

Essentially Commuting with a Unitary

Jui-Hui Chung

Program in Applied and Computational Mathematics, Princeton University

Jacob Shapiro

Department of Mathematics, Princeton University

January 8, 2025

Abstract

Let R be a unitary operator whose spectrum is the circle. We show that the set of unitaries U which essentially commute with R (i.e., $[U, R] \equiv UR - RU$ is compact) is path-connected. Moreover, we also calculate the set of path-connected components of the orthogonal projections which essentially commute with R and obey a non-triviality condition, and prove it is bijective with \mathbb{Z} .

Contents

1 Introduction and the result	1
1.1 Strategy for the proof	3
2 The proof of Theorem 1.1: classification of unitaries	4
3 The proof of Theorem 1.4: classification of orthogonal projections	6
4 Details of the proofs	6
4.1 Local unitaries	6
4.2 Local projections	9
A Locality and boundedness	10
B The K-theory of the local algebra	13
C K-theory and homotopy	14
C.1 Counter-examples	14
C.2 K_1 -injectivity	15

1 Introduction and the result

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the space of bounded operators on \mathcal{H} , and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ the space of compact operators on \mathcal{H} . Let R be a unitary operator on \mathcal{H} such that $\sigma(R) = \mathbb{S}^1$. In this paper we are interested in operators that essentially commute with R , in particular in the space of unitaries and orthogonal projections which obey this constraint. In anticipation of application in mathematical physics, we use the terminology that the operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is “ R -local” iff

$$[A, R] := AR - RA \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}). \quad (1.1)$$

Clearly the subset of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of operators which essentially commute with R is a C^* -algebra, we denote it by \mathcal{L}_R and call it the “ R -local algebra”, its norm is induced by the operator norm on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

We refer the reader to [CS23, Section 8] for motivation in the mathematical physics of topological insulators for studying the space of unitaries $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_R)$ and self-adjoint projections $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_R)$ within \mathcal{L}_R . In one sentence: R would be the Dirac phase, and so we are classifying either class A (projections) or class AIII systems (unitaries) respectively in even space dimensions. Non-triviality has to do with systems which are honestly bulk systems. The details of this application are postponed to a forthcoming paper.

From the point of view of pure mathematics, operators which essentially commute with a fixed non-trivial projection Λ were studied in [CHO82; ACL15] for unitaries and orthogonal projections respectively, so it is natural to generalize the question from a fixed non-trivial projection (having $\{0, 1\}$ in its essential spectrum) Λ to a fixed unitary R with $\sigma(R) = \mathbb{S}^1$. The conclusion of [CHO82] is that unitaries which essentially commute with Λ have path-connected components which are bijective with \mathbb{Z} . The orthogonal projections which essentially commute with Λ have a more complicated structure [ACL15], but if one further restricts to the set of projections P such that both $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(\Lambda P \Lambda)$ and $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(\Lambda^\perp P \Lambda^\perp)$ are exactly $\{0, 1\}$, then that subset of projections is path-connected. Our present R -non-triviality condition is a generalization of this idea to the unitary case.

Our first main theorem is

Theorem 1.1 (Classification of R -local unitaries). *The space $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_R)$ of R -local unitaries is path-connected:*

$$\pi_0(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_R)) \cong \{0\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Here and in the sequel we shall always take the topology to be the subspace topology from the operator norm topology on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Next we turn to the space of orthogonal projections essentially commuting with R . Unfortunately we do not have a statement about this entire space, but rather, only a subset of it which we term R -non-trivial.

Definition 1.2. An orthogonal projection $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_R)$ is termed R -non-trivial iff for any continuous non-zero $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, both $Pf(R)P$ and $P^\perp f(R)P^\perp$ are *not* compact operators.

We denote the space of all R -non-trivial orthogonal projections as $\mathcal{P}_R^{\text{nt}}$ and furnish it with the subspace topology from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Remark 1.3. In the language of K -theory of dual C^* -algebras, the orthogonal projection P is R -non-trivial iff P is *ample* with respect to the dual C^* -algebra (C.1). See [HR00, Definition 5.1.3].

We note that for any R -local element $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_R)$, there is a well-defined continuous integer associated to it, given by

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_R) \ni P \mapsto \text{ind}(\mathbb{P}R) =: \mathcal{N}_R(P) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (1.3)$$

where we use the shorthand notation

$$\mathbb{P}R := PRP + P^\perp. \quad (1.4)$$

Indeed, the fact $[P, R]$ is compact implies, by Atkinson, that $\mathbb{P}R$ is Fredholm with parametrix $\mathbb{P}R^*$.

With this we have the second main

Theorem 1.4 (Classification of R -non-trivial orthogonal projections). *The continuous map*

$$\mathcal{N}_R : \mathcal{P}_R^{\text{nt}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (1.5)$$

lifts to a bijection on path-connected components

$$\pi_0(\mathcal{P}_R^{\text{nt}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.6)$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{P}_R^{\text{nt}}$ is a maximal component within $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_R)$, in the sense that if $P \in \mathcal{P}_R^{\text{nt}}$, $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_R)$ and P, Q are in the same path-connected component of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_R)$ then actually $Q \in \mathcal{P}_R^{\text{nt}}$.

At the time being we have little to say about the path-components of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_R) \setminus \mathcal{P}_R^{\text{nt}}$.

In the process of writing this manuscript, we learnt of an alternative proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) which uses the theory of K_1 -injectivity, see [Appendix C](#). In that sense, one could say that [Theorem 1.1](#) is an alternative proof (to that of Paschke [[Pas81](#)]) of K_1 -injectivity for the particular algebra of R -local operators.

Remark 1.5. One may ask why the constraint that R has spectrum on the whole unit circle is important. First of all, it is clear by the Weyl-von Neumann-Berg theorem that only the essential spectrum of R matters. Second, by the analysis in [[CS24](#)], if $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(R)$ only has finitely many points, then the classification is covered by [[CS24](#), Theorems 4.1 and 5.5] and is *different* than what is presented here for $\sigma(R) = \mathbb{S}^1$. It is at the moment not clear to us what happens in more general cases of $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(R)$. For our application in mathematical physics, however, only the case presented here is important.

In the rest of this section we outline our general strategy for the proof of our two theorems and set up some notation. [Sections 2](#) and [3](#) contain the proofs of our two main theorems, minus the technical details, which are relegated to [Section 4](#). The appendices contain the consequences of locality and boundedness, the K -groups calculations of the local algebra and a discussion of K_1 -injectivity.

1.1 Strategy for the proof

The general strategy of classifying operators that essentially commute with a fixed non-trivial projection Λ was relatively straightforward: if $[A, \Lambda]$ is compact, then in the decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \text{im } \Lambda^\perp \oplus \text{im } \Lambda$ we write

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{LL} & A_{LR} \\ A_{RL} & A_{RR} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.7)$$

and the compact condition is equivalent to the off-diagonal blocks being compact. General algebraic considerations then lead us to figure out the properties of the diagonal blocks that allow deformations.

To follow with this approach in the unitary case would be difficult: one key difference is that Λ has only two eigenspaces whereas R has infinitely many, so the analog of (1.7) is not clear; we explored questions of similar flavor in [CS24].

Our strategy here is rather in-direct and is divided into the following steps:

1. Calculate the K -theory of the C^* -algebra \mathcal{L}_R , which is standard and given in Appendix B. The result is $K_0(\mathcal{L}_R) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $K_1(\mathcal{L}_R) \cong 0$.
2. Show that equivalence in K -theory implies equivalence of path-components. To do so, we choose a particular representation of R and use the geometry of the problem. The geometry of local operators is discussed in Appendix A.

We emphasize that, for unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , the fact that the K -groups $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ and $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ agree with $\pi_0(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}))$ and $\pi_0(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}))$ respectively is highly non-trivial and is in fact false: this is the very reason we had to restrict here to the non-trivial subset within the projections. To that end we give examples of algebras in Appendix C.1 where there is a stark difference between K -equivalence and homotopy equivalence.

