

Dual Diffusion for Unified Image Generation and Understanding

Zijie Li^{1*} Henry Li^{2*} Yichun Shi³ Amir Barati Farimani¹ Yuval Kluger²
 Linjie Yang³ Peng Wang³

¹Carnegie Mellon University ²Yale University ³Bytedance Seed

[Project website](#)

Abstract

Diffusion models have gained tremendous success in text-to-image generation, yet still lag behind with visual understanding tasks, an area dominated by autoregressive vision-language models. We propose a large-scale and fully end-to-end diffusion model for multi-modal understanding and generation that significantly improves on existing diffusion-based multimodal models, and is the first of its kind to support the full suite of vision-language modeling capabilities. Inspired by the multimodal diffusion transformer (MM-DiT) and recent advances in discrete diffusion language modeling, we leverage a cross-modal maximum likelihood estimation framework that simultaneously trains the conditional likelihoods of both images and text jointly under a single loss function, which is back-propagated through both branches of the diffusion transformer. The resulting model is highly flexible and capable of a wide range of tasks including image generation, captioning, and visual question answering. Our model attained competitive performance compared to recent unified image understanding and generation models, demonstrating the potential of multimodal diffusion modeling as a promising alternative to autoregressive next-token prediction models.

1. Introduction

We are currently in the midst of a multimodal generative modeling revolution. Large scale diffusion models such as Stable Diffusion [19], Dall-E [62], FLUX, and Imagen [64] have become indisputable industry leaders for generating high fidelity images from text descriptions, enabling the accurate modeling and sampling of complex and high dimensional distributions of images given text. Conversely, autoregressive next-token prediction models have achieved groundbreaking performance both in pure text generation and reasoning such as in ChatGPT [1], Gemini [73], and

Llama [17] and in visually-grounded text generation with large language models (LLMs), as seen with LLaVA [47] or BLIP-2 [39].

Given these developments, a natural question comes to mind: *Can these existing image-to-text (I2T) or text-to-image (T2I) systems be modified to reason with and generate data in the reverse direction?* A positive answer would suggest the possibility of producing a fully multimodal model that is able to understand and sample from conditional distributions between modalities in an omnidirectional manner. Moreover, unifying these generative frameworks under a single model with shared parameters can confer a multitude of downstream benefits including improved reasoning, simplified implementation, and may be a natural next step towards artificial general intelligence [31, 75].

With autoregressive next-token prediction models, this query has already been answered resoundingly in the affirmative, as evidenced by a multitude of studies [16, 22, 24, 70, 72, 78, 83] demonstrating T2I capabilities of finetuned LLMs. This is in part due to the known next-token generative capability of autoregressive models with visual tokens [40, 74, 82].

On the contrary, with diffusion models there has been surprisingly little evidence of a similar reverse capacity. Until recently, generative diffusion models have struggled with language modeling due to the lack of an empirically performant discrete diffusion process on text tokens, in spite of continued research in this area [4, 15, 42]. At present, multimodal diffusion models either exhibit limited text reasoning capabilities and partial text diffusion [7, 81], which require an autoregressive model such as GPT2 [59] to decode denoised text latents, or emerge as add-ons to pre-trained LLMs fine-tuned in conjunction with a diffusion loss [80, 85], and ultimately still rely entirely on next-token prediction for text generation.

We leverage the novel progress in this domain to revisit the above-mentioned question and propose a dual-branch diffusion model based on the multimodal diffusion transformer (MM-DiT) architecture [19], which we modify to

*The first two authors contributed equally to this work, work done during an internship at Bytedance.

output diffusion targets on both modalities of the neural network. We then train our model to perform continuous latent space diffusion on the image branch and discrete masked token diffusion on the text branch. Our novel implementation also allows for controllable infilling in the token space, enabling visual question answering and vision language assistance, which prior diffusion-based models were incapable of. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first end-to-end multimodal diffusion model fully capable of full-featured I2T and T2I generation.

Moreover, we demonstrate the compatibility of our framework with existing diffusion foundation models such as Stable Diffusion 3 (SD3)[19], allowing us to initialize our model with pretrained checkpoints, and reveals remarkably fast adaptation capabilities of the proposed architecture on text generation, producing meaningful text output in under 25B text tokens when initialized with an SD3 checkpoint. Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We introduce a fully end-to-end cross-modal diffusion model that unifies image and text diffusion under a single transformer, which to the best of our knowledge is the first of its kind.
- We propose a simple, elegant, and easy to implement joint loss function that simultaneously trains the conditional text and image modalities in a unified, end-to-end fashion.
- We demonstrate performance on an expanded set of multimodal tasks including image generation, visual captioning, and visual question answering using a diffusion-only model, significantly improving on the capabilities and performance of prior multimodal diffusion models.

	Modality		Task		
	Image Backbone	Text Backbone	Image Gen	Image Cap.	Visual Question Answering
Versatile Diffusion [81]	Diffusion	Diff. + AR	✓	✓	✗
Unidiffuser [7]	Diffusion	Diff. + AR	✓	✓	✗
Show-O [80]	Diffusion	AR	✓	✓	✓
Transfusion [85]	Diffusion	AR	✓	✓	✓
Ours	Diffusion	Diffusion	✓	✓	✓

Table 1. A side-by-side comparison between the backbones and supported features of our work compared to those of existing diffusion-based multimodal methods.

2. Background

In this section, we review the basic concepts that underpin our proposed model. Generally, diffusion models [29, 68] are inspired by non-equilibrium thermodynamics [67] designed to evaluate a likelihood $p_\theta(\mathbf{x}) = \int p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_{0:T}) d\mathbf{x}_{1:T}$ where data $\mathbf{x}_0 := \mathbf{x}$ are related to a set of latent variables $\mathbf{x}_{1:T}$ by a diffusion process that gradually corrupts the original data.

2.1. Continuous Diffusion

Continuous diffusion models operate on continuous vectors by learning to reverse the noise-corruption forward process

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \alpha_t \mathbf{x} + \sigma_t \epsilon, \quad (1)$$

parameterized by time-dependent scalar α_t and σ_t , where $\alpha_t, \sigma_t > 0$, α_t/σ_t decreases monotonically, and ϵ is an appropriately selected *i.i.d.* noise variable. In score-based diffusion models [29, 68], α_t, σ_t are determined by a forward stochastic differential equation (SDE) that pushes \mathbf{x}_t towards $\mathcal{N}(0, I)$ as $t \mapsto \infty$. New samples can be generated by learning the reverse process through estimating the score function [3, 68, 77] $\nabla \log p_t(\mathbf{x}_t)$. Alternatively, from (1), the following ordinary differential equation (ODE) can be derived:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_t = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}_t, t), \quad (2)$$

where velocity field $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) = \dot{\alpha}_t \mathbf{x} + \dot{\sigma}_t \epsilon$. The ODE in (2) pushes the distribution of \mathbf{x}_t from p_0 to p_T . To generate new samples, we can use neural networks to approximate the velocity field \mathbf{v} and then integrate ODE (2) backward in time starting from $\mathbf{x}_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$. A common choice of α_t, σ_t in flow matching model is $\alpha_t = 1 - t, \sigma = t$ and therefore $\mathbf{v} = \epsilon - \mathbf{x}$, which corresponds to the optimal transport interpolant between two distribution p_0 and p_1 [45, 50]. The neural network for regressing the velocity field \mathbf{v} in (2) is trained by optimizing the flow matching loss

$$L_{\text{FM}} = \mathbb{E}_{t, q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x})} \|\mathbf{v}_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t) - (\epsilon - \mathbf{x})\|_2^2. \quad (3)$$

Recent work such as Stable Diffusion 3 [19] has demonstrated the superiority of flow matching model on text-to-image generation, thus in this work we adopt flow matching objective for modeling the distribution of images.

