

VTAO-BiManip: Masked Visual-Tactile-Action Pre-training with Object Understanding for Bimanual Dexterous Manipulation

Zhengen Sun¹, Zhaotai Shi¹, Jiayin Chen¹, Qingtao Liu¹, Yu Cui¹, Qi Ye^{1†} and Jiming Chen¹




Fig. 1: Left: examples of our bottle-cap turning dataset. Middle: simulation results of the bottle-cap task with Shadow Hand [1]. Right: the real-world deployment of the bottle-cap task with Leap Hand [2].

Abstract—Bimanual dexterous manipulation remains significant challenges in robotics due to the high DoFs of each hand and their coordination. Existing single-hand manipulation techniques often leverage human demonstrations to guide RL methods but fail to generalize to complex bimanual tasks involving multiple sub-skills. In this paper, we introduce VTAO-BiManip, a novel framework that combines visual-tactile-action pretraining with object understanding to facilitate curriculum RL to enable human-like bimanual manipulation. We improve prior learning by incorporating hand motion data, providing more effective guidance for dual-hand coordination than binary tactile feedback. Our pretraining model predicts future actions as well as object pose and size using masked multimodal inputs, facilitating cross-modal regularization. To address the multi-skill learning challenge, we introduce a two-stage curriculum RL approach to stabilize training. We evaluate our method on a bottle-cap unscrewing task, demonstrating its effectiveness in both simulated and real-world environments. Our approach achieves a success rate that surpasses existing visual-tactile pretraining methods by over 20%.

I. INTRODUCTION

Humans can perform various complex bimanual tasks in daily life while enabling robots to learn human-like bimanual manipulation skills is challenging due to the high degrees of freedom (DoFs) of each hand, and the coordination between hands. An algorithm needs to search in the high dimensional space for a two-hand configuration that conforms to physical laws and also meets the task requirements.

Solving a similar problem, existing work on single high DoFs robotic hand manipulation [3]–[6] leverage priors from human demonstrations to tackle the challenge. In M²VTP [6], the prior knowledge of the human manipulation skill of bottle-cap turning is learned by a visual-tactile pre-training network and incorporated into a reinforcement

learning (RL) method. However, the task in [6] involves turning a bottle cap with the bottle fixed on a table. In our task, the bottle is required to be grasped by the left hand and held in the air while the right hand performs bottle-cap turning as shown in Fig. 1 (middle). Considering the task similarity, the visual-tactile pre-training and RL method [6] is then applied to our task by collecting the human bimanual manipulation data and changing the state and action according to two hands.

However, the method failed in the RL training stage. The curves for the rewards and success rate stay near zero after thousands of iterations. The reasons can be attributed to two factors. Our task consists of three sub-tasks (grasp, hold, and bottle-cap turning). Learning the three skills and the coordination between these skills and two hands is required. 1) In M²VTP [6], the extra binary tactile modality helps the coordination between the fingers of a single hand in the bottle-cap turning by pretraining, but it cannot help the coordination between these skills and two hands as that much since touch status does not change in many segments. 2) When applying the RL method in [6] to our task, learning all these skills and coordination is treated as a black box and it is hard to learn all of them together.

To address the issues, in this paper, we propose VTAO-BiManip, a novel pretraining and RL learning framework for bimanual manipulation. It learns priors from more modalities during human manipulation and adopts a curriculum reinforcement learning strategy. Specifically, for the first issue, we observe that 1) Unlike binary tactile information, which only indicates physical contact, human hand actions offer richer and more intuitive priors on movement and coordination, effectively guiding downstream bimanual tasks; 2) the size and pose of objects determine how the hands must adjust and coordinate during manipulation, incorporating their perception is essential for achieving accurate and adaptable bimanual tasks. To learn these two priors, we add the action and object pose ‘modality’ upon the visual-tactile pretraining network as shown in Fig. 3. Notice that different from imitation learning which predicts the next

¹College of Control Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, China

[†]Qi Ye (Corresponding author, qi.ye@zju.edu.cn) is with the College of Control Science and Engineering and the State Key Laboratory of Industrial Control Technology, Zhejiang University, and also with the Key Key Lab of CS&AUS of Zhejiang Province.

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Number: 62088101, 62103372, 62233013).

action based on the input state, our action pretraining predicts successive future actions with masked input hand state. The masked and future action prediction strategy allows the pretraining network to benefit from a form of ‘*cross-modal regularization*’ in learning the action prior due to the presence of other modality data. To pretrain our approach VTAO-BiManip, we use the same collection system of [6] and add a motion capture system to collect human visual-tactile manipulation data, hand movements, and object pose information.

For the second issue, a two-stage curriculum reinforcement learning framework is proposed: in the first stage, the bottles are fixed on the table, encouraging the left hand to grab the bottle and the right hand to unscrew the cap; in the second stage, the bottle is released, forcing the two-hand cooperative manipulation skill learning.

In summary, our contributions are as follows:

- We propose VTAO-BiManip, a novel visual-tactile-action pretraining method with object understanding for bimanual dexterous manipulation. This approach leverages human data to pretrain models for action prediction and object state estimation.
- We propose a curriculum reinforcement learning framework to address the challenge of multi-skill learning within a single task.
- We pretrain VTAO-BiManip with collected human demonstrations and deploy to the downstream bimanual cap unscrewing task both in simulation and the real world.

