Valley2: Exploring Multimodal Models with Scalable Vision-Language Design

Ziheng Wu^{*}, Zhenghao Chen^{*}, Ruipu Luo, Can Zhang, Yuan Gao, Zhentao He, Xian Wang, Haoran Lin, Minghui Qiu ByteDance wuheng.2024@bytedance.com

Abstract

Recently, vision-language models have made remarkable progress, demonstrating outstanding capabilities in various tasks such as image captioning and video understanding. We introduce Valley2, a novel multimodal large language model designed to enhance performance across all domains and extend the boundaries of practical applications in e-commerce and short video scenarios. (i) We curate highquality instruction datasets and benchmarks in the e-commerce and short video domains, featuring multimodal inputs, extended image-video sequences requiring interaction, and rich domain knowledge, enabling Valley2 to achieve state-of-theart performance. (ii) We introduce innovations such as a large visual vocabulary, convolutional adapters, and the Eagle Module, improving flexibility in handling diverse real-world inputs (e.g., ultra-long video-image combinations, extreme aspect ratios) while enhancing training and inference efficiency. (iii) Chain-of-Thought (CoT) Post-Training further optimizes Valley2's reasoning capabilities, boosting overall performance and revealing directions for future improvements. Notably, Valley2 achieves state-of-the-art (SOTA) performance on e-commerce benchmarks, surpassing open-source models of similar size by a large margin (79.66 vs. 72.76). Additionally, Valley2 ranks second on the OpenCompass leaderboard among models with fewer than 10B parameters, with an impressive average score of 67.4. The code and model weights are open-sourced at https://github.com/bytedance/Valley.

1 Introduction

In recent years, large language models (LLMs) [64, 5, 61, 2, 79] have made remarkable strides, exhibiting human-level performance in numerous natural language processing (NLP) tasks and contributing significantly to the development of artificial general intelligence (AGI) systems. Mean-while, multimodal large language models (MLLMs) [40, 11, 80, 74, 43, 53, 89, 42], which integrate LLMs with multimodal processing capabilities, have enabled advanced vision/audio-language interactions and dialogues, tackling complex tasks such as mathematical problem solving, long document understanding, and speech intent recognition.

Despite these achievements, open-source models generally fall behind proprietary systems like GPT-4 [2] and Claude-3.5-Sonnet in terms of overall capabilities. To narrow this gap, the open-source community has vigorously pursued a variety of innovative solutions [21, 74, 11, 31, 80, 43, 10], achieving competitive or even superior results. This line of research emphasizes the potential of multimodal perception, wherein LLMs are extended with inputs such as images, videos, and audio via advanced cross-modal pre-training and instruction-tuning techniques.

In this paper, we introduce **Valley2**, a novel multimodal large language model that supports text, image, and video inputs. Currently, it ranks **second** on the OpenCompass leaderboard [12] among

* equal contribution.

models with fewer than 10B parameters, achieving an average score of 67.4. It also attains state-of-theart (SOTA) performance on e-commerce benchmarks, surpassing open-source models of similar size by a considerable margin. Compared to our previous work, Valley [54], the latest **Valley2** explores enhancements in tiling strategies, model architecture, data construction, and training methodologies.

To strengthen the representation ability for complex visual inputs without introducing an excessive number of visual tokens, we adopt a tiling strategy similar to previous works [39, 31, 11] for single images, yet avoid its use for multi-image or video inputs. Moreover, we remove padding tokens from the vision encoder to increase the proportion of informative tokens. Inspired by Ovis [52], we introduce a learnable visual vocabulary to capture richer semantic information from visual data. PixelShuffle [67], designed as a channel-to-space transformation, is used to reduce token count while retaining information. However, under the design of a visual vocabulary with a larger hidden dimension, PixelShuffle considerably expands the number of parameters. To address this, Valley2 adopts a lightweight ConvAdapter consisting of two MLP layers and one convolutional layer; the convolutional layer performs $2 \times$ down-sampling along both spatial dimensions, ensuring our large MLP design is not constrained by an excessive parameter count. Furthermore, to handle extremeresolution images and deliver superior performance, Valley2 introduces the Eagle Module [66] which adds an additional vision encoder in parallel and concatenates the vision tokens from both encoders along the sequence dimension. Leveraging heterogeneous vision encoders and a larger number of visual tokens, our Valley2 model achieves competitive performance on both OpenCompass and in-house e-commerce benchmarks.

2 Model Architecture

2.1 Overview



Figure 1: Overview of Valley

The structure of Valley2 is shown in Figure 1. Valley2 adopts Qwen2.5[79] as its LLM backbone and SigLIP-384[85] as the vision encoder, alongside a projector based on a combination of MLP layers and convolution for efficient feature transformation.

Valley2 employs a high-resolution tiling strategy inspired by InternVL2[11], using a best-ratio matching mechanism to maintain aspect ratio consistency. We restrict this strategy tiling for single images to a maximum of 9 slices, whereas video data and multi-image data bypass tiling entirely

to control the total token count. Unlike previous designs involving AnyRes [31] and PixelShuffle, Valley2 uses a simple convolution operation to downsample visual tokens by a factor of 2 while preserving the original dimension.

2.2 Projector: Large MLP and ConvAdapter

The initial design of the projector was inspired by Ovis, adopting a two-layer MLP with enlarged hidden dimensions to enhance feature representation. Ablation studies confirmed notable performance gains, especially when paired with fixed-vision encoders such as SigLIP.

However, the use of PixelShuffle as a space-to-dimension operation posed significant constraints. While PixelShuffle effectively leveraged the spatial locality of visual features to reduce tokens without sacrificing information, combining it with larger hidden dimensions led to a substantial increase in projector parameters, causing training instability and limiting scalability in multimodal tasks. To address these challenges, Valley2 introduces a lightweight ConvAdapter, which reduces the token count of vision encoder outputs without expanding their dimensions. This approach significantly lowers the parameter count in the larger MLP design. ConvAdapter preserves robust performance while enhancing both training stability and inference efficiency, marking a major step forward in model optimization.

2.3 Eagle Module: Addressing Distortions and Extending Token Representation

Valley2's aggressive token compression strategy revealed performance limitations in handling extreme input scenarios, notably in OCR tasks and large-document understanding. The tiling approach often struggled to reconcile the conflicting demands of fine-grained document processing and large-scale visual inputs in mixed text-video or multi-image contexts. On the one hand, these shortcomings stemmed from tiling methods inherited from InternVL2, which introduced image distortions and struggled with extreme aspect ratios; on the other hand, the insufficient resolution and token capacity designed for one-vision tasks further exacerbated these issues in demanding scenarios.

To overcome these constraints, Valley2 incorporates the Eagle Module [66]. This module uses a parallel vision encoder to reduce distortions while ensuring compatibility with extreme inputs, native aspect ratios, and efficient training and inference. During training, the token output of the additional vision encoder is constrained to match the number of tokens produced by the tiling strategy, avoiding excessive overhead and maintaining balanced computational costs. During inference, these constraints are relaxed, allowing the Eagle branch to adapt token counts flexibly based on specific input requirements. This dynamic design increases versatility in both high-resolution and low-resolution applications.