2.2. Discrete Diffusion

In discrete diffusion, the variate $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} \times \dots \times \mathcal{X}$ has finite support over the product space of $\mathcal{X} = \{1, \dots, N\}$, where in language models N is the vocabulary size of the token embedding. Generally, there are two ways to approach this modeling task. The first line of works [12, 15, 27, 41, 52] apply a continuous relaxation to the discrete variable and proceed with a continuous reformulation of the framework, allowing the application of the equations in Section 2.1. This greatly simplifies the diffusion modeling itself, but introduces a significant source of error in the mapping between discrete and relaxed continuous states. Conversely, the diffusion process is extended to the discrete token space [4, 51, 54, 65], which removes the need for the aforementioned mapping via a specialized discrete diffusion formulation. In our work, we will focus on this latter perspective given its empirical potential as validated in recent work

[23, 51, 65, 66]. Leveraging continuous-time Markov chain (CTMC) theory, the marginal distributions p_t can be described by a family of linear ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{dp_t}{dt} = Q_t p_t, \quad (4)$$

where $p_0 \approx p_{\text{data}}$ and $p_1 = p_{\text{stationary}}$, and Q_t is a time-dependent sequence of transition matrices that provides a mapping between the two distributions. We consider the case of absorbing state (i.e., masked) diffusion that are shown to work well on text modeling [51, 65, 66]. This formulation induces the posterior ($0 < s < t$)

$$q(\mathbf{x}_s | \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \text{Cat}(\mathbf{x}_s | \mathbf{x}_t) & \mathbf{x}_t \neq \mathbf{m} \\ \text{Cat}(\mathbf{x}_s | \frac{(1-\alpha_s)\mathbf{m} + (\alpha_s - \alpha_t)\mathbf{x}}{1-\alpha_t}) & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where clean data \mathbf{x} is a discrete variable (one-hot vector) with N categories, with the marginal

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}) = \text{Cat}[\mathbf{x}_t | \alpha_t \mathbf{x} + (1 - \alpha_t) \mathbf{m}], \quad (6)$$

where $\text{Cat}(\cdot | \boldsymbol{\pi})$ denotes the categorical distribution over different classes with probability $\boldsymbol{\pi}$, and \mathbf{m} denotes the mask absorbing state.

To reverse this process, one may either model the density ratio $s_\theta(\mathbf{x})_y \approx \frac{p_t(\mathbf{x})}{p_t(\mathbf{y})}$ given two sequences $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X} \times \dots \times \mathcal{X}$ as in Uniform Score-Entropy Discrete Diffusion (SEDD) [51], or the denoised variate $\mathbf{x}_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, \alpha_t) \approx \mathbf{x}$ directly as in masked diffusion model [65, 66]. In the former, the modeled density ratios induce a specialized reverse transition matrix \bar{Q}_t that can be leveraged in Eq. 4. In the latter, \mathbf{x}_θ can be directly substituted for \mathbf{x} in Eq. 5. In this work, we follow Sahoo et al. [65] that enforces zero-probability on the mask state \mathbf{m} and keeps all un-masked state unchanged during reverse sampling. This induce a simplified (negative) variational lower bound under the continuous time limit

$$L_{\text{NELBO}} = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x})} \left[\int_0^1 \frac{\alpha'_t}{1 - \alpha_t} \log(\mathbf{x}_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, \alpha_t) \cdot \mathbf{x}) dt \right]. \quad (7)$$

In practice, we can use Monte-Carlo sampling to approximate and evaluate the loss function in (7). Following Sahoo et al. [65], we use a log-linear schedule: $\alpha_t = 1 - t$.

3. Method

We propose an end-to-end multi-modal diffusion model named Dual Diffusion Transformer (D-DiT) with a unified backbone that jointly models image and text distribution. More specifically, given image $\mathbf{x}^{(\text{img})}$ and text $\mathbf{x}^{(\text{txt})}$, we are interested at modeling the conditional distribution $p(\mathbf{x}^{(\text{img})} | \mathbf{x}^{(\text{txt})})$ and $p(\mathbf{x}^{(\text{txt})} | \mathbf{x}^{(\text{img})})$. The former is usually referred to as text-to-image generation and the latter is closely related to various image understanding tasks such as captioning and visual question answering.

3.1. Architecture

Inspired by the MM-DiT in SD3 [19], our proposed D-DiT is a Transformer-based model comprising two branches - one for processing image tokens and another for processing text tokens. The image and text tokens attend with each other in every attention layer. In D-DiT, the output of the image branch is the prediction of velocity defined in (2) with text conditioning, while the output for the text branch is the $\mathbf{x}^{(\text{txt})}$ prediction with image conditioning. The scalar timestep embedding modulates every layer’s feature map via AdaLN (adaptive layernorm) [56]. We only input the timestep t to the model during image generation, as $\mathbf{x}_t^{(\text{txt})}$ has already implicitly carried the information of signal-to-noise ratio (the number of mask tokens in the sequence). In addition, we add a text encoder with bi-directional attention on top of the text branch of the diffusion model. Despite an asymmetric design of the model is not strictly required, having a text encoder on top of a DiT model allows us to easily adapt many existing text-to-to-image model such as SD3 and FLUX as pretrained backbone for our D-DiT model. Note that the text encoder should not have causal mask as this will violate the masked diffusion process.

To reduce the computational cost associated with high-resolution images, we follow prior works on latent-space (image) diffusion [63] which compresses images from raw pixel space to a spatially compressed latent space obtained from a variational-autoencoder (VAE) trained with discriminator loss [18] and KL-divergence regularization [34].

3.2. Training

We propose a joint training objective for image-text joint modeling, which is essentially a joint denoising target that combines continuous and discrete diffusion. Formally, we use flow matching introduced in Section 2.1 to learn the conditional distribution of images and masked diffusion introduced in Section 2.2 to learn the conditional distribution of texts. During training, corrupted samples $\mathbf{x}_t^{(\text{img})}, \mathbf{x}_t^{(\text{txt})}$ ¹ are sampled from the corresponding forward corruption processes $q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x})$ defined in (1) and (6) respectively and then we can calculate the diffusion loss for each modality

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{image}} &= \mathbb{E}_{t, q^{(\text{img})}} \left\| \mathbf{v}_\theta \left(\mathbf{x}_t^{(\text{img})}, t, \mathbf{x}^{(\text{txt})} \right) - (\epsilon - \mathbf{x}^{(\text{img})}) \right\|_2^2, \\ L_{\text{text}} &= \mathbb{E}_{q^{(\text{txt})}} \left[-\frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^K \log[\mathbf{x}_\theta(\mathbf{x}_{t_i}^{(\text{txt})}, \mathbf{x}^{(\text{img})}) \cdot \mathbf{x}] / t_i \right], \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where we use antithetic sampling for text diffusion timesteps t_i by discretizing $(\delta, 1]$ into K points uniformly with δ being a small number to avoid numerical instability,

¹For better readability superscript (txt), (img) are omitted.