II. RELATED WORKS

A. Multimodal Pretraining for Robotics

Pre-training methods utilizing unsupervised learning [7]–[9] have emerged as particularly effective in multimodal representation generalization. Due to the availability of extensive manipulation datasets that include visual and language modalities [10]–[12], some researches [13]–[16] explore the representation pretraining of these modalities in robotic tasks, demonstrating their effectiveness and generalization capabilities. [17] extends this by integrating action modalities with vision and language, enabling robots to predict and execute tasks. [18] improves downstream performance by predicting future motions of any point via visual pertaining. However, pretraining on action modalities has primarily focused on simple end-effectors, with limited exploration in dexterous manipulation. For tactile, studies such as [6], [19]–[21] highlight the effectiveness of visuo-tactile fusion in robotic manipulation. Yet, its application in bimanual tasks remains underexplored. To address this gap, we propose a novel approach, incorporating multimodal pretraining, including action prediction, into bimanual manipulation.

B. Robotic Bimanual Manipulation

Learning-based bimanual manipulation has gained significant attention in robotics. While some studies have successfully utilized demonstration data [22]–[24], the lack of high-quality multi-fingered hand demonstrations often restricts

their applicability to simple end-effectors. Efforts to collect such data for multi-fingered hands have been made [25]–[27], but challenges such as retargeting errors and latency limit their practical use.

On the other hand, sim-to-real approaches have also attracted growing interest in the domain of robotic manipulation [28]–[31], demonstrating numerous successes across various tasks such as deformable object manipulation [32], open doors [33], and in-hand rotations [34]. However, most of these methods focus on either single dexterous hands [35]–[38] or dual-gripper systems [39], [40]. Some progress has been made in dual-dexterous-hand manipulation [41]–[43]. [41] construct a dexterous bimanual manipulation platform, offering 20 manipulation tasks. [42] employ multi-agent RL to train a bimanual handover task, incorporating a trajectory prediction model to bridge the sim-to-real gap. [43] presents a system where robot hands are trained to play the piano using DRL. However, these works primarily rely on visual and proprioceptive modalities, which somewhat limit the performance of manipulation tasks. Multimodal data has proven effective in dual-gripper [20], [44], [45] and single dexterous hand tasks [46]–[48], its application in bimanual dexterous manipulation remains largely unexplored. Our work represents a pioneering effort in applying multimodal sensing to dual-dexterous-hand manipulation.

III. METHOD

In this section, we first introduce our data collection system for capturing ego-centric human manipulation data, including Vision, Tactile, Action, and Object information (VTAO), detailed in Section III-A. Section III-B presents VTAO-BiManip, a novel pretraining framework that utilizes human manipulation priors for multimodal feature extraction and fusion. Finally, Section III-C outlines the development of a bimanual dexterous bottle-cap unscrewing task in simulation and the subsequent policy training using RL.

A. VTAO Capture System for Human Bi-Manipulation

We develop a novel VTAO data collection system for capturing multimodal data during human bimanual manipulation, built upon our previous visual-tactile acquisition system [6].

1) **System Overview:** The VTAO capture system includes:

- 1) tactile and motion acquisition gloves for both hands, 2) Hololens2¹ for visual data capture, 3) the ChingMu motion capture (mocap) system² for recording hand movements and 6-DoF object pose, and 4) a personal computer responsible for data acquisition and alignment, as shown in Fig. 2. Each acquisition glove consists of two layers: an inner layer with 20 tactile sensors, as [6], and an outer layer with markers for motion capture. The mocap system comprises 16 cameras to capture the 6-DoF pose information of the bottle and the precise movements of the hand joints.

¹<https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/hololens/buy>

²<https://www.chingmu.com/>




Fig. 2: Our VTAO capture system for dual-hand human manipulation.

2) **Data Alignment and Unified Representation:** Multimodal data are captured independently, with visual data sampled at 30 Hz, tactile data at 200 Hz, and mocap data at 1 kHz. Data from other modalities are synchronized with the visual modality using local timestamps, which are recorded by the personal computer during the acquisition. To unify coordinate systems across modalities, we place markers on the Hololens2 and calibrate the transformation between the camera and the rigid body coordinates of the Hololens2. Utilizing this transformation allows us to map the 6-DoF pose of the bottle, as well as the hand motion data, to the RGB camera coordinate system of the visual modality, facilitating model comprehension.

3) **Retarget Human Manipulation Trajectories:** To unify bimanual action representations across pretraining and downstream tasks, we retarget human bimanual actions from the dataset onto the dual dexterous hands used in downstream tasks. Following DexRepNet [29], we regard retargeting as a nonlinear optimization problem. Our objective is to ensure similarity in distances from fingertips and finger segments to the wrist, in both robotic and human hands at all stages, resulting in natural robotic hand postures. Our objective function aligns with that presented in [25], formulated as:

$$q_t^{\mathbf{R}*} = \operatorname{argmin}_{q_t^{\mathbf{R}}} \sum_{i=0}^N \|\mathbf{v}_i^{\mathbf{R}}(M^{\mathbf{R}}, q_t^{\mathbf{R}}) - \mathbf{v}_i^{\mathbf{H}}(M^{\mathbf{H}}, q_t^{\mathbf{H}})\|^2, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{v}_i^{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\mathbf{v}_i^{\mathbf{H}}$ signify the target vectors of the robotic and human hands respectively (from wrist to fingertips and wrist to finger segments). These are determined using forward kinematics of the dual Shadow Hand $M^{\mathbf{R}}$ and human hands $M^{\mathbf{H}}$, coupled with joint angles $q_t^{\mathbf{R}}$ and $q_t^{\mathbf{H}}$ at step t . $q_t^{\mathbf{R}*}$ denotes the optimized joint angles of the robotic hands at step t . We refine each step of the human bimanual manipulation trajectories within the dataset to generate retargeted robotic hand trajectories, which are subsequently utilized for the pre-training of the robots.