2.4 Summary

Valley2 leverages Qwen2.5, one of the most advanced language backbones, to achieve superior performance in multimodal tasks. Its design incorporates multiple optimizations for images and videos:

• **High-Resolution Tiling.** Employs a carefully designed high-resolution tiling strategy, effectively regulating token counts across various modalities while delivering strong results without compromising efficiency.

• Large Projector with ConvAdapter. Combines a ConvAdapter with a large hidden-layer MLP, replacing the previous PixelShuffle-based approach to produce a high-performance, efficient, and stable training projector.

• **Eagle Module.** Alleviates tiling-induced distortions and precision trade-offs by dynamically adapting to extreme input cases, thereby enhancing scalability and robustness.

With these optimizations, Valley2 achieves an average training sequence length of under 1,000 tokens, as well as a scalable maximum token range of about $1,000 \sim 2,000$ for single-image inference matching the performance of models that require $4,000 \sim 8,000$ tokens per image. This balance ensures that Valley2 remains both efficient and highly competitive for real-world applications.

3 Data

In this section, we introduce the three components of the Valley2 data: (1) OneVision-style data for each training phase of multimodal large models, (2) data and evaluation specifically targeted at e-commerce and short-video domains, and (3) construction of Chain-of-Thought (CoT) data tailored for complex problem-solving. By combining these three types of data, we created a model that not only delivers exceptional performance on general multimodal tasks in OpenCompass but also excels in e-commerce content understanding.

3.1 OneVision Data

3.1.1 Text-Vision Aligning Data

We collect text-vision aligning data generated by Emu2 [71] and integrate them with other highquality open-source caption datasets to form a 7.5M large-scale dataset. This caption dataset not only provides effective support for the initial training of large-scale multimodal models but also establishes a foundational understanding of image-text relationships.

3.1.2 High-Quality Knowledge Learning Data

Following the training strategy of LLaVA-Next [39], we meticulously construct a High-Quality Knowledge Learning Dataset. This dataset significantly enhances the model's cross-modal understanding and reasoning capabilities by incorporating multimodal, multitask data. In specific task domains, we systematically integrate and optimize data sources, including image captioning (CC3M [40]), image localization (Flickr30k [63], GRIT [6], BLIP3-GROUNDING-50M [78]), OCR (BLIP3-OCR-200M [78]), interleaved image-text (MMC4 [92]), and video captioning (OpenVid-1M, vript).

3.1.3 Visual Instruction Data

Table 1 summarizes the various types of visual instruction data integrated into our training pipeline¹, including details on the relevant datasets and the objectives they serve. This structured overview clarifies how each category of tasks—ranging from general to OCR-specific—contributes to enhancing the model's multimodal capabilities.

Туре	Datasets	Size
General Task	Vision-Flan [77], LLaVA-158K [37], VQA-RAD [30], ST-VQA [4], Hateful Memes [27], RefCOCO [81], TallyQA [1], ShareGPT4V [8], CLEVR [25], IconQA [48], LLaVAR [41], COCO Caption [33], VQAv2 [16], ScienceQA [50], ALLaVA Inst [7], Image Textualization [62], VizWiz [22], AOKVQA [56], LLaVA-Wild [38], Visual7W [93], VSR [35], Coco Caption [33], OKVQA [55], ShareGPT4o, WebSight, InterGPS, Inhouse Ecom-Data	6.35M
Reasoning Task	Geo170K Align [73], CLEVR-Math [34], Geometry3K [46], Super-CLEVR [32], GQA [24], Geo170K [73], RAVEN [86], Visual Genome [29], MathQA [65], MAVIS Data Engine [90], Geometry3K [46], TabMWP [49], MathV360K [3], LRV Normal [36], UniGeo [87], Infinity-MM [18], Inhouse Ecom-Data	1.8M
Pure-text Task	Magpie Pro [19], Infinity-Instruct [88]	2.0M
OCR Task	Rendered Text, TextCaps [68], IAM [57], HME100K [83], TextOCR [70], IIT5K [59], OCRVQA [69], SynthDog-EN [28], CROHME [60], Inhouse Ecom-Data	0.7M
OneVision Task	Inhouse Ecom-Data, including multi-images and videos	0.65M

Table 1: Overview of Visual Instruction Data

3.2 E-commerce Data and Benchmark

Existing open-source benchmarks can be categorized based on their focus. Knowledge-based benchmarks (e.g., MMMU [84], MathVista [51], and AI2D [26]) emphasize general or domain-

¹Datasets in the table have been sampled.

specific knowledge evaluation. Vision and OCR benchmarks (e.g., OCR-Bench [45], ChartQA [58], RealWorldQA) primarily target visual perception and textual recognition. Single-image multimodal benchmarks, represented by MMVet [82] and MMBench [44], assess the combination of multiple capabilities within single-image tasks. Finally, video benchmarks like VideoMME [15] focus on reasoning and understanding over video inputs.

Short-video e-commerce data, exemplified by E-com, present unique characteristics beyond the scope of these benchmarks. From a knowledge perspective, E-com data involve domain-specific knowledge that pairs unique visual requirements with specialized common-sense reasoning. Regarding data format, E-com datasets incorporate text, images, video, and audio within a single instance, demanding comprehensive multimodal understanding and decision-making—aligning with OneVision tasks. Moreover, reasoning in E-com benchmarks often involves multiple judgments and exceptions, necessitating systematic reasoning capabilities.

To address these challenges, we construct and annotate specialized datasets for e-commerce evaluation:

Ecom-Caption Benchmark. Ecom-Caption Benchmark is a multimodal alignment dataset derived from open-source models for e-commerce-related images and videos. It primarily evaluates text-vision alignment during the initial training stage. With 5,592 samples, the benchmark's evaluation metrics include BLEU-2, CIDEr, METEOR, and ROUGE_L. BLEU-2 measures the precision of bigram overlaps between generated captions and ground-truth references.

Ecom-VQA Benchmark. To assess the model's understanding of e-commerce domain knowledge and multimodal reasoning ability, we collect e-commerce images and videos to build the Ecom-VQA Benchmark. It comprises 536 QA pairs across three modalities: single image, multiple images, and video. Table 9 shows the distribution for each modality. The benchmark uses a multiple-choice format with four options, and the model must select the correct answer (A, B, C, or D). We evaluate multi-choice accuracy via a cyclic testing strategy: each question is repeated four times with the correct answer rotated through positions A, B, C, and D. One question is passed only if all four predictions are accurate.



Figure 2: The data distribution of Ecom-VQA Bench

To robustly address these benchmarks and validate our model's capabilities, we build a large-scale training corpus consisting of 2M multimodal alignment data, 5M knowledge-injected instances (covering images, videos, OCR tasks, and localization challenges), and 1M high-quality instruction data. These datasets are evenly distributed across training phases, with the proposed benchmarks used to track and assess the development of the corresponding capabilities.

3.3 Enhancing Multimodal Model Capabilities through Systematic Reasoning

Large multimodal models demonstrate three core competencies: visual perception, logical reasoning, and instruction-following. Yet, as illustrated by the challenging case in Figure 3, even if a model possesses all three capabilities independently, an incorrect "thought" process may still lead to a wrong final answer.

