
Advanced Knowledge Transfer: Refined Feature Distillation for Zero-Shot Quantization in Edge Computing

Inpyo Hong¹ Youngwan Jo¹ Hyojeong Lee² Sunghyun Ahn¹ Sanghyun Park¹

Abstract

We introduce AKT (Advanced Knowledge Transfer), a novel method to enhance the training ability of low-bit quantized (Q) models in the field of zero-shot quantization (ZSQ). Existing research in ZSQ has focused on generating high-quality data from full-precision (FP) models. However, these approaches struggle with reduced learning ability in low-bit quantization due to its limited information capacity. To overcome this limitation, we propose effective training strategy compared to data generation. Particularly, we analyzed that refining feature maps in the feature distillation process is an effective way to transfer knowledge to the Q model. Based on this analysis, AKT efficiently transfer core information from the FP model to the Q model. AKT is the first approach to utilize both spatial and channel attention information in feature distillation in ZSQ. Our method addresses the fundamental gradient exploding problem in low-bit Q models. Experiments on CIFAR-10 and CIFAR-100 datasets demonstrated the effectiveness of the AKT. Our method led to significant performance enhancement in existing generative models. Notably, AKT achieved significant accuracy improvements in low-bit Q models, achieving state-of-the-art in the 3,5bit scenarios on CIFAR-10. The code is available at <https://github.com/Inpyo-Hong/AKT-Advanced-knowledge-Transfer>.

1. Introduction

In recent years, deep neural networks (DNNs) have advanced across a wide range of fields, including natural language processing, computer vision, and multi-modal learning (Gu & Dao, 2023; Wang et al., 2024a;b; Park et al., 2023). Despite this progress, the need for significant computational resources in DNNs remains a key challenge to overcome (Han et al., 2016; Zoph, 2016). In particular, it makes hard to utilizing these models efficiently on edge devices, such as mobile devices and the Internet of Things (IoT) (McEnroe et al., 2022; Hua et al., 2023; Kulkarni et al., 2021; Francy & Singh, 2024). To address this challenge, the DNN field has been actively exploring techniques to compress the models (Howard, 2017; Sandler et al., 2018) or their utilized data (Mentzer et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2023). In more detail, recent DNNs compression research can be categorized into model compression (Wu et al., 2020) and data compression (Mentzer et al., 2020). In the area of model compression, significant research has been conducted in knowledge distillation (Hinton, 2015), pruning (Han et al., 2015), and quantization (Hubara et al., 2018). Among these, quantization stands out as a powerful method to maximize DNNs efficiency, reducing both latency and computing resource consumption (Lin et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2016; Courbariaux et al., 2015; Kuzmin et al., 2024; Liang et al., 2021).

Quantization techniques are classified based on the timing of quantization into quantization-aware training (QAT) (Fan et al., 2020) and post-training quantization (PTQ) (Shang et al., 2023). While QAT applies quantization during the training process to maintain high performance, it requires full access to the training data. This requirement can limit its practicality (Gholami et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2024a; Zhao & Zhao, 2024; He et al., 2023). On the other hand, PTQ is simpler to implement but carries the risk of performance degradation (Gholami et al., 2022; Ding et al., 2024; Liu & Chen, 2024; Shi et al., 2023). Additionally, PTQ presents challenges in environments with sensitive data, like healthcare and finance, where further training is restricted (Sevsay & Akagündüz, 2024; Zhang & Chung, 2024).

To address these limitations, zero-shot quantization (ZSQ), or data-free quantization, has emerged as a key tech-

¹Department of Computer Science, Yonsei University, Seoul, Republic of Korea ²Department of Artificial Intelligence, Yonsei University, Seoul, Republic of Korea. Correspondence to: Sanghyun Park <sanghyun@yonsei.ac.kr>.

Accepted to the 40th ACM/SIGAPP Symposium On Applied Computing (SAC), Sicily, Italy, 2025. This is the preprint version of the work. The definitive version will be published in the ACM Digital Library.

Data Generation Methods	Training Methods
DSG (CVPR 21) (Zhang et al., 2021)	
Qimera (AAAI 21) (Choi et al., 2021)	
IntraQ (CVPR 22) (Zhong et al., 2022)	
HAST (CVPR 23) (Li et al., 2023)	SQuant (ICLR 22) (Guo et al., 2022)
Ada SG (AAAI 23) (Qian et al., 2023a)	AIT (CVPR 22) (Choi et al., 2022)
Ada DFQ (CVPR 23) (Qian et al., 2023b)	
TexQ (NeurIPS 24) (Chen et al., 2024b)	
RIS (AAAI 24) (Bai et al., 2024)	

Table 1. Categorization of Zero-shot Quantization Algorithms

nique that performs quantization without access to training data (Cai et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020a; Nagel et al., 2019; Choi et al., 2020). ZSQ ensures data independence and is highly applicable across various model architectures, making it an attractive approach. As indicated in Table 1, current ZSQ research is primarily directed toward data generation (Zhang et al., 2021; Choi et al., 2021; Zhong et al., 2022; Li et al., 2023; Qian et al., 2023a;b; Chen et al., 2024b; Bai et al., 2024) rather than training methods (Guo et al., 2022; Choi et al., 2022). These generation methods approaches primarily aim to generate high-quality data by improving the training strategies of generators. Data generation studies have achieved nearly full-precision model performance in 5-bit quantization and remarkable performance improvements in 4-bit settings (Qian et al., 2023b; Chen et al., 2024b; Bai et al., 2024). However, in contrast to 4-bit and 5-bit, low-bit environments such as 3-bit still suffer from performance limitations even with sufficient training (Choi et al., 2016). This indicates that focusing solely on data generation may not be sufficient, and a more fundamental learning-based approach is required.