Figure 1. Our proposed model, the Dual Diffusion Transformer (D-DiT) that simultaneously models image and text distributions via a joint denoising diffusion training loss. **a)** An overview of the model architecture. The gray blocks (T5 encoder, image autoencoder) are kept fixed throughout training and inference. **b)** During training for (image-conditioned) text denoising, the text input is randomly masked while the image is noise-free. **c)** During training for text-conditioned image denoising, the image is randomly noised while the text is noise-free.

for image diffusion we sample t from the log-normal distribution. We do not corrupt the conditioning samples during training and as such the image diffusion timestep is always set to zero when predicting text distribution.

To sum up, the overall dual modality training loss is a simple weighted combination of the above single modality diffusion loss:

$$L_{\text{dual}} = L_{\text{image}} + \lambda_{\text{text}} L_{\text{text}}, \quad (9)$$

with λ_{text} being a hyperparameter.

3.3. Inference

We introduce three types of sampling-based inference which can be used for different vision-language tasks, which we detail below.

Text-to-image Generation To perform text-guided image generation, i.e. $\mathbf{x} \sim p(\mathbf{x}^{(\text{img})} | \mathbf{x}^{(\text{txt})})$, we use the commonly adopted classifier-free guidance (CFG) technique [28] to sample from the conditional distribution $p(\mathbf{x}_t^{(\text{img})} | \mathbf{x}^{(\text{txt})})$, which amounts to a re-weighting of the velocity prediction

$$\tilde{v}_t = s \mathbf{v}_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t^{(\text{img})}, t, \mathbf{x}^{(\text{txt})}) + (1-s) \mathbf{v}_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t^{(\text{img})}, t, \emptyset), \quad (10)$$

where s is a hyperparameter that controls the scale of guidance and \emptyset is a suitable null embedding (e.g. the embedding of an empty text).

Image-to-text Generation To sample images from the conditional distribution, we can use ancestral sampling to draw from the posterior distribution $q(\mathbf{x}_s | \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x})$ in (5) by plugging in prediction $\mathbf{x} \approx \mathbf{x}_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t^{(\text{txt})}, \mathbf{x}^{(\text{img})}; t = 0)$.

Image-to-text In-filling In certain tasks, both text conditioning information and image conditioning information are available, such as in a visual question answering

task where an image and an associated question are provided. For such cases, we would like to sample $\mathbf{x} \sim p(\mathbf{x}^{(\text{answer})} | \mathbf{x}^{(\text{img})}, \mathbf{x}^{(\text{question})})$.

To perform this task, we initialize the diffusion prior of the question with masked tokens and leverage the robust text in-filling capabilities of the text diffusion model to complete the sequence by sampling from the conditional distribution. The text question tokens are kept fixed throughout sampling (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Text masking during both training and sampling under the image captioning (above) and visual question answering (below) tasks with our proposed model.

4. Experiments

4.1. Experimental Setup

Implementation details We implement our proposed framework based on the open-sourced SD3-medium model [19]². We initialize the model weight of the DiT from the pretrained checkpoint and add a linear head on top of the text branch to do text denoising. Following SD3, we adopt the existing T5 encoder/tokenizer [61], and SD3’s image VAE, whose weights remain unchanged throughout all

²<https://huggingface.co/stabilityai/stable-diffusion-3-medium>



A wooden lightbulb on a countertop.



A teapot made from tree bark set on the forest floor.



An extreme monochrome long exposure shot of Shibuya at rush hour.



A picturesque lakeside view from a balcony in Hallstatt at sunrise.



A floating castle surrounded by clouds at sunrise, with waterfalls cascading from its base.



A cozy, dimly-lit library with towering shelves, dusty books, and orbs of light floating gently above.



A peaceful forest clearing bathed in soft moonlight, with glowing mushrooms nestled in the trees.



A neon-lit cyberpunk street bustling with futuristic characters, rain-soaked pavement reflecting vivid signs and holograms.

Figure 3. Text-to-image samples generated from the model. We draw images from the reverse diffusion process via the Euler solver with $T = 28$ diffusion steps.

the experiments (except for the mask token embedding in T5). We remove the CLIP text encoders in the SD3 model due to its causal attention mask and for a simplified model structure. We use the special token `<extra_id0>` in T5’s vocabulary to represent the mask token in masked diffusion, as this token is used to mark the masked token in the mask pretraining process of original T5 model. In this way, we find the model can generate text reasonably well even without updating the weight of this token embedding. To further reduce the domain gap, we unfreeze the token embedding of `<extra_id0>` during the second stage of the training.

Different from multi-modal models that are built upon language models, our model has never been trained on text-only generation. In the preliminary experiments, we found that adding text-only target (i.e. un-conditional text generation) to the model does not influence its captioning performance significantly. An interesting future direction can be extending the proposed framework to model the marginal distribution of each modality.

Datasets We train the model with three stages on publicly available datasets. The total image-text pairs we have used is roughly 40M. We list the detail of the dataset and training setup for each stage below, where all the training stages use the joint diffusion loss defined in (8).

1. **Dual diffusion pretraining.** The original SD3 model was only trained on ambient image-text pairs, and not

solely on text data itself. To adapt D-DiT to text generation tasks, we train it on the joint diffusion loss for 60K iterations with a batch size of 512. The maximum text token length is truncated to 64 and we use image resolution of 256. The dataset used in this stage is re-captioned Datacomp-1b [21, 43] (the model has only seen around 30M images in this stage, which is less than 3% of the total images in the dataset).

2. **Continued pretraining on higher quality data.** We then unfreeze the masked token embedding in T5 and train the model for 200k iterations on a image understanding dataset with rich textual description, which consists of the pretraining dataset from ShareGPT4V[11] (1.3M images) and OpenImages (1.9M subset with object detection annotations) [35] re-captioned by ShareCaptioner³. The text token length is set to 256 and image resolution is 256, with batch size of 512. Finetuning the mask token embedding reduces the domain gap as T5 encoder has not seen sequence filled with high percentage of mask token during its pretraining.

However, as updating the mask token embedding requires backpropagating through the heavy T5 encoder, we freeze the mask embedding after this round of training on the image understanding dataset. We observe that the ℓ_2 difference between the mask token embedding

³<https://huggingface.co/Lin-Chen/ShareCaptioner>

from different training iterations does not change much after 100k iterations.

Moving forward, we conduct an optional high resolution model finetuning on the aforementioned image understanding dataset together with a newly added image generation dataset. Concretely, we collect a higher quality dataset with 10M images (9M re-captioned LAION-1024 and 1M midjourney images⁴). In this training stage, the image diffusion loss is calculated on the high quality image dataset whereas the text diffusion loss is calculated on the understanding dataset. We finetune the model for 80k iterations, with image resolution 512, text token length 256, and a batch size of 768. Only our 512×512 model variant requires this training stage.

