

TRAJLEARN: Trajectory Prediction Learning using Deep Generative Models

AMIRHOSSEIN NADIRI, York University, Canada

JING LI, York University, Canada

ALI FARAJI, York University, Canada

GHADEER ABUODA, York University, Canada

MANOS PAPAGELIS, York University, Canada

Trajectory prediction aims to estimate an entity’s future path using its current position and historical movement data, benefiting fields like autonomous navigation, robotics, and human movement analytics. Deep learning approaches have become key in this area, utilizing large-scale trajectory datasets to model movement patterns, but face challenges in managing complex spatial dependencies and adapting to dynamic environments. To address these challenges, we introduce TRAJLEARN, a novel model for trajectory prediction that leverages generative modeling of higher-order mobility flows based on hexagonal spatial representation. TRAJLEARN predicts the next k steps by integrating a customized beam search for exploring multiple potential paths while maintaining spatial continuity. We conducted a rigorous evaluation of TRAJLEARN, benchmarking it against leading state-of-the-art approaches and meaningful baselines. The results indicate that TRAJLEARN achieves significant performance gains, with improvements of up to $\sim 40\%$ across multiple real-world trajectory datasets. In addition, we evaluated different prediction horizons (i.e., various values of k), conducted resolution sensitivity analysis, and performed ablation studies to assess the impact of key model components. Furthermore, we developed a novel algorithm to generate mixed-resolution maps by hierarchically subdividing hexagonal regions into finer segments within a specified observation area. This approach supports *selective detailing*, applying finer resolution to areas of interest or high activity (e.g., urban centers) while using coarser resolution for less significant regions (e.g., rural or uninhabited areas), effectively reducing data storage requirements and computational overhead. We promote reproducibility and adaptability by offering complete code, data, and detailed documentation with flexible configuration options for various applications.

CCS Concepts: • **Information systems** → **Spatial-temporal systems**; • **Computing methodologies** → **Neural networks**.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: mobility data analytics, spatial data mining, trajectory prediction, deep generative models

ACM Reference Format:

Amirhossein Nadiri, Jing Li, Ali Faraji, Ghadeer Abuoda, and Manos Papagelis. 2024. TRAJLEARN: Trajectory Prediction Learning using Deep Generative Models. 1, 1 (January 2024), 31 pages. <https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

1 Introduction

Motivation & Problem of Interest. The development of tracking and geolocation technology has facilitated the collection of large-scale mobility data, encompassing both objects and individuals [65, 79]. Mining interesting patterns in mobility data is of increased research and development interest due to a wide range of practical applications. Technical

Authors’ Contact Information: Amirhossein Nadiri, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, anadiri@yorku.ca; Jing Li, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, jliellen@yorku.ca; Ali Faraji, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, faraji@yorku.ca; Ghadeer Abuoda, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, gha@yorku.ca; Manos Papagelis, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, papagel@eecs.yorku.ca.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

Manuscript submitted to ACM

Manuscript submitted to ACM




Fig. 1. Illustrative example of the trajectory prediction problem using higher-order spatial representations (hexagons); (a) there are two **potential** trajectories for the pedestrian, (b) trajectories are represented on a hexagon-based tessellated map, (c) given the historical data (red) and the current location (black), two trajectories are predicted (blue and orange), (d) the actual trajectory followed.

problems in the area include trajectory classification, clustering, prediction, simplification, and anomaly detection (see [5, 30, 87] for comprehensive surveys). In this research, we focus on the *trajectory prediction problem*, which refers to the task of predicting the future path or trajectory of an object (or individual) based on its current state and historical data. Efficient methods for trajectory prediction are highly desirable in various domains and applications, including transportation systems, human mobility studies, autonomous vehicles, robotics, and more.

The State of the Art & Limitations. First attempts to address the problem considered statistical methods, such as matrix factorization [13, 43, 45] and Markov chain [14, 54, 70]. However, these methods frequently encounter challenges in capturing trajectories’ intricate sequential and periodic characteristics. Recent progress in Deep Learning (DL) has led to the emergence of deep neural models explicitly tailored to capture the sequential characteristics inherent in trajectories. Notably, approaches centered around Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) have exhibited promising results [26, 48]. However, despite their favorable performance, these models encounter challenges when confronted with sparse and imprecise trajectory data [2, 34]. Additionally, they often demand substantial quantities of meticulously labeled training data, a resource-intensive and time-consuming endeavor. Furthermore, there is a risk of overfitting the training dataset, resulting in suboptimal generalization capabilities when faced with unseen data. A *pertinent* but *distinct* problem from the one addressed in this paper is the problem of predicting the Next Point of Interest (POI). It is **pertinent** as it involves predicting future locations, specifically the POIs that a user or object is likely to visit next in a sequence of discrete locations, such as restaurants, shops, tourist attractions, gas stations, etc. Numerous studies have extensively explored this topic and proposed efficient methods like ST-RNN [48], ST-LSTM [38], STAN [50] and Graph-flashback [60], to name a few. These methods typically assume the input data is a history of POI check-ins. Nonetheless, it is **distinct**, as our problem predicts *the entire future path or trajectory* that a user or object will follow based on their historical movement data. Certain prior studies explored trajectory prediction using comprehensive GPS log datasets instead of merely relying on POI check-ins [3, 35, 82]. However, these studies address specialized versions of the problem and mostly rely on semantic information from the datasets to train their model, which lacks effective generalization to the broader issue at hand. Due to this distinction, our proposed methods are not directly comparable to methods proposed for the Next POI problem, as explained in the experiments (see section 5).

Our Approach & Contributions. To address these limitations, we propose a novel approach that leverages deep generative models to accurately predict the future path of a user or an object based on historical data. Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We formalize the trajectory prediction problem as a *sequence prediction problem*. Given as input the recent history of a trajectory, represented as a sequence of continuous blocks (hexagons) of a regularly tessellated map, the task is to predict the trajectory’s future k continuous blocks.
- We propose TRAJLEARN, a trajectory generative model based on the Transformer architecture [76]. TRAJLEARN is trained (from scratch) on historical trajectory data provided in the form of higher-order mobility flow data and incorporates a variant of beam search to simultaneously explore multiple candidate paths while respecting spatial constraints for path continuity (see example in Figure 1). TRAJLEARN novelty contribution lies in its comprehensive approach, combining these elements with a unique constrained beam search guided by spatial relationships. The method is versatile and can forecast future trajectories at different levels of granularity, enabling diverse levels of analysis and applications.
- We design and develop a novel algorithm that generates mixed-resolution maps by hierarchically subdividing hexagonal regions into finer segments within a defined observation area, enhancing adaptability and enabling broader applicability to various trajectory analysis scenarios.
- We demonstrate empirically that TRAJLEARN outperforms the state-of-the-art methods and sensible baselines by as much as ~40%, on various evaluation metrics and diverse real-world trajectory datasets. We also study the parameter sensitivity and model ablation to assess how TRAJLEARN behaves under various configurations and parameters.
- We open-source our code and model to encourage reproducibility (see details below).

Ensuring Reproducibility and Adaptability. We provide comprehensive access to source code and data. We take meticulous steps to ensure that all prerequisites are clearly outlined and accompanied by step-by-step instructions to help users set up and run the provided models smoothly. Additionally, we include thoroughly documented model configurations necessary for both the training and testing phases. To further enhance adaptability, we offer clear and detailed documentation of various configuration options, allowing users to modify the model for application in diverse scenarios and customized use cases.

GitHub Repository: <https://github.com/amir-ni/trajectory-prediction>

Broader Impact. Accurate trajectory prediction offers numerous benefits across various domains and applications. It enhances safety in autonomous driving [33] and maritime navigation [17, 80] by reducing collision risks, improves resource management and efficiency in logistics and urban planning, and aids in public transport and real-time traffic management for more efficient systems and reduced congestion [78]. Additionally, it enables geospatial analysis in urban environments for optimized traffic infrastructure planning and location-based services and recommendations [87]. In the social sciences, our model offers valuable insights into human crowd behavior [66–68], while in epidemiology, it supports the development of mobility-based models for understanding the spread of infectious diseases [1, 10, 11, 57, 58, 84].

Paper Organization. Section 2 introduces preliminaries and the problem. Section 3 presents the rationale for utilizing higher-order mobility flow data, and section 4 delves into the specifics of the trajectory prediction model. Section 5 presents an empirical evaluation of our approach. Section 8 highlights ethical aspects of trajectory prediction models. Section 7 provides an overview of related work, and section 9 concludes the paper.

Symbol	Description
\mathcal{M}	The map of a geographic area
\mathcal{B}	Set of hexagonal blocks forming a tessellation of \mathcal{M}
T	Trajectory sequence of spatiotemporal points
p_i	Spatiotemporal point in the trajectory sequence
T^l	Trajectory history of length l
k	Prediction horizon (# trajectory steps to predict)
l	Input trajectory length

Table 1. Summary of key notations.

2 Preliminaries and the Problem

This section introduces the notation and preliminaries relevant to our model, followed by a formal definition of the problem of interest. A summary of key notation is provided in Table 1.

2.1 Preliminaries

A set of definitions must first be established before formally presenting the problem.

Definition 2.1 (Map). A map \mathcal{M} represents the administrative boundaries of a finite and continuous geographic area of Earth, such as a city. Since \mathcal{M} represents a relatively small region, the curvature of the Earth’s surface within this area is negligible, allowing us to approximate \mathcal{M} as a finite 2-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^2 .

Definition 2.2 (Trajectory). A trajectory represents the movement of a user or an object over time. It consists of a sequence of time-enabled spatiotemporal points denoted as $T = p_1 p_2 \dots p_n$, where each $p_i(\ell, t)$ represents a geolocation ℓ at time t .

Definition 2.3 (Partial trajectory). Given a trajectory T , an initial step i and a length l , a partial trajectory is a subsequence $T_i^l = p_i p_{i+1} \dots p_{i+l-1}$ of T , where p_i is the i ’th spatiotemporal point in T .

Definition 2.4 (Trajectory history). The trajectory history of a specific length T^l encompasses all the previously occurred partial trajectories of length l .

Definition 2.5 (Prediction horizon). The prediction horizon k defines the number of future trajectory steps to be predicted.

2.2 Problem Definition

We are now in position to formally define the problem of estimating or forecasting the future path or trajectory of an object or entity based on its current state and historical data.

PROBLEM 1 (TRAJECTORY PREDICTION). Given a map \mathcal{M} , the corresponding trajectory history T^l represented as a set of spatiotemporal point sequences, a partial trajectory $T_i^l = p_{i_1} p_{i_2} \dots p_{i_l}$ and a prediction horizon $k > 0$, the objective is to predict the next k spatiotemporal points $p_{i_{l+1}}, \dots, p_{i_{l+k}}$ of the partial trajectory T_i^l .

Note that we currently only state the general trajectory prediction problem. Once we introduce the idea of higher-order mobility flow, we will revisit the trajectory prediction problem and formalize it in the context of predicting the next k hexagons (see section 3.1).