Therefore, we thoroughly examined the factors contributing to performance drops in low-bit quantization. Firstly, the limited capacity of low-bit quantized models reduces the efficiency of conventional distillation methods. This inefficiency may result in gradient explosion issues. Secondly, the current knowledge distillation strategies used in ZSQ are not optimal for training low-bit quantized models with their limited capacity.

Based on this analysis, we propose AKT (Advanced Knowledge Transfer), which aims to enhance the training efficiency of low-bit quantized models. Unlike conventional feature distillation, AKT effectively captures both channel and spatial attention information from the feature maps of full-precision models. Through this, it ensures that key features are effectively incorporated into the training process. By applying our method to various generative methods, we have achieved significant performance enhancement, especially in low-bit environments like 3-bit quantization. The key contributions of our work are summarized below:

- We identified the critical role of both channel and spatial information in training zero-shot quantized models. Our analysis using second-order curvature shows that preserving these components is crucial for maintaining performance during quantization.
- We observed that integrating channel and spatial information significantly improves the performance of the quantized model. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work to systematically analyze and highlight the importance of these components in zero-shot quantization.
- Based on these findings, we propose the AKT (Advanced Knowledge Transfer) method, which proves highly effective for training low-bit quantized models and achieves state-of-the-art performance, particularly in the context of 3-bit and 5-bit zero-shot quantization.
- Our proposed AKT method demonstrates broad applicability, improving diverse data generation methods and advancing the development of learning strategies in zero-shot quantization.

2. Related Work

2.1. Quantization

Quantization (Krishnamoorthi, 2018) converts continuous real values into a set of discrete integer values. It is divided into uniform and non-uniform quantization based on interval spacing and into symmetric and asymmetric quantization based on the symmetry of the quantization range (Wu et al., 2020). In this study, we use asymmetric quantization, which is better suited for image processing tasks where data tends to cluster within a specific range. The quantization process is detailed in Equations (1), (2), and (3) (Gholami et al., 2022).

$$s = \frac{x_{max} - x_{min}}{2^k - 1}, z = \left\lceil \frac{-x_{min}}{s} \right\rceil \quad (1)$$

$$q = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{s} + z \right\rfloor \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{x} = s \cdot (q - z) \quad (3)$$

To perform quantization, Equation (1) is first used to calculate the scale s and zero-point z within the specified range $[x_{min}, x_{max}]$. x denotes input, and the parameter k represents the bit-width utilized for quantization. Following this, Equation (2) is employed to quantize the floating-point value x into an integer value q . Finally, dequantization interprets the final output by reconstructing \hat{x} from the quantized integer q in Equation (3).




Figure 1. An overview of AKT(Advanced Knowledge Transfer) method. Step 1 illustrates the process of decomposing each feature map into spatial and channel information. Step 2 demonstrates the computation of the refined ‘RFD loss’ through the integration of spatial and channel losses. Step 3 presents the process of transferring the enhanced feature knowledge into the quantized model using ‘RFD loss’ in a zero-shot quantization setting.

2.2. Zero-shot Quantization

Conventional quantization methods depend on training data to maintain model performance. However, ZSQ is designed to effectively preserve quantization performance without any training data(Cai et al., 2020). Xu et al.(Xu et al., 2020b) first introduced GDFQ, which trains quantized models through data generation in the field of ZSQ. Following this work, research has evolved into two main branches: data generation and training methodologies, as summarized in Table 1.

2.2.1. DATA GENERATION METHODOLOGY

Data generation is pivotal in ZSQ, particularly when training data is not explicitly available. GDFQ(Xu et al., 2020b) introduced a method for generating data based on gaussian distribution. This approach has been widely adopted as a baseline in many studies. DSQ generates data by leveraging model structure within the feature space(Zhang et al., 2021). Qimera further refined DSQ’s approach, suggesting a strategy to cover a broader data distribution by exploring multiple paths(Choi et al., 2021). While recent advancements have focused on developing more sophisticated data generation techniques to improve quantization performance(Zhong et al., 2022; Li et al., 2023; Qian et al., 2023b; Chen et al., 2024b; Bai et al., 2024), they have encountered

performance limitations in low-bit quantization. This highlights the necessity of exploring alternative approaches to enhance performance in such environments.

