arXiv:2501.02022v1 [physics.class-ph] 2 Jan 2025

Magnetic moments in the Poynting theorem, Maxwell equations, Dirac equation, and QED

Peter J. Mohr

National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8420, USA (Dated: January 7, 2025)

The role of magnetic moments in electrodynamics is examined in this work. The effects are described in the context of conventional quantum electrodynamics expressed in terms of the electromagnetic fields or in the context of an extended Poynting theorem and extended Maxwell equations. These extensions take into account the energetics of interaction of magnetic moments with inhomogeneous magnetic fields. We show how magnetic moment effects are included in either version of electrodynamics and that these apparently different formulations can give consistent results. In either case, we express the interactions in terms of electromagnetic fields only, avoiding use of a vector potential.

I. INTRODUCTION

As is well known, an electron interacts with external electromagnetic fields through its charge and magnetic moment. Moreover, there is a Coulomb monopole electric field associated with the electron's charge and a magnetic dipole field associated with its magnetic moment. Possible magnetic monopole [1, 2] or electric dipole [3, 4] moments and the corresponding fields for the electron are experimentally consistent with zero. Higher-multipole fields are excluded for a spin one-half particle such as the electron.

The energetics of electromagnetic fields and their interactions with particles are described by the Poynting theorem, which follows from the Maxwell equations [5–7]. Here we examine the interaction of particles with electromagnetic fields from this perspective.

Although the Poynting theorem is a statement of conservation of energy when energy is exchanged between charged particles and electromagnetic fields, the conventional form of the theorem does not take into account the interaction of the magnetic moment of a particle, such as an electron, with an inhomogeneous magnetic field. To remedy this, we suggest an extension of the Poynting theorem to take such an interaction into account. Because the theorem is a consequence of the Maxwell equations, such a change of the theorem is not consistent with those equations. One way to deal with this is to add appropriate terms to the Maxwell equations to have consistently with the extended Poynting theorem. The added terms are a magnetic dipole source and a magnetic dipole current that replace the two zero sources in the equations. The zeros are sometimes replaced by magnetic monopole sources, but not in the present paper. We show that the added dipole terms are consistent with relativistic invariance of the equations. In fact, the added magnetic current source term is shown to also be a consequence of special relativity, independent of the Poynting theorem.

Obviously, the consequences of an extension of the Maxwell equations and the Poynting theorem must be closely examined. Foremost is the fact that a magnetic moment source means that $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} \neq 0$ instead of $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0$ in the Maxwell equation. This could be problematic, because $\boldsymbol{B} = \nabla \times \boldsymbol{A}$ implies that $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0$. Thus, the question is: can the vector potential be eliminated from quantum electrodynamics (QED)? The investigations in this paper indicate that the answer is yes. We show that the interactions in QED can indeed be expressed in terms of the energy of fields. In fact, this was already shown to be the case for the electron self energy by Weisskopf [8] in 1939. The form the interaction takes in QED is proportional to $|\boldsymbol{E}|^2 - |c\boldsymbol{B}|^2$, while according to the Poynting theorem, the interaction energy is proportional to $|\boldsymbol{E}|^2 + |c\boldsymbol{B}|^2$. This apparent discrepancy is linked to the way the magnetic dipole field is treated. In QED, the magnetic field of a dipole source is a transverse field (current-loop model), whereas in the extended Poynting-Maxwell case, the magnetic field is a longitudinal field (dual magnetic monopole model). This a subtle difference, because these properties of the fields differ only by a delta function at the location of the source, as explained in this paper.

The QED expression for the interaction energy in terms of fields is curious, because it ascribes a negative value to the energy of the magnetic field. Besides being counterintuitive, it incorrectly predicts the behavior of macroscopic bar magnets. Another curiosity is that the QED interaction energy is a Lorentz scalar, while one would expect it to transform as an energy, that is, as the zero component of an energy-momentum four vector.

The extended Poynting-Maxwell interaction energy has neither of these curious properties. The magnetic energy is positive, giving the proper behavior of bar magnets, and $|\mathbf{E}|^2 + |c\mathbf{B}|^2$ indeed transforms as the zero component of an energy-momentum four vector. Whether this version of electrodynamics can be the basis for an alternative formulation of QED is an interesting question, but outside of the scope of this paper.

In this paper, we formulate the extension of the Poynting theorem and the corresponding extension of the Maxwell equations. We show that the extension is consistent with special relativity. The model dependence of the magnetic dipole field is illustrated in the context of classical electromagnetism. We also show how fields can provide interactions in the Dirac equation, without the use of a vector potential. Examples from QED are provided to illustrate its expression in terms of electric and magnetic fields.

II. PARTICLE-FIELD INTERACTIONS

If a particle is in an external electromagnetic field that applies a force $F(\mathbf{x})$ to it, then motion of the particle opposing the force will require work done on the particle. This has the effect of increasing the energy of the combined particle field and external field. The increase in energy of the fields will be the work done to move the particle against the force, or the negative of the force on the particle times the distance moved. If $U(\mathbf{x}_0)$ is the energy of the combined fields for a particle at \mathbf{x}_0 , then the change in energy when the particle moves incrementally by $d\mathbf{x}_0$ is

$$dU(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = -\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) \cdot d\boldsymbol{x}_0, \qquad (1)$$

and the force on the particle is

$$\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = -\boldsymbol{\nabla}_0 \, U(\boldsymbol{x}_0) \,. \tag{2}$$

If the particle moves with a velocity \boldsymbol{v} , the rate of change of the energy of the fields is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}U(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = -\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}_0}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \,. \tag{3}$$

The key element is the force on the particle due to its interaction with the external fields. This is examined in the following sections.

III. POYNTING THEOREM

The Poynting theorem describes the energetics of electromagnetic fields, \boldsymbol{E} and \boldsymbol{B} , and their interactions with charged particles. It is conventionally given in vacuum by [6, 7]

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{S} = -\boldsymbol{J} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} \,, \tag{4}$$

where

$$u = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \left(\left| \boldsymbol{E} \right|^2 + \left| c \boldsymbol{B} \right|^2 \right)$$
(5)

is the energy density of the electromagnetic fields, and

$$\boldsymbol{S} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{B} \tag{6}$$

is the Poynting vector, which is the energy flow per unit area of the fields. In Eqs. (4)-(6), J is the electric current density, ϵ_0 is the vacuum electric permittivity, μ_0 is the vacuum magnetic permeability, and c is the speed of light, with $\epsilon_0\mu_0c^2 = 1$.

The integral of Eq. (4) over a volume V is

$$\int_{V} d\boldsymbol{x} \, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \int_{S} dA \, \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{S} = - \int_{V} d\boldsymbol{x} \, \boldsymbol{J} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} \,. \tag{7}$$

where the volume integral of the divergence of S in the second term has been replaced by an integral over the normal to the surface S according to the Gauss-Ostrogradsky theorem. In words, Eq. (7) states that the electromagnetic field energy in a volume decreases by the outward flow of energy through the surface of the volume and by the work done by the fields on charged particles in the volume. Conversely, the field energy in the volume will increase by the energy flow into the volume and by the work done against the forces of the fields on the particles by their motion which is provided by an independent source. This is a statement of conservation of energy, which follows from the Maxwell equations.

Since the Poynting theorem follows from the Maxwell equations, which are consistent with special relativity, we expect the theorem to also show such a consistency. However, to provide a qualitative description of various aspects of the theorem, here we restrict attention to nonrelativistic motion of the relevant particles, which means neglecting all but the leading terms in powers of $|\boldsymbol{v}|/c$, where \boldsymbol{v} is the velocity of a particle. We also assume that the external fields are slowly varying. Higher-order terms are properly accounted for in the relativistic formulation of the theorem in Sec. X. If we take the current density for a particle with charge q at the point x_0 moving with the velocity v inside the volume to be

$$\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \rho(\boldsymbol{x})\,\boldsymbol{v} = q\,\delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0)\boldsymbol{v}\,,\tag{8}$$

to lowest order in $|\boldsymbol{v}|/c$, then the rate of change of the energy of the fields $U_q^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ due to the motion of the particle in the external field $\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is [7]

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} U_q^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = -\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{F}_q(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = -q \, \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = -\int_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \, \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \tag{9}$$

as appears in Eq. (7).

A particle with a magnetic moment in an inhomogeneous magnetic flux density also experiences a force. A dipole moment may be modeled as a current loop or a pair of opposite polarity magnetic monopoles, although there is conventionally a preference for the current loop model [7, 9]. On the other hand, the magnetic moment of a fundamental particle such as the electron appears to be an intrinsic property in the same sense as charge is, so it may be that no single classical model is required to explain it. Incidentally, the magnetic moment of the electron is known to a relative uncertainty of a few parts in 10^{10} . In fact, the gyromagnetic ratio of the electron, the ratio of the moment to the Bohr magneton, is known with a relative uncertainty better than 2×10^{-13} , where the larger uncertainty of the magnetic moment is due to uncertainty of the electron mass in kilograms [10]. Independent of any model, a particle with a magnetic moment interacts with an inhomogeneous magnetic field, with examples being the Stern-Gerlach experiment [11-13] and magnetic neutron scattering [14–17]. Moreover, the interaction may involve energy exchange between the field and the particle, as shown by the deceleration of hydrogen atoms in the triplet hyperfine ground state in an inhomogeneous magnetic field [18]. However, Eq. (7) does not include a magnetic interaction between an inhomogeneous magnetic field and the magnetic moment of a particle, needed to account for conservation of energy. In the following section, we consider such an interaction.

IV. MAGNETIC FIELD-PARTICLE INTERACTIONS

Here, we examine the interactions of a particle with a magnetic moment m with an inhomogeneous magnetic flux density $B_{\text{ex}}(x)$. For this purpose, it is useful to consider

separately the transverse (T) and longitudinal (L) components of the external field (see Appendix A)

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}). \qquad (10)$$

For a magnetic field resulting from a steady-state current $J_{ex}(\boldsymbol{x})$, we have from Eq. (5.16) of Jackson [7]

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \, \frac{\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}')}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|}, \qquad (11)$$

which is transverse because

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\text{ex}}^{\text{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0.$$
 (12)

Thus following [7] up to Eq. (5.68) in that text, the force from the external current is

$$F_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) = (\boldsymbol{m} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{0}) \times \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0})$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{0} \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) - \boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0})$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{0} \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}), \qquad (13)$$

where the last line follows from Eq. (12). This is the force from the current in Eq. (11) that could be the current in a solenoid, for example. However, this provides no information about the interaction of the particle with a possible longitudinal component of the field $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x})$. To address this, we consider the interaction of the magnetic moment of a particle with an external longitudinal magnetic field from the perspective of the Poynting theorem.

A magnetic dipole moment \boldsymbol{m} located at $\boldsymbol{x} = 0$ is the source of a magnetic field given for $|\boldsymbol{x}| > 0$ by [7]

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{3\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}) - \boldsymbol{m}}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^3} \,. \tag{14}$$

An equivalent way of expressing this for $|\boldsymbol{x}| > 0$ is

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \, \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|} \,, \tag{15}$$

where the derivatives reproduce Eq. (14). If Eq. (15) is extended to x = 0, then it includes a delta function at the origin as shown by taking the angular average, which gives

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}\Omega_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_0}{12\pi} \boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|} = -\frac{\mu_0}{3} \boldsymbol{m} \,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}) \,. \tag{16}$$

We also have

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \, \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \, \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|} = -\mu_0 \, \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \, \delta(\boldsymbol{x}). \tag{17}$$

The derivative of the delta function on the right-hand-side is meaningful as a distribution or generalized function [19, 20]. The field given by Eq. (15) is longitudinal, i.e.,

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0. \tag{18}$$

If a particle with a magnetic dipole moment \boldsymbol{m} is located at \boldsymbol{x}_0 , the field at \boldsymbol{x} is

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) = \frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi}\,\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}\,\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0}|}\,. \tag{19}$$

The energy of the combined fields of the particle and the external field $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is

$$U_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) = \frac{\epsilon_{0}c^{2}}{2} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \left(\left| \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) + \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right|^{2} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{2\mu_{0}} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \left(\left| \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) \right|^{2} + 2\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \left| \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right|^{2} \right).$$
(20)

The first term is divergent for a point source, but it is finite for a finite magnetic moment distribution. It is the magnetic self energy of the particle, which is independent of the external field. The third term is independent of \boldsymbol{x}_0 , so the dependence of the interaction energy on \boldsymbol{x}_0 is confined to the second term, which gives

$$U_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{L},\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) = \frac{1}{\mu_{0}} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \, \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \left[\boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{0}|} \right] \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} \, \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{0} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{0}|} \, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$= -\boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}), \qquad (21)$$

and so [see Eq. (A3)]

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) = -\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{0} U_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{L},\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) = \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{0} \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) \,.$$
(22)

In Eq. (21) and the rest of this paper, gradient operators in square brackets act only on

functions within the square brackets. The total force on the particle is thus

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) &= \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ &= \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{0} \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) + \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) \right] \\ &= \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{0} \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) \,. \end{aligned}$$
(23)

Evidently, the expression for the force is the same for both the transverse and longitudinal external fields. Thus, the rate of change of the energy in the field due to the interaction with the particle is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} U_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) = -\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) = -\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{0} \, \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) \,.$$
(24)

Let a magnetic current density be defined as

$$\boldsymbol{K}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -(\boldsymbol{v}/c)\,\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_0)\,,\tag{25}$$

and consider the integral

$$\int d\boldsymbol{x} \, \boldsymbol{K}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot c\boldsymbol{B}_{ex}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_0 \, \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{ex}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) \,.$$

= $\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_0 \, \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{ex}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) - \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{m} \times [\boldsymbol{\nabla}_0 \times \boldsymbol{B}_{ex}(\boldsymbol{x}_0)] \,.$ (26)

For the longitudinal component of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}_0)$ we have $\boldsymbol{\nabla}_0 \times \boldsymbol{B}_{\text{ex}}^{\text{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = 0$, as noted above. For the transverse component, we have the Maxwell equation

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B}_{\text{ex}}^{\text{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) = \frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}^{\text{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0})}{\partial t} + \mu_{0} \boldsymbol{J}^{\text{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}).$$
(27)

In the limit of a slowly varying or zero electric field and assuming that the external transverse charge current vanishes at \boldsymbol{x}_0 , the location of the particle, we have $\boldsymbol{\nabla}_0 \times \boldsymbol{B}_{ex}^{T}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = 0$. Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} U_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) = -\int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \, \boldsymbol{K}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot c\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,.$$
(28)

Thus, if the magnetic interaction is included in the energy exchange, then Eq. (4) is replaced by

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{S} = -\boldsymbol{J} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} - \boldsymbol{K} \cdot c\boldsymbol{B}$$
⁽²⁹⁾

as an extended form of the Poynting theorem.

The assumptions mentioned above are not particularly restrictive. For example, they would apply to the interaction of a particle with a magnetic moment in the magnetic field of a solenoid, provided only that the particle is not embedded in the coil windings that produce the magnetic field. This treatment also applies exactly to the magnetic interactions of a particle with other particles with magnetic moments, assuming they are longitudinal interactions. Of course, relativistic effects will change things. A relativistic formulation of the extended Poynting theorem with no assumptions is given in Sec. X.

V. EXTENDED MAXWELL EQUATIONS

The Poynting theorem, with the extension in Eq. (29), accounts for conservation of energy for the particle-field interactions, including a magnetic moment interaction with a magnetic flux density. However, since the Poynting theorem without the magnetic interaction follows from the conventional Maxwell equations [7], the extended Poynting theorem is not consistent with those equations. In this section, we consider a way to resolve this inconsistency.

