

Quantification of Flagellar Gait Changes with Combined Shape Mode Analysis and Swimming Simulations

Kelli E. Gutierrez¹, Robert D. Guy¹, Becca Thomases², and Paulo E. Arratia³

January 6, 2025

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of California Davis, Davis, CA 95616, USA

² Department of Mathematical Sciences, Smith College, Northampton, MA, 01063, USA

³ Department of Mechanical Engineering and Applied Mechanics, The University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

The work of KEG was partially supported by NSF Graduate Fellowship 2036201.

Abstract

Many different microswimmers propel themselves using flagella that beat periodically. The shape of the flagellar beat and swimming speed have been observed to change with fluid rheology. We quantify changes in the flagellar waveforms of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* in response to changes in fluid viscosity using (1) shape mode analysis and (2) a full swimmer simulation to analyze how shape changes affect the swimming speed and to explore the dimensionality of the shape space. By decomposing the gait into the time-independent mean shape and the time-varying stroke, we find that the flagellar mean shape substantially changes in response to viscosity, while the changes in the time-varying stroke are more subtle. Using the swimmer simulation, we quantify how the swimming speed is affected by the dimensionality of the flagellar shape reconstruction, and we show that the observed change in swimming speed with viscosity is explained by the variations in mean flagellar shape and beat frequency, while the changes in swimming speed from the different time-varying strokes are on the scale of variation between cells.

1 Introduction

Many microorganisms swim in or transport fluids using flagella, which are thin, threadlike filaments that move in a wavelike motion and enable cell swimming in low Reynolds number fluids [16]. Examples of these microorganisms include sperm [9] and small algae species [17]. The basic structure of a eukaryotic flagellum includes a cytoskeleton with a characteristic 9+2 structure, which has nine microtubule doublets around the cylindrical perimeter of the flagellum and two microtubules in the center [2]. When ATP is present, dynein motors drive the sliding of these doublets and generate forces [19], which cause flagellar bending and result in a periodic beat.

The general flagellar structure and the physical mechanisms that govern force generation and bending are known. The beat emerges from the coupled dynamics of the external fluid, the flagellum, and internal motors which are affected by the deformation, but the mechanism of mechanochemical feedback on motor activity is not well understood [1, 11, 18, 26]. Evidence of such feedback is evident from the observed flagellar gait changes in response to fluid rheologies. For example, sperm exhibit substantial changes in flagellar waveform in fluids with viscosity ranging between 150-140,000 times that of water [6, 13, 32]. We want to quantify how varying fluid viscosity leads to systematic changes in shape, which is difficult with large ranges of viscosity. There is more limited data for changes in flagellar gait with small perturbations in fluid rheology; notably, Yagi et. al [33], Qin et al. [25], and Wilson et al. [30] document the swimming behavior of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* over incremental values at narrow ranges of viscosity. We look at experimental data from Qin et al. [25] over a small range of fluid viscosity, and perform a quantitative analysis of the resulting changes in flagellar gait.

To analyze these flagellar shape changes with viscosity, we compare gaits using shape mode analysis. In 2014, Werner et al. [29] described how principal component analysis is used to analyze shape change by looking at the flagellar waveforms of sperm in a low dimensional shape space. Shape mode analysis has been used for quantitative comparisons, such as comparing sperm waveforms across populations [9, 28] and comparing different microorganisms' gaits under changes in fluid rheology [13] and calcium levels [7]. In this manuscript, we couple the results of shape mode analysis with a swimming simulation to understand the effect of flagellar shape changes on swimming behavior.

We study flagellar shape changes in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (chlamy) when swimming in fluids with varying viscosity. Chlamy are single-celled alga with small bodies about 10 μm wide that swim with two thin flagella in a breaststroke-like motion to pull themselves forward in a fluid. It is often used as a model organism in cell and molecular biological research to answer key questions, such as how cells generate regular flagellar waveforms [8]. To study flagellar shape changes, we use experimental data [25] for ten different chlamy cells swimming in Newtonian fluids with viscosities ranging between 1 to 6 cP. The data includes the position of the flagella over the course of several beats, the average swimming speed, and the frequency of the flagellar beat. The strokes over a single period for all of the cells are visualized in Figure 1 and the frequency of the flagellar beat and the swimming speed of the cell are pictured in Figure 2. As previously observed [17, 22, 25], the swimming speed and beat frequency both decrease with viscosity, but the speed decreases faster than the




Figure 1: Flagellar stroke patterns over one time period corresponding to a swimmer in Newtonian fluids, with viscosities ranging between 1.00 cP and 6.00 cP.

frequency, and thus, the frequency change is not entirely responsible for the speed decreasing with viscosity. Figure 2c shows speed, nondimensionalized by flagellar length and period, decreases with viscosity, which implies that the speed decrease is related to the flagellar gait change. We quantify these shape changes and their effect on swimming speed by combining shape mode analysis with a swimming simulation.

