PQD: POST-TRAINING QUANTIZATION FOR EFFICIENT DIFFUSION MODELS

Jiaojiao Ye University of Oxford1

Zhen Wang Zhejiang Lab2

Linnan Jiang Zhejiang Lab2

Abstract

Diffusion models (DMs) have demonstrated remarkable achievements in synthesizing images of high fidelity and diversity. However, the extensive computational requirements and slow generative speed of diffusion models have limited their widespread adoption. In this paper, we propose a novel post-training quantization for diffusion models (PQD), which is a time-aware optimization framework for diffusion models based on post-training quantization. The proposed framework optimizes the inference process by conducting time-aware calibration. Experimental results show that our proposed method is able to directly quantize full-precision diffusion models into 8-bit or 4-bit models while maintaining comparable performance in a trainingfree manner, achieving a few FID change on ImageNet for unconditional image generation. Our approach demonstrates versatility and compatibility, and can also be applied to 512x512 text-guided image generation for the first time.

1. Introduction

Recently, diffusion models (DMs) have achieved phenomenal success in synthesizing high-fidelity and diverse images. As a class of flexible generative models, like other generative models such as generative adversarial networks (GANs) [\[Goodfellow et al.,](#page-5-0) [2020,](#page-5-0) [Guo et al.,](#page-5-1) [2019\]](#page-5-1), variational autoencoder (VAE) [Kingma and Welling](#page-5-2) [\[2013\]](#page-5-2) , diffusion models demonstrate their power in various applications such as graph generation [Niu et al.](#page-6-0) [\[2020\]](#page-6-0), imageto-image translation [Sasaki et al.](#page-6-1) [\[2021\]](#page-6-1) and molecular conformation generation [Xu et al.](#page-6-2) [\[2022\]](#page-6-2). In contrast to GANs and VAE, diffusion models avoid the issues of mode collapse and posterior collapse, leading to more stable training processes.

However, it is hard to adopt diffusion models widely because of high computational requirements and slow generative speed. The generation of high-quality outputs requires significant computational resources, particularly during each iterative step that involves transitioning from a noisy output to a less noisy one. For example, the execution of Stable Diffusion [Rombach et al.](#page-6-3) [\[2021\]](#page-6-3) necessitates 16GB of running memory and GPUs with over 10GB of VRAM, which is infeasible for most consumer-grade PCs and resource-constrained edge devices. An intriguing avenue of exploration is to bridge the sampling speed gap between DMs and GANs while preserving image quality.

The slow inference in diffusion models can be attributed to two main factors: 1). The lengthy iteration in the denoising process 2). The complex network required for estimating the noise in each denoising iteration. Previous works [Chen et al.](#page-5-3) [\[2020\]](#page-5-3), [Song et al.](#page-6-4) [\[2020\]](#page-6-4), [Song and Ermon](#page-6-5) [\[2019b\]](#page-6-5) have attempted to address the computational challenges of diffusion models by shortening the denoising process, namely, less iteration in the denoising process. However, this approach can lead to a loss of image quality.

Moreover, to accelerate diffusion models effectively, a training-free methodology is essential. This is because acquiring large training datasets for diffusion models is often challenging due to privacy and commercial concerns. Furthermore, the training process itself is highly resourceintensive and time-consuming. For example, training a class-conditional Latent Diffusion Model (LDM) on the ImageNet dataset requires 35 V100 GPU days [Rombach et al.](#page-6-3) [\[2021\]](#page-6-3). Therefore, we require network acceleration techniques that do not rely on training.

To address the above-mentioned issues, this research will explore optimization for diffusion models with a focus on enhancing the inference process for various image generation tasks. The contribution of this work can be threefold:

- 1. To optimize inference of the denoising diffusion models, we propose a time-aware post-training quantization on diffusion models (PQD), which includes a calibration dataset selection algorithm across multiple denoising steps.
- 2. We extend our quantization method to latent space diffusion models and allow for high-quality text-guided

image synthesis.

3. We evaluate our method on unconditional image generation and text-to-image tasks and then compare it with existing PTQ methods on diffusion models. We achieve state-of-the-art results on 8-bits models for 512x512 high-resolution text-guided image generation. The results demonstrate that our method achieves better image quality than the existing methods with higher compression.