1.1.1 Working with a concrete representation of R

To employ some geometric intuition, we choose to work with a concrete representation of R (which is in fact the main motivation for studying this problem). In [CS24, Prop. 2.1] we showed that any choice of representation will yield the same topological classification, as long as the unitary operator chosen has spectrum on the whole unit circle.

Consider then the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ and the so-called Laughlin flux operator L on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ defined as

$$L = \frac{X_1 + iX_2}{|X_1 + iX_2|} = \exp(i \arg(X_1 + iX_2)). \quad (1.8)$$

We honor Laughlin with this name due to the fact that this operator was used in writing the index formula corresponding to Laughlin's explanation of the integer quantum Hall effect quantization [BvS94]. It is clear that $\sigma(L) = \mathbb{S}^1$.

1.1.2 Notation

From now on, we will denote \mathcal{H} to be the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$. Let \mathcal{L}_L be the space of L -local operators. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ be the set of L -local (orthogonal) projections, and $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ the set of L -local unitary operators, and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ the set of L -local invertible operators.

Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ be projections, we shall use the following equivalence classes on projections:

1. Homotopy equivalence: $P \sim_h Q$ iff there is a continuous path of projections in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ from P to Q .
2. Unitary equivalence: $P \sim_u Q$ iff there exists a unitary $V \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ such that $P = V^*QV$.
3. Murray-von Neumann equivalence: $P \sim Q$ iff there exists an operator $V \in \mathcal{L}_L$ such that $P = V^*V$ and $Q = VV^*$.

Recall, from [Rør+00, Proposition 2.2.7], that the first condition implies the second, which in turn implies the third.

For unitaries, if $U, V \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_L)$, then we write $U \sim_h V$ iff there exists a continuous path within $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ from U to V .

We denote by $M_n(\mathcal{L}_L)$ the matrix algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in \mathcal{L}_L , itself a C^* -algebra. Let $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{L}_L) := \mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathcal{L}_L))$ and $\mathcal{U}_n(\mathcal{L}_L) := \mathcal{U}(M_n(\mathcal{L}_L))$. Let $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{L}_L)$ be the disjoint union of $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{L}_L)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathcal{U}_\infty(\mathcal{L}_L)$ be the disjoint union of $\mathcal{U}_n(\mathcal{L}_L)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{L}_L)$ and $Q \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathcal{L}_L)$, we write $P \sim_0 Q$ if there exists some partial isometry $V \in M_{m,n}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ such that $P = V^*V$ and $Q = VV^*$. Here $M_{m,n}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ is the set of $m \times n$ matrices with entries in \mathcal{L}_L . We say that two projections $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{L}_L)$ are stably equivalent, denoted $P \sim_s Q$, iff there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P \oplus \mathbf{1}_n \sim_0 Q \oplus \mathbf{1}_n$.

Definition 1.6 (Projections onto subsets of \mathbb{Z}^2 lattice). If $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ is a subset of lattice points, we denote by Λ_S the projection operator

$$\Lambda_S = \sum_{x \in S} \delta_x \otimes \delta_x^*. \quad (1.9)$$

For convenience, we define the following subsets of \mathbb{Z}^2 :

1. If $J \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ is an interval of the circle, we denote $C_J := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \arg(x) \in J\}$. This is the *cone* defined by the arc J .
2. Let $r > 0$ be a positive number. We denote $B_r := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \|x\| < r\}$, the open r -ball.
3. For $\varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, define $\text{supp}(\varphi) := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \varphi_x \neq 0\}$.

If $J \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ is an interval of the circle, we will denote

$$\Lambda_J := \Lambda_{C_J} \equiv \sum_{x \in C_J} \delta_x \otimes \delta_x^*.$$

Definition 1.7 (Angles of rational slopes). We define $\mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1 \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ as the set of points $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| = 1$ such that $\tan(\text{Arg}(z)) \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1$ be two points. We write $[z_1, z_2] \subset \mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1$ to be those points in $\mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1$ swept from z_1 to z_2 (inclusive) in a counter-clockwise fashion.

2 The proof of **Theorem 1.1**: classification of unitaries

Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to $U \sim_h \mathbf{1}$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_L)$. Our general strategy is as follows: We seek a special projection P for which we may construct a homotopy

$$U \sim_h W = P + P^\perp W P^\perp \quad (2.1)$$

for some $W \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_L)$. The art will be in choosing P to be “sufficiently infinite” as it were. If we can manage this with $P = \mathbf{1}$, then we are done, but this is too difficult, so we break up this task. A more modest goal is the following. Seek a projection P which is sufficiently infinite in the sense that,

$$P \oplus \mathbf{1}_n \sim_0 P \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (2.2)$$

By the above, this is equivalent to: for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists some partial isometry V such that

$$P = V^*V, \quad P \oplus \mathbf{1}_n = VV^*. \quad (2.3)$$

Heuristically, this means we can compress any “amplification” of $\text{im } P$ by n -copies of \mathcal{H} back into $\text{im } P$. It turns out that, indeed, with this property, we have

Proposition 2.1. *If $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ obeys (2.2) and $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ is of the form*

$$U = P + P^\perp U P^\perp \quad (2.4)$$

then $U \sim_h \mathbf{1}$.

The proof of this proposition is rather standard and will be given later in [Section 4.1](#). We thus further explain how to find the special projection P and how to setup the homotopy (2.1).

The following lemma gives a concrete class of projections, diagonal in the position basis, that are “sufficiently infinite” in the sense of (2.2), while still being “small” enough for us to construct a homotopy (2.1).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ be such that for any $z \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$, there exists $x \in S$ such that $\arg(x) = \arg(z)$. Then Λ_S (as in [Definition 1.6](#)) satisfies [\(2.2\)](#).*

We consider a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of ‘‘centers’’ on \mathbb{Z}^2 that satisfies the premise of [Lemma 2.2](#). For a given L -local operator U , we would like the support of $U\delta_{x_k}$ to be around the point x_k itself. By removing matrix elements of U (with respect to the standard basis on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$) that are small in operator norm, we may deform $U \sim_h G$ via straight-line homotopy to an invertible operator G where the action of G on δ_{x_k} is around x_k . We can then build a L -local operator V that reverts the action of $G\delta_{x_k}$ back to δ_{x_k} . In other words, by construction, the operator VG would act as identity on the centers $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Moreover, since the operator V acts non-trivially only around the centers $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, it would follow that the path $V \sim_h \mathbb{1}$ can be constructed straightforwardly. This leads to

$$U \sim_h G \sim_h VG \sim_h P + P^\perp WP^\perp \quad (2.5)$$

which yields the homotopy [\(2.1\)](#). The last homotopy in [\(2.5\)](#) entails some minor manipulation to get to the final form.

Here we describe the centers more precisely.

Lemma 2.3. *Let A be L -local and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\{\theta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of radians of $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$. Then there exists a L -local operator B such that $\|A - B\| \leq \varepsilon$, and a sequence of centers $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\arg(x_k) = \theta_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if we define*

$$Y_k := \text{supp}(B\delta_{x_k}) \cup \text{supp}(\delta_{x_k}) \subset \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad (2.6)$$

to be the interaction range of B on δ_{x_k} , then $\{Y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the following.

1. $|Y_k| < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
2. $\{Y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are pairwise disjoint.
3. For any two closed disjoint intervals I, J of $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$, we have

$$\left| \bigcup \{Y_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, Y_k \cap C_I \neq \emptyset, Y_k \cap C_J \neq \emptyset\} \right| < \infty. \quad (2.7)$$

As a corollary to [Lemma 2.3](#), we can construct V as promised in the homotopy [\(2.5\)](#).

