Spaces of initial conditions for quartic Hamiltonian systems of Painlevé and quasi-Painlevé type

Marta Dell'Atti and Thomas Kecker

December 2024

Abstract

The geometric approach for Painlevé and quasi-Painlevé differential equations in the complex plane is applied to non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems, quartic in the dependent variables. By computing their defining manifolds (analogue of the Okamoto's space of initial conditions in the quasi-Painlevé case), we provide a classification of such systems. We distinguish the various cases by the possible leading-order behaviour at the movable singularities of the solutions, which are algebraic poles or ordinary poles. The principal cases are categorised by the initial base points of the system in the extended phase space \mathbb{CP}^2 and their multiplicities, arising from the coalescence of 4 simple base points in the generic case. Through a successive degeneration (by setting certain coefficient functions in the Hamiltonian to 0) and further coalescence of base points, all possible sub-cases of quartic Hamiltonian systems with the quasi-Painlevé property are obtained, and are characterised by their corresponding Newton polygons. As particular subcases we recover certain systems equivalent to known Painlevé equations, or variants thereof.

 $\mathbf{2}$

Contents

Introduction

1	Haı	miltonian systems of (quasi-)Painlevé type	5	
	1.1	Painlevé Hamiltonian systems	5	
	1.2	Equations with movable algebraic poles	6	
2	Defining manifold			
	2.1	Cascades of blow-ups	$\overline{7}$	
	2.2	Intermediate changes of coordinates	9	
3	General outline of the procedure			
	3.1	Degeneration and coalescence of base points	10	
	3.2	Newton polygons	11	
	3.3	Regularisation through cascades of blow-ups	12	
4	Brief review of cubic Hamiltonian systems			
	4.1	Case C1: 3 simple points (P_{IV})	15	
	4.2	Case C2: 1 double point, 1 simple point (P_{II})	16	
	4.3	Case C3: 1 triple point (P_I)	16	

5	Qua	artic Hamiltonian systems	17		
	5.1	Case Q1: 4 simple points	18		
	5.2	Case Q2: 1 double point, 2 simple points	21		
		5.2.1 Degeneration $Q2 \rightarrow Q2.a1$ and coalescence $Q2.a1 \rightarrow Q2.a2$	24		
	5.3	Case Q3: 2 double points	25		
		5.3.1 Degeneration Q3 \rightarrow Q3.a1 and coalescence Q3.a1 \rightarrow Q3.a2	26		
		5.3.2 Degeneration Q3.a1 \rightarrow Q3.b1 (P _V) and coalescences Q3.b1 \rightarrow Q3.b2 (P _{III}) \rightarrow Q3.b3 (P _{III})	28		
	5.4	Case Q4: 1 triple point, 1 simple point	30		
		5.4.1 Degeneration Q4 \rightarrow Q4.a1 (quasi-P _{IV}) and coalescence Q4.a1 \rightarrow Q4.a2 (quasi-P _{II})	32		
	5.5	Case Q5: 1 quadruple point	33		
		5.5.1 Degeneration $Q5 \rightarrow Q5.a1$	34		
		5.5.2 Degeneration coalescence Q5.a1 $\rightarrow \rightarrow$ Q5.b2 (P _{II}) and coalescence Q5.b2 \rightarrow Q5.b3 (P _I)	35		
Sť	Summary				
Α	A Scheme with Newton polygons				
в	B Blow-ups for the general case Q5				

Introduction

Ordinary differential equations of quasi-Painlevé type form an emerging field of study, generalising the Painlevé property for classes of complex differential equations in a natural way. While the Painlevé equations have been studied extensively in the literature under various aspects, such as their complex analytic properties (see e.g. the book [1]), their role in integrable systems (see e.g. chapter 7 in [2]) and their relation to isomonodromic deformations (see e.g. [3]), to name just a few, quasi-Painlevé equations are still relatively new and many of their properties remain to be explored. Recent work in the area of quasi-Painlevé equations has been published by Filipuk and Stokes [4], as well as the authors of the present article [5]. While the Painlevé property demands of an equation that all movable singularities of all solutions are poles in the complex plane, the quasi-Painlevé property relaxes this by allowing also for movable algebraic singularities to occur in the solutions. Roughly speaking, movable singularities are singular points of a solution whose position depend in a parametric way on the initial conditions for the equation, whereas fixed singularities are those that can be read off from the equation itself (e.g. where some coefficient of the equation is itself singular). While movable singularities do not occur in the solutions of linear differential equations, when going to nonlinear equations, movable singularities will occur in general, and generically a solution will have infinitely many movable singularities. Going to non-autonomous equations, the six Painlevé equations, or any equation that can be reduced to one of these equations under fractional linear transformations, form the class of equations for which the solutions still contain movable poles at worst, apart from fixed singularities, so their solutions are meromorphic functions either on $\mathbb C$ or a covering surface thereof. When going to equations of quasi-Painlevé type, we allow for branching to occur at the movable singularities of the solutions. However, many similarities with the Painlevé equations remain which justify the importance of these equations to be studied in their own right.

