

# Multiscaled Multi-Head Attention-based Video Transformer Network for Hand Gesture Recognition

Mallika Garg, Debashis Ghosh, *Senior Member, IEEE* and Pyari Mohan Pradhan, *Member, IEEE*

**Abstract**—Dynamic gesture recognition is one of the challenging research areas due to variations in pose, size, and shape of the signer’s hand. In this letter, Multiscaled Multi-Head Attention Video Transformer Network (MsMHA-VTN) for dynamic hand gesture recognition is proposed. A pyramidal hierarchy of multiscale features is extracted using the transformer multiscaled head attention model. The proposed model employs different attention dimensions for each head of the transformer which enables it to provide attention at the multiscale level. Further, in addition to single modality, recognition performance using multiple modalities is examined. Extensive experiments demonstrate the superior performance of the proposed MsMHA-VTN with an overall accuracy of 88.22% and 99.10% on NVGesture and Briareo datasets, respectively.

**Index Terms**—Dynamic Gesture Recognition, Multi-modal recognition, Multi-head Attention, Multiscale Pyramid Attention, Video Transformer

## I. INTRODUCTION

With advancements in deep learning, its applications in the fields of pattern recognition and human-computer interaction have gained much attention. This enables the automatic extraction of self-learned features thereby reducing the need for manual extraction and selection of suitable discriminating features. This advancement is more prominent in recognizing dynamic gestures where spatio-temporal features are extracted. Earlier, Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)-based techniques [1] were used for sequential data. Since then, several variants of LSTMs and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) have been developed. The effects of the attention mechanism in LSTM are further explored in [2]. An attention-based Gated recurrent unit [3] and recurrent three-dimensional convolutional neural network (R3DCNN) [4] have also been developed for extracting temporal features for dynamic gesture recognition.

The attention mechanism decides and identifies the most important part of the gesture video sequence at each learning step. One such model which is based on the attention mechanism is the Transformer [5]. Transformers basically use an encoder and decoder to sequentially model the input to an output sequence, which can be used as an alternative to RNN-based and LSTM-based models. The encoder maps the input sequence and its positional encoding to some high-dimensional attention vector. Positional encoding may either be learned or fixed positional encoding. Few encoders are stacked together and the output of the final encoder is then fed

to the decoder. The decoder finally maps this high-dimensional attention vector to the final decoding vector.

Initially, transformers were proposed for Sequence-to-Sequence translation in natural language processing. Later, they were also applied to a sequence of image patches for image classification [6]. Previous studies show that Vision Transformer (ViT) and Data efficient Image Transformer (DeiT) [7] are two suitable models for using transformers in computer vision. A Transformer network can also be used for video recognition [8], [9]. In Video Transformer Networks (VTN), the spatial features from each frame are extracted using a pre-trained backbone network. Further, a transformer model that extracts multiscaled features using pooling in Multiscale Vision Transformers (MViT) was proposed in [10]. An improved version of MViT [11] incorporates decomposed relative positional embedding and residual pooling connections which outperforms the MViT in terms of accuracy and computational overhead.

The transformer-based model has also been proposed for Dynamic Hand Gesture Recognition [12]. Frame-level features are extracted from the backbone network which is subsequently combined with the temporal information of the frame. The basic transformer model lacks ordering information as the video data is received in parallel. This is dealt with a Gated Recurrent Unit-Relative Sign Transformer (GRU-RST) [13] which uses relative positional embedding. With recent advancements, better recognition performances in the case of continuous gestures have been reported in [14] and [15].

In this letter, a framework for the classification of dynamic hand gestures based on transformer architecture has been proposed. Single and multimodal inputs are used in the proposed method. Unlike the original transformer module, the proposed model employs multiscaled attention from different heads of the transformer in a pyramidal structure.