The vacuum Maxwell equations are given (in SI units) by [7]

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}, \qquad (30)$$

$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t} = \mu_0 \boldsymbol{J},$$
(31)

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} = 0, \qquad (32)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0. \tag{33}$$

Following Jackson [7], multiplication of Eq. (31) by \boldsymbol{E} gives

$$\boldsymbol{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} \, \boldsymbol{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t} = \mu_0 \, \boldsymbol{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{J} \,, \qquad (34)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E} - \boldsymbol{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B}, \qquad (35)$$

so that

$$-\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{E}\times\boldsymbol{B}+\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\boldsymbol{E}-\frac{1}{c^2}\,\boldsymbol{E}\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t}\,=\,\mu_0\,\boldsymbol{J}\cdot\boldsymbol{E}\,.$$
(36)

The replacement from Eq. (32),

$$\nabla \times E \rightarrow -\frac{\partial B}{\partial t},$$
(37)

yields

$$-\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} \boldsymbol{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t} - \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t}$$
$$= -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{B} - \frac{1}{2c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(|\boldsymbol{E}|^2 + |c\boldsymbol{B}|^2 \right) = \mu_0 \boldsymbol{J} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} , \qquad (38)$$

which is equivalent to Eq. (4). However, the magnetic contribution in Eq. (29) must be included to have conservation of energy. If instead of the replacement made in Eq. (37), the replacement

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E} \to -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} - c\mu_0 \boldsymbol{K}$$
(39)

is made, then we have

$$-\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{E}\times\boldsymbol{B} - \frac{1}{c^2}\,\boldsymbol{E}\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t} - \boldsymbol{B}\cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} = \mu_0\,\boldsymbol{J}\cdot\boldsymbol{E} + \mu_0\,\boldsymbol{K}\cdot c\boldsymbol{B}$$
(40)

or

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{S} = -\boldsymbol{J} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} - \boldsymbol{K} \cdot c\boldsymbol{B}, \qquad (41)$$

which is the desired result. The replacement shown in Eq. (39) corresponds to a modification of the third Maxwell equation, Eq. (32), to be

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} = -c\mu_0 \boldsymbol{K}.$$
(42)

To consider such an extension of the Maxwell equations, it is necessary to examine possible conflicts it may cause. In particular, the extension must be consistent with relativistic invariance of the Maxwell equations. This question is addressed in Sec. IX, where it is shown that Eq. (42) is consistent with Lorentz invariance of the Maxwell equations, provided a corresponding source term is added to Eq. (33) to give

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = c \,\mu_0 \,\sigma \tag{43}$$

where $c\sigma$ and K are components of a four-vector, just as $c\rho$ and J are. The source σ is the magnetic moment density associated with a particle with a magnetic moment. If the particle at rest is given a velocity boost \boldsymbol{v} , then to lowest order in $|\boldsymbol{v}|/c$, there will be a resulting current $\sigma \boldsymbol{v}$, according to the lower component of Eq. (102). From Eq. (25), we have

$$c\,\sigma(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_0) \tag{44}$$

in agreement with Eq. (17).

We thus have extended Maxwell equations in vacuum as

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}, \qquad (45)$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial ct} - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times c\boldsymbol{B} = -\frac{1}{c\epsilon_0} \boldsymbol{J}, \qquad (46)$$

$$\frac{\partial c\boldsymbol{B}}{\partial ct} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E} = -c\mu_0 \boldsymbol{K}, \qquad (47)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot c\boldsymbol{B}(x) = c^2 \mu_0 \,\sigma \,. \tag{48}$$

Alternatively, Eqs. (47) and (48) may be written as

$$\frac{\partial c\boldsymbol{B}}{\partial ct} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E} = -\frac{1}{c\epsilon_0} \boldsymbol{K}, \qquad (49)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot c\boldsymbol{B} = \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon_0}.$$
 (50)

The electric continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{J} = 0, \qquad (51)$$

which follows from Eqs. (45) and (46), is matched by a corresponding magnetic continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{K} = 0, \qquad (52)$$

which follows from Eqs. (47) and (48). The continuity equations only give information about the longitudinal components of J and K.

VI. PERSPECTIVE ON THE EXTENDED MAXWELL EQUATIONS

The extended Maxwell equations are a departure from the traditional Maxwell equations, so some further remarks are included here. The most straightforward observation is that even though magnetic moment currents do not explicitly exist in the conventional Maxwell equations, they do exist in nature. Some examples are polarized electron beams [21], possible triplet Cooper pair currents of electrons in superconductivity [22], polarized neutron beams [23], and atomic beams of hydrogen atoms in the triplet hyperfine state [18]. The equations with magnetic sources are similar to the equations that are sometimes considered to include magnetic monopoles, where the sources σ and K would describe the density and current of hypothetical monopoles [7]. However, the dipole source and current are independent of such considerations.

Evidently, Eq. (48) is in conflict with the conventional expression $\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{A}$, where \boldsymbol{A} is a vector potential, which implies $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0$. The non-zero divergence of \boldsymbol{B} can be traced back to Eq. (15), which is a longitudinal field from a magnetic dipole moment of a particle. Although, we do not assume any model for the dipole moment in this work, the longitudinal field corresponds to the dual magnetic monopole model, which differs from the conventional current loop model for the source [7, 9]. The latter field is transverse as is evident from Eq. (135).

Conventional QED is based on transverse magnetic fields for the interactions, and with this restriction, one has $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} = 0$, so the vector potential is not ruled out. Thus it appears that both the extended Maxwell equations and the associated extended Poynting theorem can coexist with conventional QED, which simply does not deal with longitudinal magnetic fields, even though it does have longitudinal electric fields. All magnetic fields are taken to be transverse, including the magnetic dipole moment of the electron. On the other hand, from this perspective the $|\mathbf{B}|^2$ term in the conventional Poynting theorem has no apparent relation to the interactions of inhomogeneous magnetic fields with magnetic moments.

VII. ALTERNATIVE APPROACH TO THE EXTENDED MAXWELL EQUATIONS

The form of the Maxwell equations described in Sec. V is arrived at by extending the Poynting theorem to be consistent with energy conservation and seeing that a modification of the Maxwell equations can be made to arrive at this result. In this section, we take a different tack to check the consistency of this result. Here we consider the example of the field of a moving particle with a magnetic dipole moment to show that the extension in

13

Eq. (47) is consistent with the conventional Lorentz transformation of the field.

Consider a particle with a magnetic dipole moment at the location x_0 in its rest frame moving with a constant velocity v relative to the lab frame. The magnetic field in the rest frame of the particle is

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \, \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0|} \,, \tag{53}$$

and in the lab frame, to lowest order in v/c, there is an electric field given by [see p. 558 of Jackson [7] and Eq. (109)]

$$\boldsymbol{E} = -\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B} = -\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \, \boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla} \, \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0(t)|} \,.$$
(54)

Thus

$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{E} = -\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{v} \times \nabla) \, \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \nabla \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0(t)|},$$

$$= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left[\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla \nabla - \boldsymbol{v} \nabla^2 \right] \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \nabla \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0(t)|},$$

$$= \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{B} + \mu_0 \, \boldsymbol{v} \, \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \nabla \, \delta \left(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0(t) \right).$$
(55)

For the first term,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0(t)|} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \, \boldsymbol{x}_0^i(t) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_0^i(t)} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0(t)|} = -\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0(t)|},$$
(56)

so that

$$\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{B} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial ct} \, c \boldsymbol{B},\tag{57}$$

and for the second term, from Eq. (25)

$$\boldsymbol{v}\,\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\,\delta\big(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_0(t)\big)\,=\,-c\boldsymbol{K}\,,$$
(58)

which gives

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E} = -\frac{\partial c \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial ct} - c \mu_0 \boldsymbol{K}, \qquad (59)$$

in agreement with Eq. (47).

VIII. MATRIX FORM OF THE MAXWELL EQUATIONS

As already mentioned, it is necessary to confirm the relativistic invariance of the extended Maxwell equations. To do this, it is useful to write the equations in a matrix form that provides a compact notation for the otherwise complicated algebraic equations. In this section, a brief review of this approach provides the basic tools. See also Mohr [24] and Jentschura and Adkins [25] for additional information.

We can express a three-vector \boldsymbol{a} with Cartesian coordinates a^1, a^2, a^3 as the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{\rm c} = \begin{pmatrix} a^1 \\ a^2 \\ a^3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{60}$$

and in a spherical basis, we have

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{s} = \boldsymbol{M}\boldsymbol{a}_{c} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & i & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2}\\ 1 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^{1}\\ a^{2}\\ a^{3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a^{1} - i a^{2})\\ a^{3}\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a^{1} + i a^{2}) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (61)

The dot product of two vectors is given by

$$\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{a}_{c}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{b}_{c} = \boldsymbol{a}_{s}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{b}_{s} = a^{i*} b^{i} .$$
(62)

Three Hermitian $(\tau^{i\dagger} = \tau^i)$ matrices are defined as

$$\tau^{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \tau^{2} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \tau^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (63)$$

similar matrices have been given by Oppenheimer [26], by Majorana [27], and others. We use this form for the matrices, because they are direct analogs of the Pauli spin matrices. The dot product with a vector \boldsymbol{a} is

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{a} = \tau^{i} a^{i} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{3} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a^{1} - i a^{2}) & 0\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a^{1} + i a^{2}) & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a^{1} - i a^{2})\\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a^{1} + i a^{2}) & -a^{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (64)

These matrices have the property that

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{a} \, \boldsymbol{b}_{\mathrm{s}} \,=\, \mathrm{i} \, (\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b})_{\mathrm{s}} \,, \tag{65}$$

where $(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b})_{s}$ is the ordinary vector cross product expressed in the spherical basis.

The Maxwell equations are conventionally written in 3-dimensional vector notation, but for the purposes of this paper, it is convenient to also use a 6×6 matrix notation. This matrix version of the Maxwell equations is the direct analog of the 4×4 matrix Dirac equation, in which the 2×2 Pauli (sigma) matrices are replaced by 3×3 (tau) matrices. Taking into account the corresponding relations

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{B}_{s} = i \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B} \right)_{s}, \qquad (66)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{E}_{s} = i \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E} \right)_{s}, \qquad (67)$$

we can write the two source-free Maxwell equations in vacuum

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}(x)}{\partial ct} - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times c\boldsymbol{B}(x) = 0, \qquad (68)$$

$$\frac{\partial c \boldsymbol{B}(x)}{\partial ct} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E}(x) = 0, \qquad (69)$$

as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{I} \frac{\partial}{\partial ct} & \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \\ -\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} & -\boldsymbol{I} \frac{\partial}{\partial ct} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{E}_{s}(x) \\ i \, c \boldsymbol{B}_{s}(x) \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
(70)

where I is the 3×3 identity matrix, and the four-vector x is defined by Eq. (88).

Employing the analogy with the Dirac equation, we define 6×6 gamma matrices, which are analogs of the Dirac 4×4 gamma matrices, by

$$\gamma^{0} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}; \qquad \gamma^{i} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \tau^{i} \\ -\tau^{i} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$
(71)

where **0** is the 3×3 matrix of zeros. With the derivatives

$$\partial_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial ct}; \quad \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$
(72)

we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{I} \frac{\partial}{\partial ct} & \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \\ -\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} & -\boldsymbol{I} \frac{\partial}{\partial ct} \end{pmatrix} = \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \,. \tag{73}$$

If a six-row matrix containing the electric and magnetic fields is written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{E}_{s}(x) \\ ic\boldsymbol{B}_{s}(x) \end{pmatrix} = \Psi(x), \qquad (74)$$

then

$$\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\Psi(x) = 0, \qquad (75)$$

which has the same form as the Dirac equation (with zero mass), with the exception of the dimensionality of the tau matrices. The other two source-free Maxwell equations

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{E}(x) = 0, \qquad (76)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot c\boldsymbol{B}(x) = 0, \qquad (77)$$

may be written as

$$\mathcal{D} \Psi(x) = 0, \qquad (78)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla_{s}^{\dagger} & \mathbf{0} \\ & & \\ \mathbf{0} & \nabla_{s}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (79)$$

and $\mathbf{0}$ is a row of 3 zeros.

If sources are present, we have

$$\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\Psi(x) = \Xi(x), \qquad (80)$$

$$\mathcal{D}\Psi(x) = \mathcal{X}(x), \tag{81}$$

where $\Xi(x)$ and $\mathcal{X}(x)$ are source terms given by

$$\Xi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{c\epsilon_0} \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{s}}(x) \\ \mathrm{i} \, c\mu_0 \, \boldsymbol{K}_{\mathrm{s}}(x) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{c\epsilon_0} \begin{pmatrix} -\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{s}}(x) \\ \mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{K}_{\mathrm{s}}(x) \end{pmatrix} = c\mu_0 \begin{pmatrix} -\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{s}}(x) \\ \mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{K}_{\mathrm{s}}(x) \end{pmatrix}$$
(82)

and

$$\mathcal{X}(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \begin{pmatrix} -\rho(x) \\ i \sigma(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(83)

We also have

$$\overline{\Psi}(x)\overleftarrow{\partial_{\mu}}\gamma^{\mu} = \overline{\Xi}(x) \tag{84}$$

$$\overline{\Psi}(x)\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}^{\dagger} = \overline{\mathcal{X}}(x) \tag{85}$$

where

$$\overline{\Psi}(x) = \Psi^{\dagger}(x)\gamma^{0}; \quad \overline{\Xi}(x) = \Xi^{\dagger}(x)\gamma^{0}; \quad \overline{\mathcal{X}}(x) = \mathcal{X}^{\dagger}(x)\gamma^{0}, \quad (86)$$

and

$$\gamma^{\mu\,\dagger} = \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0 \,. \tag{87}$$

Although the matrix formulation simplifies complicated calculations, we shall in general use ordinary vector notation.

IX. LORENTZ INVARIANCE

The Maxwell equations are consistent with special relativity, but it is necessary to show that the equations with the added magnetic source terms are also consistent with special relativity. Despite the symmetry between the electric and magnetic sources, this is not obvious because the magnetic current is a three-vector. Here we extend the method of showing Lorentz invariance of the conventional Maxwell equations given in Ref. [24] to include the magnetic source terms.

To establish the invariance, it is sufficient to restrict our attention to the homogeneous Lorentz transformations. The rotation, velocity, and discrete transformations in this subset may be considered individually. These transformations leave the four-vector scalar product $x \cdot x$ invariant, where

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} ct \\ \mathbf{x}_{c} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^{0} \\ x^{1} \\ x^{2} \\ x^{3} \end{pmatrix}$$
(88)

and

$$x \cdot x = x^{\top} g x = (ct)^2 - x^2,$$
 (89)

where \top denotes the matrix transpose. The 4 × 4 metric tensor g is given by

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix},\tag{90}$$

where **0** signifies a 1×3 array of zeros in the upper-right position and a 3×1 array in the lower-left position.

A. Rotations

Invariance under rotations is self-evident since the spatial dependence of the four-vector scalar product is \mathbf{x}^2 and the Maxwell equations transform as either scalars or 3-vectors under rotations. In particular, the magnetic terms are in this class. The source σ is the scalar product of two quantities that transform like vectors under rotations, namely the gradient operator and the magnetic moment vector. Moreover, the magnetic current \mathbf{K} is a three-vector that transforms like an ordinary vector under rotations. However, invariance under velocity transformations requires closer examination.

B. Velocity transformations

Lorentz invariance of the extended Maxwell equations is established by showing that if $\Psi(x)$ is a solution of Eqs. (80) and (81), then [28]

$$\gamma^{\mu}\partial'_{\mu}\Psi'(x') = \Xi'(x'), \tag{91}$$

$$\mathcal{D}'\Psi'(x') = \mathcal{X}'(x'), \qquad (92)$$

where the primes denote Lorentz transformed quantities.

