Untrimmed: Real-World Untrimmed Videos for Activity Detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.14982*, 2020. 5, 6
- [6] Rui Dai, Srijan Das, and Francois F Bremond. CTRN: Class Temporal Relational Network For Action Detection. In *BMVC 2021 - The British Machine Vision Conference*, Virtual, United Kingdom, 2021. 6

- [7] Rui Dai, Srijan Das, Luca Minciullo, Lorenzo Garattoni, Gianpiero Francesca, and Francois Bremond. PDAN: Pyramid Dilated Attention Network for Action Detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, pages 2970–2979, 2021. 2, 3, 6, 8
- [8] Rui Dai, Srijan Das, Kumara Kahatapitiya, Michael Ryoo, and Francois Bremond. MS-TCT: Multi-Scale Temporal ConvTransformer for Action Detection. In *CVPR*, 2022. 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12
- [9] Rui Dai, Srijan Das, Michael S Ryoo, and Francois Bremond. Aan: Attributes-aware network for temporal action detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.00696*, 2023. 6
- [10] Xiyang Dai, Bharat Singh, Joe Yue-Hei Ng, and Larry Davis. Tan: Temporal aggregation network for dense multi-label action recognition. In *2019 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, pages 151–160. IEEE, 2019. 2
- [11] Dima Damen, Hazel Doughty, Giovanni Maria Farinella, Sanja Fidler, Antonino Furnari, Evangelos Kazakos, Davide Moltisanti, Jonathan Munro, Toby Perrett, Will Price, and Michael Wray. Scaling egocentric vision: The epic-kitchens dataset. In *European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, 2018. 2
- [12] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, Jakob Uszkoreit, and Neil Houlsby. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *Int. Conf. Learn. Represent.*, 2021. 2
- [13] Haoqi Fan, Bo Xiong, Karttikeya Mangalam, Yanghao Li, Zhicheng Yan, Jitendra Malik, and Christoph Feichtenhofer. Multiscale vision transformers. In *ICCV*, 2021. 2
- [14] Yazan Abu Farha and Jurgen Gall. Ms-tcn: Multi-stage temporal convolutional network for action segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3575–3584, 2019. 2, 3
- [15] Alireza Fathi, Xiaofeng Ren, and James M. Rehg. Learning to recognize objects in egocentric activities. In *CVPR 2011*, pages 3281–3288, 2011. 3
- [16] Joshua Gleason, Rajeep Ranjan, Steven Schwarcz, Carlos Castillo, Jun-Cheng Chen, and Rama Chellappa. A proposal-based solution to spatio-temporal action detection in untrimmed videos. In *2019 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, pages 141–150. IEEE, 2019. 2
- [17] Albert Gu and Tri Dao. Mamba: Linear-time sequence modeling with selective state spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00752*, 2023. 2, 3
- [18] Albert Gu, Karan Goel, and Christopher Ré. Efficiently modeling long sequences with structured state spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.00396*, 2021. 3
- [19] Hang Guo, Jinmin Li, Tao Dai, Zhihao Ouyang, Xudong Ren, and Shu-Tao Xia. Mambair: A simple baseline for image restoration with state-space model. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 222–241. Springer, 2025. 3
- [20] Xuanhua He, Ke Cao, Jie Zhang, Keyu Yan, Yingying Wang, Rui Li, Chengjun Xie, Danfeng Hong, and Man Zhou. Panmamba: Effective pan-sharpening with state space model. *Information Fusion*, page 102779, 2024. 3
- [21] Md Mohaiminul Islam, Mahmudul Hasan, Kishan Shamsundar Athrey, Tony Braskich, and Gedas Bertasius. Efficient movie scene detection using state-space transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18749–18758, 2023. 3
- [22] Yu-Gang. Jiang, Jingen Liu, Amir Roshan Zamir, George Toderici, Ivan Laptev, Mubarak Shah, and Rahul Sukthankar. THUMOS Challenge: Action Recognition with a Large Number of Classes. <http://crcv.ucf.edu/THUMOS14/>, 2014. 1, 2, 6
- [23] Kumara Kahatapitiya and Michael S Ryoo. Coarse-Fine Networks for Temporal Activity Detection in Videos. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 8385–8394, 2021. 6
- [24] Will Kay, Joao Carreira, Karen Simonyan, Brian Zhang, Chloe Hillier, Sudheendra Vijayanarasimhan, Fabio Viola, Tim Green, Trevor Back, Paul Natsev, et al. The kinetics human action video dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.06950*, 2017. 6, 8
- [25] Diederik P. Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A Method for Stochastic Optimization. *CoRR*, abs/1412.6980, 2014. 6
- [26] Kunchang Li, Xinhao Li, Yi Wang, Yinan He, Yali Wang, Limin Wang, and Yu Qiao. Videomamba: State space model for efficient video understanding. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 237–255. Springer, 2025. 2, 3, 8
- [27] Tianwei Lin, Xu Zhao, and Zheng Shou. Single shot temporal action detection. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM international conference on Multimedia*, pages 988–996. ACM, 2017. 2
- [28] Jiarun Liu, Hao Yang, Hong-Yu Zhou, Yan Xi, Lequan Yu, Cheng Li, Yong Liang, Guangming Shi, Yizhou Yu, Shaoting Zhang, et al. Swin-umamba: Mamba-based unet with imagenet-based pretraining. In *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*, pages 615–625. Springer, 2024. 3
- [29] Ze Liu, Yutong Lin, Yue Cao, Han Hu, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Baining Guo. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. In *Int. Conf. Comput. Vis.*, 2021. 2
- [30] Chaoqi Luo, Yiping Xie, and Zitong Yu. Physmamba: Efficient remote physiological measurement with slowfast temporal difference mamba. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.12031*, 2024. 3
- [31] AJ Piergiovanni and Michael S Ryoo. Learning latent super-events to detect multiple activities in videos. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2018. 2, 6
- [32] AJ Piergiovanni and Michael S Ryoo. Temporal gaussian mixture layer for videos. *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2019. 2, 6
- [33] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen

- Krueger, and Ilya Sutskever. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2021. 2, 4, 6, 8
- [34] Michael S Ryoo, Keerthana Gopalakrishnan, Kumara Kahatapitiya, Ted Xiao, Kanishka Rao, Austin Stone, Yao Lu, Julian Ibarz, and Anurag Arnab. Token turing machines. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 19070–19081, 2023. 2, 6
- [35] Gunnar A. Sigurdsson, Gül Varol, Xiaolong Wang, Ali Farhadi, Ivan Laptev, and Abhinav Gupta. Hollywood in Homes: Crowdsourcing Data Collection for Activity Understanding. In *European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, 2016. 6
- [36] Gunnar A Sigurdsson, Santosh Divvala, Ali Farhadi, and Abhinav Gupta. Asynchronous temporal fields for action recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 585–594, 2017. 6
- [37] Chen Sun, Abhinav Shrivastava, Saurabh Singh, and Abhinav Gupta. Revisiting unreasonable effectiveness of data in deep learning era. In *ICCV*, pages 843–852. IEEE Computer Society, 2017. 6
- [38] Haoran Tang, Meng Cao, Jinfa Huang, Ruyang Liu, Peng Jin, Ge Li, and Xiaodan Liang. Muse: Mamba is efficient multi-scale learner for text-video retrieval. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.10575*, 2024. 3
- [39] Praveen Tirupattur, Kevin Duarte, Yogesh Rawat, and Mubarak Shah. Modeling multi-label action dependencies for temporal action localization. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2021. 2, 5, 6, 7, 8
- [40] Hugo Touvron, Matthieu Cord, Matthijs Douze, Francisco Massa, Alexandre Sablayrolles, and Herve Jegou. Training data-efficient image transformers & distillation through attention. In *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 10347–10357. PMLR, 2021. 2
- [41] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 5998–6008, 2017. 2
- [42] Zhaohu Xing, Tian Ye, Yijun Yang, Guang Liu, and Lei Zhu. Segmamba: Long-range sequential modeling mamba for 3d medical image segmentation. In *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*, pages 578–588. Springer, 2024. 3
- [43] Huijuan Xu, Abir Das, and Kate Saenko. R-c3d: Region convolutional 3d network for temporal activity detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 5783–5792, 2017. 2
- [44] Mengmeng Xu, Chen Zhao, David S Rojas, Ali Thabet, and Bernard Ghanem. G-TAD: Sub-graph localization for temporal action detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10156–10165, 2020. 2
- [45] Yijun Yang, Zhaohu Xing, and Lei Zhu. Vivim: a video vision mamba for medical video object segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.14168*, 2024. 3
- [46] Serena Yeung, Olga Russakovsky, Ning Jin, Mykhaylo Andriluka, Greg Mori, and Li Fei-Fei. Every moment counts: Dense detailed labeling of actions in complex videos. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 126(2-4):375–389, 2018. 6
- [47] Chuhan Zhang, Ankush Gputa, and Andrew Zisserman. Temporal query networks for fine-grained video understanding. In *Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2021. 3
- [48] Hang Zhao, Antonio Torralba, Lorenzo Torresani, and Zhicheng Yan. HACS: Human action clips and segments dataset for recognition and temporal localization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 8668–8678, 2019. 2, 3
- [49] Yue Zhao, Yuanjun Xiong, Limin Wang, Zhirong Wu, Xiaoou Tang, and Dahua Lin. Temporal action detection with structured segment networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2914–2923, 2017. 2
- [50] Lianghui Zhu, Bencheng Liao, Qian Zhang, Xinlong Wang, Wenyu Liu, and Xinggang Wang. Vision mamba: Efficient visual representation learning with bidirectional state space model. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2024. 2, 3, 6, 8
- [51] Lianghui Zhu, Bencheng Liao, Qian Zhang, Xinlong Wang, Wenyu Liu, and Xinggang Wang. Vision mamba: Efficient visual representation learning with bidirectional state space model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.09417*, 2024. 3
- [52] Nikola Zubic, Mathias Gehrig, and Davide Scaramuzza. State space models for event cameras. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5819–5828, 2024. 3