Summarizing, the major contributions of this letter are:

- 1) A multiscaled multi-head attention to capture multiscale features in a transformer model is proposed in which a pyramid of scaled attention is designed. This significantly enhances the performance over the original basic transformer network [5] for dynamic gesture recognition.
- 2) Single and multiple inputs from active data sensors are utilized for single-modal as well as multi-modal dynamic gesture recognition. These inputs are RGB colors, depth, infrared images, surface normals estimated from depth maps, and optical flow estimated from the RGB images.

The authors are with the Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee, India-247667, (e-mail: mallika@ec.iitr.ac.in, debashis.ghosh@ece.iitr.ac.in, pyari-mohan.pradhan@gmail.com).

3) Efficacy of the proposed framework is validated on two publicly released datasets, viz., NVidia Dynamic Hand Gesture and Briareo data and the proposed model leads to state-of-the-art results, compared to existing methods.

## II. MULTISCALED MULTI-HEAD TRANSFORMER

Let  $X = [X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$  denotes the input set of  $n$  gesture video samples, where  $X_i$  represents the  $i^{th}$  sample given in the form  $X_i = \{x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{it}\}$ , where  $x_{it}$  denotes the  $t^{th}$  frame of the  $i^{th}$  gesture video. The goal is to predict the class label to which an input video sample may belong.

### A. Revisiting Multi-Head Attention

The transformer model presented in [5] uses scaled dot-product attention and multi-head attention along with addition of positional encoding to the input embedding. The attention comprises of three vectors, viz., Query ( $\mathbf{Q}$ ), Key ( $\mathbf{K}$ ) and Value ( $\mathbf{V}$ ), which are mapped to the final output. Accordingly, the output is computed as

$$Attention(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V}) = softmax\left(\frac{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{K}^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)\mathbf{V}, \quad (1)$$

where  $d_k$  is the dimension of the key  $\mathbf{K}$ , and the query  $\mathbf{Q}$ .

In the transformer model, instead of using single attention for all the three vectors  $\mathbf{Q}$ ,  $\mathbf{K}$  and  $\mathbf{V}$ , having dimensions  $d_{model}$ , the attention maps are linearly projected onto  $h$  different heads. Conventionally, we take  $d_{model} = 512$  and  $h = 8$  for parallel attentions and the dimensions for query, key, and value are taken as  $d_k$ ,  $d_k$  and  $d_v = d_{model}/h = 64$ , respectively. Subsequently, the scaled dot-product in (1) is computed for each of the eight heads. The main aim of creating multi-head is that it represents the attention in different subspaces of same dimension which are learned in parallel.

Now, let  $W_i^{\mathbf{Q}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{model} \times d_k}$ ,  $W_i^{\mathbf{K}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{model} \times d_k}$ ,  $W_i^{\mathbf{V}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{model} \times d_v}$  are the respective learned weights for the three attention vectors  $\mathbf{Q}$ ,  $\mathbf{K}$ , and  $\mathbf{V}$ , corresponding to the  $i^{th}$  head, and  $W_O \in \mathbb{R}^{h d_v \times d_{model}}$  is the weight for the output multi-head attention. Then, the  $i^{th}$  head may be defined as

$$head_i = Attention(\mathbf{Q}W_i^{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathbf{K}W_i^{\mathbf{K}}, \mathbf{V}W_i^{\mathbf{V}}). \quad (2)$$

Attention from different subspaces (heads) in (2) are subsequently concatenated to get the final multi-head attention output

$$MultiHead(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V}) = Concat(head_1, \dots, head_h)W_O. \quad (3)$$

### B. Multiscaled Multi-Head Attention

In the proposed Multiscaled Multi-Head Attention (MsMHA), every head processes different dimensional subspace in contrast to [5] which considers same dimensional representations. Thus, it extracts contextual information from different dimensional subspaces while providing a flexible resolution of the given input data. Consequently, the proposed model learns a pyramid of attention with decreasing resolution arranged in a pyramidal pattern. Here, the vector dimensions of multi-head attention ( $d_k$ ) decrease gradually for each head.