- Visual instruction tuning.** Finally, we finetune our model on an medley of instruction-tuning datasets to promote joint text-image conditioned text generation. We combine the LLaVA-Pretrain558K and LLaVA-v1.5-mix-665K visual instruction tuning datasets with the training splits for TextVQA and VizWiz and train for 25k iterations. Following the convention in LLaVA-1.5, the model is trained to distinguish between long-form answers and short answers, multiple choice answers, or captions via task-specific instruction prompts that come after the question such as "Answer the question using a single word or phrase," "Answer with the option's letter from the given choices directly," or "Describe the image concisely."

4.2. Multi-modal Understanding

Existing multi-modal diffusion models such as UniDiffuser [7] and Versatile Diffusion [81] performed text diffusion in a CLIP latent space, which hampered their ability to perform text completion, a necessary feature for general question answering and conversation-based tasks. This is no longer a limitation with our proposed D-DiT due to its discrete masked diffusion branch, allowing us to leave question tokens unmasked throughout sampling. We are thus able to evaluate our fine-tuned model on a full suite of image-to-text generation tasks, including image captioning and visual question answering benchmarks, as well as long-form visual assistance responses.

We first evaluate the visual understanding capabilities of D-DiT via the academic question answering benchmarks VQAv2 [26], VizWiz [8], OKVQA [53], GQA [30], POPE [44], as well as MME [20]. Due to the short-form nature of the questions, we perform sampling with 16 diffusion steps, and compare against a selection of multi-modal models, including I2T only and I2T + T2I models. Our results are summarized in Table 3. We note that our D-DiT as the only diffusion-only multi-modal model capable of visual ques-

⁴<https://huggingface.co/datasets/CaptionEmporium/midjourney-niji-1m-llavanext>

tion answering tasks, already boosts performance that is competitive with recent I2T + T2I models. Our model at 512 resolution outperforms Show-O on MME, GQA, and POPE, approaching performances of auto-regressive VLMs such as QWEN-VL and BLIP-2.

Next, we provide qualitative examples of the D-DiT, providing images and gauging the model’s visual language assistance capabilities via image-related queries. Given the longer format of the responses, we sample D-DiT responses with 256 diffusion steps. Our model provides answers to human queries in a manner that suggests a fine-grained multi-modal understanding of the image and text conditioning (Figure 4).

4.3. Text-to-image Generation

Besides the image-conditioned text generation, we also test model’s text-to-image generation capability. Following previous works, we evaluate our 512×512 model after the second training stage on the GenEval benchmark, which measures model’s prompt following capability [25]. We follow the default setting in the open-sourced SD3 checkpoint where we use a Euler solver with 28 sampling steps and a CFG scale of 7.0. We observe that the joint diffusion training does not cause catastrophic forgetting on the model, the fine-tuned D-DiT preserves the performance of original SD3 model and slightly improves on some of metrics such as colors after joint training. Qualitative evaluation samples are shown in Figure 3, where we observe the ability to generate highly aesthetic images is preserved.

Model	params (B)	Overall	Objects		Counting	Colors	Position	Color attribution
			Single	Two				
PixArt- α [10]	0.6	0.48	0.98	0.50	0.44	0.80	0.08	0.07
SD V2.1	0.9	0.50	0.98	0.51	0.44	0.85	0.07	0.17
DALL-E 2 [62]	6.5	0.52	0.94	0.66	0.49	0.77	0.10	0.19
SDXL [57]	0.9	0.55	0.98	0.74	0.39	0.85	0.15	0.23
DALL-E 3	-	0.67	0.96	0.87	0.47	0.83	0.43	0.45
CoDI [71]	-	0.31	0.89	0.16	0.16	0.65	0.02	0.01
LWM [48]	7	0.47	0.93	0.41	0.46	0.79	0.09	0.15
SEED-X [24]	17	0.49	0.97	0.58	0.26	0.80	0.19	0.14
Chameleon [72]	7	0.39	-	-	-	-	-	-
Show-O [80]	1.3	0.68	0.98	0.80	0.66	0.84	0.31	0.50
Transfusion [85]	8	0.67	-	-	-	-	-	-
SD3 [19]	2	0.62	0.98	0.74	0.63	0.67	0.34	0.36
D-DiT (ours)	2	0.65	0.97	0.80	0.54	0.76	0.32	0.50

Table 2. Evaluation of text-to-image generation performance on GenEval [25]. *params* denote the number of trainable parameters.

4.4. Ablation Studies

As text-to-image diffusion models are trained on a large number of text-image pairs, one may raise the question, whether the representation learned throughout this process can be transferred to multi-modal understanding tasks? To answer this question, we perform an ablation study on the




Figure 4. Multi-modal dialogue examples generated from our model. To our knowledge, D-DiT is the first diffusion-based multimodal model capable of instruction-based vision and language conversation.

Model	Params	Text	Image	MS-COCO	VQAv2	VizWiz	OKVQA	MME	GQA	POPE
	# trainable	Backbone	Backbone	CIDEr \uparrow	Acc. \uparrow					
LLaVA-1.5 [46]	13B	AR	-	-	81.8	57.5	-	1500.1	64.7	86.4
BLIP-2 [39]	13B	AR	-	-	65.0	19.6	-	1293.8	41.0	85.5
IDEFICS [36]	9B	AR	-	-	50.9	-	-	-	-	-
QWEN-VL [6]	7B	AR	-	-	78.2	38.9	-	1487.5	57.5	-
OpenFlamingo [5]	9B	AR	-	65.5	43.5	-	-	-	-	-
Flamingo [2]	9B	AR	-	79.4	51.8	28.8	44.7	-	-	-
CM3Leon [83]	7B	AR	AR	61.6	47.6	37.6	23.8	-	-	-
Chameleon [72]	7B	AR	AR	18.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
LWM [49]	7B	AR	AR	-	55.8	11.6	-	-	44.8	75.2
Show-O (256×256) [80]	1.3B	AR	Diffusion	-	64.7	-	-	1014.9	54.2	76.2
Show-O (512×512) [80]	1.3B	AR	Diffusion	-	69.4	-	-	1097.2	58.0	80.0
Transfusion [85]	7B	AR	Diffusion	29.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
D-DiT (Ours, 256×256)	2B	Diffusion	Diffusion	-	59.5	19.4	28.5	897.5	55.1	79.2
D-DiT (Ours, 512×512)	2B	Diffusion	Diffusion	56.2	60.1	29.9	25.3	1124.7	59.2	84.0

Table 3. Comparison of our D-DiT against related work on visual question answering benchmarks. VLMs that focus on text-generation remain superior to unified understanding and generation models, however our models compare favorably with the latter category.

internal representation of a text-to-image diffusion model. We adapt several models into an image captioning model, including SD3, CLIP ViT L/14, and our D-DiT model. Among different internal layers in SD3, we find the feature from the 18th layer tend to perform the best in our preliminary experiment so it is used as the output feature. We add an GPT2 text decoder to the features extracted from SD3 and CLIP, and directly use D-DiT’s text output as results. We train all models with a mixture of recaptioned Datacomp, recaptioned OpenImages and captioning data from ShareGPT4V [11]. Concretely, we evaluate the quality of

the captions generated from the models by asking GPT4 to do visual question answering according to the generated captions. The accuracy is listed in Table 4.

