Adrian Azzarelli

a.azzarelli@bristol.ac.uk University of Bristol Bristol, UK Nantheera Anantrasirichai

n.anantrasirichai@bristol.ac.uk University of Bristol Bristol, UK David R Bull

david.bull@bristol.ac.uk University of Bristol Bristol, UK

ABSTRACT

Novel view synthesis (NVS) has shown significant promise for applications in cinematographic production, particularly through the exploitation of Neural Radiance Fields (NeRF) and Gaussian Splatting (GS). These methods model real 3D scenes, enabling the creation of new shots that are challenging to capture in the real world due to set topology or expensive equipment requirement. This innovation also offers cinematographic advantages such as smooth camera movements, virtual re-shoots, slow-motion effects, etc. This paper explores dynamic NVS with the aim of facilitating the model selection process. We showcase its potential through a short montage filmed using various NVS models.

1 INTRODUCTION

Novel view synthesis (NVS) creates new images and videos of a scene from viewpoints that are not captured in the original data by utilizing a virtual representation of a real 3D scene. The recent success of learning-based techniques like NeRF and GS, has enabled the production of high-quality volumetric scenes from existing image and video data. This offers significant advantages in cinematography: (1) enabling smooth camera movement without requiring gimbals or dollies; (2) supporting virtual re-shoots, thus eliminating the need for expensive in-person re-shoots; (3) producing slow-motion effects without requiring special equipment; (4) altering scene geometry and aesthetics without manual intervention, reducing the burden on visual effects and compositing artists [Bao et al. 2023; Chen et al. 2023; He et al. 2024; Kuang et al. 2023; Song et al. 2023b; Sun et al. 2022; Wang et al. 2022; Yuan et al. 2022]; and (5) introducing/correcting camera-based artefacts like de-blurring [Wang et al. 2023d] and lens distortions [Kim et al. 2024; Moreau et al. 2022; Xian et al. 2023]. More creative uses have also been explored, like cinematic style transfer [Wang et al. 2023a], the discovery of new cinematographic shots [Skartados et al. 2024] and modeling live sport for action-replay [Lewin et al. 2023]. In Figure 1, we demonstrate this by training a static NeRF toy scene using only 36 images to synthesize a fly-through shot that would otherwise require special equipment.

Considering the primary goal in cinematography is to capture scenes or assets in motion, our focus is on dynamic NVS research. We explore these new technologies and evaluate their meaning and effectiveness in relation to cinematographic content acquisition. We specifically explore:

- (1) Dynamic Representations
- (2) Dynamic scenes vs Animate-able human assets
- (3) Data Acquisition

Subsequently, we film a short montage captured using various static and dynamic NVS models to showcase their potential in cinematographic production.

2 TECHNICAL BACKGROUND

Figure 2 illustrates the main steps involved in generating a neural 3D representation to achieve NVS. A collected image dataset first requires calibration to determine the position and rotation of each image with respect to the world coordinate space. Then, for each view in the training set we sample the in-frustum volumetric space and pass the position and viewing direction of each volume to a neural net that estimates the color and density of each volume-sample. Finally, we render the in-frustum volumes with respect to depth and backpropagate a loss by evaluating the predicted images against the ground truth images.

The predominant challenge is how best to represent the volumetric space and achieve view-dependent effects, e.g. reflections from non-Lambertian materials. Addressing this, the two contributions that have shaped the NVS landscape are NeRF and GS, which are discussed below and accompanied by Figure 3.

2.1 Neural Radiance Fields

Mildenhall et al. [2021] propose the NeRF representation using an implicit coordinate multilayer perceptron (MLP) $\Theta(\cdot)$, defined as follows.

$$\Theta(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{d}_{a,b}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{c}_i, \sigma_i),$$

takes the position $\mathbf{r}_i = [x, y, z]_i$ along a ray \mathbf{r} , and viewing direction $\mathbf{d}_{a,b}$ of a volume *i* to predict the color \mathbf{c}_i and density σ_i . As each ray corresponds to a pixel (a, b) in an image $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r})$ with a focal point at **o**, the relationship between \mathbf{r}_i and $\mathbf{d}_{a,b}$ can be written as

$$\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{o} + t_i \mathbf{d}_{a,b}.$$

This enables learning view-dependent effects. Consequently, an image can be rendered with

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=t_{near}}^{t_{far}} (1 - \exp(-\sigma_i \delta_i)) \mathbf{c}_i \exp(\sum_{j=t_{near}}^{i-1} -\sigma_j \delta_j), \qquad (1)$$

where δ_i is the width of each ray-volume sample.

2.2 Gaussian Splatting

Kerbl et al. [2023] propose the GS representation, where a differentiable point cloud is used to model the position of 3D Gaussian volumes, $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{x}$, in 3D space using,

$$G(\mathbf{x}) = exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x})^T \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x})), \qquad (2)$$



Figure 1: Fly-through shot on a toy-scene

where

$$\Sigma' = JW\Sigma_i W^T J^T \tag{3}$$

is a 3×3 covariance matrix dependent on the viewing transform Wand the Jacobian of the affine projection transform J. In GS, Σ' is simplified into a 2×2 matrix by ignoring the third row and column.

For each point \mathbf{x}_i , a rotation R_i and scale S_i are approximated with an MLP allowing for $\Sigma_i = R_i S_i S_i^T R_i^T$. The color \mathbf{c}_i and density σ_i of each sample are determined using a separate MLP. This forms the basis of the GS representation, which is rendered with a differentiable tile-splatting strategy where an image, with properties W and J, is split into 16×16 tiles, the Gaussians $G(\mathbf{x})$ are ordered with respect to depth and finally rendered using the equation:

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i \in N} \mathbf{c}_i \alpha_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - \alpha_j), \tag{4}$$

where α_i is given by multiplying Σ_i with the learned point-opacity σ_i .

