

Optimal Estimation of Temperature

Shaoyong Zhang¹, Zhaoyu Fei^{1*}, Xiaoguang Wang^{1*}

¹Zhejiang Key Laboratory of Quantum State Control and Optical Field Manipulation, Department of Physics, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, 928 Second Avenue, Xiasha Higher Education Zone, Hangzhou, 310018, Zhejiang province, China.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): 1501110183@pku.edu.cn; xgwang@zstu.edu.cn;

Abstract

Over the past century, the Boltzmann entropy has been widely accepted as the standard definition of entropy for an isolated system. However, it coexists with controversial alternatives, such as the Gibbs entropy. These definitions, including the Boltzmann entropy, exhibit certain inconsistencies, both mathematically and thermodynamically. To address this challenge, we introduce the estimation theory in statistical inference into the study of thermodynamics and statistical physics for finite-sized systems. By regarding the finite-sized system as a thermometer used to measure the temperature of the heat reservoir, we show that optimal estimation of temperature yields the corresponding entropy formula for an isolated system. In the single-sampling case, optimal estimation of inverse temperature (or temperature) corresponds to the Boltzmann entropy (or the Gibbs entropy). These different definitions of entropy, rather than being contradictory, apply to optimal estimation of different parameters. Via the Laplace transform, we identify a complementarity between estimation of temperature and system's energy, a concept suggested by Niels Bohr. We also correct the energy-temperature uncertainty relation, as expressed by the Cramér-Rao bound, in the large- N limit. In the multiple-sampling case, we generalize the definitions of both the Boltzmann entropy and the Gibbs entropy to achieve optimal estimation of temperature, revealing the tight connection between statistical inference and Terrell Hill's nanothermodynamics.

1 Introduction

In the study of thermodynamics and statistical physics, how to define the entropy of an isolated system is a fundamental question. Although many textbooks advocate the Boltzmann relation as the standard answer, the related controversy never ends. In fact, many papers suggest using the Gibbs entropy as the definition of the entropy of an isolated system [1–8]. Among these studies, the arguments center around the following key points:

1. *The existence of negative absolute temperature for finite-sized systems.*

Negative absolute temperature is a result of

the Boltzmann entropy for a system with a bounded energy spectrum. It was first realized by Purcell and Pound in 1951 [9] and clarified by Ramsey in 1956 [10]. Recent experimental platforms that have realized it include: cold atom lattices [11], vortex clusters in 2D quantum superfluids [12, 13], and optical system [14, 15]. Some studies claim that negative absolute temperatures violate the principles of thermodynamics, while the Gibbs entropy forbids negative absolute temperatures [1–5]. Others argue that the Gibbs entropy is inconsistent with the postulates of thermodynamics for systems with non-monotonic state density,

whereas the Boltzmann entropy is appropriate [16–18].

2. *Which definition of entropy is more consistent with the requirements of the zeroth law of thermodynamics.*

Some studies state that the average value of subsystems' Gibbs temperature equals the composite system's Gibbs temperature, which meets the requirements of the zeroth law of thermodynamics [6]. Others state that two systems with the same Gibbs temperature may not reach thermal equilibrium when they are in thermal contact, even heat flows from the lower-temperature system to the higher-temperature system, while Boltzmann temperature does not lead to this problem [10, 19].

3. *Other arguments include the additivity of entropy, adiabatic invariance of entropy, whether the definition of entropy guarantees the equipartition theorem, and so on [6, 8, 20–23].*

In conclusion, the various requirements from mathematics and thermodynamics for entropy are simultaneously satisfied by neither the Boltzmann entropy nor the Gibbs entropy for finite-sized systems. The associated endless controversies hinder the developments of thermodynamics for finite-sized systems.

The starting points of the previous studies are almost based on the four laws of thermodynamics which are established on empirical facts about systems in the thermodynamic limit. In this limit, the Boltzmann entropy and Gibbs entropy are equivalent and both applicable. However, for finite-sized systems, whether these empirical facts and the four laws of thermodynamics are still valid is doubtful and unconfirmed. Hence, it is not feasible to determine which quantity, the Boltzmann entropy or the Gibbs entropy, is more suitable as the definition of entropy for finite-sized systems based on the four laws of thermodynamics.

In this paper, we show that the Boltzmann entropy and the Gibbs entropy are compatible as the entropy of an isolated system by utilizing the estimation theory in statistical inference. This practice originated from 1956 [24] when Mandelbrot applied the estimation theory to the derivation of statistical thermodynamics from purely phenomenological principles (also see [25, 26]).

Next, he based the concept of fluctuating temperature on the theory of statistical inference [27]. Others studies include an introduction of a model for a thermometer to the study of estimation of temperature [28] and the achievement of the Landau bound for estimation of temperature in quantum system [29], for example .

In the single-sampling case, a conclusive relationship between the Boltzmann entropy (the Gibbs entropy) and optimal estimation of inverse temperature (temperature) is established, which is called the uniform minimum variance unbiased estimator (UMVUE). In this view, different definitions of entropy apply to optimal estimation of different parameters, rather than being contradictory. Furthermore, we find that estimation of temperature and system's energy exhibit a complementarity, which was suggested by Bohr [30]. The UMVUE of temperature and the derivative with respect to energy are related to each other via the Laplace transform, in a manner analogous to how the position and momentum are related to each other via the Fourier transform in quantum mechanics. Corresponding to the complementarity, an energy-temperature uncertainty relation exists between the estimation of temperature and system's energy [30–32]. Mandelbrot linked the energy-temperature uncertainty relation to the Cramér-Rao bound in statistical inference [24]. Well, we obtain a correction to the Cramér-Rao bound in the large- N limit, which is related to the skewness of the energy distribution of the system.

In the multiple-sampling case, optimal estimation of temperature requires us to generalize the definitions of the Boltzmann entropy and the Gibbs entropy that depend on the sample size. Hence, the sample size is a state variable of the “sampling ensemble” for finite-sized systems, which is independent of the usual thermodynamic conjugate pairs such as temperature/entropy, pressure/volume, and chemical potential/particle number. It is worth mentioning that the “sampling ensemble” can be regarded as the statistical interpretation of the replica trick in Hill's nanothermodynamics [33–38].

Our work is closely related to the studies about measurements of temperature. On the one hand, fluctuations of temperature were studied by measuring the noise spectra of paramagnetic salt

thermometers [39], in a magnetic thermometer using dilute magnetic alloys of Mn or Fe dissolved in a pure Pd matrix [40], or in a nanoscale electron calorimeter [41]. In these studies, the particle numbers are sufficiently large that the energy distribution of the system follows the central limit theorem (CLT). On the other hand, in the studies of thermometry using quantum systems, researchers investigated the high-precision measurements of temperature using quantum effects in system's dynamic behavior or steady-state properties [42–51]. Most of these studies considered a single-particle system with the number of measurements going to infinity. Also, the distribution of data satisfies the CLT. In comparison, our work focuses on the optimal estimation of the temperature of a finite- N system with a finite sample size, where the CLT is not valid. By the way, a generalized thermodynamic uncertainty relation is derived in a quantum system strongly interacting with a heat reservoir [52]. In contrast, our systems are weakly coupled with the heat reservoir so that the steady state of the system follows a canonical distribution.

2 Estimation theory

Estimation theory is a branch of statistics that deals with estimating the values of parameters based on measured empirical data that has a random component. Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a random sample from a statistical population with a parameter θ dependent on the probability distribution function $\{P(x, \theta), \theta \in \Theta\}$. To infer this unknown parameter θ , we need to construct an estimator $\hat{\theta}$ which is a function of the sample X . For an observed sample x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , $\hat{\theta}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is a fixed value which is called an estimate.