Corollary 2.4. *Let B be a L -local operator, and $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be centers for B such that Y_k as defined in [\(2.6\)](#) satisfies the items 1, 2, and 3 in [Lemma 2.3](#). Then there exists $V \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ such that $V \sim_h \mathbb{1}$ and*

$$VB = P + PVBP^\perp + P^\perp VBP^\perp. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof of [Theorem 1.1](#). Let $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ and ε be a small positive number. Let $\{\theta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of radians in $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$ that enumerate $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$, i.e., for each $\theta \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$, there exists exactly one $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\theta_k = \theta$. Using [Lemma 2.3](#), there exists a L -local operator G such that $\|U - G\| \leq \varepsilon$, and there exists localized centers $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the subsets Y_k as defined in [\(2.6\)](#) has those properties specified in the lemma. In particular, we can choose ε is small enough so that $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ is invertible.

Now we use [Corollary 2.4](#) to construct $V \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ such that $V \sim_h \mathbb{1}$ and VB satisfies [\(2.8\)](#). Now

$$VG = P + PVGP^\perp + P^\perp VGP^\perp = (P + P^\perp VGP^\perp)(\mathbb{1} + PVGP^\perp).$$

Since $VG \in \mathcal{L}_L$ and $[P, L] = 0$, it is clear that $P + P^\perp VGP^\perp$ and $\mathbb{1} + PVGP^\perp$ are both L -local. Observe that $(\mathbb{1} + tPVGP^\perp)$ is always in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, with inverse $\mathbb{1} - tPVGP^\perp$. Therefore, we have $VG \sim_h P + P^\perp VGP^\perp$ in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_L)$. Let W be the polar part of $P + P^\perp VGP^\perp$. It follows from [[Rør+00](#), Proposition 2.1.8] that we have $P + P^\perp VGP^\perp \sim_h W$ in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_L)$. Now W is of the form $W = P + P^\perp WP$ and hence, by [Proposition 2.1](#), we have $W \sim_h \mathbb{1}$. Therefore, we have $VG \sim_h \mathbb{1}$ in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_L)$. Combined with $V \sim_h \mathbb{1}$, we have $G \sim_h \mathbb{1}$ in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_L)$, and hence $U \sim_h \mathbb{1}$ in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_L)$. Using [[Rør+00](#), Proposition 2.1.8] again, we obtain the homotopy $U \sim_h \mathbb{1}$ in $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ and concludes the proof. \square

3 The proof of **Theorem 1.4**: classification of orthogonal projections

We now turn to **Theorem 1.4**. We denote $\mathcal{P}_L^{\text{nt}}$ the space of L -non-trivial L -local projections analogous to **Definition 1.2**. The index of $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_L)$ is $\mathcal{N}_L(P) := \text{ind}(\mathbb{P}L)$ (now L replaces R in (1.3)). To prove the theorem, we need to show injectivity and surjectivity of the map (1.5). The surjectivity statement

Lemma 3.1. *The map (1.5) is surjective.*

whose proof will be presented later. It relies on the Weyl-von Neuman-Berg theorem, to switch from the L representation to an R^k representation, where now R is the concrete bilateral right shift on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We are thus left with injectivity, which is tantamount to the statement that if P, Q are two L -non-trivial projections which have the same index (1.5), then there is a continuous path within the space of L -non-trivial projections which connects them. The hypothesis $\text{ind}(\mathbb{P}L) = \text{ind}(\mathbb{Q}L)$ already implies, via the calculation of K_0 performed below **Proposition B.1**, that $[P]_0 = [Q]_0$ as classes in K_0 . In fact more is true thanks to L -non-triviality, as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 3.2. *If $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_L^{\text{nt}}$ are L -non-trivial and have the same $\mathcal{N}_L(P) = \mathcal{N}_L(Q)$, then $P \sim_u Q$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_L)$.*

Proof. Using **Proposition B.1**, if $\mathcal{N}_L(P) = \mathcal{N}_L(Q)$, then P, Q belong to the same K_0 class. It follows from [Rør+00, Proposition 3.1.7] that $P \sim_s Q$. More is true. Since P, Q are L -non-trivial, it follows from [HR00, Proposition 5.1.4] that we have $P \sim Q$. On the other hand, we have $\mathcal{N}_L(P^\perp) = -\mathcal{N}_L(P) = -\mathcal{N}_L(Q) = \mathcal{N}_L(Q^\perp)$. Using the same argument as before, we have $P^\perp \sim Q^\perp$. Using [Rør+00, Proposition 2.2.2], we conclude that $P \sim_u Q$. \square

Armed with this lemma and **Theorem 1.1**, we now are able to connect any two non-trivial projections with a path within L -local projections. However, this is not the end of the story, since the path must be L -non-trivial also. To that end, we use

Lemma 3.3. *If $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ and $P \sim_h Q$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ for some $Q \in \mathcal{P}_L^{\text{nt}}$, then $P \in \mathcal{P}_L^{\text{nt}}$.*

Now we are finally able to finish the

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Suppose $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_L^{\text{nt}}$ have the same index $\mathcal{N}_L(P) = \mathcal{N}_L(Q)$. Using **Lemma 3.2**, we have $P \sim_u Q$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_L)$, i.e., there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ such that $P = U^*QU$. From **Theorem 1.1**, we know that $U \sim_h \mathbb{1}$ in $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_L)$, and this provides the homotopy $P \sim_h Q$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_L)$. The rest of the claim is immediate from **Lemma 3.1** (surjectivity) and **Lemma 3.3** (injectivity). Indeed, any point in the path becomes immediately L -non-trivial via **Lemma 3.3** and so the whole path. \square

4 Details of the proofs

4.1 Local unitaries

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be an enumeration of lattice points specified by $\Lambda_S \oplus \mathbb{1}_n$, i.e., the set S together with n -copies of \mathbb{Z}^2 . Define

$$I_k = [\arg(y_k) - 1/2^k, \arg(y_k) + 1/2^k].$$

Iteratively, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, pick $x_k \in S$ such that x_k minimizes

$$\{ \|x\| \mid x \in S \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}, \arg(x) \in I_k \}.$$

This is possible since I_k intersects $\{ \arg(x) \mid x \in S \}$ for infinitely many x . Consider the mapping $S \cup (\mathbb{Z}^2)^n \ni y_k \mapsto x_k \in S$. The map is injective since in each step we exclude previously chosen points. The map is surjective since we choose $x \in S$ that minimizes its length. Let V maps δ_{y_k} to δ_{x_k} . Then $V^*V = \Lambda_S \oplus \mathbb{1}_n$ and $VV^* = \Lambda_S$. We now show that V is local. Write

$$V = [V_0 \quad V_1 \quad \dots \quad V_n] \in M_{1, n+1}(\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2))).$$

Let $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and consider V_l . Let I and J be two disjoint closed intervals of $\mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1$. Let $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be the subsequence of $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ that enumerate points in χ_I in the l -th stack (for $l = 0$, the l -stack is S , and for $l \geq 1$, it is \mathbb{Z}^2). We argue that

$$|\{z_{n_k} \in J \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}| < \infty.$$

Indeed, points between χ_I and χ_J must have angle larger than some fixed positive constant. However, the z_k points are chosen such that $\arg(z_k) \in I_k$. In particular, we have $z_{n_k} \in I_{n_k}$ and hence z_{n_k} must be arbitrarily close to points in I in angles. Therefore, the operator $\chi_J V_I \chi_I$ is finite-rank. \square

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Let A be L -local and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\{\theta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of radians in $\mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1$. Let $\varepsilon_k = \varepsilon/2^{2k-1}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{J_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of closed intervals of $\mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1$ such that the endpoints of J_k enumerate all $\mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by Corollary A.3, there exists disjoint subsets E_k^b and E_k^g that partition $C_{J_k^c} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $\|\Lambda_{E_k^b} A \Lambda_{J_k}\| \leq \varepsilon_{2k-1}$, and $|E_k^g \cap C_I| < \infty$ for any closed interval I of $\mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1$ that is disjoint from J_k . Define

$$P_{2k-1} = \Lambda_{E_k^b}, \quad Q_{2k-1} = \Lambda_{E_{J_k}}. \quad (4.1)$$

Using Lemma A.4, there exists a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points on \mathbb{Z}^2 such that $\arg(x_k) = \theta_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and a sequence $\{r_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of radii $0 =: r_0 < r_1 < r_2 < \dots$, such that $x_k \in B_{r_k} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}$ and $\|\Lambda_{B_{r_k}^c \cup B_{r_{k-1}}} U(\delta_{x_k} \otimes \delta_{x_k}^*)\| \leq \varepsilon_{2k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$P_{2k} = \Lambda_{B_{r_k}^c \cup B_{r_{k-1}}}, \quad Q_{2k} = \delta_{x_k} \otimes \delta_{x_k}^*. \quad (4.2)$$

Then by Lemma A.5, there exists $B \in \mathcal{L}_L$ such that

$$P_k B Q_k = 0 \quad (4.3)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|A - B\| \leq \varepsilon$.