2.3 NeRF vs. GS

Comparing the two representations and rendering methods, GS is significantly faster and shows improved quality over NeRF [Kerbl et al. 2023]. However, solutions have been proposed that address the slow NeRF inference problem [Gu et al. 2024; Kurz et al. 2022; Wang et al. 2023b; Yu et al. 2021]. Despite these advances, GS struggles to produce acceptable depth estimates without proper initialization [Foroutan et al. 2024], which means that its practical use may be limited by the need for ground truth depth data. This can be acquired with additional depth sensors or by relying on neural depth estimators [Fan et al. 2024].

3 DYNAMIC NVS FOR CINEMATOGRAPHY

3.1 Dynamic Representations

With the vast collection of existing research on how to best represent a dynamic 3D scene, choosing the ideal representation for a specific cinematic application is a challenging task. This is compounded by the need to concurrently learn view-dependent and temporal effects, which are difficult to disentangle when relying solely on images for supervision.

To begin, we can model a "canonical" (static) field with $\Theta(\cdot)$, anchored at *t* = 0, and use a "deformation" field,

$$\Delta(\mathbf{r_i}, t) \to (\delta x, \delta y, \delta z), \tag{5}$$

to model volumetric motion [Li et al. 2022a; Pumarola et al. 2021; Yang et al. 2023a], whereby volumes are translated in time using $r'_i = r_i + \Delta(\cdot)$; as illustrated in Figure 4. The deformation field is



Figure 2: An illustration of the classical NVS pipeline. The learnable parts of the pipeline are highlighted in red



(a) Neural Radiance Fields sample in-frustum volumes to estimate a color and density value for each sample projected along a pixel-ray



(b) Gaussian Splatting uses a point cloud to estimate the color, density and covariance matrix (scale and rotation) of each 3D Gaussian point, which are rendered via tile-splatting

Figure 3: An illustration of the original NeRF and GS representations

handled by an MLP [Li et al. 2022a; Pumarola et al. 2021] meaning that motion is continuous in time. Therefore, as long as the training

data captures smooth motions, slow-motion shots are easy to produce. Moreover, using an MLP reduces the model size significantly, making it efficient for compression and communication tasks. The



Figure 4: Illustrations of the temporal deformation, hex-plane decomposition and key-frame interpolation approaches for dynamic neural 4D representation

drawbacks are long inference time and quality degradation for longer scenes. Additionally, this approach has trouble adapting to temporal changes in scene topology, so would not be suitable for filming objects that are not present during the anchor point.

Alternatively, we can decompose the 4D scene into discretized low-rank components [Cao and Johnson 2023; Duisterhof et al. 2023; Fridovich-Keil et al. 2023; Huang et al. 2024; Wu et al. 2023; Xu et al. 2023], e.g. grid-planes $\mathbf{v} = \{xy, xz, yz, xt, yt, zt\}$. Features sampled from each plane, $f_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{q})$, for the point $\mathbf{q} = (x, y, z, t)$ are fused and decoded into color and density values, illustrated by $\prod(\cdot)$ in Figure 4. This is not dependent on a temporal anchor, so temporal changes in topology are not a problem. Fridovich-Keil et al. [2023] also show that the hex-plane decomposition can be adapted to work with datasets captured using various camera settings. However, as this approach discretizes the spatial axis, the scene is bounded by scale, so large or outdoor scenes are not feasible. Discretizing the temporal axis also results in temporal-jitter effects in the presence of fast motions, so may not be as effective as the prior approach for producing slow-motion shots.

Another option is to interpolate key-frame static representations with respect to time [Attal et al. 2023; Song et al. 2023a; Wang et al. 2023b]. This models a different static field at each key-frame and interpolates between neighboring frames to produce continuous motion, as shown in Figure 4. Thus, we avoid temporal-jitter and handle geometric and visual changes in time. There is also a lower likelihood of quality degradation with longer scenes, and if we select a compact static key-frame representation data transmission (e.g. live broadcasting) can be facilitated [Wang et al. 2023b]. However, this results in larger model size and requires significantly more computation and time to train.

Grouping the remainder of existing work, the last option is to directly parameterize a 3D representation in time [Bae et al. 2024; Fang et al. 2022; Gan et al. 2023; Luiten et al. 2023; Sun et al. 2024; Yang et al. 2024]. For instance, Gan et al. [2023] employs a voxel grid and parameterizes each voxel-feature in time to produce changes in texture and density. Comparatively, Yang et al. [2024] adapt the GS rendering function for 4D by proposing new 4D Gaussian primitives that directly optimize position, rotation and appearance without requiring spacetime decomposition, as seen with the hex-plane or canonical and deformation decompositions.

3.2 Dynamic Scenes vs. Articulated 3D Objects

A cinematographer may focus on capturing the entire dynamic scene or specifically on an articulated target, like a human or an animal. Capturing an entire scene increases complexity as it requires learning both foreground and background features consistently. Instead, focusing on object-centric reconstruction may simplify the problem if our only objective is to produce a rigged asset (i.e. an articulated object).

3.2.1 Dynamic Scenes. Synthesizing entire scenes relies on good foreground and background color and density predictions. Here, the main challenges are dealing with dynamic backgrounds and unbounded spaces (e.g. outdoor).