The velocity transformation of the four-vector coordinate is given by $x' = V(\boldsymbol{v})x$, where $V(\boldsymbol{v})$ is the 4 × 4 matrix [24]

$$V(\boldsymbol{v}) = e^{\zeta \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\hat{v}})} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \zeta & \boldsymbol{\hat{v}}_{c}^{\top} \sinh \zeta \\ \boldsymbol{\hat{v}}_{c} \sinh \zeta & \boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\hat{v}}_{c} \boldsymbol{\hat{v}}_{c}^{\top} \left(\cosh \zeta - 1 \right) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (93)$$

 $\boldsymbol{v} = c \tanh \zeta \, \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}$ is the velocity of the transformation, and

$$\Lambda(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{c}^{\top} \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{c} & \boldsymbol{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(94)

In Eq. (94) and in the following, **0** denotes the appropriate array of zeros to fill out the unoccupied spaces. This transformation leaves the scalar product invariant, because

$$x' \cdot x' = x^{\top} V^{\top}(\boldsymbol{v}) g V(\boldsymbol{v}) x = x^{\top} g V^{-1}(\boldsymbol{v}) V(\boldsymbol{v}) x = x \cdot x , \qquad (95)$$

and $V^{\top}(\boldsymbol{v}) = V(\boldsymbol{v}) = gV^{-1}(\boldsymbol{v})g$. The infinitesimal transformation

$$x' = x + \zeta \Lambda(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}})x + \dots = \begin{pmatrix} ct + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}/c + \dots \\ \boldsymbol{x}_c + \boldsymbol{v}_c t + \dots \end{pmatrix}$$
(96)

shows that the transformed coordinate has the appropriate form, i.e., the boosted space coordinate is increasing with the velocity \boldsymbol{v} and $x' \cdot x' = x \cdot x + \mathcal{O}(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{x} t)$. We use the convention that the transformations are applied to the properties of the physical system, rather than to the observers coordinates.

For the derivatives ∂_{μ} , we have $x = V^{-1}(\boldsymbol{v})x' = V(-\boldsymbol{v})x'$ or $x^{\nu} = V_{\nu\mu}(-\boldsymbol{v})x'^{\mu}$ so that

$$\partial_{\mu}^{\prime} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\prime \,\mu}} = \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\prime \,\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}} = V_{\nu\mu}(-\boldsymbol{v}) \,\partial_{\nu} = V_{\mu\nu}(-\boldsymbol{v}) \,\partial_{\nu} \,. \tag{97}$$

Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial ct'} \\ \boldsymbol{\nabla}'_{c} \end{pmatrix} = V(-\boldsymbol{v}) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial ct} \\ \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{c} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial ct} \cosh \zeta - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \sinh \zeta \\ \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{c} + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{c} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} (\cosh \zeta - 1) - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial ct} \sinh \zeta \end{pmatrix}.$$
(98)

The source currents are the space components of the electric and magnetic four-currents, which include the sources in Eqs. (45) and (48) as the timelike components. Explicitly, we have

$$J_{\rm s}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} c\rho(x) \\ J_{\rm s}(x) \end{pmatrix}; \qquad K_{\rm s}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} c\sigma(x) \\ K_{\rm s}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{99}$$

both of which transform as four-vectors. In this case, the transformation matrix is the spherical version of V

$$V_{\rm s}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{M} \end{pmatrix} V(\boldsymbol{v}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{M}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \zeta & \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm s}^{\dagger} \sinh \zeta \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm s} \sinh \zeta & \boldsymbol{I} + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm s} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm s}^{\dagger} \left(\cosh \zeta - 1\right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (100)$$

which gives

$$J_{\rm s}'(x') = V_{\rm s}(\boldsymbol{v})J_{\rm s}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} c\rho(x)\cosh\zeta + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}(x)\sinh\zeta \\ \boldsymbol{J}_{\rm s}(x) + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm s}\,\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}(x)\,(\cosh\zeta-1) + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm s}\,c\rho(x)\sinh\zeta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (101)$$

$$K_{\rm s}'(x') = V_{\rm s}(\boldsymbol{v})K_{\rm s}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} c\sigma(x)\cosh\zeta + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}\cdot\boldsymbol{K}(x)\sinh\zeta \\ \boldsymbol{K}_{\rm s}(x) + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm s}\,\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}\cdot\boldsymbol{K}(x)\left(\cosh\zeta - 1\right) + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm s}\,c\sigma(x)\sinh\zeta \end{pmatrix} (102)$$

The function $\Psi'(x')$ in Eq. (91) is

$$\Psi'(x') = \mathcal{V}(\boldsymbol{v})\Psi(x), \qquad (103)$$

or

$$\Psi'(x) = \mathcal{V}(\boldsymbol{v}) \Psi (V^{-1}(\boldsymbol{v}) x), \qquad (104)$$

where $\mathcal{V}(\boldsymbol{v})$ is a 6×6 matrix that gives the linear transformation of $\Psi(x)$. It can be written as [24]

$$\mathcal{V}(\boldsymbol{v}) = e^{\zeta \boldsymbol{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{\hat{v}}} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{I} + (\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\hat{v}})^2 \left(\cosh \zeta - 1\right) & \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\hat{v}} \sinh \zeta \\ \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\hat{v}} \sinh \zeta & \boldsymbol{I} + (\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\hat{v}})^2 \left(\cosh \zeta - 1\right) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{I} \cosh \zeta - \boldsymbol{\hat{v}}_{\mathrm{s}} \boldsymbol{\hat{v}}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\dagger} \left(\cosh \zeta - 1\right) & \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\hat{v}} \sinh \zeta \\ \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\hat{v}} \sinh \zeta & \boldsymbol{I} \cosh \zeta - \boldsymbol{\hat{v}}_{\mathrm{s}} \boldsymbol{\hat{v}}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\dagger} \left(\cosh \zeta - 1\right) \end{pmatrix}, (105)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \ \boldsymbol{\tau} \\ \boldsymbol{\tau} \ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \,. \tag{106}$$

Equation (105) follows from the series expansion of the exponential function together with the identities

$$(\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}})^2 = \boldsymbol{I} - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\mathrm{s}} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\dagger}, \qquad (107)$$

$$(\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{v}})^3 = \boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}.$$
 (108)

The transformed fields are thus [36]

$$\mathcal{V}(\boldsymbol{v})\Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{E}_{s}(x)\cosh\zeta - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}\cdot\boldsymbol{E}(x)(\cosh\zeta - 1) + i\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}\,c\boldsymbol{B}_{s}(x)\sinh\zeta\\ i\left[c\boldsymbol{B}_{s}(x)\cosh\zeta - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}\cdot c\boldsymbol{B}_{s}(x)(\cosh\zeta - 1) - i\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}\,\boldsymbol{E}_{s}(x)\sinh\zeta\right] \end{pmatrix}.$$
(109)

Incidentally, the relations $\mathcal{V}^{\dagger}\gamma^{0}\mathcal{V} = \gamma^{0}$ and $\mathcal{V}^{\dagger}\gamma^{0}\eta\mathcal{V} = \gamma^{0}\eta$, where

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix},\tag{110}$$

yield

$$\overline{\Psi}'(x')\Psi'(x') = \overline{\Psi}(x)\Psi(x)$$
(111)

$$\overline{\Psi}'(x')\eta\Psi'(x') = \overline{\Psi}(x)\eta\Psi(x), \qquad (112)$$

or in vector notation

$$|\mathbf{E}'(x')|^2 - c^2 |\mathbf{B}'(x')|^2 = |\mathbf{E}(x)|^2 - c^2 |\mathbf{B}(x)|^2, \qquad (113)$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \boldsymbol{E}'(x') \cdot \boldsymbol{B}'(x') = \operatorname{Re} \boldsymbol{E}(x) \cdot \boldsymbol{B}(x), \qquad (114)$$

which are the conventional invariants of electromagnetism.

To specify our convention for the transformations, the physical system is the combination of electric and magnetic fields along with the source terms that are boosted by the velocity \boldsymbol{v} . However, a particle moving with a velocity \boldsymbol{v} relative to a reference (laboratory) frame will observe fields transformed by a velocity $-\boldsymbol{v}$ relative to its reference frame, and will be subjected to the corresponding forces. In this case, the relevant transformation for small \boldsymbol{v}/c is

$$\mathcal{V}(-\boldsymbol{v})\Psi(x) = \mathcal{V}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{v})\Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{E}_{s}(x) + (\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B}(x))_{s} + \dots \\ \\ i\left[c\boldsymbol{B}_{s}(x) - (\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{E}(x))_{s} + \dots\right] \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (115)

To calculate $\gamma^{\mu}\partial'_{\mu}\Psi'(x')$, we start with

$$\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}' = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{I}\frac{\partial}{\partial ct'} & \boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}' \\ -\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}' & -\boldsymbol{I}\frac{\partial}{\partial ct'} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (116)$$

where the derivatives are given in Eq. (98). The product of Eq. (116) and $\mathcal{V}(\boldsymbol{v})$ is (see Appendix B1)

$$\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}^{\prime}\mathcal{V}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{I} + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}^{\dagger}(\cosh\zeta-1) & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{I} + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}^{\dagger}(\cosh\zeta-1) \end{pmatrix} \gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} \\ + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}\sinh\zeta & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}\sinh\zeta \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}.$$
(117)

We thus have

$$\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}^{\prime}\Psi^{\prime}(x^{\prime}) = \gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}^{\prime}\mathcal{V}(\boldsymbol{v})\Psi(x)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{I} + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}^{\dagger}(\cosh\zeta-1) & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{I} + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}^{\dagger}(\cosh\zeta-1) \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Xi}(x)$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}\sinh\zeta & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}\sinh\zeta \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{X}(x)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{c\epsilon_{0}} \left[\boldsymbol{J}_{s}(x) + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}\hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}(x)(\cosh\zeta-1) + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}c\rho(x)\sinh\zeta \right] \\ i c\mu_{0} \left[\boldsymbol{K}_{s}(x) + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}\hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \boldsymbol{K}(x)(\cosh\zeta-1) + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}c\sigma(x)\sinh\zeta \right] \end{pmatrix}. \quad (118)$$

The transformed source term is obtained directly from the bottom lines of Eqs. (101) and (102), which give

$$\Xi'(x') = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{c\epsilon_0} \mathbf{J}'_{\mathrm{s}}(x') \\ \mathrm{i} \, c\mu_0 \, \mathbf{K}'_{\mathrm{s}}(x') \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{c\epsilon_0} \left[\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{s}}(x) + \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathrm{s}} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{J}(x) (\cosh \zeta - 1) + \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathrm{s}} c\rho(x) \sinh \zeta \right] \\ \mathrm{i} \, c\mu_0 \left[\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{s}}(x) + \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathrm{s}} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{K}(x) (\cosh \zeta - 1) + \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathrm{s}} c\sigma(x) \sinh \zeta \right] \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (119)$$

in agreement with Eq. (118), which confirms Eq. (91).

For Eq. (92), to calculate $\mathcal{D}' \Psi'(x')$, we first write (see Appendix B 2)

$$\mathcal{D}'\mathcal{V}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{I}\cosh\zeta & \boldsymbol{0} \\ & & \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{I}\cosh\zeta \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{D} + \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\hat{v}}_{s}^{\dagger}\sinh\zeta & \boldsymbol{0} \\ & & \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{\hat{v}}_{s}^{\dagger}\sinh\zeta \end{pmatrix} \gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}.$$
(120)

The product $\mathcal{D}'\Psi'(x') = \mathcal{D}'\mathcal{V}(\boldsymbol{v})\Psi(x)$ is thus

$$\mathcal{D}'\mathcal{V}(\boldsymbol{v})\Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{I}\cosh\zeta & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{I}\cosh\zeta \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{X}(x) + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}^{\dagger}\sinh\zeta & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}^{\dagger}\sinh\zeta \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Xi}(x)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}} \begin{pmatrix} -\rho(x)\cosh\zeta - \frac{1}{c}\,\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}(x)\sinh\zeta \\ i\,\sigma(x)\cosh\zeta + i\,\frac{1}{c}\,\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}\cdot\boldsymbol{K}(x)\sinh\zeta \end{pmatrix}.$$
(121)

The transformed source term may be directly read from the top lines of Eqs. (101) and (102)

to be

$$\mathcal{X}'(x') = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \begin{pmatrix} -\rho'(x') \\ \mathrm{i}\,\sigma'(x') \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \begin{pmatrix} -\rho(x)\cosh\zeta - \frac{1}{c}\,\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}(x)\sinh\zeta \\ \mathrm{i}\,\sigma(x)\cosh\zeta + \mathrm{i}\,\frac{1}{c}\,\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}\cdot\boldsymbol{K}(x)\sinh\zeta \end{pmatrix},\qquad(122)$$

in agreement with Eq. (121), which confirms Eq. (92).

C. Parity and time-reversal

If magnetic source and current terms are included in the Maxwell equations, they must be consistent with parity inversion and time reversal transformations. There is consistency for the equations without these additions, so it is only necessary to consider the effects of the additional terms. The properties of various quantities and the Maxwell equations under these transformations are summarized in Table I.

The dipole source term $\sigma(x) = -\mathbf{m} \cdot \nabla \delta(\mathbf{x})/c$ is odd under a parity reversal. If the moment is viewed as the result of a current loop, or more specifically to a single charge on a circular path, then the parity transformation transports the particle to the opposite side of the circle and also reverses the direction of the motion, so there is no net change in the current. It is conventional to require that charge does not change under a parity reversal. Alternatively, one may use the fact that the magnetic moment of an electron is proportional to its spin angular momentum and angular momentum does not change sign under a parity reversal. On the other hand, if the magnetic moment is considered as two opposite polarity magnetic monopoles, the locations of the monopoles are interchanged, so the monopole polarity must change sign under a parity transformation. The gradient operator ∇ is odd under the parity reversal, so the combined result is that σ is odd under the inversion. On the left-hand side of the fourth Maxwell equation, the magnetic flux density is even under the parity change, while the gradient operator is odd. The consequence is that both sides of the fourth Maxwell equation are odd under space inversion, which is the consistent result.

Under time-reversal, σ changes sign because the magnetic moment is odd and the gradient operator is even. The odd nature of the magnetic moment may be visualized as the reversal of the velocity of the rotating charge in a hypothetical current loop, while the location of the charge does not change. Moreover, it is conventional to require that charge does not TABLE I: Properties of various quantities and the Maxwell equations under space inversion or time reversal. The symbols + and - in the second and third columns indicate evenness or oddness under the corresponding transformation. In the first column, q represents charge which by convention does not change under either transformation.

Quantity/Equation	Space inversion	Time reversal
x, abla	_	+
t	+	_
q	+	+
m	+	—
$oldsymbol{E}(x)$	_	+
$oldsymbol{B}(x)$	+	_
${oldsymbol abla} \cdot {oldsymbol E}(x) \; = \; rac{ ho(x)}{\epsilon_0}$	+	+
$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}(x)}{\partial ct} - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times c\boldsymbol{B}(x) = -\frac{1}{c\epsilon_0} \boldsymbol{J}(x)$	_	_
$\frac{\partial c \boldsymbol{B}(x)}{\partial ct} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E}(x) = -c\mu_0 \boldsymbol{K}(x)$	+	+
$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot c \boldsymbol{B}(x) \; = \; c^2 \mu_0 \sigma(x)$	_	_

change under time reversal. The gradient operator is even so the net result is that σ is odd under time reversal. On the left-hand side of the fourth equation, the magnetic flux density is odd under time reversal and the gradient operator is even. So both sides of that equation are odd under time reversal, which is again the consistent result.