Fig. 2. Construction of higher-order trajectory data.

3 Higher-order Mobility Flow Data

This section provides a rationale for working with higher-order mobility flow data. Additionally, we update the problem definition to accommodate the new data representation.

Rationale. Working with raw trajectory datasets is challenging because GPS coordinates: (i) are sparse and large amounts are needed to learn meaningful relationships, and (ii) are not very compatible (as input) with popular ML architectures, due to their continuous nature. We, therefore, propose to resort to a higher level of abstraction for representing trajectories. This transformation is done by first obtaining the routes/paths connecting raw trajectory data points through publicly available routing algorithms¹. Then, the trajectory is represented as a sequence of the higher-order elements (hexagons) traversed by the route. We favor hexagons over other rectangular partitioning methods (such as Google S2² squares) because all six neighboring cells share identical properties, including equal distance to the cell’s centroid and uniform border lengths. This consistency makes hexagons more compatible with transformer architectures, as the transition from one token (hexagonal cell) to its neighbor would not be affected by any partitioning scheme. Additionally, mapping a point to its corresponding hexagonal token involves a constant-time operation through coordinate system conversions [75].

Definition 3.1 (Map Tessellation). Let $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ be a set of (regular) disjoint blocks that can fully tessellate map \mathcal{M} , forming a regular tiling. Each block $b_k \in \mathcal{B}$ is assumed to be a polygon. In our study, we opt for *hexagons*. A hexagon-based map tessellation offers several advantages over a grid-based one (commonly known as a tile system) [6]. Note also that the tessellation can happen at different levels of resolution by defining different hexagon sizes; the smaller the hexagon size, the higher the resolution.

Definition 3.2 (Higher-order Trajectory). Given a trajectory $T = p_1 p_2 \dots p_n$, and since every point p_i resides within a unique block $b_i \in \mathcal{B}$ of a tessellated map \mathcal{M} , we can translate every trajectory as a sequence of blocks. The outcome is a higher-order trajectory.

¹Open Source Routing Machine (OSRM). <https://project-osrm.org/docs/v5.24.0/api/#route-service>

²S2 Geometry Library. <http://s2geometry.io>

By associating trajectory points with individual blocks, we imply that the predicted targets move step-wise, transitioning sequentially from one block to another. This sequence of hexagon representation enables us to establish a structured temporal framework, aligning the trajectories based on a uniform time scale.

The steps in transforming trajectory data points into sequences of hexagons are shown in Figure 2. Although the general pipeline is straightforward, it is not trivial and can be time-consuming. This is due to the involvement of specialized algorithms, such as *map-matching* and *computationally geometry* tasks.

Map-Matching. The original trajectories are represented as a sequence of GPS-based data points. However, GPS data can be noisy and inaccurate, leading to deviations from actual roads. Map-matching aims to correct these inaccuracies and align the raw GPS points with the corresponding road network [56]. While popular methods, such as IVMM [86], exist for map-matching, one can use a routing machine like OSRM [52] to first find the shortest paths between consecutive points and then concatenate (in the same sequence) the shortest paths to form the map-matched trajectory.

Computational Geometry. We utilize computational geometry methods to transform a map-matched trajectory into a sequence of hexagons. Every trajectory is modeled as a `linestring` shape type, and every hexagon as a polygon shape type. Then, their intersection can be computed using off-the-shelf methods of popular libraries. Recall that a map \mathcal{M} can be tessellated using hexagons of a different size, which defines the map’s resolution. Upon data preparation, each trajectory in the dataset has been transformed into a sequence of hexagonal blocks (see Section 5.2).

3.1 Problem Definition (Revisited)

Based on the introduction of higher-order trajectory representations, we now revisit the problem of trajectory prediction in the context of predicting k future blocks (hexagons).

PROBLEM 2 (HIGHER-ORDER TRAJECTORY PREDICTION). Given a map \mathcal{M} , the corresponding trajectory history T^l represented as a set of block sequences, a partial trajectory $T_i^l = b_{i_1} b_{i_2} \dots b_{i_l}$ (where b_i is a block), and a prediction horizon $k > 0$, the objective is to predict the next k blocks $b_{i_{l+1}}, \dots, b_{i_{l+k}}$ of the partial trajectory T_i^l .

Note that since higher-order mobility flow is defined at different levels of granularity, it offers a strategic trade-off between a trained model’s accuracy and computational efficiency. The higher the resolution (i.e., the smaller the hexagons), the more refined the model’s prediction, but the higher the training cost. This flexibility allows the model to be adapted to meet the needs of diverse applications.

4 Trajectory Prediction Learning

In this section, we provide details of our TRAJLEARN model. In particular, we present (i) how our model leverages the Transformer architecture to capture intricate trajectory dependencies and facilitate accurate trajectory prediction, (ii) details of the model’s training, and (iii) details of the beam search with constraints that allows to explore multiple possible future trajectory paths efficiently. Additionally, we discuss *model complexity* in Section 4.4.

4.1 Treating Trajectories as Statements

To address our trajectory prediction task, we leverage the Transformer architecture [76] to capture underlying dependencies within trajectories. Though primarily designed for language tasks, Transformers are effective for our sequential trajectory data. The analogy can be outlined as follows: a token or word in language models corresponds to a hexagon ID (hexagon) in trajectory prediction. The number of words depends on vocabulary size; similarly, the number of hexagons depends on the map’s tessellation. A statement is a sequence of words, just as a trajectory is a sequence of




Fig. 3. TRAJLEARN high-level architecture.

hexagons. Learning dependencies between words translates to learning dependencies between hexagons, enabling the Transformer to model complex sequential dependencies for trajectory prediction. Figure 3 illustrates the training process framework. In particular, our model is a L -layer decoder-only Transformer, each with A causal self-attention heads and a H dimensional state length. In contrast to the original Transformer architecture that used sinusoidal positional encodings, we used learned position embeddings. These embeddings are more flexible and capable of learning and adapting to complex patterns within the data. This way, the input to the Transformer is:

$$h_0 = BW_e + W_p \quad (1)$$

where $B = (b_1, \dots, b_l)$ is the higher-order mobility flow, W_e is the block embedding matrix, and W_p is the position embedding matrix. Furthermore, unlike in the original Transformer, Layer normalization was moved to the input of each sub-block, and an additional Layer normalization was added after the final self-attention block. Formally, the computation of the hidden state at each Transformer layer $j \in [1, L]$ can be described as:

$$h'_j = h_{j-1} + \text{Self-Attention}(\text{LayerNorm}(h_{j-1})) \quad (2)$$

$$h_j = h'_j + \text{FeedForward}(\text{LayerNorm}(h'_j)) \quad (3)$$

where $\text{LayerNorm}(\cdot)$, $\text{Self-Attention}(\cdot)$, and $\text{FeedForward}(\cdot)$ denote layer normalization, the causal multihead self-attention operation, and the position-wise feed-forward network, respectively. For the LayerNorm, the module utilizes a modified version of L2 regularization proposed in [49] on all non-bias or gain weights. As an activation function, we opted for the Gaussian Error Linear Unit (GELU) [31], which is chosen due to its performance in NLP tasks and its ability to alleviate the vanishing gradient problem, allowing for a more effective learning process. GELU is defined as:

$$\text{GELU}(x) = x \cdot P(X \leq x) \quad (4)$$

where $X \sim N(0, 1)$ follows the standard normal distribution. In implementation, this is approximated by:

$$0.5x \left(1 + \tanh \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(x + 0.044715x^3 \right) \right) \right) \quad (5)$$

In causal self-attention, every token is constrained to only attend to its left context. The attention mechanism can be formalized as:

$$\text{Self-Attention}(E) = \text{softmax} \left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}} + \mathbf{M} \right) V \quad (6)$$

$$\text{where } \mathbf{M}_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\infty, & j > i \\ 0, & j \leq i \end{cases}, Q = EW_Q, \quad K = EW_K, \quad V = EW_V$$

where Q , K and V are matrices representing the queries, keys and values, respectively, W_Q , W_K , and W_V represent the respective learnable weight parameter matrices, and d_k is the dimensionality of the keys. The matrix \mathbf{M} is used to mask out future positions in the sequence, so the output of the self-attention layer for each position depends only on tokens to its left, ensuring causality in the attention mechanism. This mechanism allows the model to focus on different parts of the input sequence when generating the output. The output of the last layer is fed into layer normalization followed by a linear projection and a softmax activation that predicts the next block in the trajectory based on the probabilities of all possible next blocks:

$$P(b_{l+1}|B) = \text{softmax}(\text{FeedForward}(\text{LayerNorm}(h_L))) \quad (7)$$

Although we followed a decoder-only transformer architecture, our approach for trajectory prediction is flexible, and **other architectures used in large language models could be used**. Thus, any advancements in language models are applicable and can benefit our approach with minimal effort.

4.2 Model Training

In the context of language models, the <End of Sentence> or <EOS> token serves as a special symbol or marker used to indicate the end of a sentence or sequence of words. In this work, we represent it with an <End of Trajectory> or <EOT> special token. This step is vital as it enables the model to simulate real-world scenarios, where trajectories naturally conclude rather than continuing pointlessly. It also helps the model generate continuous trajectory paths where transitions mostly happen to adjacent hexagons. Once all trajectories have been represented as sequences of blocks, we transform them into *partial trajectories* that are required for training the prediction model. Specifically, we generate partial trajectories of length $l + k$, as well as all combinations of partial trajectories of length between l and $l + k$. Note that $l \geq 1$ is a model parameter representing the input size, and $k \geq 1$ is the prediction horizon. Subsequently, the model is trained by providing the first l blocks of partial trajectories as input and predicting the remaining ones. The process continues until an <EOT> token is predicted or until the trajectory has reached the prediction horizon.





Fig. 4. Train with (bottom) and w/o (top) teacher forcing.

Fig. 5. Beam search example where $w = 2$ and $k = 3$.

Our training procedure involves implementing a method known as *teacher forcing*. This technique is applied to stabilize the training process and accelerate convergence. During the training process, regardless of the model’s current prediction of the next block, the correct block (i.e., the ground truth target block) is used to form the next time step’s input. Figure 4 depicts this process. This approach provides a robust supervision signal and effectively allows the model to learn the latent dependencies and patterns of trajectories. We emphasize that the teacher forcing technique is used exclusively during the training phase and is not applied during inference.

4.3 Beam Search with Constraints

To optimize the trajectory prediction process and improve the performance, we incorporate *beam search with constraints*. Beam search is a heuristic search algorithm that explores the most promising trajectory paths. It maintains a set of candidate sequences of blocks and generates new candidate sequences at each step by expanding the current best candidates. By guiding the search process and focusing on the most likely trajectory paths, beam search improves prediction performance and increases the quality of the predicted trajectories. Figure 1 shows an example of exploring two paths. Our model’s beam search involves the following stages:

- **Initialization.** The algorithm begins with the last visited block of the current trajectory as its initial state. It selects a set of candidate next blocks using the output probabilities provided by the model’s classification layer.
- **Beam Expansion.** Each candidate in the beam is expanded by one block, generating a new set of candidate blocks for the next step. The expansion process is guided by the spatial relationships between the blocks, allowing expansion only to geographically adjacent blocks based on the hexagon-based map tessellation. The probabilities for each candidate in the beam are updated based on their cumulative probabilities as follows:

$$P(b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_n}) = P(b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_{n-1}}) \times P(b_{i_n} | b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_{n-1}}) \quad (8)$$

- **Beam Pruning.** After expansion, the beam is pruned to a certain beam width w , representing the most likely (or top) candidates for expansion based on their cumulative probabilities.