2.2.2. TRAINING METHODOLOGY

Compared to data generation, research on training methods in ZSQ has been relatively underdeveloped, but their importance is becoming more prominent. Although data generation is crucial for improving quantization performance, the effectiveness of a training approach can be maximized when applicable to various generation methods. This indicates that a training approach is adaptable and not restricted to any particular data generation method. This allows for better quantization performance when combined with different techniques. SQuant(Guo et al., 2022) utilized diagonal Hessian approximation to minimize the performance degradation in the quantization process and emphasized the need for data-independent training methods. AIT(Choi et al., 2022) examined the negative impact of combining cross-entropy loss with KL loss, underlining the importance of selecting an appropriate loss function for ZSQ. Nevertheless, existing research has not addressed the challenges of effectively training low-bit quantized models. To tackle this issue, we propose a novel approach.

3. Preliminaries

3.1. Knowledge Distillation

Knowledge distillation (KD) (Hinton, 2015) is currently the most widely adopted training approach in the ZSQ field. KD is actively explored from various perspectives, such as learning principles and security considerations (Stanton et al., 2021; Yuan et al., 2020; Hong et al., 2023; Hong & Choi, 2023; Hong & Lee, 2024), it is still widely utilized in ZSQ. KD transfers knowledge from a teacher model to a smaller student model. This process is categorized as either logit or feature distillation. The equations for these distillations are presented in Equations (4) and (5), respectively.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{logit distillation}} = \tau^2 \cdot \text{KL} \left(\sigma \left(\frac{T(x)}{\tau} \right) \parallel \sigma \left(\frac{S(x)}{\tau} \right) \right) \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{feature distillation}} = d(f_T(x), f_S(x)) \quad (5)$$

In Equation (4), $T(x)$ and $S(x)$ correspond to the logits of the teacher network and student network, respectively. τ represents the temperature parameter used in distillation. Additionally, σ denotes the softmax function and KL stands for the kullback-leibler divergence. Thus, the purpose of logit distillation is to reduce the discrepancy between the outputs of the teacher and student networks. Temperature parameter τ smooth the logits to enhance the learning performance of the student network. In the case of feature distillation represented by Equation (5), $f_T(x)$ and $f_S(x)$ refer to the feature maps of the teacher and student networks. The term d stands for the distance between the losses of individual features. Therefore, it focuses on transferring knowledge from the internal feature information of the network, rather than the logits.

In the current field of ZSQ, the primary focus has been on logit distillation, which relies on the model’s final output (logit). However, it may lead to information loss in the model (Choi et al., 2022). To address this issue, feature distillation can be applied to effectively reflect the feature information of the model (Heo et al., 2019). By utilizing features extracted from the intermediate layers, feature distillation helps maintain enhanced quantization performance without the need for training data. This helps preserve the crucial information of the full-precision model in ZSQ.

4. AKT Method

We propose a novel AKT method to improve the performance of low-bit quantized models in a ZSQ. As shown in Figure 1, the AKT consists of 3 stages, which will be detailed in this section.




Figure 2. An illustration of Refined Feature Distillation. The distillation process is independently applied to each of the n layers, and the resulting losses are averaged to compute the final L_{RFD} loss.

4.1. Dual-Information Decomposition

The process of dual-information decomposition is illustrated in the first step of Figure 1. In this stage, feature maps (i.e., activation maps) are extracted from various layers of the model, similar to the basic feature distillation process. Subsequently, we apply both spatial and channel attention to each feature map to extract key information. Equations (6) and (7) represent the spatial and channel attention, respectively.

$$ATT_{sp}(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{C} \sum_{c=1}^C x_c^2}{\left\| \frac{1}{C} \sum_{c=1}^C x_c^2 \right\|}, \text{ where } x_c \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W} \quad (6)$$

$$ATT_{ch}(x) = \sigma \left(\frac{1}{H \cdot W} \sum_{h=1}^H \sum_{w=1}^W x_{h,w}^2 \right), \text{ where } x_{h,w} \in \mathbb{R}^C \quad (7)$$

x , C , H , and W represent the feature map, channel, height, and width, respectively in Equations (6), (7). x_c^2 in Equation (6) is the square of the feature map values for each channel c . Spatial attention aggregates all channel values and then divides by the total number of channels C , thereby preserving the width and height information while reducing the channel dimension by averaging its values. Subsequently,

Dataset	Model (Full-precision)	Bits	GDFQ (ECCV 20)	Ours (GDFQ+AKT)	HAST [†] (CVPR 23)	Ours (HAST+AKT)	AdaDFQ (CVPR 23)	Ours (AdaDFQ+AKT)
CIFAR-10	ResNet-20 93.89	3w3a	72.23 [†]	72.80(+0.57)	84.11	84.84(+0.73)	84.89	86.76(+1.87)
		4w4a	90.25	90.33(+0.08)	92.00	92.25(+0.25)	92.31	92.64(+0.33)
		5w5a	93.38	93.36(-0.02)	93.48	93.57(+0.09)	93.81	93.83(+0.02)
CIFAR-100	ResNet-20 70.33	3w3a	47.59 [†]	49.06(+1.47)	45.21	46.80(+1.59)	52.74	54.68(+1.94)
		4w4a	63.39	63.37(-0.02)	64.40	65.26(+0.86)	66.81	66.94(+0.13)
		5w5a	66.12	67.46(+1.34)	68.82	68.91(+0.09)	69.93	69.75(-0.18)

Table 2. Top-1 accuracy comparison of AKT with zero-shot quantization methods. In $nwma$, n and m represent the quantization bits for weights and activations, respectively. The best performance is in bold, and [†] denotes our re-implementation.