2. Related work

Sampling Acceleration for Diffusion Models

To accelerate the inference of diffusion models, the mainstream approaches focus on shortening the sampling path, where four advanced techniques are used to enhance sampling speed: distillations [Berthelot et al.](#page-5-4) [\[2023\]](#page-5-4), [Sali](#page-6-6)[mans and Ho](#page-6-6) [\[2022\]](#page-6-6), [Song et al.](#page-6-7) [\[2023\]](#page-6-7), [Zhang et al.](#page-6-8) [\[2023\]](#page-6-8), training schedule optimization [Daras et al.](#page-5-5) [\[2022\]](#page-5-5), [Hooge](#page-5-6)[boom and Salimans](#page-5-6) [\[2022\]](#page-5-6), [Kong and Ping](#page-5-7) [\[2021\]](#page-5-7), [Nichol](#page-5-8) [and Dhariwal](#page-5-8) [\[2021\]](#page-5-8), training-free acceleration [Bao et al.](#page-5-9) [\[2022\]](#page-5-9), [Karras et al.](#page-5-10) [\[2022\]](#page-5-10), [Song et al.](#page-6-4) [\[2020\]](#page-6-4), [Song and](#page-6-5) [Ermon](#page-6-5) [\[2019b\]](#page-6-5), and integration of diffusion models with faster generative models [Lyu et al.](#page-5-11) [\[2022\]](#page-5-11), [Pandey et al.](#page-6-9) [\[2022\]](#page-6-9), [Xiao et al.](#page-6-10) [\[2021\]](#page-6-10). For example, Song *et al*. [Song](#page-6-5) [and Ermon](#page-6-5) [\[2019b\]](#page-6-5) formulates the diffusion models as an ordinary differential equation (ODE) and improves sampling efficiency by using a faster ODE solver. One of the earliest works DDIM [Song et al.](#page-6-4) [\[2020\]](#page-6-4) accelerates diffusion models sampling by adopting implicit phases in the denoising process. In summary, all of these methods are identified by finding effective sampling trajectories.

Post-training Quantization

Quantization is a model compression technique that can significantly reduce the size and computational cost of the network, making it more efficient to deploy and use. There are two main categories of quantization algorithms: quantization-aware training (QAT) [Gong et al.](#page-5-12) [\[2019\]](#page-5-12), [Louizos et al.](#page-5-13) [\[2018\]](#page-5-13), [Zhuang et al.](#page-6-11) [\[2018\]](#page-6-11) and posttraining quantization (PTQ) [Chen et al.](#page-5-14) [\[2023\]](#page-5-14), [Levkovitch](#page-5-15) [et al.](#page-5-15) [\[2022\]](#page-5-15), [Li et al.](#page-5-16) [\[2022\]](#page-5-16), [Wei et al.](#page-6-12) [\[2022\]](#page-6-12). QAT involves simulating quantization during the training process to maintain high performance at reduced precision levels. However, this method demands significant time, computational resources, and access to the original dataset. On the other hand, post-training quantization PTQ eliminates the need for fine-tuning and requires only a small quantity of unlabeled data for calibration. For example, BRECQ [Li](#page-5-17) [et al.](#page-5-17) [\[2021\]](#page-5-17) introduces fisher information into the objective, and optimizes layers within a single residual block jointly using a small subset of calibration data from the training dataset. Most of existing works have successfully applied quantization to convolutional network and its successors,

and transformer-based architectures.

However, applying PTQ to diffusion models is challenging due to its multi-step inference, which is analyzed indepth in Sec. [3.3.](#page-2-0) To the best of our knowledge, PTQ4DM [Shang et al.](#page-6-13) [\[2022\]](#page-6-13) is the first work to accelerate generation from the perspective of compressing the noise estimation. They proposed a PTQ method for diffusion models that can directly quantize full-precision models into 8 bit models while maintaining or even improving their performance. Additionally, PTQD [He et al.](#page-5-18) [\[2024\]](#page-5-18) analyzed systematically the quantization effect on diffusion models and establish a unified framework for accurate post-training diffusion quantization. However, experiments in those researches were limited to small datasets and low resolution. Their methods may fail for high-resolution image generation and not support for text-to-image generation without conditional features.