- **Termination.** The algorithm continues iterating through the beam expansion and pruning stages until it either reaches the desired prediction horizon or all candidates encounter an <EOT>.

Figure 5 depicts an illustrative example of the beam search with constraints with beam width $w = 2$ and prediction horizon $k = 3$.

4.3.1 Ensuring the Validity of Predicted Trajectories. To preserve *spatial continuity* in the predicted trajectories, we introduce constraints to the beam search algorithm. Formally, for a current block $b_i \in \mathcal{B}$, let $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(b_i)$ denote the set of its adjacent blocks in \mathcal{M} . Then, at each step, the path can only expand from b_i towards one of its adjacent blocks $b_j \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(b_i)$. By restricting the model to only consider adjacent blocks during the next block prediction task, we ensure *the validity* of the predicted trajectories, as they are always guided to follow spatially connected paths. This constraint further enhances the overall prediction *accuracy* by preventing the inclusion of non-adjacent blocks in the next block prediction task.

4.4 Computational Complexity

TRAJLEARN relies on a Transformer architecture, the main operations of which include self-attention and feed-forward neural networks. During inference, we incorporate a beam search component. Below, we analyze the computational complexity of our model.

Self-Attention The computational complexity of the self-attention mechanism is $O(n^2 \cdot d)$, where n is the sequence length and d is the dimension of the representation. Self-attention involves comparing each element in the sequence (like a word in a sentence) with every other element. This requires $n \times n$ comparisons or attention scores to be computed, leading to a quadratic complexity in terms of sequence length, and then, for each comparison, the model computes attention scores based on token representations, which are vectors of size d . This computation involves these vectors and hence introduces a factor of d in the complexity.

Beam Search During the inference time, the beam search algorithm is utilized with a complexity of $O(w \cdot \beta \cdot k)$. Here, w is the beam width, k is the prediction horizon (depth of the search tree), and β is the branching factor for each beam. At each level of the tree, the algorithm examines w nodes, and for each of these nodes, it considers up to β child nodes. However, only the best w among these $w \cdot \beta$ nodes are retained for the next level. Therefore, the number of nodes evaluated at each level is proportional to $w \cdot \beta$, and this process repeats for k levels, which is our prediction horizon.

5 Experimental Evaluation

In this section, we offer a thorough experimental evaluation of our model, covering research questions, experimental setup, datasets, baseline methods, evaluation metrics, results, and insights. Our experiments aim to address the following questions, with the results presented in Subsection 5.5. Additionally, we conduct an interpretability study in Subsection 5.6 and map the predicted hexagons to GPS points in Subsection 5.7.

- (Q1) **Model Accuracy Performance.** What is the accuracy performance of TRAJLEARN against sensible baselines?
- (Q2) **Parameter Sensitivity Analysis.** How does the performance of our model vary with different input trajectory length l and prediction horizon k ?
- (Q3) **Beam Search Analysis.** How is the performance of our model affected by varying values of the beam width w ?
- (Q4) **Map Resolution Analysis.** How does the performance of the model change with varying levels of map tessellation?

RESOLUTION	HEX EDGE LENGTH (KM)	HEX AREA (KM ²)
HEX@7	1.406	5.161
HEX@8	0.531	0.737
HEX@9	0.201	0.105

Table 2. Properties of hexagons of different resolutions.

5.1 Experimental Configuration

Computational Environment. We conducted experiments on a server equipped with an NVIDIA RTX A6000 graphics card and 320GB of memory. The model was developed in Python 3, and it was trained and deployed using the PyTorch 1.13 framework.

Map Tessellation and Resolutions. For tessellating a map, we utilized the H3 geo-indexing system³, which partitions the world into hexagonal cells of varying resolutions. We opted for H3’s resolutions 7, 8, and 9 for our experiments. Table 2 reports on the hexagon’s *edge length* (km) and surface area (km²).

Training Parameters. We train our model using the AdamW optimizer, with an initial learning rate of 5×10^{-3} , learning decay until reaching 5×10^{-7} , batch size of 64, and a dropout ratio of 0.1.

5.2 Datasets

In the experiments, we employ higher-order mobility flow data representations of three popular real-world trajectory datasets [25]. We briefly describe the semantics of each dataset (prefixed by “Ho-” to indicate higher-order) and summarize their statistics in Table 3.

- **HO-PORTO** [55]: This dataset consists of recorded mobility traces of taxis operating in Porto, Portugal.
- **HO-ROME** [8]: This dataset consists of recorded mobility traces of taxis operating in Rome, Italy.
- **HO-GEOLIFE** [88–90]: This dataset consists of recorded mobility traces of individuals, covering a total distance of ~ 1.2 million Km.

After transforming each dataset into higher-order mobility flows, we split the trajectory dataset—ordered by the start times of the trajectories—into training, validation, and test sets using a 70%, 10%, and 20% ratio, respectively. By partitioning the dataset into contiguous time intervals based on these start times, we eliminate the need to train and test over different random splits and report variance. This method ensures our evaluation reflects how the data naturally progresses over time, avoiding any randomness from dividing the data arbitrarily.

5.2.1 Training Data. TRAJLEARN is trained using the Higher-order mobility datasets at various resolutions (7, 8, and 9), as discussed in Section 3. To ensure data quality, we excluded trajectories consisting of fewer than 15 hexagonal blocks. This exclusion was necessary because the experiment setup requires a minimum of $l = 10$ historical data points to forecast subsequent $k = 5$ points. Consequently, the number of trajectories in our training dataset differs from the original datasets. Table 3 presents the statistics for the training datasets across these resolutions.

³H3 Geo-indexing Library. <https://h3geo.org/>

DATASET	#ENTITIES	OBSERVATION PERIOD	RES	#BLOCK	#TRAJECTORY	AVG. LENGTH
HO-ROME	315	02/01/14 – 03/02/14	7	172	5,678	72.75
			8	875	5,837	260.17
			9	4,231	5,854	689.75
HO-PORTO	442	07/01/13 – 06/30/14	7	3,491	45,186	25.55
			8	12,998	397,367	24.07
			9	45,633	1,151,544	35.33
HO-GEOLIFE	52	04/01/07 – 10/31/11	7	1,878	1,556	117.58
			8	6,360	1,830	219.28
			9	21,270	1,964	525.72

Table 3. Statistics of the processed datasets.

5.3 Baseline Methods

The datasets discussed in this research are commonly used in trajectory prediction research, but comparing results across studies has become challenging due to several reasons: (i) some prior research has introduced additional metadata, which was not initially present in the original dataset, such as POI data [62] or weather data [23]. These additions can favor certain models over others, irrespective of their architecture or training methods; (ii) different researchers employ various preprocessing pipelines, leading to significant differences in the generated data. This can involve excluding trajectories with GPS measurement errors [23, 40] or outliers [23, 46], which often represent the most challenging trajectories to predict. Therefore, to assess the performance of our model, we have selected prime models from existing literature that do not depend on additional meta information to serve as baselines. Each model represents a different approach to trajectory prediction.

MC [27]. A Markov Chain (MC) is a commonly used model for sequence prediction. It considers each location as a state and makes predictions based on a transition matrix between these states.

LSTM [69]. Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) is a type of Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) specifically designed to capture long-term sequential dependencies, which are essential for mobility prediction tasks. In our experiments, we explored a range of hyperparameters, including: embedding size (64, 100, 200, 300, 500), hidden size (64, 128, 200), number of layers (1 or 2), and dropout rate of 0.2 for the middle layer in a 2-layer LSTM. We report the best results.

LSTM-ATTN [51]. LSTM with attention is a variant of LSTM that integrates an attention mechanism that allows the model to focus on specific parts of the sequence when making predictions. We applied the same parameter search space that we used for the LSTM.

GRU [16]. Gated Recurrent Units (GRU) is a variant of RNN designed to address the vanishing gradient problem of RNNs and can be applied to sequence prediction tasks. We used the same parameters range for this model as for the LSTM.

DEEPMOVE [26]. DEEPMOVE is a state-of-the-art method combining a multi-modal recurrent network and a historical attention mechanism to capture both spatial and temporal dependencies. The [77] implementation

FLASHBACK++ [21]. FLASHBACK++ is a follow-up work by the authors of FLASHBACK [83]. It is a system that relies on RNN and uses sparse semantic trajectory modeling to predict the next location by looking for similar trajectories in terms of temporal characteristics. A grid search was conducted on the hidden dimension values {10, 32, 64, 128, 256}, and the optimal value was selected based on the results.