Different estimation methods may derive different estimators, so we introduce some basic criteria to evaluate various estimators. The first is its bias $b(\hat{\theta})$ [53],

$$b(\hat{\theta}) = \langle \hat{\theta} \rangle - \theta, \quad (1)$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the statistical average. Until the bias of the estimator is equal to 0, the estimator is called unbiased and satisfies $\langle \hat{\theta} \rangle = \theta$ for $\forall \theta \in \Theta$. The second is its mean square error $\langle (\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 \rangle$

(MSE),

$$\langle (\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 \rangle = \Delta \hat{\theta}^2 + b(\hat{\theta})^2, \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta \hat{\theta}^2 = \langle (\hat{\theta} - \langle \hat{\theta} \rangle)^2 \rangle$ denotes the variance of the estimator. If the estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is unbiased, its MSE is equal to its variance. If the parameter θ has two unbiased estimators $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$, and they satisfy:

$$\Delta \hat{\theta}_1^2 \leq \Delta \hat{\theta}_2^2, \text{ for } \theta \in \Theta, \quad (3)$$

then $\hat{\theta}_1$ is called to be more efficient than $\hat{\theta}_2$ [53]. A desired property of higher precision and accuracy means a smaller MSE.

The Cramér-Rao inequality provides a lower bound for the variance of the unbiased estimator, i.e. ,

$$\Delta \hat{\theta}^2 \geq \frac{1}{MI_F(\theta)}, \quad (4)$$

where $I_F(\theta)$ denotes the Fisher information [53],

$$I_F(\theta) = \int \frac{1}{P(x, \theta)} \left(\frac{\partial P(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 dx. \quad (5)$$

If an unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}$ achieves this lower bound, it is said to be fully efficient. However, in many cases, no unbiased technique exists which achieves the bound [53]. Among unbiased estimators, the one with the lowest variance is called the uniform minimum variance unbiased estimator (UMVUE) of parameter θ . The UMVUE is unique and its variance is greater than or equal to the inverse of the Fisher information [53].

To find the UMVUE, we introduce two concepts: sufficiency and completeness. A statistic is a function $U(X)$ of the sample X . If the conditional distribution of the sample X given the value of $U(X)$ does not depend on θ , then the $U(X)$ is a sufficient statistic. We call that the statistic $U(X)$ is complete, for every measurable function $g(U)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{if} && E_\theta(g(U)) = 0, \quad \text{for } \theta \in \Theta, \\ &\text{then} && P_\theta(g(U) = 0) = 1, \quad \text{for } \theta \in \Theta. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

According to the Rao-Blackwell theorem, the UMVUE is only the function of the sufficient statistics. Further, using the Lehmann-Scheffé theorem, an unbiased estimator that is a function of a complete sufficient statistic is the UMVUE [53].

3 Estimation of temperature in the single-sampling case

In this section, we establish the connection between the definition of the entropy of an isolated system and the optimal estimation of temperature i.e., the UMVUE of temperature in a canonical ensemble.

The Boltzmann entropy is defined as $S_B = \ln[\sigma(E)\Delta E]$, where $\sigma(E)$ is the density of the states of a system in the microcanonical ensemble at energy E and ΔE is a narrow energy width. The Gibbs entropy is defined as $S_G = \ln \Omega(E)$, where $\Omega(E) = \int^E dE' \sigma(E')$ is the volume in phase space enclosed by a hypersurface of constant energy E [54]. Here and in the whole paper, we set the Boltzmann constant $k_B = 1$. According to the laws of thermodynamics, we define the Boltzmann/Gibbs inverse temperature accordingly,

$$\beta_{B/G} = \frac{\partial S_{B/G}}{\partial E}, \quad (7)$$

and the corresponding temperatures are given by $T_{B/G} = \beta_{B/G}^{-1}$.

Inspired by Mandelbrot's viewpoint that the temperature for system-in-isolation should be viewed as a statistical estimate of the temperature of heat reservoir, with which the isolated system is presumed to have been in contact [25], we treat the finite-sized system in canonical ensemble as a thermometer of the reservoir. We consider an N -particle system with I denoting its microstate and $i \in D$ denoting a specific value of I . The system contacts a heat reservoir with temperature T . At equilibrium, the probability of the system at state i obeys the Boltzmann-Gibbs formula:

$$P(i) = \frac{1}{Z} W_i e^{-\beta E_i}, \quad (8)$$

where $\beta = T^{-1}$ denotes the inverse temperature, E_i (W_i) denotes the energy (degeneracy) of state i and $Z = \sum_{i \in D} W_i e^{-\beta E_i}$ is the partition function.

Let $\hat{\beta}^{(k)}(I)$ denote the unbiased estimator of β^k , i.e.,

$$\langle \hat{\beta}^{(k)}(I) \rangle = \beta^k, \quad (9)$$

one option of $\hat{\beta}^{(k)}$ reads (see Appendix A):

$$\hat{\beta}^{(k)}(i) = \begin{cases} \frac{d_{E_i}^k \sigma(E_i)}{\sigma(E_i)} & \text{if } \sigma(E_i) \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma(E_i) = 0 \end{cases}, \quad (10)$$

where $k = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3 \dots$ and $\sigma(E) = \sum_{i \in D} \delta(E - E_i) W_i$ denotes the density of states¹ and

$$d_E^k \sigma(E) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{d^k \sigma(E)}{dE^k} & \text{for } k > 0 \\ \int_0^E dE' d_{E'}^{k+1} \sigma(E') & \text{for } k < 0 \end{cases}, \quad (11)$$

where $d_E^0 \sigma(E) \equiv \sigma(E)$ and we set the ground state energy to be zero. The density of states $\sigma(E)$ needs to satisfy $d_E^l \sigma(E) \Big|_{E=0} = 0$ for integer $l \in [0, n-1]$. This condition is inconsistent with the requirements of the third law of thermodynamics, i.e., entropy approaches zero and $\sigma = 1$ as $E \rightarrow 0$ [55]. In this case, $\sigma(E)$ is modified to $\sigma(E)H(E)$, where $H(E)$ denotes the Heaviside step function and we have $d_E^l \sigma(E) \equiv d^l [\sigma(E)H(E)] / dE^l$ for $l > 0$. When $l = 1$, $d_E \sigma(E) = d\sigma(E)/dE H(E) + \sigma(E)\delta(E)$. If we discard the contribution from the delta function $\sigma(E)\delta(E)$, it will result in a bias $\sigma(0)/Z$ of $\hat{\beta}^{(1)}$. Notice that $\sigma(0)/Z \sim e^{-\alpha N}$ for some positive constant α . As N increases, the bias quickly decays to zero and we illustrate it in Fig. 1.

We assume that the system's energy is unbounded in the above derivation. For a system with an upper bound of energy E_m , e.g. a spin system, $\sigma(E)$ is modified to $\sigma(E)H(E_m - E)$, and we have $d_E^l \sigma(E) \equiv d^l [\sigma(E)H(E_m - E)] / dE^l$ for $l > 0$. When $l = 1$, $d_E \sigma(E) = d\sigma(E)/dE H(E_m - E) - \sigma(E)\delta(E_m - E)$. Similarly, discarding the contribution from the delta function $\sigma(E)\delta(E_m - E)$ will also result in a bias $\sigma(E_m)/Z \sim e^{-\alpha N}$ of $\hat{\beta}^{(1)}$.

We would like to emphasize that Eq. (11) has already been mentioned in Gibbs' textbook [56]. However, Gibbs only studied the mathematical properties of $\Omega(E)$ in a canonical ensemble and did not establish a direct connection between these mathematical properties and the estimation theory.

Eq. (9) belongs to the so-called exponential family in statistical inference, of which complete sufficient statistic is E_I [53]. Since, the unbiased

¹Here, we make an approximation that replaces the irregular actual state density function with a smooth function. The approximate expression depends on the boundary conditions of the system, see Sec. 1.4 in [55] for more details.




Fig. 1: The relative bias of β varies as N . This bias is caused by discarding the term $\sigma(E)\delta(E)$ in the calculation of $\langle \hat{\beta}^{(1)}(I) \rangle$. Here, the density of states $\sigma(E) = e^{\sqrt{NE}}$ as an analog to the density of states of the low-temperature fermion gas and temperature $T = 1$.

estimator $\hat{\beta}^{(k)}$ is only a function of E_I , according to the theorems in Sec. 2, $\hat{\beta}^{(k)}$ is the UMVUE of β^k . Especially, for $k = \pm 1$, we have

$$\langle \hat{\beta}^{(1)}(I) \rangle = \langle \beta_B \rangle = \beta, \quad (12)$$

$$\langle \hat{\beta}^{(-1)}(I) \rangle = \langle T_G \rangle = T. \quad (13)$$

Hence, the Boltzmann entropy and the Gibbs entropy correspond to the UMVUE of inverse temperature and temperature respectively. For other powers of temperature, we do not find a direct connection between the UMVUE of temperature and the entropy of an isolated system.