The magnetic current $\mathbf{K}(x)$ can be thought of as a source $\sigma(x)$ in motion. Velocity is odd under either space inversion or time reversal, so both parity and time reversal evenness or oddness are the opposite for $\mathbf{K}(x)$ of what they are for $\sigma(x)$. Thus for the third Maxwell equation, both sides are even under parity or time inversion, giving the consistent result. The opposite properties of $\sigma(x)$ and $\mathbf{K}(x)$ are also necessary for consistency with the continuity equation, Eq. (52).

The above considerations apply to electric monopole and magnetic dipole sources, ρ and σ . On the other hand, one may consider more general sources. For the electric source,

from the definition of the electric field \boldsymbol{E} , the source term must be even under a parity transformation. This rules out electric dipole sources, but not electric quadrupole or highermoment sources, provided they are even moments, which are positive under space inversion. Similarly, the magnetic source term must be odd under a parity transformation, which rules out magnetic monopoles, allows magnetic dipoles, rules out magnetic quadrupoles, but may allow higher moments with odd parity. The parity restrictions carry over to the currents associated with the charges. This allows monopole electric currents and dipole magnetic currents and the corresponding higher multipole generalizations.

X. RELATIVISTIC EXTENDED POYNTING THEOREM

This section provides a relativistic derivation of the extended Poynting theorem, with no restriction to the small velocity limit imposed in Sec IV. The extended Poynting theorem is a consequence of the extended Maxwell equations, and because the extended Maxwell equations are Lorentz invariant, it follows that the extended Poynting theorem is also Lorentz invariant. In particular, if the fields and currents in the Poynting theorem are replaced by their Lorentz transformed counterparts, the theorem will remain valid.

We define an energy-momentum density operator to be

$$p^{\mu} = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2c} \gamma^{\mu} \,, \tag{123}$$

so that

$$\overline{\Psi}cp^{0}\Psi = \frac{\epsilon_{0}}{2}\left(|\boldsymbol{E}|^{2} + |c\boldsymbol{B}|^{2}\right) = u, \qquad (124)$$

$$\overline{\Psi} \boldsymbol{p} \Psi = \frac{\mathrm{i}\epsilon_0}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{s}} - \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{s}} \right) = \frac{1}{c^2 \mu_0} \operatorname{Re} \boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{B}^* = \boldsymbol{g}.$$
(125)

Equations (80) and (84) give

$$\partial_{\mu}\overline{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}\Psi = \overline{\Xi}\Psi + \overline{\Psi}\Xi, \qquad (126)$$

or

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{S} = -\operatorname{Re} \boldsymbol{J} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} - \operatorname{Re} \boldsymbol{K} \cdot c \boldsymbol{B}, \qquad (127)$$



FIG. 1: Various depictions of a magnetic dipole field source. (a): A bar magnet. (b): A dual monopole model which produces a longitudinal field. (c): A current loop model which produces a transverse field. (d) A model-independent point source which produces a field that can be longitudinal, transverse, or both.

where

$$\boldsymbol{S} = c^2 \boldsymbol{g} \,, \tag{128}$$

which is just the relativistic extended Poynting theorem.

XI. COMPARISON OF MAGNETIC DIPOLE MOMENT MODELS

Two classical models of the source of the magnetic dipole field associated with a particle are the dual magnetic monopole model and the current loop model. Both of these give the same apparent field away from the source, but the fields are fundamentally different, as are the consequences of the difference. In this section, we examine these differences and the consequences.

A. Longitudinal vs. transverse fields

One of the differences between the dual magnetic monopole model and the current loop model for the source of the dipole field is that the former produces a longitudinal field, while the latter produces a transverse field. These are global properties of the fields, although the origin of the difference is confined to the location of the source.

1. Dual monopole model

For a single magnetic monopole, we assume a longitudinal field of the form

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{\mu_0 m}{4\pi} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|}, \qquad (129)$$

with the corresponding dipole field

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left[\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{L}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}+\frac{\boldsymbol{a}}{2}\right) - \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{L}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\frac{\boldsymbol{a}}{2}\right)\right]_{\boldsymbol{a}\to\boldsymbol{0}}, \qquad (130)$$

where the magnetic moment is

$$\boldsymbol{m} = \boldsymbol{m} \, \boldsymbol{a} \,. \tag{131}$$

The expansion

$$\frac{1}{\left|\boldsymbol{x} \pm \frac{\boldsymbol{a}}{2}\right|} = \frac{1}{\left|\boldsymbol{x}\right|} \pm \frac{\boldsymbol{a}}{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \frac{1}{\left|\boldsymbol{x}\right|} + \dots$$
(132)

yields

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \, \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|} \,, \tag{133}$$

which agrees with Eq. (15). This is the longitudinal field associated with the dual monopole model.

2. Current loop model

Jackson [7] gives the result for this case. We paraphrase that derivation in the following. For a steady state, i.e., $\partial \boldsymbol{E}/\partial t = 0$, Eq. (31) is

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B} = \mu_0 \boldsymbol{J} \,, \tag{134}$$

where \boldsymbol{J} is transverse, because $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{J} = 0$, and the field \boldsymbol{B} is the transverse component, because $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B}^{L} = 0$. From Eq. (A2), we have

$$\boldsymbol{B}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \boldsymbol{\nabla}' \times [\boldsymbol{\nabla}' \times \boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{x}')]$$
$$= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}').$$
(135)

The dipole contribution follows from the expansion

$$\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'|} = \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|} + \frac{\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}'}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^3} + \dots, \qquad (136)$$

where the first term gives no contribution, because

$$\int d\boldsymbol{x}' J^{\mathrm{T}i}(\boldsymbol{x}') = \int d\boldsymbol{x}' \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}' \cdot \boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}') x'^{i} - x'^{i} \boldsymbol{\nabla}' \cdot \boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}') \right] = 0.$$
(137)

The second term gives the dipole contribution

$$\boldsymbol{B}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \, \frac{\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}'}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^{3}} \, \boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}') \,.$$
(138)

We have

$$\boldsymbol{x} \times \left[\boldsymbol{x}' \times \boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}')\right] = \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}') \, \boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}' \, \boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}')$$
(139)

or

$$\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}' \boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}') = -\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x} \times \left[\boldsymbol{x}' \times \boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}') \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}' \boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}') + \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}') \boldsymbol{x}' \right].$$
(140)

The first term on the right-hand-side of Eq. (140) gives the dipole field

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^{3}} \times \boldsymbol{m}\right) = \frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \frac{\boldsymbol{m}}{|\boldsymbol{x}|}\right), \quad (141)$$

where the magnetic dipole moment is

$$\boldsymbol{m} = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \, \boldsymbol{x}' \times \boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}') \,. \tag{142}$$

The second term in Eq. (140) makes no contribution, because

$$\int d\boldsymbol{x}' \left[x'^{i} J^{\mathrm{Tj}}(\boldsymbol{x}') + x'^{j} J^{\mathrm{Ti}}(\boldsymbol{x}') \right] = \int d\boldsymbol{x}' \nabla'^{k} x'^{j} x'^{i} J^{\mathrm{Tk}}(\boldsymbol{x}') - \int d\boldsymbol{x}' x'^{j} x'^{i} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}') = 0. \quad (143)$$

We can also write Eq. (141) as

$$B_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi} \left(\boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \, \boldsymbol{\nabla} - \boldsymbol{m} \, \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2} \right) \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|}$$
$$= B_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \mu_{0} \, \boldsymbol{m} \, \delta(\boldsymbol{x}) \,.$$
(144)

In view of the delta function contained in $B_m^{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{x})$ according to Eq. (16), the total delta function contribution in Eq. (144), $\frac{2}{3}\mu_0 \boldsymbol{m} \delta(\boldsymbol{x})$, is in agreement with the corresponding term in Eq. (5.64) of Jackson [7], based on the current loop model.

B. Comparison of the models

These two models correspond to two different formulations of classical electromagnetism and how they deal with particles with a magnetic dipole moment, such as the electron.

On the one hand, there is the dual monopole model for magnetic dipole moments, where the associated field is longitudinal. In this case, the theoretical framework can be the extended Poynting theorem and the associated extended Maxwell equations. Energetics of magnetic dipole interactions with magnetic field gradients are taken into account by the extended Poynting theorem, and $\nabla \cdot B \neq 0$ in general. We note in passing that the dual magnetic monopole model is not necessary to arrive at this formulation, as shown in Sec. IV which makes no such assumption.

On the other hand, there is the current loop model for the magnetic dipole moment, where the associated field is transverse. The theoretical framework for this model is the classical electrodynamics associated with conventional quantum electrodynamics. In it $\nabla \cdot B = 0$, and a vector potential describes magnetic interactions between particles and fields.

XII. THE POYNTING THEOREM AND CLASSICAL ELECTRODYNAMICS

The electromagnetic energy considerations described by the Poynting theorem may be applied to calculate the interactions of particles with fields, and thereby interactions between particles.

A. Electric interaction between two charged particles

The electric interaction between two charged particles may be obtained from the Poynting theorem. The interaction energy, and thus the force between them, is obtained by calculating the total energy of the combined electric fields of the two particles [7]. The electric field of each particle is

$$\boldsymbol{E}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i}) = -\frac{q_{i}}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i}|}; \qquad i = 1, 2, \qquad (145)$$

which is the field at the point \boldsymbol{x} due to the particle at the point \boldsymbol{x}_i . These fields are longitudinal because $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E}^{\mathrm{L}} = 0$. The energy density is

$$u_{\rm E}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} |\boldsymbol{E}^{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_1) + \boldsymbol{E}^{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_2)|^2$$

= $\frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \left[|\boldsymbol{E}^{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_1)|^2 + 2\boldsymbol{E}^{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_1) \cdot \boldsymbol{E}^{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_2) + |\boldsymbol{E}^{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_2)|^2 \right].$ (146)

The first and third terms on the second line are the individual particle electric self-energy densities, and the second term is the interaction energy density $u_{\rm E}^{\rm I}$. Thus, the total interaction energy $U_{\rm E}^{\rm I}$ is

$$U_{E}^{I} = \frac{q_{1}q_{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}\epsilon_{0}} \int d\boldsymbol{x} \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{1}|} \right] \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{2}|} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{q_{1}q_{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}\epsilon_{0}} \int d\boldsymbol{x} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{1}|} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{2}|}$$

$$= \frac{q_{1}q_{2}}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}} \int d\boldsymbol{x} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{1}|} \delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{2})$$

$$= \frac{q_{1}q_{2}}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}_{2} - \boldsymbol{x}_{1}|}.$$
(147)

For two electrons, this is

$$U_E^{\mathrm{I}} = \frac{\alpha \hbar c}{|\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{x}_1|} = \frac{\alpha \lambda_{\mathrm{e}}}{|\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{x}_1|} m_{\mathrm{e}} c^2, \qquad (148)$$

where $\alpha = e^2/4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c$, \hbar is the Planck constant, and $\lambda_e = \hbar/m_e c$ is the Compton wavelength of the electron. The energy is just the conventional Coulomb interaction energy.

B. Interaction between particles with magnetic moments

The interaction between magnetic dipoles may be considered for both the longitudinal field model and the transverse field model.

1. Longitudinal magnetic dipole interaction

For the longitudinal magnetic interaction of two particles, the extension of the Pointing theorem considered earlier is relevant. It takes into account longitudinal magnetic fields, in analogy with the longitudinal electric fields.

We have

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_{i}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}_{i}) = \frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi} \,\boldsymbol{m}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \,\boldsymbol{\nabla} \,\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{i}|} \quad i=1,2\,, \tag{149}$$

for the longitudinal dipole field at the point \boldsymbol{x} due to the particle at the point \boldsymbol{x}_i . Such longitudinal magnetic fields are excluded by the condition $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0$ associated with the conventional Maxwell equations. The energy density is

$$u_{B^{\rm L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} |c \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_1}^{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_1) + c \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_2}^{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_2)|^2$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \left[|c \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_1}^{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_1)|^2 + 2c \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_1}^{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_1) \cdot c \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_2}^{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_2) + |c \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_2}^{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_2)|^2 \right], (150)$$

where the interaction energy density is

$$u_{B^{\mathrm{L}}}^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \epsilon_0 c^2 \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_1}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_1) \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_2}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_2).$$
(151)

Thus, the total interaction energy is

$$U_{B^{\rm L}}^{\rm I} = \frac{\mu_0}{(4\pi)^2} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \left[\boldsymbol{m}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \,\boldsymbol{\nabla} \,\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_1|} \right] \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{m}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \,\boldsymbol{\nabla} \,\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_2|} \right] \\ = \frac{\mu_0}{(4\pi)^2} \,\boldsymbol{m}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_1 \,\boldsymbol{m}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_2 \,\int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla} \,\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_1|} \right] \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla} \,\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_2|} \right] \\ = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \,\boldsymbol{m}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_1 \,\boldsymbol{m}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_2 \,\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{x}_1|} \,.$$
(152)

For $|\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{x}_1| > 0$, differentiation yields

$$U_{B^{\rm L}}^{\rm I} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{\boldsymbol{m}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_2 - 3\,\boldsymbol{m}_1 \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{21}\,\boldsymbol{m}_2 \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{21}}{|\boldsymbol{x}_{21}|^3}\,,\tag{153}$$

where $x_{21} = x_2 - x_1$.

This expression gives the proper form of the interaction of two classical dipoles. In particular, the sign is correct as shown by the following considerations. If two magnetic moments, or magnets, are side by side pointing the same direction perpendicular to their separation, then $\boldsymbol{m}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_2 = m_1 m_2 > 0$, $\boldsymbol{m}_i \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{21} = 0$, and

$$U_{B^{\rm L}}^{\rm I} \to \frac{\mu_0 \, m_1 m_2}{4\pi \, x_{21}^3},$$
 (154)

which means that the energy in the field increases if the magnets are moved closer together, so work is done against a repulsive force, consistent with experience with magnets. Similarly, if the moments are pointing in the same direction and are collinear, then $\boldsymbol{m}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_2 = m_1 m_2$, $\boldsymbol{m}_1 \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{21} \boldsymbol{m}_2 \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{21} = m_1 m_2$, with $m_1 m_2 > 0$, and

$$U_{B^{\rm L}}^{\rm I} \to -\frac{\mu_0 \, m_1 m_2}{2\pi \, x_{21}^3} \,,$$
 (155)

corresponding to an attractive force, as expected.

There is also a contact delta function interaction between the dipole moments. The integral over \boldsymbol{x}_2 of Eq. (152) for a sphere of radius R, centered at \boldsymbol{x}_1 is

$$\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int_R \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}_2 \, U_{B^{\mathrm{L}}}^{\mathrm{I}} = -\frac{\mu_0}{12\pi} \, \boldsymbol{m}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_2 \int_R \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}_2 \, \boldsymbol{\nabla}_2^2 \, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{x}_1|} = \frac{\mu_0}{3} \, \boldsymbol{m}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_2 \,, \tag{156}$$

so the total is

$$U_{B^{\rm L}}^{\rm I} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{m}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_2 - 3\,\boldsymbol{m}_1 \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{21}\,\boldsymbol{m}_2 \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{21}}{x_{21}^3} + \frac{4\pi}{3}\,\boldsymbol{m}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_2\,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{x}_1) \right]$$
(157)

We note that the coefficient of the delta function in Eq. (157) differs by a factor of -2 from the corresponding expression in Eq. (5.73) given by Jackson [7]. The reason is that the expression in that equation is based on the current loop model for the magnetic moment source, while Eq. (157) is not. This equation is not in conflict with the hyperfine interaction in quantum electrodynamics (QED), because the classical dipole contact interaction is not the source of the delta function in the nonrelativistic hyperfine Hamiltonian. Instead, the delta function is a surface term that results from the nonrelativistic reduction of the Dirac equation, as shown in Sec. XIII C.