DATASET	MODEL	RESOLUTION 7				RESOLUTION 8				RESOLUTION 9			
		Acc@1	Acc@3	Acc@5	BLEU	Acc@1	Acc@3	Acc@5	BLEU	Acc@1	Acc@3	Acc@5	BLEU
HO-PORTO	MC	0.2232	0.2460	0.2483	0.2443	0.2131	0.2390	0.2426	0.2359	0.2239	0.2511	0.2561	0.2490
	LSTM	0.4360	0.5070	0.5325	0.4836	0.3268	0.4435	<u>0.4888</u>	0.3778	0.3744	0.5168	0.5626	0.4132
	LSTM-ATTN	0.4383	0.5112	0.5387	0.4891	0.3233	0.4377	0.4781	0.3719	0.3675	0.5086	0.5556	0.4075
	GRU	0.3140	0.3741	0.4089	0.3581	0.2010	0.2771	0.3067	0.2411	0.2236	0.3074	0.3364	0.2588
	DEEPMOVE*	0.0802	0.2038	0.3450	0.1516	0.1272	0.1994	0.2482	0.1725	0.2463	0.3139	0.3497	0.2858
	FLASHBACK++*	<u>0.4439</u>	<u>0.5015</u>	<u>0.5254</u>	<u>0.4929</u>	<u>0.3320</u>	<u>0.4599</u>	0.4867	<u>0.4066</u>	<u>0.3993</u>	<u>0.5316</u>	<u>0.5809</u>	<u>0.4314</u>
	TRAJLEARN (OURS)	0.4507	0.5285	0.5648	0.5108	0.4244	0.5638	0.6138	0.4860	0.4785	0.6555	0.7111	0.5255
	Improvement (%)	1.53	5.38	7.50	3.63	27.84	22.59	26.11	19.53	19.81	23.30	22.42	21.80
HO-ROME	MC	0.0440	0.0591	0.0643	0.0685	0.1335	0.1482	0.1512	0.1504	0.1459	0.1699	0.1726	0.1686
	LSTM	0.2284	0.2919	0.3195	0.2566	0.3191	0.4152	0.4536	0.349	0.3664	0.5020	0.5527	0.3977
	LSTM-ATTN	0.2264	0.2892	0.3170	0.2550	0.3164	0.4133	0.4508	0.3462	0.3663	0.5016	0.5522	0.3972
	GRU	0.2132	0.2656	0.2934	0.2392	0.2244	0.2806	0.3064	0.2480	0.1636	0.2420	0.2801	0.1932
	DEEPMOVE	<u>0.2644</u>	<u>0.3594</u>	<u>0.3940</u>	<u>0.2966</u>	<u>0.3529</u>	0.4140	0.4367	<u>0.3815</u>	OOM	OOM	OOM	OOM
	FLASHBACK++	0.2448	0.3086	0.3386	0.2658	0.3364	<u>0.4292</u>	<u>0.4666</u>	0.3634	<u>0.3860</u>	<u>0.5269</u>	<u>0.5821</u>	<u>0.4225</u>
	TRAJLEARN (OURS)	0.2924	0.3700	0.4046	0.3279	0.3953	0.5149	0.5631	0.4317	0.4515	0.6085	0.6704	0.4886
	Improvement (%)	10.60	2.95	2.69	10.56	17.50	19.95	20.70	18.80	16.96	15.51	15.15	15.63
HO-GEOLIFE	MC	0.1045	0.1083	0.1093	0.1113	0.0743	0.0848	0.0858	0.0864	0.0665	0.0882	0.0899	0.0857
	LSTM	0.3837	0.4710	0.5009	0.3996	0.3632	0.4325	0.4631	0.3787	0.3909	0.4898	0.5203	0.4166
	LSTM-ATTN	0.4208	0.4840	0.5109	0.4376	0.4081	0.4742	0.5048	0.4271	0.3892	0.4809	0.5136	0.4142
	GRU	0.3051	0.3544	0.3995	0.3183	0.2187	0.3135	0.3656	0.2391	0.1070	0.1811	0.2437	0.1308
	DEEPMOVE	<u>0.4212</u>	<u>0.5928</u>	<u>0.6679</u>	<u>0.4765</u>	0.3598	<u>0.5136</u>	<u>0.6255</u>	0.3778	OOM	OOM	OOM	OOM
	FLASHBACK++	0.3907	0.4755	0.5072	0.4072	<u>0.3911</u>	0.4420	0.4885	<u>0.3995</u>	<u>0.4144</u>	<u>0.5154</u>	<u>0.5301</u>	<u>0.4311</u>
	TRAJLEARN (OURS)	0.6008	0.6683	0.7028	0.6235	0.5303	0.6082	0.6427	0.5565	0.4266	0.5247	0.5589	0.4545
	Improvement (%)	42.60	12.75	5.23	30.82	35.60	37.63	31.56	39.30	2.94	1.80	8.44	5.43

Table 4. TRAJLEARN accuracy performance against five baselines, for varying evaluation metric and resolution, over three benchmark datasets. We fix input length $l = 10$ & prediction horizon $k = 5$. The bold/underlined numbers indicate the best/second best method, respectively. Improvement (%) reports the relative improvement of our model over the strongest baseline. (*Experiments with DeepMove on HO-PORTO and Flashback++ on {HO-PORTO, resolution 9} were conducted on 30,000 randomly sampled trajectories due to their limited efficiency and scalability on large datasets.)

Baselines Implementation. For the baselines, we implemented the MC, LSTM, LSTM-ATTN, and GRU models ourselves. For the DEEPMOVE model, we used the implementation provided by [77]⁴, and for FLASHBACK++ we relied on the implementation by [21]⁵, both with adjustments to support hexagonal-based spatial data in our experiments.

5.4 Evaluation Metrics

To evaluate the performance of our model against the baselines, we consider and adopt the following well-established metrics for evaluating sequence prediction tasks of trained language models.

ACCURACY@N [↑]. This metric assesses how often the correct sequence appears within the top- N ranked predictions made by the model. Given a set of sequences P in the test dataset, with a sequence denoted as s , the actual label of s as $\text{true}(s)$, and the set of top N predictions for s as $\text{Top}_N(s)$, it is defined as:

$$\text{ACCURACY@N} = \frac{|\{s \in P \mid \text{true}(s) \in \text{Top}_N(s)\}|}{|P|} \quad (9)$$

This metric evaluates a model’s ability to include the true label in its top N predictions, summarizing performance at various precision levels. We report ACCURACY@1, ACCURACY@3, and ACCURACY@5.

⁴<https://github.com/LibCity/Bigcity-LibCity>

⁵<https://github.com/Pursue1221/FlashbackPlusPlus>

BLEU SCORE [↑]. This is a standard metric for sequence prediction tasks in NLP, which measures the quality of predicted sequences by comparing them with the ground truth sequences. In our context, we had to adapt the BLEU score to assess predicted trajectories. We do so by quantifying the similarity between the predicted trajectories (sequences of blocks) and the actual trajectories by comparing the overlap of n -grams (or n -blocks) – contiguous sequences of n blocks along the trajectories – between them, incorporating a brevity penalty for overly short predicted trajectories. For a given trajectory, an n -block consists of a specific sequence of blocks (e.g., a turn at an intersection followed by a straight path and another turn), analogous to a combination of words forming a meaningful sentence. Formally, the BLEU score in our context is given by:

$$\text{BLEU} = BP \cdot \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^T w_n \log p_n \right)$$

where p_n is the ratio of number of n -blocks matches to the total number of n -blocks in the predicted trajectories, w_n are weights that sum to 1 ($\sum_{n=1}^T w_n = 1$), and T is the maximum order of n -block considered. The brevity penalty BP is defined as follows:

$$BP = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c > r \\ e^{(1-r/c)} & \text{if } c \leq r \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where c, r are the lengths of the predicted and ground truth sequences, respectively. In our experiments, we adhere to NLP best practices and consider up to 4-blocks ($T = 4$) and uniform weights.

5.5 Results and Discussion

(Q1) Model Accuracy Performance. We compare the performance of TRAJLEARN against the baselines employing different metrics and varying resolutions over three real-world trajectory datasets. We fix the input trajectory length ($l = 10$) and prediction horizon ($k = 5$). Table 4 shows the numerical results, where for each metric, the **bold** and underlined numbers correspond to the best and second-best performing model, respectively. A few key observations can be made: (i) TRAJLEARN demonstrates a remarkable performance by consistently securing one of the top two spots and, in all instances outperforming all competitors by a large margin (see % improvement), (ii) TRAJLEARN’s accuracy is improving as the N of the ACCURACY@ N is increasing, where N represents the number of top predictions considered. This is due to the *teacher forcing* technique involved in the training stage (see Section 4.2), which provides supervision and corrects the prediction for any subsequent step, effectively allowing TRAJLEARN to learn.

Note that DEEPMOVE encounters out-of-memory (OOM) issues with large datasets, specifically HO-PORTO at all resolutions, HO-ROME at resolution 9, and HO-GEOLIFE at resolution 9. To address this, we conducted experiments on randomly sampled trajectories ($\sim 30,000$) for each dataset, similar to the practice in FLASHBACK++ [21]. However, for HO-ROME and HO-GEOLIFE at resolution 9, the sample sizes were too small to achieve satisfactory performance. The main reason for this is the method’s approach to training the prediction model, which heavily depends on sparsely available POI check-in datasets. Upon aligning their methodology with our continuous path approach (hexagonal traversal), the volume of “check-ins” surges substantially, leading to OOM errors. Despite these OOM errors hindering some experiments, their impact on the overall conclusions is minimal, as the remaining results demonstrate our approach’s generalizability and practicality. Our model preprocesses and trains on data batches, eliminating the need to load the entire dataset into memory. This reduces the memory footprint and enhances efficiency when processing large datasets.




Fig. 6. TRAJLEARN accuracy for varying prediction horizon k (horizontal) & input length l (vertical) on Ho-PORTO, res=7.




Fig. 7. Impact of beam width w on TRAJLEARN’s accuracy (left) and inference time (right) on Ho-PORTO, res=7.

(Q2) Parameter Sensitivity Analysis. In this experiment, we investigate the influence of varying input trajectory length ($5 \leq l \leq 10$) and prediction horizon ($1 \leq k \leq 5$) on the accuracy of TRAJLEARN at different precision levels. Figure 6 presents the results for HO-PORTO with resolution 7. A few key observations can be made: (i) the longer the trajectory history l , the higher the prediction accuracy; (ii) the shorter the prediction horizon k , the higher the accuracy prediction. These trends are logical, as predicting a far horizon with limited information as input becomes increasingly challenging. Similar to (Q1), the accuracy is improving as the N of the ACCURACY@N is increasing.

(Q3) Beam Search Analysis. In this experiment, we investigate the tradeoff between the accuracy performance of TRAJLEARN and its running cost during inference, as a result of varying values of the beam width w ranging from 1 to 5. Figure 7 shows the results for ACCURACY@1 (left) and inference time averaged per batch (right) on Ho-PORTO with resolution 7. The findings suggest that augmenting the beam width typically boosts the model’s performance as anticipated. However, this enhancement becomes less apparent as the number of beams increases, implying diminishing returns. The running cost during inference increases linearly with the beam width, as expected. Consequently, we set the beam width to $w = 5$ (and not larger) for the rest of our experiments.

(Q4) Map Resolution Analysis. In this experiment, we investigate the impact of a map’s resolution on TRAJLEARN’s performance. Recall that a lower (higher) resolution means larger (smaller) hexagons. Depending on how the data is collected (e.g., vehicles or individuals) and the specific domain application, the different resolutions offer a trade-off




Fig. 8. TRAJLEARN accuracy for varying resolutions (7: ★, 8: ●, 9: ▲) on Ho-PORTO (top), Ho-ROME (middle), Ho-GeoLIFE (bottom). We report ACCURACY@1 as a factor of the prediction horizon k (left) and the actual distance traveled (right).

DATASET	ACCURACY@1	ACCURACY@1 W/O Beam	Change (%)
HO-PORTO@7	0.4507	0.4365	-3.15
HO-PORTO@8	0.4244	0.4064	-4.24
HO-PORTO@9	0.4785	0.4677	-2.26

Table 5. Impact of removing the beam search on accuracy.

between computational efficiency and accuracy. For illustration purposes, Figure 8 presents the results for varying resolutions on the HO-PORTO dataset. A few observations can be made: (i) the smaller the resolution, the slower the rate with which the accuracy decreases as the prediction horizon increases; (ii) the smaller the resolution, the slower the rate with which the accuracy decreases, as the distance traveled increases.

