

# Real-time Cross-modal Cybersickness Prediction in Virtual Reality

Yitong Zhu, Tangyao Li, Yuyang Wang\*

The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou)

yitongzhu@hkust-gz.edu.cn, tli724@connect.hkust-gz.edu.cn, yuyangwang@hkust-gz.edu.cn

## Abstract

*Cybersickness remains a significant barrier to the widespread adoption of immersive virtual reality (VR) experiences, as it can greatly disrupt user engagement and comfort. Research has shown that cybersickness can significantly be reflected in head and eye tracking data, along with other physiological data (e.g., TMP, EDA, and BMP). Despite the application of deep learning techniques such as CNNs and LSTMs, these models often struggle to capture the complex interactions between multiple data modalities and lack the capacity for real-time inference, limiting their practical application. Addressing this gap, we propose a lightweight model that leverages a transformer-based encoder with sparse self-attention to process bio-signal features and a PP-TSN network for video feature extraction. These features are then integrated via a cross-modal fusion module, creating a video-aware bio-signal representation that supports cybersickness prediction based on both visual and bio-signal inputs. Our model, trained with a lightweight framework, was validated on a public dataset containing eye and head tracking data, physiological data, and VR video, and demonstrated state-of-the-art performance in cybersickness prediction, achieving a high accuracy of 93.13% using only VR video inputs. These findings suggest that our approach not only enables effective, real-time cybersickness prediction but also addresses the long-standing issue of modality interaction in VR environments. This advancement provides a foundation for future research on multimodal data integration in VR, potentially leading to more personalized, comfortable and widely accessible VR experiences.*

## 1. Introduction


Virtual Reality (VR) is evolving rapidly, with advancements in graphics, motion tracking, and user comfort driving the development of new applications and immersive experiences [45]. These advancements have expanded VR's

reach across various fields, including healthcare [26], industrial safety [47], gaming [44], and more. Modern VR systems [18, 42] now integrate high-quality visual and audio components alongside sophisticated spatial tracking technologies, creating lifelike environments that enhance user immersion. However, cybersickness [6], a form of motion sickness that causes symptoms such as dizziness, nausea, and discomfort, continues to pose a significant barrier to the widespread adoption and prolonged use of VR. As a result, ongoing research focuses on understanding and mitigating the effects of cybersickness to improve user experience and VR usability.


Recent research has made progress in addressing some of these limitations. For instance, Kim et al. [29] developed a model that accounts for individual differences in VR cybersickness by relying on VR video sequences alone. They used EEG data for personalization, given its high correlation with cybersickness symptoms. However, using EEG as the primary individual feature overlooks the limitations of low-resolution EEG data, which may struggle to capture brief, rapidly occurring brain activity, potentially resulting in a loss of precise temporal information. Additionally, EEG data is challenging to obtain in real-world VR applications. Furthermore, although Kim et al. attempted to address these challenges with a multi-modal approach, their model does not fully consider the inter-relationships among different modalities [14].

To overcome this limitation, we choose the head and eye tracking with the physiological data instead of the EEG as the above factors also show high correlation with the cybersickness as the EEG is like what is shown in Fig. 1. With the development of cross-modal learning, there has been extensive research in the area of multi-modal fusion [51, 52]. Our work is a pioneering effort that addresses both modality collaboration and modality entanglement of the bio-signal and the video content. Our network design incorporates shared functional modules to encourage collaboration across modalities while preserving modality-specific modules to handle the challenges of modality entanglement. However, studies using time-series data [15, 17, 20, 22, 35] as what we use in our research still face additional chal-

\*Corresponding author. Email: yuyangwang@hkust-gz.edu.cn



(a) The head motion comparison.



(b) The eye motion comparison.

Figure 1. Example of the eye and head tracing data evoked by different users. Each users shows different cybersickness levels in the same content.

lenges. For instance, the high computational cost of processing these data types limits real-time application, leaving current models insufficiently adaptive to user behavior and environmental changes.

Therefore, for video-aware bio-signal representation learning, we will employ a transformer-based model with sparse self-attention to reduce computational costs while extracting bio-signal features. At the same time, a PP-TSN-based encoder network captures visual features from the video. These bio-signal and visual features are then combined in a fusion module to generate the video-aware bio-signal representation. This representation is subsequently used to retrain the PP-TSN-based network, enabling it to extract both visual and cognitive features for personalized cybersickness prediction using only VR video content.

The paper has three contributions shown as follows:

- We introduce a novel deep learning framework for time-series analysis that estimates physiological states using sensor data within a sparse self-attention. This method captures dependencies over extended time-series data, enabling more robust temporal modeling.
- We are the pioneer in integrating a cross-modal attention mechanism into this framework, allowing the model to capture internal relationships and correlations across different modalities within the dataset. This enables more effective sensor data representation.
- We propose a lightweight model architecture capable of real-time inference, personalized to individual characteristics, facilitating efficient and responsive applications.