Similar to the trend observed in [75], directly using diffusion features as the prefix of a language decoder yield worse performance compared to language-supervised vision models like CLIP ViT [60]. Unfreezing the parameters of the diffusion backbone slightly improve the performance, but it still cannot match the CLIP encoder. This suggests that the representation from image diffusion models is not directly transferrable to the text embedding space where the

decoder-only language model operates on. Instead of leveraging a separate language decoder, we use the text branch in the MM-DiT architecture to directly model the conditional text distribution, which notably boost the performance. This uncovers an intriguing property of MM-DiT model or potentially other bi-directional Transformers, that these models are good representation learners for estimating the likelihood of multi-modality data distribution.

We also conduct an ablation study with respect to the number of text diffusion sampling steps and study its influence on VQA accuracy with VQAv2 and captioning quality on COCO dataset. For VQAV2, which involves short text answers, good accuracy can be achieved with relatively few sampling steps. For the captioning task on MS-COCO, performance improves as the number of sampling steps increases, mirroring the trend observed by Sahoo et al. [65], where additional sampling steps lead to reduced perplexity.

Vision Encoder	Language Decoder	VQAv2 (val)	
		0-shot	32-shot
SD3 feature (frozen)	GPT 2	42.3	46.9
SD3 feature (trainable)	GPT 2	45.1	50.2
CLIP ViT L/14 (frozen)	GPT 2	50.6	54.8
UniDiffuser [7]	GPT 2*	46.7	49.4
D-DiT (ours)	-	55.0	60.3

Table 4. Comparison between different vision encoder and proposed model. *The GPT 2 decoder of UniDiffuser is finetuned on text reconstruction and kept frozen afterwards.

Task	$T = 4$	8	16	32	64	128
VQAV2 (acc.)	58.8	58.0	59.3	60.5	60.0	59.6
MS-COCO (CIDEr)	20.2	35.3	46.5	51.3	56.2	54.5

Table 5. An ablation study on the effect of sampling steps T on discrete text diffusion performance in terms of COCO Captioning CIDEr score and VQAV2 subset’s question-answering accuracy.

5. Related Works

5.1. Diffusion Models

Diffusion models [29, 67, 68] generate data by gradually converting noise into signal via a reverse diffusion process. They are the *de facto* standard for image generation [14, 32] and likelihood modeling [33, 38, 55, 69]. Conditional diffusion models [28] have also been shown to be powerful interfaces bridging text and images, particularly for their ability to generate highly realistic and aesthetic images from textual descriptions [10, 22, 57, 62–64]. Their exceptional performance in the image domain has also inspired numerous extensions to the language generation [12, 15, 23, 27, 41, 51, 52, 65, 66], and is an attractive alternative as its sampling is not constrained by a specified

token generation order and the attention mechanism does not need to be uni-directional.

5.2. Vision Language Models

The success of large language models (LLMs) [9, 76] and vision-language pretraining [60] has given rise to a series of multi-modal language models. The visual signal is projected to the text embedding space via vision encoders supervised by text labels [60, 84] and then connected to a pre-trained language models through further instruction tuning [2, 13, 47, 75, 86]. While these models have shown promising capabilities in image understanding and few-shot generalization, their predictive targets are inherently language-centric, limiting their ability to model the image distributions directly.

5.3. Multimodal Text and Image Generative Models

Rather than simply connecting visual encoders to language models, recently there has been an active line of inquiry focused on exploring a unified generative model for joint vision and language generation. Inspired by autoregressive language models, many of the unified multi-modal generative models extend the next-token prediction to both image and text tokens [16, 24, 70, 72, 78]. More recently, Transfusion [85] and Show-O [80] demonstrate that bi-directional image diffusion can be integrated with autoregressive text prediction in the same framework. On the other hand, Versatile Diffusion [81] and Uni-diffuser [7] explore applying a continuous diffusion process to text and image modalities, where text generation is broken into two stages - first, continuous diffusion is used to generate latent embeddings which are then decoded into text by another LLM (e.g. GPT2 [58]). While these works hint at the potential of diffusion models as efficient multi-modal models, their text generation capability is restricted to simple tasks like generating short captions from images.

Conclusion and Discussion

In this work, we introduced an end-to-end multi-modal diffusion model that bridges the gap between text and image diffusion by enabling both text-to-image (T2I) and image-to-text (I2T) tasks through a unified diffusion model. We demonstrated that a bi-directional transformer trained with a joint diffusion target is an effective multi-modal learner capable of competing with the autoregressive models that have long dominated the field. Additionally, the bi-directional attention mechanism is equivariant to the order of input tokens, enabling the prediction of conditional distributions without requiring a specific arrangement of different modalities or special handling of the attention mask.

Limitations While discrete diffusion offers the advantage of being agnostic to sequential order and is compatible with

bi-directional Transformers, its current implementation requires the sequence length to be preset before sampling. A promising future direction would be to extend the sampling scheme to allow for more flexibility, enabling dynamic sequence lengths during the sampling process. In addition, while we show that our proposed dual diffusion model can perform instruction tuning, its instruction-following capabilities still marginally lag behind those of state-of-the-art autoregressive models.