Consider $\{Y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined in (2.6). We argue that $Y_k \cap Y_l = \emptyset$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \neq l$. Indeed, if $y \in Y_k$, then $y = x_k$ or $y \in \text{supp}(B\delta_{x_k})$. In the latter case, we have

$$\langle \delta_y, B\delta_{x_k} \rangle = \langle \delta_y, (P_{2k} + P_{2k}^\perp) B (Q_{2k} + Q_{2k}^\perp) \delta_{x_k} \rangle = \langle \delta_y, P_{2k}^\perp B Q_{2k} \delta_{x_k} \rangle = \langle P_{2k}^\perp \delta_y, B\delta_{x_k} \rangle$$

where we used (4.3) and (4.2) in the second equality. Since $P_{2k}^\perp = \Lambda_{B_{r_k} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}}$, it follows that y must be in $B_{r_k} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}$ for $\langle \delta_y, B\delta_{x_k} \rangle$ to be nonzero, and hence $\text{supp}(B\delta_{x_k}) \subset B_{r_k} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}$. Thus $Y_k \subset B_{r_k} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}$ and they are pairwise disjoint since $B_{r_k} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}$ are. Furthermore, since $|B_{r_k} \setminus B_{r_{k-1}}| < \infty$, it follows that $|Y_k| < \infty$.

We now show that for any two closed disjoint intervals of I, J of $\mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1$, we have

$$\left| \left(\bigcup_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N} \\ \arg(x_k) \in J}} Y_k \right) \cap C_I \right| < \infty. \quad (4.4)$$

Indeed, the set $\bigcup_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N} \\ \arg(x_k) \in J}} Y_k$ is the union of $\bigcup_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N} \\ \arg(x_k) \in J}} \text{supp}(B\delta_{x_k})$ and $\bigcup_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N} \\ \arg(x_k) \in J}} \{x_k\}$, and since the latter one is clearly disjoint from C_I , it suffices to show that the former set intersects C_I for finitely many points. To that end, we have $J_l = J$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. We argue that $\bigcup_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N} \\ \arg(x_k) \in J}} \text{supp}(B\delta_{x_k}) \subset E_l^g \cup C_J$.

Indeed, if $y \in \bigcup_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N} \\ \arg(x_k) \in J}} \text{supp}(B\delta_{x_k})$, then $\langle \delta_y, B\delta_x \rangle \neq 0$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $\arg(x) \in J$. Now

$$\langle \delta_y, B\delta_x \rangle = \langle \delta_y, (\Lambda_{E_l^g} + \Lambda_{E_l^b} + \Lambda_J) B (\Lambda_{J^c} + \Lambda_J) \delta_x \rangle = \langle \delta_y, (\Lambda_{E_l^g} + \Lambda_J) B \Lambda_J \delta_x \rangle = \langle (\Lambda_{E_l^g} + \Lambda_J) \delta_y, B\delta_x \rangle$$

where we used (4.3) and (4.1) in the second equality. Thus, we must have $y \in E_l^g \cup C_J$. Now, we have $|(E_l^g \cup C_J) \cap C_I| = |E_l^g \cap C_I| < \infty$, and conclude (4.4).

Finally, we show that for any two closed disjoint intervals I, J of $\mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1$, we have (2.7). Since each $|Y_k|$ is finite, it suffices to show that the set of indices $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Y_k \cap C_I \neq \emptyset$ and $Y_k \cap C_J \neq \emptyset$ is finite. Suppose, by contradiction, there are infinitely many such indices, denoted $\{k_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. Note that the disjoint closed intervals I and J partition \mathbb{S}^1 into four region (intersecting at endpoints), denoted as I, J, K, \tilde{K} where K, \tilde{K} are closed intervals of $\mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1$. Since there are infinitely many points $\{x_{k_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and only four regions $C_I, C_J, C_K, C_{\tilde{K}}$ partitioning the \mathbb{Z}^2 plane, one of the region must contain infinitely many x_{k_j} points. Suppose $x_{k_j} \in C_I$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ (after relabeling), then $|\bigcup_j Y_{k_j} \cap C_J| = \infty$. However, this contradicts (4.4). Indeed, we have

$$|\bigcup_j Y_{k_j} \cap C_J| \leq \left| \left(\bigcup_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N} \\ \arg(x_k) \in I}} Y_k \right) \cap C_J \right| < \infty.$$

Similarly if $x_{k_j} \in C_J$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we will reach a contradiction. Suppose $x_{k_j} \in C_K$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We split the closed interval K into two closed intervals K_1, K_2 of $\mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1$. Suppose, without loss of generality, that $x_{k_j} \in C_{K_1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and K_1 is the part that is closer to I . Then we have $|\bigcup_j Y_{k_j} \cap C_J| = \infty$. However, this contradicts (4.4) using the disjoint intervals K_1 and J . This concludes the proof of (2.7). \square

Proof of Corollary 2.4. Define the unitary operator V as follows: V maps $B\delta_{x_k}$ to δ_{x_k} ; extend $B\delta_{x_k}$ to an orthonormal basis in $\text{im } \Lambda_{Y_k}$; map those basis elements (except $B\delta_{x_k}$) to the position basis elements in $\text{im } \Lambda_{Y_k}$ (except δ_{x_k}); define V to be identity on $(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{im } \Lambda_{Y_k})^\perp$. The operator V is well-defined since each $|Y_k|$ is finite and $\{Y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ consists of mutually disjoint subsets as shown in Lemma 2.3.

We argue that V is L -local. To that end, we show that for any I, J disjoint closed intervals of $\mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1$, we have that $\Lambda_J V \Lambda_I$ is finite-rank. We can decompose \mathbb{Z}^2 into four disjoint subsets

$$C_{I^c}, C_I \cap (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} Y_k), C_I \cap Z^g, C_I \cap Z^b$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z^g &= \bigcup \{ Y_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, Y_k \cap C_I \neq \emptyset, Y_k \cap C_J \neq \emptyset \} \\ Z^b &= \bigcup \{ Y_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, (Y_k \cap C_I = \emptyset \text{ or } Y_k \cap C_J = \emptyset) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\Lambda_J V \Lambda_I \Lambda_{I^c} = 0$. Since V is identity on $(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{im } \Lambda_{Y_k})^\perp$, it follows that

$$\Lambda_J V \Lambda_I \Lambda_{C_I \cap (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} Y_k)} = \Lambda_J \Lambda_{C_I \cap (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} Y_k)} = 0.$$

Suppose $y \in C_I \cap Z^b$, then either $y \in C_I$ and $y \in Y_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Y_k \cap C_I = \emptyset$, or $y \in C_I$ and $y \in Y_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Y_k \cap C_J = \emptyset$. The former case is vacuous. In the latter case, we have $\Lambda_J V \Lambda_I \delta_y = \Lambda_J V \delta_y$; and since $V \delta_y \in \text{im } \Lambda_{Y_k}$ and $Y_k \cap C_J = \emptyset$, it follows that $\Lambda_J V \delta_y = 0$. Using (2.7), the set $C_I \cap Z^g$ is finite and hence $\Lambda_J V \Lambda_I$ is finite-rank.

The argument in the previous paragraph works for all unitary operators that are reduced by projections Λ_{Y_k} for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and are identity on $(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{im } \Lambda_{Y_k})^\perp$. Therefore, we can construct $V \sim_h \mathbb{1}$ by deforming each unitary matrices restricted on each $\text{im } \Lambda_{Y_k}$.