We first perform shape mode analysis [29] on the data [25] in Section 2. Then we explore how the choice of dimension of shape space affects the reconstruction of the flagellar beat and how the flagellar mean shape changes with viscosity. In Section 3, we use a swimming simulation based on different shape reconstructions to quantify how changes in mean shape and time-dependent stroke separately contribute to changes in microorganism swimming.




Figure 2: Effect of increasing viscosity from 1.00 cP to 6.00 cP on the swimming speed and beat frequency. (a) The dimensional speed decreases by approximately a factor of 6.4. (b) The beat frequency decreases by approximately a factor of 2. (c) The non-dimensional swimming speed decreases by approximately a factor of 2.8.

2 Shape Analysis

2.1 Methods

We utilize shape mode analysis [29], which analyzes movement patterns of different flagella using principal component analysis (PCA) and projects higher dimensional data onto a low dimensional set. We investigate the PCA decomposition of our data to quantitatively compare gaits across fluid rheologies.

For each cell, the data consists of the flagellum location in the body frame at time intervals $\Delta t = 0.0017$ for approximately 4-7 full cycles of the periodic beat. At each time point, the positional data consists of 31 approximately equally spaced positions (X, Y) along the flagellum. For each point in time, where $t_m = m\Delta t$, we compute the tangent angles at each point and describe the flagellar shape by a vector of tangent angles $\vec{\psi}(t_m)$. Then we collect all of these vectors $\vec{\psi}(t_m)$ into an array ψ_j for each cell, where the index j refers to which cell the array describes. The representation of the flagellar shape can be decomposed into two parts: a time-independent mean shape $\overline{\psi_j}$ and a time-varying stroke. To perform shape mode analysis on the data, we combine all of the demeaned arrays $\psi_j - \overline{\psi_j}$ into one giant array:

$$\Psi = [\psi_1 - \overline{\psi_1} \mid \psi_2 - \overline{\psi_2} \mid \dots \mid \psi_j - \overline{\psi_j} \mid \dots].$$

The eigenvectors of covariance matrix $C = \Psi^T \Psi$ define an orthonormal basis of shapes called shape modes [29]. The shape of the flagellum for the j th cell at the time t_m is decomposed as:

$$\vec{\psi}_j(t_m) = \overline{\psi_j} + \sum_k v_k^j(t_m) \mathbf{S}_k, \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{S}_k is the k th shape mode. The coefficients $v_k^j(t_m)$, are defined as

$$v_k^j(t_m) = \langle \vec{\psi}_j(t_m) - \overline{\psi_j}, \mathbf{S}_k \rangle. \quad (2)$$

These time-dependent coefficients characterize how much \mathbf{S}_k contributes to the data at a particular point in time.

Using shape mode analysis, we investigate the flagellar shapes and how the shape changes with viscosity. Particularly, we look at the base shapes \mathbf{S}_k that make up flagellar gait, investigate low dimensional Fourier series representations of the time-dependent coefficients $v_k^j(t_m)$, and see how the temporal parts of the stroke and the mean shape change with viscosity.

2.2 Results

2.2.1 Shape Basis Modes

Eigenvalue decomposition of the covariance matrix produces an orthogonal basis for the shape space. We order the eigenvalues from largest to smallest, which gives an ordering that corresponds to the shape modes' relative contribution to the overall shape of the flagellum. Note this ordering corresponds to that from singular value decomposition of Ψ , and therefore the eigenvalues λ_i correspond to the singular values σ_i , ie $\lambda_i = \sigma_i^2$.

The first four shape modes are pictured in Figure 3a and look similar to shape modes for asymmetric beats such as in chlamy [7] or cilia [3]. The first mode has an "S" shape, the second mode has an "U" shape, and the for higher number shape modes, the number of extrema increases, which is similar to the shape modes for different types of sperm [3, 9, 12, 13, 23, 24, 28, 29].

We quantify how the amount of variability from the space spanned by the first n shape modes contributes to the total variance in the data [21]. As shown in Figure 3b, the eigenvalue strength w_n is defined as the cumulative sum of the eigenvalues divided by the total sum, i.e.

$$w_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i}{\sum_i \lambda_i}. \quad (3)$$




Figure 3: (a) The first four shape modes, which are the first four eigenvectors of $\Psi^T \Psi$. (b) The eigenvalue strength w_n defined in equation (3), quantifies the amount of variability captured by n shape modes.

The first two shape modes capture 92.5% of the data, meaning that only two shape modes are needed to reconstruct the flagellar shapes and include over 90% of variability. From a statistical point of view, two modes are sufficient to portray the majority of the information about the stroke, which implies the shape space is two dimensional. It is common for shape mode analysis on sperm and chlamy to only use two modes because two modes often capture over 90% of the variance [3, 12, 23]. Note that Gholami et. al [7] uses four modes in a chlamy flagellar shape reconstruction, despite two modes capturing over 90% of the variance. It remains to be seen how data variation from higher indexed shape modes affects the behavior and the swimming speed, which we will explore in Section 3.