In general, given a function $f(\beta) = \sum_n a_n \beta^n$ of β , its UMVUE can be obtained by

$$\hat{f}(\beta) = \frac{\sum_n a_n d_E^n \sigma(E)}{\sigma(E)} \equiv \frac{f(d_E) \sigma(E)}{\sigma(E)}. \quad (14)$$

It is a result of the relation between the function of temperature and its UMVUE via the Laplace transform

$$f(\beta)Z(\beta) = \int_0^\infty dE f(d_E) \sigma(E) e^{-\beta E},$$

$$f(d_E) \sigma(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta' - i\infty}^{\beta' + i\infty} d\beta f(\beta) Z(\beta) e^{\beta E}. \quad (15)$$

As an analog to the relation between the position and momentum via the Fourier transform in quantum mechanics, we argue that Eq. (15) denotes a complementarity between the estimation of temperature and system's energy, which clarifies Niels Bohr's interpretation [31] and leads to an uncertainty relation [52, 57] using the Cramér-Rao inequality (see Appendix B)

$$\Delta \hat{f}(\beta) \Delta E \geq d_\beta f(\beta). \quad (16)$$

Next, let us consider the unbiased estimation of the non-integer powers of temperature, i.e., $\langle \hat{\beta}^{(\alpha)}(I) \rangle = \beta^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The expression of $\hat{\beta}^{(\alpha)}$ is still given by Eq. (10) except that we extend the definition of $d_E^\alpha \sigma(E)$ in Eq. (11) in different cases. When $\alpha < 0$, the definition is extended to

$$d_E^\alpha \sigma(E) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_0^E \sigma(u) (E-t)^{-\alpha-1} du, \quad (17)$$

with the help of the Riemann-Liouville integral [58] (see Appendix C for details). When $\alpha > 0$ and $n-1 < \alpha < n$ for some integer n , the definition is extended to

$$d_E^\alpha \sigma(E) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^E (E-t)^{n-\alpha-1} d_u^n \sigma(u) du, \quad (18)$$

with the help of the Caputo fractional derivative [59] under the condition $d_E^l \sigma(E) \Big|_{E=0} = 0$ for integer $l \in [0, n-1]$ (see Appendix C for details). When the condition $d_E^l \sigma(E) \Big|_{E=0} = 0$ is not satisfied for every $l \in [0, n-1]$, we only consider the case $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Here, the definition is extended to

$$d_E^\alpha \sigma(E) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dE} \int_0^E (E-t)^{-\alpha} \sigma(u) du, \quad (19)$$

with the help of the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative [58] (see Appendix C for details). We would like to emphasize that for the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$, we have $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \langle \hat{\beta}^{(\alpha)} \rangle = \beta$, but $\langle \hat{\beta}^{(1)} \rangle = \beta + \sigma(0)/Z$. That is to say the interchange of the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$ and the integral $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is not allowed.

4 Estimation of temperature for large- N systems

The UMVUE of T and β , T_G and β_B , depend on the expression of $\sigma(E)$. For large- N systems, we approximate the expression of $\sigma(E)$ through the partition function Z and its derivatives, which can be obtained through measurements of thermodynamic quantities.

Using the Laplace approximation [60, 61] or the Darwin–Fowler method in Sec. 9.1 of [62], $\ln Z$ is approximated²

$$\ln Z = \ln \sigma(E^*) + \ln \sqrt{2\pi \left(-\frac{\partial \hat{\beta}_B}{\partial E} \right)^{-1} \Big|_{E=E^*}} - \beta E^* + O(N^{-1}), \quad (20)$$

where $\partial \ln \sigma(E)/\partial E|_{E=E^*} = \beta$, i.e. $\hat{\beta}_B(E^*) = \beta$. Here, E^* denotes the most probable energy in the canonical ensemble. Then, we obtain the average energy $\langle E \rangle = -\partial \ln Z / \partial \beta$,

$$\langle E \rangle = E^* - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \beta_B}{\partial E^2} \Big|_{E=E^*} \left(\frac{\partial E^*}{\partial \beta} \right)^2 + O(N^{-1}). \quad (21)$$

Performing the inverse map from $\langle E \rangle$ to E^* shows the relation between the most probable energy E^* and the average energy $\langle E \rangle$,

$$E^* = \langle E \rangle + T + \frac{T^2}{2} \frac{\partial \ln C}{\partial T} + O(N^{-1}), \quad (22)$$

where $C = \partial \langle E \rangle / \partial T$ denotes the heat capacity. Substituting Eq. (22) into Eq. (20), we have

$$\ln \sigma(\langle E \rangle) = S - \ln \sqrt{2\pi \left(-\frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial \beta} \right)} + O(N^{-1}), \quad (23)$$

where $S = \ln Z - \beta \partial \ln Z / \partial \beta$ is the thermodynamic entropy in a canonical ensemble. Eq. (23) shows the nonequivalence between micro-canonical ensemble and canonical ensemble for finite- N systems. If we replace $\langle E \rangle$ with a single sample E , the function $\hat{\beta}_L(E) \left[\hat{T}_L(E) = \hat{\beta}_L(E)^{-1} \right]$ given by

$-\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \beta} \Big|_{\beta=\hat{\beta}_L(E)} = E$ will be a statistic of $\beta [T]$ ³.

Thus, we obtain the well-known relation between the Boltzmann entropy $S_B = \ln[\sigma(E)\Delta E]$ and the thermodynamic entropy $S(E)$. Taking the derivative of Eq. (23) with respect to E on both sides, we have

$$\hat{\beta}_B(E) = \hat{\beta}_L(E) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d_E^2 \hat{\beta}_L(E)}{d_E \hat{\beta}_L(E)} + O(N^{-2}). \quad (24)$$

This is the relation between the UMVUE of β and the maximum likelihood (also the first-order moment) estimator of β .

Using Eqs. (21), (24), we calculate the variance of $\hat{\beta}_B$ (see Appendix D),

$$\Delta \hat{\beta}_B^2 \Delta E^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_\beta^2 + O(N^{-2}), \quad (25)$$

where $\Delta E^2 = I_F(\beta) = T^2 C$. And $\kappa_\beta \equiv \beta^3 d_\beta^2 \langle E \rangle / C^{3/2} = \langle E - \langle E \rangle^3 \rangle / \Delta E^{3/2}$ denotes the skewness of the energy distribution, which is a measure of the asymmetry of the probability distribution of a real-valued random variable about its mean. Since $\hat{\beta}_B$ is the UMVUE of β , $\Delta \hat{\beta}_B^2$ is the infimum for all unbiased estimators of β , i.e.,

$$\Delta \hat{\beta}_B^2 \Delta E^2 \geq 1 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_\beta^2 + O(N^{-2}). \quad (26)$$

Thus, Eq. (25) is a correction to the Cramér-Rao bound of the temperature estimation for a finite-sized system. As $N \rightarrow \infty$, the skewness approaches 0 and the energy distribution obeys the CLT (see Fig. 2a).

Next, we consider the approximate expression of $S_G = \ln \Omega$. Using the relation $\partial \Omega(E) / \partial E = \sigma(E)$, we have,

$$S_G(E) = S_B(E) + \ln \hat{T}_G(E) + O(N^{-1}), \quad (27)$$

Eq. (27) is consistent with the exact relation $\hat{T}_G = \hat{T}_B(1 - \hat{C}_G^{-1})$, where $\hat{C}_G = \partial E / \partial T_G$ [1]. Taking the derivative of Eq. (27) with respect to E on

²We assume that the system does not undergo a phase transition as the requirement of the Laplace approximation.