## 2. Related Work

### 2.1. Cybersickness Evaluation

The severity and symptoms of cybersickness vary among individuals [24, 49], leading to the development of various methods for its evaluation. These methods are broadly categorized into subjective and physiological approaches. Among subjective methods, questionnaires are widely used

for their simplicity and ease of implementation. The Simulator Sickness Questionnaire (SSQ) [24] is a common tool that assesses overall sickness and three specific sub-scales (nausea, oculomotor issues, and disorientation) by asking participants to rate sixteen symptoms before and/or after completing a task. While questionnaires like the SSQ provide a straightforward approach to assessing sickness levels, some experiments may require continuous monitoring of severity throughout the task. For this purpose, simplified questionnaires, such as the Fast Motion Sickness Scale (FMS) [25] and the Misery Scale (MISC) [3, 5], offer faster and more frequent assessments of discomfort. The FMS uses a verbal scale ranging from 0 (no sickness) to 20 (extreme sickness), while the MISC emphasizes nausea as an early indicator, with a score of 6 marking the onset of moderate symptoms.

Despite their convenience, questionnaires have certain drawbacks. Typically completed before and/or after an experiment, they require participants to recall their symptoms retrospectively, which can introduce recall bias [10]. Moreover, individual differences in symptom perception may lead to subjectivity in reporting, potentially affecting accuracy. To address these issues, physiological measures of cybersickness have been explored. Real-time monitoring of physiological signals, such as heart rate (HR) [53], electrodermal activity (EDA) [48], photoplethysmography (PPG) [1], and electroencephalography (EEG) [7], can capture cybersickness levels continuously and objectively without disrupting the user’s immersion in the virtual environment.

### 2.2. Prediction Using Machine Learning Architectures

In recent years, interest has grown in predicting cybersickness from VR video content, as exceptional motion is known to correlate strongly with cybersickness symptoms. Early work by Kim et al. [27] used a deep convolutional autoencoder to encode the spacial and temporal features of the

exceptional motion, achieving a *Pearson* linear correlation coefficient of 0.92. Following this, Kim et al. [28] developed VRSA Net, a deep generative network that predicts VR sickness caused by exceptional motion in 360° videos, contributing to objective VR sickness assessment using VR video alone. Additional approaches have applied various VR video features to predict sickness. For instance, Jeong et al. [23] employed the stereoscopic images from VR Video to encode visual differences and human attention-based disparity features by using a Siamese network and deep convolutional neural networks, while Padmanaban et al. [40] used single frames, disparities, and optical flow, achieving a root mean square error (RMSE) of 12.00. Balasubramanian et al. [2] addressed visual discomfort due to camera motion in wide field-of-view videos by creating a dataset of 100 monoscopic videos with diverse camera trajectories; they used camera shake, velocity, and scene depth as predictive features. More recently, Kim et al. [30] leveraged spatial and temporal domain features expressed over generated frames with a neurological representation. Moreover, Li et al. [36] firstly constructed an unsupervised network by using paired stereo screenshots with left and right eye images. Together, these studies demonstrate a range of predictive features and approaches that advance VR sickness assessment using only VR video content.

Beyond VR content, researchers have widely explored other signal data for cybersickness prediction. In a foundational study, Dennison et al. [12] demonstrated a correlation between cybersickness and various signals collected during VR experiences, including video content, eye and head movement, and physiological responses. Since then, approaches using physiological sensor data have proliferated. For example, Jeong et al. [21] extracted cybersickness-related features from EEG data, achieving prediction accuracy rates of 0.98 with convolutional neural network (CNN) and deep neural network (DNN) models. Other neurophysiological data, such as EDA and HR, have also been incorporated in prediction models. Islam et al. [15] introduced a convolutional long short-term memory (LSTM) classifier with 97.44% accuracy for detecting current cybersickness severity and 87.38% accuracy for future severity prediction using physiological signals. Building on this, Islam et al. [16] developed an adaptive VR framework that dynamically adjusts VR simulations based on user physiological data, using a trained LSTM model to assess cybersickness severity on a 0-10 scale. Then in 2023, LiteVR [31] has been proposed with higher accuracy and less inference time based, which has the fewer number of the features. Additionally, Qu et al. [43] trained an LSTM Attention neural network model using real-time physiological signals, including EDA and electrocardiogram, alongside the positional and rotational data of the users' virtual avatars.

### 2.3. Prediction Using Cross-Modal Learning

While many studies focus on either neurophysiological or non-physiological data, recent research indicates that combining these inputs can improve cybersickness prediction. Lee et al. [33] incorporated eye movement data by adding a saliency map alongside traditional motion velocity and depth features, thereby enhancing the model's feature set. Similarly, Kim et al. [29] introduced a two-stage model that first leverages EEG data and, in the second stage, utilizes a cybersickness prediction model within a recurrent neural network (RNN) framework, achieving a prediction accuracy of 0.89 by transferring an encoded cognitive representation to train on both visual and cognitive features. Moreover, Islam et al. [17] proposed a deep fusion model that combines commonly available HMD data, including eye-tracking, head-tracking, and stereoscopic gameplay video, to improve prediction performance.