2.2.2 Time Dependent Stroke Analysis

The shape modes make up the general shape of the flagellar stroke, and we investigate how these shapes change over a period using the time-dependent coefficients. Recall v_k^j is described as a time-dependent coefficient of the j th cell associated with the k th shape mode. We visualize v_1^j and v_2^j in the phase space in Figure 4a. Each point corresponds to a flagellar shape at a particular point in time from the data projected onto a two dimensional shape space. The collection of these points from this sample cell are expected to live on a closed curve since the flagellar beat is periodic [14, 15, 20, 27, 29] and the data covers several periods of the flagellar beat. The polar angle ϕ between v_1^j and v_2^j as a function of time along with its linear fit, $\phi(t) = \omega t + \phi_0$, are shown in Figure 4b. The slope of the line, ω , is the angular beat frequency and the intercept, ϕ_0 , is the initial angle, i.e. the location along the loop where the cell begins in its flagellar beat. Due to the linearity of the data in Figure 4b, the angular speed is constant, meaning

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = \omega.$$

This angle ϕ reasonably approximates phase, so we describe the temporal data as functions of the phase angle. Thus, the time-dependent coefficients $v_k^j(t_m)$ are fit with a Fourier series as a function of the phase angle $\phi(t)$ as follows:

$$V_{k,N}^j(t_m) = \sum_{n=1}^N A_{k,n}^j \sin(n\phi(t_m)) + B_{k,n}^j \cos(n\phi(t_m)). \quad (4)$$

Figure 4c shows the time-dependent coefficients for the first four shape modes and a Fourier series phase fit with two frequencies for each set of coefficients. From this Fourier series representation, the question that arises is what value of N in equation (4) should be chosen to represent the closed loops in Figure 4.




Figure 4: A time series example for one of the cells in a Newtonian fluid with viscosity 6.00 cP. (a) Phase space, where the blue data points represent v_1 and v_2 and the Fourier series representation with different frequencies are visualized with the different colored loops. (b) Linear fit for the polar angle in the V_1 - V_2 plane, where the slope is the beat frequency and the y -intercept is the initial phase. (c) Fourier series representations for $v_1 - v_4$, with plots (I,III,V,VI) in real space and plots (II, IV, VI, VIII) in Fourier space.

In order to choose how many frequencies are needed in each Fourier series fit, we start by examining the time-dependent coefficients in Fourier space in Figure 4c. In the Figures 4c(I-IV), there is a strong peak at the dominant frequency and the first harmonic has a smaller but significant peak that is approximately a third of the height. Turning back to phase space in Figure 4a, which focuses on only the data projected in two dimensional phase space, it is clear that the first harmonic, or second frequency, makes a substantial difference in the fit, but the third frequency does not make a significant visual difference. In Figures 4c(V-VIII), the dominant peak is at the second frequency, with the first frequency peak being at approximately half of its height. This implies that if the reconstruction has more than two shape modes, at least two frequencies should be used in the Fourier series phase fit. It is important to note that the third and fourth shape modes contribute to 6.6% of shape space, as is shown in Figure 3b, so higher frequencies than the dominant one contribute less to the overall stroke. There is no clear way to choose the number of frequencies to use in Fourier series phase fits solely based on Figure 4. We investigate the swimming speed to see how different Fourier series representations affect the flagellar behavior in Section 3.

2.2.3 Phase Space - Comparing All Cells

In Figure 5, we examine the phase space to compare the different number of frequencies used in Fourier series representations for the time-dependent coefficients across all cells. The phase loops that have Fourier series fits with only one frequency are similar in shape, and it is difficult to distinguish between loops of different viscosities. The shapes of the loops for multiple frequencies are also generally less elliptical. The loops for lower viscosities are elongated in the V_2 direction and the loops for higher viscosities are elongated in the V_1 direction, which is visually apparent as the number of frequencies increases. We show in Appendix A that this trend in elongation of the loops is true even with only a single frequency, which is not obvious in Figure 5a. Since it is not clear how the number of frequencies affects the flagellum shape or the swimming behavior, we further explore how to choose a Fourier series representation for the time-dependent coefficients in Section 3.




Figure 5: Phase Space Comparison. All the figures show the Fourier series representation of V_1 and V_2 plotted in phase space, where curves in (a) have one frequency, those in (b) have two frequencies, and those in (c) have three frequencies.