References

- [1] Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023. 1
- [2] Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jeff Donahue, Pauline Luc, Antoine Miech, Iain Barr, Yana Hasson, Karel Lenc, Arthur Mensch, Katherine Millican, Malcolm Reynolds, et al. Flamingo: a visual language model for few-shot learning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:23716–23736, 2022. 7, 8
- [3] Brian DO Anderson. Reverse-time diffusion equation models. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 12(3):313–326, 1982. 2
- [4] Jacob Austin, Daniel D Johnson, Jonathan Ho, Daniel Tarlow, and Rianne Van Den Berg. Structured denoising diffusion models in discrete state-spaces. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:17981–17993, 2021. 1, 2
- [5] Anas Awadalla, Irena Gao, Josh Gardner, Jack Hessel, Yusuf Hanafy, Wanrong Zhu, Kalyani Marathe, Yonatan Bitton, Samir Gadre, Shiori Sagawa, Jenia Jitsev, Simon Kornblith, Pang Wei Koh, Gabriel Ilharco, Mitchell Wortsman, and Ludwig Schmidt. Openflamingo: An open-source framework for training large autoregressive vision-language models, 2023. 7
- [6] Jinze Bai, Shuai Bai, Shusheng Yang, Shijie Wang, Sinan Tan, Peng Wang, Junyang Lin, Chang Zhou, and Jingren Zhou. Qwen-vl: A versatile vision-language model for understanding, localization, text reading, and beyond, 2023. 7
- [7] Fan Bao, Shen Nie, Kaiwen Xue, Chongxuan Li, Shi Pu, Yaole Wang, Gang Yue, Yue Cao, Hang Su, and Jun Zhu. One transformer fits all distributions in multi-modal diffusion at scale. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1692–1717. PMLR, 2023. 1, 2, 6, 8, 3
- [8] Jeffrey P Bigham, Chandrika Jayant, Hanjie Ji, Greg Little, Andrew Miller, Robert C Miller, Robin Miller, Aubrey Tatarowicz, Brandyn White, Samuel White, et al. Vizwiz: nearly real-time answers to visual questions. In *Proceedings of the 23rd annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology*, pages 333–342, 2010. 6
- [9] Tom B Brown. Language models are few-shot learners. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.14165*, 2020. 8
- [10] Junsong Chen, Jincheng Yu, Chongjian Ge, Lewei Yao, Enze Xie, Yue Wu, Zhongdao Wang, James Kwok, Ping Luo, Huchuan Lu, and Zhenguo Li. Pixart- α : Fast training of diffusion transformer for photorealistic text-to-image synthesis, 2023. 6, 8, 1
- [11] Lin Chen, Jinsong Li, Xiaoyi Dong, Pan Zhang, Conghui He, Jiaqi Wang, Feng Zhao, and Dahua Lin. Sharegpt4v: Improving large multi-modal models with better captions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.12793*, 2023. 5, 7
- [12] Ting Chen, Ruixiang Zhang, and Geoffrey Hinton. Analog bits: Generating discrete data using diffusion models with self-conditioning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.04202*, 2022. 2, 8
- [13] Wenliang Dai, Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Anthony Meng Huat Tiong, Junqi Zhao, Weisheng Wang, Boyang Li, Pascale Fung, and Steven Hoi. Instructblip: Towards general-purpose vision-language models with instruction tuning, 2023. 8
- [14] Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:8780–8794, 2021. 8
- [15] Sander Dieleman, Laurent Sartran, Arman Roshannai, Nikolay Savinov, Yaroslav Ganin, Pierre H Richemond, Arnaud Doucet, Robin Strudel, Chris Dyer, Conor Durkan, et al. Continuous diffusion for categorical data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.15089*, 2022. 1, 2, 8
- [16] Runpei Dong, Chunrui Han, Yuang Peng, Zekun Qi, Zheng Ge, Jinrong Yang, Liang Zhao, Jianjian Sun, Hongyu Zhou, Haoran Wei, Xiangwen Kong, Xiangyu Zhang, Kaisheng Ma, and Li Yi. DreamLLM: Synergistic multimodal comprehension and creation. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024. 1, 8
- [17] Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The llama 3 herd of models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783*, 2024. 1
- [18] Patrick Esser, Robin Rombach, and Bjorn Ommer. Taming transformers for high-resolution image synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 12873–12883, 2021. 3
- [19] Patrick Esser, Sumith Kulal, Andreas Blattmann, Rahim Entezari, Jonas Müller, Harry Saini, Yam Levi, Dominik Lorenz, Axel Sauer, Frederic Boesel, et al. Scaling rectified flow transformers for high-resolution image synthesis. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2024. 1, 2, 3, 4, 6
- [20] Chaoyou Fu, Peixian Chen, Yunhang Shen, Yulei Qin, Mengdan Zhang, Xu Lin, Jinrui Yang, Xiawu Zheng, Ke Li, Xing Sun, et al. Mme: A comprehensive evaluation benchmark for multimodal large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.13394*, 2023. 6
- [21] Samir Yitzhak Gadre, Gabriel Ilharco, Alex Fang, Jonathan Hayase, Georgios Smyrnis, Thao Nguyen, Ryan Marten, Mitchell Wortsman, Dhruva Ghosh, Jieyu Zhang, Eyal Orgad, Rahim Entezari, Giannis Daras, Sarah Pratt, Vivek Ramanujan, Yonatan Bitton, Kalyani Marathe, Stephen Mussmann, Richard Vencu, Mehdi Cherti, Ranjay Krishna, Pang Wei Koh, Olga Saukh, Alexander Ratner, Shuran

- Song, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Ali Farhadi, Romain Beaumont, Sewoong Oh, Alex Dimakis, Jenia Jitsev, Yair Carmon, Vaishaal Shankar, and Ludwig Schmidt. Datacomp: In search of the next generation of multimodal datasets, 2023. 5
- [22] Peng Gao, Le Zhuo, Ziyi Lin, Chris Liu, Junsong Chen, Ruoyi Du, Enze Xie, Xu Luo, Longtian Qiu, Yuhang Zhang, et al. Lumina-t2x: Transforming text into any modality, resolution, and duration via flow-based large diffusion transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.05945*, 2024. 1, 8
- [23] Itai Gat, Tal Remez, Neta Shaul, Felix Kreuk, Ricky TQ Chen, Gabriel Synnaeve, Yossi Adi, and Yaron Lipman. Discrete flow matching. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.15595*, 2024. 3, 8
- [24] Yuying Ge, Yixiao Ge, Ziyun Zeng, Xintao Wang, and Ying Shan. Planting a seed of vision in large language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.08041*, 2023. 1, 6, 8
- [25] Dhruva Ghosh, Hanna Hajishirzi, and Ludwig Schmidt. Geneval: An object-focused framework for evaluating text-to-image alignment, 2023. 6
- [26] Yash Goyal, Tejas Khot, Douglas Summers-Stay, Dhruv Batra, and Devi Parikh. Making the V in VQA matter: Elevating the role of image understanding in Visual Question Answering. In *Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2017. 6
- [27] Ishaan Gulrajani and Tatsunori B Hashimoto. Likelihood-based diffusion language models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. 2, 8
- [28] Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. Classifier-free diffusion guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.12598*, 2022. 4, 8
- [29] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020. 2, 8
- [30] Drew A Hudson and Christopher D Manning. Gqa: A new dataset for real-world visual reasoning and compositional question answering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 6700–6709, 2019. 6
- [31] Minyoung Huh, Brian Cheung, Tongzhou Wang, and Phillip Isola. The platonic representation hypothesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.07987*, 2024. 1
- [32] Tero Karras, Miika Aittala, Timo Aila, and Samuli Laine. Elucidating the design space of diffusion-based generative models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.00364*, 2022. 8
- [33] Diederik Kingma, Tim Salimans, Ben Poole, and Jonathan Ho. Variational diffusion models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 34:21696–21707, 2021. 8
- [34] Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114*, 2013. 3
- [35] Alina Kuznetsova, Hassan Rom, Neil Alldrin, Jasper Uijlings, Ivan Krasin, Jordi Pont-Tuset, Shahab Kamali, Stefan Popov, Matteo Mallocci, Alexander Kolesnikov, et al. The open images dataset v4: Unified image classification, object detection, and visual relationship detection at scale. *International journal of computer vision*, 128(7):1956–1981, 2020. 5
- [36] Hugo Laurençon, Lucile Saulnier, Léo Tronchon, Stas Bekman, Amanpreet Singh, Anton Lozhkov, Thomas Wang, Siddharth Karamcheti, Alexander M. Rush, Douwe Kiela, Matthieu Cord, and Victor Sanh. Obelics: An open web-scale filtered dataset of interleaved image-text documents, 2023. 7
- [37] Daiqing Li, Aleks Kamko, Ehsan Akhgari, Ali Sabet, Linmiao Xu, and Suhail Doshi. Playground v2. 5: Three insights towards enhancing aesthetic quality in text-to-image generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.17245*, 2024. 1
- [38] Henry Li, Ronen Basri, and Yuval Kluger. Likelihood training of cascaded diffusion models via hierarchical volume-preserving maps. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024. 8
- [39] Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven Hoi. Blip-2: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 19730–19742. PMLR, 2023. 1, 7
- [40] Tianhong Li, Yonglong Tian, He Li, Mingyang Deng, and Kaiming He. Autoregressive image generation without vector quantization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.11838*, 2024. 1
- [41] Xiang Li, John Thickstun, Ishaan Gulrajani, Percy S Liang, and Tatsunori B Hashimoto. Diffusion-lm improves controllable text generation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:4328–4343, 2022. 2, 8
- [42] Xiang Li, John Thickstun, Ishaan Gulrajani, Percy S Liang, and Tatsunori B Hashimoto. Diffusion-lm improves controllable text generation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:4328–4343, 2022. 1
- [43] Xianhang Li, Haoqin Tu, Mude Hui, Zeyu Wang, Bingchen Zhao, Junfei Xiao, Sucheng Ren, Jieru Mei, Qing Liu, Huangjie Zheng, et al. What if we recaption billions of web images with llama-3? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.08478*, 2024. 5
- [44] Yifan Li, Yifan Du, Kun Zhou, Jinpeng Wang, Wayne Xin Zhao, and Ji-Rong Wen. Evaluating object hallucination in large vision-language models. In *The 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, 2023. 6
- [45] Yaron Lipman, Ricky TQ Chen, Heli Ben-Hamu, Maximilian Nickel, and Matt Le. Flow matching for generative modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.02747*, 2022. 2
- [46] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, and Yong Jae Lee. Improved baselines with visual instruction tuning, 2024. 7
- [47] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 36, 2024. 1, 8
- [48] Hao Liu, Wilson Yan, Matei Zaharia, and Pieter Abbeel. World model on million-length video and language with blockwise ringattention, 2024. 6, 1
- [49] Hao Liu, Wilson Yan, Matei Zaharia, and Pieter Abbeel. World model on million-length video and language with ringattention. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.08268*, 2024. 7
- [50] Xingchao Liu, Chengyue Gong, and qiang liu. Flow straight and fast: Learning to generate and transfer data with rectified flow. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023. 2