Most recently, Jeong [20] demonstrated that combining head and eye tracking with EEG data in a transformer-based model yielded an RMSE of 0.25, further emphasizing the potential of cross-modal data fusion for more accurate predictions. Similarly, Jeong and Han [19] introduced a multimodal attention-based cybersickness prediction model, which utilizes multimodal sensor data, such as eye tracking, head movement, and physiological signals (EDA, blood volume pulse, and skin temperature). Moreover, Choi et al. [9] proposed a pre-trained LLM based on multimodal sensor data. It is able to make early predictions of cybersickness and achieve an RMSE of 1.696.

To the best of our knowledge, existing research still faces two major challenges: first, the lack of personalization in the results, and second, the high computational demands that limit real-time inference. Our approach addresses these issues by providing a lightweight model that leverages VR video and incorporates individualized cognitive data, including head and eye tracking data as well as physiological data. By integrating these data sources efficiently, our method not only supports personalization but also reduces computational requirements, making real-time inference feasible.

## 3. Proposed Algorithm

As illustrated in Fig. 2, our proposed model is composed of several key components: a bio-signal encoder module, a video encoder module, a universal layers module that acts as a multi-modal pivot, and a cross-modal fusion module. The model first employs two separate uni-modal encoders: one for encoding video data and the other for encoding bio-signal data. These encoders are designed to capture the inherent characteristics of each individual modality, ensuring that both visual and physiological information are accurately represented.




Figure 2. Overview of the proposed method’s framework. The model consists of two main components. Part 1: The network integrates video information with individual bio-signal features to create a video-aware bio-signal representation. Part 2: The network learns both visual and bio-signal representations, which are then used to predict cybersickness levels during VR video viewing.

Once the video and bio-signal data are encoded, their respective visual and cognitive representations are fed into the universal layers module. This module consists of multiple universal layers that serve to align the different modalities by projecting them into a shared semantic space. This projection facilitates cross-modal alignment while retaining the original features of each modality, which is crucial for maintaining the unique properties of the bio-signal and video inputs.

To further enhance the fusion of these modalities, a dedicated cross-modal fusion module is applied. This module integrates the aligned representations from both modalities, producing a robust cross-modal representation that can be leveraged for downstream tasks, such as prediction or classification. Through this architecture, our model effectively merges complementary information from bio-signals and video data, enabling a more comprehensive understanding of the user’s physiological and visual states.

### 3.1. Bio-signal Encoder Module

This process is designed to encode the cybersickness as a low-dimensional representation of the sensor data and turn the input data into a high-level feature representation. The current transformer-based approaches [20] have paid more attention to the temporal and spatial features and is lack of handling multi-modal information. However, our proposed method takes the long-distance dependency over the temporal domain by focusing on the key time step. Besides, in order to get the real-time light model, the time complexity should be lower from the present methods [8], which is  $O(n^2)$ . For those reasons, we encode the sensor data by the

following network, which is inspired by the previous long sequences across multiple data processing works.[8].




Figure 3. The framework of the bio-signal encoder.

In our model, the input bio-signal data follows the spatial and the temporal transformer consequently as what the Fig. 3 shows. Since Transformers do not have an inherent notion of sequence order, adding positional encoding helps the model understand the order of input time steps. The positional encoding can be computed based on the position of each time step in the sequence. The spatial transformer encoder is used to learn the spatial features of the same temporal index. After that, we concat the features and feed them into the temporal transformer. In both of the two transformers, we use the prob sparse self-attention mechanism instead of the origin self-attention. And the details of the mentioned mechanism are shown as Fig. 4.

In this process, we introduce the sparsity by using a threshold method. We set the threshold value as  $\theta$ , and the weight of the sparsity attention  $S$  can be formulated as fol-




Figure 4. The detailed implementation of the sparse self-attention. The part is used to replace the self-attention module in the transformer.

lows:

$$S_{ij} = \begin{cases} A_{ij} & \text{if } A_{ij} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

and the  $i$  and  $j$  are the index of the weight of the attention, a probability coefficient  $p_{ij}$  is introduced in order to represent the degree of the sparsity of the attention weights. Combining the sparse attention weights with the probability gives:

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{ij} = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}}{\sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{\alpha}_{ik}} \quad (2)$$

### 3.2. Video Encoder Module

To capture the visual features of the input video, we use the PP-TSN [38] as the video encoder to extract the video features and do the classification from the input video which uses the image distillation pre-training model ResNet50\_vd\_sslid.v2.pdparams as the Backbone initialization parameter. This part uses the video as the input and outputs the video features  $V^N$ .

### 3.3. Universal Layers Module

To enhance cross-modal collaboration, we introduce universal layers that model both vision and biosignal modalities within a shared semantic space, facilitating effective integration while preserving the unique representational characteristics of each modality.

Prior to the universal module, we extract a variable number of video features  $V^N$  from the video encoder, which are then processed to produce a fixed number  $k$  of visual tokens  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  to mitigate the computational complexity of the universal layers. In the  $i_{th}$  universal layer, the visual tokens  $V^{i-1}$  and the biosignal representation  $B^{i-1}$  are input into shared self-attention layers to align their semantics. Subsequently, the visual tokens are reintegrated into the original visual feature space through a cross-attention layer to preserve their original representation.