Fig. 1. The proposed Multiscaled Multi-Head Attention Video Transformer (MsMHA-VTN) with 6 transformer stages (T1-T6) and 8 heads, where  $N = L \times D$  is the dimension of the first head in each attention vector,  $D$  and  $L$  are the dimensions of the input tensor. For convenience, pyramid scaling at each head by a factor of  $1/2$  is shown only for three heads.




Fig. 2. The proposed Multiscaled Multi-Head pyramid attention. Pyramid attention is an attention mechanism that defines the length of the query, key and value in a pyramid pattern. This allows to exploit the multi-scale information at different heads. Here, the size of linear block is kept varying w.r.t heads to show that  $d_k$  decreases gradually.

This is achieved by scaling down each attention head tensor by a factor of 2 as we move up the pyramid. This allows a reduced resolution of receptive fields to capture features at different scales in each stage. The input to every subspace is an image  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times D}$ , as shown in Fig. 1, with a dimension of input tensor as  $D$  and  $L$ . In the Multi-Head Attention (MHA) [5], the dimension,  $d_k$  is same for all the heads while in the proposed MsMHA, the dimension of key, and query vectors i.e.,  $d_k$  varies for each head at every stage, as shown in Fig. 2. Mathematically, the input  $X$  generates query, key, and value attentions, with linear operations such that  $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times D}$ ,  $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times D}$  and  $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times D}$ , respectively. The three attention vectors for the first head are defined as

$$\mathbf{Q}_{1D} = XW_{1D}^{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathbf{K}_{1D} = XW_{1D}^{\mathbf{K}}, \mathbf{V}_{1D} = XW_{1D}^{\mathbf{V}}, \quad (4)$$

where the dimension of each attention vector for the first head is  $N = L \times D$ , since the input dimension is  $N$ . For the remaining heads, the attention vectors are defined as,

$$\mathbf{Q}_{jM/2} = XW_{jM/2}^{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathbf{K}_{jM/2} = XW_{jM/2}^{\mathbf{K}}, \mathbf{V}_{jM/2} = XW_{jM/2}^{\mathbf{V}}, \quad (5)$$

where  $j \in \{2, h\}$  and  $M$  is the dimension of the previous head tensor. It may be noted that the dimensions of query and key progressively decreases with each head in the proposed transformer model, as shown in Table I.

TABLE I  
DETAILS OF MULTISCALED HEAD ATTENTION TRANSFORMERS  
(MSMHA-VTN) MODEL FOR  $h = 8$ .

Head	Attention Tensor size wrt scale
$head_1$	$L \times D$
$head_2$	$L \times D/2$
$head_3$	$L \times D/4$
$head_4$	$L \times D/8$
$head_5$	$L \times D/16$
$head_6$	$L \times D/32$
$head_7$	$L \times D/64$
$head_8$	$L \times D/128$

### C. Multiscaled Multi-Head Attention-based Transformer

The proposed MsMHA learns multiscale attentions from different heads and concatenates them to get complete attention for a gesture. This provides more focus on important features of a gesture with different size that varies from sample to sample due to varying pose, scale and size of the signers' hands. Our proposed multiscaled multi-head attention repeats for six stages which takes frame-level features as input from ResNet-18 model, as developed in [12]. The ResNet-18 model is initialized with weights pre-trained on the ImageNet database [16] in the same way as in [12].

### D. Decision-Level Multi-Modal Fusion

The proposed transformer unimodal network can also be extended for multimodal gesture recognition by decision combining using late fusion. Each of the different information cues available in the input data (color, depth, infrared, normals and optical flow) from RGB-D sensors, is used to calculate classification score in a unimodal way. In late fusion, the decision-level multimodal fusion is described as

$$y = \arg \max_j \sum_i^m P(\omega_j | x_i), \quad (6)$$

where  $m$  is the total input streams, and  $P(\omega_j | x_i)$  is the classification score of the  $i^{th}$  input stream of a given input, which belongs to class  $\omega_j$ .