B. Masked VTAO Transformer for Pretraining

To explore the benefits of incorporating multimodal human manipulation data into dual-hand robotic skill learning, we propose a novel pre-training framework, VTAO-BiManip, based on MAE [8]. This framework uses our collected VTAO data for pre-training. It extracts and integrates latent environmental representations to support the learning of downstream robotic manipulation tasks. VTAO-BiManip includes a VTAO encoder and a VTAO decoder, as shown in Fig. 3 (left).

1) **VTAO Encoder** $E_\theta(V, C, A) \rightarrow (h_{cls}, h_v, h_c, h_a, h_o)$: The VTA encoder takes in the visual, tactile, and action pair (V, C, A) as input. The RGB image $V \in \mathbb{R}^{224 \times 224 \times 3}$, the binarized tactile signal from both hands $C \in \mathbb{R}^{40}$ (thresholded by λ), and the joint angles $A \in \mathbb{R}^{48}$, retargeted to the dual Shadow Hand, are processed via a Conv2D and two MLPs to form a patch sequence. Additionally, empty patches are added to align feature dimensions. These patches are used by the decoder to reconstruct the bottle information \hat{O} . After adding positional encoding, the patch sequence becomes a token sequence. Following MAE [8], we randomly mask $r_v \in [0, 1)$ of the visual tokens, $r_c \in [0, 1)$ of the tactile tokens, $r_a \in [0, 1)$ of the action tokens, and $r_o \in [0, 1)$ of the empty tokens to form the input token sequence $(t_v, t_c, t_a, t_{null})$. A CLS token t_{cls} is inserted into this sequence as an aggregated representation for downstream tasks. The final token sequence $(t_{cls}, t_v, t_c, t_a, t_{null})$ is processed by a Transformer [24] encoder, producing a latent representation sequence $(h_{cls}, h_v, h_c, h_a, h_o)$.

2) **VTAO Decoder** $D_\theta(h_v, h_c, h_a, h_o, m) \rightarrow (\hat{V}, \hat{C}, [\hat{A}, \hat{A}_{+1}, \dots, \hat{A}_{+p}], \hat{O})$: To enhance the feature extraction ability of the VTAO encoder E_θ for downstream tasks, we define four reconstruction objectives for the decoder: a) reconstruct the image \hat{V} ; b) reconstruct tactile signals \hat{C} ; c) reconstruct current actions and predict future actions $[\hat{A}, \hat{A}_{+1}, \dots, \hat{A}_{+p}]$; d) reconstruct the rotation and size information of the bottle \hat{O} , as shown in Fig. 3 (left). Here, m denotes the mask tokens used for feature alignment.

a) Reconstruct Image \hat{V} and Tactile Signals \hat{C} :

Building on our previous work [6], we employ a Transformer Decoder and MLP layers to reconstruct the image \hat{V} and tactile signals \hat{C} from the corresponding tokens h_v, h_c , respectively. Serving as an auxiliary task, this approach has been demonstrated to benefit downstream applications.

b) **Reconstruct Current Actions and Predict Future Actions** $[\hat{A}, \hat{A}_{+1}, \dots, \hat{A}_{+p}]$: To enable the encoder E_θ to acquire relevant prior knowledge of human manipulation tasks from single-frame VTAO input, we use a Transformer decoder and an MLP layer to reconstruct the dual-hand action of current step \hat{A} and the action sequences for the next p steps $[\hat{A}_{+1}, \dots, \hat{A}_{+p}]$ from the corresponding action tokens h_a .

c) **Reconstruct Bottle Informations** \hat{O} : Knowing the pose and size of the object is beneficial for downstream tasks such as bottle cap twisting. We enable the network to reconstruct the 6-DoF pose of the bottle relative to the camera coordinate system, along with the dimensions of the




Fig. 3: Overview of our VTAO-BiManip pre-train framework and its downstream application.

bottle cap and body, from object tokens h_o . This enables the encoder E_{θ} to better focus on the relevant information of the manipulated object.

d) **Loss Design:** We formulate the loss function as:

$$L(\theta) = W_{\text{img}} \cdot L(V, \hat{V}) + W_{\text{tac}} \cdot L(C, \hat{C}) + W_{\text{bot}} \cdot L(O, \hat{O}) \\ + W_{\text{act}} \cdot L([A, A_{+1}, \dots, A_{+p}], [\hat{A}, \hat{A}_{+1}, \dots, \hat{A}_{+p}]), \quad (2)$$

where W_{img} , W_{tac} , W_{bot} , and W_{act} are weights and set to 1, 2, 5, 2, respectively. $L(\cdot)$ denotes the Euclidean distance between two vectors.

C. VTAO RL for bimanual manipulations

1) **Problem Formulation:** We model the bimanual manipulation task as a Markov Decision Process (MDP) defined by a tuple $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{R}, \gamma)$. Here, \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{A} represent the state space and action space. The policy $\pi_{\theta} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ maps states to actions. Transition dynamics are given by $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, and the reward function by $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The discount factor $\gamma \in (0, 1]$. Our objective is to maximize the expected discounted reward $J(\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi} [\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r(s_t, a_t)]$ to train a policy network π_{θ} . We employ the PPO algorithm to facilitate the learning of manipulation skills. Our RL architecture is illustrated in Fig. 3 (right).