³Note that Eq. (23) is not valid for $E = 0$, since the right-hand side of Eq. (23) diverges while the left-hand side of Eq. (23) is zero, which causes an inconsistency between the two sides.

both sides and using Eq. (24), we have

$$\hat{T}_G(E) = \hat{T}_L(E) - \frac{\hat{T}_L(E)^2}{2} \frac{d_E^2 \hat{T}_L(E)}{d_E \hat{T}_L(E)} + O(N^{-2}), \quad (28)$$

Following the similar procedure, we obtain the variance of \hat{T}_G (see Appendix D),

$$\frac{\Delta \hat{T}_G^2 \Delta E^2}{T^4} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_T^2 + O(N^{-2}), \quad (29)$$

where $\Delta E^2/T^4 = I_F(T) = C/T^2$ and $\kappa_T = T d_T^2 \langle E \rangle / C^{3/2}$. Also, κ_T approaches 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$ (see Fig. 2b). Since \hat{T}_G is the UMVUE of T , $\Delta \hat{T}_G^2$ is the infimum for all unbiased estimators of T , i.e.,

$$\frac{\Delta \hat{T}_G^2 \Delta E^2}{T^4} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_T^2 + O(N^{-2}). \quad (30)$$

5 Estimation of temperature in the multiple-sampling case

In the single-sampling case, the finite-sized system exhibits significant fluctuations. Therefore, to improve the precision of the estimation of temperature, we discuss the UMVUE of temperature for the sample size M . For systems considered in Sec. 3, let $\mathbf{I}=(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_M)$ denote the microstates in a sampling. The M -independent sampling of a system is equivalent to a single sampling of the M -identical non-interacting systems (see Fig. 3). Here, we call the M -identical non-interacting systems the “ M -sampling ensemble”. At equilibrium, the observed sample $\mathbf{i}=(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_M)$ still obeys the Boltzmann-Gibbs formula, i.e.,

$$P(\mathbf{i}) = \frac{1}{Z^M} \prod_{n=1}^M W_{i_n} e^{-\beta E_{i_n}}, \quad (31)$$

where $E_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum_{n=1}^M E_{i_n}$ denotes the total energy of the sampling ensemble.

Following the similar procedure in Sec. 3, it can be proved that the unbiased estimator of β^k for sample size M reads,

$$\hat{\beta}^{(k)}(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{cases} \frac{d_{E_{\mathbf{i}}}^k \sigma_M(E_{\mathbf{i}})}{\sigma_M(E_{\mathbf{i}})} & \text{if } \sigma_M(E_{\mathbf{i}}) \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma_M(E_{\mathbf{i}}) = 0 \end{cases}, \quad (32)$$





Fig. 2: The correction to the Cramér-Rao bound of $\hat{\beta}_B$ or \hat{T}_G vary as $1/N$. (a) The exact solution (solid line) is obtained through numerical calculations. The approximate solution (dotted line) is from Eq. (25). Here, for the density of states $\sigma(E) = E^{N-1}$ for the classical three-dimensional harmonic oscillator and temperature $T = 1$. (b) The exact solution (solid line) is obtained through numerical calculations. The approximate solution (dotted line) is from Eq. (29). Here, the density of states $\sigma(E) = e^{\sqrt{NE}}$ as an analog to the density of states of the low-temperature fermion gas and temperature $T = 1$.

where k is an integer and $\sigma_M(E) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \delta(E - E_{\mathbf{i}}) \prod_{n=1}^M W_{i_n}$ denotes the density of states of the sampling ensemble. Since Eq. (31) also belongs to the exponential family, of which complete sufficient statistic is $E_{\mathbf{i}}$. According to the theorems in Sec. 2, Eq. (32) is the UMVUE of β^k in the multiple-sampling case. Especially,




Fig. 3: The equivalence between the M -independent samplings of a system and a single sampling of the M -identical non-interacting systems.

for $k = \pm 1$, we have

$$\langle \hat{\beta}^{(1)}(\mathbf{I}) \rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial [\ln \sigma_M(E) \Delta E]}{\partial E} \right\rangle = \beta, \quad (33)$$

$$\langle \hat{\beta}^{(-1)}(\mathbf{I}) \rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial \ln \Omega_M(E)}{\partial E} \right\rangle = T, \quad (34)$$

which motivates us to generalize the definitions of Boltzmann entropy and Gibbs entropy in the “ M -sampling ensemble”,

$$S_{B,M}(E) = \ln[\sigma_M(E) \Delta E], \quad (35)$$

$$S_{G,M}(E) = \ln \Omega_M(E), \quad (36)$$

where $\Omega_M(E) = \int_0^E \sigma_M(E') dE'$ is the volume in phase space enclosed by a hypersurface of constant energy E in the “ M -time sampling ensemble”.

For the sampling ensemble, the density of states, entropy, and temperature all explicitly depend on M . This indicates that we need to treat M as a new state variable of the sampling ensemble for finite-sized systems which is independent of the usual thermodynamic conjugate pairs such as temperature/entropy, pressure/volume, and chemical potential/particle number.

Notably, we find that a similar quantity in Hill’s nanothermodynamics to the sample size M [34–39]. Using the replica trick, Hill proposed an \mathcal{N} -copies ensemble composed by copies of finite-sized systems. As \mathcal{N} goes to infinity, he proposed the phenomenological thermodynamics of the \mathcal{N} -copies ensemble. From the perspective of estimation theory, the \mathcal{N} -copies ensemble is just equivalent to the “ M -sampling ensemble”. However, the sample size can be any positive integer, rather than requiring it to go to infinity.

For large but finite M , following the similar procedure in Sec. 4, we list the relevant quantities in estimation of temperature for the single-sampling case and the multiple-sampling case in Table 1. In the multiple-sampling case, the terms $\kappa_\beta^2/2M$, $\kappa_T^2/2M$ are the corrections to the Cramér-Rao bound. As $M \rightarrow \infty$, the correction vanishes which follows from the CLT.

Table 1: Relevant quantities in estimation of temperature for the single-sampling case and the multiple-sampling case.

	The single-sampling case	The multiple-sampling case
Partition function	$\ln \sigma(E^*) - \beta E^* + \ln \sqrt{2\pi \left(-\frac{\partial \hat{\beta}_B}{\partial E}\right)^{-1}} \Big _{E=E^*} + O(N^{-1})$	$M \ln Z = \sqrt{2\pi \left(-\frac{\partial \hat{\beta}_{B,M}}{\partial E}\right)^{-1}} \Big _{E_t=E_t^*} + O((MN)^{-1})$
Average energy	$\langle E \rangle = E^* - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\beta}_B}{\partial E^2} \Big _{E=E^*} \left(\frac{\partial E^*}{\partial \beta}\right)^2 + O(N^{-1})$	$M \langle E \rangle = E_t^* - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\beta}_B}{\partial E_t^2} \Big _{E_t=E_t^*} \left(\frac{\partial E_t^*}{\partial \beta}\right)^2 + O((MN)^{-1})$
The most probable energy	$E^* = \langle E \rangle + T + \frac{T^2}{2} \frac{\partial \ln C}{\partial T} + O(N^{-1})$	$E_t^* = M \langle E \rangle + T + \frac{T^2}{2} \frac{\partial \ln C}{\partial T} + O((MN)^{-1})$
Relation between canonical ensemble entropy and microcanonical ensemble entropy	$\ln \sigma(\langle E \rangle) = S - \ln \sqrt{2\pi \left(-\frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial \beta}\right)} + O(N^{-1})$	$\ln \sigma_M(M \langle E \rangle) = MS - \ln \sqrt{2\pi M \left(-\frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial \beta}\right)} + O((MN)^{-1})$
Relation between the UMVUE and the maximum likelihood estimator of the inverse temperature	$\hat{\beta}_B(E) = \hat{\beta}_L(E) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d_E^2 \hat{\beta}_L(E)}{d_E \hat{\beta}_L(E)} + O(N^{-2})$	$\hat{\beta}_{B,M}(E) = \hat{\beta}_L(E) + \frac{1}{2M} \frac{d_E^2 \hat{\beta}_L(E)}{d_E \hat{\beta}_L(E)} + O((MN)^{-2})$
Correction to the Cramér-Rao bound (inverse temperature)	$\Delta \hat{\beta}_B^2 \Delta E^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_\beta^2 + O(N^{-2})$	$M \Delta \hat{\beta}_{B,M}^2 \Delta E^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{2M} \kappa_\beta^2 + O((MN)^{-2})$
Correction to the Cramér-Rao bound (temperature)	$\frac{\Delta \hat{T}_G^2 \Delta E^2}{T^4} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_T^2 + O(N^{-2})$	$M \frac{\Delta \hat{T}_{G,M}^2 \Delta E^2}{T^4} = 1 + \frac{1}{2M} \kappa_T^2 + O((MN)^{-2})$

6 Remarks

Here, our study provides answers to several key questions pertaining to the study of temperature.