Nonlinear, non-autonomous equations of quasi-Painlevé type are studied starting with the articles by Shimomura [6, 7], Filipuk and Halburd [8, 9], and one of the authors [10, 11]. This property expresses the idea any solution can be continued in the plane so that the only movable singularities that can arise (by analytic continuation along finite-length paths) are algebraic poles, i.e. they exhibit only finite branching locally. In particular, logarithmic terms $\log(z - z_*)$ are prohibited in the expansion of any solution. Globally, however, the branching is very complicated and solutions would in general extend over a Riemann surface with infinitely many sheets.

Besides other important properties of the six Painlevé equations, Okamoto [12] introduced the notion of the space of initial conditions for each of these equations. This is an extended phase space for these equations, obtained by a 8-point blow-up of a compact rational surface, for which, after removing certain vertical leaves, the solutions provide a uniform foliation. The configuration of the exceptional curves obtained in this blow-up process give rise to an algebro-geometric theory of these equations. These concepts form the basis of seminal work by Sakai [13], where differential and discrete Painlevé equations are classified according to their surface type and symmetry type.

In recent work by Filipuk and one of the authors [14], the notion of the space of initial conditions is extended to equations of quasi-Painlevé type. Here, it is shown that for certain classes of equations one obtains a regular initial value problem after a finite number of blow-ups, if in addition one changes the role of dependent variables in the system obtained after the last blow-up. However, in the quasi-Painlevé case, the space constructed in this way does no longer satisfy the definition of a space of initial conditions in the strict sense, as due to the branching in the solutions the fibration obtained here is not uniform. In the quasi-Painlevé case we therefore refer to the notion of *defining manifold* for the fibres of the space constructed in the blow-up process.

The Painlevé identification problem is the question to determine, for a given equation with the Painlevé property, to which type of Painlevé equation it belongs (i.e. to which of the equations it is equivalent under bi-rational transformations). The geometric approach to the Painlevé equations initiated by Okamoto and Sakai allows exactly this: by constructing the space of initial conditions for a given equation with the Painlevé property, one can compare it to the space of initial conditions for the six Painlevé equations in standard form. In particular, by finding a one-to-one correspondence between the irreducible components of the inaccessible divisor arising from the blow-up process, one can obtain an explicit change of coordinates to an suitable Painlevé equation. See also the review article [15] and the more recent articles [16] on this topic.

In [5] the authors of the present article show that the geometric approach can be extended, at least to certain extent, to classes of Hamiltonian systems for equations with the quasi-Painlevé property. This includes e.g. quasi-Painlevé equations analogous to P_{II} and P_{IV} . Here, the process of computing the defining manifold for the system (analog to constructing the space of initial conditions in the Painlevé case) allows one firstly to find, within a given class of Hamiltonian systems, the conditions on the coefficients under which these have the quasi-Painlevé property, and secondly, to identify the given system with other known systems or equations with the same singularity signature. This last step would allows us, like in the Painlevé case, to find explicit bi-rational changes of variables to a known equation with the quasi-Painlevé property. It is an important question whether such identification is always possible. Although this is possible for the cases presented in [5], in this article we will also come across (a 1-parameter family of) Hamiltonian systems with isomorphic defining manifolds which are, however, inequivalent under bi-rational transformations.