In this work, we extend the PTQ4DM method of [Shang et al.](#page-6-13) [\[2022\]](#page-6-13) to high-resolution image generation and propose an algorithm for latent-space image generation. Our experiments show that our method can significantly achieve comparative great quality in the generation of high-resolution images (512x512).

3. Methodology

In this section, we will begin by revisiting the key concepts outlined in Sec. [3.1](#page-1-0) and Sec. [3.3.](#page-2-0) Following that, we will delve into the challenges posed to existing (posttraining quantization) PTQ calibration methods when applied to diffusion models in Sec. [3.3.](#page-2-0) Subsequently, we will introduce our novel approach for performing post-training quantization on diffusion models in Sec. [3.4.](#page-2-1)

3.1. Diffusion Models

Diffusion models (DMs) [Song and Ermon](#page-6-14) [\[2019a\]](#page-6-14) aim to generate images by utilizing the Markov chain, which consists of two main processes. The forward process involves the gradual addition of isotropic noise with a variance schedule denoted by $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_T \in (0,1)$, where $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_T \in (0, 1)$. This process generates a sequence of noise variables, denoted as x_1, \ldots, x_T . On the other hand, the reverse process, also referred to as the denoising process, gradually samples an image from a Gaussian noise distribution by following the conditional distribution $q(x_{t-1} | x_t)$, defined in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-1).

$$
q(x_{t-1} | x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} x_{t-1}, \beta_t \mathbf{I}).
$$
 (1)

Thus, we can express x_t as a form of linear variable x_0 and noise:

$$
x_t = \sqrt{\alpha_t} x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \epsilon,
$$
 (2)

where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I), \alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t$. From a trained model, x_0 is sampled by first sampling x_T from the prior $p_\theta(x_T)$, and then sampling x_{t-1} from the denoising processes iteratively.

3.2. Post-training Quantization

Post-training quantization (PTQ) [Nagel et al.](#page-5-19) [\[2019\]](#page-5-19) techniques enable quantization without necessitating retraining, making them particularly suitable for scenarios with limited data and user-friendly applications. In this context, the transformation of a tensor into a quantized tensor is orchestrated by the use of quantization parameters, namely the scaling factor s and the zero point z . The quantizationdequantization process can be described as follows:

$$
\mathbf{X}_{sim} = s \left(clamp \left(\frac{\mathbf{X}}{s}, p_{min}, p_{max} \right) + z \right), \quad (3)
$$

where p_{min} , p_{max} signify the threshold defined by bitwidth, \mathbf{X}_{sim} is the de-quantized tensor. The determination of suitable quantization parameters is based on the minimization of the calibration error. This error is quantified by the calibration loss, where the L2 distance can serve as viable metrics.

In general, PTQ quantizes a network through three steps: (i) Identify which operations within the network should be quantized, leaving the remaining operations in full precision. (ii) Gather calibration dataset. To prevent overfitting of quantization parameters to the calibration samples, their distribution should closely match that of the real data. (iii) Employ an appropriate method to determine quantization parameters for weight and activation tensors.

Figure 1. The activation of the output layer varies during the denoising process.

3.3. Exploration of PTQ on DMs

In order to understand the change in the output distribution of diffusion models, we investigate the activation distribution with respect to the time step. If the distribution changes with respect to the time step, it could pose a challenge for the adoption of previous PTQ calibration methodologies, as they are proposed for temporally invariant calibration. Previous studies [Shang et al.](#page-6-13) [\[2022\]](#page-6-13) have also reported the dynamic property of activation in diffusion models and attempted to address it by sampling the calibration dataset across all time frames.

Fig. [1](#page-2-2) illustrates the activation of noise estimation network, a U-Net [Ronneberger et al.](#page-6-15) [\[2015\]](#page-6-15) over the denoising process. We could observe that the activation distribution of diffusion models changes over the denoising process, which poses intricate challenges to the quantization process. This is because that quantization is applied for each operation, which means that we only have a fixed parameter for one operation. Therefore, it is necessary to ensure the activation of diffusion models could represent the main features during the denoising process.