2.2.4 Flagellar Mean Shape Changes with Fluid Viscosity

The previous sections focus on analyzing variations about the mean flagellar shape, and here we narrow our focus on the time independent mean shape itself. In Figure 6, as the fluid viscosity increases, the curvature of the mean shape decreases. It is clear that the mean shape changes with viscosity, where at lower viscosities, the mean shape is closer to the body, and at higher viscosities, the mean shape is in front of the body. The same visual trend is apparent in Figure 1, where the stroke is closer to the body at lower viscosities and in front of the body at higher viscosities.

The trend in mean shape with viscosity is much more obvious to the eye than the subtle trends and changes in shape seen in previous sections. What is unknown is how these clear trends for mean shape along with the subtle trends in time-varying stroke affect the organism's swimming speed, which we investigate in Section 3.




Figure 6: Mean flagellar shape colored according to viscosity.

3 Swimming Simulations

As shown in Figure 2, the swimming speed, the frequency of the flagellar beat, and the non-dimensional speed all decrease with viscosity. This implies both the frequency and the flagellar stroke change with viscosity and directly affect the swimming speed. In this section we quantify how the changes in stroke affect the speed using a swimming simulation.

Beyond recognizing how change in shape with viscosity affects swimming speed, we want to understand how the choice of low dimensional reconstruction affects the swimming behavior. Figure 7 shows shape reconstructions for different numbers of shape basis modes and different number of frequencies in the Fourier series fit for the time-dependent coefficients. As expected, when the number of shape modes and frequencies increases, the stroke shape approaches that of the data. Specifically, the behavior in the flagellum tip looks different in each reconstruction. We analyze how each reconstruction affects the speed to determine which shape reconstruction to use in simulations.




Figure 7: Flagellar shape reconstruction example in a Newtonian fluid with viscosity 6.00 cP, using different numbers of shape basis modes and frequencies. The difference between reconstructions and the experimental data is most apparent near the flagellum tip.

3.1 Methods

We develop a three-dimensional computational model of a chlamy cell swimming in a Newtonian fluid at zero Reynolds number. The flagellar gait is prescribed from the shape reconstructions in Section 2, where the position and velocity are given in the body frame. An ellipsoidal body models the cell, and the flagella are attached at the angle 0.2147 radians from the major axis, where this angle is generated by the average of the attachment angles from all cells. The simulation is done non-dimensionally, where the length is scaled by the flagellar length and the non-dimensional time scale is the period of the flagellar beat, as found in the linear fit in Figure 4b. Thus, the flagella are given length one. The major radius of the cell body in the swimming direction is 0.4873, which is chosen as the average across all cells in the data set. The other radii of the body are chosen to be 80% of the length of the major radius, since chlamy are generally ellipsoidal [10]. We use the method of regularized Stokeslets [4] to compute the swimming speed of the model chlamy based on a prescribed stroke; see Appendix B for more details.

3.2 Results

3.2.1 Reconstruction Shape Space Dimension Determination

In this section we utilize swimming speeds from the numerical simulation to quantify how the swimming speed is affected by the number of shape basis modes and frequencies used for the flagellar shape reconstruction.

In Figure 8, we compare different numbers of shape modes and frequencies for the time-dependent coefficients. Based on shape mode analysis, six shape modes statistically gives 99.4% of the data. Generally, for the Fourier series representations of the time-dependent coefficients, as seen in one example in Figure 4, there are two dominant frequencies in most cells, where some cells have notable peaks at the third or fourth frequencies (ie, the second or third harmonic) in higher shape modes. Thus, we compare speeds to those from a reconstruction using six shape modes and four frequencies.

In Figure 8, the relative speed difference for single frequencies is essentially the same for different numbers of shape modes. First, we focus on two shape modes, where the relative speed differences for different number of frequencies are as large as 20% and average around 5%, as shown with the red bars in Figure 8. When increasing to four shape modes and two frequencies, the speed difference is generally less than 3% with an average change close to 2%. As the number of frequencies and shape modes is increased beyond four shape modes and two frequencies, relative speed differences drop below 2%. Thus, as shown in Figure 8, even though the results do not vary greatly between reconstructions, we choose to use four shape modes and two frequencies in the reconstruction for the rest of our analysis.




Figure 8: Relative differences in swimming speed for different number of shape modes and frequencies compared to the speed of the reconstruction with six shape modes and four frequencies.

3.2.2 Speed Decreases with Viscosity

The cell swimming speed for the experimental data and the simulation are compared using the reconstruction with four shape basis modes and two frequencies in Figure 9. There is some variation between the data and the simulation, but many factors may account for these differences. The body size, length of the flagella, and position at which the flagella were attached to the body are all held fixed in the simulation, but these vary slightly in the data due to cell variation. The simulation was computed in free space, but the experiment was performed in a thin film to keep the cells swimming in the plane. These changes in the simulation may account for the data and model differences. Despite differences, the overall trend of the dimensionless speed from the simulation is the same as that of the data. As the viscosity increases from 1.00 to 6.00 cP, the mean speed is approximately halved in both the simulation and the data.