- [51] Aaron Lou, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Discrete diffusion language modeling by estimating the ratios of the data distribution. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.16834*, 2023. 2, 3, 8
- [52] Justin Lovelace, Varsha Kishore, Chao Wan, Eliot Shekhtman, and Kilian Q Weinberger. Latent diffusion for language generation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. 2, 8
- [53] Kenneth Marino, Mohammad Rastegari, Ali Farhadi, and Roozbeh Mottaghi. Ok-vqa: A visual question answering benchmark requiring external knowledge. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/cvf conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3195–3204, 2019. 6
- [54] Chenlin Meng, Kristy Choi, Jiaming Song, and Stefano Ermon. Concrete score matching: Generalized score matching for discrete data. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:34532–34545, 2022. 2
- [55] Alexander Quinn Nichol and Prafulla Dhariwal. Improved denoising diffusion probabilistic models. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8162–8171. PMLR, 2021. 8
- [56] William Peebles and Saining Xie. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 4195–4205, 2023. 3
- [57] Dustin Podell, Zion English, Kyle Lacey, Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Jonas Müller, Joe Penna, and Robin Rombach. Sdxl: Improving latent diffusion models for high-resolution image synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.01952*, 2023. 6, 8, 1
- [58] Alec Radford and Karthik Narasimhan. Improving language understanding by generative pre-training. 2018. 8
- [59] Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, Ilya Sutskever, et al. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI blog*, 1(8):9, 2019. 1
- [60] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021. 7, 8
- [61] Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J. Liu. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer, 2023. 4
- [62] Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125*, 1(2):3, 2022. 1, 6, 8
- [63] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10684–10695, 2022. 3
- [64] Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily L Denton, Kamyar Ghasemipour, Raphael Gontijo Lopes, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Tim Salimans, et al. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:36479–36494, 2022. 1, 8
- [65] Subham Sekhar Sahoo, Marianne Arriola, Yair Schiff, Aaron Gokaslan, Edgar Marroquin, Justin T Chiu, Alexander Rush, and Volodymyr Kuleshov. Simple and effective masked diffusion language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.07524*, 2024. 2, 3, 8
- [66] Jiaxin Shi, Kehang Han, Zhe Wang, Arnaud Doucet, and Michalis K. Titsias. Simplified and generalized masked diffusion for discrete data, 2024. 3, 8
- [67] Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surya Ganguli. Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 2256–2265. PMLR, 2015. 2, 8
- [68] Yang Song, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Diederik P Kingma, Abhishek Kumar, Stefano Ermon, and Ben Poole. Score-based generative modeling through stochastic differential equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.13456*, 2020. 2, 8
- [69] Yang Song, Conor Durkan, Iain Murray, and Stefano Ermon. Maximum likelihood training of score-based diffusion models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34: 1415–1428, 2021. 8
- [70] Quan Sun, Qiyang Yu, Yufeng Cui, Fan Zhang, Xiaosong Zhang, Yuezhe Wang, Hongcheng Gao, Jingjing Liu, Tiejun Huang, and Xinlong Wang. Generative pretraining in multimodality. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.05222*, 2023. 1, 8
- [71] Zineng Tang, Ziyi Yang, Chenguang Zhu, Michael Zeng, and Mohit Bansal. Any-to-any generation via composable diffusion. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. 6
- [72] Chameleon Team. Chameleon: Mixed-modal early-fusion foundation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.09818*, 2024. 1, 6, 7, 8
- [73] Gemini Team, Rohan Anil, Sebastian Borgeaud, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jiahui Yu, Radu Soricut, Johan Schalkwyk, Andrew M Dai, Anja Hauth, Katie Millican, et al. Gemini: a family of highly capable multimodal models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11805*, 2023. 1
- [74] Keyu Tian, Yi Jiang, Zehuan Yuan, Bingyue Peng, and Liwei Wang. Visual autoregressive modeling: Scalable image generation via next-scale prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.02905*, 2024. 1
- [75] Shengbang Tong, Ellis Brown, Penghao Wu, Sanghyun Woo, Manoj Middepogu, Sai Charitha Akula, Jihan Yang, Shusheng Yang, Adithya Iyer, Xichen Pan, et al. Cambrian-1: A fully open, vision-centric exploration of multimodal llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.16860*, 2024. 1, 7, 8
- [76] Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023. 8
- [77] Pascal Vincent. A connection between score matching and denoising autoencoders. *Neural computation*, 23(7):1661–1674, 2011. 2