The concatenated representation  $[V^i, B^i]$  is then fed into the next universal layer iteratively to obtain the final common representation for images and text. Ultimately, the outputs of the universal layers  $[V^S; B^S]$  are combined

with the original representations  $[V^N; B^M]$  via a cross-attention layer, enabling biosignal-aware visual and visual-aware biosignal representations, where  $S$ ,  $N$ , and  $M$  denote the layers of the universal module, video encoder, and biosignal encoder, respectively.

### 3.4. Cross-Modal Fusion Module

To effectively capture the interactions between vision and language modalities, we enhance the fusion module proposed in ALBEF [34]. This module comprises a series of Transformer blocks integrated with cross-attention layers. In our implementation, we utilize biosignal embeddings  $\{b_{cls}, b_1, \dots, b_N\}$  from the universal layers, where  $b_{cls}$  denotes the embedding of the [CLS] token. The video encoder processes an input video  $V$  into a sequence of embeddings  $\{v_{cls}, v_1, \dots, v_N\}$ , which are subsequently fed into the Cross-Modal Encoder. At each layer of the multi-modal encoder, the video features are fused with the biosignal features through cross-attention. The biosignal-aware visual embeddings then engage in cross-attention with the visual-aware biosignal embeddings within a shared semantic space. By stacking Transformer blocks with cross-attention mechanisms, the fusion module produces multi-modal representations that effectively integrate both vision and language information.

### 3.5. Loss Definition

The loss of the model is made up of the classification loss and the regression loss as [29].

$$L = L_{pre} + \beta \cdot L_{reg} \quad (3)$$

Here,  $L_{pre}$  represents the standard cross-entropy loss between the cybersickness levels and the output unit of the final fully connected layer (FCL), while  $L_{reg}$  denotes the regression loss applied to the cognitive features. The parameter  $\beta$  is a constant used to adjust the balance between these two terms. The regression loss is calculated as the mean squared error (MSE) between the cognitive features and the cognitive representation.

$$L_{reg} = \|x_c - x_{cr}\|_2^2 \quad (4)$$

## 4. Experiment and Results

The structure of this section is as follows: first, we describe the implementation details, followed by tests conducted on two separate parts of the model. In Part 1, we demonstrate that the cross-modal fusion module effectively models cognitive representation with video-awareness. In Test 2, we confirm that our method significantly improves both inference time and accuracy when relying solely on VR video content.

### 4.1. Implementation Details

We implemented our model in PyTorch framework [41] and trained it with six GeForce RTX 4090 GPUs. For all experiments, we used the dataset proposed by Jeong et al. [22], which we split into training, validation, and test sets in a 7:1:2 ratio based on chronological order.

For the **bio-signal encoder**, we trained it for 500 epoches with a batch size of 24. To ensure the performance validity, we use 10-fold cross validation.

For the **video-encoder**, the initial weight of it based on the PP-TSN [46], which is initialized by the *ResNet50\_vd\_ssl\_d\_v2.params* [39] trained on the ImageNet [11]. We use the Amp optimizer to train the networks, with the momentum parameter is 0.9. We make the learning rate in the values of [0.01, 0.001, 0.0001], and the L2 decay is set to 0.0001. We trained it for 100 epochs and the batch size of it is 24.

### 4.2. Part one: Video-aware Bio-signal Representation Learning

Firstly, we tried to confirm that if the application of the sparse-attention module of our architecture outperformed the other methods. As shown in Tab. 1, all of the models use bio-signal data to predict the cybersickness level. We applied our dataset to three existing hand-crafted feature-based methods [15, 16, 20, 22]. Additionally, we tested their inference time of them. According to what is shown in it, the MAE(RMSE) performance of the proposed model is about 1%(2%) lower than the best one before, which is obvious that the application of the sparse-attention largely lowers the cost of computing, and makes the real-time prediction into reality.

|                   | network    | MAE         | RMSE        | fps  |
|-------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------|
| Islam et al. [15] | CNN-LSTM   | 0.54        | 0.38        | -    |
| Islam et al. [16] | CNN-LSTM   | 0.50        | 0.62        | 1.07 |
| MAC [22]          | CNN-LSTM   | 0.17        | 0.28        | -    |
| Precyse [20]      | Attention  | 0.12        | 0.25        | 2.21 |
| Ours              | Sparse-Att | <b>0.11</b> | <b>0.23</b> | 0.79 |

Table 1. The performance of the model which used bio-signal for learning only

Secondly, we examined whether the intern-relationship between bio-signals and VR videos can enhance the bio-signal representation. In our model, we use the cross-modal fusion module to achieve this. To test this, we separately used representations with and without the cross-modal fusion layer. Therefore, the structure of the baseline is identical to that of the proposed model, except for the inclusion of cross-model attention.

| architecture    | VA            | TA           |
|-----------------|---------------|--------------|
| CNN-LSTM        | 87.7%         | 89.3%        |
| CNN-RNN         | 85.2%         | 4.3%         |
| cross-modal att | <b>89.24%</b> | <b>90.7%</b> |

Table 2. The performance of the model with or without the modal fusion module

Tab. 2 presents the performance achieved by different frameworks based on the detailed models, in order to analyze modality collaboration and modality entanglement across the various models. The top-performing model for each evaluation criterion is highlighted in boldface. As shown in it, the video-aware bio-signal predictor outperforms the other methods. Notably, the incorporation of the inter-relationship between bio-signals and video yields significantly better results. This observation clearly indicates that considering this relationship enhances the model’s accuracy in predicting cybersickness.