2. Transverse magnetic dipole interaction

Here, we carry out the same calculation as in the previous section with transverse magnetic fields rather than with longitudinal magnetic fields. We have from Eq. (144)

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_{i}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{i}) = \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_{i}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{i}) + \mu_{0} \boldsymbol{m}_{i} \,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{i}), \qquad (158)$$

and the term corresponding to the interaction energy density is

$$u_{B^{\mathrm{T}}}^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \epsilon_{0}c^{2}\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_{1}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}_{1}) \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_{2}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}_{2})$$

$$= \epsilon_{0}c^{2}\left\{\left[\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_{1}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}_{1}) + \mu_{0}\,\boldsymbol{m}_{1}\,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{1})\right] \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_{2}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}_{2}) + \mu_{0}\,\boldsymbol{m}_{2}\,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{2})\right]\right\}$$

$$= u_{B^{\mathrm{L}}}^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_{1}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}_{1}) \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_{2}\,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{2}) + \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_{2}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}_{2}) \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_{1}\,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{1})$$

$$+ \mu_{0}\,\boldsymbol{m}_{1}\cdot\boldsymbol{m}_{2}\,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{1})\,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{2})\,. \tag{159}$$

Integration over \boldsymbol{x} yields [see Eqs. (149) and (152)]

$$U_{B^{\rm T}}^{\rm I} = U_{B^{\rm L}}^{\rm I} + \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_1}^{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{x}_2, \boldsymbol{x}_1) \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_2 + \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_2}^{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2) \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_1 + \mu_0 \, \boldsymbol{m}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_2 \, \delta(\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{x}_1)$$

$$= -U_{B^{\rm L}}^{\rm I} + \mu_0 \, \boldsymbol{m}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_2 \, \delta(\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{x}_1) \,.$$
(160)

We thus have

$$U_{B^{\mathrm{T}}}^{\mathrm{I}} = -\frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi} \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_{2} - 3\,\boldsymbol{m}_{1} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{21}\,\boldsymbol{m}_{2} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{21}}{x_{21}^{3}} - \frac{8\pi}{3}\,\boldsymbol{m}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_{2}\,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}_{2} - \boldsymbol{x}_{1}) \right]$$
(161)

This is essentially in agreement with the hyperfine Hamiltonian given by Eq. (5.73) in Jackson [7], but it has the opposite sign. Moreover, the sign of the interaction energy is the opposite of what is observed with classical magnets, which, in contrast, is given correctly by the magnetic monopole model in Sec. XII B 1. This difference in sign is explained in Sec. XIV.

C. Electric self energy

Considerations of the electric field of an electron as the source of its mass date back to Thompson in 1881 [29]. Subsequent work examined various dynamical effects that would contribute to such a mass. Most recently, particle masses are attributed to the Higgs mechanism. Here we consider the mass equivalent of the electric field energy as given by the Poynting theorem. For a point charge, this mass is infinite due to the singularity at the location of the electron, so we consider the field energy with a lower cutoff x_c , as a function of the cutoff.

The self energy density, as appears in Eq. (146) for example, is given by

$$u_{\rm ESE}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \left| \boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right|, \qquad (162)$$

where $\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{x})$ denotes $\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{x},0)$ and

$$\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{e}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \,\boldsymbol{\nabla} \,\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|} = -\frac{e}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \,\frac{\boldsymbol{x}}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^3} \,. \tag{163}$$

Thus, the electric field energy is

$$U_{\rm ESE}(x_{\rm c}) = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \left(\frac{e}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\right)^2 \int_{x>x_{\rm c}} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^4} = \frac{\alpha\lambda_{\rm C}}{2\,x_{\rm c}} \, m_{\rm e}c^2 \,. \tag{164}$$

For $x_{\rm c} = r_0 = \alpha \lambda_{\rm C}$, the classical electron radius, the field-energy mass equivalent is $m_{\rm e}/2$, which is the mass of the electron up to a factor of 1/2. However, this radius is too small compared to the radius of vacuum quantum fluctuations for the electric field energy to be a plausible source of the electron mass.

At the substantially larger radius $x_c = n^2 a_0 = n^2 \lambda_C / \alpha$, the Bohr radius of an electron in an atomic bound state with quantum number n, the electric field energy is

$$U_{\rm ESE}(n^2 a_0) = \frac{\alpha^2}{2 n^2} m_{\rm e} c^2 \,. \tag{165}$$

This is exactly the nonrelativistic binding energy of an electron in a static Coulomb field. A simple classical model the bound electron could be taken to be a spherical shell of charge with radius n^2a_0 . This would shield the proton's electric field for $x > n^2a_0$. Removing the electron would require providing the energy to create the electric field for $x > n^2a_0$, which is the same as the binding energy of the electron.

D. Magnetic self energy

Next, we consider the energy in the field of the magnetic dipole moment of an electron. As with the electric field of the electron, the magnetic field energy is infinite for a point source, so only the contribution for $x > x_c$ is considered. The magnetic energy density is

$$u_{\rm BSE}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} |c\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{x})|^2$$
(166)

and

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \, \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \, \frac{3\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}) - \boldsymbol{m}}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^3} \,. \tag{167}$$

Since the delta function at the origin is excluded, we have $\nabla \times B_m(x) = 0$, so the magnetic field is essentially longitudinal. The cutoff energy is

$$U_{\rm BSE}(x_{\rm c}) = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \left(\frac{\mu_0 c}{4\pi}\right)^2 \int_{x > x_{\rm c}} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \, \frac{3(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \cdot \boldsymbol{m})^2 + \boldsymbol{m}^2}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^6} = \frac{\mu_0 \, \boldsymbol{m}^2}{12\pi x_{\rm c}^3} \,. \tag{168}$$

For an electron, $|\mathbf{m}| = (g_{\rm e}/2)\mu_{\rm B}$, where $g_{\rm e} \approx 2$ is the electron g-factor and $\mu_{\rm B} = e\hbar/2m_{\rm e}$ is the Bohr magneton. We thus have (assuming $g_{\rm e} = 2$)

$$U_{\rm BSE}(x_{\rm c}) = \frac{\alpha}{12} \left(\frac{\lambda_{\rm C}}{x_{\rm c}}\right)^3 m_{\rm e}c^2, \qquad (169)$$

which gives

$$U_{\rm BSE}(a_0) = \frac{\alpha^4}{12} m_{\rm e} c^2 , \qquad (170)$$

which is the order of magnitude of magnetic effects on the energy of an electron bound in a hydrogen atom, and

$$U_{\rm BSE}(\lambda_{\rm C}/12) \approx m_{\rm e}c^2.$$
(171)

Evidently, for the electron, seemingly small magnetic field effects may be larger than the electric field effects due to the stronger field near the nucleus. The cutoff of $\lambda_{\rm C}/12$ is plausible, because nonperturbative vacuum fluctuations can be expected to mitigate the divergence of the field closer to the location of the nucleus.

It is of interest to consider the corresponding effect for a muon. In this case, the mass is about 207 times larger and the magnetic moment is about 207 times smaller, for a change in the relative magnetic field energy by a factor of $(1/207)^3$. However, if the cutoff is taken to be proportional to the Compton wavelength of the muon, which is about $\lambda_{\rm C}/207$, the $x_{\rm c}^{-3}$ behavior of the energy compensates for these effects, with the result that

$$U_{\rm BSE}(\lambda_{\mu}/12) \approx m_{\mu}c^2. \qquad (172)$$

As mentioned above, the mass of elementary particles is currently considered to be due to the Higgs mechanism. On the other hand, it is hard to ignore the energy in the electric and magnetic fields given by the Poynting theorem. These are seemingly non-local effects and the 2022 Nobel prize on the violation of Bell inequalities suggests that quantum mechanics allows such effects.

XIII. THE POYNTING THEOREM AND THE DIRAC EQUATION

Interactions of electrons with external electromagnetic fields are generally described by including scalar and/or vector potentials in the Dirac equation. This is implemented by the

minimal coupling substitution

$$p^{\mu} \to p^{\mu} + e A^{\mu} \,, \tag{173}$$

where -e is the charge of the electron and A^{μ} is the four-vector potential of the external fields, with electrostatic component $A^0 = \Phi/c$ and three-vector potential A. However, the interactions may also be derived by employing only electric and magnetic fields, as described in this section.

The Dirac equation for a free electron is

$$\left[c\,\boldsymbol{\alpha}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}+\beta\,m_{\rm e}c^2-E_0\right]\phi(\boldsymbol{x})=0\,,\tag{174}$$

where ϕ is the normalized four-component wave function, E_0 is the energy of the state, $\mathbf{p} = -i\hbar \nabla$, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and β are 4×4 Dirac matrices

$$\alpha_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}; \qquad \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}; \qquad \boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix};$$
$$\mathbf{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \qquad \mathbf{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (175)$$

and where σ denotes a vector of Pauli matrices, with components given by

$$\sigma^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \sigma^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \sigma^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (176)

We thus have

$$E_0 = \int d\boldsymbol{x} \, \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \left[c \, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} + \beta \, m_{\rm e} c^2 \right] \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \,. \tag{177}$$

A. External electric field

The charge density ρ_{ϕ} associated with ϕ is

$$\rho_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -e \,\phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \tag{178}$$

which corresponds to a longitudinal electric field from [see Eq. (45)]

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{E}_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{e}{\epsilon_0} \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \phi(\boldsymbol{x})$$
(179)

or [see Eq. (A3)]

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{e}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}') \phi(\boldsymbol{x}') \,. \tag{180}$$

Similarly, a charge density ρ_{ex} is associated with an external field E_{ex} by the relation

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{E}_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \,\rho_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{181}$$

or

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \rho_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}') \,. \tag{182}$$

There is no contribution to the total energy in Eq. (185) from an external transverse field because of the orthogonality given by Eq. (A6).

The energy density of the combined fields is

$$u_E(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \left| \boldsymbol{E}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right|^2, \qquad (183)$$

the interaction energy density is

$$u_E^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \epsilon_0 \, \boldsymbol{E}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \qquad (184)$$

and so the total interaction energy is

$$U_E^{\mathrm{I}} = -\frac{e}{(4\pi)^2 \epsilon_0} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}') \phi(\boldsymbol{x}') \right] \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}'' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}''|} \rho_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}'') \right]$$
$$= -\frac{e}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \rho_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}')$$
$$= -e \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \Phi_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) , \qquad (185)$$

where

$$\Phi_{\rm ex}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int d\boldsymbol{x}' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \rho_{\rm ex}(\boldsymbol{x}') \,. \tag{186}$$

Inclusion of the interaction energy given by Eq. (185) in Eq. (177) gives

$$E_0 + U_E^{\mathrm{I}} = \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \,\phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \left[c \,\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} + \beta \, m_{\mathrm{e}} c^2 - e \, \Phi_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right] \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \,. \tag{187}$$

Because

$$E_0 = \int d\boldsymbol{x} \, \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \, c \, p^0 \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \qquad (188)$$

the external potential term in Eq. (187) could also be inserted by the substitution

$$p^{0} \to p^{0} + e A^{0}(\boldsymbol{x}) = p^{0} + \frac{e}{c} \Phi_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}),$$
 (189)

as in Eq. (173).

B. External magnetic field

1. Transverse magnetic field

The charge current density corresponding to the state ϕ is

$$\boldsymbol{j}_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -ec \,\phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\boldsymbol{\alpha} \,\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \qquad (190)$$

which is transverse, because

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\boldsymbol{\alpha} \,\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \left[\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{\nabla}} + \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \right] \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0 \,, \tag{191}$$

which follows from the difference between the expression

$$\phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \left[-\mathrm{i}\hbar c \,\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} + \beta \, m_{\mathrm{e}}c^{2} - e \, \Phi_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right] \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{192}$$

and its adjoint

$$\phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \left[i\hbar c \,\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{\nabla}} + \beta \, m_{\rm e} c^2 - e \, \Phi_{\rm ex}(\boldsymbol{x})(\boldsymbol{x}) \right] \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{193}$$

which vanishes because they are equal. The current is the source of a transverse magnetic field that satisfies [see Eq. (31)]

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B}_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -e\mu_0 c \,\phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\boldsymbol{\alpha} \,\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \tag{194}$$

so that [see Eq. (A2)]

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{e\mu_{0}c}{4\pi} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \, \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}') \, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \, \phi(\boldsymbol{x}') \,.$$
(195)

An external current density $\boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ with an associated field $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ are related by

$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}_{ex}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mu_0 \, \boldsymbol{j}_{ex}(\boldsymbol{x})$$
 (196)

and

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \, \boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}') \,. \tag{197}$$

According to the Poynting theorem, the energy density associated with both magnetic fields is

$$u_{\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{T}}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\epsilon_0 c^2}{2} \left| \boldsymbol{B}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right|^2, \qquad (198)$$

where the interaction term is

$$u_{B^{\mathrm{T}}}^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \epsilon_0 c^2 \boldsymbol{B}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}), \qquad (199)$$

and the interaction energy is

$$U_{B^{\mathrm{T}}}^{\mathrm{I}} = -\frac{e\mu_{0}c}{(4\pi)^{2}} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}'' \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \frac{\phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}')\,\boldsymbol{\alpha}\,\phi(\boldsymbol{x}')}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'|} \right] \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \frac{\boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}'')}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}''|} \right]$$
$$= -\frac{e\mu_{0}c}{(4\pi)^{2}} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}'' \,\frac{\boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}'')}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}''|} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \frac{\phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}')\,\boldsymbol{\alpha}\,\phi(\boldsymbol{x}')}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'|} \,. \tag{200}$$

In Eq. (200), on the first line, the differentiations act on the terms that follow on the right within the square brackets, and the second line follows from integration by parts. From the identity

$$(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{c} \times \boldsymbol{d}) = (\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{c})(\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{d}) - (\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{d})(\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}), \qquad (201)$$

we have

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{j}_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}'')}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}''|} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \frac{\phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}') \,\boldsymbol{\alpha} \,\phi(\boldsymbol{x}')}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'|} = \frac{\boldsymbol{j}_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}'')}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}''|} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \,\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \frac{\phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}') \,\boldsymbol{\alpha} \,\phi(\boldsymbol{x}')}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'|} - \frac{\boldsymbol{j}_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}'')}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}''|} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \, \frac{\phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}') \,\boldsymbol{\alpha} \,\phi(\boldsymbol{x}')}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'|} \rightarrow 4\pi \, \frac{\boldsymbol{j}_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}'')}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}''|} \cdot \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}') \,\boldsymbol{\alpha} \,\phi(\boldsymbol{x}') \,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}') \,. \quad (202)$$

The first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (202) vanishes when integrated over x':

$$\int d\mathbf{x}' \, \nabla \cdot \frac{\phi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}') \, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \, \phi(\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} = -\int d\mathbf{x}' \phi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}') \, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \, \phi(\mathbf{x}') \cdot \nabla' \, \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|}$$
$$= \int d\mathbf{x}' \, \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \, \nabla' \cdot \phi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}') \, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \, \phi(\mathbf{x}') = 0 \,, \qquad (203)$$

according to Eq. (191). We thus have

$$U_{B^{\mathrm{T}}}^{\mathrm{I}} = -\frac{e\mu_{0}c}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \, \frac{\boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}')}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \cdot \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \, \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \,.$$
(204)

If we write this as

$$U_{B^{\mathrm{T}}}^{\mathrm{I}} = -ec \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \,\phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \qquad (205)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int d\boldsymbol{x}' \, \frac{\boldsymbol{j}_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}')}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \,, \qquad (206)$$

then this corresponds to a perturbation given by

$$-ec \, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}_{ex}(\boldsymbol{x})$$
 (207)

in Eq. (187), where the expression in Eq. (206) is the same as the vector potential associated with the external current \mathbf{j}_{ex} . However the sign of the perturbation in Eq. (207) is the opposite of that given by the minimal substitution in Eq. (173), which gives a perturbation of

$$+ec \, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,.$$
 (208)

Thus, in order to arrive at the correct Dirac equation that includes external fields, we write

$$E_0 + U_E^{\mathrm{I}} - U_{B^{\mathrm{T}}}^{\mathrm{I}} = \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \,\phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \left[c \,\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} + \beta \,m_{\mathrm{e}}c^2 - e \,\Phi_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + ec \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right] \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \,. \tag{209}$$

This sign difference is related to the sign difference in Sec. XIIB2 and is discussed in Sec. XIV.