To address this issue, we integrate Chain-of-Thought (CoT) data so the model learns to systematize its analysis and outputs. By introducing high-quality instructional data, this approach bolsters the model's ability to generate structured outputs. Referring to [91], we use two data types during the final Post-Training phase. The first type is CoT instruction data, where each query ends with the special prompt "Please think step by step." The second type is non-CoT instruction data. By mixing these two types 1:1, the model acquires systematic reasoning abilities while also retaining the ability to respond directly. These modes can be switched seamlessly with a simple system prompt.

Specifically, we collect 100k CoT samples from the LLAVA-CoT dataset [76, 14, 91] and 100k non-CoT samples randomly selected from the high-quality instruction data of Stage 2 [17, 31]. This

	Valley2 without CoT Training	Valley2 with CoT Post-training
PIZZA	I am getting one funghi pizza and one prosciutto pizza. How much should I pay in total?	I am getting one funghi pizza and one prosciutto pizza. How much should I pay in total? Please think step by step.
HARGUERITA 5.104 CAPRICE 10 5A 8,504	🟅 15.9€ 🎵	
DANULA 7,902 4 57t 6,00 will \$502 4 F0RHABRI \$500 AFRIMAR \$,500 AROMATA \$,500 VOLVESTEL 7,102	What's the price of funghi pizza?	funghi and prosciutto pizzas from the menu and add them together to determine the total cost.
CAPRESE 9,50C PROSCLUTO 7,70C GORGOUZOLA 7,70C VEGETARIANA 8,50C	6.906 📦	CAPTION: The image shows a chalkboard menu listing various pizza options with their prices. The prices are written in euros. The
FUNGHI & TOC CALZONE 14,00	What's the price of prosciutto pizza?	relevant pizzas are Funghi at 6.90€ and Prosciutto at 7.90€.
	逽 7.90¢ 🖒	REASONING: To find the total cost, I will locate the prices for the Funghi and Prosciutto
Question: I am getting one funghi pizza and one prosciutto pizza. How much should I pay in total?	So, how much is it in total for one funghi pizza and one prosciutto pizza?	6.90€, and the Prosciutto pizza costs 7.90€. Adding these two amounts together gives the total cost: 6.90€ + 7.90€ = 14.80€.
Correct Answer: 14.8	14.86 📦	CONCLUSION: 14.80

Figure 3: Comparison of Problem-Solving Approaches With and Without Chain-of-Thought Training

balanced dataset spans various tasks—math, chart comprehension, document analysis, and other complex reasoning challenges. Each CoT sample contains four reasoning steps (summary, caption, reasoning, and conclusion), which substantially improve the model's capacity to conduct systematic analysis.

4 Training

4.1 Training Scheme

We adopt a structured training pipeline widely used in multimodal large models, such as LLaVA [40], InternVL2 [10], and Points [43]. This pipeline consists of three primary stages: **Text-Vision Aligning** (Stage-1), High-Quality Knowledge Learning (Stage-1.5), and Instruction Fine-Tuning (Stage-2). At each stage, we incorporate in-house e-commerce datasets, ensuring consistent improvements in the relevant domain capabilities while keeping the proportion of domain-specific data strictly controlled.



	Stage-1	Stage-1.5	Stage-2	Stage-3 (CoT)
Resolution #Tokens	384 Max 196×10 + (EAGLE)	$384 \times \{\{1 \times 1\}, \dots, \{3 \times 3\}\}$ Max 196×10 + (EAGLE)	$\begin{array}{c} 384 \times \{\{1 \times 1\}, \cdots, \{3 \times 3\}\} \\ Max \ 196 \times 10 + (EAGLE) \end{array}$	$384 \times \{\{1 \times 1\}, \dots, \{3 \times 3\}\}$ Max 196 × 10 + (EAGLE)
Dataset	7.5M	8M	11.5M	0.2M
Trainable Parameter	Projector 163M	Projector+LLM 7.8B	Projector+LLM 7.8B	Projector+LLM 7.8B
Batch Size LR Epoch	$ \begin{array}{c c} 96 \\ 1 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1 \end{array} $	96 1×10^{-5} 1	$ \begin{array}{c c} 192 \\ 1 \times 10^{-5} \\ 1 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c c} 192 \\ 2 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1 \end{array} $

Figure 4: Training Stages

Table 2: Detailed configuration for each training stage of Valley2.

As highlighted in our earlier data analysis, certain complex multimodal tasks benefit from systematic and accurate reasoning. Thus, we introduce **Chain-of-Thought (CoT) Post-Training** in the final phase (**Stage-3**) to enable the model to switch to a CoT reasoning mode. Under this mode, the model follows a fixed analytic process to produce structured outputs, leading to substantial performance gains across diverse benchmarks.

Consequently, the complete training process includes four stages. Detailed learning rates and parameter distributions are provided in Table 2. To further optimize performance and efficiency, we incorporate advanced techniques such as Packing and Annealing. Described below, these methods address critical challenges in training efficiency and robust optimization, ensuring superior performance in multiple benchmarks.

4.2 Packing

Training on the OneVision dataset at scale poses efficiency challenges due to the bimodal length distribution of multi-image/video data and single-image data (see Figure 5a). A padding-based approach struggles with this heterogeneity, undermining training efficiency.

To address this issue, we employ an offline packing strategy, merging multiple instances (on average, three per pack) into a single input sequence, as inspired by [47, 13]. To avoid interference across different cases within the same pack, we adjust the attention mask to ensure that attention is computed only within each individual case. By rebalancing the batch length distribution (see Figure 5b), packing achieves a 220% improvement in training efficiency and substantially accelerates overall progress.



Figure 5: Comparison of token count distributions per sample before and after packing.

4.3 Annealing

It is widely recognized that quality surpasses quantity in large multimodal models. Drawing inspiration from the annealing techniques introduced in MiniCPM [23], we apply curriculum learning and annealing during the instruction fine-tuning stage (Stage-2). We segment the instruction data from Stage-2 based on quality and defer higher-quality data to the latter part of training, where lower learning rates (Cosine LR Scheduler) are used. This annealing method yields stable and consistent performance gains.

5 Experiment

5.1 Evaluation on Open-source Benchmarks

To rigorously evaluate our multi-modal model, Valley, we utilized eight benchmarks used in Open-Compass, encompassing diverse tasks and domains and providing a robust and comprehensive assessment of our model's performance. These benchmarks include **MMBench** [44], which assesses the fine-grained capabilities of multi-modal models, ranging from perception to reasoning; **MMStar** [9], containing high-quality, manually reviewed samples that test the model's general multi-modal capabilities; **MMMU** [84], designed to evaluate models on complex academic tasks; **MathVista** [51], focused on mathematical reasoning and problem-solving abilities; **HallusionBench** [20], measuring a model's resistance to hallucinations and ensuring factual accuracy; **AI2D** [26], which evaluates models' understanding and reasoning abilities regarding natural science diagrams; **OCRBench** [45], testing OCR and text comprehension in multi-modal contexts; and **MMVet** [82], assessing the model's performance in comprehensive understanding and reasoning tasks.