It is immediate by construction that VB take the form (2.8). \square

The following proof is inspired by [Rør+00, Exercise 8.11].

Proof of Proposition 2.1. By Corollary B.3, there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U \oplus \mathbb{1}_n \sim_h \mathbb{1}_{n+1}$. Let $W_t \in \mathcal{U}_{n+1}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ be such homotopy, with $W_0 = \mathbb{1}_{n+1}$ and $W_1 = U \oplus \mathbb{1}_n$. Now invoking (2.2) on our P (by hypothesis) with that same n , we have $P \oplus \mathbb{1}_n \sim_0 P$, i.e., there exists a partial isometry $T \in M_{1,n+1}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ such that $|T|^2 \equiv T^* T = P \oplus \mathbb{1}_n$ and $|T^*|^2 \equiv T T^* = P$. Using the identity $T = T T^* T$, we have

$$P^\perp T = P^\perp (T T^*) T = 0$$

and

$$T \begin{bmatrix} P^\perp \\ 0_{n,1} \end{bmatrix} = T (T^* T) \begin{bmatrix} P^\perp \\ 0_{n,1} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Now define

$$V := \begin{bmatrix} P^\perp & 0_{1,n} \end{bmatrix} + T \in M_{1,n+1}(A).$$

We claim V is an isometry. Indeed,

$$V^* V = \left(\begin{bmatrix} P^\perp \\ 0_{n,1} \end{bmatrix} + T^* \right) \left(\begin{bmatrix} P^\perp & 0_{1,n} \end{bmatrix} + T \right) = P^\perp \oplus 0_n + T^* T = \mathbb{1}.$$

On the other hand

$$V V^* = \left(\begin{bmatrix} P^\perp & 0_{1,n} \end{bmatrix} + T \right) \left(\begin{bmatrix} P^\perp \\ 0_{n,1} \end{bmatrix} + T^* \right) = P^\perp + T T^* = \mathbb{1}.$$

Consider the continuous path

$$Z_t = V W_t V^* + (\mathbb{1} - V V^*).$$

Using $V^*(\mathbb{1} - VV^*) = 0$ and $V^*V = \mathbb{1}$, it follows that $Z_t \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_L)$. Indeed, we have

$$Z_t^*Z_t = (VW_t^*V^* + (\mathbb{1} - VV^*))(VW_tV^* + (\mathbb{1} - VV^*)) = VV^* + (\mathbb{1} - VV^*)^2 = \mathbb{1}$$

and similarly

$$Z_tZ_t^* = (VW_tV^* + (\mathbb{1} - VV^*))(VW_t^*V^* + (\mathbb{1} - VV^*)) = VV^* + (\mathbb{1} - VV^*)^2 = \mathbb{1}.$$

It is clear that $Z_0 = \mathbb{1}$. We now show that $Z_1 = U$. Indeed, we need to compute $V(U \oplus \mathbb{1}_n)V^* + (\mathbb{1} - VV^*)$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} V(U \oplus \mathbb{1}_n) &= ([P^\perp \quad 0_{1,n}] + TT^*T)(U \oplus \mathbb{1}_n) \\ &= [P^\perp U \quad 0_{1,n}] + T(P \oplus \mathbb{1}_n)(U \oplus \mathbb{1}_n) \\ &= [P^\perp UP^\perp \quad 0_{1,n}] + T \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality, we used $PU = P$ via (2.4). Proceeding, we have

$$([P^\perp UP^\perp \quad 0_{1,n}] + T)\left(\begin{bmatrix} P^\perp \\ 0_{n,1} \end{bmatrix} + T^*\right) = P^\perp UP^\perp + TT^*$$

and finally, we obtain

$$V(U \oplus \mathbb{1}_n)V^* + (\mathbb{1} - VV^*) = P^\perp UP^\perp + TT^* + (\mathbb{1} - VV^*) = P^\perp UP^\perp + TT^* + P - TT^* \stackrel{(2.4)}{=} U.$$

□

4.2 Local projections

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Consider the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and let R be the bilateral right shift, i.e., $R\delta_x = \delta_{x+1}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is clear that $\Lambda R\Lambda + \Lambda^\perp$ is Fredholm of index -1 , where Λ here is $\Lambda := \sum_{x \geq 1} \delta_x \otimes \delta_x^*$.

Let $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^1)$. We argue that if $\Lambda f(R)\Lambda \in \mathcal{K}$, then $f = 0$. To that end, we first observe that

$$\|f(R)\delta_x\| = \|f(R)\delta_y\|$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, let p_n be a sequence of polynomials in two variables that converge uniformly to f . Then

$$\|f(R)\delta_x\| = \lim_n \|p_n(R, R^*)\delta_x\| = \lim_n \|p_n(R, R^*)\delta_y\| = \|f(R)\delta_y\|.$$

Therefore, there are two cases: either $\|f(R)\delta_x\| = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $\|f(R)\delta_x\| = C > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. In the former case, we have $f(R) = 0$ and hence $f = 0$ and we are done. Suppose it is the latter case. For x large enough, we have

$$\|\Lambda f(R)\Lambda\delta_x\| = \|\Lambda f(R)\delta_x\| \geq \|f(R)\delta_x\| - \|[\Lambda, f(R)]\delta_x\|.$$

By assumption that $\Lambda f(R)\Lambda$ is compact, it follows that $\|\Lambda f(R)\Lambda\delta_x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. However, the commutator $[\Lambda, f(R)]$ is also compact and we have $\|[\Lambda, f(R)]\delta_x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. This leads to a contradiction since $\|f(R)\delta_x\| = C > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Using the Weyl-von Neumann-Berg theorem [Con00, Theorem 39.8], there exists a unitary operator $U : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$L = U^*RU + K$$

for some $K \in \mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2))$. Since Λ is R -local, it follows that $P := U^*\Lambda U$ is L -local, and that $\text{ind}(PLP + P^\perp) = -1$, see also [CS24, Proposition 2.1]. We show that P is L -non-trivial. Indeed, let $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and suppose $Pf(L)P \in \mathcal{K}$. Then

$$Pf(L)P = U^*\Lambda U f(L)U^*\Lambda U = U^*\Lambda f(ULU^*)U^*\Lambda U.$$

Now $f(ULU^*) - f(R)$ is compact since $ULU^* - R = \tilde{K}$ is. Indeed, with $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ being the quotient map to the Calkin algebra, we have

$$\pi(f(ULU^*)) = \pi(f(R + \tilde{K})) = f(\pi(R + \tilde{K})) = f(\pi(R)) = \pi(f(R))$$

where we used [KR97, Proposition 4.4.7] to swap π and f . It follows that $\Lambda f(R)\Lambda$ is compact and hence $f = 0$. In an analogous way, if $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and $P^\perp f(L)P^\perp \in \mathcal{K}$, then $\Lambda^\perp f(R)\Lambda^\perp \in \mathcal{K}$ and hence $f = 0$. This concludes the argument that P is L -non-trivial.

In summary, we have obtained a L -non-trivial projection P that has $\mathcal{N}_L(P) = -1$. To obtain $P \in \mathcal{P}_L^{\text{nt}}$ that has $\mathcal{N}_L(P) = k$ for some other $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can repeat the argument by considering the shift R^{-k} operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ and suppose we have $P \sim_h Q$ for some $Q \in \mathcal{P}_L^{\text{nt}}$. By [Rør+00, Proposition 2.2.7], we know that $P \sim_h Q$ implies $P \sim_u Q$, and hence there is $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ such that $P = U^*QU$. Let $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^1)$. Suppose $Pf(L)P \in \mathcal{K}$. We have

$$Qf(L)Q = UPU^*f(L)UPU^* = UPU^*[f(L), U]PU^* + UPf(L)PU^* \in \mathcal{K}.$$

By L -non-triviality of Q , we have that $f = 0$. Using $P^\perp \sim_u Q^\perp$, we can show similarly that if $P^\perp f(L)P^\perp \in \mathcal{K}$, then $f = 0$. \square

Acknowledgments. We are indebted to Christopher Broune, Gian Michele Graf, Shanshan Hua and Jonathan Rosenberg for stimulating discussions.