Bounded scenes are typically contained inside a unit sphere or axis-aligned bounding box. As they are easier to reconstruct than unbounded scenes, more methods have been developed with bounded scenes: for NeRF [Cao and Johnson 2023; Fang et al. 2022; Fridovich-Keil et al. 2023; Gan et al. 2023; Shao et al. 2023; Wang et al. 2023b], and for GS [Duisterhof et al. 2023; Huang et al. 2024; Sun et al. 2024; Wu et al. 2023; Yang et al. 2024]. These models are usually explicit representations as they produce higher quality results and are faster to train. Still, they have trouble modeling the out-of-bounds scene. Implicit dynamic NeRFs [Li et al. 2022a; Pumarola et al. 2021] and GS [Bae et al. 2024; Luiten et al. 2023; Yang et al. 2023a] are less constrained by a scene's bounds as they

are continuous in space and time. However, these models are more likely to produce degenerate backgrounds in the presence of sparse views, meaning robust background prediction is still a challenge.

Unfortunately, there are currently no approaches that simultaneously tackle dynamic foregrounds and backgrounds, so cinematographers could choose to simplify the problem by assuming the background to be static [Luiten et al. 2023]. Practical solutions are also possible, e.g. Huang et al. [2024] propose pre-generating dynamic masks using MiVOS [Cheng et al. 2021] which enables their model (SC-GS) to separate the learning dynamic and static features - enhancing the visual quality of all dynamic elements.

3.2.2 Articulated Humans/Objects. We can simplify the dynamic NVS paradigm by decomposing a scene into a canonical 3D human/object model and a pose generator. However, this relies on the pre-generation of an image-mask, for removing the background from a training dataset, so quality may depend on the robustness of the masking strategy.

For those using rigged assets with conventional modeling software, mesh-based neural solutions [Gao et al. 2023; He et al. 2021; Huang et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2021, [n. d.], 2022, 2023b; Zheng et al. 2019] are a good option. Many methods use the skinned multi-person linear (SMPL) mesh model [Loper et al. 2023] with a joint/skeletal model to control the pose, meaning meshes and animation rigs can be easily exported into commonly accepted formats (e.g. FBX). Nevertheless, mesh-based solutions produce worse reconstructions for non-rigid bodies, such as fuzzy hair, muscles flexing, etc.

Conversely, solutions using NeRF [Chao and Leung 2022; Gao et al. 2022; Kwon et al. 2021; Su et al. 2021; Xu et al. 2021] or GS [Kocabas et al. 2023; Li et al. 2022b; Qian et al. 2024; Svitov et al. 2024; Wang et al. 2024; Zielonka et al. 2023] provide higher rendering quality and are better at modeling dynamic textures despite being inferior at handling rigid motions. To overcome this, Huang et al. [2024] and Yu et al. [2024] propose learning a shallow hierarchy of control points for GS object representations. Furthermore, Wang et al. [2024] proposes a deeper hierarchy of control points reliant on semantic links between control points, e.g. linking a knee-joint to an ankle to facilitate a walking pose.

Past approaches to human reconstruction, Yang et al. [2023b] propose category specific skeletal models for various mammals. Similarly, Li et al. [2022b] propose an approach which does not rely on skeletal templates, making it applicable to other animals and joint-based objects. Chao and Leung [2022]; Loper et al. [2023] and Gao et al. [2022] also propose solutions to modeling multiple articulated people per-scene, as the general set of methods still assume single-person targets.

3.3 Data Acquisition

Data acquisition is key for generating a high-quality dynamic scene; despite this it is rarely discussed in NVS literature. In contrast to static NVS, where single-view camera (SVC) and multi-view camera (MVC) capture configurations are comparable, SVC and MVC datasets differ for dynamic scenes. MVC produces multiple observations per time step, while SVC is limited to single observations. Despite the advantage of MVC, more cameras incur higher costs and are difficult to move around during capture. Overcoming this requires application-specific solutions. For example, a popular way of reducing complexity for MVC datasets is to use a forward facing (FF) camera formation - where cameras are distributed in the same region of space and point in the same direction. FF scenes limit NVS to < 180 degrees of viewing freedom meaning shots that require 6 degrees of freedom (DoF), e.g. the fly-through shot in Figure 1, would not be possible. Instead, FF scenes may be a practical for tasks such as re-colorization, defocusing/refocusing and generating smooth camera trajectories (within the limited capture space).

A practical way of attaining 6 DoF with a MVS or sparse-view set-up is to use depth sensors to enable more accurate geometric observations, or to support geometry initialization, e.g. initializing a GS point-cloud. However, if depth information cannot be obtained directly, another option is to use neural solutions for approximating depth from different viewpoints [Fan et al. 2024; Wang et al. 2023c]. While these methods do not produce optimal ground truth for depth, they can be effective for supporting geometric initialization and are especially tailored to sparse-view datasets. COLMAP [Schonberger and Frahm 2016; Schönberger et al. 2016] has become the standard for calibrating cameras and generating initial points clouds for static and dynamic scenes. However, since this method is purposed for static scenes, there is a high likely hood of pose misalignment when most views consist of moving objects.

4 EXHIBITION: AN EMOTIONAL SIP OF TEA

To explore the capabilities of dynamic NVS in cinematography, we set out an example scene "*An emotional sip of tea*" in this section. Like any cinematic production, we began with an objective: to depict an emotional young man drinking his tea. To achieve this, we broke the scene into three parts: (I) introducing the man in an emotional setting, (II) introducing the cup of tea, and (III) drinking the tea. These shots serve to illustrate various cinematographic needs, allowing us to exhibit three NVS models, highlighting their advantages and drawbacks. Our plan, process and results are provided in Figures 5, 6 and 7, respectively.