The aim of the present article is to give a more systematic classification of Hamiltonian systems of quasi-Painlevé type. By reducing each arising case to a system in a certain standard form we either identify it with a known (quasi-)Painlevé system by its singularity signature, or otherwise expose it as a new system. We perform the analysis in this article for non-autonomous, polynomial Hamiltonians in two dependent variables up to degree 4. Starting from a general quartic, this is done by a successive degeneration of the Hamiltonian followed by subsequent coalescences of base points. Through these two processes, the blow-up cascades can either split into several branches (by degeneration) or be merged (by coalescence). In this way, we re-discover various known systems of Painlevé and quasi-Painlevé type or variants thereof, but also find various systems with new kinds of singularity structure. In the case of the general quartic Hamiltonian it also becomes clear that for quasi-Painlevé systems in general, the configuration of the inaccessible divisors in the space of initial conditions alone may not always uniquely define the system, as there may be free parameters remaining in the system, as we will see. This will become even more evident if we go to higher degrees in the Hamiltonian.



Figure 1: Scheme for the cubic and quartic polynomial Hamiltonians analysed.

Outline of this article

We start by introducing differential equations and Hamiltonian systems of quasi-Painlevé type in section 1 and give an overview of their origin. Following on from this, we review the notion of Okamoto's space of initial conditions for Painlevé equations and the extension of this concept to quasi-Painlevé equations in section 2. Here, we also place emphasis on the construction of a global symplectic atlas for the space of initial conditions of a given (quasi-)Painlevé equation.

In section 4, we outline our classification procedure by starting with a review of non-autonomous cubic Hamiltonians. All the systems obtained here are related to the known Painlevé equations (in particular P_I , P_{II} and P_{IV}). The subsequent section 5 is the main body of this article where we perform a systematic classification of quartic Hamiltonian systems in two dependent variables with the quasi-Painlevé property, H = H(x, y; z), with coefficient functions analytic in z in some common domain $U \in \mathbb{C}$.

Starting from a general quartic polynomial, through a process of degeneration of the Hamiltonian and subsequent coalescence of base points, we arrive at various sub-cases of systems with different types of movable poles in their solutions. Under linear equivalence (using all freedom of scaling and shifting the dependent variables) we write down a standardised Hamiltonian in each case, characterised by their Newton polygons. For each of the main cases, we perform the necessary blow-ups of the system to construct the defining manifold (analogue of the space of initial condition in the quasi-Painlevé case) and find the conditions on the coefficient functions for the system to be of quasi-Painlevé type. The defining manifold is the space obtained by successive blow-ups of \mathbb{CP}^2 on which the system is regularised, with the inaccessible divisors of the intermediate blow-ups removed. We draw the diagrams depicting the configuration of the irreducible components of the inaccessible divisor obtained in the blow-up process. We also draw a Dynkin-like diagram representing the (self-)intersection numbers of these components. We do not explicitly perform the cascades of blow-ups in all the sub-cases, but

only draw their corresponding diagrams here.

From the intersection diagrams for the system in each case, we find various relationships between these systems and formerly known Painlevé and quasi-Painlevé equations. While the general cases presented all have movable algebraic poles as singularities (quasi-Painlevé case), in some degenerate cases of the Hamiltonian we find systems that are related in particular to the modified Painlevé III and V, as well as Painlevé I, II and IV. In this way, the Painlevé equations are special cases of quasi-Painlevé equations where all algebraic poles are in fact ordinary poles. Mixed cases are also possible, where some singularities are poles and others are (genuine) algebraic poles. The analysis performed in this article gives rise to a scheme of systems of equations which, together with their different types of singularities, is depicted in figure 1.

We note that in all (strict) quasi-Painlevé cases presented here, i.e. where the solutions in general include algebraic poles, the intersection diagrams (in their reduced form) have exactly one irreducible component of self-intersection number -3, while the remaining have self-intersection -2. In the Painlevé case all curves have self-intersection -2 and give rise to (extended) Dynkin diagrams. While there are also more algebraic aspects in this picture, we do not (yet) focus on these in the present article.

1 Hamiltonian systems of (quasi-)Painlevé type

The six Painlevé equations are a by now well-established class of non-linear differential equations, giving rise to meromorphic solutions in the complex plane (for Painlevé's equations I, II and IV), or a covering surface of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ (Painlevé III and V) or $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ (Painlevé VI). These equations were originally found in the quest to find new transcendental functions defined as solutions of ordinary differential equations, discovered at the beginning of the 20th century by French mathematician P. Painlevé and his school [17], while the sixth equation in this list was first found by R. Fuchs [18] in connection with isomonodromic deformations.