(Q5) Ablation Study. In this experiment, we investigate the impact of certain components of TRAJLEARN’s neural architecture. We selectively remove the beam search module and report the *accuracy performance change*. Table 5 shows the results, which indicate that the accuracy drops when removing the beam search. In addition, we perform a hyperparameter analysis to gauge the impact of various parameters on accuracy: *embedding dimension*, *number of decoder layers*, and *number of attention heads*. These tests were carried out using the GEO LIFE@7 dataset. Figure 9 presents the results. From our observations, TRAJLEARN’s performance benefits from an increase in the number of layers, as it allows for a more comprehensive processing of dependencies. Likewise, enhancing the number of attention heads results in a better outcome. Additionally, increasing the embedding dimension enhances performance up to a certain threshold, likely due to the constrained input length.




Fig. 9. ACCURACY@1 over datasets HO-GEOLIFE (top), HO-ROME (middle), and HO-PORTO (bottom) with resolution 7 for varying embedding vector size (left), number of attention heads (middle), and number of Transformer layers (right).

5.6 Interpretability Study

The interpretability study for a Transformer-based model analyzes how the model makes predictions. This is crucial because these models are often seen as “black boxes” due to their complexity and numerous parameters. Given that TRAJLEARN is a Transformer-based architecture, in this section, we aim to analyze the predictions using weights of self-attention for interpretation [39]. By examining the attention weights, we can see which tokens in the input sequence were deemed most *relevant* or *important* when predicting a particular output token. This can provide insights into how the model understands and uses context. We present the heatmaps of the attention weights in Figure 10. The heatmaps are the softmax of the multiplication of *query* and *key* in the self-attention layer in the last decoder. The variation in patterns across different heads underscores the multi-head attention mechanism’s capacity to recognize various types of relationships within the data. Each heatmap element in position (i, j) represents the influence of j -th hexagon on i -th hexagon in the sequence. Note that every token is constrained to only attend to its left context in causal self-attention.

Based on Figure 11, a few observations can be made: 1) some positions, notably hexagon 4, play a crucial role across multiple heads, suggesting they contain important information for the trajectory sequence. 2) There is evidence of significant attention between non-adjacent positions, indicating that the model identified some long-distance relationships. For instance, as depicted in Figure 11a, hexagon 4 represents a turn on the highway that significantly could influence the prediction of the next block (11) based on recent hexagons. Moreover, we have used the max function





Fig. 10. The heatmaps of the attention weights of all 8 heads when predicting hexagon 11.



(a) An example trajectory.

(b) Aggregated heatmap.

Fig. 11. An illustrative example that shows how TRAJLEARN predicts the future path of a trajectory. (a) Given as input the sequence of hexagons 1-10, the model predicts the hexagon 11. (b) The heatmap representing the aggregated attention weights across all 8 heads.

to aggregate the attention weights, highlighting the highest attention score between each pair of positions across all heads in Figure 11b.

5.7 Mapping Predicted Hexagons to GPS Points

Building upon the solution where future trajectories are predicted as sequences of hexagons on a tessellated map, we present results to map these predicted hexagons back to corresponding GPS points. This conversion can be useful for real-world applications, such as mapping predicted routes into the street map or performing further spatial analysis.

5.7.1 Hexagon Representation and Mapping to GPS Points. In the main results, future trajectories are predicted as sequences of hexagons on a tessellated map, where each hexagon represents a discrete spatial region. Using hexagons for spatial tessellation is advantageous due to their geometric efficiency and equidistant neighbors, which facilitate




Fig. 12. Mapping Predicted Hexagons to GPS Points

smoother and more computationally efficient predictions. To convert these hexagon-based predictions into GPS points, we map each predicted hexagon to its centroid, denoted as (x_h, y_h) . The centroid of a regular hexagon is the point that minimizes the average distance to all other points within the hexagon, making it a computationally efficient and reasonable approximation for the predicted GPS location. Figure 12 shows the process of converting predicted hexagonal sequences to GPS points on the map.

For applications that demand greater precision, especially when the hexagons are large or when finer spatial details are needed, a more refined approach can be applied. One such approach is to employ map matching techniques, which align GPS trajectories to the nearest road network or paths [12]. In this context, map matching can adjust the centroid-based predictions by snapping them to the most likely path within or around the predicted hexagon, considering the road network and the historical trajectory of the moving entity. This can significantly improve the accuracy of the mapped GPS points, particularly in urban environments with dense road networks.

5.7.2 Experimental Visualization. To illustrate the mapping process from predicted hexagons to GPS points, we designed an experiment using trajectory data from the Geolife dataset. Specifically, we selected an input trajectory split into two segments: the initial part representing the known trajectory and the latter serving as the ground truth for future movement. This allowed us to visualize both the actual future trajectory and the model’s predictions.

The visualization includes four elements:

- **Input Trajectory:** The initial part of the trajectory used for prediction.
- **True Trajectory:** The ground truth path followed by the individual after the initial segment.
- **Hexagon Centroids:** Centroids of the hexagons predicted by the model, representing approximated positions.
- **Final GPS Prediction:** The GPS points are adjusted via map-matching (using OSRM) to align with the road network.

This approach reveals not only how well the hexagonal representations approximate real paths but also highlights the accuracy improvements when applying map-matching techniques to correct centroid-based outputs. The visual




Fig. 13. Visualization of a trajectory from the GeoLife dataset, presenting the input trajectory GPS points, ground truth history points of the trajectory, hexagon centroids of predicted hexagons using TrajLearn, and final GPS prediction generated using map-matching the hexagon centroids.

comparison demonstrates how centroid predictions alone can be offset, particularly in complex urban areas, but significantly align more closely with true paths post map-matching.

Figure 13 showcases these trajectories, marking differences between centroid estimates and adjusted GPS points, effectively bridging hexagonal predictions and practical spatial analysis.

6 Hierarchical Maps

In complex urban environments, the spatial distribution of trajectory data varies significantly across different regions. To effectively capture these variations, hierarchical maps employ a mixed-resolution tessellation strategy, where hexagons of varying sizes are used to represent different areas. This approach enables more precise localization in high-density regions by utilizing smaller hexagons, while larger hexagons are allocated to less active areas, thereby enhancing the specificity of trajectory predictions without incurring excessive computational costs.

6.1 Motivation

Accurate trajectory prediction requires a nuanced understanding of movement patterns, which can differ markedly between densely populated urban centers and sparsely inhabited outskirts. Uniform map resolutions often fail to provide the necessary granularity, leading to generalized predictions that lack spatial specificity in critical areas. For instance, predicting movements in a bustling city center demands finer granularity to distinguish between closely situated points of interest, whereas suburban regions may not require such detailed representation.

To address this challenge, we introduce a *hierarchical mapping* approach that dynamically adjusts hexagon sizes based on the local density of trajectory data. By implementing smaller hexagons in high-activity zones, the model can achieve more precise predictions, accurately reflecting the intricate movement dynamics. Conversely, larger hexagons in low-activity regions reduce computational overhead and memory usage, ensuring that resources are concentrated where they are most needed. Figure 14 exemplifies this hierarchical tessellation strategy.




Fig. 14. Illustrative example of a hierarchical map. (a) Initial tessellation with a blue hexagon representing a high-activity area; (b) Subdivision of the blue hexagon into seven smaller hexagons for increased precision; (c) Identification of another high-activity area with a red hexagon; (d) Final tessellation displaying varying resolutions across the map, with smaller hexagons in high-activity regions.




Fig. 15. Illustrative example of a mix-resolution map. (a) A map is tessellated and two red hexagons represent busy areas, (b) busy hexagons are further split into smaller hexagons, (c) final tessellation with varying resolutions in the map.

The key advantages of this mixed-resolution approach include:

- **Enhanced Spatial Precision:** Smaller hexagons in high-activity regions enable more precise localization and detailed trajectory predictions, capturing nuanced movement patterns that uniform resolutions might miss.
- **Efficient Resource Utilization:** By allocating larger hexagons to low-activity areas, the approach reduces unnecessary computational and memory overhead, focusing resources on regions where fine-grained predictions are most beneficial.
- **Scalability:** The adaptive nature of hierarchical maps allows the system to scale seamlessly with increasing data volumes and urban expansion, maintaining performance without a linear increase in resource consumption.
- **Improved Data Representation:** By matching the map's resolution to the inherent data distribution, hierarchical maps provide a more accurate and meaningful representation of spatial dynamics, enhancing overall model performance.

6.2 Approach

The hierarchical map generation process begins with an initial tessellation at a base resolution R_{\min} . Hexagons are iteratively subdivided based on local trajectory density, allowing finer granularity in areas with concentrated movement patterns. This subdivision continues until a predefined maximum resolution R_{\max} is reached or until no further subdivisions are necessary based on the termination criteria.

The algorithm proceeds as follows:

Input: Map M , Minimum resolution R_{\min} , Maximum resolution R_{\max} , Maximum iterations max_iter
Output: Hierarchical map H

```
// Initialize hexagon set at the minimum resolution
1  $H \leftarrow \text{Tessellate}(M, R_{\min});$ 
2 for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $max\_iter$  do
3   | if  $\text{termination\_condition\_fn}(H)$  then
4   |   | break
5   | end
6   | foreach  $hexagon\ h \in H$  do
7   |   | if  $\text{splitting\_condition\_fn}(h)$  and  $\text{Resolution}(h) < R_{\max}$  then
8   |   |   | Split  $h$  into smaller hexagons at the next resolution level;
9   |   | end
10  | end
11  | Update  $H$  with the newly created hexagons;
12 end
```

Algorithm 1: Hierarchical Map Generation Algorithm

In this algorithm, $\text{termination_condition_fn}$ and $\text{splitting_condition_fn}$ are functions that determine when the iterative process should stop and which hexagons should be further subdivided, respectively. These functions can be defined based on various metrics such as frequency distributions, skewness, entropy, variance, local density measures, or other domain-specific criteria like proximity to critical infrastructure or areas of interest. This methodology allows the map to adaptively adjust its resolution in different regions, providing higher granularity where the data is dense and lower granularity where the data is sparse. The general algorithm is abstracted to accommodate various definitions of splitting and termination conditions, making it flexible for different applications and datasets.

6.3 Implementation Details

Our implementation of the hierarchical mapping approach leverages trajectory frequency and spatial distribution to guide the subdivision of hexagons. By focusing on areas with high trajectory density and significant spatial variability, the map becomes more granular where detailed predictions are essential, while larger hexagons suffice in regions with uniform or low activity. Below, we outline the splitting and termination conditions for the iterative hierarchical map tessellation process, and discuss the rationale behind the parameter selection.

6.3.1 Splitting Condition. A hexagon h is eligible for splitting if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) **High Trajectory Density:** The frequency $f(h)$ of trajectories passing through h exceeds a threshold δ . This ensures that only regions with substantial movement data are considered for finer granularity.
- (2) **Spatial Variability:** The spatial variance $\sigma^2(h)$ within h surpasses a threshold ϕ . This condition targets areas where diverse movement patterns require more detailed representation.
- (3) **Resolution Cap:** The current resolution of h is below the maximum resolution R_{\max} . This prevents excessive subdivision and controls the map’s overall granularity.