|                        | RMSE        | VA            | TA            | fps  |
|------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|------|
| Padmanaban et al. [40] | 12.69       | 51.94%        | 50.48%        | 8.72 |
| Kim et al. [29]        | -           | 68.93%        | 69.03%        | -    |
| Ours                   | <b>3.54</b> | <b>87.56%</b> | <b>87.92%</b> | 4.35 |

Table 3. The performance of the model which used VR video for learning only

Additionally, the prediction accuracy and efficiency of the visual predictor outperformed the other methods. As shown in Tab. 3, compared to the results before, our proposed model has a great improvement which makes the real-time prediction achievable.

### 4.3. Part two: Cybersickness Learning

As demonstrated in the experiment by Kim et al. [29], we evaluated whether our model effectively captures individual differences in cognitive responses. Tab. 4 presents the prediction accuracy achieved using individual representations and associated features. The mean squared error (MSE) between the two is 0.23, a notable improvement over the initial 0.52, highlighting the significant role of video-aware cognitive representations in enhancing model performance.

This suggests that the cross-modal relationships between the modalities are effectively addressed during the cognitive representation learning process.

| type                   | acc | representation | features      |
|------------------------|-----|----------------|---------------|
| bio-signal             | VA  | 88.41%         | 84.97%        |
|                        | TA  | 88.05%         | 86.87%        |
| video-aware bio-signal | VA  | <b>91.32%</b>  | 89.42%        |
|                        | TA  | 90.73%         | <b>90.57%</b> |

Table 4. The comparison between the bio-signal and the video-aware bio-signal. Maximum validation accuracy (“VA”) and test accuracy (“TA”) at VA for different networks. The top model among the accuracies is in bold.

Moreover, since the bio-signal representations were derived from EEG data, our model demonstrates that it is possible to achieve even higher accuracy when incorporating head-eye tracking and physiological signals. This is particularly advantageous as it reduces the cost and complexity of data collection compared to traditional EEG-based approaches. Notably, in our experiment, the regression loss converged to nearly zero, underscoring that the model can accurately estimate the cognitive state from visual features alone, without relying on any bio-signal cues. This result further validates the effectiveness of our cross-modal fusion approach, which enables the extraction of meaningful cognitive insights from visual data, thereby reducing dependency on more intrusive and costly sensors.

To confirm that the proposed model effectively captures cognitive states using only VR video data, we tested three scenarios: a bio-signal predictor, a visual predictor, and a combined visual-bio-signal predictor. In the bio-signal predictor setup, we used video-aware bio-signal representations as inputs for prediction, as shown in the shaded area of Fig. 2. The visual predictor utilized only visual features, feeding them directly into the final fully connected layer (FCL) of the model. The visual-bio-signal predictor represented the full model, as depicted in Fig. 2.

| data modality  | VA           | TA           | fps  |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|------|
| video          | 87.5%        | 87.9%        | 4.35 |
| bio-signal     | 87.3%        | 90.1%        | 0.79 |
| all modalities | <b>91.4%</b> | <b>93.1%</b> | 7.43 |

Table 5. The performance of the models by different data modalities we use in model.

Comparing the results in Tab. 5, it is evident that the integrated learning of visual and bio-signal features significantly outperforms using either modality alone. Notably, the validation (test) performance of the full model

exceeded that of models using only visual or bio-signal predictors, demonstrating that incorporating cognitive features enhances the model’s ability to generalize individual differences, achieving higher prediction accuracy. Remarkably, these cognitive features were derived solely from visual inputs, without relying on objective bio-signals such as head and eye tracking, and so on, highlighting the model’s capability to infer cognitive states directly from visual cues alone.

## 5. Discussion

The most significant finding of this research lies in the development of a lightweight cross-modal learning framework that enables individualized cybersickness prediction based solely on VR content, seamlessly integrating bio-signals with VR video data. The results support the hypothesis that a multi-modal, personalized approach is more effective than traditional one-size-fits-all methods, which is also the initial goal of our research.

Our results align with prior research on the importance of personalized models as Figure shows, while demonstrating a more efficient and effective solution. Our model not only captures relationships across multiple data sources but also addresses the challenges of modality entanglement, providing a more holistic and scalable approach to predicting cybersickness, comparing with the previous studies [17, 22, 32]. In general, they often use the EEG data to represent individual personality. However, as shown in Figure X, we found that combining head and eye tracking with physiological data yields the same higher correlation with cybersickness levels, which makes the EEG data used by Kim et al. [29] and Islam et al. [16] replaceable. Our experimental results also provide an opportunity to consider the potential significance of other data features in understanding cybersickness. For instance, camera motion, known to be highly correlated with cybersickness in numerous studies [4, 37] was not a primary focus in our research. This omission could imply that participants experienced varying levels of cybersickness during specific time periods, underscoring the need to examine each time phase more closely. By comparing fluctuations in camera motion, other data features, and potentially even specific VR content elements, we can better understand factors that may intensify or alleviate cybersickness. Additionally, investigating the influence of data features over time is essential to develop a cybersickness prediction model grounded in precise and nuanced interpretations of these contributing elements [50].