2) **Task:** To assess the effectiveness of VTAO pre-training on downstream tasks, we implement a bimanual bottle-cap unscrewing task in Isaac gym [49]. The setup positions the bottle body at the center of the table, with the left hand to its left in a pre-grasp gesture and the right hand fixed above it, as shown in the submitted video. The bottle body and cap are linked by a joint that rotates around the z-axis.

3) **State Space \mathcal{S} :** We define the state space as $\mathcal{S} = \{V_{\text{obs}}, C_{\text{obs}}, \mathcal{P}\}$, where V_{obs} is the ego-centric RGB image, C_{obs} is the binary tactile signal from both hands, thresholded by λ , and \mathcal{P} is proprioception data of both hands, including joint angles and velocities. To maintain feature

representation from pre-training and improve stability in RL with sparse rewards, we freeze the parameters of the pre-trained VTAO model during RL training. The final feature vector input to the policy network is $\{h_{\text{cls}}, \phi(\mathcal{P})\}$, where $h_{\text{cls}} = E_{\theta_f}(V_{\text{obs}}, C_{\text{obs}}, A_{\text{obs}})$, with E_{θ_f} representing the pre-trained VTAO encoder with frozen parameters. $A_{\text{obs}} \in \mathcal{P}$ represents the joint angles of both hands. h_{cls} is the CLS token output by E_{θ_f} . $\phi(\cdot)$ is a linear layer.

4) **Action Space \mathcal{A} :** In the simulation, we use Shadow Hand [1] as the manipulator. This five-fingered robotic hand features 24 DoFs. Excluding the thumb, the distal joints of the four fingers are tendon-driven, resulting in a total of 20 DoFs. We implement dual-hand manipulation, where the base link of the right hand is fixed and the left hand can move freely with six DoFs. Consequently, the action $a = \pi_{\theta}(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{46}$.

5) **Learning Curriculum:** It is challenging to train precise dual-hand manipulation with non-fixed objects from scratch. To address this, we use a two-stage learning curriculum to stabilize the learning process. In the first stage, we fix the bottle body on the table, enabling the left hand to learn to grasp the bottle and the right hand to learn to unscrew the cap. In the second stage, we release the bottle body, allowing both hands to collaborate in unscrewing the cap.

6) **Reward Function \mathcal{R} :** To coordinate the dual dexterous hands in complex action spaces across different training stages, our reward function $r_n (n \in \{1, 2\})$ is divided into two phases. In each phase, the total reward is the sum of the individual rewards for the left and right hands, $r_n = r_{\text{left}_n} + r_{\text{right}_n}$. The reward function of the first stage r_1 is:

$$\begin{cases} r_{\text{left}_1} = r_{\text{hdis}} + r_{\text{fcon}} \\ r_{\text{right}_1} = r_{\text{cang}} + r_{\text{cvel}} + r_{\text{fdis}} \end{cases}. \quad (3)$$

Here, $r_{\text{hdis}} = \alpha_1 \cdot d_{\text{h2b}}$ is a distance penalty, where d_{h2b} is the distance between the left hand and the bottle. $r_{\text{fcon}} = \alpha_2 \cdot N_{\text{con}}$

incentivizes multiple contacts between the left hand and the bottle, with N_{con} representing the number of contacts. The rotation angle reward, $r_{\text{cang}} = \alpha_3 \cdot \min(a_c, 7.0)$, depends on the rotation angle of the bottle cap a_c . $r_{\text{cvel}} = \alpha_4 \cdot C_{\text{flag}} \cdot v_c$ rewards rotation speed, where v_c is the rotation speed of the cap, and C_{flag} equals 1 if the right hand is in contact with the cap, and 0 otherwise. The term $r_{\text{fdis}} = \alpha_5 \cdot \exp(-10 \cdot d_{\text{fz}})$ encourages the right-hand fingertips to approach the bottle cap, with d_{fz} being the z-axis distance between the fingertips and the height of the cap. The weights $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$, and α_5 are set to $-5, 0.05, 0.5, 1.1$, and 0.5 , respectively.

The rewards for the second phase, r_2 are as follows:

$$\begin{cases} r_{\text{left}_2} = r_{\text{htdis}} + r_{\text{bdis}} + r_{\text{brot}} \\ r_{\text{right}_2} = r_{\text{right}_1} \end{cases}, \quad (4)$$

where $r_{\text{htdis}} = \beta_1 \cdot \exp(-5 \cdot d_{\text{h2t}})$ is the approaching reward, with d_{h2t} representing the distance between the left hand and the target position. $r_{\text{bdis}} = \beta_2 \cdot \exp(-10 \cdot d_{\text{o2i}})$ encourages the bottle to remain near its initial position, with d_{o2i} denoting the distance between the bottle’s current and initial position. The reward $r_{\text{brot}} = \beta_3 \left(\frac{1}{|d_{\text{qua}}|+1} \right)$ encourages the axis of the bottle remains perpendicular to the table, where $d_{\text{qua}} = 2 \cdot \arcsin(\min(\|q_{\text{diff}}\|_2, 1.0))$, and $q_{\text{diff}} = q_{\text{bot}} \cdot q_{\text{ini}}$ represents the vector part of the quaternion difference between the bottle’s current quaternion q_{bot} and its initial orientation q_{ini} . The weights β_1, β_2 , and β_3 are all set to 1.0.