Remark 1. *How is the temperature defined for finite-sized systems?*

In thermodynamics, the zeroth law of thermodynamics states that the temperatures of systems in thermal equilibrium are equal, which breaks down due to the significant thermal fluctuations for finite-sized systems. Thus, we cannot directly define the temperature of finite-sized systems. Mandelbrot argued that both the practice and the apparent intent of the operation "to define a temperature for an isolated thermodynamical system" can be interpreted as really meaning "to estimate the temperature of a heat reservoir, with which the isolated system should be presumed to have been in contact" [26]. Inspired by viewpoint, we treat the finite-sized system as a thermometer of the reservoir. Methods for estimating the temperature of the heat reservoir are various. However, optimal estimation of temperature is unique, which reflects the ability of the system to exchange energy with the outside. Thus, we define the temperature of an isolated system as the optimal estimate of temperature.

Remark 2. *What is the relation between Gibbs's approach and Einstein's approach for the description of equilibrium thermodynamic fluctuations?*

It is commonly held that thermodynamic fluctuations can be described on the basis of two distinct approaches: statistical mechanics or the Gibbs' approach, and statistical thermodynamics or Einstein's approach (the latter is sometimes loosely referred to as the quasi-thermodynamic theory of fluctuations) [63]. Disagreements exist between these two approaches. Firstly, in Einstein's approach, when a macroscopic system is in thermal equilibrium with a thermostat, two thermodynamically conjugate macroscopic parameters may fluctuate simultaneously (for example, energy and temperature, volume and pressure, etc.). Meanwhile in Gibbs' approach, only one of the two would fluctuate. Secondly, in Einstein's approach, fluctuations occur in the space of thermodynamic macroparameters. Meanwhile in Gibbs' approach, fluctuations occur in the microscopic phase space. In our work, we resolve the

disagreement between Gibbs' approach and Einstein's approach using estimation theory, where the temperature corresponding to a microscopic state is interpreted as the optimal estimate of temperature. The temperature of the heat reservoir is a fixed parameter, while the temperatures of the microstates fluctuate as the energy changes. The canonical distribution [Eq. (8)] is transformed to the energy distribution $P(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i)P(i)$, i.e.,

$$P(E) = A(E) \exp[S(E) - \beta E],$$

where $A = 1$ for $S = S_B$, and $A = \beta_G$ for $S = S_G$. This corresponds to Einstein's equilibrium fluctuations in the canonical ensemble [64], while different choices of entropy formula determine different expressions of the factor A . Hence, Gibbs' approach and Einstein's approach are interpretations of statistical ensembles from different perspectives, where one starts from microscopic states, and the other starts from macroparameters.

Remark 3. *Does negative absolute temperature exist?*

Here, we distinguish the concepts: Negative absolute temperature for the system and negative absolute temperature for the heat reservoir. For the heat reservoir, negative absolute temperature is unphysical, because it cannot equilibrium with systems that have no upper bound of energy, e.g. a harmonic oscillator. For the system, negative absolute temperature is misleading. It should refer to negative absolute inverse temperature since $\hat{\beta}_B$ is the optimal estimation of β . The estimate of inverse temperature being negative is consistent with the inverse temperature of the heat reservoir being positive. In estimation theory, the range of the estimate does not need to coincide with the range of the parameter to be estimated. For system's temperature, its optimal estimation is T_G , which is always positive.

7 Conclusion and Outlook

Using estimation theory, we study optimal estimation of temperature for finite-sized systems. We find that the Boltzmann entropy (Gibbs entropy) corresponds to the UMVUE of inverse temperature (temperature) in the single-sampling case. Furthermore, via the Laplace transform, we identify the complementarity between estimation of

temperature and system's energy. For a system with a large but finite particles, we obtain the asymptotic expression of the infimum of the variance of inverse temperature (temperature) which corrects to the energy-temperature uncertainty relation given by the Cramér-Rao bound. In the multiple-sample case, we generalize the definitions of both Boltzmann entropy and Gibbs entropy to achieve optimal estimation of inverse temperature and temperature. Thus, the sample size should be regarded as an independent state variable of the samplings ensemble for finite-sized systems. This sampling ensemble can be viewed as the statistical interpretation of replica trick in Hill's nanothermodynamics. When the sample size goes to infinity, the energy distribution of system obeys the CLT and the correction to the energy-temperature uncertainty relation vanishes.

Our work advances the field of precision temperature measurements by providing experimental guidance. On the one hand, optimal estimation of temperature provides an achievable bound for the energy-temperature uncertainty relation, enabling the correction to the relation can be tested experimentally in a finite-sized system, such as neutral atom arrays [65, 66] and biochemical oscillators [67, 68]. On the other hand, in the studies of thermometry using quantum systems [42–51], most of these studies focus on a single-particle system. The estimator of temperature is consistent and asymptotically efficient by increasing the number of measurements to infinity. In contrast, we show the UMVUE of temperature in a finite- N system with a finite sample size. Therefore, it may enhance the feasibility of temperature measurements in experiments, improve the sampling efficiency and reduce their associated costs.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Hangzhou Joint Fund of the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. LHZSD24A050001, and the Science Foundation of Zhejiang Sci-Tech University (Grants No. 23062088-Y, and No. 23062181-Y), and NSFC. No. 24062271-A. The authors thank Mr. Zhang Xian for their valuable assistance with the literature search.

Appendix A Unbiased estimator of β^k

In this appendix, we prove that $\hat{\beta}^{(k)}$ is an unbiased estimator of β^k [Eq. (9)] [69]. Let us consider the case $k > 0$. For $k = 1$, $d_{E_i}\sigma(E_i) = d\sigma(E_i)/dE_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \hat{\beta}^{(1)}(I) \rangle &= \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{\sigma(E_i)} \frac{d\sigma(E_i)}{dE_i} W_i \frac{e^{-\beta E_i}}{Z} \\
&= \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{\sigma(E_i)} \frac{d\sigma(E_i)}{dE_i} W_i \frac{e^{-\beta E_i}}{Z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE \delta(E - E_i) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE \frac{e^{-\beta E}}{Z} \frac{1}{\sigma(E)} \frac{d\sigma(E)}{dE} \sum_{i \in I} \delta(E - E_i) W_i \\
&= \frac{1}{Z} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\beta E} d\sigma(E) \\
&= \frac{e^{-\beta E} \sigma(E)}{Z} \Big|_0^{\infty} - \frac{1}{Z} \int_0^{\infty} \sigma(E) d e^{-\beta E} \\
&= \frac{1}{Z} \int_0^{\infty} \sigma(E) \beta e^{-\beta E} dE \\
&= \beta,
\end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

where $\sigma(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i) W_i$ denotes the density of state, and we require that $\sigma(0) = 0$. In the derivation, the lower limit of the integral changes from negative infinity to 0 due to $\sigma(E) = 0$ for $E < 0$.

Suppose that for $k = n$, n is a positive integer, we have $\langle \hat{\beta}^{(n)}(I) \rangle = \beta^n$. Then, for $k = n + 1$, we have $d_{E_i}^{n+1}\sigma(E_i) = d [d_{E_i}^n \sigma(E_i)] / dE_i$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \hat{\beta}^{(n+1)}(i) \rangle &= \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{\sigma(E_i)} \frac{d [d_{E_i}^n \sigma(E_i)]}{dE_i} W_i \frac{e^{-\beta E_i}}{Z} \\
&= \frac{1}{Z} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\beta E} d [d_E^n \sigma(E)] \\
&= \frac{e^{-\beta E} d_E^n \sigma(E)}{Z} \Big|_0^{\infty} - \frac{1}{Z} \int_0^{\infty} [d_E^n \sigma(E)] d e^{-\beta E} \\
&= \beta \frac{1}{Z} \int_0^{\infty} [d_E^n \sigma(E)] e^{-\beta E} dE \\
&= \beta^{n+1},
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

where we require that $d_E^l \sigma(E) \Big|_{E=0} = 0$ for integer $l \in [0, n - 1]$. Therefore, by using the method of mathematical induction, we prove that $\hat{\beta}^{(k)}(i) = d_{E_i}^k \sigma(E_i) / \sigma(E_i)$ is an unbiased estimate of β^k when $k > 0$.