The performance of Valley2 and other MLLMs on these benchmarks is shown in Table 3. Valley2 ranks **No.2** in average score (**67.40**) among all models with fewer than 10B parameters, demonstrating its competitiveness across multiple tasks. Notably, our model achieves the highest score among all 10B models on the **MMMU** Benchmark, indicating that our model not only performs on par with or surpasses its peers in overall performance, but also excels in specific task domains, especially in complex academic tasks.

Models	AVG	MB	MS	MMMU	Math	HB	AI2D	OCR	MV
SenseNova	77.40	85.7	72.7	69.6	78.4	57.4	87.8	894	78.2
Gemini-1.5-Pro-002	72.14	82.8	67.1	68.6	67.8	55.9	83.3	770	74.6
GPT-4o-20241120	72.02	84.3	65.1	70.7	59.9	56.2	84.9	806	74.5
InternVL2.5-MPO-8B [75]	70.30	82	65.2	54.8	67.9	51.7	84.5	882	68.1
POINTS1.5-7B [43]	67.35	80.7	61.1	53.8	66.4	50	81.4	832	62.2
Qwen2-VL-7B [74]	67	81	60.7	53.7	61.4	50.4	83	843	61.8
BlueLM-V-3B [72]	66.11	82.7	62.3	45.1	60.8	48	85.3	829	61.8
MiniCPM-V-2.6 [80]	65.16	78	57.5	49.8	60.6	48.1	82.1	852	60
Ovis1.5-Llama3-8B [52]	62.25	76.6	57.3	48.3	63.0	45	82.5	744	50.9
LLaVA-OV-7B (SI) [31]	61.18	76.8	56.7	46.8	58.5	47.5	82.8	697	50.6
Valley-7B	67.40	80.68	60.93	57.0	64.6	48.03	82.48	842	61.28

Table 3: Performance on Open-source Benchmarks. MB: MMbench, MS: MMStar, Math: MathVista, HB: HallusionBench, OCR: OCRBench, MV: MMVet.

5.2 Evaluation on Ecom-VQA Benchmark

To comprehensively evaluate Valley's performance in e-commerce scenarios, we conducted experiments on the Ecom-VQA Benchmark, comparing Valley2 with multimodal models of various sizes and scales. The results, shown in Table 4., indicate that Valley2 outperforms other models with same scales in most tasks, achieving the highest overall average score. Notably, our 7B model even surpasses the best open-source 72B models in Single-Image test, including OCR and Image Understanding, and has also been validated across various real-world applications.

Model	AVG Image 120		Multi-image 179			Video 237				
		IU	OCR	DS	MIU	PTU	GVU	PEU	AL	VCR
InternVL2-72B	77.80	90.00	78.33	90.00	93.22	81.67	93.33	91.53	50.00	31.67
Qwen2-VL-72B	86.06	93.33	83.33	88.33	93.22	83.33	96.67	91.53	55.17	88.33
MiniCPM-V-2.6	55.56	73.33	43.30	58.33	77.97	50.00	78.33	67.80	1.72	3.33
InternVL2-8B	63.25	88.33	66.66	70.00	86.44	71.67	91.67	77.97	10.34	5.00
LLaVA-OV-7B (SI)	66.04	85.00	75.00	70.00	89.83	78.33	83.33	81.36	15.52	15.00
Qwen2-VL-7B	72.76	90.00	71.67	70.00	86.44	83.33	91.67	77.97	31.03	51.67
Valley-7B	79.66	95.00	86.67	80.00	89.83	81.67	93.33	88.14	50.00	51.67

Table 4: Comparison with SoTA models on Ecom-VQA benchmark

6 Ablation Study

6.1 Structure

The Valley2 model incorporates four key structural components: Qwen2.5, ConvAdapter, Large MLP and the Eagle Module. To evaluate the contribution of each component, we conducted a series of ablation studies, with results summarized in Table 5. In the table, Ecom-caption evaluates the performance of the model after stage-1 training, which assesses the preliminary alignment of multimodal data. Ecom-VQA and OpenCompass evaluate the performance of the model after stage-2 training.

Qwen2 vs. Qwen2.5. Exps 2–3 highlight the substantial improvement of Qwen2.5 over Qwen2. This enhancement is especially pronounced in e-commerce-specific benchmarks and the OpenCompass

benchmark. For instance, the Ecom-VQA score shows a notable increase from 74.58 to 82.35, indicating that Qwen2.5 possesses richer knowledge and superior reasoning capability, thus verifying its effectiveness in both language understanding and multimodal alignment tasks.

PixelShuffle vs. ConvAdapter. Exps 1–2 compare PixelShuffle and ConvAdapter. ConvAdapter introduces a lightweight parameterized structure to compress vision tokens along the spatial dimension. Specifically, assuming the visual feature dimension is $D_{\rm vis}$, PixelShuffle (with a ratio of 2) concatenates four vision tokens along the channel dimension, resulting in an MLP input dimension of $4 \times D_{\rm vis}$. In contrast, ConvAdapter merges these four tokens into one via a 2×2 convolution, preserving the dimension $D_{\rm vis}$ and thereby reducing the MLP's computational cost. The results show that ConvAdapter yields significant alignment benefits at Stage 1, boosting performance on the Ecom-Caption benchmark. This improvement propagates to the final results, delivering substantial gains on both the Ecom-VQA and OpenCompass benchmarks.

Large MLP. Exps 3–5 investigate the effect of enlarging the hidden size in the 2-layer MLP. The findings reveal that increasing the hidden size consistently improves performance on the Ecom-Caption benchmark, with a corresponding positive impact on end-to-end tasks, such as Ecom-VQA and OpenCompass. Ultimately, inspired by the Ovis design, we set the intermediate MLP dimension (D_h) to $\frac{1}{5}$ of the LLM vocabulary size and introduced a softmax activation function, achieving the best results on all three benchmarks. We also observed that tuning the learning rate is critical in this context. Empirical evidence suggests that if the MLP dimension is scaled by a factor of n, the learning rate should be scaled by \sqrt{n} . Future work will explore how activation functions and embedding distribution properties influence multimodal alignment, aiming to provide stronger interpretability.

Eagle Module. Exps 5–6 validate the effectiveness of the Eagle Module. Incorporating the vision encoder from Qwen2VL yielded a significant boost in OCR performance, as well as an approximate 2-point improvement on OpenCompass. However, integrating this module nearly doubles both training and inference time. During training, we limit the maximum image pixel count to constrain the number of vision tokens output by the Qwen2VL encoder. Nonetheless, at inference time, the module is highly scalable, allowing for further OCR performance gains by using higher-resolution images.

Exp		LLM Token Compress EAGL		Token Compress		MLP	E-Cap	E-VOA	OC
-	Qwen2	Qwen2.5	PixelShuffle	Conv	Module	Hidden Size			
1	 ✓ 		\checkmark			1×	35.01	64.55	51.03
2	\checkmark			\checkmark		1×	35.99	66.23	53.78
3		\checkmark		\checkmark		1×	36.35	73.13	59.37
4		\checkmark		\checkmark		$4 \times$	36.55	72.39	61.66
5		\checkmark		\checkmark		9×	36.97	74.44	63.15
6		\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	9×	37.45	79.66	66.1

Table 5: Ablation of each component. "Conv" refers to ConvAdapter; "MLP Hidden Size" by default is 3584; E-Cap: Ecom-Caption, E-VQA: Ecom-VQA, OC: OpenCompass.