3.4. Post-training Quantization for DMs (PQD)

Based on our previous observations, we proposed a time-aware post-training quantization for diffusion models (PQD). The process is to first select a calibration dataset by our calibration algorithm, and then apply QDrop [Wei](#page-6-12) [et al.](#page-6-12) [\[2022\]](#page-6-12) on pretrained diffusion models to quantize the model.

As diffusion models are quite different from classic CNNs and ViT, it is necessary to design a novel and effective calibration dataset collection method in this multi-timestep scenario. To recover the performance of the quantized diffusion models, we need to select calibration data in a way that closely mirrors the true output distribution of different time steps. As Fig. [2](#page-3-0) shows, we design a DM-specific collection strategy for dynamic activation iteration in our PQD framework. Specifically, we sample calibration following a Gaussian Distribution. The benefit of this normally distributed time-step is that we can sample activation over the denoising process as our calibration dataset. By choosing different μ and σ , this strategy has the flexibility to let activation be more similar to synthetic image or noise.

3.5. Extension to High-Resolution Image Generation

High-resolution image generation is challenging because the computational cost increases exponentially with the size of the image. To enable efficient high-resolution image generation, we modified our framework for high-resolution image synthesis. Specifically, we extracted the latent feature and applied quantization in the latent feature instead of input features. This allows efficient low-bit inference on 512x512 text-guided image generation.

For text-guided image generation with Stable Diffusion, we need to also include text conditioning in the calibration dataset. This specific calibration dataset creation process is described by Algorithm [1.](#page-3-1) Assume we need to collect a calibration dataset of size N, the time step selection follows distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$. For each prompt we add a pair of data with both a conditional feature c_t and an unconditional

Figure 2. PQD construct calibration dataset with time step distributed over denoising process. Our method generate inputs that are accurate reflections of data seen during the production in a data-free manner.

feature uc_t derived from the prompt.

Algorithm 1 PQD calibration for Text-Guided Image Generation

Input: Empty calibration dataset D

Require: The size of the calibration dataset N , Number of denoising steps T, normal distribution mean μ , standard deviation σ

- 1: for $i = 1$ to N do
- 2: Sample t_i from a skew normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma);$
- 3: Round down t_i into an integer;
- 4: Clamp t_i between $[0, T]$;
- 5: Generate a gaussian noise x_T as initialization;
- 6: **for** $t = T, \dots, t_i$ time steps **do**
- 7: Sample intermediate variables from fullprecision noising estimation model;
- 8: end for
- 9: Sample intermediate inputs $,c_{t_i},t_{t_i}$, $(x_{t_i}, uc_{t_i}, t_{t_i})$ and add them to calibration dataset $\mathcal{D};$
- 10: end for
- 11: Apply QDrops with full-precision diffusion model and \mathcal{D} .

Output: Quantized model.

4. Experiments

4.1. Experiment Setup

We conduct a series of image synthesis experiments using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models (DDPM) [Ho et al.](#page-5-20) [\[2020\]](#page-5-20) pretrained on downsampled ImageNet [Deng et al.](#page-5-21) [\[2009\]](#page-5-21) for unconditional generation and Stable Diffusion [Rombach et al.](#page-6-3) [\[2021\]](#page-6-3) pretrained on subsets of 512×512 LAION-5B [Schuhmann et al.](#page-6-16) [\[2022\]](#page-6-16) for textguided image generation. We experiment on two standard benchmarks: ImageNet and MS-COCO [Lin et al.](#page-5-22) [\[2014\]](#page-5-22). For comparative reference, we establish PTQ4DM [Shang](#page-6-13) [et al.](#page-6-13) [\[2022\]](#page-6-13).