Figure 9: Comparison of non-dimensional speed for all cells and the simulation based on a reconstruction with four shape modes and two Fourier modes.

3.2.3 Changes in Mean Shape Primarily Cause Speed to Decrease with Viscosity

In Figure 9, the mean shape and the time-varying stroke from each cell change with viscosity and the non-dimensional speed decreases with viscosity. It is not clear how the changes in mean shape and stroke contribute to this change in speed, and thus we vary these two factors independently to quantify how each affects the swimming speed.

We first look at the effect of time-varying stroke on the swimming speed by holding the mean shape fixed and varying the stroke with viscosity. Figure 10 depicts two examples, where one mean shape is fixed from




Figure 10: Speed comparison where mean shape is fixed and stroke varies with viscosity. Blue circles give speeds from the simulation with a fixed mean shape from a cell in viscosity 1.00 cP and green circles give speeds from the simulation with a fixed mean shape from a cell in viscosity 6.00 cP, where mean shapes are shown on the right of the figure in the corresponding color. The open red squares depict the speed where the mean shape and stroke both vary with viscosity.

a low viscosity cell with viscosity 1.00 cP and the other mean shape is fixed from a high viscosity cell with viscosity 6.00 cP. When the mean shape is fixed, the changes in speed are small. Since the R^2 values are less than 0.14, this small decrease in speed is not correlated to the change in viscosity and thus the time-varying stroke does not have a strong effect on the swimming speed. The changes to swimming speed with varying stroke are on scale with cell variation, as demonstrated in the difference in size of swimming speeds for the different cells in viscosity 1.00 cP in Figure 9.

Figure 11 shows how the mean shape affects the swimming speed by holding the stroke fixed and varying the mean shape in simulations. One fixed stroke is from a cell swimming in water and the other stroke is from a cell swimming in a fluid with a viscosity 6.00 cP. The swimming speeds are similar in Figure 11 whether the time-varying stroke changes or is fixed with viscosity. The data points fall on nearby trend lines with similar slopes and R^2 values. The R^2 values demonstrate that the correlation between speed and viscosity does not change whether the stroke is fixed or not, which highlights the important effect of the mean shape on the swimming speed.




Figure 11: Speed comparison where the stroke is held fixed and mean shape varies with viscosity. Blue circles represent speeds from the simulation with a fixed stroke from a cell in viscosity 1.00 cP and green circles represent speeds from the simulation with a fixed stroke from a cell in viscosity 6.00 cP, where the strokes are depicted on the right of the figure in the corresponding color. The open red squares depict the speed where the mean shape and stroke both vary with viscosity.

Figures 10 and 11 show the effects of mean shape and time-varying stroke on swimming speed for two specific cells. Figure 12a gives the swimming speed for all combinations of mean shape and time-varying stroke across each cell in the data. Figure 12b shows that for a fixed stroke, as the mean shape changes, the speed decreases approximately by a factor of two. Note that in this figure, for cells in the same viscosity, we show the average speed across these cells. We further see that the time-varying stroke is not correlated with viscosity by looking at the ordering of the height of the line segments in Figure 12b, which do not correspond with viscosity. This result from Figure 12b is consistent with what was observed in Figure 11.

In Table 1, for each cell, we compare the variation of the stroke changes where the mean shape is fixed. This table looks at the standard deviation normalized by the "natural" speed, or the speed where the stroke and mean shape are from the same cell. These variations are compared to that of cell variation for swimming speeds from cells with the same viscosity. The variation for cells with viscosity 1.00 cP is 12.84%, and for viscosity 6.00 cP, the cell variation is 9.59%. While a few of the values in Table 1 are slightly larger than these cell variation values, the average of the values in this table is 14.53%, which implies that the majority




Figure 12: (a) Swimming speed values for each pair of strokes and mean shapes across the data set. Columns corresponds to a fixed mean shape and rows corresponds to a fixed stroke. (b) Comparison of speed with the stroke fixed. For viscosities with multiple cells, the average of the different speeds is shown. Each connected line segment represents a simulation with varying mean and fixed stroke from a cell at a specific viscosity.

Vis. (cP)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.74	2.61	3.45	3.45	4.01	6.00	6.00
% Var.	12.30	12.62	10.58	12.41	13.45	18.08	17.00	11.21	20.59	17.02

Table 1: Variation of swimming speed, calculated by taking the standard deviation of all of the swimming speeds with a particular fixed mean shape and varying stroke and dividing by the "natural" speed, where the stroke and mean shape are from the same cell. The viscosity in the first row denotes that of the mean shape.

of minute differences in swimming speed from stroke changes are similar to differences in swimming speed from cell variation. Therefore, the changes in stroke are not strongly correlated with changes in viscosity.