6.2 Annealing

During the Instruction Fine-Tuning stage (Stage 2), we substantially enhanced the model's instructionfollowing capability by employing a curriculum learning strategy rather than simple data mixing. Specifically, we divided the data into two subsets, where the second subset was markedly higher in sample quality, text length, and task complexity than the first. To verify the effectiveness of this curriculum-based approach, we compared two training strategies: (1) uniform mixed training and (2) annealed mixed training. As shown in Table 6, the annealed mixed strategy yielded substantial performance improvements across all metrics.

6.3 Packing

We performed offline packing by merging multiple samples into a single sample, ensuring that the final sequence lengths fell within a narrow range. This approach improved both training stability and speed but introduced variability in batch size. Nevertheless, as shown in Table 7, offline packing

did not cause any noticeable performance degradation at intermediate or final stages. Meanwhile, it significantly accelerated training, reducing total training time by a factor of approximately 2–3.

6.4 Chain of Thought

In Stage 3, we further incorporated the LLaVA-CoT-100k dataset[76] to enhance the model's chainof-thought (CoT) reasoning abilities. As described above, we appended the CoT prompt "Please think step by step" to the LLaVA-CoT-100k dataset and mixed it with 100,000 samples from the Stage 3 instruction data. This configuration allows the model to enter CoT mode simply by using the CoT prompt. The experimental results, presented in Table 8, show that introducing CoT data improves the model's performance on the OpenCompass benchmark.

Anneal	E-VQA	OC	Packing	E-VQA	OC	Speed	СоТ	E-VQA	OC
	75.77	65.34		79.16	66.20	×1		79.66	66.11
\checkmark	79.66	66.11	\checkmark	79.66	66.11	×2.2	\checkmark		67.40
Table 6: A	Table 6: Ablation on Annealing			Ablation	on Pack	ing	Table	3: CoT Post-	Training

7 Conclusion

We present the Valley2 series of multimodal models, consisting of both the Eagle and standard versions, with two open-source weight configurations of 8.9B and 8B parameters, respectively. Valley2 demonstrates outstanding performance across various multimodal scenarios while offering significant advantages in training and inference speed, as well as compatibility with diverse modalities. By exploring aggressive compression strategies for visual tokens, Valley2 can process longer video inputs and handle complex image-text-video tasks in mixed-modality settings. Thanks to its ConvAdapterbased projection structure and large visual embeddings, Valley2 consistently achieves competitive recognition and understanding performance, setting state-of-the-art results on both OpenCompass and in-house downstream tasks. Additionally, the Eagle variant provides scalable visual token support for extreme input scenarios, while the integration of Chain-of-Thought (CoT) reasoning further enhances performance in tasks requiring mixed capabilities.

Our overarching goal is to enable multimodal large models to be adopted in a wide range of industrial applications and at various budget levels, thus addressing numerous technical challenges. Guided by this vision, we continue to explore the frontiers of model architecture, data, and training methodologies.

8 Coming Soon

To meet real-world application demands involving audio processing, retrieval tasks, and complex multimedia problem-solving, we are extending the Valley2 multimodal large language model in several directions. The following updates are planned for release in the near future:

• Developing an omni-model that integrates text, image, video, and audio modalities, with preliminary experiments achieving state-of-the-art results on audio-video understanding tasks.

• Introducing Valley-based multimodal embedding training approaches for downstream retrieval and probing applications across various modalities.

• Constructing complex benchmarks that rely on systematic CoT reasoning to evaluate both the individual and combined capabilities of multimodal models, combined with reinforcement learning to guide the development of more intelligent multimodal systems.

References

[1] Manoj Acharya, Kushal Kafle, and Christopher Kanan. Tallyqa: Answering complex counting questions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.12440*, 2019.

- [2] Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774, 2023.
- [3] Yi Bin et al. Math-llava: Bootstrapping mathematical reasoning for multimodal large language models. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2024*, 2024. URL https://aclanthology.org/2024.findings-emnlp.268.pdf.
- [4] Ali Furkan Biten, Ruben Tito, Andres Mafla Mafla, Lluis Gomez, Marcal Rusinol, Ernest Valveny, CV Jawahar, Minesh Mathew, Yash Zhu, Michael Stamatatos, et al. Scene text visual question answering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 4291–4301, 2019.
- [5] Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. Language models are few-shot learners. Advances in neural information processing systems, 33:1877–1901, 2020.
- [6] Minwoo Byeon, Beomhee Park, Haecheon Kim, Sungjun Lee, Woonhyuk Baek, and Saehoon Kim. Coyo-700m: Image-text pair dataset. https://github.com/kakaobrain/ coyo-dataset, 2022.
- [7] Guiming Hardy Chen, Shunian Chen, Ruifei Zhang, Junying Chen, Xiangbo Wu, Zhiyi Zhang, Zhihong Chen, Jianquan Li, Xiang Wan, and Benyou Wang. Allava: Harnessing gpt4vsynthesized data for a lite vision-language model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.11684, 2024.
- [8] Lin Chen, Jinsong Li, Xiaoyi Dong, Pan Zhang, Conghui He, Jiaqi Wang, Feng Zhao, and Dahua Lin. Sharegpt4v: Improving large multi-modal models with better captions. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.12793, 2023.
- [9] Lin Chen, Jinsong Li, Xiaoyi Dong, Pan Zhang, Yuhang Zang, Zehui Chen, Haodong Duan, Jiaqi Wang, Yu Qiao, Dahua Lin, et al. Are we on the right way for evaluating large vision-language models? arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.20330, 2024.
- [10] Zhe Chen, Weiyun Wang, Yue Cao, Yangzhou Liu, Zhangwei Gao, Erfei Cui, Jinguo Zhu, Shenglong Ye, Hao Tian, Zhaoyang Liu, et al. Expanding performance boundaries of open-source multimodal models with model, data, and test-time scaling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.05271*, 2024.
- [11] Zhe Chen, Weiyun Wang, Hao Tian, Shenglong Ye, Zhangwei Gao, Erfei Cui, Wenwen Tong, Kongzhi Hu, Jiapeng Luo, Zheng Ma, et al. How far are we to gpt-4v? closing the gap to commercial multimodal models with open-source suites. *Science China Information Sciences*, 67(12):220101, 2024.
- [12] OpenCompass Contributors. Opencompass: A universal evaluation platform for foundation models. https://github.com/open-compass/opencompass, 2023.
- [13] Mostafa Dehghani, Basil Mustafa, Josip Djolonga, Jonathan Heek, Matthias Minderer, Mathilde Caron, Andreas Steiner, Joan Puigcerver, Robert Geirhos, Ibrahim Alabdulmohsin, Avital Oliver, Piotr Padlewski, Alexey Gritsenko, Mario Lučić, and Neil Houlsby. Patch n' pack: Navit, a vision transformer for any aspect ratio and resolution, 2023.
- [14] Yuhao Dong, Zuyan Liu, Hai-Long Sun, Jingkang Yang, Winston Hu, Yongming Rao, and Ziwei Liu. Insight-v: Exploring long-chain visual reasoning with multimodal large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.14432*, 2024.
- [15] Chaoyou Fu, Yuhan Dai, Yondong Luo, Lei Li, Shuhuai Ren, Renrui Zhang, Zihan Wang, Chenyu Zhou, Yunhang Shen, Mengdan Zhang, et al. Video-mme: The first-ever comprehensive evaluation benchmark of multi-modal llms in video analysis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21075, 2024.
- [16] Yash Goyal, Tejas Khot, Dusty Summers-Stay, Dhruv Batra, and Devi Parikh. Making the v in vqa matter: Elevating the role of image understanding in visual question answering. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 6904–6913, 2017.