Data acquisition was conducted using SVC with a mobile phone¹. Part I involves a static 360-degree scene, which presents minimal challenges when using SVC. Complexity increases in Part II and III with dynamic scenes so we opt for FF shots to capture denser information with SVC. We subsequently derived the following shot schedule, also shown in Figure 5.

- (1) Wide Shot + Pan (right) \rightarrow Cut to Part II
- (2) Mid Shot + Pan (left) \rightarrow Cut to Part III
- (3) Close-up + Pan (right) + Zoom \rightarrow End

4.1 Part I

We selected the Nerfacto model provided by Nerfstudio [Tancik et al. 2023] as it handles casually captured static scenes well. The Nerfstudio interactive viewer also provides numerous NVS tools, which we used to smooth the camera path and prolong the duration of Part I. The results show mostly show high-quality view-consistent details though there are some noisy results in regions that are sparsely viewed.

¹A Samsung S23 was used

Plan: An Emotional Sip of Tea



Figure 5: Production plan: Our plan uses a storyboard for the actor and post-production staff to follow. We attached the scene constraints and visual plans for the camera man to collect each dataset

4.2 Part II

We selected 4D-GS [Wu et al. 2023] as the scene is indoor (bounded) and captured with a FF formation. We chose this over NeRF alternatives as we were able to produce an initial point cloud using COLMAP. The results show the 4D-GS model is capable of handling view and time dependent lighting, e.g. on the actor's forearm. However, we also notice temporal jitter (more apparent in playback), which results from camera calibration errors from COLMAP.

4.3 Part III

We selected the SC-GS model as it has associated tools for pregenerating dynamic masks using MiVOS [Cheng et al. 2021] and point clouds using COLMAP. Additionally, to mitigate the temporal jitter effects found in Part II, we utilized the SC-GS point-based editing tool to smooth the motions of various dynamic regions. The results show significantly less jitter and produce good results in sparse view regions, e.g. the left-side of the actor's face is only visible in 15% of training dataset but still produces decent visual results. As the 4D-GS and SC-GS implementations do not contain NVS tools for generating and smoothing camera trajectories, we implemented our own method for rendering novel shots using linear interpolation (LERP) for interpolating between view position and spherical LERP (SLERP) for interpolating view rotation.

5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Our showcase demonstrates impressive results for a casually captured dataset that consists of < 900 images. For instance, the right arm contracting in Part II, Figure 7, demonstrates the ability to model non-rigid deformations. The quality of the background in our dynamic scenes is also high-quality and contains various viewdependent lighting effects despite the limited number of views. Both factors would not have been possible with classical photogrammetric (e.g. mesh-based) tools. While there remain several challenges, such as pose-misalignment for calibrating dynamic datasets or inferior quality for large and/or fast motions, we are confident that NVS dynamic solutions offer significant potential for enhancing cinematographic applications.



Figure 6: Production process: Camera calibration, model selection, synthesizing additional inputs and generating novel shot trajectories. *Motion Sep.*: we also show the results of separating dynamic (in red) and static (in blue) motion using the dynamic mask.

REFERENCES

- Benjamin Attal, Jia-Bin Huang, Christian Richardt, Michael Zollhoefer, Johannes Kopf, Matthew O'Toole, and Changil Kim. 2023. HyperReel: High-fidelity 6-DoF video with ray-conditioned sampling. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. IEEE, 16610–16620. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2023/html/Attal_HyperReel_High-Fidelity_6-DoF_Video_With_Ray-Conditioned_Sampling_CVPR_2023_paper. html
- Jeongmin Bae, Seoha Kim, Youngsik Yun, Hahyun Lee, Gun Bang, and Youngjung Uh. 2024. Per-Gaussian Embedding-Based Deformation for Deformable 3D Gaussian Splatting. arXiv:2404.03613 (April 2024). http://arxiv.org/abs/2404.03613 arXiv:2404.03613 [cs].
- Chong Bao, Yinda Zhang, Bangbang Yang, Tianxing Fan, Zesong Yang, Hujun Bao, Guofeng Zhang, and Zhaopeng Cui. 2023. Sine: Semantic-driven image-based nerf editing with prior-guided editing field. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. IEEE, 20919–20929.

http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2023/html/Bao_SINE_Semantic-Driven_Image-Based_NeRF_Editing_With_Prior-Guided_Editing_Field_CVPR_2023_paper.html

- Ang Cao and Justin Johnson. 2023. Hexplane: A fast representation for dynamic scenes. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. IEEE, 130–141. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2023/html/Cao_ HexPlane_A_Fast_Representation_for_Dynamic_Scenes_CVPR_2023_paper.html
- X. J. Chao and H. Leung. 2022. MP-NeRF: Neural Radiance Fields for Dynamic Multiperson synthesis from Sparse Views. Computer Graphics Forum 41, 8 (Dec. 2022), 317–325. https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.14646
- Jun-Kun Chen, Jipeng Lyu, and Yu-Xiong Wang. 2023. Neuraleditor: Editing neural radiance fields via manipulating point clouds. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. IEEE, 12439–12448. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2023/html/Chen_NeuralEditor_ Editing_Neural_Radiance_Fields_via_Manipulating_Point_Clouds_CVPR_2023_ paper.html

Results: An Emotional Sip of Tea



Part II



Temporal jitter (A-C)

View Dependent Reflections Temporal Deformation (A-B) View & Time Dependent Lighting