Mathematically, the splitting condition for a hexagon h can be expressed as:

$$\text{splitting_condition_fn}(h) = \begin{cases} \text{True} & \text{if } f(h) > \delta \text{ and } \gamma(h) > \phi \text{ and } R(h) < R_{\max}, \\ \text{False} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $R(h)$ denotes the resolution of hexagon h .

6.3.2 Termination Condition. The iterative subdivision process terminates when the overall spatial variability across all hexagons falls below a threshold θ , indicating that the map has achieved sufficient granularity for accurate trajectory prediction. Formally, the termination condition is:

$$\text{termination_condition_fn}(H) = \begin{cases} \text{True} & \text{if } \Gamma(H) < \theta \text{ or No hexagons were split in the last iteration,} \\ \text{False} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\Gamma(H)$ is the skewness of the frequency distribution of the hexagon set H .

6.3.3 Parameter Selection. The thresholds δ , ϕ , and θ are critical for balancing map precision and computational efficiency. These parameters were empirically determined based on dataset-specific characteristics:

- **Trajectory Density Threshold (δ):** Set to identify hexagons with trajectory frequencies significantly above the median, ensuring that only the most active regions are refined.
- **Spatial Variability Threshold (ϕ):** Chosen to detect areas with diverse movement patterns, prompting subdivision where spatial heterogeneity is high.
- **Overall Variability Threshold (θ):** Selected to balance the trade-off between map detail and computational resources, terminating the refinement process when spatial variability is sufficiently low.

6.4 Experimental Results

We evaluated the effectiveness of our mixed-resolution mapping approach on the HO-GEO LIFE, HO-ROME, and HO-PORTO datasets. We present the model’s performance using the mixed-resolution maps over the metrics $\text{Acc}@1$, $\text{Acc}@3$, $\text{Acc}@5$, and BLEU scores, which measure the accuracy of trajectory predictions at different levels. For our experiments, we set the minimum resolution $R_{\min} = 7$ and the maximum resolution $R_{\max} = 9$ with input length $l = 10$ & prediction horizon $k = 5$. The results are summarized in Table 6. Figure 16 illustrates the distinction between fixed-resolution and hierarchical tessellations for the HO-GEO LIFE dataset. The hierarchical map achieves greater spatial specificity in high-density areas, facilitating more accurate and localized trajectory predictions without incurring significant computational overhead in less active regions.

7 Related Work

In this section, we discuss the most significant efforts relevant to (i) *trajectory prediction* and (ii) *deep generative models*.

Dataset	Mixed Resolution (Res = 7–9)			
	Acc@1	Acc@3	Acc@5	BLEU
HO-PORTO	0.4476	0.5333	0.6099	0.5289
HO-ROME	0.3213	0.4915	0.5605	0.3747
HO-GEOLIFE	0.4854	0.5727	0.6496	0.5267

Table 6. Performance metrics of trajectory prediction using hierarchical maps across various datasets.




Fig. 16. Comparison of tessellations for the Ho-GEOLIFE dataset: (a) Fixed resolution; (b) Hierarchical resolution. The hierarchical map provides finer granularity in high-activity areas, enabling more specific trajectory predictions.

7.1 Trajectory Prediction

Predicting trajectories has been explored by different areas of computing, including (a) *computer vision*, and (b) *mobile data analysis*.

7.1.1 Computer Vision. Trajectory prediction in computer vision involves predicting the future movement of objects in a scene over time. They rely on camera-generated video frames, where trajectories can be represented by (x, y) coordinates within the frame [19, 32, 42]. The focus is on trajectory prediction for autonomous driving [61, 71], pedestrian mobility prediction at a small scale [22, 73], or predicting human–human and human–vehicle interactions [20, 32]. Despite their effectiveness, computer vision-based approaches often encounter significant challenges that limit their scalability and applicability to real-world scenarios. Primarily, the reliance on camera systems imposes constraints such as limited fields of view, which restrict the ability to capture comprehensive spatial dynamics in expansive

environments. Additionally, these methods frequently depend on visual features like optical flow and bounding box detections, which are not available in datasets lacking visual information. In contrast, our approach circumvents these limitations by only deriving its input from GPS data.

Recent papers such as Traj-LLM [41] leverage pre-trained Large Language Models for trajectory prediction. Traj-LLM demonstrates significant advancements in understanding traffic scenes and predicting trajectories as (x, y) coordinates in a scene. The paper LG-Traj [15] also employs a transformer-based architecture for pedestrian trajectory prediction by integrating motion cues derived from past and future pedestrian trajectories. In contrast to our method, these approaches also rely on scene-specific (x, y) coordinates in a frame. Additionally, the paper Trajectory-LLM [4] leverages large language models with transformer architectures to translate textual descriptions of vehicle interactions into realistic trajectories by integrating interaction, behavior, and driving logic in a two-stage process, which is beyond the scope of this study.

7.1.2 Mobile Data Analysis. Two types of trajectory-based predictive analysis can be identified: *macroscopic* and *microscopic*.

Macroscopic Analysis. Macroscopic analysis focuses on high-level mobility models for crowd flow prediction [47], traffic flow prediction [53], taxi demand prediction [85], and city-wide mobility prediction [24, 72]. These models are important as they provide *aggregated insights at a city level* to guide solutions for urban planning. In contrast, our research focuses on *individual-level mobility prediction* based on historical mobility data.

Microscopic Analysis. Microscopic analysis focuses on individual-level mobility prediction and is more closely related to our work. First attempts to address the problem considered statistical methods, such as matrix factorization [13, 43, 45] and Markov chain [14, 54, 70]. However, these approaches often struggle to capture human mobility’s complex sequential and periodic features in trajectories. Deep Learning advances yield specialized models for sequential trajectory modeling. Particularly, methods based on RNNs have demonstrated good performance. For instance, Liu et al. [48] proposed Spatial Temporal Recurrent Neural Networks (ST-RNN), a method that extends RNN to model temporal and spatial contexts. Unlike traditional methods such as Markov Chains, Factorization Models, and standard RNNs, which struggle with continuous time intervals, geographical distances, or sparse data, ST-RNN employs time-specific and distance-specific transition matrices to capture dynamic temporal and spatial dependencies. By leveraging a linear interpolation method, it mitigates data sparsity issues and enhances predictive accuracy. Feng et al. [26] presented DeepMove, a attentional recurrent networks designed to predict human mobility from sparse and lengthy trajectory data. It addresses challenges like complex sequential dependencies and multi-level periodicity in movement patterns by combining a multi-modal embedding RNN for feature representation with a historical attention module to leverage relevant historical patterns. Experiments on three real-world datasets demonstrate that DeepMove outperforms traditional models by over 10 % in accuracy, while its attention mechanism offers interpretability by highlighting key historical influences. While these models perform well, they struggle to handle sparse and inaccurate trajectory data.

Lian et al. [44] introduce GeoSAN (Geography-Aware Sequential Recommendation based on Self-Attention Network), a model designed to enhance sequential location recommendation by effectively incorporating geographical information and addressing data sparsity. GeoSAN employs a self-attention-based geography encoder to embed spatial proximity and clustering phenomena, alongside a novel loss function that uses importance sampling to prioritize informative negative samples. Additionally, geography-aware negative samplers are introduced to enhance the informativeness of training data. Also, Yang et al. [83] (Flashback), Luo et al. [50] (STAN), and Xue, Hao, et al. [81] (MobTCast) introduced models crafted for handling sparse user mobility data. However, these models are designed for the Next POI prediction

problem, which, as explained in section 1, is a different problem. Earlier studies investigated trajectory prediction using general GPS logs rather than POI check-ins, yet mainly concentrated on specific instances of the problem. For example, Jiang et al. [35] employed a seq2seq model to predict very short-term human trajectories triggered by big rare events or disasters. Sadri et al. [64] presented a model for predicting a user’s afternoon trajectory, given their morning trajectory. Amichi et al. [3] proposed to first predict the purpose of visiting a location and, given that, to predict the next location where the individual will be. The paper [82] introduces PreCLN, a pre-trained contrastive learning framework for vehicle trajectory prediction that leverages a dual-view approach combining hierarchical map gridding and road network mapping to capture spatiotemporal dependencies. It employs a Transformer-based trajectory encoder to model long-term relationships and integrates three auxiliary pre-training tasks—trajectory imputation, destination prediction, and trajectory-user linking—to enhance representation learning. Experimental results on large-scale trajectory datasets demonstrate significant improvements over state-of-the-art baselines showcasing its ability to handle complex trajectory data and improve prediction accuracy for smart transportation applications. However, this approach requires time, user embeddings, and three auxiliary pre-trained tasks to train the model, increasing information demands and complexity. These models are unsuitable for addressing the trajectory prediction problem.

7.2 Deep Generative Models

Generative models are a class of ML models designed to mimic the underlying data distribution of a given dataset (see Bond-Taylor et al. [7] for a comprehensive survey). The fundamental idea behind them is to capture the statistical patterns so that the model can generate new samples that resemble real data. They have shown remarkable results in creating realistic data samples in various applications. Most prominent generative models include Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) [28], Variational Autoencoders (VAEs) [36], Autoregressive Models [29], Flow-based Models [37], and Transformers [76]. While GANs and VAEs have strengths, such as generating realistic images and modeling latent representations, Transformers offer unique advantages for handling sequential data and have become the common choice for many generative tasks. Our research employs a Transformer-based architecture [9].

7.2.1 Transformers. Transformer models [76] are known for their use in NLP tasks but can also generate sequences in other domains. Traditionally, sequence data was processed using RNNs [63], which suffered from limitations like vanishing gradients and sequential computation, slowing their training. The Transformer addressed these issues by employing a self-attention mechanism, which allows for parallelization and learning of long-range dependencies in the data. In our research, we treat historical trajectories as sequences of hexagons on a hex-tessellated map. These sequences are analogous to sequences of tokens in language models [18, 59, 74].

8 Ethical Implications

Using deep generative models for trajectory prediction presents several ethical considerations that should be carefully addressed.

Privacy and Data Protection. Using trajectory data raises concerns about individual privacy and the potential for re-identification of individuals. To protect the privacy of individuals all datasets used in the experimental evaluation have been anonymized and aggregated. The original datasets are publicly available and are free of use (for research intent purposes), as outlined by their respective curators, and were deemed to be collected with proper informed consent and ethical approvals. Moreover, they have undergone the necessary preprocessing to meet specific research

requirements. We also followed all terms and conditions of use as specified by the dataset providers, including properly attributing their work.

Other Ethical Consideration. Deep generative models pose challenges, such as the generation of plausible but incorrect data in certain scenarios. In our process of designing and developing our model, we have made a conscientious effort to proactively address potential ethical considerations. We have been diligent in adopting responsible practices to the best of our knowledge and capacity. By doing so, we aim to ensure that deploying deep generative models for trajectory prediction aligns with societal values and prioritizes the well-being of individuals and communities.