To obtain a comparable test field, we maintain the computational resources to a single NVIDIA A100 GPU for all experiments in this section. To make a trade-off between optimization and image quality, we will evaluate the experi-ment on Inception Score (IS) [Salimans et al.](#page-6-17) [\[2016\]](#page-6-17), Fréchet Inception Distance (FID) [Heusel et al.](#page-5-23) [\[2017\]](#page-5-23) to measure image fidelity. Bops is calculated for one denoising step without considering the decoder compute cost for latent diffusion.

4.2. Unconditional Generation

In an unconditional generation experiment, we use the pre-trained DDIM sampler with 250 denoising time steps for ImageNet synthesis. The detailed parameters of calibration algorithm are as the following: $N = 5120$, $\mu = 0.4$,

Figure 3. Text-guided generated samples with 512x512 resolution by Stable Diffusion model. Upper Samples generated using the fullprecision model. Middle Samples generated by our 8-bit quantized model. Bottom Samples generated by 8-bit Linear Quantization model.

Method	$\text{Bits}(\text{W/A})$	Size (Mb)	GB ops	FIDJ	IS ↑
Full Precision	W32A32	143.2	6597	21.63	14.88
POD	W4A32	17.9	1147	69.14	4.40
PTO4DM	W8A8	35.8	798	23.96	15.88
POD	W8A8	35.8	798	22.29	16.21
POD	W4A8	17.9	399	75.32	4.48

Table 1. Quantization results for unconditional image generation with DDIM 250 steps on ImageNet (64×64). The numbers inside the PTQ4DM parentheses refer to [Shang et al.](#page-6-13) [\[2022\]](#page-6-13) results with INT8 attention act-to-act matmuls. W8A8 represents 8 bits weight quantization and 8 bits activation quantization.

 $\sigma = 0.4$. These parameters are attained by tuning, and theoretically it would benefit to collect calibration dataset relatively similar to x_0 , far away from x_T .

The results are reported in Sec. [4.2.](#page-4-0) The experiments show that our proposed PQD method significantly preserves the image generation quality and outperforms the baseline. Although 8-bit weight quantization has almost no performance loss compared to FP32 for both PTQ4DM and our approach, under 4-bit weight quantization, our methods still preserve most of the perceptual quality with few increase in FID and imperceptible distortions in produced samples.

4.3. Text-to-image Generation

Secondly, we evaluate the quantization of Stable Diffusion for high-resolution text-to-image generation on MS-COCO. We sample prompts from the MS-COCO dataset to generate a calibration dataset with text conditions. Note that we choose 5120 batches from MS-COCO 2017 Val as text in calibration data by recovering the distribution of the training dataset. The detailed parameters of calibration algorithm are as the following: $N = 5120$, $\mu = 0.4$, $\sigma = 0.4$.

The qualitative results of high-resolution generation quantization are presented in Fig. [3.](#page-4-1) In some cases, directly applying naive Linear Quantization degrades the appearance of forests, people, cats *etc*. Compared to Linear Quantization, our PQD provides more realistic and higherquality images with more details and better demonstration of the semantic information.

5. Conclusion

This paper introduces PQD, a novel training-free, timeaware post-training quantization framework for diffusion models, which optimizes the inference process through time-aware calibration across multiple denoising steps. By directly quantizing full-precision diffusion models into 8 bit or 4-bit representations, PQD maintains performance levels comparable to the original models without requiring additional training. Our method achieves significant improvements in the high-resolution performance for textto-image generation task under 8-bit quantization. Furthermore, the framework's versatility and compatibility are evident in its successful application to large-scale image generation and 512x512 text-guided image synthesis, thereby expanding the potential use cases of diffusion models in various domains.