L_2 normalization emphasizes spatial pixel information, as described in Equation (6). Similarly, the channel attention in Equation (7) preserves channel information by averaging the spatial dimensions h and w . This process allows for calculating the relative importance of each channel, which is then normalized using softmax, represented by σ .

By utilizing these two equations, the feature map is refined from diverse perspectives. This enables the effective transfer of high-quality information to quantized models with limited information capacity.

4.2. Refined Feature Distillation

Based on dual-information decomposition (step 1), we propose refined feature distillation loss (L_{RFD}), which integrates refined feature information from different perspectives.

$$L_{RFD} = \lambda \times \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(KL(ATT_{sp}(\alpha_i) \parallel ATT_{sp}(\beta_i)) + KL(ATT_{ch}(\alpha_i) \parallel ATT_{ch}(\beta_i)) \right) \quad (8)$$

The KL function denotes the kullback-leibler divergence loss function. α_i denotes the feature map from the full-precision model, and β_i represents the corresponding feature map from the quantized model. The first KL term, $KL(ATT_{sp}(\alpha_i) \parallel ATT_{sp}(\beta_i))$, represents the spatial attention loss L_{sp} . This loss calculates the spatial feature differences between the teacher model (full-precision model) and the student model (quantized model). Similarly, the second KL term, $KL(ATT_{ch}(\alpha_i) \parallel ATT_{ch}(\beta_i))$, corresponds to the channel attention loss L_{ch} , which measures the differences in channel-focused features. Adding L_{sp} and L_{ch} gives the $L_{RFD}(i)$ for the i -th feature map, as illustrated in Figure 2. The hyperparameter λ amplifies the effect

of feature distillation, and N denotes the number of feature maps used for distillation. The final L_{RFD} is computed as the mean of the N feature maps, as expressed in Equation (8).

Since feature distillation involves multiple feature map operations, it has certain computational resource limitations. Therefore, set the number of feature maps for distillation, N , according to the number of stages in the model. We then utilize the feature maps obtained from the final layer of each module for distillation.

4.3. Advanced Knowledge Transfer

Ultimately, we propose the AKT Method, which builds upon the commonly used logit distillation in ZSQ by adding our newly designed L_{RFD} . As depicted in Step 3 of Figure 1, the final equation applied to train the quantized model is described in Equation (9).

$$L_{AKT} = \alpha L_{RFD} + (1 - \alpha) L_{KL} \quad (9)$$

Here, α determines the ratio between the L_{RFD} and the L_{KL} . By default, we set it to 0.5. Given that ZSQ involves a diverse range of generated data, the training process often requires adjustments based on the data’s characteristics. Thus, users can adjust α dynamically to better suit the generated data. Although we apply the standard kullback-leibler divergence loss (L_{KL}) for logit distillation, this component can also be modified depending on the properties of the generated data.

Through L_{AKT} , we can transfer both the feature information and output information of the full-precision model to the quantized model in a ZSQ environment. This approach is a key factor in enhancing the learning capabilities of the quantized model.

Dataset (FP32 Acc)	Method	Top-1 Accuracy(%)		
		3w3a	4w4a	5w5a
CIFAR-10 (93.89)	GDFQ (ECCV 20)	72.23 [†]	90.25	93.38
	AIT (CVPR 22)	-	91.23	93.43
	HAST (CVPR 23) [†]	84.11	92.00	93.48
	AdaDFQ (CVPR 23)	84.89	92.31	<u>93.81</u>
	TexQ (NeurIPS 24)	<u>86.47</u>	92.68	-
	RIS (AAAI 24)	-	92.59	93.59
	AdaDFQ+AKT (Ours)	86.76	<u>92.64</u>	93.83
CIFAR-100 (70.33)	GDFQ (ECCV 20)	47.59 [†]	63.39	66.12
	AIT (CVPR 22)	-	65.80	69.26
	HAST (CVPR 23) [†]	45.21	64.40	68.82
	AdaDFQ (CVPR 23)	52.74	66.81	69.93
	TexQ (NeurIPS 24)	55.87	67.18	-
	RIS (AAAI 24)	-	65.99	69.55
	AdaDFQ+AKT (Ours)	<u>54.68</u>	<u>66.94</u>	<u>69.75</u>

Table 3. Comparison of zero-shot quantization methods on CIFAR-10 and CIFAR-100. The best performance is in bold, and the second-best is underlined. [†] denotes our re-implementation. AKT was applied to the latest method for which official code is available.