A Locality and boundedness

We recount an equivalent way to formulate L -locality, which was discussed in [CS24].

Theorem A.1 (Theorem 2.5 of [CS24]). *An operator A is L -local iff for any two disjoint closed interval $I, J \subset \mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1$, we have*

$$\Lambda_I A \Lambda_J \in \mathcal{K}. \tag{A.1}$$

We note the technique in [GL83, Theorem 2.1] provides another proof of Theorem A.1, which is different from the proof given in [CS24, Theorem 2.5].

Lemma A.2. *Let $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ be compact and $E \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite subset $F_\varepsilon \subset E$ such that*

$$\|\Lambda_{F_\varepsilon} K - \Lambda_E K\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof. If E is finite, then we can choose F to be E . Otherwise, consider an increasing sequence of finite subsets $F_1 \subset F_2 \cdots$ such that $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F_k = E$. Then Λ_{F_k} converges to Λ_E in the strong operator topology. Since $\Lambda_E K$ is compact, it follows that $\Lambda_{F_k} \Lambda_E K = \Lambda_{F_k} K$ converges in norm to $\Lambda_E \Lambda_E K = \Lambda_E K$. Therefore, for k large enough, we have $\|\Lambda_{F_k} K - \Lambda_E K\| \leq \varepsilon$. \square

Corollary A.3. *Let A be L -local and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Let $J \subset \mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1$ be a closed interval. Then there exist two disjoint subsets E^g and E^b partitioning C_{J^c} such that*

$$\|\Lambda_{E^b} A \Lambda_J\| \leq \varepsilon$$

and for any closed interval $I \subset \mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1$ disjoint from J , we have

$$|E^g \cap C_I| < \infty.$$

Proof. Let $J = [\theta_1, \theta_2]$ be the closed interval subset of $\mathbb{S}_\mathbb{Q}^1$ traced from θ_1 to θ_2 counterclockwise. Let

$$N_k = [\theta_1 - 1/2^k, \theta_2 + 1/2^k]$$

be the intervals slightly larger than J and shrinks toward J . By Theorem A.1, we have $\Lambda_{N_k^c} A \Lambda_J \in \mathcal{K}$. Using Lemma A.2, we can decompose $C_{N_k^c}$ into two disjoint subsets

$$C_{N_k^c} = E_k^g \cup E_k^b$$

such that $|E_k^g| < \infty$ and $\|\Lambda_{E_k^b} A \Lambda_J\| \leq \varepsilon/2^k$. Let

$$E^b = \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} E_k^b.$$

We can rewrite E^b as $\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F_k$ where $F_k = E_k^b \setminus (\cup_{i=1}^{k-1} E_i^b)$ are disjoint. Thus

$$\|\Lambda_{E^b} A \Lambda_J\| = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{F_k} A \Lambda_J \right\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\Lambda_{F_k} A \Lambda_J\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\Lambda_{E_k^b} A \Lambda_J\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Define the good set as

$$E^g = C_{J^c} \setminus E^b.$$

We argue that $|E^g \cap C_{N_k^c}| < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, we have

$$E^g \cap C_{N_k^c} = C_{N_k^c} \cap (E^b)^c = (E_k^g \cup C_k^b) \cap (E^b)^c = E_k^g \cap (E^b)^c \subset E_k^g.$$

If I is a closed interval of $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I \subset C_{N_k^c}$. It follows that $|E^g \cap I| < \infty$. \square

The next result is a consequence of boundedness and does not require the locality of the operator.

Lemma A.4. *Let A be a bounded operator on \mathcal{H} and $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be sequence of positive numbers. Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of radians on $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of points on \mathbb{Z}^2 such that $\arg(x_i) = \theta_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and a sequence $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of radii $0 =: r_0 < r_1 < r_2 < \dots$, such that $x_i \in B_{r_i} \setminus B_{r_{i-1}}$, and*

$$\|\Lambda_{B_{r_i}^c \cup B_{r_{i-1}}} A(\delta_{x_i} \otimes \delta_{x_i}^*)\| \leq \varepsilon_i.$$

In other words, the effect of A on δ_{x_i} is confined in the region $B_{r_i} \setminus B_{r_{i-1}} = \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus (B_{r_i}^c \cup B_{r_{i-1}})$.

Proof. Let us pick an element $x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $\arg(x_1) = \theta_1$. There exists $r_1 > \|x_1\|$ such that

$$\|\Lambda_{B_{r_1}^c} A \delta_{x_1} \otimes (\delta_{x_1})^*\| \leq \varepsilon_1.$$

Indeed, this follows from [Lemma A.2](#) with the fact that $A \delta_{x_1} \otimes (\delta_{x_1})^*$ is compact and Λ_{B_r} converges to $\mathbb{1}$ strongly as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Since $|B_{r_1}|$ is finite, which implies $A^* \Lambda_{B_{r_1}}$ is compact, it follows from [Lemma A.2](#) again that there exists $t_1 > r_1$ such that $\|\Lambda_{B_{t_1}^c} A^* \Lambda_{B_{r_1}}\| \leq \varepsilon_2/2$, or

$$\|\Lambda_{B_{r_1}} A \Lambda_{B_{t_1}^c}\| \leq \varepsilon_2/2.$$

Pick $x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $\|x_2\| > t_1$. There exists $r_2 > \|x_2\|$ such that

$$\|\Lambda_{B_{r_2}^c} A(\delta_{x_2} \otimes \delta_{x_2}^*)\| \leq \varepsilon_2/2$$

which follows again from [Lemma A.2](#). Therefore, we have

$$\|\Lambda_{B_{r_2}^c \cup B_{r_1}} A(\delta_{x_2} \otimes \delta_{x_2}^*)\| \leq \|\Lambda_{B_{r_2}^c} A(\delta_{x_2} \otimes \delta_{x_2}^*)\| + \|\Lambda_{B_{r_1}} A \Lambda_{B_{t_1}^c}\| \leq \varepsilon_2$$

where we used $\delta_{x_2} \otimes \delta_{x_2}^* \leq \Lambda_{B_{t_1}^c}$ in the first inequality.

We iterate the procedure: we pick $t_2 > r_2$ such that $\|\Lambda_{B_{t_2}^c} A^* \Lambda_{B_{r_2}}\| \leq \varepsilon_3/2$; pick $x_3 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $\|x_3\| > t_2$; and pick $r_3 > \|x_3\|$ such that $\|\Lambda_{B_{r_3}^c} A(\delta_{x_3} \otimes \delta_{x_3}^*)\| \leq \varepsilon_3/2$; and we get $\|\Lambda_{B_{r_3}^c \cup B_{r_2}} A(\delta_{x_3} \otimes \delta_{x_3}^*)\| \leq \varepsilon_3$; and so on. \square

[Lemma A.4](#) tries to control the effect of a bounded operator on a lattice point to an annulus, using only the boundedness of the operator, i.e., without using L -locality.

We would like to remove all those hopping PAQ from $\text{im } Q$ to $\text{im } P$ when $\|PAQ\|$ is small. When $P, Q \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, we are turning off matrix elements in the infinite matrix $A_{xy} := \langle \delta_x, A \delta_y \rangle$. To achieve this, we need the following lemma.

Lemma A.5. *Let A be L -local and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Let $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{Q_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be two sequences of projections such that $[P_k, L] = [Q_k, L] = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and*

$$\|P_k A Q_k\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2k-1}}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{A.2}$$

Then there exists a L -local operator B such that $P_k B Q_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\|A - B\| \leq \varepsilon$.