- [17] Shuhao Gu, Jialing Zhang, Siyuan Zhou, Kevin Yu, Zhaohu Xing, Liangdong Wang, Zhou Cao, Jintao Jia, Zhuoyi Zhang, Yixuan Wang, et al. Infinity-mm: Scaling multimodal performance with large-scale and high-quality instruction data. arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.18558, 2024.
- [18] Shuhao Gu, Jialing Zhang, Siyuan Zhou, Kevin Yu, Zhaohu Xing, Liangdong Wang, Zhou Cao, Jintao Jia, Zhuoyi Zhang, Yixuan Wang, et al. Infinity-mm: Scaling multimodal performance with large-scale and high-quality instruction data. arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.18558, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.18558.
- [19] Shuhao Gu, Jialing Zhang, Siyuan Zhou, Kevin Yu, Zhaohu Xing, Liangdong Wang, Zhou Cao, Jintao Jia, Zhuoyi Zhang, Yixuan Wang, et al. Magpie: Alignment data synthesis from scratch by prompting aligned llms with nothing. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.08464, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.08464.
- [20] Tianrui Guan, Fuxiao Liu, Xiyang Wu, Ruiqi Xian, Zongxia Li, Xiaoyu Liu, Xijun Wang, Lichang Chen, Furong Huang, Yaser Yacoob, Dinesh Manocha, and Tianyi Zhou. Hallusionbench: An advanced diagnostic suite for entangled language hallucination and visual illusion in large vision-language models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 14375–14385, June 2024.
- [21] Zonghao Guo, Ruyi Xu, Yuan Yao, Junbo Cui, Zanlin Ni, Chunjiang Ge, Tat-Seng Chua, Zhiyuan Liu, and Gao Huang. Llava-uhd: an lmm perceiving any aspect ratio and highresolution images. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 390–406. Springer, 2025.
- [22] Danna Gurari, Qixing Li, Anne Stangl, Anhong Guo, Chuanqi Lin, Kristen Grauman, Jiebo Luo, and Jeffrey P Bigham. Vizwiz grand challenge: Answering visual questions from blind people. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3608–3617, 2018.
- [23] Shengding Hu, Yuge Tu, Xu Han, Chaoqun He, Ganqu Cui, Xiang Long, Zhi Zheng, Yewei Fang, Yuxiang Huang, Weilin Zhao, et al. Minicpm: Unveiling the potential of small language models with scalable training strategies. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.06395*, 2024.
- [24] et al. Hudson. Gqa: A new dataset for real-world visual reasoning and compositional question answering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:unknown*, 2019.
- [25] Justin Johnson, Bharath Hariharan, Laurens van der Maaten, Li Fei-Fei, C Lawrence Zitnick, and Ross Girshick. Clevr: A diagnostic dataset for compositional language and elementary visual reasoning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2901–2910, 2017.
- [26] Aniruddha Kembhavi, Mike Salvato, Eric Kolve, Minjoon Seo, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, and Ali Farhadi. A diagram is worth a dozen images. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 235–251. Springer, 2016.
- [27] Douwe Kiela, Hamed Firooz, Aravind Mohan, Vedanuj Goswami, Amanpreet Singh, Pratik Ringshia, and Davide Testuggine. The hateful memes challenge: Detecting hate speech in multimodal memes. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, pages 2611–2624, 2020.
- [28] G. Kim, T. Hong, M. Yim, J. Nam, J. Park, J. Yim, W. Hwang, S. Yun, D. Han, and S. Park. Ocr-free document understanding transformer. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, 2022.
- [29] Ranjay Krishna, Yuke Zhu, Oliver Groth, Justin Johnson, Kenji Hata, Joshua Kravitz, Stephanie Chen, Yannis Kalantidis, Li-Jia Li, David A. Shamma, Michael S. Bernstein, and Li Fei-Fei. Visual genome: Connecting language and vision using crowdsourced dense image annotations. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 123(1):32–73, 2017.
- [30] Jason J Lau, Swarnajay Gayen, Zhi Huang, Siqi Liu, and Daniel L Rubin. Vqa-rad: Visual question answering dataset for radiology. In *Medical Imaging 2018: Imaging Informatics for Healthcare, Research, and Applications*, volume 10579, page 105790V. SPIE, 2018.

- [31] Bo Li, Yuanhan Zhang, Dong Guo, Renrui Zhang, Feng Li, Hao Zhang, Kaichen Zhang, Peiyuan Zhang, Yanwei Li, Ziwei Liu, et al. Llava-onevision: Easy visual task transfer. arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.03326, 2024.
- [32] Zhuowan Li, Xingrui Wang, Elias Stengel-Eskin, Adam Kortylewski, Wufei Ma, Benjamin Van Durme, and Alan Yuille. Super-clevr: A virtual benchmark to diagnose domain robustness in visual reasoning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 14963–14973, 2023.
- [33] Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 740–755. Springer, 2014.
- [34] Adam Dahlgren Lindström and Savitha Sam Abraham. Clevr-math: A dataset for compositional language, visual and mathematical reasoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.05358*, 2022.
- [35] Fangyu Liu, Guy Emerson, and Nigel Collier. Visual spatial reasoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.00363*, 2022.
- [36] Fuxiao Liu et al. Aligning large multi-modal model with robust instruction tuning. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2408.03326, 2024. URL https://fuxiaoliu.github.io/LRV/.
- [37] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, Hexiang Hu, Yong Jae Wang, and Yizhou Zhu. Visual instruction tuning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08485, 2023.
- [38] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Llava: Large language and vision assistant. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08485, 2023.
- [39] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, Bo Li, Yuanhan Zhang, Sheng Shen, and Yong Jae Lee. Llava-next: Improved reasoning, ocr, and world knowledge, January 2024. URL https://llava-vl.github.io/blog/2024-01-30-llava-next/.
- [40] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 36, 2024.
- [41] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Llavar: Enhanced visual instruction tuning for text-rich image understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.07895, 2024.
- [42] Jiajun Liu, Yibing Wang, Hanghang Ma, Xiaoping Wu, Xiaoqi Ma, Xiaoming Wei, Jianbin Jiao, Enhua Wu, and Jie Hu. Kangaroo: A powerful video-language model supporting long-context video input. arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.15542, 2024.
- [43] Yuan Liu, Le Tian, Xiao Zhou, Xinyu Gao, Kavio Yu, Yang Yu, and Jie Zhou. Points1. 5: Building a vision-language model towards real world applications. arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.08443, 2024.
- [44] Yuan Liu, Haodong Duan, Yuanhan Zhang, Bo Li, Songyang Zhang, Wangbo Zhao, Yike Yuan, Jiaqi Wang, Conghui He, Ziwei Liu, et al. Mmbench: Is your multi-modal model an all-around player? In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 216–233. Springer, 2025.
- [45] Yuliang Liu, Zhang Li, Mingxin Huang, Biao Yang, Wenwen Yu, Chunyuan Li, Xu-Cheng Yin, Cheng-Lin Liu, Lianwen Jin, and Xiang Bai. Ocrbench: on the hidden mystery of ocr in large multimodal models. *Science China Information Sciences*, 67(12), December 2024. ISSN 1869-1919. doi: 10.1007/s11432-024-4235-6. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s11432-024-4235-6.
- [46] et al. Lu. Inter-gps: Interpretable geometry problem solving with formal language and symbolic reasoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:unknown*, 2023.
- [47] Jiasen Lu, Christopher Clark, Sangho Lee, Zichen Zhang, Savya Khosla, Ryan Marten, Derek Hoiem, and Aniruddha Kembhavi. Unified-io 2: Scaling autoregressive multimodal models with vision, language, audio, and action. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.17172, 2023.