1.1 Painlevé Hamiltonian systems

Each Painlevé equations can be expressed in the form of a non-autonomous Hamiltonian system,

$$y' = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}, \quad x' = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}, \quad H = H(x(z), y(z); z),$$
(1.1)

where H is a polynomial in x and y with certain rational coefficients in z. These Hamiltonian systems were already known early on by Malmquist [19], and later studied extensively by Okamoto [20, 21, 22, 23]. While the standard (Okamoto) Hamiltonians for the Painlevé equations are of degree 3, 4 and 5, these are not uniquely given, and various other Hamiltonians lead to the same (second-order) Painlevé equation, of the form

$$y'' = R(y(z), y'(z); z), \qquad (1.2)$$

where R(y, y'; z) is rational in its arguments. For example, the second Painlevé equation can be obtained from a Hamiltonian of either degree 3 or 4, while the fifth Painlevé equation is given by Hamiltonian systems of degrees 4 and 5. An important problem is to identify, given a Hamiltonian system with the Painlevé property, to which type of equation it belongs. This is the Painlevé identification problem, which can be addressed using the geometric approach to the Painlevé equations via their Okamoto's space of initial conditions [12], obtained by removing all inaccessible divisors from the extended phase space by successive blow-ups. This approach culminates in Sakai's classification [13] of discrete and differential Painlevé equations in terms of their surface type and symmetry type. In recent work [24], the geometric approach is applied to relate the various known Painlevé Hamiltonians to one another with respect to bi-rational, symplectic changes of variables.

The geometric approach is extended to certain equations of quasi-Painlevé type by the authors of the present

article [5]. There, we relate various generalisations of Painlevé equations to the quasi-Painlevé case and relate them through bi-rational changes of variables. The purpose of the present article is to give a more systematic classification of Hamiltonian systems of degree at most 4, leading to quasi-Painlevé equations, and as special cases we recover various Painlevé systems. Before we review the concept of Okamoto's space of initial conditions and the geometric approach we give a brief overview of the history of equations of quasi-Painlevé type.

1.2 Equations with movable algebraic poles

While Painlevé expressly excludes equations with movable critical points, such as algebraic or logarithmic branch points, or essential singularities, in his classification of second-order differential equations, here we are studying equations that do allow algebraic poles as singularities, but no others, leading to the notion of quasi-Painlevé equations. Nonlinear, non-autonomous equations with this property are first studied by Shimomura [6, 7], who gave rise to this notion. Namely, in generalisation of the Painlevé property of an equation, for which all movable singularities of all solutions have to be poles, he studies equations

$$y'' = y^{2k} + z \quad (k > 1), \qquad y'' = y^{2k+1} + zy + \alpha \quad (k > 2, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}),$$
 (1.3)

whose solutions have algebraic poles, where the solution is represented by Puiseux series

$$y(z) = \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} c_j (z - z_*)^{j/n},$$
(1.4)

with $j_0 = -2$, n = 3 for the first equation in (1.3) and $j_0 = -1$, n = 2 for the second equation. More specifically, Shimomura shows that all movable singularities that are are obtained by analytic continuation along finite-length curves are algebraic poles of this form, referred to by him the quasi-Painlevé property. The Painlevé property is the special case where all movable singularities are ordinary poles, which is the case if k = 1in the equations (1.3). While Shimomura's equations are examples with the quasi-Painlevé property, they do not capture some of the aspects of these equations, such as resonance conditions. Filipuk and Halburd [8] study the wider class of second-order equations with the quasi-Painlevé property of the form

$$y'' = P(y;z),$$
 (1.5)

where the right-hand side is a polynomial of degree N in y with coefficient functions analytic in z. Furthermore, one of the authors [11] studies more general polynomial Hamiltonian systems (1.1) with the quasi-Painlevé property, where

$$H = H(x(z), y(z); z) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij}(z) x^i y^j .$$
(1.6)

It turns out that the condition of not having logarithmic terms in the series expansions of the solutions at any movable singularity poses certain conditions on the coefficient functions $\alpha_{ij}(z)$. We here present two methods of obtaining these conditions:

Firstly, one can insert series expansions of the form

$$x(z) = \sum_{j=j_1}^{\infty} a_j (z - z_*)^{j/n}, \qquad y(z) = \sum_{j=j_2}^{\infty} b_j (z - z_*)^{j/n}, \tag{1.7}$$

into the equation, for integers j_1 , j_2 and n which describe the leading order of the solution at a singularity. There may be various different types of leading order behaviour for singularities, which are obtained by different terms in the system of equations balancing. However, it is not always easy to obtain all possible balances which can make this process cumbersome. For each leading order behaviour, one can then insert the full series expansions

into the equations and find a recurrence relation for the coefficients a_j , b_j .