References

- Fan Bao, Chongxuan Li, Jun Zhu, and Bo Zhang. Analytic-dpm: an analytic estimate of the optimal reverse variance in diffusion probabilistic models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.06503*, 2022. \mathfrak{D}
- David Berthelot, Arnaud Autef, Jierui Lin, Dian Ang Yap, Shuangfei Zhai, Siyuan Hu, Daniel Zheng, Walter Talbott, and Eric Gu. Tract: Denoising diffusion models with transitive closure time-distillation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.04248*, 2023. [2](#page-1-2)
- Nanxin Chen, Yu Zhang, Heiga Zen, Ron J Weiss, Mohammad Norouzi, and William Chan. Wavegrad: Estimating gradients for waveform generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.00713*, 2020. [1](#page-0-0)
- Xinrui Chen, Renao Yan, Junru Cheng, Yizhi Wang, Yuqiu Fu, Yi Chen, Tian Guan, and Yonghong He. Adeq: Adaptive diversity enhancement for zero-shot quantization. In *ICONIP (1)*, pages 53–64, 2023. URL [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-8079-6_5) [978-981-99-8079-6_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-8079-6_5). [2](#page-1-2)
- Giannis Daras, Mauricio Delbracio, Hossein Talebi, Alexandros G Dimakis, and Peyman Milanfar. Soft diffusion: Score matching for general corruptions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.05442*, 2022. [2](#page-1-2)
- Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In *2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 248–255, 2009. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2009. 5206848. [4](#page-3-2)
- Ruihao Gong, Xianglong Liu, Shenghu Jiang, Tianxiang Li, Peng Hu, Jiazhen Lin, Fengwei Yu, and Junjie Yan. Differentiable soft quantization: Bridging full-precision and low-bit neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 4852–4861, 2019. [2](#page-1-2)
- Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial networks. *Communications of the ACM*, 63(11):139–144, 2020. [1](#page-0-0)
- Mingpan Guo, Stefan Matthes, Jiaojiao Ye, and Hao Shen. A generative map for image-based camera localization. *ArXiv*, abs/1902.11124, 2019. URL [https : / / api .](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:67856346) [semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:67856346](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:67856346). [1](#page-0-0)
- Yefei He, Luping Liu, Jing Liu, Weijia Wu, Hong Zhou, and Bohan Zhuang. Ptqd: Accurate post-training quantization for diffusion models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. [2](#page-1-2)
- Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bernhard Nessler, and Sepp Hochreiter. Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017. [4](#page-3-2)
- Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020. [4](#page-3-2)
- Emiel Hoogeboom and Tim Salimans. Blurring diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.05557*, 2022. [2](#page-1-2)
- Tero Karras, Miika Aittala, Timo Aila, and Samuli Laine. Elucidating the design space of diffusion-based generative models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:26565– 26577, 2022. [2](#page-1-2)
- Diederik P. Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *CoRR*, abs/1312.6114, 2013. URL [https://api.](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:216078090) [semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:216078090](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:216078090). [1](#page-0-0)
- Zhifeng Kong and Wei Ping. On fast sampling of diffusion probabilistic models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.00132*, 2021. [2](#page-1-2)
- Alon Levkovitch, Eliya Nachmani, and Lior Wolf. Zero-shot voice conditioning for denoising diffusion tts models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.02246*, 2022. [2](#page-1-2)
- Yuhang Li, Ruihao Gong, Xu Tan, Yang Yang, Peng Hu, Qi Zhang, Fengwei Yu, Wei Wang, and Shi Gu. Brecq: Pushing the limit of post-training quantization by block reconstruction. *ArXiv*, abs/2102.05426, 2021. [2](#page-1-2)
- Zhikai Li, Liping Ma, Mengjuan Chen, Junrui Xiao, and Qingyi Gu. Patch similarity aware data-free quantization for vision transformers. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 154–170. Springer, 2022. [2](#page-1-2)
- Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6-12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V 13*, pages 740–755. Springer, 2014. [4](#page-3-2)
- Christos Louizos, Matthias Reisser, Tijmen Blankevoort, Efstratios Gavves, and Max Welling. Relaxed quantization for discretized neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.01875*, 2018. [2](#page-1-2)
- Zhaoyang Lyu, Xudong Xu, Ceyuan Yang, Dahua Lin, and Bo Dai. Accelerating diffusion models via early stop of the diffusion process. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.12524*, 2022. [2](#page-1-2)