С

Part III



Figure 7: Results: We generated shots and cut together renders from Part I-III to produce an short filmic masterpiece. Circles with different colors show achievement of rendering: fine details (in green), temporal deformations (in purple), reflections (in cyan) and view and time-dependent lighting (in blue). Some areas need more refinement: sparse view errors (in orange), and temporal jitter (in red)

- Ho Kei Cheng, Yu-Wing Tai, and Chi-Keung Tang. 2021. Modular Interactive Video Object Segmentation: Interaction-to-Mask, Propagation and Difference-Aware Fusion. In 2021 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). IEEE, Nashville, TN, USA, 5555–5564. https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR46437.2021. 00551
- Bardienus P. Duisterhof, Zhao Mandi, Yunchao Yao, Jia-Wei Liu, Mike Zheng Shou, Shuran Song, and Jeffrey Ichnowski. 2023. MD-Splatting: Learning Metric Deformation from 4D Gaussians in Highly Deformable Scenes. arXiv:2312.00583 (Nov. 2023). http://arxiv.org/abs/2312.00583 arXiv:2312.00583 [cs].
- Zhiwen Fan, Wenyan Cong, Kairun Wen, Kevin Wang, Jian Zhang, Xinghao Ding, Danfei Xu, Boris Ivanovic, Marco Pavone, Georgios Pavlakos, Zhangyang Wang, and Yue Wang. 2024. InstantSplat: Unbounded Sparse-view Pose-free Gaussian Splatting in 40 Seconds. arXiv:2403.20309 (March 2024). http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.20309 arXiv:2403.20309 [cs].
- Jiemin Fang, Taoran Yi, Xinggang Wang, Lingxi Xie, Xiaopeng Zhang, Wenyu Liu, Matthias Nießner, and Qi Tian. 2022. Fast Dynamic Radiance Fields with Time-Aware Neural Voxels. In SIGGRAPH Asia 2022 Conference Papers. ACM, Daegu Republic of Korea, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1145/3550469.3555383
- Yalda Foroutan, Daniel Rebain, Kwang Moo Yi, and Andrea Tagliasacchi. 2024. Evaluating Alternatives to SFM Point Cloud Initialization for Gaussian Splatting.

arXiv:2404.12547 (May 2024). http://arxiv.org/abs/2404.12547 arXiv:2404.12547 [cs].

- Ster-Fridovich-Keil, Giacomo Meanti, Frederik Rahbæk Warburg, Benjamin Recht, and Angjoo Kanazawa. 2023. K-planes: Explicit radiance fields in space, time, and appearance. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 12479–12488. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2023/html/Fridovich-Keil_K-Planes_Explicit_Radiance_Fields_in_Space_Time_and_Appearance_CVPR_2023_ paper.html
- Wanshui Gan, Hongbin Xu, Yi Huang, Shifeng Chen, and Naoto Yokoya. 2023. V4d: Voxel for 4d novel view synthesis. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* (2023). https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/10239492/
- Qingzhe Gao, Yiming Wang, Libin Liu, Lingjie Liu, Christian Theobalt, and Baoquan Chen. 2023. Neural novel actor: Learning a generalized animatable neural representation for human actors. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* (2023). https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/10221769/?casa_token=wt83-61CWUQAAAAA:S7gu7s7bf7G6Us9h-WR1hpFHOk4F4D2etg36gM4v8N2eVs_ deBHHAX4vG2UOnM8nAveFFlorfU
- Xiangjun Gao, Jiaolong Yang, Jongyoo Kim, Sida Peng, Zicheng Liu, and Xin Tong. 2022. Mps-nerf: Generalizable 3d human rendering from

multiview images. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* (2022). https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/ 9888037/?casa_token=xyhONu2fpTwAAAAA:EP6BKsul4QV3qWn5B0N4gzxSyAPKcCF0birMCg1EiTxkeUXddQOYTFyxFaD9EofkhtRu_xFNJQ