IV. EXPERIMENT RESULTS

In this section, we design experiments to validate: 1) the effectiveness of multimodal fusion pre-training; 2) the efficacy of action prediction; and 3) the validity of object understanding.

A. Experiment Settings

1) **Dataset**: Using our VTAO acquisition system, we collect 216 human bimanual manipulation trajectories involving 26 different bottles. Each trajectory lasts 6 to 17 seconds and comprises a total of 61684 frames. Each frame contains aligned visual, tactile, and action data, along with bottle pose and size labels, as shown in the submitted video. Before training VTAO-BiManip, we preprocess the data by cropping images to 224×224 pixels, binarizing tactile data with a $\lambda = 0.4V$ threshold, aligning bottle pose with the camera coordinate system of the visual data, and retargeting human hand movements to the joint angles of the dual dexterous hands. The final data representations include images $V \in \mathbb{R}^{224 \times 224 \times 3}$, tactile data $C \in \mathbb{R}^{40}$, and action data $A \in \mathbb{R}^{48}$.

2) **Metrics**: We use **success rate** to evaluate the effectiveness of our method on downstream tasks. A task is considered successful if the dexterous hand rotates the bottle cap by more than half a turn. During training, we calculate the success rate as the average of the last ten manipulations in each parallel environment. For evaluation, we select the model from the 3500th iteration of the second training phase and measure the average success rate across 10 manipulations for each bottle in the evaluation set.


TABLE I: Settings for baselines.

| Methods | Modality | Pretrain | JointPretrain | ReconBottle |
|--------------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-------------|
| <i>V</i> | <i>v</i> | ✓ | × | × |
| <i>T</i> | <i>t</i> | ✓ | × | × |
| <i>A</i> | <i>a</i> | ✓ | × | × |
| <i>VT</i> | <i>v+t</i> | ✓ | ✓ | × |
| <i>VTA</i> | <i>v+t+a</i> | ✓ | ✓ | × |
| <i>VTO</i> | <i>v+t</i> | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| <i>VTA-Scr</i> | <i>v+t+a</i> | × | × | × |
| <i>VTAO (Ours)</i> | <i>v+t+a</i> | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |

3) **Implementation Details**: We select 15 bottles from ShapeNet [50] with varying body and cap sizes, using 10 for training (Seen) and 5 for testing (Unseen). Our VTAO-BiManip runs on a system with an Intel Xeon Gold 6326 and an NVIDIA 3090. During pretraining, we use the AdamW optimizer with a learning rate of $2e-5$. The mask ratios are set to $r_v = 0.75, r_c = 0.5$, and $r_a = 0.5$. Training with a minibatch size of 8 takes about 2 hours for 300 epochs. For RL, we run 400 parallel environments and train using the PPO algorithm for roughly 4500 iterations, requiring about 62 hours to train the dual-hand manipulation strategy network.

4) **Baselines**: To validate the effectiveness of our method, we design several baselines with different pre-training structures, as shown in Table I. We designate our baselines in the form of **VTAO**. Here, **V**, **T**, and **A** correspond to the pre-training of visual, tactile, and action modalities, respectively, while **O** represents the reconstruction of bottle information. Both tactile and action modalities involve data from two hands. The action modality entails reconstructing the current frame and predicting the next five frames. The absence of a letter in the naming indicates that the corresponding modality is not used. For methods that do not involve pretraining, we denote them with the suffix ‘-Scr’.

B. Effectiveness of pre-training with different modalities



* indicates that the method failed to train.

Fig. 4: Qualitative results of different pre-train methods. The left is the training process; the right is the evaluation results

We design experiments to validate the effectiveness of our proposed multimodal joint pre-training. The experimental results are illustrated in the Fig. 4.

Compared to single-modality pretraining methods **V**, **T**, and **A**, as well as the multimodal method without pre-train **VTA-Scr**, the multimodal joint pretraining approach

VTA demonstrates superior performance in downstream task manipulation success rates. This highlights the effectiveness of our multimodal joint pretraining strategy.

By comparing **VT**, **VTA**, **VTO**, and **VTAO**, we find that incorporating action modality prediction and object understanding into visuo-tactile fusion training enhances downstream task success rates. This validates the effectiveness and complementarity of the action prediction task and the object understanding task proposed in our approach.

Both **Base** and **A**, which only possess proprioception of the robotic hands without environmental perception, fail in training. In contrast, the pretraining method using only tactile perception, **T**, demonstrates a certain level of manipulation capability, effectively demonstrating the ability of tactile perception to gather environmental information and its effectiveness in enhancing operational performance.

C. Ablation Study

1) **Effectiveness of Bimanual Pre-training**: In our approach, we utilize tactile and action data from both hands for pre-training and downstream tasks. In this part, we validate the effectiveness of using both hands. We remove the left-hand action data in the **VTA** experiment (**v1**), resulting in version **v2**. Similarly, we remove the left-hand tactile data in the **VT** experiment (**v3**), producing version **v4**. As shown in Table II, using bimanual data consistently achieves higher success rates compared to using right-hand-only data, irrespective of the modality removed. This indicates that although the left hand mainly performs basic grasping and holding, its inclusion significantly enhances coordination and improves success rates.