Next, let us consider the case $k < 0$. For $k = -1$, $d_{E_i}^{-1}\sigma(E_i) = \int_0^{E_i} dE' \sigma(E')$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \hat{\beta}^{(-1)}(I) \rangle &= \sum_{i \in I} \frac{d_{E_i}^{-1}\sigma(E_i)}{\sigma(E_i)} W_i \frac{e^{-\beta E_i}}{Z} \\
&= \frac{-1}{Z} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\beta} [d_E^{-1}\sigma(E)] d e^{-\beta E} \\
&= \frac{-d_E^{-1}\sigma(E)e^{-\beta E}}{Z\beta} \Big|_0^\infty + \frac{1}{Z} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-\beta E} d [d_E^{-1}\sigma(E)] \\
&= \frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^\infty \sigma(E) \frac{e^{-\beta E}}{Z} dE \\
&= \beta^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

Follow the similar procedure, by using the method of mathematical induction, we prove that $\hat{\beta}^{(k)}(i) = d_{E_i}^k \sigma(E_i) / \sigma(E_i)$ is an unbiased estimate of β^k when $k < 0$.

Appendix B Energy-temperature uncertainty relation

In this appendix, we prove the energy-temperature uncertainty relation using the Cramér-Rao bound [Eq. (16)]. For the general scalar case of Cramér-Rao bound, we have [53]

$$\Delta \hat{f}(\theta) \geq \frac{f'(\theta)}{\sqrt{I(\theta)}}, \tag{B1}$$

where $\hat{f}(\theta)$ is a unbiased of $f(\theta)$. For the case $\theta = \beta$, the corresponding Fisher information is

$$\begin{aligned}
I_F(\beta) &= \left\langle \left[\frac{1}{P^2(E_i, \beta)} \left(\frac{\partial P(E_i, \beta)}{\partial \beta} \right)^2 \right] \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{P(E_i, \beta)} \left(\frac{\partial P(E_i, \beta)}{\partial \beta} \right)^2 \\
&= \sum_{i \in I} \frac{Z}{W_i e^{-\beta E_i}} \left(\frac{W_i e^{-\beta E_i} (\langle E \rangle - E_i)}{Z} \right)^2 \\
&= \sum_{i \in I} \frac{W_i e^{-\beta E_i}}{Z} (\langle E \rangle - E_i)^2 \\
&= \Delta E^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{B2}$$

Substituting Eq. (B2) into Eq. (B1), we have

$$\Delta \hat{f}(\beta) \Delta E \geq d_\beta f(\beta). \tag{B3}$$

Appendix C Unbiased estimator of β^α

In this appendix, we prove that $\hat{\beta}^{(\alpha)}$ is an unbiased estimator of β^α [Eqs. (17) (18) (19)]. For the case $\alpha < 0$, we have

$$d_{E_i}^\alpha \sigma(E_i) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_0^{E_i} (E_i - u)^{-\alpha-1} \sigma(u) du, \quad (\text{C1})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{\beta}^{(\alpha)}(I) \rangle &= \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{\sigma(E_i)} d_{E_i}^\alpha \sigma(E_i) W_i \frac{e^{-\beta E_i}}{Z} \\ &= \int_0^\infty dE \frac{e^{-\beta E}}{Z} d_E^\alpha \sigma(E) \\ &= \int_0^\infty dE \frac{e^{-\beta E}}{Z} \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_0^E dt \sigma(t) (E-t)^{-\alpha-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty dt \sigma(t) \int_t^\infty dE \frac{e^{-\beta E}}{Z} (E-t)^{-\alpha-1} \\ &= \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty dt \sigma(t) \frac{e^{-\beta t}}{Z} \int_0^\infty dx e^{-x} x^{-\alpha-1} \\ &= \beta^\alpha \int_0^\infty dt \sigma(t) \frac{e^{-\beta t}}{Z} \\ &= \beta^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C2})$$

Next, for the case $\alpha > 0$, we have

$$d_{E_i}^\alpha \sigma(E_i) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^{E_i} (E_i - u)^{n-\alpha-1} d_u^n \sigma(u) du, \quad (\text{C3})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{\beta}^{(\alpha)}(I) \rangle &= \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{\sigma(E_i)} d_{E_i}^\alpha \sigma(E_i) W_i \frac{e^{-\beta E_i}}{Z} \\ &= \int_0^\infty dE \frac{e^{-\beta E}}{Z} d_E^\alpha \sigma(E) \\ &= \int_0^\infty dE \frac{e^{-\beta E}}{Z} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^E dt d_t^n \sigma(t) (E-t)^{n-\alpha-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty dt d_t^n \sigma(t) \int_t^\infty dE \frac{e^{-\beta E}}{Z} (E-t)^{n-\alpha-1} \\ &= \frac{\beta^{\alpha-n}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty dt d_t^n \sigma(t) \frac{e^{-\beta t}}{Z} \int_0^\infty dx e^{-x} x^{-\alpha-1} \\ &= \beta^{\alpha-n} \int_0^\infty dt d_t^n \sigma(t) \frac{e^{-\beta t}}{Z} \\ &= \beta^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C4})$$

where $n-1 < \alpha < n$, and we assume $d_E^l \sigma(E) \Big|_{E=0} = 0$, for $l \in [0, n-1]$ in the derivation. The last equality is obtained by using Eq. (A2).

If the condition $d_{E=0}^l \sigma(E) \Big|_{E=0} = 0$ is not satisfied for every $l \in [0, n-1]$, we only consider the case $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Here, we have

$$d_{E_i}^\alpha \sigma(E_i) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} d_{E_i}^n \int_0^{E_i} (E_i - u)^{-\alpha} \sigma(u) du, \quad (\text{C5})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{\beta}^{(\alpha)}(I) \rangle &= \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{\sigma(E_i)} d_{E_i}^\alpha \sigma(E_i) W_i \frac{e^{-\beta E_i}}{Z} \\ &= \int_0^\infty dE \frac{e^{-\beta E}}{Z} d_E^\alpha \sigma(E) \\ &= \int_0^\infty dE \frac{e^{-\beta E}}{Z} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dE} \int_0^E dt \sigma(t) (E-t)^{-\alpha} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{e^{-\beta E}}{Z} \int_0^E dt \sigma(t) (E-t)^{-\alpha} \Big|_0^\infty - \\ &\quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty de^{-\beta E} \int_0^E dt \sigma(t) (E-t)^{-\alpha} \\ &= \frac{\beta}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty dt \sigma(t) \int_t^\infty dE \frac{e^{-\beta E}}{Z} (E-t)^{-\alpha} \\ &= \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty dt \sigma(t) \frac{e^{-\beta t}}{Z} \int_0^\infty dx e^{-x} x^{-\alpha} \\ &= \beta^\alpha \int_0^\infty dt \sigma(t) \frac{e^{-\beta t}}{Z} \\ &= \beta^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C6})$$

Appendix D The variance of $\hat{\beta}_B$ and \hat{T}_G

In this appendix, we derive the approximate expression of variances of β_B and T_G . Using Eq. (24) and $\hat{\beta}_L(\langle E \rangle) = \beta$, we have

$$\hat{\beta}_B - \beta = \hat{\beta}_L(E) - \beta + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d_{E'}^2 \hat{\beta}_L(E)}{d_E \hat{\beta}_L(E)} + O(N^{-2}). \quad (\text{D1})$$

We perform Taylor expansion of Eq. (D1) at $\langle E \rangle$ and consider $\delta E = E - \langle E \rangle$ as a small quantity,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_B - \beta &= d_{\langle E \rangle} \hat{\beta}_L(\langle E \rangle) \delta E + d_{\langle E \rangle}^2 \hat{\beta}_L(\langle E \rangle) \frac{\delta E^2}{2} + d_{\langle E \rangle}^3 \hat{\beta}_L(\langle E \rangle) \frac{\delta E^3}{6} + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d_{\langle E \rangle}^2 \hat{\beta}_L(\langle E \rangle)}{d_{\langle E \rangle} \hat{\beta}_L(\langle E \rangle)} + d_{\langle E \rangle} \left(\frac{d_{\langle E \rangle}^2 \hat{\beta}_L(\langle E \rangle)}{d_{\langle E \rangle} \hat{\beta}_L(\langle E \rangle)} \right) \frac{\delta E}{2} + O(N^{-2}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D2})$$