Both Figure 12 and Table 1 demonstrate that across all cells, the change in mean shape is the primary cause in the change of non-dimensional speed with viscosity, and the change in time-dependent stroke is not correlated with viscosity changes.

4 Discussion

Swimming microorganisms change their gait in response to changes in fluid rheology. We study how strokes change with incremental changes in viscosity over a range between 1 and 6 cP using shape mode analysis and a swimming simulation. We first examine how the dimensionality of the flagellar gait affects the swimming speed. Previously, the shape space has been commonly shown to be two dimensional [29], since the first two shape modes make up over 90% of the data variability. We quantify the effect of adding more shape modes and Fourier modes by examining how the change in reconstruction affects swimming behavior, as seen in Figure 8. We see that with the higher number of shape modes, more frequencies in the Fourier series fit of the time-dependent coefficients are needed. Thus, we use a reconstruction of the flagellar gait involving four shape basis modes and two temporal frequencies in our swimming simulation to quantify how flagellar shape changes affect swimming speed.

The mean shape changes substantially with viscosity, as seen in Figure 6, and is shown to be an important contributor to the change in non-dimensional swimming speed. As depicted in Figures 10-12, the change in mean shape with viscosity majorly contributes to the change in swimming speed. While the small changes in time-varying stroke from shape mode analysis indicate a small dependence on viscosity, as visualized in Figure 5, we measure the significance of these changes in stroke by quantifying their effect on the swimming

speed, and we find these speed changes to be on the scale of cell variation. Wilson et. al [30] noted that the amplitude of the stroke changes with viscosity. We find that amplitude of the time-varying stroke is not sensitive to fluid viscosity, and the change in mean shape is responsible for the observed change in the amplitude of the flagellar beat. Thus, it is clear that the mean shape is the main contributor to the change in speed with viscosity, but the biophysical mechanism responsible for regulating the mean shape is known.

Appendix A Phase Space Comparison - Ratio Table

Here we quantify the apparent change in aspect ratio of the loops in Figure 5 with the number of frequencies used in the reconstruction at different viscosities. Table 2 gives the ratios of the two-norm of the Fourier coefficients of $V_{1,N}^j$ and $V_{2,N}^j$ for each cell. The norm of $V_{k,N}^j$ is given as follows, using the definition of $V_{k,N}^j$ from equation (4):

$$C_{k,N}^j = \|V_{k,N}^j(t)\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^N (A_{k,n}^j)^2 + (B_{k,n}^j)^2}. \quad (5)$$

We define the ratios as shown in Table 2 as

$$R_N^j = \frac{C_{1,N}^j}{C_{2,N}^j}. \quad (6)$$

Vis. (cP)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.74	2.61	3.45	3.45	4.01	6.00	6.00
$N = 1$	0.94	0.98	0.89	0.96	0.99	1.14	1.09	0.99	1.11	1.02
$N = 2$	0.91	0.95	0.89	0.96	0.99	1.15	1.10	1.01	1.11	1.07
$N = 3$	0.92	0.97	0.89	0.97	0.99	1.15	1.09	1.02	1.12	1.07

Table 2: This table describes ratios R_N^j , where the ratio is described in equation (6), for $N = 1, 2, 3$.

The first row of the table corresponds to Figure 5a, the second row corresponds to Figure 5b, and the last row corresponds to Figure 5c. Table 2 shows that the ratio values are less than 1 for viscosities less than 3 cP, and for viscosities larger than 3 cP, the ratio value is generally larger than 1. This trend in ratio values corresponds to the viscosity trend that was noted in Figure 5 for higher number of frequencies, as the loops of lower viscosity tended to elongate in the V_2 direction, which corresponds to the ratios being less than one, and vice versa. However, for one frequency, the ratio trends are visible in the table but not visually apparent in Figure 5A. Since the quantitative values of the ratios in the table do not change significantly with frequency, they do not provide much information about how the number of frequencies affects the flagellum shape or the swimming behavior.

Appendix B Simulation Details

We discretize a model flagellum into equally spaced points, where the spacing is $\Delta s = 0.0833$. The model body is discretized using maximum determinant points on a sphere [31], with the average spacing between points is approximately Δs and then the body is scaled to the shape of an ellipsoid.

We model the fluid using the steady Stokes equations due to the scale of the problem:

$$-\nabla p + \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{F} \quad (7)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0. \quad (8)$$

To solve for the swimming speed of a chlamy, we used the method of regularized Stokeslets [4]. Using regularized Stokeslets with a set of discrete points on the microswimmer, generally we relate the forces to the velocities as follows:

$$M\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{U}_L. \quad (9)$$

\mathbf{U}_L is the speed in the lab frame and M is the mobility matrix from the regularized Stokeslet. We used the blob function and regularized Stokeslet from [5].