## III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

### A. Implementation Details

The proposed MsMHA-VTN model was implemented using PyTorch=1.6.0 with Nvidia Tesla K80 GPU having 24GB RAM, CUDA 10.1 with cuDNN 8.1.1. Initially, the learning rate was set to  $1e^{-4}$  which decays at the  $50^{th}$  and  $75^{th}$  epoch, same as those used in [12]. Also, Adam optimizer was used for training. 40 frames per gesture sequence were given as input to the proposed model.

### B. Results and Discussion

**NVGesture:** Table II shows the performance analysis in case of NVGesture dataset for different modalities which is achieved using the late fusion discussed in Section II-D. Thus, we observe that the model achieves state-of-the-art results when depth or normal is given as input. This shows that

TABLE II  
RESULTS FOR DIFFERENT MODALITIES ON NVGESTURE DATASET [4]. #  
IS THE NUMBER OF INPUT MODALITIES USED.

#	Input data					Accuracy
	Color	Depth	IR	Normals	Optical flow	
1	✓					81.42%
		✓				85.00%
			✓			70.04%
				✓		<b>86.21%</b>
	✓	✓				84.37%
	✓		✓			70.14%
	✓	✓	✓			83.00%
	✓			✓		86.25%
2	✓	✓		✓		<b>87.90%</b>
		✓	✓	✓		83.60%
	✓		✓	✓		85.30%
		✓			✓	85.75%
			✓		✓	74.43%
	✓	✓	✓			85.07%
	✓	✓		✓		<b>87.82%</b>
	✓		✓	✓		85.10%
3	✓	✓	✓	✓		86.21%
	✓	✓	✓		✓	87.81%
	✓	✓	✓		✓	77.68%
	✓	✓		✓	✓	<b>88.17%</b>
	✓		✓	✓	✓	84.21%
		✓	✓	✓	✓	85.15%
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>88.22%</b>
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	

normals driven from depth can be used as a good representation of hand gestures. Where two and three modalities were combined, the accuracy remained nearly same, around 87.90% and 87.22%, respectively. This further improved to 88.17% when four streams of input were used. The highest accuracy of 88.22% was achieved when color, depth, normals, infrared (IR) and optical flow images were all given as inputs.

Table III and Table IV present comparative performance results when compared to some other approaches with NVGesture dataset. It is observed that the proposed model yields comparable or even better results than other methods when only color and normals or all five inputs are utilized.

**Briareo:** Table V presents the results for single and multimodal approaches applied to Briareo dataset. Recognition accuracy as high as 98.67% was obtained for surface normals compared to other modalities. Therefore, it may be inferred that normals which are driven from depth contain more information about the gesture pattern than other modalities. On the other hand, it is observed that the combination of two modalities (color and optical flow) increases the accuracy to 98.75%. However, combination of more than three modalities did not provide any improvement. The highest accuracy of 99.10% was achieved when color, infrared and surface normals were fused.

Table VI presents the comparative performance results for different modalities on Briareo dataset. It is observed that the proposed model outperforms the state-of-the-art methods with 99.10% accuracy. Also, it is observed that our model performed better than other methods even when only surface normals were used for recognition. This shows that data from only one device is sufficient to achieve comparable results with

TABLE III  
COMPARISON RESULTS FOR SINGLE MODALITY ON NVGESTURE DATASET [4]