2) **Action Prediction Horizon**: In our approach, we incorporate action prediction into the pre-trained model to forecast actions for the next 5 frames. In this part, we investigate the impact of the prediction horizon on downstream tasks. We evaluate the manipulation success rate of methods that either only reconstruct the action of the current frame (**v5**) or predict the action for the next frame (**v6**). The experimental results, as shown in Table II, indicate that compared to the baseline without action addition (**v1**), solely reconstructing the action of the current frame has a detrimental effect. However, when action prediction was included, the success rate improved. This highlights the importance of predicting future actions in pretraining for robotic policies.

3) **Number of Action Tokens \mathcal{N}** : Our method treats the action (angle values) of each joint in both hands as individual tokens, which are then fed into the Transformer. In this part, we explore different ways of segmenting the actions, considering hand actions based on each hand (**v7**) and each finger (**v8**). Experimental results, as shown in Table 2, demonstrate that setting the number of action tokens to 24×2 is more advantageous for VTA fusion.

D. Real World Experiment

We create a real-world experimental platform with dual Leap Hands [2] and a Unitree Z1 arm³, as shown in

³<https://www.unitree.com/z1>

TABLE II: Test success rates (%) on seen and unseen bottles across different experimental settings.

| Methods | Tac | Act | PredictAct | ActToken | Obj | Seen | Unseen |
|-------------|-------|-------|------------|---------------|-----|--------------|--------------|
| Ours | dual | dual | 5steps | 24×2 | ✓ | 63±4 | 51±7 |
| v1 | dual | dual | 5steps | 24×2 | × | 54±23 | 39±15 |
| v2 | dual | right | 5steps | 24 | × | 46±15 | 32±9 |
| v3 | dual | × | × | × | × | 41±3 | 30±3 |
| v4 | right | × | × | × | × | 37±17 | 20±7 |
| v5 | dual | dual | NoPredict | 24×2 | × | 35±25 | 21±16 |
| v6 | dual | dual | 1steps | 24×2 | × | 44±8 | 17±5 |
| v7 | dual | dual | 5steps | 1×2 | × | 31±4 | 18±9 |
| v8 | dual | dual | 5steps | 5×2 | × | 50±16 | 26±8 |




Fig. 5: Training process for the ablation study. Left: Bimanual manipulation validation; Middle: Action prediction horizon ablation; Right: Ablation of the number of action tokens.




Fig. 6: Our manipulation platform.