2. Longitudinal magnetic field

According to the extended Maxwell equations, a longitudinal magnetic field associated with the Dirac equation is defined by [see Eq. (50)]

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot c \boldsymbol{B}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\sigma_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\epsilon_{0}}, \qquad (210)$$

so that [see Eq. (A3)]

$$c\boldsymbol{B}^{\mathrm{L}}_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \, \frac{\sigma_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}')}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|}, \qquad (211)$$

where the source σ_{ϕ} is given below. Similarly, a magnetic moment density σ_{ex} is associated with a longitudinal external field B_{ex}^{L} by the relation

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot c\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\epsilon_0}$$
(212)

or

$$c\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \, \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}')}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \,.$$
(213)

The energy density of the combined fields is

$$u_{B^{\mathrm{L}}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \left| c \boldsymbol{B}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + c \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right|^2, \qquad (214)$$

the interaction energy density is

$$u_{B^{\mathrm{L}}}^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \epsilon_0 c^2 \, \boldsymbol{B}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \qquad (215)$$

and so the total interaction energy is

$$U_{B^{\rm L}}^{\rm I} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2 \epsilon_0} \int d\boldsymbol{x} \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla} \int d\boldsymbol{x}' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \, \sigma_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}') \right] \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla} \int d\boldsymbol{x}'' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}''|} \, \sigma_{\rm ex}(\boldsymbol{x}'') \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int d\boldsymbol{x} \, \sigma_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) \int d\boldsymbol{x}' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \, \sigma_{\rm ex}(\boldsymbol{x}') \,.$$
(216)

Here, we consider the dipole interaction with an external magnetic moment $m_{\rm ex}$, located at x_0 , given by

$$\sigma_{\rm ex}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{1}{c} \boldsymbol{m}_{\rm ex} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0) = \frac{1}{c} \,\delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0) \,\boldsymbol{m}_{\rm ex} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\,, \qquad (217)$$

where the second equality indicates integration by parts, and

$$\int d\boldsymbol{x}' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \sigma_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}') = \frac{1}{c} \boldsymbol{m}_{\text{ex}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0|} = -\frac{1}{c} \boldsymbol{m}_{\text{ex}} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0|^3}.$$
 (218)

We suggest the convention

$$\sigma_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) = e \, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha} \, \phi(\boldsymbol{x})$$
$$= -e \, \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha} \, \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}$$
$$= \frac{1}{c} \, \boldsymbol{m}_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \,, \qquad (219)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{m}_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) = ec \, \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha} \, \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{220}$$

is the magnetic moment density. This is a plausible assignment which has the nonrelativistic form given by (see Appendix C)

$$\boldsymbol{m}_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) \rightarrow \frac{e}{m_{\mathrm{e}}} \varphi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \left(\boldsymbol{L} + 2\boldsymbol{S} \right) \varphi(\boldsymbol{x}),$$
 (221)

where

$$\boldsymbol{L} = \boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{p}; \qquad \boldsymbol{S} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \qquad (222)$$

based on

$$\int d\boldsymbol{x} \, \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \, (\boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \, \phi(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{1}{2m_{e}c} \int d\boldsymbol{x} \, \varphi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \left[(\boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} \, (\boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \right] \varphi(\boldsymbol{x})$$
(223)

and the identity

$$(\boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} \left(\boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) = 2 \left(\boldsymbol{L} + 2\boldsymbol{S} \right) .$$
(224)

We thus have

$$U_{B^{\rm L}}^{\rm I} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \int d\boldsymbol{x} \, \boldsymbol{m}_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \, \boldsymbol{m}_{\rm ex} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0|^3} \,.$$
$$= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int d\boldsymbol{x} \, \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_{\rm ex}}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0|^3} - 3 \, \frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0) \, \boldsymbol{m}_{\rm ex} \cdot (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0)}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0|^5} \right]$$
(225)

Inclusion of this interaction energy in the Dirac equation gives

$$E_0 + U_{B^{\rm L}}^{\rm I} = \int d\boldsymbol{x} \, \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \left[c \, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} + \beta \, m_{\rm e} c^2 + ec \, \boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{O}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right] \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \qquad (226)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{O}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{\text{ex}}}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0|^3} - 3 \frac{(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0) \, \boldsymbol{m}_{\text{ex}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0)}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0|^5} \right].$$
(227)

C. Hyperfine structure

An example of an interaction in the Dirac equation is the hyperfine structure correction (Fermi [30]). This well-known example is included to illustrate the source of the contact interaction in the nonrelativistic approximation. The correction arises from the interaction of the bound electron with the magnetic moment m_N of the nucleus where

$$\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{N}} = g_{\mathrm{N}} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{N}} \boldsymbol{I} \,. \tag{228}$$

Here, $g_{\rm N}$ is the *g*-factor of the nucleus, I is its angular momentum, and $\mu_{\rm N} = e\hbar/2m_{\rm p}$ is the nuclear magneton, with the proton mass $m_{\rm p}$.

The conventional Hamiltonian for the transverse hyperfine interaction is

$$H_{\rm hfs}^{\rm T}(\boldsymbol{x}) = ec\,\boldsymbol{\alpha}\cdot\boldsymbol{A}_{\rm hfs}(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{229}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{\rm hfs}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{\rm N} \times \boldsymbol{x}}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^3} = -\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \, \boldsymbol{m}_{\rm N} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla} \, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|}$$
(230)

and

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{N}}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{hfs}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi} \left(\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{N}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \, \boldsymbol{\nabla} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{N}} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2} \right) \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|}, \qquad (231)$$

in agreement with Eq. (144). We thus have

$$H_{\rm hfs}^{\rm T}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{e\mu_0 c}{4\pi} \frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{\rm N} \cdot (\boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^3} \,.$$
(232)

For the longitudinal hyperfine interaction, the external particle is located at the origin $\boldsymbol{x}_0 = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{m}_{\text{ex}} = \boldsymbol{m}_{\text{N}}$ in Eq. (227), which gives the interaction Hamiltonian

$$H_{\rm hfs}^{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{e\mu_0 c}{4\pi} \frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{\rm N} \cdot (\boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^3} \,.$$
(233)

The second term in Eq. (227) does not contribute when $\boldsymbol{x}_0 = 0$ due to the orthogonality to $\boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Evidently, the extended Poynting theorem result based on the field energy gives the same result as the conventional treatment for the hyperfine correction. However, in this case, we have

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{N}}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}c^{2}} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \,\delta\left(\boldsymbol{x}'\right) \boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{N}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \\ = \frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi} \,\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{N}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \,\boldsymbol{\nabla} \,\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|} \,, \qquad (234)$$

in agreement with Eq. (15).

An unperturbed eigenfunction of the Dirac equation with an external spherically symmetric binding field, as in Eq. (187), can be written as (see for example [31])

$$\phi_{n\kappa\mu}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x)\chi^{\mu}_{\kappa}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \\ \mathrm{i}f_2(x)\chi^{\mu}_{-\kappa}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (235)$$

where f_1 and f_2 are radial wavefunctions with $x = |\mathbf{x}|$ and $\chi^{\mu}_{\kappa}(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$ is the two-component Dirac spin-angle function, with the property that

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \chi^{\mu}_{\kappa}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) = -\chi^{\mu}_{-\kappa}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}).$$
(236)

In Eq. (235), κ is the Dirac angular-momentum-parity quantum number with angularmomentum quantum number $j = |\kappa| - 1/2$, and μ is the z projection of the angular momentum. Thus the hyperfine matrix element is given by

$$\phi_{n\kappa\mu}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \frac{\boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha}}{x^{3}} \, \phi_{n\kappa\mu'}(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ = \mathrm{i} \, \frac{f_{1}(x)f_{2}(x)}{x^{3}} \left[\chi_{\kappa}^{\mu\dagger}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \, \boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{\sigma} \, \chi_{-\kappa}^{\mu'}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) - \chi_{-\kappa}^{\mu\dagger}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \, \boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{\sigma} \, \chi_{\kappa}^{\mu'}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \right] \\ = -2 \, \frac{f_{1}(x)f_{2}(x)}{x^{2}} \, \chi_{\kappa}^{\mu\dagger}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \, (\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \, \chi_{\kappa}^{\mu'}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \,, \qquad (237)$$

which follows from the identity

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \times \boldsymbol{\sigma} \, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \, \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \times \boldsymbol{\sigma} = -2 \,\mathrm{i} \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \right) \,, \tag{238}$$

and

$$\langle n\kappa\mu | H_{\rm hfs} | n\kappa\mu' \rangle = -\frac{e\mu_0 c}{2\pi} \int d\boldsymbol{x} \, \frac{f_1(\boldsymbol{x}) f_2(\boldsymbol{x})}{x^2} \, \boldsymbol{m}_{\rm N} \cdot \chi_{\kappa}^{\mu\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}\right) \chi_{\kappa}^{\mu'}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,. \tag{239}$$

For the 1S state $(\kappa = -1, \mu = \pm \frac{1}{2})$,

$$\chi_{-1}^{\mu}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} |\mu\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + \mu \\ \frac{1}{2} - \mu \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (240)$$

so that

$$\int d\Omega \,\chi_{-1}^{\mu\dagger}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \,\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)\chi_{-1}^{\mu'}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) = \frac{2}{3}\,\langle\mu|\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}\,|\mu'\rangle \,\,. \tag{241}$$

With $\boldsymbol{s} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}/2$, and the nucleus is the proton with spin $\frac{1}{2}$, this gives

$$\langle H_{\rm hfs} \rangle = -\frac{4\alpha g_{\rm p} \hbar^2}{3m_{\rm p}} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x \, f_1(x) f_2(x) \, \langle \boldsymbol{I} \cdot \boldsymbol{s} \rangle \,. \tag{242}$$

Since F = I + s, we have $I \cdot s = \frac{1}{2} (F^2 - I^2 - s^2)$ so that

$$\langle \boldsymbol{I} \cdot \boldsymbol{s} \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} & \text{for } F = 1\\ -\frac{3}{4} & \text{for } F = 0 \end{cases}$$
(243)

and for the splitting

$$\Delta E_{\rm hfs} = -\frac{4\alpha g_{\rm p} \hbar^2}{3m_{\rm p}} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x \, f_1(x) f_2(x) \,. \tag{244}$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x \, f_1(x) f_2(x) \; = \; -\frac{(Z\alpha)^3}{a(2a-1)\lambda^2} \to -\frac{(Z\alpha)^3}{\lambda^2} \,, \tag{245}$$

where $a = \sqrt{1 - (Z\alpha)^2}$. Although Z = 1 for the proton, we retain the charge number to show the Z dependence. This is not a contact interaction, but rather the result of a direct calculation which gives the nonrelativistic limit as the leading term as $Z\alpha \to 0$. On the other hand, the same result may be viewed a contact interaction in the nonrelativistic limit. In this case, the contact term arises as a surface term in the integral. In the nonrelativistic limit (see Appendix C)

$$f_1(x) \to f(x), \qquad (246)$$

$$f_2(x) \rightarrow \frac{\lambda_{\rm e}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x),$$
 (247)

so that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x \, f_{1}(x) f_{2}(x) \to \frac{\lambda_{\mathrm{e}}}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x \, f(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x) = \frac{\lambda_{\mathrm{e}}}{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x \, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f^{2}(x)$$
$$= -\frac{\lambda_{\mathrm{e}}}{4} f^{2}(0) = -\frac{(Z\alpha)^{3}}{\lambda^{2}} \tag{248}$$

which follows from Eq. (D10) and agrees with Eq. (245). We also have

$$\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(x)\chi_{-1}^{\mu}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}); \qquad |\varphi(\boldsymbol{x})|^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi} |f(x)|^2$$
(249)

and

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x \, f_1(x) f_2(x) \to -\pi \lambda_\mathrm{e} |\varphi(0)|^2 \,. \tag{250}$$

Thus

$$\Delta E_{\rm hfs} = \frac{4\pi\alpha}{3} \frac{g_{\rm p}\hbar^2}{m_{\rm p}} \,\lambda_{\rm e} \,|\varphi(0)|^2 \,, \qquad (251)$$

which gives as the leading 1S-state splitting in hydrogen

$$\Delta E_{\rm hfs} = \frac{4\alpha^4}{3} \frac{g_{\rm p} m_{\rm e}}{m_{\rm p}} m_{\rm e} c^2 = 5.877 \times 10^{-6} \,\,{\rm eV}\,, \qquad (252)$$

corresponding to a wavelength of 21 cm.

Because the contact term in Eq. (251) can be written as the surface term in the nonrelativistic reduction of the Dirac hyperfine expression involving an integral over all space, there is no reason to ascribe physical significance to the contact interaction. Moreover, the Dirac wavefunctions near the origin include a negative power of the radial coordinate (see Appendix D), so the exact relativistic expression does not allow for a contact interaction.

XIV. THE POYNTING THEOREM AND QUANTUM ELECTRODYNAMICS (QED)

In the Furry picture of bound-state quantum electrodynamics, the electron field is expressed in terms of creation and annihilation operators for eigenstates of the electron in a static binding field [25, 32, 33]. In this formulation, the interaction between the particle current and the potential associated with the electromagnetic field is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = j_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x})A^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -ec\,\phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x})\alpha_{\mu}\phi(\boldsymbol{x})A^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x})\,, \qquad (253)$$

which is a local interaction at the point \boldsymbol{x} . This interaction is based on the external field Dirac equation, which may be written as

$$\phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \left[cp^{0} - c\,\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} - \beta\,m_{e}c^{2} + e\,\Phi_{ex}(\boldsymbol{x}) - ec\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}_{ex}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right] \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0\,, \qquad (254)$$

where the external field interactions are based in turn on the minimal coupling substitution in Eq. (173).

Alternatively, the interaction may be described by considering the energy of the combined fields produced by the current and by the fields corresponding to A^{μ} , as suggested by the Poynting theorem. However, as shown below, when expressed in terms of the fields, the interaction energy density corresponds to $|\mathbf{E}|^2 - |c\mathbf{B}|^2$. Obviously, this is in disagreement with the interaction energy density corresponding to $|\mathbf{E}|^2 + |c\mathbf{B}|^2$ suggested by the extended Poynting theorem. The source of this difference is linked to the fact that the magnetic interactions in QED are based on transverse fields. This may be seen in the discussion of Sec. XII B 2 where the sign difference already appears in the classical interactions of particles with magnetic moments. It also appears in magnetic field interactions in the Dirac equation in Sec. XIII B 1. This means that in order to calculate magnetic interactions of particles by integration of the field energies, the magnetic interaction energy must be taken to be $-\epsilon_0 |c\mathbf{B}|^2$.

A. External field interaction

Here we consider the explicit example in which fields produced by an electron interact with fields produced by an external source.