9 Conclusions

We focused on the problem of trajectory prediction and proposed TRAJLEARN, a trajectory deep generative model that has shown remarkable results in predicting the future path of a trajectory across various real-world datasets. Our model was trained from scratch on large-scale, higher-order mobility flow datasets that represent trajectories as sequences of hexagons on a hex-tessellated map. By utilizing higher-order mobility flows notable data simplification, while preserving essential spatial and temporal information, and incorporating a constrained beam search strategy, TrajLearn achieves significant advancements over state-of-the-art methods, with performance improvements of up to 40%. Additionally, our development of mixed-resolution maps demonstrates the model’s adaptability and practicality for diverse applications, from urban planning to autonomous navigation. Our extensive empirical evaluations across three real-world datasets, Ho-Porto, Ho-Rome, and Ho-GeoLife, demonstrate that TrajLearn consistently outperforms strong baselines, with Accuracy and BLEU score improvements of up to 40%. These results not only validate the robustness of our model but also highlight its competitive performance across different resolutions, showcasing its versatility. We believe our proposed approach and model for trajectory prediction can find many valuable applications and have a broad impact.

References

- [1] Gian Alix, Nina Yanin, Tilemachos Pechlivanoglou, Jing Li, Farzaneh Heidari, and Manos Papagelis. 2022. A Mobility-based Recommendation System for Mitigating the Risk of Infection during Epidemics. In *2022 23rd IEEE International Conference on Mobile Data Management (MDM)*. IEEE, 292–295.
- [2] Mahmoud Alsaeed, Ameeta Agrawal, and Manos Papagelis. 2023. Trajectory-User Linking using Higher-order Mobility Flow Representations. In *2023 24th IEEE International Conference on Mobile Data Management (MDM)*. IEEE, 158–167.
- [3] Licia Amichi, Aline Carneiro Viana, Mark Crovella, and Antonio AF Loureiro. 2021. From movement purpose to perceptive spatial mobility prediction. In *Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems*. 500–511.
- [4] Anonymous. 2024. Trajectory-LLM: A Language-based Data Generator for Trajectory Prediction in Autonomous Driving. In *Submitted to The Thirteenth International Conference on Learning Representations*. <https://openreview.net/forum?id=UapxTxvB3N> under review.
- [5] Gowtham Atluri, Anuj Karpatne, and Vipin Kumar. 2018. Spatio-Temporal Data Mining: A Survey of Problems and Methods. *ACM Comp. Surveys* 51, 4 (2018).
- [6] Colin P.D. Birch, Sander P. Oom, and Jonathan A. Beecham. 2007. Rectangular and hexagonal grids used for observation, experiment and simulation in ecology. *Ecological Modelling* 206, 3 (2007), 347–359.
- [7] S. Bond-Taylor, A. Leach, Y. Long, and C. G. Willcocks. 2022. Deep Generative Modelling: A Comparative Review of VAEs, GANs, Normalizing Flows, Energy-Based and Autoregressive Models. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis & Machine Intelligence* 44, 11 (2022), 7327–7347.
- [8] Lorenzo Bracciale, Marco Bonola, Pierpaolo Loreti, Giuseppe Bianchi, Raul Amici, and Antonello Rabuffi. 2022. CRAWDAD roma/taxi.
- [9] Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 33 (2020), 1877–1901.
- [10] Caroline Buckee, Abdisalan Noor, and Lisa Sattenspiel. 2021. Thinking clearly about social aspects of infectious disease transmission. *Nature* 595, 7866 (2021), 205–213. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03694-x>
- [11] Serina Chang, Emma Pierson, Pang Wei Koh, Jaline Gerardin, Beth Redbird, David Grusky, and Jure Leskovec. 2021. Mobility network models of COVID-19 explain inequities and inform reopening. *Nature* 589, 7840 (2021), 82–87.
- [12] Pingfu Chao, Yehong Xu, Wen Hua, and Xiaofang Zhou. 2020. A survey on map-matching algorithms. In *Databases Theory and Applications: 31st Australasian Database Conference, ADC 2020, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, February 3–7, 2020, Proceedings 31*. Springer, 121–133.
- [13] Chen Cheng, Haiqin Yang, Irwin King, and Michael Lyu. 2012. Fused matrix factorization with geographical and social influence in location-based social networks. In *Proc. of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, Vol. 26. 17–23.
- [14] Chen Cheng, Haiqin Yang, Michael R Lyu, and Irwin King. 2013. Where you like to go next: Successive point-of-interest recommendation. In *Twenty-Third international joint conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
- [15] Pranav Singh Chib and Pravendra Singh. 2024. LG-Traj: LLM Guided Pedestrian Trajectory Prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.08032* (2024).
- [16] Kyunghyun Cho, Bart van Merriënboer, Caglar Gulcehre, Dzmitry Bahdanau, Fethi Bougares, Holger Schwenk, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. Learning Phrase Representations using RNN Encoder–Decoder for Statistical Machine Translation. In *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 1724–1734.
- [17] Eva Chondrodima, Petros Mandalis, Nikos Pelekis, and Yannis Theodoridis. 2022. Machine learning models for vessel route forecasting: An experimental comparison. In *2022 23rd IEEE International Conference on Mobile Data Management (MDM)*. IEEE, 262–269.
- [18] Aakanksha Chowdhery, Sharan Narang, Jacob Devlin, Maarten Bosma, Gaurav Mishra, Adam Roberts, Paul Barham, Hyung Won Chung, Charles Sutton, Sebastian Gehrmann, et al. 2022. Palm: Scaling language modeling with pathways. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.02311* (2022).
- [19] Peishan Cong, Xinge Zhu, Feng Qiao, Yiming Ren, Xidong Peng, Yuenan Hou, Lan Xu, Ruigang Yang, Dinesh Manocha, and Yuexin Ma. 2022. Stcrowd: A multimodal dataset for pedestrian perception in crowded scenes. In *Proc. of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 19608–19617.
- [20] Bruno Ferreira de Brito, Hai Zhu, Wei Pan, and Javier Alonso-Mora. 2021. Social-vrnn: One-shot multi-modal trajectory prediction for interacting pedestrians. In *Conference on Robot Learning*. PMLR, 862–872.
- [21] Bangchao Deng, Dingqi Yang, Bingqing Qu, Benjamin Fankhauser, and Philippe Cudre-Mauroux. 2023. Robust Location Prediction over Sparse Spatiotemporal Trajectory Data: Flashback to the Right Moment! *ACM Trans. Intell. Syst. Technol.* 14, 5, Article 90 (sep 2023), 24 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3616541>
- [22] Jinghai Duan, Le Wang, Chengjiang Long, Sanping Zhou, Fang Zheng, Liushuai Shi, and Gang Hua. 2022. Complementary attention gated network for pedestrian trajectory prediction. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 36. 542–550.
- [23] Patrick Ebel, Ibrahim Emre Göl, Christoph Lingenfelder, and Andreas Vogelsang. 2020. Destination Prediction Based on Partial Trajectory Data. In *2020 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*. 1149–1155.
- [24] Zipei Fan, Xuan Song, Tianqi Xia, Renhe Jiang, Ryosuke Shibasaki, and Ritsu Sakuramachi. 2018. Online deep ensemble learning for predicting citywide human mobility. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies* 2, 3 (2018), 1–21.
- [25] Ali Faraji, Jing Li, Gian Alix, Mahmoud Alsaeed, Nina Yanin, Amirhossein Nadiri, and Manos Papagelis. 2023. Point2Hex: Higher-order Mobility Flow Data and Resources. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems (Hamburg, Germany) (SIGSPATIAL '23)*. Article 69, 4 pages.

- [26] Jie Feng, Yong Li, Chao Zhang, Funing Sun, Fanchao Meng, Ang Guo, and Depeng Jin. 2018. Deepmove: Predicting human mobility with attentional recurrent networks. In *Proceedings of the 2018 world wide web conference*. 1459–1468.
- [27] Sébastien Gams, Marc-Olivier Killijian, and Miguel Núñez del Prado Cortez. 2012. Next Place Prediction Using Mobility Markov Chains. In *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Measurement, Privacy, and Mobility (MPM '12)*. Article 3.
- [28] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. 2020. Generative adversarial networks. *Commun. ACM* 63, 11 (2020), 139–144.
- [29] Karol Gregor, Ivo Danihelka, Andriy Mnih, Charles Blundell, and Daan Wierstra. 2014. Deep autoregressive networks. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 1242–1250.
- [30] Ali Hamdi, Khaled Shaban, Abdelkarim Erradi, Amr Mohamed, Shakila Khan Rumi, and Flora D. Salim. 2022. Spatiotemporal data mining: a survey on challenges and open problems. *AIR* 55, 2 (2022), 1441–1488.
- [31] Dan Hendrycks and Kevin Gimpel. 2016. Bridging nonlinearities and stochastic regularizers with gaussian error linear units. *CoRR, abs/1606.08415* 3 (2016).
- [32] Yingfan Huang, Huikun Bi, Zhaoxin Li, Tianlu Mao, and Zhaoqi Wang. 2019. Stgat: Modeling spatial-temporal interactions for human trajectory prediction. In *Proc. of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*. 6272–6281.
- [33] Yanjun Huang, Jiatong Du, Ziru Yang, Zewei Zhou, Lin Zhang, and Hong Chen. 2022. A survey on trajectory-prediction methods for autonomous driving. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles* 7, 3 (2022), 652–674.
- [34] Kevin Isufaj, Mohamed Mokhtar Elsharif, Sofiane Abbar, and Mohamed Mokbel. 2023. GTI: A Scalable Graph-based Trajectory Imputation. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems*. 1–10.
- [35] Renhe Jiang, Xuan Song, Zipei Fan, Tianqi Xia, Quanjun Chen, Satoshi Miyazawa, and Ryosuke Shibasaki. 2018. Deepurbanmomentum: An online deep-learning system for short-term urban mobility prediction. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 32.
- [36] Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. 2013. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114* (2013).
- [37] Ivan Kobyzev, Simon JD Prince, and Marcus A Brubaker. 2020. Normalizing flows: An introduction and review of current methods. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 43, 11 (2020), 3964–3979.
- [38] Dejiang Kong and Fei Wu. 2018. HST-LSTM: A hierarchical spatial-temporal long-short term memory network for location prediction. In *IJCAI*, Vol. 18. 2341–2347.
- [39] Olga Kovaleva, Alexey Romanov, Anna Rogers, and Anna Rumshisky. 2019. Revealing the Dark Secrets of BERT. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, Kentaro Inui, Jing Jiang, Vincent Ng, and Xiaojun Wan (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, Hong Kong, China, 4365–4374. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1445>
- [40] Hoang Thanh Lam, Ernesto Diaz-Aviles, Alessandra Pascale, Yiannis Gkoufas, and Bei Chen. 2015. (Blue) Taxi Destination and Trip Time Prediction from Partial Trajectories. In *Proceedings of the 2015th International Conference on ECML PKDD Discovery Challenge*. 63–74.
- [41] Zhengxing Lan, Lingshan Liu, Bo Fan, Yisheng Lv, Yilong Ren, and Zhiyong Cui. 2024. Traj-LLM: A New Exploration for Empowering Trajectory Prediction With Pre-Trained Large Language Models. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles* (2024), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIV.2024.3418522>
- [42] Lihuan Li, Maurice Pagnucco, and Yang Song. 2022. Graph-based spatial transformer with memory replay for multi-future pedestrian trajectory prediction. In *Proc. of the IEEE/CVF Conf. on Comp. Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 2231–2241.
- [43] Xutao Li, Gao Cong, Xiao-Li Li, Tuan-Anh Nguyen Pham, and Shonali Krishnaswamy. 2015. Rank-geofm: A ranking based geographical factorization method for point of interest recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 38th international ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in information retrieval*. 433–442.
- [44] Defu Lian, Yongji Wu, Yong Ge, Xing Xie, and Enhong Chen. 2020. Geography-aware sequential location recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery & data mining*. 2009–2019.
- [45] Defu Lian, Cong Zhao, Xing Xie, Guangzhong Sun, Enhong Chen, and Yong Rui. 2014. GeoMF: joint geographical modeling and matrix factorization for point-of-interest recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*. 831–840.
- [46] Chengwu Liao, Chao Chen, Chaocan Xiang, Hongyu Huang, Hong Xie, and Songtao Guo. 2022. Taxi-Passenger’s Destination Prediction via GPS Embedding and Attention-Based BiLSTM Model. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* 23, 5 (2022), 4460–4473.
- [47] Ziqian Lin, Jie Feng, Ziyang Lu, Yong Li, and Depeng Jin. 2019. Deepstn+: Context-aware spatial-temporal neural network for crowd flow prediction in metropolis. In *Proc. of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, Vol. 33. 1020–1027.
- [48] Qiang Liu, Shu Wu, Liang Wang, and Tieniu Tan. 2016. Predicting the next location: A recurrent model with spatial and temporal contexts. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, Vol. 30.
- [49] Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. 2017. Fixing weight decay regularization in adam. (2017).
- [50] Yingtao Luo, Qiang Liu, and Zhaocheng Liu. 2021. Stan: Spatio-temporal attention network for next location recommendation. In *Proceedings of the web conference 2021*. 2177–2185.
- [51] Minh-Thang Luong, Hieu Pham, and Christopher D Manning. 2015. Effective approaches to attention-based neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.04025* (2015).
- [52] Dennis Luxen and Christian Vetter. 2011. Real-time routing with OpenStreetMap data. In *Proc. of the 19th ACM SIGSPATIAL*. 513–516.