- Markus Nagel, Mart van Baalen, Tijmen Blankevoort, and Max Welling. Data-free quantization through weight equalization and bias correction. *2019 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pages 1325–1334, 2019. URL [https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:184487878) [184487878](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:184487878). [3](#page-2-3)
- Alexander Quinn Nichol and Prafulla Dhariwal. Improved denoising diffusion probabilistic models. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8162–8171. PMLR, 2021. [2](#page-1-2)
- Chenhao Niu, Yang Song, Jiaming Song, Shengjia Zhao, Aditya Grover, and Stefano Ermon. Permutation invariant graph generation via score-based generative modeling. In *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, 2020. URL [https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:211677799) [211677799](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:211677799). [1](#page-0-0)
- Kushagra Pandey, Avideep Mukherjee, Piyush Rai, and Abhishek Kumar. Diffusevae: Efficient, controllable and highfidelity generation from low-dimensional latents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.00308*, 2022. [2](#page-1-2)
- Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models, 2021. [1,](#page-0-0) [4](#page-3-2)
- Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *Medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention– MICCAI 2015: 18th international conference, Munich, Germany, October 5-9, 2015, proceedings, part III 18*, pages 234– 241. Springer, 2015. [3](#page-2-3)
- Tim Salimans and Jonathan Ho. Progressive distillation for fast sampling of diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.00512*, 2022. [2](#page-1-2)
- Tim Salimans, Ian Goodfellow, Wojciech Zaremba, Vicki Cheung, Alec Radford, and Xi Chen. Improved techniques for training gans. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 29, 2016. [4](#page-3-2)
- Hiroshi Sasaki, Chris G. Willcocks, and T. Breckon. Unitddpm: Unpaired image translation with denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *ArXiv*, abs/2104.05358, 2021. URL [https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:233210328) [233210328](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:233210328). [1](#page-0-0)
- Christoph Schuhmann, Romain Beaumont, Richard Vencu, Cade Gordon, Ross Wightman, Mehdi Cherti, Theo Coombes, Aarush Katta, Clayton Mullis, Mitchell Wortsman, et al. Laion-5b: An open large-scale dataset for training next generation image-text models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:25278–25294, 2022. [4](#page-3-2)
- Yuzhang Shang, Zhihang Yuan, Bin Xie, Bingzhe Wu, and Yan Yan. Post-training quantization on diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.15736*, 2022. [2,](#page-1-2) [3,](#page-2-3) [4,](#page-3-2) [5](#page-4-2)
- Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502*, 2020. [1,](#page-0-0) [2](#page-1-2)
- Yang Song and Stefano Ermon. Generative modeling by estimating gradients of the data distribution. In *Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2019a. URL [https://api.](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:196470871) [semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:196470871](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:196470871). [2](#page-1-2)
- Yang Song and Stefano Ermon. Generative modeling by estimating gradients of the data distribution. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32, 2019b. [1,](#page-0-0) [2](#page-1-2)
- Yang Song, Prafulla Dhariwal, Mark Chen, and Ilya Sutskever. Consistency models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.01469*, 2023. [2](#page-1-2)
- Xiuying Wei, Ruihao Gong, Yuhang Li, Xianglong Liu, and Fengwei Yu. Qdrop: Randomly dropping quantization for extremely low-bit post-training quantization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.05740*, 2022. [2,](#page-1-2) [3](#page-2-3)
- Zhisheng Xiao, Karsten Kreis, and Arash Vahdat. Tackling the generative learning trilemma with denoising diffusion gans. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.07804*, 2021. [2](#page-1-2)
- Minkai Xu, Lantao Yu, Yang Song, Chence Shi, Stefano Ermon, and Jian Tang. Geodiff: A geometric diffusion model for molecular conformation generation. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022. URL [https:](https://openreview.net/forum?id=PzcvxEMzvQC) [//openreview.net/forum?id=PzcvxEMzvQC](https://openreview.net/forum?id=PzcvxEMzvQC). [1](#page-0-0)
- Xuan Zhang, Shiyu Li, Xi Li, Ping Huang, Jiulong Shan, and Ting Chen. Destseg: Segmentation guided denoising studentteacher for anomaly detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3914–3923, 2023. [2](#page-1-2)
- Bohan Zhuang, Chunhua Shen, Mingkui Tan, Lingqiao Liu, and Ian Reid. Towards effective low-bitwidth convolutional neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 7920–7928, 2018. [2](#page-1-2)