Input modality	Method	Accuracy
Color	Spat. st. CNN [17]	54.60%
	iDT-HOG [18]	59.10%
	Res3ATN [19]	62.70%
	C3D [20]	69.30%
	R3D-CNN [4]	74.10%
	GPM [21]	75.90%
	PreRNN [22]	76.50%
	Transformer [12]	76.50%
	I3D [23]	78.40%
	ResNeXt-101 [24]	78.63%
	MTUT [25]	81.33%
	NAS1 [14]	83.61%
	Human [4]	88.40%
	<b>MsMHA-VTN</b>	<b>81.42%</b>
Depth	SNV [26]	70.70%
	C3D [20]	78.80%
	R3D-CNN [4]	80.30%
	I3D [23]	82.30%
	Transformer [12]	83.00%
	ResNeXt-101 [24]	83.82%
	PreRNN [22]	84.40%
	MTUT [25]	84.85%
	GPM [21]	85.50%
	NAS1 [14]	86.10%
	<b>MsMHA-VTN</b>	<b>85.00%</b>
Optical flow	iDT-HOF [18]	61.80%
	Temp. st. CNN [17]	68.00%
	Transformer [12]	72.00%
	iDT-MBH [18]	76.80%
	R3D-CNN [4]	77.80%
	I3D [23]	83.40%
<b>MsMHA-VTN</b>	<b>85.30%</b>	
Normals	Transformer [12]	82.40%
	<b>MsMHA-VTN</b>	<b>86.21%</b>
Infrared	R3D-CNN [4]	63.50%
	Transformer [12]	64.70%
<b>MsMHA-VTN</b>	<b>70.04%</b>	

TABLE IV  
COMPARISON RESULTS FOR MULTI-MODALITIES ON NVGESTURE DATASET [4].

Method	Inputs modality	Accuracy
Two-st. CNNs [17]	color + flow	65.60%
iDT [18]	color + flow	73.00%
R3D-CNN [4]	color + flow	79.30%
R3D-CNN [4]	color + depth + flow	81.50%
R3D-CNN [4]	color + depth + ir	82.00%
R3D-CNN [4]	depth + flow	82.40%
R3D-CNN [4]	all	83.80%
MSD-2DCNN [21]	color+depth	84.00%
8-MFFs-3f1c[27]	color + flow	84.70%
STSNN [28]	color+flow	85.13%
PreRNN [22]	color + depth	85.00%
I3D [23]	color + depth	83.80%
I3D [23]	color + flow	84.40%
I3D [23]	color + depth + flow	85.70%
GPM [21]	color + depth	86.10%
MTUT <sub>RGB-D</sub> [25]	color + depth	85.50%
MTUT <sub>RGB-D+flow</sub> [25]	color + depth	86.10%
MTUT <sub>RGB-D+flow</sub> [25]	color + depth + flow	86.90%
Transformer [12]	depth + normals	87.30%
Transformer [12]	color + depth + normals+ir	87.60%
NAS2 [14]	color + depth	86.93%
NAS1+NAS2 [14]	color + depth	88.38%
<b>MsMHA-VTN</b>	<b>color + normals</b>	<b>87.90%</b>
<b>MsMHA-VTN</b>	<b>depth + normals + ir + flow</b>	<b>88.17%</b>
<b>MsMHA-VTN</b>	<b>color + depth + normals + ir + flow</b>	<b>88.22%</b>

TABLE V  
RESULTS FOR DIFFERENT MODALITIES ON BRIAREO DATASET [29]. # IS THE NUMBER OF INPUT MODALITIES USED.

#	Input data					Accuracy
	Color	Depth	IR	Normals	Optical flow	
1	✓					98.15%
		✓				94.44%
			✓			96.29%
				✓		<b>98.67%</b>
2	✓	✓				97.22%
	✓		✓			98.14%
		✓	✓			96.30%
	✓			✓		98.15%
		✓		✓		97.22%
	✓		✓	✓		97.22%
					✓	<b>98.75%</b>
					✓	97.30%
3		✓			✓	97.27%
	✓	✓	✓			99.07%
	✓	✓		✓		98.15%
	✓		✓	✓		<b>99.10%</b>
		✓	✓	✓		99.07%
		✓	✓	✓		<b>98.61%</b>
4	✓	✓	✓	✓		97.68%
	✓	✓		✓	✓	97.22%
	✓		✓	✓	✓	<b>98.61%</b>
		✓	✓	✓	✓	98.14%
5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>98.61%</b>

TABLE VI  
COMPARISON RESULTS FOR DIFFERENT MODALITIES ON BRIAREO DATASET [29].