5. Experiments


5.1. Experimental Environments

We evaluated our AKT method on CIFAR-10 and CIFAR-100, which are the most commonly used datasets in network quantization, particularly in ZSQ (Krizhevsky et al., 2009). For model selection, we also followed standard practice in ZSQ by using the widely used pre-trained ResNet-20 (He et al., 2016). The pre-trained ResNet-20 model was obtained from the PyTorch library (Paszke et al., 2019).


For a comparative evaluation of our proposed method, we utilized popular ZSQ methods such as GDFQ (Xu et al., 2020b), HAST (Li et al., 2023), AdaDFQ (Qian et al., 2023b). Additionally, to verify the superior performance of the AKT method, we included recent state-of-the-art approaches

Feature Distillation Method			Top-1 Accuracy(%)		
Channel Attention	Spatial Attention	RFD	3w3a	4w4a	5w5a
✓			86.09	92.36	93.62
	✓		86.10	92.49	93.75
		✓	86.76	92.64	93.83

Table 4. Ablations on various types of attention information for feature distillation. "RFD" denotes our proposed refined feature distillation. The experiments were conducted using the AdaDFQ method and the CIFAR-10 dataset.



(a) 3-bit Quantization



(b) 4-bit Quantization

Figure 3. Curvature Based on Hessian Trace in 3,4 bit Quantization.

for a more comprehensive quantitative comparison (e.g., AIT (Choi et al., 2022), TexQ (Chen et al., 2024b), RIS (Bai et al., 2024)). All experiments were conducted on an NVIDIA RTX3090. The generator’s default settings utilized a learning rate of 1e-3 and the Adam optimizer. For training models with the AKT method, Nesterov SGD was applied with a momentum of 0.9 and a weight decay of 1e-4. The learning rate was adjusted to decrease by a factor of 0.1 every 100 epochs. For logit distillation and feature distillation, the temperatures were set to 20 and 8, respectively. Models for CIFAR-10 and CIFAR-100 were trained with batch sizes of 200 and 16, respectively, over a total of 200 epochs. Since GDFQ did not include experiments in a 3-bit setting, and HAST utilized a full-precision model with different performance, we conducted our own re-implementation.

5.2. Experimental Results

The AKT method is a novel approach aimed at enhancing the effective training of quantized models. Therefore, AKT can be applied to all generative methods actively studied in the field of ZSQ. To validate the effectiveness of AKT, we applied the method to three popular generative approaches. First, AKT was applied to GDFQ (Xu et al., 2020b), which

originally proposed generative ZSQ. Second, we leveraged HAST(Li et al., 2023), which improves the training difficulty of generated examples. Lastly, we used AdaDFQ(Qian et al., 2023b), a generative model that enhances the generalization ability of quantized models. The experimental results are displayed in Table 2. Notably, AKT improved performance across all results except for the 5-bit GDFQ on the CIFAR-10 dataset. In particular, AdaDFQ+AKT achieved a remarkable 1.87% performance improvement in 3-bit quantization. Additionally, our method shows increasingly pronounced performance improvements as the bit width decreases. This effect corresponds to a higher level of model compression. For instance, on CIFAR-10, the accuracy of AdaDFQ+AKT improved by 0.02% at 5-bit, 0.33% at 4-bit, and significantly by 1.87% at 3-bit. This demonstrates that higher model compression reduces the information capacity of quantized models, and the AKT method effectively addresses this challenge.

In addition to validating the enhanced learning capabilities of our AKT method, we also conducted a comparative analysis against the latest state-of-the-art methods, as presented in Table 3. In this comparison, we applied our AKT method to the most recent approach with the available official code, AdaDFQ(Qian et al., 2023b). The results demonstrate that training AdaDFQ with AKT leads to performance that matches or even surpasses that of TexQ and RIS, which previously outperformed AdaDFQ. Accordingly, to the best of our knowledge, our approach achieves state-of-the-art performance in the 3-bit and 5-bit scenarios, as evidenced by the CIFAR-10 results in Table 3. However, TexQ achieves significantly better performance than AdaDFQ on CIFAR-100. As a result, even when applying the AKT method to AdaDFQ, it is difficult to surpass TexQ’s performance. Although we could not conduct experiments with AKT on TexQ due to the absence of its official code, we expect that applying AKT to TexQ would further enhance TexQ’s performance.

5.3. Ablation Study

In this section, we conduct ablation studies to analyze the effectiveness of combining spatial and channel attention information for training quantized models. As shown in Table 4, integrating both types of attention proves to be much more effective for ZSQ compared to using either spatial or channel attention alone. In all 3, 4, and 5-bit environments, our proposed refined feature distillation outperforms both spatial and channel attention approaches. Notably, the experimental results clearly indicate that RFD performs better as the model bit-width decreases. In a 5-bit setting, RFD achieves a performance of 93.83%, which is 0.21% higher than the 93.62% of channel attention. Furthermore, RFD outperforms channel attention by 0.28% in a 4-bit setting. Remarkably, in a 3-bit environment, RFD shows a signif-

icant performance improvement of 0.67%. These results demonstrate that our method is especially effective in low-bit models.