- Jiaming Gu, Minchao Jiang, Hongsheng Li, Xiaoyuan Lu, Guangming Zhu, Syed Afaq Ali Shah, Liang Zhang, and Mohammed Bennamoun. 2024. Ue4-nerf: Neural radiance field for real-time rendering of large-scale scene. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36 (2024). https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/ paper/2023/hash/b94d8b035e2183e47afef9e2f299ba47-Abstract-Conference.html
- Tong He, Yuanlu Xu, Shunsuke Saito, Stefano Soatto, and Tony Tung. 2021. Arch++: Animation-ready clothed human reconstruction revisited. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision. 1046–11056. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/ICCV2021/html/He_ARCH_Animation-Ready_Clothed_Human_Reconstruction_Revisited_ICCV_2021_paper.html
- Yisheng He, Weihao Yuan, Siyu Zhu, Zilong Dong, Liefeng Bo, and Qixing Huang. 2024. Freditor: High-Fidelity and Transferable NeRF Editing by Frequency Decomposition. arXiv:2404.02514 (April 2024). http://arxiv.org/abs/2404.02514 arXiv:2404.02514 [cs].
- Yi-Hua Huang, Yang-Tian Sun, Ziyi Yang, Xiaoyang Lyu, Yan-Pei Cao, and Xiaojuan Qi. 2024. SC-GS: Sparse-Controlled Gaussian Splatting for Editable Dynamic Scenes. arXiv:2312.14937 (March 2024). http://arxiv.org/abs/2312.14937 arXiv:2312.14937 [cs].
- Zeng Huang, Yuanlu Xu, Christoph Lassner, Hao Li, and Tony Tung. 2020. Arch: Animatable reconstruction of clothed humans. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.* 3093–3102. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content_CVPR_2020/html/Huang_ARCH_ Animatable_Reconstruction_of_Clothed_Humans_CVPR_2020_paper.html
- Bernhard Kerbl, Georgios Kopanas, Thomas Leimkühler, and George Drettakis. 2023. 3d gaussian splatting for real-time radiance field rendering. ACM Transactions on Graphics 42, 4 (2023), 1–14.
- Min-Jung Kim, Gyojung Gu, and Jaegul Choo. 2024. LensNeRF: Rethinking Volume Rendering Based on Thin-Lens Camera Model. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision. 3182-3191. https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/WACV2024/ html/Kim_LensNeRF_Rethinking_Volume_Rendering_Based_on_Thin-Lens_Camera_Model_WACV_2024_paper.html
- Muhammed Kocabas, Jen-Hao Rick Chang, James Gabriel, Oncel Tuzel, and Anurag Ranjan. 2023. HUGS: Human Gaussian Splats. arXiv:2311.17910 (Nov. 2023). http://arxiv.org/abs/2311.17910 arXiv:2311.17910 [cs].
- Zhengfei Kuang, Fujun Luan, Sai Bi, Zhixin Shu, Gordon Wetzstein, and Kalyan Sunkavalli. 2023. Palettenerf: Palette-based appearance editing of neural radiance fields. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 20691-20700. http: //openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2023/html/Kuang_PaletteNeRF_Palette-Based_Appearance_Editing_of_Neural_Radiance_Fields_CVPR_2023_paper.html
- Andreas Kurz, Thomas Neff, Zhaoyang Lv, Michael Zollhöfer, and Markus Steinberger. 2022. AdaNeRF: Adaptive Sampling for Real-Time Rendering of Neural Radiance Fields. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 13677. Springer Nature Switzerland, Cham, 254–270. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-19790-1_16
- Youngjoong Kwon, Dahun Kim, Duygu Ceylan, and Henry Fuchs. 2021. Neural human performer: Learning generalizable radiance fields for human performance rendering. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 34 (2021), 24741–24752.
- Sacha Lewin, Maxime Vandegar, Thomas Hoyoux, Olivier Barnich, and Gilles Louppe. 2023. Dynamic NeRFs for Soccer Scenes. In Proceedings of the 6th International Workshop on Multimedia Content Analysis in Sports (MMSports '23). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 113–121. https://doi.org/10.1145/ 3606038.3616158
- Ruilong Li, Julian Tanke, Minh Vo, Michael Zollhöfer, Jürgen Gall, Angjoo Kanazawa, and Christoph Lassner. 2022b. TAVA: Template-free Animatable Volumetric Actors. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 13692. Springer Nature Switzerland, Cham, 419–436. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-19824-3_25
- Tianye Li, Mira Slavcheva, Michael Zollhoefer, Simon Green, Christoph Lassner, Changil Kim, Tanner Schmidt, Steven Lovegrove, Michael Goesele, and Richard Newcombe. 2022a. Neural 3d video synthesis from multi-view video. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 5521–5531. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2022/html/Li_Neural_3D_ Video_Synthesis_From_Multi-View_Video_CVPR_2022_paper.html
- Matthew Loper, Naureen Mahmood, Javier Romero, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Michael J. Black. 2023. SMPL: A Skinned Multi-Person Linear Model (1 ed.). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 851–866. https://doi.org/10.1145/3596711.3596800
- Jonathon Luiten, Georgios Kopanas, Bastian Leibe, and Deva Ramanan. 2023. Dynamic 3D Gaussians: Tracking by Persistent Dynamic View Synthesis. arXiv:2308.09713 (Aug. 2023). http://arxiv.org/abs/2308.09713 arXiv:2308.09713 [cs].
- Ben Mildenhall, Pratul P. Srinivasan, Matthew Tancik, Jonathan T. Barron, Ravi Ramamoorthi, and Ren Ng. 2021. NeRF: representing scenes as neural radiance fields for view synthesis. *Commun. ACM* 65, 1 (dec 2021), 99–106. https: //doi.org/10.1145/3503250