1. Electric interactions

Electric interactions are given by the $\mu = 0$ term in Eq. (253), where

$$j_0(\boldsymbol{x}) = -ec \,\phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = c \,\rho_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \qquad (255)$$

$$A_{\rm ex}^{0}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{c} \, \varPhi_{\rm ex}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}c} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \, \frac{\rho_{\rm ex}(\boldsymbol{x}')}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \,.$$
(256)

These expressions yield

$$\int d\boldsymbol{x} \, j_0(\boldsymbol{x}) \, A^0_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int d\boldsymbol{x} \int d\boldsymbol{x}' \, \rho_\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \, \rho_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}')$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int d\boldsymbol{x} \int d\boldsymbol{x}' \int d\boldsymbol{x}'' \, \rho_\phi(\boldsymbol{x}'') \, \frac{\delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'')}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \, \rho_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}')$$

$$= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2\epsilon_0} \int d\boldsymbol{x} \int d\boldsymbol{x}' \int d\boldsymbol{x}' \, \int d\boldsymbol{x}'' \, \rho_\phi(\boldsymbol{x}'') \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}''|}\right) \, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \, \rho_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}')$$

$$= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2\epsilon_0} \int d\boldsymbol{x} \int d\boldsymbol{x}' \int d\boldsymbol{x}'' \, \rho_\phi(\boldsymbol{x}'') \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}''|} \, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \, \rho_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}')$$

$$= \epsilon_0 \int d\boldsymbol{x} \, \boldsymbol{E}_\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{E}_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,. \qquad (257)$$

2. Magnetic interactions

The magnetic interaction is given by the three-vector terms in Eq. (253) where

$$\boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -ec \,\phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\boldsymbol{\alpha} \,\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \qquad (258)$$

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \, \frac{\boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}')}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \,, \tag{259}$$

and

$$-\int d\boldsymbol{x} \, \boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{A}_{ex}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{e\mu_0 c}{4\pi} \int d\boldsymbol{x} \int d\boldsymbol{x}' \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \, \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \, \boldsymbol{j}_{ex}(\boldsymbol{x}') \,. \tag{260}$$

This is just Eq. (204), so by reversing the steps to Eq. (199), we conclude that

$$-\int d\boldsymbol{x} \, \boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{A}_{ex}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\epsilon_0 \int d\boldsymbol{x} \, c \boldsymbol{B}_{\phi}^{T}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot c \boldsymbol{B}_{ex}^{T}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,.$$
(261)

We thus have

$$\int d\boldsymbol{x} \left[j_0(\boldsymbol{x}) A_{\text{ex}}^0(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{A}_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right]$$
$$= \epsilon_0 \int d\boldsymbol{x} \left[\boldsymbol{E}_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{E}_{\text{ex}}(\boldsymbol{x}) - c \boldsymbol{B}_{\phi}^{\text{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot c \boldsymbol{B}_{\text{ex}}^{\text{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right] , \qquad (262)$$

which is the interaction part of

$$\frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \int d\boldsymbol{x} \left[|\boldsymbol{E}_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{E}_{ex}(\boldsymbol{x})|^2 - |c\boldsymbol{B}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + c\boldsymbol{B}_{ex}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x})|^2 \right]$$
$$= \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \int d\boldsymbol{x} \left[|\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{x})|^2 - |c\boldsymbol{B}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x})|^2 \right].$$
(263)

B. One-photon exchange

An example of an exact QED expression is the one-photon interaction between two electrons bound in an atom. With a highly-charged nucleus, as a first approximation the two electrons may be taken to be hydrogenic product states where the binding to the charged nucleus is much stronger than the electron-electron interaction. The one-photon interaction takes the same form as the interaction in the previous section when expressed in terms of electric and magnetic fields.

The explicit expression from QED in the Furry picture is [32, 34]

$$E_{\rm d} = \alpha \hbar c \int d\boldsymbol{x}_2 \int d\boldsymbol{x}_1 \, \Psi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}_2, \boldsymbol{x}_1) \, \frac{\alpha_{\mu}^{(2)} \alpha^{\mu(1)}}{|\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{x}_1|} \, \Psi(\boldsymbol{x}_2, \boldsymbol{x}_1) \,, \tag{264}$$

where the exchange term is omitted (as would be the case for an electron-muon interaction). In Eq. (264), the wavefunction is a sum of products of hydrogenic wavefunctions

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}_2, \boldsymbol{x}_1) = \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} D_{\sigma\sigma'} \phi^{\sigma}_{\beta_2}(\boldsymbol{x}_2) \phi^{\sigma'}_{\beta_1}(\boldsymbol{x}_1), \qquad (265)$$

where β_i denotes the subset of quantum numbers $\{n, l, j\}$ and σ denotes the remaining quantum number $\{m\}$. The coefficients $D_{\sigma\sigma'}$ produce eigenfunctions of angular momentum. It is sufficient to consider a single term in the sum, which is

$$\begin{split} E_{\rm d}^{\sigma\sigma'\nu\nu'} &= \alpha\hbar c \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \, \phi_{\beta_2}^{\sigma\dagger}(\mathbf{x}_2) \phi_{\beta_1}^{\sigma\dagger\dagger}(\mathbf{x}_1) \, \frac{\alpha_{\mu}^{(2)} \alpha^{\mu(1)}}{|\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1|} \, \phi_{\beta_2}^{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_2) \phi_{\beta_1}^{\nu'}(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ &= \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \, \phi_{\beta_2}^{\sigma\dagger}(\mathbf{x}_2) \alpha_{\mu} \phi_{\beta_2}^{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_2) \, \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1|} \, \phi_{\beta_1}^{\sigma\dagger\dagger}(\mathbf{x}_1) \alpha^{\mu} \phi_{\beta_1}^{\nu'}(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ &= \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \left[\phi_{\beta_2}^{\sigma\dagger}(\mathbf{x}_2) \phi_{\beta_2}^{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_2) \, \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1|} \, \phi_{\beta_1}^{\sigma\dagger\dagger}(\mathbf{x}_1) \phi_{\beta_1}^{\nu'}(\mathbf{x}_1) \right. \\ &\left. - \phi_{\beta_2}^{\sigma\dagger}(\mathbf{x}_2) \alpha \phi_{\beta_2}^{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_2) \cdot \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1|} \, \phi_{\beta_1}^{\sigma\dagger\dagger}(\mathbf{x}_1) \alpha \phi_{\beta_1}^{\nu'}(\mathbf{x}_1) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \left[\rho_2(\mathbf{x}_2) \, \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1|} \, \rho_1(\mathbf{x}_1) - \frac{1}{c^2} \, \mathbf{j}_2(\mathbf{x}_2) \cdot \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1|} \, \mathbf{j}_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \right] \, (266) \end{split}$$

where

$$\rho_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1) = -e \,\phi_{\beta_1}^{\sigma'\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}_1) \phi_{\beta_1}^{\nu'}(\boldsymbol{x}_1) \,, \qquad (267)$$

$$\rho_2(\boldsymbol{x}_2) = -e \,\phi_{\beta_2}^{\sigma\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}_2) \phi_{\beta_2}^{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}_2) \,, \qquad (268)$$

$$\boldsymbol{j}_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}) = -ec \,\phi_{\beta_{1}}^{\sigma^{\prime}\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}) \boldsymbol{\alpha} \phi_{\beta_{1}}^{\nu^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}) \,, \qquad (269)$$

$$\boldsymbol{j}_2(\boldsymbol{x}_2) = -ec \,\phi_{\beta_2}^{\sigma\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}_2) \boldsymbol{\alpha} \phi_{\beta_2}^{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}_2) \,. \tag{270}$$

Following the derivations in Eqs. (257) and (260)-(261), we find

$$E_{\rm d}^{\sigma\sigma'\nu\nu'} = \epsilon_0 \int d\boldsymbol{x} \left[\boldsymbol{E}_2(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{E}_1(\boldsymbol{x}) - c\boldsymbol{B}_2^{\rm T}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot c\boldsymbol{B}_1^{\rm T}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right], \qquad (271)$$

where E_i and B_i^{T} are the fields corresponding to the sources in Eqs. (267) to (270). As for the external-field interaction correction, this is the interaction part of

$$\frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \left[|\boldsymbol{E}_2(\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{E}_1(\boldsymbol{x})|^2 - |c\boldsymbol{B}_2^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + c\boldsymbol{B}_1^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x})|^2 \right]$$
$$= \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \left[|\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{x})|^2 - |c\boldsymbol{B}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x})|^2 \right].$$
(272)

If the replacements

$$\rho_i(\boldsymbol{x}_i) \to -e\,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}_i)$$
(273)

are made in the first term of Eq. (266), it reproduces the classical expression in Eq. (147).

On the other hand, the interaction can be expressed in terms of the extended Poynting theorem and longitudinal magnetic fields. In this case, we have the interaction energy density

$$u_{B^{\mathrm{L}}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \epsilon_0 \left| c \boldsymbol{B}_{\phi_2}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot c \boldsymbol{B}_{\phi_1}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right|^2 , \qquad (274)$$

where

$$c\boldsymbol{B}_{\phi_i}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}_i \frac{\sigma_{\phi_i}(\boldsymbol{x}_i)}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_i|}, \qquad (275)$$

and

$$U_{B^{\mathrm{L}}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}\epsilon_{0}} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}_{2} \frac{\sigma_{\phi_{2}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{2})}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{2}|} \right] \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \frac{\sigma_{\phi_{1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1})}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{1}|} \right]$$
$$= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}\epsilon_{0}} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}_{2} \frac{\sigma_{\phi_{2}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{2})}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{2}|} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \frac{\sigma_{\phi_{1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1})}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{1}|}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}_{2} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \frac{\sigma_{\phi_{2}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{2})}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{2}|} \delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{1}) \sigma_{\phi_{1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1})$$
$$= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}_{2} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \sigma_{\phi_{2}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}) \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}_{2} - \boldsymbol{x}_{1}|} \sigma_{\phi_{1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}) \tag{276}$$

where

$$\sigma_{\phi_{2}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}) = e \, \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{2} \cdot \phi_{\beta_{2}}^{\sigma\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}) \, \boldsymbol{x}_{2} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2} \, \phi_{\beta_{2}}^{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}_{2})$$

$$= -e \, \phi_{\beta_{2}}^{\sigma\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}) \, \boldsymbol{x}_{2} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2} \, \phi_{\beta_{2}}^{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{c} \, \boldsymbol{m}_{\phi_{2}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{2} \,,$$

$$\sigma_{\phi_{1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}) = e \, \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{1} \cdot \phi_{\beta_{1}}^{\sigma\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}) \, \boldsymbol{x}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1} \, \phi_{\beta_{1}}^{\nu\prime}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1})$$

$$= -e \, \phi_{\beta_{1}}^{\sigma\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}) \, \boldsymbol{x}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1} \, \phi_{\beta_{1}}^{\nu\prime}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{c} \, \boldsymbol{m}_{\phi_{1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{1} \,, \qquad (277)$$

as in Eq. (219). We thus have

$$U_{B^{\mathrm{L}}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}_2 \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}_1 \ \boldsymbol{m}_{\phi_2}(\boldsymbol{x}_2) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_2 \ \boldsymbol{m}_{\phi_1}(\boldsymbol{x}_1) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_1 \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{x}_1|}.$$
(278)

If the replacements

$$\boldsymbol{m}_{\phi_i}(\boldsymbol{x}_i) \to \boldsymbol{m}_{\phi_i}\,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}_i)$$
 (279)

are made in Eq. (278) it becomes

$$\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \boldsymbol{m}_{\phi_2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_2 \, \boldsymbol{m}_{\phi_1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_1 \, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{x}_1|} \,. \tag{280}$$

in agreement with Eq. (152).

XV. SUMMARY

In Sec. II, the exchange of energy between fields and a particle in terms of the work done by the fields on the particle, and vice versa, is described. Sec. III states the conventional Poynting theorem, including the role of the Poynting vector. This section also notes that the interaction of a magnetic moment with an external magnetic field is not explicitly taken into account in the theorem. In Sec. IV, the forces on a magnetic moment by both transverse and longitudinal magnetic fields are considered. The definitions of these terms are reviewed in Appendix A. It is shown that the force is the same in either case, although the formulations are not the same. An extension of the Poynting theorem to take this force into account is provided. Sec. V shows how the Maxwell equations may be modified to be consistent with the extended Poynting theorem. The extended Maxwell equations contain a magnetic moment

source and the corresponding current. In Sec. VI, issues associated with the modifications described in Secs. IV and V are expanded upon. Sec. VII shows that the magnetic moment current in the Maxwell equations associated with the magnetic moment source may be derived by making a Lorentz transformation of the fields associated with the source from its rest frame to a moving frame. In Sec. VIII, the matrix form of the Maxwell equations, as discussed in [24], is reviewed to provide for its use in Sec. IX where it facilitates the otherwise tedious algebra to show that the extended Maxwell equations are indeed Lorentz invariant. That section also reviews the Lorentz transformations of four vectors and electromagnetic fields. Sec. X provides a relativistic derivation of the extended Poynting theorem based on the extended Maxwell equations. The derivation takes a particularly simple form when the matrix formulation of the Maxwell equations is employed. Moreover, it implicitly shows that the extended Poynting theorem is relativistically invariant because it follows from the Maxwell equations which in turn are relativistically invariant. A comparison of two classical models of the magnetic moment field is provided in Sec. XI. The current loop (transverse field) and the dual magnetic monopole (longitudinal field) models are discussed. Comparison of Eqs. (133) and (144) shows that the two models differ only by a delta function at the location of the magnetic moment source. This section examines the role of electromagnetic field energy in classical mechanics. The electric and magnetic interactions of particles are derived in terms of the energy of the combined fields of the particles. The electric interaction is just the conventional electric interaction of two particles. The longitudinal field interaction is the same as the conventional interaction, except that the contact interaction term is different from one derived for transverse fields. It is shown in Sec. XIII C that this does not conflict with the hyperfine contact term which arises from the nonrelativistic reduction of the Dirac hyperfine expression. The transverse classical magnetic interaction calculated as a field energy reproduces the conventional classical result, but with the opposite sign. This is due to the $|E|^2 - |cB|^2$ form of the energy for transverse magnetic field interactions. If the Poynting theorem is used, the associated $+|cB|^2$ gives the opposite sign. This section also considers the classical self energy due to electromagnetic fields. An interesting result is that the electric self energy of a charged point source outside of the classical Bohr radius is exactly the nonrelativistic binding energy of an electron, including the correct dependence on the principal quantum number. Another interesting result is that the magnetic self energy for the same cutoff is of the order of relativistic corrections to the electron energy levels. Moreover, with a lower cutoff of $\lambda_{\rm C}/12$, the magnetic self energy is just the energy equivalent of the electron mass. The same is true for the muon mass with a cutoff of $\lambda_{\mu}/12$. Sec. XIII reviews the Dirac equation and shows that the interaction terms for external fields can be derived by considering only the field energy of the electron and the external sources. The terms obtained with the usual minimal coupling substitution are reproduced in this way. The same sign issue associated with transverse magnetic fields appears here also. A longitudinal external field interaction is proposed and it takes a form that differs from the transverse interaction, although both forms are shown to reproduce the correct hyperfine structure interaction. In Sec. XIV the QED interaction given by $j_{\mu}A^{\mu}$ is shown to be equivalent to the field energy expression proportional to the interaction part of $|\mathbf{E}|^2 - |c\mathbf{B}|^2$ for external fields in the Dirac equation. The QED one-photon exchange correction is also shown to be of the same form.

XVI. CONCLUSION

The role of magnetic moments in electrodynamics has been shown to warrant scrutiny. Interactions of the moments are described in the context of conventional quantum electrodynamics where the magnetic energy density enters as a negative quantity. On the other hand, in the context of the extended Poynting theorem and extended Maxwell equations, the magnetic energy density is positive, in keeping with intuitive expectations. We have shown how magnetic moment effects are included in either version of electrodynamics. The conclusion is that the extended Poynting theorem approach is a promising option that warrants further consideration beyond the introductory treatment given in this paper.