Fig. 6. The simulation task for bottle cap unscrewing is recreated in Isaac Gym [49] using dual Leap Hands. The final validation confirms that our method supports effective physical experimentation.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper investigates the effectiveness of a pre-trained representation model integrating multi-modalities, action prediction, and object understanding for dexterous bimanual manipulation. We design a novel pre-training framework, **VTAO-BiManip**, which is pre-trained using human **VTAO** demonstrations. We integrate a learning curriculum into RL to address the challenge of multi-skill learning within a bimanual dexterous skill. The representation model is incorporated into the curriculum RL framework for bimanual manipulation. Experimental results show that our approach significantly outperforms baseline methods. This study represents a pioneering effort in multimodal bimanual manipulation. Future work may focus on: 1) developing large-scale multimodal bimanual datasets across diverse scenes and tasks; 2) exploring additional bimanual manipulation tasks; 3) leveraging unpaired existing 3D object labeling data.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Sharma, K. Tokas, A. Puri, and K. Sharda, "Shadow hand," *Journal of Advance Research in Applied Science (ISSN: 2208-2352)*, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 04–07, Jan. 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://nnpub.org/index.php/AS/article/view/692>
- [2] K. Shaw, A. Agarwal, and D. Pathak, "Leap hand: Low-cost, efficient, and anthropomorphic hand for robot learning," *Robotics: Science and Systems (RSS)*, 2023.
- [3] A. Rajeswaran, V. Kumar, A. Gupta, G. Vezzani, J. Schulman, E. Todorov, and S. Levine, "Learning Complex Dexterous Manipulation with Deep Reinforcement Learning and Demonstrations," in *Proceedings of Robotics: Science and Systems (RSS)*, 2018.
- [4] Y. Qin, Y.-H. Wu, S. Liu, H. Jiang, R. Yang, Y. Fu, and X. Wang, "Dexmv: Imitation learning for dexterous manipulation from human videos," in *European Conference on Computer Vision*. Springer, 2022, pp. 570–587.
- [5] Y.-H. Wu, J. Wang, and X. Wang, "Learning generalizable dexterous manipulation from human grasp affordance," 2022.
- [6] Q. Liu, Q. Ye, Z. Sun, Y. Cui, G. Li, and J. Chen, "Masked visual-tactile pre-training for robot manipulation," in *2024 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 2024, pp. 13 859–13 875.
- [7] A. Radford, J. W. Kim, C. Hallacy, A. Ramesh, G. Goh, S. Agarwal, G. Sastry, A. Askell, P. Mishkin, J. Clark *et al.*, "Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision," in *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2021, pp. 8748–8763.
- [8] K. He, X. Chen, S. Xie, Y. Li, P. Dollár, and R. Girshick, "Masked autoencoders are scalable vision learners," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2022, pp. 16 000–16 009.
- [9] A. v. d. Oord, Y. Li, and O. Vinyals, "Representation learning with contrastive predictive coding," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.03748*, 2018.
- [10] R. Goyal, S. Ebrahimi Kahou, V. Michalski, J. Materzynska, S. Westphal, H. Kim, V. Haenel, I. Fruend, P. Yianilos, M. Mueller-Freitag *et al.*, "The" something something" video database for learning and evaluating visual common sense," in *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, 2017, pp. 5842–5850.
- [11] K. Grauman, A. Westbury, E. Byrne, Z. Chavis, A. Furnari, R. Girdhar, J. Hamburger, H. Jiang, M. Liu, X. Liu, M. Martin, T. Nagarajan, I. Radosavovic, S. K. Ramakrishnan, F. Ryan, J. Sharma, M. Wray, M. Xu, E. Z. Xu, C. Zhao, S. Bansal, D. Batra, V. Cartillier, S. Crane, T. Do, M. Doulaty, A. Erapalli, C. Feichtenhofer, A. Fragomeni, Q. Fu, A. Gebreselasie, C. González, J. Hillis, X. Huang, Y. Huang, W. Jia, W. Khoo, J. Kolái, S. Kottur, A. Kumar, F. Landini, C. Li, Y. Li, Z. Li, K. Mangalam, R. Modhugu, J. Munro, T. Murrell, T. Nishiyasu, W. Price, P. R. Puentes, M. Ramazanov, L. Sari, K. Somasundaram, A. Southerland, Y. Sugano, R. Tao, M. Vo, Y. Wang, X. Wu, T. Yagi, Z. Zhao, Y. Zhu, P. Arbeláez, D. Crandall, D. Damen, G. M. Farinella, C. Fuegen, B. Ghanem, V. K. Ithapu, C. V. Jawahar, H. Joo, K. Kitani, H. Li, R. Newcombe, A. Oliva, H. S. Park, J. M. Rehg, Y. Sato, J. Shi, M. Z. Shou, A. Torralba, L. Torresani, M. Yan, and J. Malik, "Ego4d: Around the world in 3,000 hours of egocentric video," in *2022 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2022, pp. 18 973–18 990.
- [12] D. Damen, H. Dougherty, G. M. Farinella, A. Furnari, E. Kazakos, J. Ma, D. Moltisanti, J. Munro, T. Perrett, W. Price, and M. Wray, "Rescaling egocentric vision: Collection, pipeline and challenges for epic-kitchens-100," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 2022.
- [13] Y. J. Ma, V. Kumar, A. Zhang, O. Bastani, and D. Jayaraman, "Liv: Language-image representations and rewards for robotic control," in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2023, pp. 23 301–23 320.
- [14] S. Nair, A. Rajeswaran, V. Kumar, C. Finn, and A. Gupta, "R3m: A universal visual representation for robot manipulation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.12601*, 2022.
- [15] S. Karamcheti, S. Nair, A. S. Chen, T. Kollar, C. Finn, D. Sadigh, and P. Liang, "Language-driven representation learning for robotics," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.12766*, 2023.
- [16] T. Xiao, I. Radosavovic, T. Darrell, and J. Malik, "Masked visual pre-training for motor control," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.06173*, 2022.
- [17] A. Brohan, N. Brown, J. Carbajal, Y. Chebotar, X. Chen, K. Choro-manski, T. Ding, D. Driess, A. Dubey, C. Finn *et al.*, "Rt-2: Vision-language-action models transfer web knowledge to robotic control," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.15818*, 2023.
- [18] C. Wen, X. Lin, J. So, K. Chen, Q. Dou, Y. Gao, and P. Abbeel, "Any-point trajectory modeling for policy learning," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.00025*, 2023.
- [19] Y. Chen, M. Van der Merwe, A. Sipos, and N. Fazeli, "Visuo-tactile transformers for manipulation," in *Conference on Robot Learning*. PMLR, 2023, pp. 2026–2040.
- [20] J. Mejia, V. Dean, T. Hellebrekers, and A. Gupta, "Hearing touch: Audio-visual pretraining for contact-rich manipulation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.08576*, 2024.
- [21] S. Gano, A. George, and A. B. Faramani, "Low fidelity visuo-tactile pretraining improves vision-only manipulation performance," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.15639*, 2024.
- [22] S. Stepputtis, M. Bandari, S. Schaal, and H. B. Amor, "A system for imitation learning of contact-rich bimanual manipulation policies," in *2022 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 11 810–11 817.
- [23] T. Z. Zhao, V. Kumar, S. Levine, and C. Finn, "Learning fine-grained bimanual manipulation with low-cost hardware," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.13705*, 2023.
- [24] H. Kim, Y. Ohmura, and Y. Kuniyoshi, "Transformer-based deep imitation learning for dual-arm robot manipulation," in *2021 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 8965–8972.
- [25] A. Handa, K. Van Wyk, W. Yang, J. Liang, Y.-W. Chao, Q. Wan, S. Birchfield, N. Ratliff, and D. Fox, "Dexpilot: Vision-based teleoperation of dexterous robotic hand-arm system," in *2020 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 9164–9170.
- [26] Y. Qin, H. Su, and X. Wang, "From one hand to multiple hands: Imitation learning for dexterous manipulation from single-camera teleoperation," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 10 873–10 881, 2022.
- [27] S. P. Arunachalam, S. Silwal, B. Evans, and L. Pinto, "Dexterous imitation made easy: A learning-based framework for efficient dexterous manipulation," in *2023 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (icra)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 5954–5961.
- [28] I. Akkaya, M. Andrychowicz, M. Chociej, M. Litwin, B. McGrew, A. Petron, A. Paino, M. Plappert, G. Powell, R. Ribas *et al.*, "Solving rubik's cube with a robot hand," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.07113*, 2019.
- [29] Q. Liu, Y. Cui, Q. Ye, Z. Sun, H. Li, G. Li, L. Shao, and J. Chen, "Dexreplet: Learning dexterous robotic grasping network with geometric and spatial hand-object representations," in *2023 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 3153–3160.
- [30] Y. Qin, B. Huang, Z.-H. Yin, H. Su, and X. Wang, "Dexpoint: Generalizable point cloud reinforcement learning for sim-to-real dexterous manipulation," in *Conference on Robot Learning*. PMLR, 2023, pp. 594–605.
- [31] H. Qi, A. Kumar, R. Calandra, Y. Ma, and J. Malik, "In-hand object rotation via rapid motor adaptation," in *Conference on Robot Learning*. PMLR, 2023, pp. 1722–1732.
- [32] J. Matas, S. James, and A. J. Davison, "Sim-to-real reinforcement learning for deformable object manipulation," in *Conference on Robot Learning*. PMLR, 2018, pp. 734–743.
- [33] A. Rajeswaran, V. Kumar, A. Gupta, G. Vezzani, J. Schulman, E. Todorov, and S. Levine, "Learning complex dexterous manipulation with deep reinforcement learning and demonstrations," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.10087*, 2017.
- [34] T. Chen, M. Tippur, S. Wu, V. Kumar, E. Adelson, and P. Agrawal, "Visual dexterity: In-hand dexterous manipulation from depth," in *Icml workshop on new frontiers in learning, control, and dynamical systems*, 2023.
- [35] Y. Chen, C. Wang, L. Fei-Fei, and C. K. Liu, "Sequential dexterity: Chaining dexterous policies for long-horizon manipulation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.00987*, 2023.
- [36] Z.-H. Yin, B. Huang, Y. Qin, Q. Chen, and X. Wang, "Rotating without seeing: Towards in-hand dexterity through touch," *Robotics: Science and Systems*, 2023.
- [37] C. Bao, H. Xu, Y. Qin, and X. Wang, "Dexart: Benchmarking generalizable dexterous manipulation with articulated objects," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2023, pp. 21 190–21 200.
- [38] J. Pitz, L. Röstel, L. Sievers, and B. Bäuml, "Dextrous tactile in-hand manipulation using a modular reinforcement learning architecture,"