Method	Inputs modality	Accuracy
C3D-HG [29]	color	72.20%
C3D-HG [29]	depth	76.00%
C3D-HG [29]	ir	87.50%
LSTM-HG [29]	3D joint features	94.40%
NUI-CNN [30]	depth + ir	92.00%
NUI-CNN [30]	color + depth + ir	90.90%
Transformer [12]	normals	95.80%
Transformer [12]	depth + normals	96.20%
Transformer [12]	ir + normals	97.20%
<b>MsMHA-VTN</b>	<b>normals</b>	<b>98.67%</b>
<b>MsMHA-VTN</b>	<b>color + optical</b>	<b>98.75%</b>
<b>MsMHA-VTN</b>	<b>color + ir + normals</b>	<b>99.10%</b>

our proposed MsMHA-VTN model.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

A multiscaled head attention for transformer model is proposed in this work. The proposed model captures the contextual information at different levels to provide more focus on multiscale features at each stage. Multiscale features at each head follows a pyramid hierarchy which replicates at every stage in the transformer model. Analyzing the results, as obtained in our experiments, it may be concluded that the proposed MsMHA-VTN is able to learn multiscale attentions that significantly improve the performance of dynamic hand gesture recognition compared to some of the existing methods, particularly the original transformer model.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Avola, M. Bernardi, L. Cinque, G. L. Foresti, and C. Massaroni, "Exploiting recurrent neural networks and leap motion controller for the recognition of sign language and semaphoric hand gestures," *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 21, no. 1, 2018, pp. 234-245.
- [2] L. Zhang, G. Zhu, L. Mei, P. Shen, S. A. A. Shah, and M. Bennamoun, "Attention in convolutional LSTM for gesture recognition," in *Proc. 32nd International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2018, pp. 1957-1966.
- [3] Khodabandelou, G., P. G. Jung, Y. Amirat, and S. Mohammed, "Attention-based gated recurrent unit for gesture recognition," *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, 18, no. 2, 2020, pp. 495-507.
- [4] P. Molchanov, X. Yang, S. Gupta, K. Kim, S. Tyree, and J. Kautz, "Online detection and classification of dynamic hand gestures with recurrent 3D convolutional neural network," in *Proc. IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2016, pp. 4207-4215.
- [5] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, Ł. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, "Attention is all you need," in *Proc. Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2017, pp. 5998-6008.
- [6] A. Dosovitskiy, L. Beyer, A. Kolesnikov, D. Weissenborn, X. Zhai, T. Unterthiner, M. Dehghani, M. Minderer, G. Heigold, S. Gelly, J. Uszkoreit, and N. Houlsby, "An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale," in *Proc. International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021.
- [7] H. Touvron, M. Cord, M. Douze, F. Massa, A. Sablayrolles, and H. Jégou, "Training data-efficient image transformers & distillation through attention," *arXiv preprint*, 2020, arXiv:2012.12877.
- [8] D. Neimark, O. Bar, M. Zohar, and D. Asselmann, "Video transformer network," *arXiv preprint*, 2021, arXiv:2102.00719.
- [9] Chen, Chun-Fu, Q. Fan, and R. Panda, "Crossvit: Cross attention multi-scale vision transformer for image classification," *arXiv preprint*, 2021, arXiv:2103.14899.
- [10] H. Fan, B. Xiong, K. Mangalam, Y. Li, Z. Yan, J. Malik, and C. Feichtenhofer, "Multiscale vision transformers," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.11227*, Apr 2021.
- [11] Y. Li, C. Y. Wu, H. Fan, K. Mangalam, B. Xiong, J. Malik, C. Feichtenhofer, "Improved multiscale vision transformers for classification and detection," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.01526*, Dec 2021.
- [12] A. D. Eusanio, A. Simoni, S. Pini, G. Borghi, R. Vezzani, and R. Cucchiara, "A Transformer-based network for dynamic hand gesture recognition," in *Proc. International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV)*, IEEE, 2020, pp. 623-632.