- [53] Yisheng Lv, Yanjie Duan, Wenwen Kang, Zhengxi Li, and Fei-Yue Wang. 2014. Traffic flow prediction with big data: A deep learning approach. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* 16, 2 (2014), 865–873.
- [54] Wesley Mathew, Ruben Raposo, and Bruno Martins. 2012. Predicting future locations with hidden Markov models. In *Proceedings of the 2012 ACM conference on ubiquitous computing*. 911–918.
- [55] Luis Moreira-Matias, João Gama, Michel Ferreira, João Mendes-Moreira, and Luis Damas. 2015. *ECML/PKDD 15: Taxi Trajectory Prediction Porto Dataset*.
- [56] Paul Newson and John Krumm. 2009. Hidden Markov Map Matching through Noise and Sparseness. In *Proc. of the 17th ACM SIGSPATIAL*. 336–343.
- [57] Tilemachos Pechlivanoglou, Gian Alix, Nina Yanin, Jing Li, Farzaneh Heidari, and Manos Papagelis. 2022. Microscopic modeling of spatiotemporal epidemic dynamics. In *Proceedings of the 3rd ACM SIGSPATIAL International Workshop on Spatial Computing for Epidemiology*. 11–21.
- [58] Tilemachos Pechlivanoglou, Jing Li, Jialin Sun, Farzaneh Heidari, and Manos Papagelis. 2022. Epidemic spreading in trajectory networks. *Big Data Research* 27 (2022), 100275.
- [59] Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, Ilya Sutskever, et al. 2019. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI blog* 1, 8 (2019).
- [60] Xuan Rao, Lisi Chen, Yong Liu, Shuo Shang, Bin Yao, and Peng Han. 2022. Graph-flashback network for next location recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. 1463–1471.
- [61] Xuanchi Ren, Tao Yang, Li Erran Li, Alexandre Alahi, and Qifeng Chen. 2021. Safety-aware motion prediction with unseen vehicles for autonomous driving. In *Proc. of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*. 15731–15740.
- [62] Alberto Rossi, Gianni Barlacchi, Monica Bianchini, and Bruno Lepri. 2020. Modelling Taxi Drivers’ Behaviour for the Next Destination Prediction. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* 21, 7 (2020), 2980–2989.
- [63] David E Rumelhart, Geoffrey E Hinton, and Ronald J Williams. 1986. Learning representations by back-propagating errors. *nature* 323, 6088 (1986), 533–536.
- [64] Amin Sadri, Flora D Salim, Yongli Ren, Wei Shao, John C Krumm, and Cecilia Mascolo. 2018. What will you do for the rest of the day? An approach to continuous trajectory prediction. *Proceedings of the ACM on interactive, mobile, wearable and ubiquitous technologies* 2, 4 (2018), 1–26.
- [65] Mahmoud Sakr, Cyril Ray, and Chiara Renso. 2022. Big mobility data analytics: recent adv. & open problems. *Geoinformatica* 26, 4 (2022), 541–549.
- [66] Abdullah Sawas, Abdullah Abuolaim, Mahmoud Afifi, and Manos Papagelis. 2018. Tensor methods for group pattern discovery of pedestrian trajectories. In *2018 19th IEEE International Conference on Mobile Data Management (MDM)*. IEEE, 76–85.
- [67] Abdullah Sawas, Abdullah Abuolaim, Mahmoud Afifi, and Manos Papagelis. 2018. Trajectolizer: Interactive analysis and exploration of trajectory group dynamics. In *2018 19th IEEE International Conference on Mobile Data Management (MDM)*. IEEE, 286–287.
- [68] Abdullah Sawas, Abdullah Abuolaim, Mahmoud Afifi, and Manos Papagelis. 2019. A versatile computational framework for group pattern mining of pedestrian trajectories. *Geoinformatica* 23, 4 (2019), 501–531.
- [69] M. Schuster and K.K. Paliwal. 1997. Bidirectional recurrent neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing* 45, 11 (1997), 2673–2681.
- [70] Hongzhi Shi, Yong Li, Hancheng Cao, Xiangxin Zhou, Chao Zhang, and Vassilis Kostakos. 2019. Semantics-aware hidden Markov model for human mobility. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* 33, 3 (2019), 1183–1194.
- [71] Haoran Song, Wenchao Ding, Yuxuan Chen, Shaojie Shen, Michael Yu Wang, and Qifeng Chen. 2020. Pip: Planning-informed trajectory prediction for autonomous driving. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XXI* 16. Springer, 598–614.
- [72] Xuan Song, Hiroshi Kanasugi, and Ryosuke Shibasaki. 2016. Deeptransport: Prediction and simulation of human mobility and transportation mode at a citywide level. In *Proc. of the 25th int. joint conf. on artificial intell.* 2618–2624.
- [73] Jianhua Sun, Yuxuan Li, Liang Chai, Hao-Shu Fang, Yong-Lu Li, and Cewu Lu. 2022. Human trajectory prediction with momentary observation. In *Proc. of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 6467–6476.
- [74] Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. 2023. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971* (2023).
- [75] Inc. Uber Technologies. [n. d.]. *Conversion from latitude/longitude to containing H3 cell index*. <https://h3geo.org/docs/core-library/latLngToCellDesc/>
- [76] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 30 (2017).
- [77] Jingyuan Wang, Jiawei Jiang, Wenjun Jiang, Chao Li, and Wayne Xin Zhao. 2021. LibCity: An Open Library for Traffic Prediction. In *Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems* (Beijing, China) (SIGSPATIAL ’21). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 145–148. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3474717.3483923>
- [78] Sheng Wang, Zhifeng Bao, J Shane Culpepper, and Gao Cong. 2021. A survey on trajectory data management, analytics, and learning. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)* 54, 2 (2021), 1–36.
- [79] Sheng Wang, Zhifeng Bao, J. Shane Culpepper, and Gao Cong. 2021. A Survey on Trajectory Data Mgt., Analytics, & Learning. *ACM Comp. Surv.* 54, 2, Article 39 (2021), 36 pages.
- [80] Zhe Xiao, Loganathan Ponnambalam, Xiuju Fu, and Wanbing Zhang. 2017. Maritime traffic probabilistic forecasting based on vessels’ waterway patterns and motion behaviors. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* 18, 11 (2017), 3122–3134.
- [81] Hao Xue, Flora Salim, Yongli Ren, and Nuria Oliver. 2021. MobTCast: Leveraging auxiliary trajectory forecasting for human mobility prediction. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 34 (2021), 30380–30391.

- [82] Bingqi Yan, Geng Zhao, Lexue Song, Yanwei Yu, and Junyu Dong. 2023. PreCLN: Pretrained-based contrastive learning network for vehicle trajectory prediction. *World Wide Web* 26, 4 (2023), 1853–1875.
- [83] Dingqi Yang, Benjamin Fankhauser, Paolo Rosso, and Philippe Cudre-Mauroux. 2020. Location prediction over sparse user mobility traces using rnns. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 2184–2190.
- [84] Nina Yanin and Manos Papagelis. 2023. Optimal Risk-aware POI Recommendations during Epidemics. In *Proceedings of the 4th ACM SIGSPATIAL International Workshop on Spatial Computing for Epidemiology*. 1–12.
- [85] Huaxiu Yao, Fei Wu, Jintao Ke, Xianfeng Tang, Yitian Jia, Siyu Lu, Pinghua Gong, Jieping Ye, and Zhenhui Li. 2018. Deep multi-view spatial-temporal network for taxi demand prediction. In *Proc. of the AAAI conf. on artif. intelligence*, Vol. 32.
- [86] Jing Yuan, Yu Zheng, Chengyang Zhang, Xing Xie, and Guang-Zhong Sun. 2010. An Interactive-Voting Based Map Matching Algorithm. In *11th IEEE MDM*. 43–52.
- [87] Yu Zheng. 2015. Trajectory Data Mining: An Overview. *ACM TIST* 6, 3 (2015).
- [88] Yu Zheng, Quannan Li, Yukun Chen, Xing Xie, and Wei-Ying Ma. 2008. Understanding Mobility Based on GPS Data. In *Proc. of the 10th UbiComp '08*. 312–321.
- [89] Yu Zheng, Xing Xie, and Wei-Ying Ma. 2010. GeoLife: A Collaborative Social Networking Service among User, location and trajectory. *IEEE Data(base) Engineering Bulletin* (June 2010).
- [90] Yu Zheng, Lizhu Zhang, Xing Xie, and Wei-Ying Ma. 2009. Mining Interesting Locations and Travel Sequences from GPS Trajectories. In *Proc. 18th ACM world wide web*. 791–800.

Received 20 February 2007; revised 12 March 2009; accepted 5 June 2009