When the recurrence breaks down (called a resonance), we find that a formal series solution exists if and only if the corresponding resonance conditions are satisfied. This method is essentially a generalisation of the Painlevé test to equations with solutions involving Puiseux series instead of Laurent series, and we denote it the quasi-Painlevé test. Note that for an equation to pass the (quasi-)Painlevé test is necessary, but in general not sufficient for an equation to have the (quasi-)Painlevé property, but in [8] and [11] the authors prove that for the equations in the class (1.5) and (1.6) the existence of a number of series expansions is also sufficient for the equation to have the quasi-Painlevé property. In this article, we will make use of a second, more geometric approach, namely by studying the equations via their Okamoto's spaces of initial conditions, or an analogous notion thereof in the case of quasi-Painlevé equations, the defining manifold. We note, however, that our aim is more complex analytic in nature, and we only use the geometric approach as a tool, which has the additional advantage that all possible leading order behaviours of the solutions at singularities are easily exposed.

2 Defining manifold

We briefly recall how to construct the Okamoto's space of initial conditions, but refer to the works [12, 25, 26] for a more detailed description. Originally, Okamoto [12] found, for each of the six Painlevé equations, a rational surface that is uniformly foliated by the integral curves of the Hamiltonian flow of the system in an extended phase space. Starting from a compact (Hirzebruch) surface, Okamoto obtained the space of initial conditions by removing some inaccessible curves (or vertical leaves) from the surface through a process involving 8-point blow-ups. Depending on the exact form of the Hamiltonian system and the initial compact surface, the number of blow-ups can deviate from this as the resulting surface after all blow-ups may not be in a minimal form, so that a number of blow-downs may be required. Indeed, the number of points at which the surface is blown-up is not an invariant for the system, whereas the minimal configuration of inaccessible curves to be removed is. In [25, 26] it is shown that the spaces of initial conditions uniquely define the Hamiltonian system for each Painlevé equation. As we will see, in the quasi-Painlevé case, this uniqueness aspect is no longer true in general, as we encounter (1-parameter families of) equations with isomorphic spaces of initial conditions, which are not related via bi-rational transformations.

From a complex analysis point of view, we are interested in determining the local behaviour of the solutions of the system around the movable singularities. To achieve this description the system, typically affected by points of indeterminacy, undergoes a process of regularisation, via blow-up transformations. As we already mentioned, the starting point is a polynomial Hamiltonian system with H(x(z), y(z); z) in the form

$$\begin{cases} x' = F(x(z), y(z); z) \\ y' = G(x(z), y(z); z) \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

where $(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and F, G are rational functions in their first two arguments. To take into account points at infinity, we consider a compactification of the complex plane \mathbb{C}^2 . For this type of systems the compactification can be achieved in different ways $(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \Sigma^{(2)})$ and throughout the paper we will always use \mathbb{CP}^2 .

2.1 Cascades of blow-ups

We start from the extended phase space \mathbb{CP}^2 , given by gluing together three affine complex planes identified by the coordinates (x, y), (u_0, v_0) and (U_0, V_0) respectively. In homogeneous coordinate these charts are related via the coordinates transformations as:

In the compactified phase space \mathbb{CP}^2 , the Hamiltonian system rewritten in all respective charts $((x, y), (u_0, v_0)$ and (U_0, V_0)), may have points of indeterminacy, i.e. points at which the vector field (e.g. the right hand side of (2.1) in (x, y)) has the ill-defined form $\frac{0}{0}$. On further inspection, some of these points turn out to be *base points*, i.e. points at which infinitely many flow curves of the vector field coalesce. The system of equations is regularised through the process of blowing-up the phase space at these base points, giving rise to an exceptional curve for each blow-up. In a coordinate chart (u_i, v_i) , a blow-up at a point $p: (u_i, v_i) = (a, b)$ is performed by

$$Bl_p(\mathbb{C}^2) = \{(u_i, v_i) \times [w_0 : w_1] \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^1 : (u_i - a)w_0 = (v_i - b)w_1\},$$
(2.3)

giving rise to two new coordinate charts, namely (u_j, v_j) , (U_j, V_j) , according to

$$\begin{cases} u_i = u_j + a = U_j V_j + a \\ v_i = u_j v_j + b = V_j + b \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