Proof. We would have liked to define B as

$$A - \sum_i P_i A Q_i.$$

However, this formula may fail to represent the operator we want since the range of the projections P_i, P_j or Q_i, Q_j may overlap, which would mean we over-delete elements. To remedy this problem, inspired by the inclusion–exclusion formula, we define

$$\begin{aligned} S_n &:= \sum_{i=1}^n P_i A Q_i - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} P_i P_j A Q_i Q_j \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} P_i P_j P_k A Q_i Q_j Q_k - \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} P_1 \dots P_n A Q_1 \dots Q_n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \left(\sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_i \leq n} P_{k_1} \dots P_{k_i} A Q_{k_1} \dots Q_{k_i} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

which corrects all the over-counting, so that at least heuristically $B := A - S_\infty$. More precisely, let $\varepsilon_k := \|P_k A Q_k\|$. Then

$$\|S_n\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{k-1} \varepsilon_k. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

For example, we have $\|S_1\| = \|P_1 A Q_1\| = \varepsilon_1$, and

$$\|S_2\| = \|P_1 A Q_1 + P_2 A Q_2 - P_1 P_2 A Q_1 Q_2\| \leq \varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2$$

where we used $\|P_1 P_2 A Q_1 Q_2\| \leq \|P_2 A Q_2\| = \varepsilon_2$. Fix l , we count the number of terms $P_{k_1} \dots P_{k_l} A Q_{k_1} \dots Q_{k_l}$ in S_n with $k_1 < \dots < k_l$ having $k_l = m$. Then use the fact that

$$\|P_{k_1} \dots P_{k_l} A Q_{k_1} \dots Q_{k_l}\| \leq \|P_{k_l} A Q_{k_l}\| = \varepsilon_m.$$

There are 2^{m-1} number of terms of that form. Let $S = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n$. We need to show that the limit exists. To that end, for $m > n$, consider $S_m - S_n$. Using the formula (A.3) and idea leading to upper bound (A.4), all the terms $P_{k_1} \dots P_{k_l} A Q_{k_1} \dots Q_{k_l}$ in $S_m - S_n$ will have $k_l \geq n+1$. Using (A.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_m - S_n\| &\leq 2^n \varepsilon_{n+1} + 2^{n+1} \varepsilon_{n+2} + \cdots + 2^{m-1} \varepsilon_m \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}} + \cdots + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^m} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \end{aligned}$$

which converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (independent of m).

We have $\|S\| \leq \varepsilon$. Indeed, from (A.4) and (A.2), we have

$$\|S\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Define

$$B = A - S.$$

Let us now show that

$$P_k B Q_k = 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

to prove that B is indeed unaffected by the interactions originally present in $P_k A Q_k$. We show that $P_k S_n Q_k = P_k A Q_k$ for all $n \geq k$ by induction. From (A.3), we have the recursion relation

$$S_{n+1} = S_n + P_{n+1} A Q_{n+1} - P_{n+1} S_n Q_{n+1} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where $P_{n+1}AQ_{n+1}$ is from the first sum in (A.3), and $P_{n+1}S_nQ_{n+1}$ is from the rest of sums. Let $k \geq 1$ be arbitrary. It holds that $P_1S_1Q_1 = P_1AQ_1$. Take $n = k$ in (A.5) and consider

$$\begin{aligned} P_{k+1}S_{k+1}Q_{k+1} &= P_{k+1}S_kQ_{k+1} + P_{k+1}P_{k+1}AQ_{k+1}Q_{k+1} - P_{k+1}P_{k+1}S_kQ_{k+1}Q_{k+1} \\ &= P_{k+1}AQ_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $P_kS_kQ_k = P_kAQ_k$ holds for all $k \geq 1$. Suppose $P_kS_nQ_k = P_kAQ_k$ holds. Using (A.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_kS_{n+1}Q_k &= P_kS_nQ_k + P_kP_{n+1}AQ_{n+1}Q_k - P_kP_{n+1}S_nQ_{n+1}Q_k \\ &= P_kS_nQ_k + P_{n+1}P_kAQ_kQ_{n+1} - P_{n+1}P_kS_nQ_kQ_{n+1} \\ &= P_kAQ_k \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used the induction assumption.

If A is local, then $B = A - S$ is also local, since we are merely turning off some matrix elements (in the position basis). Indeed, by formula (A.3), we have $[S_n, L] \in \mathcal{K}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since $S_n \rightarrow S$ in norm, it follows that S is also local. \square

B The K -theory of the local algebra

Let \mathcal{C}_L be the C^* -algebra generated by the Laughlin operator L as given in Section 1.1.1. By the spectral theorem [Dou98, Theorem 4.30], we have the isomorphism of C^* -algebras $\mathcal{C}_L \cong C(\mathbb{S}^1)$, where we used that $\sigma(L) = \mathbb{S}^1$. There is a natural representation ρ of $C(\mathbb{S}^1)$ on $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2))$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} C(\mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathcal{C}_L &\hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)) \\ (z \mapsto z) &\mapsto L. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the representation ρ is *ample* in the sense that ρ is unital and that $\rho(a)$ is compact only if $a = 0$. Indeed, the only compact operator in \mathcal{C}_L is the zero operator. We can define the dual algebra of $C(\mathbb{S}^1)$ with respect to ρ as

$$\mathcal{D}_\rho(C(\mathbb{S}^1)) := \{ A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid [A, \rho(a)] \in \mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)), \forall a \in C(\mathbb{S}^1) \}. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

It is clear that, actually, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_L = \mathcal{D}_\rho(C(\mathbb{S}^1)). \quad (\text{B.2})$$

To establish the next proposition, let us briefly define the $\text{Ext}(X)$ commutative semigroup, where X is a non-empty compact subset of \mathbb{C} ; see e.g. [HR00, Definition 2.4.3]. Consider the set of all essentially normal operators $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that have essential spectrum X . Here \mathcal{H} can be any separable Hilbert spaces. Two such operators $T_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $T_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ are said to be essentially unitary equivalent iff there exists a unitary operator $U : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ such that $T_1 - U^*T_2U \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_1)$. We can quotient the set of all essentially normal operators having essential spectrum X over the essentially unitary equivalence. For two class $[T_1]$ and $[T_2]$ where T_1, T_2 are essentially normal operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$, respectively, we can consider $T_1 \oplus T_2$ as operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)$. Then $T_1 \oplus T_2$ is also an essentially normal operator with essential spectrum X . This addition operation makes the quotient set into a commutative semigroup denoted by $\text{Ext}(X)$.

Proposition B.1. *We have an isomorphism $K_0(\mathcal{L}_L) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ given by $[P]_0 \mapsto \mathcal{N}_L(P) \equiv \text{ind}(PLP + P^\perp)$.*

Proof. Using [HR00, Proposition 5.1.6], there is an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$K_0(\mathcal{D}_\rho(C(\mathbb{S}^1))) \cong \text{Ext}(\mathbb{S}^1) \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ here is, in fact, an abelian group. The isomorphism (B.3) is given by

$$[P]_0 \mapsto [PLP : \text{im } P \rightarrow \text{im } P].$$

On the other hand, the $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ abelian group is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} , where the isomorphism is given by $[A] \mapsto \text{ind}(A)$; see [HR00, Example 2.4.5 and Proposition 2.4.6]. Thus, we have the isomorphism $K_0(\mathcal{L}_L) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ given by $[P]_0 \mapsto \text{ind}(PLP + P^\perp)$. \square

Let us now discuss the notion of a dual in somewhat more abstract terms. In general, for a separable, unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , we define its *dual algebra* as

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) = \{ T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid [T, \rho(a)] \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}), \forall a \in \mathcal{A} \} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

for any choice of an *ample* representation $\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ on some separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , in the sense that ρ is unital and $\rho(a)$ is compact only if $a = 0$. See [HR00, Definition 5.1.1, 5.1.3]. In fact, the dual algebra (B.4) is independent of the choice of ample representation used to define it; see [HR00, Section 5.2]. Let \mathcal{A} be a separable C^* -algebra, possibly without unit, and let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ be the C^* -algebra with a unit adjoined. [HR00, Definition 5.2.7] defines the *K-homology* group of \mathcal{A} to be

$$K^0(\mathcal{A}) = K_1(\mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})), \quad K^1(\mathcal{A}) = K_0(\mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})). \quad (\text{B.5})$$

In the case when \mathcal{A} is abelian, we have

$$K^0(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})) \cong K^1(\mathcal{A}), \quad K^1(\mathcal{A}) = K^0(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})) \quad (\text{B.6})$$

where $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ is the suspension of \mathcal{A} ; see e.g. [HR00, Eq. 7.2.7].

