

Rephotography in the Digital Era

Mass Rephotography and re.photos, the Web Portal for Rephotography

Axel Schaffland

Abstract

Since the beginning of rephotography in the middle of the 19th century, techniques in registration, conservation, presentation, and sharing of rephotographs have come a long way. Here, we will present existing digital approaches to rephotography and discuss future approaches and requirements for digital mass rephotography. We present re.photos¹, an existing web portal for rephotography, featuring methods for collaborative rephotography, interactive image registration, as well as retrieval, organization, and sharing of rephotographs. For mass rephotography additional requirements must be met. Batches of template images and rephotographs must be handled simultaneously, image registration must be automated, and intuitive smartphone apps for rephotography must be available. Long-term storage with persistent identifiers, automatic or mass georeferencing, as well as gamification and social media integration are further requirements we will discuss in this paper.

Where We Stand Now

Currently we have re.photos¹ a web portal covering the complete collaborative rephotography pipeline. Users of the portal can upload and georeference template images which can be searched for with the help of meta information or on a map. These template images can then be rephotographed and uploaded by the user themselves or others. During the upload process meta information is added by the user and the rephotograph is aligned to the template image with interactive image registration techniques [Schaffland et al.(2019)]. The completed rephotographic compilations are then added to the database. The compilations can be displayed on a map or as a list filtered by meta information as shown in Figure 1. Meta information includes location, date, description, rating, comments, license, etc. The compilations are displayed with an interactive slider revealing either more of the template or the rephotograph as displayed in Figure 2. re.photos checks many boxes for a mass rephotography portal: It offers a central storage location of images and metadata as well as methods to filter, access, visualize, and share them. Together with interactive image registration it is a very good tool for individual rephotography. Nonetheless, for mass rephotography additional requirements have to be met. These are presented in the next section.

¹<https://www.re.photos>




Figure 1: Browsing view of re.photos. Compilations are displayed as a list and on a map. They can be filtered and sorted by different meta information, e.g., date, timespan, tags, titles, and descriptions or constrained to a map section selectable on the right.

Another aspect to keep in mind is the heterogeneous vocabulary in rephotography. Rephotographic projects rarely have the term rephotography in their title. The norm is to have no terms related to rephotography or terms like “then and now” and “before and after”. For example, one of the first rephotographic projects *Anatomy of Foliage* created by Edward Fox in 1865/1866 contains no rephotography related terms in its title or description. If nothing else, naming a rephotographic project appropriately, is paramount to retrieve it reliably and possibly integrate it into a future mass rephotography portal.

Where We Need to Go

Technique

For mass rephotography, we need centralized storage and a web portal with similar features as re.photos and additional features focusing on automatization and integration. We need automatic image registration methods not only to align existing template images with existing rephotographs but also to guide rephotographers to the camera position of the template image while rephotographing. With the recent developments in machine learning, this seems possible within the next years [Maiwald et al.(2021)].

Batch upload for georeferenced template images is imperative, as argued by Robert Campbell in the first contribution. Without a vast collection of georeferenced template images no mass rephotography is possible. Institutions sitting on a treasure of georeferenced images suitable for rephotography need an efficient way to integrate their treasure into the mass rephotography database. Consequently, methods for mass or possible




Figure 2: A rephotographic compilation on re.photos. Users use the slider in the middle to reveal more of the old or new image. Meta information is displayed around the compilation, including a description, comments, and date. A map section on the right shows the location of the compilation together with neighbouring compilations.

automatic georeferencing are required, too.

Batch upload for rephotographic collections is another requirement to efficiently upload existing collections. One solution would be the upload of an archive of all images together with a database file containing the meta information of all images. This database file could be exported from a geographic information system (GIS), which was used for georeferencing or managing the project, and integrated into the mass rephotography database. Another solution would be an interactive batch upload method integrated in the portal, where users can upload several images in one go and add meta information afterwards simultaneously for several images.²

Another requirement of mass rephotography is the development of smartphone app, integrated into the portal. In the app, template images near the user's location are displayed, which can be selected for rephotography. The app then navigates the user to the correct camera position and helps align the orientation of the smartphone camera with the orientation of the historic camera similar as it was previously done with a SLR camera and a laptop [Bae et al.(2010)]. Date and location information can be added automatically while the user provides additional meta information in a standardized format before the rephotograph is uploaded and integrated into the mass rephotography database. The app can also be used to gamify rephotography and to share rephotographs to social media to increase public engagement in rephotography.

²Wikimedia Commons, for example, has both locally installable programs to prepare image for batch upload and online methods integrated into the website.

A vital part of the mass rephotography portal are pages dedicated to projects, groups, and institutions. These pages feature not only template images and rephotographs belonging to this project but also give room to present the group or institution itself as well as background information, goals, and research results of the project. This would give an incentive to upload rephotographic collections to the mass rephotography portal and use it for research and projects employing rephotography.

Persistence and accessibility are also key factors to conserve our rephotographic heritage. Persistent document identifiers for the rephotographic compilations and collections are a requirement as well as a long-term storage solution for the compilations. Storage, presentation, and search of meta information is another key aspect. This does not only include “hard” meta information like location and date but also “soft” meta information, like the human historical evidence discussed by Dani Inkpen in his contribution.