- Arthur Moreau, Nathan Piasco, Dzmitry Tsishkou, Bogdan Stanciulescu, and Arnaud de La Fortelle. 2022. Lens: Localization enhanced by nerf synthesis. In *Conference on Robot Learning*. PMLR, 1347–1356. https://proceedings.mlr.press/v164/moreau22a. html
- Albert Pumarola, Enric Corona, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Francesc Moreno-Noguer. 2021. D-nerf: Neural radiance fields for dynamic scenes. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 10318–10327. https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2021/html/Pumarola_D-NeRF_Neural_Radiance_Fields_for_Dynamic_Scenes_CVPR_2021_paper. html?ref=labelbox.ghost.io
- Zhiyin Qian, Shaofei Wang, Marko Mihajlovic, Andreas Geiger, and Siyu Tang. 2024. 3DGS-Avatar: Animatable Avatars via Deformable 3D Gaussian Splatting. arXiv:2312.09228 (April 2024). http://arxiv.org/abs/2312.09228 arXiv:2312.09228 [cs].
- Johannes L. Schonberger and Jan-Michael Frahm. 2016. Structure-from-motion revisited. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 4104–4113. https://www.cv-foundation.org/openaccess/content_cvpr_2016/html/ Schonberger_Structure-From-Motion_Revisited_CVPR_2016_paper.html
- Johannes L. Schönberger, Enliang Zheng, Jan-Michael Frahm, and Marc Pollefeys. 2016. Pixelwise View Selection for Unstructured Multi-View Stereo. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 9907. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 501–518. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46487-9_31
- Ruizhi Shao, Zerong Zheng, Hanzhang Tu, Boning Liu, Hongwen Zhang, and Yebin Liu. 2023. Tensor4d: Efficient neural 4d decomposition for high-fidelity dynamic reconstruction and rendering. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 16632–16642. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/ content/CVPR2023/html/Shao_Tensor4D_Efficient_Neural_4D_Decomposition_ for High-Fidelity Dynamic Reconstruction and CVPR 2023 paper.html
- Evangelos Skartados, Mehmet Kerim Yucel, Bruno Manganelli, Anastasios Drosou, and Albert Saà-Garriga. 2024. Finding Waldo: Towards Efficient Exploration of NeRF Scene Spaces. In Proceedings of the 15th ACM Multimedia Systems Conference (MMSys '24). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 155–165. https://doi.org/10.1145/3625468.3647620
- Hyeonseop Song, Seokhun Choi, Hoseok Do, Chul Lee, and Taehyeong Kim. 2023b. Blending-NeRF: Text-Driven Localized Editing in Neural Radiance Fields. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 14383–14393. http: //openaccess.thecvf.com/content/ICCV2023/html/Song_Blending-NeRF_Text-Driven_Localized_Editing_in_Neural_Radiance_Fields_ICCV_2023_paper.html
- Liangchen Song, Anpei Chen, Zhong Li, Zhang Chen, Lele Chen, Junsong Yuan, Yi Xu, and Andreas Geiger. 2023a. Nerfplayer: A streamable dynamic scene representation with decomposed neural radiance fields. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 29, 5 (2023), 2732–2742.
- Shih-Yang Su, Frank Yu, Michael Zollhoefer, and Helge Rhodin. 2021. A-NeRF: Articulated Neural Radiance Fields for Learning Human Shape, Appearance, and Pose. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, Vol. 34. Curran Associates, Inc., 12278–12291. https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2021/hash/ 65fc9fb4897a89789352e211ca2d398f-Abstract.html
- Jiakai Sun, Han Jiao, Guangyuan Li, Zhanjie Zhang, Lei Zhao, and Wei Xing. 2024. 3DGStream: On-the-Fly Training of 3D Gaussians for Efficient Streaming of Photo-Realistic Free-Viewpoint Videos. arXiv:2403.01444 (March 2024). http://arxiv.org/ abs/2403.01444 arXiv:2403.01444 [cs].
- Jingxiang Sun, Xuan Wang, Yong Zhang, Xiaoyu Li, Qi Zhang, Yebin Liu, and Jue Wang. 2022. Fenerf: Face editing in neural radiance fields. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 7672–7682. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2022/html/Sun_FENeRF_ Face_Editing_in_Neural_Radiance_Fields_CVPR_2022_paper.html
- David Svitov, Pietro Morerio, Lourdes Agapito, and Alessio Del Bue. 2024. HAHA: Highly Articulated Gaussian Human Avatars with Textured Mesh Prior. arXiv:2404.01053 (April 2024). http://arxiv.org/abs/2404.01053 arXiv:2404.01053 [cs].
- Matthew Tancik, Ethan Weber, Evonne Ng, Ruilong Li, Brent Yi, Justin Kerr, Terrance Wang, Alexander Kristoffersen, Jake Austin, Kamyar Salahi, Abhik Ahuja, David McAllister, and Angjoo Kanazawa. 2023. Nerfstudio: A Modular Framework for Neural Radiance Field Development. In ACM SIGGRAPH 2023 Conference Proceedings (SIGGRAPH '23).
- Can Wang, Menglei Chai, Mingming He, Dongdong Chen, and Jing Liao. 2022. Clip-nerf: Text-and-image driven manipulation of neural radiance fields. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 3835–3844. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2022/html/Wang_CLIP-NeRF_Text-and-Image_Driven_Manipulation_of_Neural_Radiance_Fields_ CVPR 2022 paper.html
- Hongsheng Wang, Weiyue Zhang, Sihao Liu, Xinrui Zhou, Shengyu Zhang, Fei Wu, and Feng Lin. 2024. Gaussian Control with Hierarchical Semantic Graphs in 3D Human Recovery. arXiv:2405.12477 (May 2024). http://arxiv.org/abs/2405.12477 arXiv:2405.12477 [cs].
- Liao Wang, Qiang Hu, Qihan He, Ziyu Wang, Jingyi Yu, Tinne Tuytelaars, Lan Xu, and Minye Wu. 2023b. Neural residual radiance fields for streamably free-viewpoint videos. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer

Vision and Pattern Recognition. 76–87. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/ CVPR2023/html/Wang_Neural_Residual_Radiance_Fields_for_Streamably_Free-Viewpoint_Videos_CVPR_2023_paper.html