- [78] Xinlong Wang, Xiaosong Zhang, Zhengxiong Luo, Quan Sun, Yufeng Cui, Jinsheng Wang, Fan Zhang, Yueze Wang, Zhen Li, Qiyang Yu, et al. Emu3: Next-token prediction is all you need. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.18869*, 2024. 1, 8
- [79] Yecheng Wu, Zhuoyang Zhang, Junyu Chen, Haotian Tang, Dacheng Li, Yunhao Fang, Ligeng Zhu, Enze Xie, Hongxu Yin, Li Yi, et al. Vila-u: a unified foundation model integrating visual understanding and generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.04429*, 2024. 1
- [80] Jinheng Xie, Weijia Mao, Zechen Bai, David Junhao Zhang, Weihao Wang, Kevin Qinghong Lin, Yuchao Gu, Zhijie Chen, Zhenheng Yang, and Mike Zheng Shou. Show-o: One single transformer to unify multimodal understanding and generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.12528*, 2024. 1, 2, 6, 7, 8
- [81] Xingqian Xu, Zhangyang Wang, Gong Zhang, Kai Wang, and Humphrey Shi. Versatile diffusion: Text, images and variations all in one diffusion model. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 7754–7765, 2023. 1, 2, 6, 8
- [82] Lijun Yu, José Lezama, Nitesh B Gundavarapu, Luca Versari, Kihyuk Sohn, David Minnen, Yong Cheng, Vighnesh Birodkar, Agrim Gupta, Xiuye Gu, et al. Language model beats diffusion–tokenizer is key to visual generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.05737*, 2023. 1
- [83] Lili Yu, Bowen Shi, Ramakanth Pasunuru, Benjamin Muller, Olga Golovneva, Tianlu Wang, Arun Babu, Binh Tang, Brian Karrer, Shelly Sheynin, Candace Ross, Adam Polyak, Russell Howes, Vasu Sharma, Puxin Xu, Hovhannes Tamoyan, Oron Ashual, Uriel Singer, Shang-Wen Li, Susan Zhang, Richard James, Gargi Ghosh, Yaniv Taigman, Maryam Fazel-Zarandi, Asli Celikyilmaz, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Armen Aghajanyan. Scaling autoregressive multi-modal models: Pretraining and instruction tuning, 2023. 1, 7
- [84] Xiaohua Zhai, Basil Mustafa, Alexander Kolesnikov, and Lucas Beyer. Sigmoid loss for language image pre-training, 2023. 8
- [85] Chunting Zhou, Lili Yu, Arun Babu, Kushal Tirumala, Michihiro Yasunaga, Leonid Shamis, Jacob Kahn, Xuezhe Ma, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Omer Levy. Transfusion: Predict the next token and diffuse images with one multi-modal model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.11039*, 2024. 1, 2, 6, 7, 8
- [86] Deyao Zhu, Jun Chen, Xiaoqian Shen, Xiang Li, and Mohamed Elhoseiny. Minigt-4: Enhancing vision-language understanding with advanced large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10592*, 2023. 8

Dual Diffusion for Unified Image Generation and Understanding

Supplementary Material

6. Training Details

Hyperparam.	Dual pretrain	Continued pretrain		Instruct. tuning
		Mask emb.	High res.	
Gradient steps	60k	200k	80k	25k
Batch size	512	512	768	512
LR	5e-5	3e-5	3e-5	3e-5
Scheduler		Constant LR with warmup		
Warmup iters	5000	1000	1000	1000
Weight decay		1e-2		
Text loss weight		0.2		0.3

Table 6. Training hyperparameters for D-DiT. Text loss weight denotes the λ in (8).

We provide the detailed hyperparameter setting for different training stages in the Table 6. During all the training stages, we use AdamW optimizer with default hyperparameters ($\beta_1 = 0.9, \beta_2 = 0.999$). Mixed precision training (bf16) and fully-sharded data parallel (with gradient and optimizer state sharded) are used for model training.

7. Further Results

Model	Backbone	Params. (B)	FID \downarrow
SD-XL [57]	Diff.	0.9	9.55
PixArt- α [10]	Diff.	0.6	6.14
Playground v2.5	Diff.	-	4.48
Show-O [80]	Discrete Diff.	1.3	15.18
LWM [48]	AR	7	17.77
VILA-U [79]	AR	7	7.69
SD3 [19]	Diff.	2	16.45
D-DiT	Diff.	2	15.16

Table 7. Comparison with other models on MJHQ-30K evaluation benchmark at 512×512 resolution.

We evaluate the aesthetic quality of generated images from our proposed D-DiT against those of the original SD3 model and a selection of existing text-to-image (T2I) and multi-modal works. We measure Frechet Inception Distance (FID) with respect to a collection highly aesthetic generated images, known as the MJHQ-30K benchmark proposed by [37]. As shown in Table 7, we observe an improvement in FID after joint diffusion training, and favorable comparison against multi-modal models of similar size.

We provide an illustrative example of masked diffusion in Figure 5 for the visual question answering task, where the

token generation process is visualized over diffusion time. Over the course of sampling, the answer tokens are gradually denoised from the masked state via absorbing state reverse diffusion. The question tokens are always left unmasked throughout the entire process.

We also include a qualitative comparison in captioning performance compared to UniDiffuser [7], another diffusion-based multi-modal model, in Figure 6, where we demonstrate an improvement in the ability to capture fine-grained details of the image in a longer caption format. Finally, we provide further uncurated text-to-image (T2I) generation results in Figures 7, 8, 9, and 10. Overall, these results further demonstrate the multi-faceted performance of our proposed dual-branch diffusion-based multi-modal model.



D-DiT: The image features a phone held up in an interesting angle, standing on a surface.

UniDiffuser: a white iPhone sitting on top of a stand



D-DiT: In the image, the saucer and cup is laid horizontally on one of the mats.

UniDiffuser: A set of three blue and white striped napkins



D-DiT: The image shows a woman walking down a runway in her model outfit. The outfit includes a coat, a book, a skirt, and a purse or handbag. She is also wearing tall boots.

UniDiffuser: A model walks down the runway in a beige coat and boots



D-DiT: In the image, there are three baseball players, all of which are all dressed in white uniforms. The first man appears to be cheering to hit the ball. The other two players, possibly his teammates or fielders, are in different positions on the field.

UniDiffuser: Jonny Bairstow of Australia celebrates after taking the wicket



D-DiT: The image captures a captivating view of a outdoor concert with a glow of night. The concert is taking place at dusk and features a large stage with colored purple lights, creating a stunning visual and vibrant setting. A crowd can be seen sitting around the area, enjoying the musical performance on the stage. The balkan-ish skies of the evening sunset adds warmth to the scene, further enhancing the concert atmosphere.

UniDiffuser: A large crowd of people on stage at a concert

Figure 6. Comparison of captions generated by D-DiT and UniDiffuser[7]. The prompt to D-DiT is "Provide a brief description of the given image."

A cup of steaming hot chocolate with marshmallows.



A rainbow appearing after a light rain.



A bee pollinating a colorful flower.



A person reading a book under a lamp.



A soccer ball lying on freshly cut grass.



A bridge covered in fog during early morning.



A family of ducks swimming in a pond.



A vintage airplane flying over the countryside.



A city park in autumn with leaves falling.



A close-up of a hand writing with a quill pen.



A candlelit dinner table set for two.



A surfer riding a large wave at sunset.



A library with towering bookshelves and ladders.



A jazz band performing on a dimly lit stage.



A caravan of camels crossing a desert at dusk.



A fantasy castle floating in the clouds.



A robot painting a picture in an art studio.



An underwater city illuminated by glowing corals.



A portal opening to another dimension in a forest.



An intricate clockwork mechanism with moving gears.



Figure 7. Additional text-to-image samples generated from the model.








Figure 8. Additional text-to-image samples generated from the model.




Figure 9. Additional text-to-image samples generated from the model.






Figure 10. Additional text-to-image samples generated from the model.