- [48] Pan Lu, Hongming Zhang, Ziyu Jiang, and Song-Chun Zhu. Iconqa: A new benchmark for abstract diagram understanding and visual language reasoning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.05014, 2021.
- [49] Pan Lu, Liang Qiu, Kai-Wei Chang, Ying Nian Wu, Song-Chun Zhu, Tanmay Rajpurohit, Peter Clark, and Ashwin Kalyan. Dynamic prompt learning via policy gradient for semi-structured mathematical reasoning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14610, 2022.
- [50] Pan Lu, Hongming Zhang, Ziyu Jiang, and Song-Chun Zhu. Scienceqa: A challenging dataset for multi-modal reasoning. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2022.
- [51] Pan Lu, Hritik Bansal, Tony Xia, Jiacheng Liu, Chunyuan Li, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Hao Cheng, Kai-Wei Chang, Michel Galley, and Jianfeng Gao. Mathvista: Evaluating mathematical reasoning of foundation models in visual contexts. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2024.
- [52] Shiyin Lu, Yang Li, Qing-Guo Chen, Zhao Xu, Weihua Luo, Kaifu Zhang, and Han-Jia Ye. Ovis: Structural embedding alignment for multimodal large language model. *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2405.20797, 2024.
- [53] Xudong Lu, Yinghao Chen, Cheng Chen, Hui Tan, Boheng Chen, Yina Xie, Rui Hu, Guanxin Tan, Renshou Wu, Yan Hu, et al. Bluelm-v-3b: Algorithm and system co-design for multimodal large language models on mobile devices. arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.10640, 2024.
- [54] Ruipu Luo, Ziwang Zhao, Min Yang, Junwei Dong, Da Li, Pengcheng Lu, Tao Wang, Linmei Hu, Minghui Qiu, and Zhongyu Wei. Valley: Video assistant with large language model enhanced ability. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.07207*, 2023.
- [55] Kenneth Marino, Mohammad Rastegari, Ali Farhadi, and Roozbeh Mottaghi. Ok-vqa: A benchmark for visual question answering using external knowledge. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3195–3204, 2019.
- [56] Kenneth Marino, Mohammad Rastegari, Ali Farhadi, and Roozbeh Mottaghi. Ok-vqa: A benchmark for visual question answering using external knowledge. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3195–3204, 2021.
- [57] U.-V. Marti and H. Bunke. The iam database: An english sentence database for offline handwriting recognition. In *International Journal on Document Analysis and Recognition*, pages 199–210, 2002.
- [58] Ahmed Masry, Do Long, Jia Qing Tan, Shafiq Joty, and Enamul Hoque. ChartQA: A benchmark for question answering about charts with visual and logical reasoning. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2022*, pages 2263–2279, Dublin, Ireland, May 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.findings-acl.177. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022.findings-acl.177.
- [59] A. Mishra, K. Alahari, and C. V. Jawahar. Scene text recognition using higher order language priors. In *Proceedings of the British Machine Vision Conference*, pages 1–11, 2012.
- [60] Harold Mouchère, Richard Zanibbi, Utpal Garain, and Christian Viard-Gaudin. Icdar 2019 crohme + tfd: Competition on recognition of handwritten mathematical expressions and typeset formula detection. In 2019 International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR), pages 1530–1535. IEEE, 2019.
- [61] Long Ouyang, Jeffrey Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Katarina Slama, Alex Ray, et al. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:27730–27744, 2022.
- [62] Renjie Pi, Jian Zhang, Shunian Chen, Benyou Wang, Song-Chun Zhu, and Hongming Zhang. Image textualization: An automatic framework for creating accurate and detailed image descriptions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.07502*, 2024.

- [63] Bryan A Plummer, Liwei Wang, Chris M Cervantes, Juan C Caicedo, Julia Hockenmaier, and Svetlana Lazebnik. Flickr30k entities: Collecting region-to-phrase correspondences for richer image-to-sentence models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer* vision, pages 2641–2649, 2015.
- [64] Alec Radford. Improving language understanding by generative pre-training. 2018.
- [65] Aritra Roy Chowdhury, Arindam Mitra, Bhuwan Dhingra, and William W. Cohen. Mathqa: Towards interpretable math word problem solving with operation-based formalisms. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pages 2357–2367, 2019.
- [66] Min Shi, Fuxiao Liu, Shihao Wang, Shijia Liao, Subhashree Radhakrishnan, De-An Huang, Hongxu Yin, Karan Sapra, Yaser Yacoob, Humphrey Shi, Bryan Catanzaro, Andrew Tao, Jan Kautz, Zhiding Yu, and Guilin Liu. Eagle: Exploring the design space for multimodal llms with mixture of encoders. arXiv:2408.15998, 2024.
- [67] Wenzhe Shi, Jose Caballero, Ferenc Huszár, Johannes Totz, Andrew P Aitken, Rob Bishop, Daniel Rueckert, and Zehan Wang. Real-time single image and video super-resolution using an efficient sub-pixel convolutional neural network. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1874–1883, 2016.
- [68] Nikita Sidorov, Amanpreet Singh, Stanislaw Antol, Dhruv Batra, Devi Parikh, Marcus Rohrbach, Ronghang Hu, Yuting Wang, and Alexander Schwing. Textcaps: a dataset for image captioning with reading comprehension. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.12462, 2020.
- [69] A. Singh, V. Natarajan, D. Batra, D. Parikh, S. Lee, and R. Krishna. Towards vqa models that can read. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 8317–8326, 2019.
- [70] Amanpreet Singh, Vivek Natarajan, Dhruv Batra, Devi Parikh, Stefan Lee, and Ranjay Krishna. Textocr: Towards large-scale end-to-end reasoning for arbitrary-shaped scene text. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 8802–8812, 2021.
- [71] Quan Sun, Yufeng Cui, Xiaosong Zhang, Fan Zhang, Qiying Yu, Zhengxiong Luo, Yueze Wang, Yongming Rao, Jingjing Liu, Tiejun Huang, and Xinlong Wang. Generative multimodal models are in-context learners. 2023.
- [72] BlueLM Team. Bluelm: An open multilingual 7b language model. https://github.com/ vivo-ai-lab/BlueLM, 2023.
- [73] Unknown. G-llava: Solving geometric problem with multi-modal large language model. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:unknown, 2024.
- [74] Peng Wang, Shuai Bai, Sinan Tan, Shijie Wang, Zhihao Fan, Jinze Bai, Keqin Chen, Xuejing Liu, Jialin Wang, Wenbin Ge, et al. Qwen2-vl: Enhancing vision-language model's perception of the world at any resolution. arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.12191, 2024.
- [75] Weiyun Wang, Zhe Chen, Wenhai Wang, Yue Cao, Yangzhou Liu, Zhangwei Gao, Jinguo Zhu, Xizhou Zhu, Lewei Lu, Yu Qiao, and Jifeng Dai. Enhancing the reasoning ability of multimodal large language models via mixed preference optimization. arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.10442, 2024.
- [76] Guowei Xu, Peng Jin, Hao Li, Yibing Song, Lichao Sun, and Li Yuan. Llava-cot: Let vision language models reason step-by-step, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.10440.
- [77] Zhiyang Xu, Chao Feng, Rulin Shao, Trevor Ashby, Ying Shen, Di Jin, Yu Cheng, Qifan Wang, and Lifu Huang. Vision-flan: Scaling human-labeled tasks in visual instruction tuning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.11690, 2024.