- Peng Wang, Lingzhe Zhao, Ruijie Ma, and Peidong Liu. 2023d. Bad-nerf: Bundle adjusted deblur neural radiance fields. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 4170–4179. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2023/html/Wang_BAD-NeRF_ Bundle_Adjusted_Deblur_Neural_Radiance_Fields_CVPR_2023_paper.html
- Shuzhe Wang, Vincent Leroy, Yohann Cabon, Boris Chidlovskii, and Jerome Revaud. 2023c. DUSt3R: Geometric 3D Vision Made Easy. arXiv:2312.14132 (Dec. 2023). http://arxiv.org/abs/2312.14132 arXiv:2312.14132 [cs].
- Xi Wang, Robin Courant, Jinglei Shi, Eric Marchand, and Marc Christie. 2023a. JAWS: just a wild shot for cinematic transfer in neural radiance fields. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 16933–16942. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2023/html/Wang_JAWS_Just_a_ Wild_Shot_for_Cinematic_Transfer_in_Neural_CVPR_2023_paper.html
- Guanjun Wu, Taoran Yi, Jiemin Fang, Lingxi Xie, Xiaopeng Zhang, Wei Wei, Wenyu Liu, Qi Tian, and Xinggang Wang. 2023. 4D Gaussian Splatting for Real-Time Dynamic Scene Rendering. arXiv:2310.08528 (Dec. 2023). http://arxiv.org/abs/2310.08528 arXiv:2310.08528 [cs].
- Wenqi Xian, Aljaž Božič, Noah Snavely, and Christoph Lassner. 2023. Neural Lens Modeling. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 8435–8445. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2023/html/ Xian_Neural_Lens_Modeling_CVPR_2023_paper.html
- Hongyi Xu, Thiemo Alldieck, and Cristian Sminchisescu. 2021. H-NeRF: Neural Radiance Fields for Rendering and Temporal Reconstruction of Humans in Motion. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, Vol. 34. Curran Associates, Inc., 14955–14966. https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2021/hash/ 7d62a275027741d98073d42b8f735c68-Abstract.html
- Zhen Xu, Sida Peng, Haotong Lin, Guangzhao He, Jiaming Sun, Yujun Shen, Hujun Bao, and Xiaowei Zhou. 2023. 4K4D: Real-Time 4D View Synthesis at 4K Resolution. arXiv:2310.11448 (Oct. 2023). http://arxiv.org/abs/2310.11448 arXiv:2310.11448 [cs].
- Gengshan Yang, Deqing Sun, Varun Jampani, Daniel Vlasic, Forrester Cole, Huiwen Chang, Deva Ramanan, William T. Freeman, and Ce Liu. 2021. Lasr: Learning articulated shape reconstruction from a monocular video. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 15980–15989. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2021/html/Yang_LASR_Learning_ Articulated_Shape_Reconstruction_From_a_Monocular_Video_CVPR_2021_ paper.html
- Gengshan Yang, Deqing Sun, Varun Jampani, Daniel Vlasic, Ce Liu, Deva Ramanan, and Forrester Cole. [n. d.]. ViSER: Video-Specific Surface Embeddings for Articulated 3D Shape Reconstruction. ([n. d.]).
- Gengshan Yang, Minh Vo, Natalia Neverova, Deva Ramanan, Andrea Vedaldi, and Hanbyul Joo. 2022. Banmo: Building animatable 3d neural models from many casual videos. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2863–2873. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2022/ html/Yang_BANMo_Building_Animatable_3D_Neural_Models_From_Many_ Casual_Videos_CVPR_2022_paper.html
- Gengshan Yang, Chaoyang Wang, N. Dinesh Reddy, and Deva Ramanan. 2023b. Reconstructing animatable categories from videos. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 16995–17005. http://openaccess.thevf.com/content/CVPR2023/html/Yang_ Reconstructing_Animatable_Categories_From_Videos_CVPR_2023_paper.html
- Ziyi Yang, Xinyu Gao, Wen Zhou, Shaohui Jiao, Yuqing Zhang, and Xiaogang Jin. 2023a. Deformable 3D Gaussians for High-Fidelity Monocular Dynamic Scene Reconstruction. arXiv:2309.13101 (Nov. 2023). http://arxiv.org/abs/2309.13101 arXiv:2309.13101 [cs].
- Zeyu Yang, Hongye Yang, Zijie Pan, and Li Zhang. 2024. Real-time Photorealistic Dynamic Scene Representation and Rendering with 4D Gaussian Splatting. arXiv:2310.10642 (Feb. 2024). http://arxiv.org/abs/2310.10642 arXiv:2310.10642 [cs].
- Alex Yu, Ruilong Li, Matthew Tancik, Hao Li, Ren Ng, and Angjoo Kanazawa. 2021. Plenoctrees for real-time rendering of neural radiance fields. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 5752–5761. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/ICCV2021/html/Yu_PlenOctrees_for_Real-Time_Rendering_of_Neural_Radiance_Fields_ICCV_2021_paper.html
- Heng Yu, Joel Julin, Zoltán Á Milacski, Koichiro Niinuma, and László A. Jeni. 2024. CoGS: Controllable Gaussian Splatting. arXiv:2312.05664 (April 2024). https: //doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.05664 arXiv:2312.05664 [cs].
- Yu-Jie Yuan, Yang-Tian Sun, Yu-Kun Lai, Yuewen Ma, Rongfei Jia, and Lin Gao. 2022. Nerf-editing: geometry editing of neural radiance fields. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 18353–18364. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2022/html/Yuan_NeRF-Editing_Geometry_Editing_of_Neural_Radiance_Fields_CVPR_2022_paper.html
- Zerong Zheng, Tao Yu, Yixuan Wei, Qionghai Dai, and Yebin Liu. 2019. Deephuman: 3d human reconstruction from a single image. In *Proceedings*

- of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 7739–7749. http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content_ICCV_2019/html/Zheng_DeepHuman_ 3D_Human_Reconstruction_From_a_Single_Image_ICCV_2019_paper.html
- Wojciech Zielonka, Timur Bagautdinov, Shunsuke Saito, Michael Zollhöfer, Justus Thies, and Javier Romero. 2023. Drivable 3D Gaussian Avatars. arXiv:2311.08581 (Nov. 2023). http://arxiv.org/abs/2311.08581 arXiv:2311.08581 [cs].