Our experimental results showed that the replacement of the self-attention with the sparse attention and the improvement of the light backbone network is of great help in reducing the cost time(Section 3.1, Section 3.2). As the Table shows, both of the changes can get higher accuracy with less inference time. It should be noted that this study ap-

plied the existing dataset. The research gap still exists in combination of the real-time collection and pre-processing of the sensory data.

Although the model demonstrates strong performance on the current training and testing datasets, its focus on a limited set of VR content may restrict its applicability across different VR applications and genres. Additionally, factors such as user fatigue or stress may have influenced results despite efforts to control for these variables. Further research is needed to determine how well the findings generalize across a wider range of scenarios. To fully harness the model's potential, future studies should expand the dataset to include a more diverse range of user profiles, covering various age groups and levels of VR experience. Optimizing the model for compatibility with a broader spectrum of VR hardware and content types also remains essential. Finally, integrating additional bio-signals or environmental factors, such as field of view and content rendering [13], could provide further insights into factors affecting cybersickness.

## 6. Conclusion

In this work, we propose a lightweight model for real-time individual cybersickness prediction in terms of video-aware bio-signal representation learning and cybersickness learning. We apply the sparse self-attention in the process of encoding the bio-signal data which is based on the transformer in order to get the bio-signal features. In the meantime, the PP-TSN-based network has been used to get the visual features from the video. After inputting the visual features and the bio-signal features into the cross-modal fusion module, we can get the video-aware bio-signal representation. By matching the output to the specific features, we retrain the PP-TSN-based network in order to extract the visual cognitive features to make the cybersickness learning individually. We examine it on the MAC dataset and achieve state-of-the-art performance with less inference time.

## References

- [1] Makoto Abe, Makoto Yoshizawa, Norihiro Sugita, Akira Tanaka, Shigeru Chiba, Tomoyuki Yambe, and Shin-ichi Nitta. A method for evaluating effects of visually-induced motion sickness using ica for photoplethysmography. In *2008 30th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, pages 4591–4594. IEEE, 2008. 2
- [2] Suprith Balasubramanian and Rajiv Soundararajan. Prediction of discomfort due to egomotion in immersive videos for virtual reality. In *2019 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR)*, pages 169–177, 2019. 3
- [3] Jelte E Bos, Scott N MacKinnon, and Anthony Patterson. Motion sickness symptoms in a ship motion simulator: effects of inside, outside, and no view. *Aviation, space, and environmental medicine*, 76(12):1111–1118, 2005. 2
- [4] Jelte E. Bos, Sjoerd C. de Vries, Martijn L. van Emmerik, and Eric L. Groen. The effect of internal and external fields of view on visually induced motion sickness. *Applied Ergonomics*, 41(4):516–521, 2010. Special Section - The First International Symposium on Visually Induced Motion Sickness, Fatigue, and Photosensitive Epileptic Seizures (VIMS2007). 7
- [5] Jelte E Bos, Sjoerd C de Vries, Martijn L van Emmerik, and Eric L Groen. The effect of internal and external fields of view on visually induced motion sickness. *Applied ergonomics*, 41(4):516–521, 2010. 2
- [6] Eunhee Chang, Hyun-Taek Kim, and Byounghyun Yoo. Virtual reality sickness: A review of causes and measurements. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 36: 1–25, 2020. 1
- [7] Yu-Chieh Chen, Jeng-Ren Duann, Shang-Wen Chuang, Chun-Ling Lin, Li-Wei Ko, Tzzy-Ping Jung, and Chin-Teng Lin. Spatial and temporal eeg dynamics of motion sickness. *NeuroImage*, 49(3):2862–2870, 2010. 2
- [8] Rewon Child, Scott Gray, Alec Radford, and Ilya Sutskever. Generating long sequences with sparse transformers. *CoRR*, abs/1904.10509, 2019. 4
- [9] Yoonseon Choi, Dayoung Jeong, Bogoan Kim, and Kyungsik Han. Early prediction of cybersickness in virtual reality using a large language model for multimodal time series data. In *Companion of the 2024 on ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing*, pages 25–29, 2024. 3
- [10] Simon Davis, Keith Nesbitt, and Eugene Nalivaiko. A systematic review of cybersickness. In *Proceedings of the 2014 conference on interactive entertainment*, pages 1–9, New York, NY, USA, 2014. Association for Computing Machinery. 2
- [11] Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In *2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 248–255, 2009. 6
- [12] Mark S. Dennison, A. Zachary Wisti, and Michael D’Zmura. Use of physiological signals to predict cybersickness. *Displays*, 44:42–52, 2016. Contains Special Issue Articles – Proceedings of the 4th Symposium on Liquid Crystal Photonics (SLCP 2015). 3
- [13] Kunal Gupta, Sam W. T. Chan, Yun Suen Pai, Nicholas Strachan, John Su, Alexander Sumich, Suranga Nanayakkara, and Mark Billinghurst. Total vrecall: Using biosignals to recognize emotional autobiographical memory in virtual reality. *Proc. ACM Interact. Mob. Wearable Ubiquitous Technol.*, 6(2), 2022. 8
- [14] Yu Huang, Junyang Lin, Chang Zhou, Hongxia Yang, and Longbo Huang. Modality competition: What makes joint training of multi-modal network fail in deep learning? (provably), 2022. 1
- [15] Rifatul Islam, Yonggun Lee, Mehrad Jaloli, Imtiaz Muhammad, Dakai Zhu, Paul Rad, Yufei Huang, and John Quarles. Automatic detection and prediction of cybersickness severity