- in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1852–1858.
- [39] Y. Li, C. Pan, H. Xu, X. Wang, and Y. Wu, “Efficient bimanual handover and rearrangement via symmetry-aware actor-critic learning,” in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 3867–3874.
- [40] Y. Lin, A. Church, M. Yang, H. Li, J. Lloyd, D. Zhang, and N. F. Lepora, “Bi-touch: Bimanual tactile manipulation with sim-to-real deep reinforcement learning,” *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 2023.
- [41] Y. Chen, Y. Geng, F. Zhong, J. Ji, J. Jiang, Z. Lu, H. Dong, and Y. Yang, “Bi-dexhands: Towards human-level bimanual dexterous manipulation,” *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2023.
- [42] B. Huang, Y. Chen, T. Wang, Y. Qin, Y. Yang, N. Atanasov, and X. Wang, “Dynamic handover: Throw and catch with bimanual hands,” in *Conference on Robot Learning*. PMLR, 2023, pp. 1887–1902.
- [43] K. Zakka, P. Wu, L. Smith, N. Gileadi, T. Howell, X. B. Peng, S. Singh, Y. Tassa, P. Florence, A. Zeng *et al.*, “Robopianist: Dexterous piano playing with deep reinforcement learning,” in *Conference on Robot Learning*. Conference on Robot Learning, 2023.
- [44] F. R. Hogan, J. Ballester, S. Dong, and A. Rodriguez, “Tactile dexterity: Manipulation primitives with tactile feedback,” in *2020 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 8863–8869.
- [45] N. Sunil, S. Wang, Y. She, E. Adelson, and A. R. Garcia, “Visuotactile affordances for cloth manipulation with local control,” in *Conference on Robot Learning*. PMLR, 2023, pp. 1596–1606.
- [46] Y. Yuan, H. Che, Y. Qin, B. Huang, Z.-H. Yin, K.-W. Lee, Y. Wu, S.-C. Lim, and X. Wang, “Robot synesthesia: In-hand manipulation with visuotactile sensing,” in *2024 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 6558–6565.
- [47] H. Qi, B. Yi, S. Suresh, M. Lambeta, Y. Ma, R. Calandra, and J. Malik, “General in-hand object rotation with vision and touch,” in *Conference on Robot Learning*. PMLR, 2023, pp. 2549–2564.
- [48] I. Guzey, Y. Dai, B. Evans, S. Chintala, and L. Pinto, “See to touch: Learning tactile dexterity through visual incentives,” in *2024 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 13 825–13 832.
- [49] V. Makoviychuk, L. Wawrzyniak, Y. Guo, M. Lu, K. Storey, M. Macklin, D. Hoeller, N. Rudin, A. Allshire, A. Handa *et al.*, “Isaac gym: High performance gpu-based physics simulation for robot learning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.10470*, 2021.
- [50] A. X. Chang, T. Funkhouser, L. Guibas, P. Hanrahan, Q. Huang, Z. Li, S. Savarese, M. Savva, S. Song, H. Su *et al.*, “Shapenet: An information-rich 3d model repository,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.03012*, 2015.