- [78] Le Xue, Manli Shu, Anas Awadalla, Jun Wang, An Yan, Senthil Purushwalkam, Honglu Zhou, Viraj Prabhu, Yutong Dai, Michael S Ryoo, Shrikant Kendre, Jieyu Zhang, Can Qin, Shu Zhang, Chia-Chih Chen, Ning Yu, Juntao Tan, Tulika Manoj Awalgaonkar, Shelby Heinecke, Huan Wang, Yejin Choi, Ludwig Schmidt, Zeyuan Chen, Silvio Savarese, Juan Carlos Niebles, Caiming Xiong, and Ran Xu. xgen-mm(blip-3): A family of open large multimodal models. *arXiv preprint*, August 2024.
- [79] An Yang, Baosong Yang, Beichen Zhang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chengyuan Li, Dayiheng Liu, Fei Huang, Haoran Wei, et al. Qwen2. 5 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.15115*, 2024.
- [80] Yuan Yao, Tianyu Yu, Ao Zhang, Chongyi Wang, Junbo Cui, Hongji Zhu, Tianchi Cai, Haoyu Li, Weilin Zhao, Zhihui He, et al. Minicpm-v: A gpt-4v level mllm on your phone. arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.01800, 2024.
- [81] Licheng Yu, Patrick Poirson, Shan Yang, Alexander C Berg, and Tamara L Berg. Modeling context in referring expressions. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pages 69–85. Springer, 2016.
- [82] Weihao Yu, Zhengyuan Yang, Linjie Li, Jianfeng Wang, Kevin Lin, Zicheng Liu, Xinchao Wang, and Lijuan Wang. Mm-vet: Evaluating large multimodal models for integrated capabilities. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2024.
- [83] Ye Yuan, Xiao Liu, Wondimu Dikubab, Hui Liu, Zhilong Ji, Zhongqin Wu, and Xiang Bai. Syntax-aware network for handwritten mathematical expression recognition. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 9816–9825, 2022.
- [84] Xiang Yue, Yuansheng Ni, Kai Zhang, Tianyu Zheng, Ruoqi Liu, Ge Zhang, Samuel Stevens, Dongfu Jiang, Weiming Ren, Yuxuan Sun, Cong Wei, Botao Yu, Ruibin Yuan, Renliang Sun, Ming Yin, Boyuan Zheng, Zhenzhu Yang, Yibo Liu, Wenhao Huang, Huan Sun, Yu Su, and Wenhu Chen. Mmmu: A massive multi-discipline multimodal understanding and reasoning benchmark for expert agi. In *Proceedings of CVPR*, 2024.
- [85] Xiaohua Zhai, Basil Mustafa, Alexander Kolesnikov, and Lucas Beyer. Sigmoid loss for language image pre-training, 2023.
- [86] Chi Zhang, Feng Gao, Baoxiong Jia, Yixin Zhu, and Song-Chun Zhu. Raven: A dataset for relational and analogical visual reasoning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5317–5327, 2019.
- [87] Haipeng Zhang et al. Unimath: A foundational and multimodal mathematical reasoner. In Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, 2023. URL https://aclanthology.org/2023.emnlp-main.440.pdf.
- [88] Haipeng Zhang et al. Infinity-instruct: Scaling multimodal performance with large-scale and high-quality instruction data. arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.07089, 2024. URL https: //arxiv.org/abs/2408.07089.
- [89] Hang Zhang, Xin Li, and Lidong Bing. Video-Ilama: An instruction-tuned audio-visual language model for video understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02858, 2023.
- [90] Renrui Zhang, Xinyu Wei, Dongzhi Jiang, Ziyu Guo, Shicheng Li, Yichi Zhang, Chengzhuo Tong, Jiaming Liu, Aojun Zhou, Bin Wei, Shanghang Zhang, Peng Gao, Chunyuan Li, and Hongsheng Li. Mavis: Mathematical visual instruction tuning with an automatic data engine. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.08739, 2024.
- [91] Ruohong Zhang, Bowen Zhang, Yanghao Li, Haotian Zhang, Zhiqing Sun, Zhe Gan, Yinfei Yang, Ruoming Pang, and Yiming Yang. Improve vision language model chain-of-thought reasoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.16198*, 2024.
- [92] Wanrong Zhu, Jack Hessel, Anas Awadalla, Samir Yitzhak Gadre, Jesse Dodge, Alex Fang, Youngjae Yu, Ludwig Schmidt, William Yang Wang, and Yejin Choi. Multimodal C4: An open, billion-scale corpus of images interleaved with text. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.06939*, 2023.

[93] Yuke Zhu, Oliver Groth, Michael Bernstein, and Li Fei-Fei. Visual7w: Grounded question answering in images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4995–5004, 2016.

A Detail of Ecom-VQA benchmark



Figure 6: Data of Ecom-VQA Benchmark

Task	Description
	Single Image
Image Understanding	Understand the overall theme and main content of a single image and answer questions about the global semantics of the image.
Image-text understanding	Answer related questions by recognizing text information within the image.
	Multi Image
Difference Spotting	Identify significant differences between two images, involving detail comparison and change detection, aimed at evaluating the ability to accurately detect and describe differences.
Multi-image Understanding	Accurately locate the time frame in a video where a specific action occurs, and answer detailed questions related to the spatial and temporal position of the action.
Product Understanding	Understand the chronological order and causal relationships of events in a video, answering questions related to procedural steps and evaluating reasoning ability on procedural timelines.
	Video
Global Video Understand- ing	Understand the overall content of a video, analyze key themes, event to answer questions related to global information.
Procedure Understanding	Understand the chronological order and causal relationships of events in a video, answering questions related to procedural steps.
Action Localization	Accurately locate the time frame in a video where a specific action occurs.
Video Content Retrieval	Determine whether the content of the given image appears in the given video.

Table 9: Tasks and Descriptions for Each Modality.