To speed up the computation time, we employ both a course mesh and a fine mesh for the cell body. The unknown forces are represented on the course mesh, but the method of regularized Stokeslets is employed on the fine mesh. The forces on the coarse mesh are interpolated to the fine mesh using spherical harmonics, and the resulting velocities computed with the method of regularized Stokeslets are projected onto the course mesh, again using spherical harmonics.

The reconstruction of the flagellar shapes discussed in Section 2 corresponds to the gait in the body frame. Let \mathbf{X}_b denote the position of the swimmer in the body frame. To find the position of the swimmer in the lab frame \mathbf{X}_L , we relate these two positions through a translation and rotation

$$\mathbf{X}_L = R(\mathbf{X}_b - \mathbf{X}_0) + \mathbf{X}_T, \quad (10)$$

where R is a rotation matrix, \mathbf{X}_T is the position of a reference point in the lab frame, and \mathbf{X}_0 is the reference point in the body frame. \mathbf{X}_T and R evolve with time in the simulation, and \mathbf{X}_T is initially set to the origin while R is initially set to the identity.

In order to find the swimming speed in the lab frame, we take the derivative of the equation (10), and after rearranging, get the following equation:

$$\mathbf{U}_L = \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times (\mathbf{X}_b - \mathbf{X}_0) + R\mathbf{U}_b + \mathbf{U}_T, \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{U}_b = \partial_t \mathbf{X}_b$ is defined as the body frame velocity. There are three unknowns in this equation: \mathbf{U}_L , the velocity of the swimmer in the lab frame; $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, the rotational velocity; and \mathbf{U}_T , the velocity of the reference point \mathbf{X}_T . Since we have three unknowns, we add in constraints that the net force and net torque are zero. Using equation (9) leads to the following set of equations:

$$M\mathbf{F} - \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times (\mathbf{X}_L - \mathbf{X}_T) - \mathbf{U}_T = R\mathbf{U}_b \quad (12)$$

$$\sum \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{0} \quad (13)$$

$$\sum (\mathbf{X}_L - \mathbf{X}_T) \times \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (14)$$

References

- [1] P. BAYLY AND K. WILSON, *Equations of interdoublet separation during flagella motion reveal mechanisms of wave propagation and instability*, Biophysical Journal, 107 (2014), pp. 1756–1772.
- [2] S. CAMALET AND F. JÜLICHER, *Generic aspects of axonemal beating*, New Journal of Physics, 2 (2000), p. 324.
- [3] B. CHAKRABARTI AND D. SAINTILLAN, *Spontaneous oscillations, beating patterns, and hydrodynamics of active microfilaments*, Phys. Rev. Fluids, 4 (2019), p. 043102.
- [4] R. CORTEZ, *The method of regularized stokeslets*, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 23 (2001), pp. 1204–1225.
- [5] R. CORTEZ, L. FAUCI, AND A. MEDOVIKOV, *The method of regularized stokeslets in three dimensions: analysis, validation, and application to helical swimming*, Physics of Fluids, 17 (2005), p. 031504.
- [6] E. GAFFNEY, H. GADÉLHA, D. SMITH, J. BLAKE, AND J. KIRKMAN-BROWN, *Mammalian sperm motility: Observation and theory*, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics, 43 (2011), pp. 501–528.
- [7] A. GHOLAMI, R. AHMAD, A. J. BAE, A. PUMIR, AND E. BODENSCHATZ, *Waveform of free, hinged and clamped axonemes isolated from C. reinhardtii: influence of calcium*, New Journal of Physics, 24 (2022), p. 053025.
- [8] R. E. GOLDSTEIN, *Green algae as model organisms for biological fluid dynamics*, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics, 47 (2015), pp. 343–375.