MS-Temba : Multi-Scale Temporal Mamba for Efficient Temporal Action Detection

Supplementary Material

8. Overview

The supplementary is categorized into the following parts:

- Section 9: Training configurations for each Dataset
- Section 10: Effect of Temporal Dimension Scaling
- Section 11: Effect of the number of Mamba Layers in MS-Temba
- Section 12: Architecture of MS-Temba

Table 8. MS-Temba Training Settings

Configuration	TSU	MTHUMOS	Charades
Padded Temporal Length	2500	512	256
Optimizer	<i>AdamW</i>	<i>AdamW</i>	<i>AdamW</i>
Momentum	0.9	0.9	0.9
Learning Rate (LR)	$4.5e^{-4}$	$5e^{-4}$	$2.5e^{-4}$
LR Scheduler	<i>Cosine</i>	<i>Cosine</i>	<i>Cosine</i>
Warmup Epochs	5	5	5
Batch Size	1	4	2
Total Epochs	140	100	100

9. Training configurations for each Dataset

For all experiments, we pad the features of each input video to a fixed sequence length. Table 8 shows the configurations used for each dataset.

Table 9. Impact of scaling Temporal Dimension in MS-Temba

TMF	TSU	Charades
Max Pooling	30.8	31.8
Average Pooling	36.3	30.9
Strided Conv	37.8	31.2
No Scaling	42.0	32.3

10. Effect of Temporal Dimension Scaling

In this section, we explore strategies for scaling the temporal dimensions in untrimmed videos to emulate the concept of hierarchical Mamba models. Similar temporal scaling technique is also employed in the state-of-the-art MS-TCT action detection model.

Table 9 illustrates the effect of scaling the temporal dimension across successive Temba blocks in MS-Temba. The temporal dimension is halved in each block, compared to its predecessor. To maintain a consistent number of tokens in the TMF, we upsample the temporal dimension to




Figure 11. Impact of Number of Mamba Layers in TEMBA Block

match the number of input tokens. We explore this temporal scaling through downsampling the temporal dimension using pooling methods (maxpooling, average pooling) and strided convolutions in TLM. We observe that decreasing the number of tokens through temporal downsampling leads to loss of effective information in the state space of the Temba blocks thus leading to a degradation of the model’s performance.

11. Effect of the number of BiMamba Layers in MS-Temba

Previous state-of-the-art methods, relied on stacking multiple convolutional or Transformer layers in the Temporal Encoder to expand the receptive field and capture complex patterns at higher levels of abstractions. For example, in MS-TCT [8], in each stage the Temporal Encoder consists of three Global-Local Relational blocks, *i.e.*, three convolution and transformer layers. However, since BiMamba can capture long range dependencies effectively in its state space, in MS-Temba, it is sufficient to have a single BiMamba Layer in each Temba Block. Increasing the number of BiMamba Layers may introduce redundancies in the state-spaces of each layer, leading to a degradation of the quality of learned representations. Figure 11, shows the impact of increasing the number of BiMamba layers in Dilated Temporal SSM. For both TSU and Charades, there is a decline in the mAP of the model as the number of BiMamba layers increases.

Table 10. **MS-Temba architecture**. The input and out feature size is following $T \times D$ format, where T is the number of tokens and D is the feature dimension. The hyper-parameters are defined as: H : linear hidden dimension, K : kernel size, S : stride, P : zero-padding rate, dil.: dilation rate, D_s : SSM dimension.

Block	Component	Learnable layer	Hyper-parameters	Input size	Output size
Visual Backbone	Projection	Linear Layer	H: 256	256×1024	256×256
Temba Block 1	TLM	Temp Convolution	$K: 3, S: 1, P: 1, \text{dil.}:1$	256×256	256×256
	DTS	BiMamba	$D_s: 256$	256×256	256×256
Temba Block 2	TLM	Temp Convolution	$K: 3, S: 1, P: 1, \text{dil.}:2$	256×256	256×384
	DTS	BiMamba	$D_s: 384$	256×384	256×384
Temba Block 3	TLM	Temp Convolution	$K: 3, S: 1, P: 1, \text{dil.}:3$	256×384	256×576
	DTS	BiMamba	$D_s: 576$	256×576	256×576
Temba Fuser	Projection	Linear Layer	H: 576	256×256	256×576
		Linear Layer	H: 576	256×384	256×576
		Linear Layer	H: 576	256×576	256×576
	Fuser	BiMamba	$D_s: 576$	256×576	256×576

12. Architecture of MS-Temba

Table 10 demonstrates the input and output feature size for each component of MS-Temba. First, the Visual backbone extracts features of dimension $T \times D$ (where $D = 1024$ for I3D backbone and $D = 768$ for CLIP backbone). These features are projected using a Linear Projection layer to $T \times 256$, which is the input to the first Temba block. Each Temba Block consists of two components: (i) Temporal Local Module (TLM) and (ii) Dilated Temporal SSM (DTS). The outputs of each Temba Block are fused in the Temba Fuser. In Table 10, we show the flow of these features in MS-Temba.