- using deep neural networks from user's physiological signals. In *2020 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR)*, pages 400–411, 2020. 1, 3, 6
- [16] Rifatul Islam, Samuel Ang, and John Quarles. Cybersense: A closed-loop framework to detect cybersickness severity and adaptively apply reduction techniques. In *2021 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces Abstracts and Workshops (VRW)*, pages 148–155, 2021. 3, 6, 7
- [17] Rifatul Islam, Kevin Desai, and John Quarles. Cybersickness prediction from integrated hmd's sensors: A multimodal deep fusion approach using eye-tracking and head-tracking data. In *2021 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR)*, pages 31–40, 2021. 1, 3, 7
- [18] Jin Yong Jeon, Hyun In Jo, and Kounseok Lee. Psycho-physiological restoration with audio-visual interactions through virtual reality simulations of soundscape and landscape experiences in urban, waterfront, and green environments. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 99:104929, 2023. 1
- [19] Dayoung Jeong and Kyungsik Han. Leveraging multimodal sensory information in cybersickness prediction. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM Symposium on Virtual Reality Software and Technology*, pages 1–2, 2022. 3
- [20] Dayoung Jeong and Kyungsik Han. Precyse: Predicting cybersickness using transformer for multimodal time-series sensor data. *Proc. ACM Interact. Mob. Wearable Ubiquitous Technol.*, 8(2), 2024. 1, 3, 4, 6
- [21] Daekyo Jeong, Sangbong Yoo, and Jang Yun. Cybersickness analysis with eeg using deep learning algorithms. In *2019 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces (VR)*, pages 827–835, 2019. 3
- [22] Dayoung Jeong, Seungwon Paik, YoungTae Noh, and Kyungsik Han. Mac: multimodal, attention-based cybersickness prediction modeling in virtual reality. *Virtual Reality*, 27:1–16, 2023. 1, 6, 7
- [23] Hyunwook Jeong, Hak Gu Kim, and Yong Man Ro. Visual comfort assessment of stereoscopic images using deep visual and disparity features based on human attention. In *2017 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP)*, pages 715–719, 2017. 3
- [24] Robert S Kennedy, Norman E Lane, Kevin S Berbaum, and Michael G Lilienthal. Simulator sickness questionnaire: An enhanced method for quantifying simulator sickness. *The international journal of aviation psychology*, 3(3):203–220, 1993. 2
- [25] Behrang Keshavarz and Heiko Hecht. Validating an efficient method to quantify motion sickness. *Human factors*, 53(4): 415–426, 2011. 2
- [26] Wee Khor, Benjamin Baker, Kavita Amin, Adrian Chan, Ketan Patel, and Jason Wong. Augmented and virtual reality in surgery-the digital surgical environment: Applications, limitations and legal pitfalls. *Annals of Translational Medicine*, 4:454–454, 2016. 1
- [27] Hak Gu Kim, Wissam J. Baddar, Heoun-taek Lim, Hyunwook Jeong, and Yong Man Ro. Measurement of exceptional motion in vr video contents for vr sickness assessment using deep convolutional autoencoder. In *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM Symposium on Virtual Reality Software and Technology*, New York, NY, USA, 2017. Association for Computing Machinery. 2
- [28] Hak Gu Kim, Heoun-Taek Lim, Sangmin Lee, and Yong Man Ro. Vrsa net: Vr sickness assessment considering exceptional motion for 360° vr video. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 28(4):1646–1660, 2019. 3
- [29] Jinwoo Kim, Woojae Kim, Heeseok Oh, Seongmin Lee, and Sanghoon Lee. A deep cybersickness predictor based on brain signal analysis for virtual reality contents. In *2019 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pages 10579–10588, 2019. 1, 3, 5, 6, 7
- [30] Jinwoo Kim, Heeseok Oh, Woojae Kim, Seonghwa Choi, Wookho Son, and Sanghoon Lee. A deep motion sickness predictor induced by visual stimuli in virtual reality. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 33(2):554–566, 2022. 3
- [31] Ripan Kumar Kundu, Rifatul Islam, John Quarles, and Khaza Anuarul Hoque. Litevr: Interpretable and lightweight cybersickness detection using explainable ai, 2023. 3
- [32] Sangmin Lee, Seong-Tae Kim, Hak Gu Kim, Min Seob Kim, Seokho Yun, Bumseok Jeong, and Yong Man Ro. Physiological fusion net: Quantifying individual vr sickness with content stimulus and physiological response. *2019 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP)*, pages 440–444, 2019. 7
- [33] Tae Min Lee, Jong-Chul Yoon, and In-Kwon Lee. Motion sickness prediction in stereoscopic videos using 3d convolutional neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 25(5):1919–1927, 2019. 3
- [34] Junnan Li, Ramprasaath R. Selvaraju, Akhilesh Deepak Gotmare, Shafiq R. Joty, Caiming Xiong, and Steven C. H. Hoi. Align before fuse: Vision and language representation learning with momentum distillation. *CoRR*, abs/2107.07651, 2021. 5
- [35] Ruichen Li, Yuyang Wang, Handi Yin, Jean-Rémy Chardonnet, and Pan Hui. A deep cybersickness predictor through kinematic data with encoded physiological representation. In *2023 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR)*, pages 1132–1141. IEEE, 2023. 1
- [36] Shuqing Li, Cuiyun Gao, Jianping Zhang, Yujia Zhang, Yepang Liu, Jiazhen Gu, Yun Peng, and Michael R. Lyu. Less cybersickness, please: Demystifying and detecting stereoscopic visual inconsistencies in virtual reality apps. *Proceedings of the ACM on Software Engineering*, 1(FSE), 2024. 3
- [37] Heeseok Oh and Wookho Son. Cybersickness and its severity arising from virtual reality content: A comprehensive study. *Sensors*, 22(4), 2022. 7
- [38] PaddlePaddle. pp-tsn.md, 2021. Accessed: November 4, 2024. 5
- [39] Paddlepaddle. Paddlevideo. [https://videotag.bj.bcebos.com/PaddleVideo/PretrainModel/ResNet50\\_vd\\_ssl\\_d\\_v2\\_pretrained.pdparams](https://videotag.bj.bcebos.com/PaddleVideo/PretrainModel/ResNet50_vd_ssl_d_v2_pretrained.pdparams), 2022. 2024.11.14. 6
- [40] Nitish Padmanaban, Timon Ruban, Vincent Sitzmann, Anthony M. Norcia, and Gordon Wetzstein. Towards a