Appealing presentation and visualization formats are an additional requirement. Traditionally, rephotographic compilations were printed with old and new images next to each other. For digital rephotography there are many more options available from alpha blending over interactive sliders and time reveal³ to videos and animations like GIFs and APNGs. All have different advantages and disadvantages depending on the application [Schaffland and Heidemann(2022)]. Thus, the mass rephotography portal should offer a selection of these, combined with an option to share them to social media.

Overall, we need to work through a long list of technical tasks to create a mass rephotography portal, but with re.photos we can start on a solid foundation.

Mindset

Besides new techniques, mass rephotography warrants a new mindset. *Sharing in caring* holds true for template images, rephotographs, and complete collections. If we want to preserve our rephotographic heritage, these images can no longer remain in private archives but have to be made available to the public under a license allowing use in (research) projects, publications and for future rephotography. The same holds for completed research projects using rephotography. If their data is public, others can use this data in their research, read about the project on the project pages discussed above, and use the rephotographs as templates for future rephotography.

Location, location, location—without it the expense of rephotography rises immensely. While many images can be georeferenced by experts (read that as expensive) at a later point in time, modern digital and smartphone cameras can directly embed location information in the metadata of the image. Hence, it is essential to enable this feature and also share the images together with this location metadata. This is true for new template images but also for rephotographs, helping to verify the location information of the template images, and simplifying future rephotography.

³A technique in which one image is overlaid over the other. The underlying image is revealed around the cursor position and follows the mouse movements of the user. First use on a DVD of the “Third views, second sights” project [Klett(2004)].

Quantity, Quality, and Relevance

The quantity of mass rephotography inevitably entails questions regarding quality and relevance. Which content is relevant for mass rephotography? Templates taken by well-known photographers of well-known places? Template images taken before the turn of the century and of relevance for landscape change research? All Google Street View images taken at different points in times?⁴ And regarding quality: What are the quality standards? Registration quality, image quality, or image resolution? Who ensures that quality and relevance criteria are met and who is eligible to specify these criteria? Clearly this can only be a joint effort inspired by platforms like Wikimedia Commons.

Alternatives

Reinventing the Wheel

Certainly not an alternative is reinventing the wheel over and over again by developing yet another rephotographic project page dedicated to one single project or region—just as nobody would develop a new clone of YouTube to share their videos. Besides the high development costs, these project pages must be maintained, which requires money and time. If one or both are not available, the project page vanishes and the rephotographs are lost. The “Alpines of the Americas”⁵ project is one example, “The Repeat Photography Project”⁶ of the Forest History Society another. These two and others serve as example that we need a persistent and accessible storage solution for our digital rephotographic heritage. Further, like their analog counterparts, the project pages are not easy to find, if they do not include terms related to rephotography in their title or description.

Centralized Search

Combining decentralized project pages with a dedicated centralized search engine for rephotographs (or an option to search for rephotographs embedded into a standard search engine) would be a step in the right direction. This would give owners more control over their rephotographs, while still making them accessible through a central interface. It would require methods to automatically search, index, and identify rephotographs, possibly requiring an extension of an existing image file format, to encompass two or more images with meta information—of course such a file format would be beneficial for rephotography in general. However, this alternative suffers from the same disadvantage as solely reinventing the wheel. If one of the decentralized project pages goes offline, the rephotographs on this server are lost.

Conclusion

While re.photos is a solid foundation for a mass rephotography portal, the list of tasks is long. Technical challenges like image registration, batch processing of template image

⁴For some locations different recording dates can be selected in Google Street view resulting in coarsely registered panoramas.

⁵<https://web.archive.org/web/20180224184356/http://alpineamericas.com/>

⁶<https://web.archive.org/web/20190221193619/http://www.repeatphotography.org/intro/>

and rephotographs, persistent storage, and specialized file formats must be addressed and a change of mindset towards a more liberal approach to sharing georeferenced templates and rephotographs together with meta information is required. Alternatives like separate project pages are not optimal for mass rephotography, even if they are combined with a centralized search engine. When funding or time for maintenance runs out these pages vanish together with their templates and rephotographs. A mass rephotography portal with centralized storage combining and extending functionality of re.photos and Wikimedia Commons seems to be the best option.

References

- [Bae et al.(2010)] Soonmin Bae, Aseem Agarwala, and Frédo Durand. 2010. Computational Rephotography. *ACM Trans. Graph* 29, 3 (2010), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1805964.1805968>
- [Klett(2004)] Mark Klett. 2004. *Third views, second sights: a rephotographic survey of the American West*. Museum of New Mexico Press, Santa Fe.
- [Maiwald et al.(2021)] Ferdinand Maiwald, Christoph Lehmann, and Taras Lazariv. 2021. Fully Automated Pose Estimation of Historical Images in the Context of 4D Geographic Information Systems Utilizing Machine Learning Methods. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information* 10, 11 (2021), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi10110748>
- [Schaffland and Heidemann(2022)] Axel Schaffland and Gunther Heidemann. 2022. Heritage and Repeat Photography: Techniques, Management, Applications, and Publications. *Heritage* 5, 4 (2022), 4267–4305. <https://doi.org/10.3390/heritage5040220>
- [Schaffland et al.(2019)] Axel Schaffland, Oliver Vornberger, and Gunther Heidemann. 2019. An Interactive Web Application for the Creation, Organization, and Visualization of Repeat Photographs. In *1st Workshop on Structuring and Understanding of Multimedia heritAge Contents (SUMAC '19)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 47–54. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3347317.3357247>