Using Eq. (D2), we obtain the variance of $\hat{\beta}_B$, $\Delta \hat{\beta}_B^2 = \langle (\hat{\beta}_B - \beta)^2 \rangle$,

$$\Delta \hat{\beta}_B^2 = \left(d_{\langle E \rangle} \hat{\beta}_L \right)^2 \langle \delta E^2 \rangle + \left(d_{\langle E \rangle} \hat{\beta}_M \right) \left(d_{\langle E \rangle}^2 \hat{\beta}_L \right) \langle \delta E^3 \rangle + \left(d_{\langle E \rangle} \hat{\beta}_L \right) d_{\langle E \rangle} \left(\frac{d_{\langle E \rangle}^2 \hat{\beta}_L}{d_{\langle E \rangle} \hat{\beta}_L} \right) \langle \delta E^2 \rangle +$$

$$\frac{d_{\langle E \rangle}^2 \hat{\beta}_L}{2} \frac{d_{\langle E \rangle}^2 \hat{\beta}_L}{d_{\langle E \rangle} \hat{\beta}_L} \langle \delta E^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{d_{\langle E \rangle}^2 \hat{\beta}_L}{d_{\langle E \rangle} \hat{\beta}_L} \right)^2 + \frac{(d_{\langle E \rangle} \hat{\beta}_L) (d_{\langle E \rangle}^3 \hat{\beta}_L)}{3} \langle \delta E^4 \rangle + \frac{(d_{\langle E \rangle}^2 \hat{\beta}_L)^2}{4} \langle \delta E^4 \rangle + O(N^{-3}), \quad (\text{D3})$$

in the derivation, we have used $\delta E \sim N^{1/2}$ and $d_{\langle E \rangle} \sim N^{-1}$.

To simplify Eq. (D3), we introduce the higher order derivatives of the inverse function [70],

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\langle E \rangle} \hat{\beta}_L &= \left(\frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial \beta} \right)^{-1} \\ d_{\langle E \rangle}^2 \hat{\beta}_L &= -\frac{\partial^2 \langle E \rangle}{\partial \beta^2} \left(\frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial \beta} \right)^{-3} \\ d_{\langle E \rangle}^3 \hat{\beta}_L &= \left(\frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial \beta} \right)^{-5} \left[3 \left(\frac{\partial^2 \langle E \rangle}{\partial \beta^2} \right)^2 - \frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial^3 \langle E \rangle}{\partial \beta^3} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D4})$$

Then, substituting Eq. (D4) into Eq. (D3), we obtain the variance of $\hat{\beta}_B$,

$$\Delta \hat{\beta}_B^2 = \frac{1}{\Delta E^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle \delta E^3 \rangle^2}{(\Delta E^2)^4} + O(N^{-3}). \quad (\text{D5})$$

Following the similar procedure, we obtain the variance of \hat{T}_G ($\Delta \hat{T}_G^2 = \langle (\hat{T}_G - T)^2 \rangle$) using Eqs. (28) (D4) and $\hat{T}_L(\langle E \rangle) = T$,

$$\Delta \hat{T}_G^2 = \frac{T^4}{\Delta E^2} + \frac{d_T^2 \langle E \rangle}{(\Delta E^2)^4} + O(N^{-3}). \quad (\text{D6})$$

References

- [1] Dunkel, J., Hilbert, S.: Consistent thermostatics forbids negative absolute temperatures. *Nature Physics* **10**(1), 67–72 (2014)
- [2] Campisi, M., Kobe, D.H.: Derivation of the boltzmann principle. *American Journal of Physics* **78**(6), 608–615 (2010)
- [3] Sokolov, I.M.: Not hotter than hot. *Nature Physics* **10**(1), 7–8 (2014)
- [4] Dunkel, J., Hilbert, S.: Phase transitions in small systems: Microcanonical vs. canonical ensembles. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **370**(2), 390–406 (2006)
- [5] Berdichevsky, V., Kunin, I., Hussain, F.: Negative temperature of vortex motion. *Physical Review A* **43**(4), 2050 (1991)
- [6] Hilbert, S., Hänggi, P., Dunkel, J.: Thermodynamic laws in isolated systems. *Physical Review E* **90**(6), 062116 (2014)
- [7] Park, H., Kim, Y.W., Yi, J.: Entropies of the microcanonical ensemble. *AIP Advances* **12**(6) (2022)
- [8] Hertz, P.: Über die mechanischen grundlagen der thermodynamik. *Annalen der Physik* **338**(12), 225–274 (1910)
- [9] Purcell, E.M., Pound, R.V.: A nuclear spin system at negative temperature. *Physical Review* **81**(2), 279 (1951)
- [10] Ramsey, N.F.: Thermodynamics and statistical mechanics at negative absolute temperatures. *Physical Review* **103**(1), 20 (1956)
- [11] Braun, S., Ronzheimer, J.P., Schreiber, M., Hodgman, S.S., Rom, T., Bloch, I., Schneider, U.: Negative absolute temperature for motional degrees of freedom. *Science* **339**(6115), 52–55 (2013)
- [12] Johnstone, S.P., Groszek, A.J., Starkey, P.T., Billington, C.J., Simula, T.P., Helmerson, K.: Evolution of large-scale flow from turbulence in a two-dimensional superfluid. *Science* **364**(6447), 1267–1271 (2019)
- [13] Gauthier, G., Reeves, M.T., Yu, X., Bradley, A.S., Baker, M.A., Bell, T.A., Rubinsztein-Dunlop, H., Davis, M.J., Neely, T.W.: Giant vortex clusters in a two-dimensional quantum fluid. *Science* **364**(6447), 1264–1267 (2019)
- [14] Baudin, K., Garnier, J., Fusaro, A., Berti, N., Michel, C., Krupa, K., Millot, G., Picozzi, A.: Observation of light thermalization to negative-temperature rayleigh-jeans equilibrium states in multimode optical fibers. *Physical Review Letters* **130**(6), 063801 (2023)
- [15] Marques Muniz, A., Wu, F., Jung, P., Khajavikhan, M., Christodoulides, D., Peschel, U.: Observation of photon-photon thermodynamic processes under negative optical temperature conditions. *Science* **379**(6636), 1019–1023 (2023)
- [16] Swendsen, R.H., Wang, J.-S.: Gibbs volume entropy is incorrect. *Physical Review E* **92**(2), 020103 (2015)
- [17] Frenkel, D., Warren, P.B.: Gibbs, boltzmann, and negative temperatures. *American Journal of Physics* **83**(2), 163–170 (2015)
- [18] Swendsen, R.H., Wang, J.-S.: Negative temperatures and the definition of entropy. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **453**, 24–34 (2016)
- [19] Vilar, J.M., Rubi, J.M.: Communication: System-size scaling of boltzmann and alternate gibbs entropies. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **140**(20) (2014)
- [20] Jaynes, E.T., *et al.*: Gibbs vs boltzmann entropies. *American Journal of Physics* **33**(5), 391–398 (1965)
- [21] Tavassoli, A., Montakhab, A.: Microcanonical entropy: consistency and adiabatic invariance. *arXiv: Statistical Mechanics* (2015)
- [22] Berdichevsky, V.: *Thermodynamics of Chaos and Order* vol. 90. CRC Press, Essex (1997)
- [23] Campisi, M.: On the mechanical foundations of thermodynamics: The generalized helmholtz theorem. *Studies in History and*