To further verify the effect of merging these two attention types in the refined feature distillation step, we perform curvature analysis based on the hessian trace(Pearlmutter, 1994; Becker et al., 1988; Bottou et al., 2018). The hessian trace offers a reliable measure of training stability and generalization capability as it represents the second derivative of the loss function. Lower values in the hessian trace curvature imply improved performance and more stability. Figure 3 provides a visualization under identical conditions for different losses. As shown in the Figure 3, our refined feature distillation exhibits the lowest hessian trace values in both 3-bit and 4-bit quantization settings, indicating the most stable learning process. In particular, under 3-bit quantization, both spatial and channel attention result in a large increase in curvature. In contrast, our method demonstrates significantly more stable learning compared to both approaches. This is because it more effectively distills the information contained in the feature maps. An additional insight from Figure 3 is that our method is more effective for low-bit (3-bit) quantized models compared to high-bit (4-bit) quantized models. In Figure 3b, although our approach shows a more stable curvature compared to spatial and channel attention, the difference is not significant. However, in Figure 3a, our method demonstrates a remarkably stable learning process.

6. Discussion

In conventional quantization, feature distillation approaches rely solely on either channel-attentive or spatial-attentive information from feature maps. This one-sided attention results in a significant loss of the original data in the feature maps. Our AKT method overcomes this limitation by merging channel and spatial attention maps before distillation, thereby effectively incorporating both types of features. This dual-perspective attention on feature maps is novel in ZSQ. Tables 2 and 4 highlight that our AKT method achieves remarkable performance. This implies that even with limited information capacity, low-bit quantized model has effectively acquired key knowledge during training. As a result of this evaluation, we verified that our method enhances the performance of the 3-bit quantized model. Furthermore, our approach reduces the performance degradation in 4- and 5-bit quantized models, which closely approximate the full-precision model.

However, our AKT method also has some limitations. Since the AKT method utilizes feature distillation, it is easily affected by the quality of input data. This is because the feature map used in feature distillation largely depends on not only the weight but also the input data. In ZSQ settings where training data is not available, generating data

from a full-precision model is necessary. Consequently, the quality of the generated data can significantly impact the performance of the AKT method. Hence, we highlight the importance of exploring training methodologies for quantized models. Additionally, we emphasize the importance of investigating the combination of generative and learning methodologies.

7. Conclusion

The objective of this study is to reduce the information gap (i.e., quantization noise (Lin et al., 2023)) between teacher models (full-precision models) and student models (quantized models) during knowledge distillation in ZSQ. To address this, we propose the AKT (Advanced Knowledge Transfer) method, which is designed to transfer key feature information from the teacher model to the quantized model. The AKT method comprises three key stages: 1) dual-information decomposition, 2) refined feature distillation, and 3) advanced knowledge transfer. Through this approach, quantized model can effectively acquire knowledge from the full-precision model, even with its limited information capacity. Experimental results demonstrate that our method outperforms a variety of existing ZSQ techniques. Notably, AKT is particularly effective in low-bit quantization settings, which often require further performance improvement. We also analyzed that feature information has a positive impact on quantization. Moreover, since AKT is a training-based approach, it can be widely applied across various generative methods. We expect that our work will stimulate further research in both the generative and learning aspects of ZSQ in the future. Furthermore, we hope that this research will contribute to the advancement of edge computing.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Research Foundation (NRF) funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (No. RS-2023-00229822).

References

- Bai, J., Yang, Y., Chu, H., Wang, H., Liu, Z., Chen, R., He, X., Mu, L., Cai, C., and Hu, H. Robustness-guided image synthesis for data-free quantization. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pp. 10971–10979, 2024.
- Becker, S., Le Cun, Y., et al. Improving the convergence of back-propagation learning with second order methods. In *Proceedings of the 1988 connectionist models summer school*, pp. 29–37, 1988.
- Bottou, L., Curtis, F. E., and Nocedal, J. Optimization methods for large-scale machine learning. *SIAM review*, 60(2):223–311, 2018.
- Cai, Y., Yao, Z., Dong, Z., Gholami, A., Mahoney, M. W., and Keutzer, K. Zeroq: A novel zero shot quantization framework. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 13169–13178, 2020.
- Chen, M., Shao, W., Xu, P., Wang, J., Gao, P., Zhang, K., Qiao, Y., and Luo, P. Efficientqat: Efficient quantization-aware training for large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.11062*, 2024a.
- Chen, X., Wang, Y., Yan, R., Liu, Y., Guan, T., and He, Y. Texq: zero-shot network quantization with texture feature distribution calibration. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024b.
- Choi, K., Hong, D., Park, N., Kim, Y., and Lee, J. Qimera: Data-free quantization with synthetic boundary supporting samples. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:14835–14847, 2021.
- Choi, K., Lee, H. Y., Hong, D., Yu, J., Park, N., Kim, Y., and Lee, J. It’s all in the teacher: Zero-shot quantization brought closer to the teacher. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 8311–8321, 2022.
- Choi, Y., El-Khamy, M., and Lee, J. Towards the limit of network quantization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.01543*, 2016.
- Choi, Y., Choi, J., El-Khamy, M., and Lee, J. Data-free network quantization with adversarial knowledge distillation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops*, pp. 710–711, 2020.
- Courbariaux, M., Bengio, Y., and David, J.-P. Binaryconnect: Training deep neural networks with binary weights during propagations. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 28, 2015.
- Ding, Y., Feng, W., Chen, C., Guo, J., and Liu, X. Reg-ptq: Regression-specialized post-training quantization for fully quantized object detector. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 16174–16184, 2024.
- Fan, A., Stock, P., Graham, B., Grave, E., Gribonval, R., Jegou, H., and Joulin, A. Training with quantization noise for extreme model compression. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.07320*, 2020.
- Francy, S. and Singh, R. Edge ai: Evaluation of model compression techniques for convolutional neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.02134*, 2024.