- [13] N. Aloysius, M. Geetha, and P. Nedungadi, "Incorporating relative position information in transformer-based sign language recognition and translation," *IEEE Access*, 9, 2021, pp. 145929-145942.
- [14] Z. Yu, B. Zhou, J. Wan, P. Wang, H. Chen, X. Liu, S. Z. Li, and G. Zhao, "Searching multi-rate and multi-modal temporal enhanced networks for gesture recognition," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 2021.
- [15] H. Gammulle, S. Denman, S. Sridharan, and C. Fookes, "TMMF: Temporal Multi-Modal Fusion for single-stage continuous gesture recognition," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 30, 2021, pp. 7689-7701.
- [16] Deng, J., Dong, W., Socher, R., Li, L.J., Li, K. and Fei-Fei, L. "Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database," in *IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 248-255, 2009.
- [17] K. Simonyan, and A. Zisserman, "Two-stream convolutional networks for action recognition in videos," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.2199*, 2014.
- [18] H. Wang, D. Oneta, J. Verbeek, and C. Schmid, "A robust and efficient video representation for action recognition," *International journal of computer vision*, 119(3), pp. 219-238, 2016.
- [19] N. Dhingra, and A. Kunz, "Res3atn-deep 3D residual attention network for hand gesture recognition in videos," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV)*, 2019 pp. 491-501.
- [20] D. Tran, L. Bourdev, R. Fergus, L. Torresani, and M. Paluri, "Learning spatiotemporal features with 3d convolutional networks," in *Proc. IEEE international conference on computer vision*, 2015, pp. 4489-4497.
- [21] D. Fan, H. Lu, S. Xu, and S. Cao, "Multi-task and multi-modal learning for RGB dynamic gesture recognition," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 21, no. 23, 2021, pp. 27026-27036.
- [22] X. Yang, P. Molchanov, and J. Kautz, "Making convolutional networks recurrent for visual sequence learning," in *Proc. IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, Jun. 2018, pp. 6469-6478.
- [23] J. Carreira, and A. Zisserman, "Quo vadis, action recognition? A new model and the kinetics dataset," in *Proc. IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2017, pp. 6299-6308.
- [24] O. Köpüklü, A. Gunduz, N. Kose, and G. Rigoll, "Real-time hand gesture detection and classification using convolutional neural networks," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition*, 2019, IEEE, pp. 1-8.
- [25] M. Abavisani, H. R. V. Jozse, and V. M. Patel, "Improving the performance of unimodal dynamic hand-gesture recognition with multimodal training," in *Proc. IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2019, pp. 1165-1174.
- [26] X. Yang and Y. Tian., "Super normal vector for activity recognition using depth sequences," in *P. IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (CVPR)*, 2014, pp. 804-811.
- [27] O. Kopuklu, N. Kose, and G. Rigoll., "Motion fused frames: Data level fusion strategy for hand gesture recognition," in *Proc. IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW)*, 2018, pp. 2103-2111.
- [28] W. Zhang, J. Wang, and F. Lan, "Dynamic hand gesture recognition based on short-term sampling neural networks," *EEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*, 8, no. 1 (2020): 110-120.
- [29] F. Manganaro, S. Pini, G. Borghi, R. Vezzani, and R. Cucchiara, "Hand gestures for the human-car interaction: The Briareo dataset," in *Proc. International Conference on Image Analysis and Processing (ICIAP)*, Springer, 2019, pp. 560-571.
- [30] A. D. Eusanio, A. Simoni, S. Pini, G. Borghi, R. Vezzani, R. Cucchiara, "Multimodal hand gesture classification for the human-car interaction," *Informatics*, 2020, 7, 31. <https://doi.org/10.3390/informatics7030031>.