- Philosophy of Science Part B: Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics **36**(2), 275–290 (2005)
- [24] Mandelbrot, B.: An outline of a purely phenomenological theory of statistical thermodynamics–i: Canonical ensembles. IRE Transactions on Information Theory **2**(3), 190–203 (1956)
- [25] Mandelbrot, B.: The role of sufficiency and of estimation in thermodynamics. The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 1021–1038 (1962)
- [26] Mandelbrot, B.: On the derivation of statistical thermodynamics from purely phenomenological principles. Journal of Mathematical Physics (2004)
- [27] Mandelbrot, B.: Temperature fluctuation: A well-defined and unavoidable notion. Physics Today **42**(1), 71–73 (1989)
- [28] Falcioni, M., Villamaina, D., Vulpiani, A., Puglisi, A., Sarracino, A.: Estimate of temperature and its uncertainty in small systems. American Journal of Physics **79**(7), 777–785 (2011)
- [29] Paris, M.G.: Achieving the landau bound to precision of quantum thermometry in systems with vanishing gap. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **49**(3), 03–02 (2015)
- [30] Bohr, N.: Faraday lecture. chemistry and the quantum theory of atomic constitution. Journal of the Chemical Society (Resumed), 349–384 (1932)
- [31] Anna, B.: The collected papers of albert einstein, the swiss years: Writings, 1900–1909 (1989)
- [32] Landau, L.D., Lifshitz, E.M., Lifshits, E.M., Pitaevskii, L.P.: Statistical Physics: Theory of the Condensed State vol. 9. Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, UK. (1980)
- [33] Hill, T.L.: A different approach to nanothermodynamics. Nano Letters **1**(5), 273–275 (2001)
- [34] Bedeaux, D., Kjelstrup, S.: Hill’s nanothermodynamics is equivalent with gibbs’ thermodynamics for surfaces of constant curvatures. Chemical Physics Letters **707**, 40–43 (2018)
- [35] Qian, H.: Hill’s small systems nanothermodynamics: A simple macromolecular partition problem with a statistical perspective. Journal of biological physics **38**(2), 201–207 (2012)
- [36] Lu, Z., Qian, H.: Emergence and breaking of duality symmetry in generalized fundamental thermodynamic relations. Physical Review Letters **128**(15), 150603 (2022)
- [37] Hill, T.L.: Thermodynamics of Small Systems. Courier Corporation, New York (1994)
- [38] Bedeaux, D., Kjelstrup, S., Schnell, S.K.: Nanothermodynamics: Theory And Application. World Scientific, Singapore (2023)
- [39] Chui, T., Swanson, D., Adriaans, M., Nissen, J., Lipa, J.: Temperature fluctuations in the canonical ensemble. Physical review letters **69**(21), 3005 (1992)
- [40] Klemme, B., Adriaans, M., Day, P., Sergatskov, D., Aselage, T., Duncan, R.: Pdmm and pdf: New materials for temperature measurement near 2 k. Journal of low temperature physics **116**, 133–146 (1999)
- [41] Karimi, B., Brange, F., Samuelsson, P., Pekola, J.P.: Reaching the ultimate energy resolution of a quantum detector. Nature communications **11**(1), 367 (2020)
- [42] Razavian, S., Benedetti, C., Bina, M., Akbari-Kourbolagh, Y., Paris, M.G.: Quantum thermometry by single-qubit dephasing. The European Physical Journal Plus **134**(6), 284 (2019)
- [43] Kirkova, A.V., Li, W., Ivanov, P.A.: Adiabatic sensing technique for optimal temperature estimation using trapped ions. Physical Review Research **3**(1), 013244 (2021)

- [44] Haupt, F., Imamoglu, A., Kroner, M.: Single quantum dot as an optical thermometer for millikelvin temperatures. *Physical Review Applied* **2**(2), 024001 (2014)
- [45] Sabín, C., White, A., Hackermuller, L., Fuentes, I.: Impurities as a quantum thermometer for a bose-einstein condensate. *Scientific reports* **4**(1), 6436 (2014)
- [46] Neumann, P., Jakobi, I., Dolde, F., Burk, C., Reuter, R., Waldherr, G., Honert, J., Wolf, T., Brunner, A., Shim, J.H., *et al.*: High-precision nanoscale temperature sensing using single defects in diamond. *Nano letters* **13**(6), 2738–2742 (2013)
- [47] Kucsko, G., Maurer, P.C., Yao, N.Y., Kubo, M., Noh, H.J., Lo, P.K., Park, H., Lukin, M.D.: Nanometre-scale thermometry in a living cell. *Nature* **500**(7460), 54–58 (2013)
- [48] Brunelli, M., Olivares, S., Paris, M.G.: Qubit thermometry for micromechanical resonators. *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* **84**(3), 032105 (2011)
- [49] Marzolino, U., Braun, D.: Precision measurements of temperature and chemical potential of quantum gases. *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* **88**(6), 063609 (2013)
- [50] Potts, P.P., Brask, J.B., Brunner, N.: Fundamental limits on low-temperature quantum thermometry with finite resolution. *Quantum* **3**, 161 (2019)
- [51] Zanardi, P., Giorda, P., Cozzini, M.: Information-theoretic differential geometry of quantum phase transitions. *Physical review letters* **99**(10), 100603 (2007)
- [52] Miller, H.J., Anders, J.: Energy-temperature uncertainty relation in quantum thermodynamics. *Nature communications* **9**(1), 2203 (2018)
- [53] Casella, G., Berger, R.: *Statistical Inference*. CRC Press, Cengage Learning (2024)
- [54] Müller, I., Müller, W.H.: *Fundamentals of Thermodynamics and Applications: with Historical Annotations and Many Citations from Avogadro to Zermelo*. Springer, Germany (2009)
- [55] Pathria, R.K.: *Statistical Mechanics: International Series of Monographs in Natural Philosophy* vol. 45. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2017)
- [56] Gibbs, J.W.: *Elementary Principles in Statistical Mechanics: Developed with Especial Reference to the Rational Foundations of Thermodynamics*. C. Scribner’s sons, New Haven, CT (1902)
- [57] Uffink, J., Van Lith, J.: Thermodynamic uncertainty relations. *Foundations of physics* **29**(5), 655–692 (1999)
- [58] Miller, K.: *An introduction to the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations*. John Wiley & Sons (1993)
- [59] Podlubny, I.: *Fractional Differential Equations: an Introduction to Fractional Derivatives, Fractional Differential Equations, to Methods of Their Solution and Some of Their Applications*. elsevier, New York (1998)
- [60] Bleistein, N., Handelsman, R.A.: *Asymptotic Expansions of Integrals*. Courier Corporation, Dover, New York (1986)
- [61] Rossignoli, R.: Canonical and grand-canonical partition functions and level densities. *Physical Review C* **51**(4), 1772 (1995)
- [62] Huang, K.: *Statistical Mechanics*. John Wiley & Sons, America (2008)
- [63] Rudoi, Y.G., Sukhanov, A.D.: Thermodynamic fluctuations within the gibbs and einstein approaches. *Physics-Uspekhi* **43**(12), 1169 (2000)
- [64] Mishin, Y.: Thermodynamic theory of equilibrium fluctuations. *Annals of Physics* **363**, 48–97 (2015)
- [65] Ebadi, S., Wang, T.T., Levine, H., Keesling,

- A., Semeghini, G., Omran, A., Bluvstein, D., Samajdar, R., Pichler, H., Ho, W.W., *et al.*: Quantum phases of matter on a 256-atom programmable quantum simulator. *Nature* **595**(7866), 227–232 (2021)
- [66] Bluvstein, D., Evered, S.J., Geim, A.A., Li, S.H., Zhou, H., Manovitz, T., Ebadi, S., Cain, M., Kalinowski, M., Hangleiter, D., *et al.*: Logical quantum processor based on reconfigurable atom arrays. *Nature* **626**(7997), 58–65 (2024)
- [67] Cao, Y., Wang, H., Ouyang, Q., Tu, Y.: The free-energy cost of accurate biochemical oscillations. *Nature physics* **11**(9), 772–778 (2015)
- [68] Lee, S., Hyeon, C., Jo, J.: Thermodynamic uncertainty relation of interacting oscillators in synchrony. *Physical Review E* **98**(3), 032119 (2018)
- [69] Baringhaus, L.: On unbiased estimation of positive integral powers of the natural parameter in exponential families. *Scandinavian journal of statistics* **30**(3), 597–608 (2003)
- [70] Liptaj, A.: Higheyr order derivatives of the inverse function. arXiv:1703.0295 (2007)