- [9] J. S. GUASTO, J. B. ESTRADA, F. MENOLASCINA, L. J. BURTON, M. PATEL, C. FRANCK, A. E. HOSOI, R. K. ZIMMER, AND R. STOCKER, *Flagellar kinematics reveals the role of environment in shaping sperm motility*, Journal of The Royal Society Interface, 17 (2020), p. 20200525.
- [10] E. H. HARRIS, *The Chlamydomonas Sourcebook*, Academic Press, 1989.
- [11] M. HINES AND J. J. BLUM, *Bend propagation in flagella*, Biophys. J., 23 (1978), pp. 41–57.
- [12] K. ISHIMOTO, H. GADÊLHA, E. A. GAFFNEY, D. J. SMITH, AND J. KIRKMAN-BROWN, *Coarse-graining the fluid flow around a human sperm*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 118 (2017), p. 124501.
- [13] K. ISHIMOTO, H. GADÊLHA, E. A. GAFFNEY, D. J. SMITH, AND J. KIRKMAN-BROWN, *Human sperm swimming in a high viscosity mucus analogue*, Journal of Theoretical Biology, 446 (2018), pp. 1–10.
- [14] G. S. KLINDT, C. RULOFF, C. WAGNER, AND B. M. FRIEDRICH, *Load response of the flagellar beat*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 117 (2016), p. 258101.
- [15] G. S. KLINDT, C. RULOFF, C. WAGNER, AND B. M. FRIEDRICH, *In-phase and anti-phase flagellar synchronization by waveform compliance and basal coupling*, New Journal of Physics, 19 (2017), p. 113052.
- [16] E. LAUGA AND T. R. POWERS, *The hydrodynamics of swimming microorganisms*, Reports on Progress in Physics, 72 (2009), p. 096601.
- [17] C. LI, B. QIN, A. GOPINATH, P. E. ARRATIA, B. THOMASES, AND R. D. GUY, *Flagellar swimming in viscoelastic fluids: Role of fluid elastic stress revealed by simulations based on experimental data*, Journal of The Royal Society Interface, 14 (2017), p. 20170289.
- [18] C. B. LINDEMANN, *A "geometric clutch" hypothesis to explain oscillations of the axoneme of cilia and flagella*, Journal of Theoretical Biology, 168 (1994), pp. 175–189.
- [19] C. B. LINDEMANN, *A model of flagellar and ciliary functioning which uses the forces transverse to the axoneme as the regulator of dynein activation*, Cell Motility, 29 (1994), p. 141–154.
- [20] R. MA, G. S. KLINDT, I. H. RIEDEL-KRUSE, F. JÜLICHER, AND B. M. FRIEDRICH, *Active phase and amplitude fluctuations of flagellar beating*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 113 (2014), p. 048101.
- [21] A. MAĆKIEWICZ AND W. RATAJCZAK, *Principal components analysis (PCA)*, Computers & Geosciences, 19 (1993), pp. 303–342.
- [22] I. MINOURA AND R. KAMIYA, *Strikingly different propulsive forces generated by different dynein-deficient mutants in viscous media*, Cell motility and the cytoskeleton, 31 (1995), p. 130–139.
- [23] A. NANDAGIRI, A. S. GAIKWAD, D. L. POTTER, R. NOSRATI, J. SORIA, M. K. O'BRYAN, S. JADHAV, AND R. PRABHAKAR, *Flagellar energetics from high-resolution imaging of beating patterns in tethered mouse sperm*, eLife, 10 (2021), p. e62524.
- [24] D. ORIOLA, H. GADÊLHA, AND J. CASADEMUNT, *Nonlinear amplitude dynamics in flagellar beating*, Royal Society Open Science, 4 (2017), p. 160698.
- [25] B. QIN, A. GOPINATH, J. YANG, J. P. GOLLUB, AND P. E. ARRATIA, *Flagellar kinematics and swimming of algal cells in viscoelastic fluids*, Scientific Reports, 5 (2015), p. 9190.
- [26] I. H. RIEDEL-KRUSE, A. HILFINGER, J. HOWARD, AND F. JÜLICHER, *How molecular models shape the flagellar beat*, HFSP, 1 (2007), pp. 192–208.
- [27] A. SOLOVEV AND B. M. FRIEDRICH, *Synchronization in cilia carpets: Multiple metachronal waves are stable, but one wave dominates*, New Journal of Physics, 24 (2022), p. 013015.

- [28] B. J. WALKER, S. PHUYAL, K. ISHIMOTO, C.-K. TUNG, AND E. A. GAFFNEY, *Computer-assisted beat-pattern analysis and the flagellar waveforms of bovine spermatozoa*, Royal Society Open Science, 7 (2020), p. 200769.
- [29] S. WERNER, J. C. RINK, I. H. RIEDEL-KRUSE, AND B. M. FRIEDRICH, *Shape mode analysis exposes movement patterns in biology: Flagella and flatworms as case studies*, PLoS ONE, 9 (2014), pp. 1–21.
- [30] K. S. WILSON, O. GONZALEZ, S. K. DUTCHER, AND P. V. BAYLY, *Dynein-deficient flagella respond to increased viscosity with contrasting changes in power and recovery strokes*, Cytoskeleton, 72 (2015), pp. 477–490.
- [31] R. WOMERSLEY, *Maximum determinant (Fekete, Extremal) points on the sphere*. <https://web.maths.unsw.edu.au/~rsw/Sphere/MaxDet/>, 6 2020.
- [32] D. M. WOOLLEY AND G. G. VERNON, *Functional state of the axonemal dyneins during flagellar bend propagation*, Biophysical Journal, 83 (2002), p. 2162–2169.
- [33] T. YAGI, I. MINOURA, A. FUJIWARA, R. SAITO, T. YASUNAGA, M. HIRONO, AND R. KAMIYA, *An axonemal dynein particularly important for flagellar movement at high viscosity*, Journal of Biological Chemistry, 280 (2005), p. 41412–41420.