Appendix A: Transverse vs longitudinal fields

The separation of victor fields into transverse and longitudinal components is based on the identity [7, 35]

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times [\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x})] = \boldsymbol{\nabla} [\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x})] - \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}).$$
(A1)

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{F}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \, \boldsymbol{\nabla}' \times [\boldsymbol{\nabla}' \times \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}')], \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}' \times \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}') \right], \end{aligned} \tag{A2} \\ \boldsymbol{F}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \, \boldsymbol{\nabla}' [\boldsymbol{\nabla}' \cdot \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}')], \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \int d\boldsymbol{x}' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}' \cdot \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}') \right], \qquad (A3)$$

where the two forms in each of Eqs. (A2) and (A3) are related through integration by parts, where the surface terms are assumed to vanish. The superscripts T and L denote transverse and longitudinal, and

$$\boldsymbol{F}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{F}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}' \, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \, \boldsymbol{\nabla}'^2 \, \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}') = \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,. \tag{A4}$$

These components have the properties:

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{F}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0, \qquad \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{F}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0, \qquad (A5)$$

$$\int d\boldsymbol{x} \, \boldsymbol{F}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{F}^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0 \,. \tag{A6}$$

Appendix B: Lorentz transformation identities

This Appendix gives some details of the calculation of the identities in Eqs. (117) and (120).

1. Equation (117)

The product in Eq. (117) is

$$\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}^{\prime}\mathcal{V}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ \\ -A_{12} & -A_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (B1)$$

where A_{11} and A_{12} are 3×3 matrices. Only two are needed due to the repetition of terms in $\gamma^{\mu}\partial'_{\mu}$ and \mathcal{V} . The first is

$$A_{11} = \frac{\partial}{\partial ct'} \left[\boldsymbol{I} \cosh \zeta - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}^{\dagger} \left(\cosh \zeta - 1 \right) \right] + \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}' \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \sinh \zeta$$
$$= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial ct} \cosh \zeta - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \sinh \zeta \right] \left[\boldsymbol{I} \cosh \zeta - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}^{\dagger} \left(\cosh \zeta - 1 \right) \right]$$
$$+ \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla} + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left(\cosh \zeta - 1 \right) - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \frac{\partial}{\partial ct} \sinh \zeta \right] \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \sinh \zeta$$
$$= \left[\boldsymbol{I} + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}^{\dagger} \left(\cosh \zeta - 1 \right) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial ct} - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\dagger}_{s} \sinh \zeta$$
(B2)

This result follows from expanding the products and taking into account the relations: $(\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}})^2 = \boldsymbol{I} - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\mathrm{s}} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\dagger}; \, \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{I} - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\mathrm{s}} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\dagger}; \, \text{and } \cosh^2 \zeta - \sinh^2 \zeta = 1.$ The second matrix is

$$A_{12} = \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \frac{\partial}{\partial ct'} \sinh \zeta + \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}' \left[\boldsymbol{I} \cosh \zeta - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}^{\dagger} \left(\cosh \zeta - 1 \right) \right]$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial ct} \cosh \zeta - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \sinh \zeta \right] \sinh \zeta$$

$$+ \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla} + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left(\cosh \zeta - 1 \right) - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \frac{\partial}{\partial ct} \sinh \zeta \right]$$

$$\times \left[\boldsymbol{I} \cosh \zeta - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}^{\dagger} \left(\cosh \zeta - 1 \right) \right]$$

$$= \left[\boldsymbol{I} + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}^{\dagger} \left(\cosh \zeta - 1 \right) \right] \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}, \qquad (B3)$$

where the previously noted relations, together with $\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \, \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s} = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s} = -\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \, \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{s}$, are taken into account.

2. Equation (120)

The product in Eq. (120) is

$$\mathcal{D}' \mathcal{V}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ & \\ -B_{12} & -B_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (B4)$$

where B_{11} and B_{12} are 1×3 matrices. The first is

$$B_{11} = -\nabla_{s}{}'^{\dagger} \left[\boldsymbol{I} \cosh \zeta - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}{}^{\dagger} (\cosh \zeta - 1) \right]$$

$$= -\left[\nabla_{s}{}^{\dagger} + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}{}^{\dagger} (\cosh \zeta - 1) - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}{}^{\dagger} \frac{\partial}{\partial ct} \sinh \zeta \right] \left[\boldsymbol{I} \cosh \zeta - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}{}^{\dagger} (\cosh \zeta - 1) \right]$$

$$= -\nabla_{s}{}^{\dagger} \cosh \zeta + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}{}^{\dagger} \frac{\partial}{\partial ct} \sinh \zeta , \qquad (B5)$$

and the second is

$$B_{12} = -\nabla_{s}^{\prime\dagger} \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \sinh \zeta$$

$$= -\left[\nabla_{s}^{\dagger} + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}^{\dagger} (\cosh \zeta - 1) - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}^{\dagger} \frac{\partial}{\partial ct} \sinh \zeta\right] \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \sinh \zeta$$

$$= \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \nabla \sinh \zeta, \qquad (B6)$$

where the relation $\nabla_{s}{}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{v}} = -\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{s}{}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\nabla$ is taken into account.

Appendix C: Nonrelativistic approximation to the Dirac equation

The Dirac equation for an electron bound in a spherically symmetric field is

$$E_n \phi_n(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left[c \,\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} + \beta \, m_{\rm e} c^2 + V(x) \right] \phi_n(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \tag{C1}$$

where $x = |\mathbf{x}|$. For a point nucleus with charge Ze, the potential is

$$V(x) = -\hbar c \frac{Z\alpha}{x}.$$
 (C2)

In terms of 2-component functions, this is

$$E_n \begin{pmatrix} u_n(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ v_n(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m_e c^2 + V(x) & c \,\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} \\ c \,\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} & -m_e c^2 + V(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_n(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ v_n(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$
(C3)

The lower equation is

$$c \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} u_n(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left[E_n + m_e c^2 - V(x) \right] v_n(\boldsymbol{x}).$$
 (C4)

In the nonrelativistic limit, $E_n \to m_{\rm e}c^2$ and $V(x) \to 0$, which gives

$$v_n(\boldsymbol{x}) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2m_{\rm e}c} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} \, u_n(\boldsymbol{x}) \,.$$
 (C5)

The upper equation is

$$c \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} v_n(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left[E_n - m_e c^2 - V(x) \right] u_n(\boldsymbol{x}),$$
 (C6)

where

$$E_n - m_{\rm e}c^2 \rightarrow E_n^{\rm NR}$$
 (C7)

is the nonrelativistic Schrödinger energy, and

$$E_n^{\rm NR}\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left[\frac{1}{2m_{\rm e}}\boldsymbol{p}^2 + V(r)\right]\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{x}), \qquad (C8)$$

which is just the Pauli-Schrödinger equation with $u_n(\mathbf{x}) \to \varphi_n(\mathbf{x})$, the Pauli-Schrödinger wavefunction. The leading terms are

$$\phi_n(\boldsymbol{x}) \to \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_n(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}}{2m_e c} \varphi_n(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{pmatrix},$$
(C9)

$$\phi_n^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_n^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) & \varphi_n^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}}{2m_{\rm e}c} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (C10)

For example, this gives

$$\phi_i^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\boldsymbol{\alpha} \,\phi_j(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\rightarrow\, \frac{1}{2m_{\rm e}c} \,\varphi_i^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} \,\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}\right) \varphi_j(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ = \,\varphi_i^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \frac{\boldsymbol{v}}{c} \,\varphi_j(\boldsymbol{x}) \,. \tag{C11}$$

For a spherically symmetric binding field, the Dirac wavefunction can be written in the form (see, for example, [31])

$$\phi_n(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) \, \chi^{\mu}_{\kappa}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \\ if_2(x) \, \chi^{\mu}_{-\kappa}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (C12)$$

where f_1 and f_2 are radial wave functions, and $\chi^{\mu}_{\kappa}(\hat{x})$ is the Dirac spin-angle function. This function is an eigenfunction of total angular momentum and parity with the properties

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{L} + \hbar \boldsymbol{I}) \chi^{\mu}_{\kappa}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) = -\hbar \kappa \chi^{\mu}_{\kappa}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}), \qquad (C13)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \chi^{\mu}_{\kappa}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) = -\chi^{\mu}_{-\kappa}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}), \qquad (C14)$$

where $L = x \times p$ is the orbital angular momentum. In the nonrelativistic limit, the upper component becomes the Schrödinger wave function

$$f_1(x) \chi^{\mu}_{\kappa}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \to \varphi(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(x) \chi^{\mu}_{\kappa}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}),$$
 (C15)

where f is the radial Schrödinger wave function. For the lower component, we have

$$i f_2(x) \chi^{\mu}_{-\kappa}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \to \frac{1}{2m_e c} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} f(x) \chi^{\mu}_{\kappa}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}), \qquad (C16)$$

or

$$f_{2}(x) \chi_{\kappa}^{\mu}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2 \operatorname{i} m_{e}c} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} f(x) \chi_{\kappa}^{\mu}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2 \operatorname{i} m_{e}c} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} + \operatorname{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \times \boldsymbol{p} \right) f(x) \chi_{\kappa}^{\mu}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m_{e}c} \left(\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{L}}{x} \right) f(x) \chi_{\kappa}^{\mu}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}})$$

$$= \frac{\hbar}{2m_{e}c} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\kappa + 1}{x} \right) f(x) \chi_{\kappa}^{\mu}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}), \qquad (C17)$$

so that

$$f_2(x) \to \frac{\lambda_e}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\kappa + 1}{x} \right) f(x) \,.$$
 (C18)

Appendix D: Hyperfine integral for the 1S state

The radial hyperfine integral is

$$I_{\rm hfs} = \int_0^\infty dx \, f_1(x) f_2(x) \,. \tag{D1}$$

For the 1S state with nuclear charge ${\cal Z}$

$$f_1(x) = 2N^{\frac{1}{2}}(m_e c^2 + E)^{\frac{1}{2}}(2\gamma x)^{a-1} e^{-\gamma x}$$
(D2)

$$f_2(x) = -2N^{\frac{1}{2}}(m_{\rm e}c^2 - E)^{\frac{1}{2}}(2\gamma x)^{a-1}{\rm e}^{-\gamma x}$$
 (D3)

where

$$\gamma = \frac{Z\alpha}{\lambda_{\rm e}} \tag{D4}$$

$$E = \left[1 - (Z\alpha)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} m_{\rm e}c^2$$
(D5)

$$a = \left[1 - (Z\alpha)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{D6}$$

$$N = \frac{\gamma}{\Gamma(2a+1)m_{\rm e}c^2} \tag{D7}$$

so that as $Z\alpha \to 0$

$$I_{\rm hfs} = -\frac{(Z\alpha)^3}{a(2a-1)\lambda^2} \to -\frac{(Z\alpha)^3}{\lambda^2}, \qquad (D8)$$

$$f_1(x) \to f(x) = 2\gamma^{3/2} e^{-\gamma x},$$
 (D9)

$$f^2(0) = 4\left(\frac{Z\alpha}{\lambda}\right)^3. \tag{D10}$$

- [1] A. S. Goldhaber and W. P. Trower, Am. J. Phys. 58, 429 (1990).
- [2] G. R. Kalbfleisch, W. Luo, K. A. Milton, E. H. Smith, and M. G. Strauss, Phys. Rev. D 69, 052002 (2004).
- [3] V. Andreev, D. G. Ang, D. DeMille, J. M. Doyle, J. H. G. Gabrielse, N. R. Hutzler, Z. Lasner,
 C. Meisenhelder, C. D. P. B. R. O'Leary, A. D. West, et al., Nature 562, 355 (2018).
- [4] T. S. Roussy, L. Caldwell, T. Wright, W. B. Cairneross, Y. Shagam, K. B. Ng, N. Schlossberger, S. Y. Park, A. Wang, J. Ye, et al., Science 381, 46 (2023).
- [5] J. C. Maxwell, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. **155**, 459 (1865).
- [6] J. H. Poynting, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. 175, 343 (1865).
- [7] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* (John Wiley and Sons, Inc, New York, NY, 1998), 3rd ed.
- [8] V. F. Weisskopf, Phys. Rev. 56, 72 (1939), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/ PhysRev.56.72.
- R. P. Feynman, R. B. Leighton, and M. L. Sands, *The Feynman Lectures on Physics*, vol. 2 (Addison Wesley, Reading, MA, 1963).
- [10] CODATA 2022 values of the Fundamental Physical Constants, URL https://pml.nist.gov/ constants.
- [11] O. Stern, Zeitschrift für Physik 7, 249 (1921).
- [12] W. Gerlach and O. Stern, Annalen der Physik 74, 673 (1924).
- [13] W. Gerlach, Annalen der Physik **76**, 163 (1925).
- [14] J. S. Schwinger, Physical Review **51**, 544 (1937).

- [15] O. Halpern and M. H. Johnson, Phys. Rev. 55, 898 (1939), URL https://link.aps.org/ doi/10.1103/PhysRev.55.898.
- [16] C. G. Shull, E. O. Wollan, and W. A. Strauser, Phys. Rev. 81, 483 (1951), URL https: //link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.81.483.
- [17] D. J. Hughes and M. T. Burgy, Phys. Rev. 81, 498 (1951), URL https://link.aps.org/ doi/10.1103/PhysRev.81.498.
- [18] N. Vanhaecke, U. Meier, M. Andrist, B. H. Meier, and F. Merkt, Phys. Rev. A 75, 031402 (2007), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.75.031402.
- [19] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions*, vol. 1 (Hermann, Paris, 1950).
- [20] I. M. Gel'fand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions*, vol. 1 (Academic Press, New York, 1964).
- [21] R. Alley, H. Aoyagi, J. Clendenin, J. Frisch, C. Garden, E. Hoyt, R. Kirby, L. Klaisner, A. Kulikov, R. Miller, et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 365, 1 (1995), ISSN 0168-9002, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0168900295004505.
- [22] K.-R. Jeon, C. Ciccarelli, A. J. Ferguson, H. Kurebayashi, L. F. Cohen, X. Montiel, M. Eschrig, J. W. A. Robinson, and M. G. Blamire, Nature Materials 17, 499 (2018), URL https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-018-0058-9.
- [23] T. R. Gentile, P. J. Nacher, B. Saam, and T. G. Walker, Rev. Mod. Phys. 89, 045004 (2017),
 URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.045004.
- [24] P. J. Mohr, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **325**, 607 (2010), https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.0910.1874.
- [25] U. D. Jentschura and G. S. Adkins, Quantum Electrodynamics: Atoms, Lasers and Gravity (World Scientific, Singapore, 2022).
- [26] J. R. Oppenheimer, Phys. Rev. 38, 725 (1931).
- [27] R. Mignani, E. Recami, and M. Baldo, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 11, 568 (1974).
- [28] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, NY, 1964).
- [29] J. Thomson, Philosophical Magazine 11, 229 (1881).
- [30] E. Fermi, Z. Phys. **60**, 320 (1930).
- [31] P. J. Mohr, G. Plunien, and G. Soff, Phys. Rep. **293**, 227 (1998).
- [32] W. H. Furry, Phys. Rev. 81, 115 (1951).

- [33] S. S. Schweber, An Introduction to Relativistic Quantum Field Theory (Harper & Row, New York, NY, 1961).
- [34] P. J. Mohr, Phys. Rev. A **32**, 1949 (1985).
- [35] U. D. Jentschura, Advanced Classical Electrodynamics: Green Functions, Regularizations, Multipole Decompositions (World Scientific, Singapore, 2017).
- [36] The convention used here differs from Jackson [7] by the sign of v.