Proposition B.2. *The K_1 group of \mathcal{L}_L is trivial.*

Proof. We have

$$K_1(\mathcal{L}_L) \cong K_1(\mathcal{D}(C(\mathbb{S}^1))) \cong K^0(C_0(\mathbb{R})) \cong K^1(\mathbb{C}) \cong K_0(\mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathbb{C}})) \cong 0$$

where in the first isomorphism, we used (B.2); the second equivalence is by definition (B.5), and the fact that $C(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is the unitization of $C_0(\mathbb{R})$; the third isomorphism is by (B.6) and that $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ is the suspension of \mathbb{C} ; and the final isomorphism can be computed as in [HR00, Example 5.2.9]. \square

Corollary B.3. *For any unitary $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_L)$ there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U \oplus \mathbb{1}_n \sim_h \mathbb{1}_{n+1}$ in $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}(\mathcal{L}_L)$.*

Proof. This follows from the construction of the K_1 -group of a unital C^* -algebra, see [Rør+00, Definition 8.1.3]. \square

C K-theory and homotopy

In a vague sense, one could say, reading the above Appendix B, that in this manuscript we show that $K_1(\mathcal{L}_L)$ and $\pi_0(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_L))$ agree for the C^* -algebra \mathcal{L}_L of operators which essentially commute with our fixed L , so that one might conjecture that studying K -theory should always be enough. In this appendix we discuss this idea: in general it is false that the $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ and $\pi_0(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}))$ will always agree for a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , but in fact, for certain algebras (which our L -local algebra is an example of) this result is indeed true and automatic. In this sense, this idea would lead to an alternative proof of Theorem 1.1 presented above.

We thank Shanshan Hua [Hua24a] for useful discussions regarding what follows here.

C.1 Counter-examples

There are examples of C^* -algebras \mathcal{A} where $K_1(\mathcal{A}) = \{0\}$ but $\pi_0(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})) \neq \{0\}$, and also examples where $K_1(\mathcal{A}) \neq \{0\}$ but $\pi_0(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})) = \{0\}$.

For $n, m \geq 1$, we have

$$K_1(M_n(C(\mathbb{S}^m))) \cong K_1(C(\mathbb{S}^m)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & m \text{ odd} \\ \{0\} & m \text{ even} \end{cases}.$$

On the other hand

$$\pi_0(\mathcal{U}(M_n(C(\mathbb{S}^m)))) \cong \pi_0(C(\mathbb{S}^m, U(n))) \cong \pi_m(U(n)).$$

Take $m = 3$ and $n = 1$, then

$$K_1(C(\mathbb{S}^3)) \cong \mathbb{Z}, \quad \pi_0(\mathcal{U}(C(\mathbb{S}^3))) \cong \pi_3(U(1)) = \{0\}.$$

Take $m = 4$ and $n = 2$, then

$$K_1(M_2(C(\mathbb{S}^4))) \cong \{0\}, \quad \pi_0(\mathcal{U}(M_2(C(\mathbb{S}^4)))) \cong \pi_4(U(2)) = \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

C.2 K_1 -injectivity

The R -local algebra \mathcal{L}_R is the same as the *Paschke dual algebra* defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_R \equiv \mathcal{D}_\rho(C(\mathbb{S}^1)) := \{ A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid [A, \rho(a)] \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}), \forall a \in C(\mathbb{S}^1) \} \quad (\text{C.1})$$

where $\rho : C(\mathbb{S}^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the natural representation given by $C(\mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathcal{C}_R \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. See [HR00, Chapter 5] for more discussion about the dual algebra. The C^* -algebra (C.1) is in fact K_1 -injective in the sense that the group homomorphism

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_R)/\mathcal{U}^0(\mathcal{L}_R) \ni [U] \mapsto [U]_1 \in K_1(\mathcal{L}_R) \quad (\text{C.2})$$

is injective, see [Rør+00, Sec 8.3] and [Hua24b] for more detail about the group homomorphism (C.2). The set $\mathcal{U}^0(\mathcal{L}_R)$ is the set of $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_R)$ such that $U \sim_h \mathbb{1}$ in $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_R)$.

Sketch of proof that (C.2) is injective due to Hua [Hua24a]. First, one shows that the Paschke dual algebra (C.1) is properly infinite; see e.g. [LN20, Lemma 2.2]. Second, for unital properly infinite C^* -algebras, to show K_1 -injectivity, it suffices to show that the natural map

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_R)/\mathcal{U}^0(\mathcal{L}_R) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_2(\mathcal{L}_R)/\mathcal{U}_2^0(\mathcal{L}_R) \quad (\text{C.3})$$

is injective; see e.g. [BRR08, Proposition 5.2]. Third, it was shown in [Pas81, Lemma 3] that the map (C.3) is indeed injective. \square

With the establishment K_1 -injectivity of (C.2), our main result [Theorem 1.1](#) follows directly from the well-known K_1 -group calculation of \mathcal{L}_R , as we presented in [Proposition B.2](#). From this perspective, we have given an alternative proof of the K_1 -injectivity for the C^* -algebra \mathcal{L}_R . On the other hand, we believe a purely functional analytic proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) exists (see [CS23] for more relevant discussion on motivation for this).

References

- [ACL15] Andruchow, E., Chiumiento, E., and Lucero, M. D. I. y: Essentially commuting projections. *Journal of Functional Analysis*. **268** (2), 336–362 (2015)
- [BvS94] Bellissard, J., van Elst, A., and Schulz-Baldes, H.: The noncommutative geometry of the quantum Hall effect. *J. Math. Phys.* **35**, 5373–5451 (1994)
- [BRR08] Blanchard, E., Rohde, R., and Rørdam, M.: Properly infinite $C(X)$ -algebras and K_1 -injectivity. *Journal of noncommutative geometry*. **2** (3), 263–282 (2008)
- [CHO82] Carey, A., Hurst, C., and O’Brien, D.: Automorphisms of the canonical anticommutation relations and index theory. *Journal of Functional Analysis*. **48** (3), 360–393 (1982)
- [CS23] Chung, J.-H. and Shapiro, J.: Topological Classification of Insulators: I. Non-interacting Spectrally-Gapped One-Dimensional Systems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.00268, (2023)
- [CS24] Chung, J.-H. and Shapiro, J.: Topological Classification of Insulators: II. Quasi-Two-Dimensional Locality. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.05385, (2024)
- [Con00] Conway, J. B.: A course in operator theory. American Mathematical Soc., 2000.
- [Dou98] Douglas, R. G.: Banach algebra techniques in operator theory. en. 2nd ed. Graduate texts in mathematics. New York, NY: Springer, 1998.
- [GL83] Gilfeather, F. and Larson, D. R.: Commutants modulo the compact operators of certain CSL algebras II. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*. **6**, 345–356 (1983)
- [HR00] Higson, N. and Roe, J.: Analytic K -homology. OUP Oxford, 2000.
- [Hua24a] Hua, S. Private Communication. 2024.
- [Hua24b] Hua, S.: K -stability of \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebras. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.11084, (2024)
- [KR97] Kadison, R. V. and Ringrose, J. R.: Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. Volume I: Elementary Theory. Vol. 15. Graduate studies in mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [LN20] Loreaux, J. and Ng, P. W.: Remarks on essential codimension. *Integral equations and operator theory*. **92**, 1–35 (2020)

- [Pas81] Paschke, W.: K -theory for commutants in the Calkin algebra. Pacific Journal of Mathematics. **95** (2), 427–434 (1981)
- [Rør+00] Rørdam, M., Larsen, F., Larsen, F., and Laustsen, N.: An introduction to K -theory for C^* -algebras. 49. Cambridge University Press, 2000.