

The distribution of violent events and interevent times in conflicts

Jeroen Bruggeman*

January 6, 2025

Abstract

Violent conflicts are interrupted by intervals without violence. Interevent times are said to have a power law distribution, but this is only true for coarse grained data, typically with a maximal resolution of a single day. For fine grained data, with a resolution of seconds or shorter, the distribution is lognormal. By representing conflicts as a multiplicative process, it becomes clear why these two different distributions are found.

Violence sometimes occurs between entities ranging from individuals to empires. Although violence at very different scales appears to be very different, all longer lasting clashes are interrupted by shorter intervals without violence, and the interevent times are said to have (approximately) a power law distribution [1]. This is correct for the coarse grained data that have been mostly used, with a resolution of one day. Once high resolution data are used, however, the distribution of interevent times is lognormal. In this paper, I explain why this is the case, and I also explore the distribution of event times. I use video data of streetfights between small groups of (mostly) young men whose behavior has been coded in seconds or shorter.

1 Modeling interevent times

Fighting starts to achieve certain goals, and it ends when exhaustion sets in, a winner stands out, or third parties intervene. In between beginning and end, fighting is occasionally suspended. Interruptions occur because opponents

*Department of Sociology, University of Amsterdam, Nieuwe Achtergracht 166, 1018 WV Amsterdam, the Netherlands. Email: j.p.bruggeman@uva.nl.

move out of the way, ingroup members fall to the ground, obstacles get in their way, or other unanticipated problems arrive. In the simplest and shortest case, a fighter has to pull back his fist to deliver the next punch, while the opponent tries to evade. In larger groups with more resources, the list of possible problems is longer: ammunition may run out, equipment may fail, logistics stall, or leaders hesitate, etcetera.

Let us say that in a clash of two groups, the first episode of violence has just ended, and participants prepare for the next. Depending on the problems at hand, each group has to solve them, get back on track, reposition itself with respect to moving opponents, or comes under attack prematurely and must defend itself. Each action and combination of actions takes a certain amount of time, τ , and in the longer run or over many fights, a distribution of τ settles down.

Take the first interevent time τ_1 as a baseline. The next interevent time, τ_2 , can be shorter or longer than τ_1 , expressed by v_1 , such that $\tau_2 = v_1\tau_1$. After a sequence of $t + 1$ violent events, the next interevent time can be written as $\tau_{t+1} = v_t v_{t-1} \dots v_1 \tau_1$, or $\log(\tau_{t+1}) = \log(\tau_1) + \sum_{k=1}^t v_k$, where all v 's are random and independent, with finite mean and variance. In this manner, the sequence of interevent times is represented as a multiplicative process [3, 4], to the best of my knowledge for the first time.

Sornette and Cont [5] have proved that in a multiplicative process, if it is long enough, the variable at stake (τ , in our case) approximates a lognormal distribution, not a power law. Yet, they have also proved that if the minimum (τ_{min}) is not arbitrarily close to zero, the distribution is a power law. This is the case for coarse grained data on warfare, where durations are assessed in numbers of days.

We can do the same exercise for violent event times. Because violence is interrupted at randomly occurring instances, it will result in a distribution of events, where a next event lasts shorter or longer than the previous event, expressed by a factor v , just like the interevent times. In this case, however, it is less certain that (approximately) all v 's are independent. For example, fatigue and discouragement might reduce the number of participants in later events, and render violent events systematically shorter towards the end, resulting in a rather uniform distribution. Therefore I am hesitant to conjecture a lognormal distribution.

2 Results

I use video clips of street fights, lasting 30 seconds to 5 minutes. They were recorded by bystanders on mobile phones, and posted online. The 59 groups

studied had $2 \leq n < 10$ members (mean = 3.6). Details of the hand coding, as well as a spinglass model of collective violence, are in [2]; it includes a reference to the data and code.

The 287 interevent times in these 59 cases range from 0.002 to 95 seconds, plotted in Fig. 1A. I used maximum likelihood estimation of R's `poweRlaw` package to fit a power law (dotted line in Fig. 1B) and a lognormal (continuous line). It is clear that the latter fits better.

Also the 375 event times, ranging from 0.342 to 36 seconds, turn out to be lognormally distributed (Fig. 1C and D). The jump in Fig. 1D is an artifact of the coding. Single punches and kicks were all coded as if they lasted one second, because in many cases, accurate time measurement of these behaviors was not feasible. This inaccuracy does not affect the maximum likelihood estimates. As one can see, the lognormal outclasses the power law more strongly than in the previous comparison.

3 Discussion

Because bystanders lack motivation to keep filming long lulls, the tail of the interevent distribution is probably undersampled, hence the power law might fit better than this study showed. However, because interevent times can be arbitrarily close to zero, and too long lulls signal defeat (i.e., the end of the violence), it is unlikely that complete data would have a power law distribution [5]. Hence, the lognormal appears to be the winner. In other studies with coarse grained data on warfare, interevent times had a minimum duration of one day, which are expected to be power law distributed [5], as they were indeed [1].

References

- [1] Juan Camilo Bohorquez, Sean Gourley, Alexander R. Dixon, Michael Spagat, and Neil F. Johnson. Common ecology quantifies human insurgency. *Nature*, 462:911–914, 2009.
- [2] Jeroen Bruggeman, Don Weenink, and Bram Mak. An Ising model of bursts and fizzles in intergroup violence. 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.05088>.
- [3] R. Gibrat. Une loi des répartitions économiques: l'effet proportionnel. *Bulletin de la Statistique Générale de la France*, pages 469–514, 1030.
- [4] Michael Mitzenmacher. A brief history of generative models for power law and lognormal distributions. *Internet Mathematics*, 1:226–251, 2004.
- [5] Didier Sornette and Rama Cont. Convergent multiplicative processes repelled from zero: Power laws and truncated power laws. *Journal de Physique I*, 7:431–444, 1997.




Figure 1: Interevent times (A and B) and event times (C and D) of all groups together, plotted in seconds. The histograms are density, not frequency, distributions, and the lognormal (continuous lines) and power law (dotted lines) functions are fitted to cumulative densities, plotted on log-log scales (B and D).