-
- Gholami, A., Kim, S., Dong, Z., Yao, Z., Mahoney, M. W., and Keutzer, K. A survey of quantization methods for efficient neural network inference. In Low-Power Computer Vision, pp. 291–326. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2022.
- Gu, A. and Dao, T. Mamba: Linear-time sequence modeling with selective state spaces. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00752, 2023.
- Guo, C., Qiu, Y., Leng, J., Gao, X., Zhang, C., Liu, Y., Yang, F., Zhu, Y., and Guo, M. Squant: On-the-fly data-free quantization via diagonal hessian approximation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.07471, 2022.
- Han, S., Mao, H., and Dally, W. J. Deep compression: Compressing deep neural networks with pruning, trained quantization and huffman coding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.00149, 2015.
- Han, S., Liu, X., Mao, H., Pu, J., Pedram, A., Horowitz, M. A., and Dally, W. J. Eie: Efficient inference engine on compressed deep neural network. ACM SIGARCH Computer Architecture News, 44(3):243–254, 2016.
- He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., and Sun, J. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 770–778, 2016.
- He, Y., Liu, J., Wu, W., Zhou, H., and Zhuang, B. Efficientdm: Efficient quantization-aware fine-tuning of low-bit diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.03270, 2023.
- Heo, B., Kim, J., Yun, S., Park, H., Kwak, N., and Choi, J. Y. A comprehensive overhaul of feature distillation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision, pp. 1921–1930, 2019.
- Hinton, G. Distilling the knowledge in a neural network. arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.02531, 2015.
- Hong, I. and Choi, C. Knowledge distillation vulnerability of deit through cnn adversarial attack. Neural Computing and Applications, pp. 1–11, 2023.
- Hong, I. and Lee, S. Exploring synergy of denoising and distillation: Novel method for efficient adversarial defense. Applied Sciences, 14(23):10872, 2024.
- Hong, I.-p., Choi, G.-h., Kim, P.-k., and Choi, C. Security verification software platform of data-efficient image transformer based on fast gradient sign method. In Proceedings of the 38th ACM/SIGAPP Symposium on Applied Computing, pp. 1669–1672, 2023.
- Howard, A. G. Mobilenets: Efficient convolutional neural networks for mobile vision applications. arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.04861, 2017.
- Hua, H., Li, Y., Wang, T., Dong, N., Li, W., and Cao, J. Edge computing with artificial intelligence: A machine learning perspective. ACM Computing Surveys, 55(9): 1–35, 2023.
- Hubara, I., Courbariaux, M., Soudry, D., El-Yaniv, R., and Bengio, Y. Quantized neural networks: Training neural networks with low precision weights and activations. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 18(187):1–30, 2018.
- Krishnamoorthi, R. Quantizing deep convolutional networks for efficient inference: A whitepaper. arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.08342, 2018.
- Krizhevsky, A., Hinton, G., et al. Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images. 2009.
- Kulkarni, U., Meena, S., Gurlahosur, S. V., Benagi, P., Kashyap, A., Ansari, A., and Karnam, V. Ai model compression for edge devices using optimization techniques. In Modern Approaches in Machine Learning and Cognitive Science: A Walkthrough: Latest Trends in AI, Volume 2, pp. 227–240. Springer, 2021.
- Kuzmin, A., Nagel, M., Van Baalen, M., Behboodi, A., and Blankevoort, T. Pruning vs quantization: which is better? Advances in neural information processing systems, 36, 2024.
- Li, H., Wu, X., Lv, F., Liao, D., Li, T. H., Zhang, Y., Han, B., and Tan, M. Hard sample matters a lot in zero-shot quantization. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 24417–24426, 2023.
- Liang, T., Glossner, J., Wang, L., Shi, S., and Zhang, X. Pruning and quantization for deep neural network acceleration: A survey. Neurocomputing, 461:370–403, 2021.
- Lin, C., Peng, B., Li, Z., Tan, W., Ren, Y., Xiao, J., and Pu, S. Bit-shrinking: Limiting instantaneous sharpness for improving post-training quantization. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 16196–16205, 2023.
- Lin, D., Talathi, S., and Annapureddy, S. Fixed point quantization of deep convolutional networks. In International conference on machine learning, pp. 2849–2858. PMLR, 2016.
- Liu, J., Sun, H., and Katto, J. Learned image compression with mixed transformer-cnn architectures. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 14388–14397, 2023.