- machine-learning approach for sickness prediction in 360° stereoscopic videos. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 24(4):1594–1603, 2018. 3, 6
- [41] Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, Alban Desmaison, Andreas Köpf, Edward Yang, Zach DeVito, Martin Raison, Alykhan Tejani, Sasank Chilamkurthy, Benoit Steiner, Lu Fang, Junjie Bai, and Soumith Chintala. Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library, 2019. 6
- [42] Thomas Potter, Zoran Cvetkovic, and Enzo Sena. On the relative importance of visual and spatial audio rendering on vr immersion. *Frontiers in Signal Processing*, 2:904866, 2022. 1
- [43] Chenxin Qu, Xiaoping Che, Siqi Ma, and Shuqin Zhu. Bio-physiological-signals-based vr cybersickness detection. *CCF Transactions on Pervasive Computing and Interaction*, 4, 2022. 3
- [44] Yuanyuan Ren, Chenli Lin, Qin Zhou, Zhang Yingyuan, Guodong Wang, and Aming Lu. Effectiveness of virtual reality games in improving physical function, balance and reducing falls in balance-impaired older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 108:104924, 2023. 1
- [45] William R. Sherman and Alan B. Craig. *Understanding Virtual Reality: Interface, Application, and Design*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 2002. 1
- [46] SigureMo. Paddlevideo. <https://github.com/PaddlePaddle/PaddleVideo>, 2022. 2024.11.14. 6
- [47] Ryo Toyoda, Fernando Russo Abegão, and Jarka Glassey. Vr-based health and safety training in various high-risk engineering industries: a literature review. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 19, 2022. 1
- [48] Roshan Venkatakrishnan, Rohith Venkatakrishnan, Ayush Bhargava, Kathryn Lucaites, Hannah Solini, Matias Volonte, Andrew Robb, Sabarish V Babu, Wen-Chieh Lin, and Yun-Xuan Lin. Comparative evaluation of the effects of motion control on cybersickness in immersive virtual environments. In *2020 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces (VR)*, pages 672–681. IEEE, 2020. 2
- [49] Yuyang Wang, Jean-Rémy Chardonnet, Frédéric Merienne, and Jivka Ovtcharova. Using fuzzy logic to involve individual differences for predicting cybersickness during vr navigation. In *2021 IEEE Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces (VR)*, pages 373–381. IEEE, 2021. 2
- [50] Yuyang Wang, Jean-Rémy Chardonnet, and Frédéric Merienne. Modeling online adaptive navigation in virtual environments based on pid control. In *International Conference on Neural Information Processing*, pages 325–346. Springer, 2023. 7
- [51] Xi Wei, Tianzhu Zhang, Yan Li, Yongdong Zhang, and Feng Wu. Multi-modality cross attention network for image and sentence matching. In *2020 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 10938–10947, 2020. 1
- [52] Zhiqiang Yuan, Wenkai Zhang, Kun Fu, Xuan Li, Chubo Deng, Hongqi Wang, and Xian Sun. Exploring a fine-grained multiscale method for cross-modal remote sensing image retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 60:1–19, 2022. 1
- [53] Krystyna Zużewicz, Antoni Saulewicz, Maria Konarska, and Zbigniew Kaczorowski. Heart rate variability and motion sickness during forklift simulator driving. *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics*, 17(4):403–410, 2011. 2