-
- Liu, K. and Chen, N. Ptq-so: A scale optimization-based approach for post-training quantization of edge computing. In 2024 27th International Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work in Design (CSCWD), pp. 2078–2083. IEEE, 2024.
- McEnroe, P., Wang, S., and Liyanage, M. A survey on the convergence of edge computing and ai for uavs: Opportunities and challenges. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 9(17):15435–15459, 2022.
- Mentzer, F., Toderici, G. D., Tschannen, M., and Agustsson, E. High-fidelity generative image compression. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 33: 11913–11924, 2020.
- Nagel, M., Baalen, M. v., Blankevoort, T., and Welling, M. Data-free quantization through weight equalization and bias correction. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 1325–1334, 2019.
- Park, H.-C., Hong, I.-P., Poudel, S., and Choi, C. Data augmentation based on generative adversarial networks for endoscopic image classification. IEEE Access, 11: 49216–49225, 2023.
- Paszke, A., Gross, S., Massa, F., Lerer, A., Bradbury, J., Chanan, G., Killeen, T., Lin, Z., Gimelshein, N., Antiga, L., et al. Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library. Advances in neural information processing systems, 32, 2019.
- Pearlmutter, B. A. Fast exact multiplication by the hessian. Neural computation, 6(1):147–160, 1994.
- Qian, B., Wang, Y., Hong, R., and Wang, M. Re-thinking data-free quantization as a zero-sum game. In Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence, volume 37, pp. 9489–9497, 2023a.
- Qian, B., Wang, Y., Hong, R., and Wang, M. Adaptive data-free quantization. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 7960–7968, 2023b.
- Sandler, M., Howard, A., Zhu, M., Zhmoginov, A., and Chen, L.-C. Mobilenetv2: Inverted residuals and linear bottlenecks. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 4510–4520, 2018.
- Sevsay, B. and Akagündüz, E. Infrared domain adaptation with zero-shot quantization. arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.13925, 2024.
- Shang, Y., Yuan, Z., Xie, B., Wu, B., and Yan, Y. Post-training quantization on diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 1972–1981, 2023.
- Shi, J., Lu, M., and Ma, Z. Rate-distortion optimized post-training quantization for learned image compression. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 2023.
- Stanton, S., Izmailov, P., Kirichenko, P., Alemi, A. A., and Wilson, A. G. Does knowledge distillation really work? Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34: 6906–6919, 2021.
- Wang, A., Chen, H., Liu, L., Chen, K., Lin, Z., Han, J., and Ding, G. Yolov10: Real-time end-to-end object detection. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.14458, 2024a.
- Wang, Y., Zeng, Y., Liang, J., Xing, X., Xu, J., and Xu, X. Retrievalmmt: Retrieval-constrained multi-modal prompt learning for multi-modal machine translation. In Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Multimedia Retrieval, pp. 860–868, 2024b.
- Wu, H., Judd, P., Zhang, X., Isaev, M., and Micikevicius, P. Integer quantization for deep learning inference: Principles and empirical evaluation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.09602, 2020.
- Xu, S., Li, H., Zhuang, B., Liu, J., Cao, J., Liang, C., and Tan, M. Generative low-bitwidth data free quantization. In Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XII 16, pp. 1–17. Springer, 2020a.
- Xu, S., Li, H., Zhuang, B., Liu, J., Cao, J., Liang, C., and Tan, M. Generative low-bitwidth data free quantization. In Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XII 16, pp. 1–17. Springer, 2020b.
- Yuan, L., Tay, F. E., Li, G., Wang, T., and Feng, J. Revisiting knowledge distillation via label smoothing regularization. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 3903–3911, 2020.
- Zhang, R. and Chung, A. C. Efficientq: An efficient and accurate post-training neural network quantization method for medical image segmentation. Medical Image Analysis, 97:103277, 2024.
- Zhang, X., Qin, H., Ding, Y., Gong, R., Yan, Q., Tao, R., Li, Y., Yu, F., and Liu, X. Diversifying sample generation for accurate data-free quantization. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 15658–15667, 2021.

Zhao, K. and Zhao, M. Self-supervised quantization-aware knowledge distillation. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.11106](#), 2024.

Zhong, Y., Lin, M., Nan, G., Liu, J., Zhang, B., Tian, Y., and Ji, R. Intraq: Learning synthetic images with intra-class heterogeneity for zero-shot network quantization. In [Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition](#), pp. 12339–12348, 2022.

Zhou, S., Wu, Y., Ni, Z., Zhou, X., Wen, H., and Zou, Y. Dorefa-net: Training low bitwidth convolutional neural networks with low bitwidth gradients. [arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.06160](#), 2016.

Zoph, B. Neural architecture search with reinforcement learning. [arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.01578](#), 2016.