Here, $U_j = w_0/w_1$ covers the part of \mathbb{CP}^1 modulo where $w_1 \neq 0$, while $v_j = w_1/w_0$ covers the part where $w_0 \neq 0$. We have the projection onto the first component,

$$\pi_p : \mathrm{Bl}_p(\mathbb{C}^2) \to \mathbb{C}^2, \quad (u_i, v_i) \times [w_0, w_1] \mapsto (u_i, v_i).$$

$$(2.5)$$

Away from the point p, the blow-up is a one-to-one map,

$$\mathrm{Bl}_p(\mathbb{C}^2) \setminus \pi_p^{-1}(p) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{p\}.$$

$$(2.6)$$

The set $E = \pi_p^{-1}(p)$ is the exceptional curve introduced by the blow-up which as a point set in coordinates is given by

$$E = \{u_j = 0\} \cup \{V_j = 0\}.$$
(2.7)

After a blow-up, new base points can arise on the exceptional curve and usually, to completely remove the indeterminacy, the composition of finitely many successive changes of coordinates is required.

The divisors on the phase space, modulo principle divisors, give rise to the Picard group of the *n*-times blown up space X_n ,

$$\operatorname{Pic}(X_n) = \mathbb{Z}H \oplus \mathbb{Z}E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}E_n, \qquad (2.8)$$

where H in (2.2) is the hyperplane divisor in \mathbb{CP}^2 , and E_i are the exceptional curves introduced by the blow-ups. By definition, exceptional curves have self-intersection -1, while H itself has self-intersection +1. The linear extension of this gives rise to the intersection form,

$$H \cdot H = 1, \qquad E_i \cdot H = 0 \quad \forall i, \qquad E_i \cdot E_j = -\delta_{ij}.$$
 (2.9)

We will refer to the composition of blow-ups starting from a base point in \mathbb{CP}^2 as a *cascade*. Sometimes, the blow-up of a base point can give rise to several new base points on the exceptional curve. In this case, a cascade of blow-ups can split into various branches. We keep track of all blow-ups by drawing the intersection diagrams, depicting the irreducible components of the exceptional divisor for all the cases analysed. Furthermore, for the principal cases we will provide the cascades of points at which we successively blow-up the surface explicitly giving the coordinates of the points.

2.2 Intermediate changes of coordinates

In work by Shioda and Takano [25] and Matano, Matuyima and Takano [26] it is shown that to each of the Painlevé equations is associated a global symplectic atlas on the space of initial conditions, obtained by gluing the coordinate charts obtained after the final blow-up in each cascade, together with the original chart. This means that, in each chart (u_i, v_i) of the space, the system derives from a polynomial Hamiltonian with respect to the canonical symplectic 2-form

$$\omega = dy \wedge dx = k \, du_i \wedge dv_i \quad \text{or} \quad \omega = dy \wedge dx = k \, dU_i \wedge dV_i \,, \tag{2.10}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and |k| denotes the ramification number of the space of initial conditions (for $P_{II}-P_{VI}$ in fact k = 1, only for P_I we have k = 2). Note, however, that blow-up transformation are in general not canonical. So, in order to arrive at suitable coordinate charts, it may be necessary to make certain intermediate changes of variables in the blow-up process in order for the denominator of the 2-form ω to remain a monomial. In particular, for certain equation in the quasi-Painlevé class, it is shown by Filipuk and Stokes [4] that one can achieve, using certain intermediate coordinate changes in the blow-up process, that the system remains polynomial Hamiltonian, with 2-form more generally given by

$$\omega = dy \wedge dx = k \, u_i^m \, du_i \wedge dv_i \quad \text{or} \quad \omega = dy \wedge dx = k \, V_i^m \, dU_i \wedge dV_i \,. \tag{2.11}$$

Here, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the power $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is determined by the nature of the movable algebraic poles, in particular we have m = n - 1 for algebraic poles around which the solution is given by a power series

$$y(z) = \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} c_j (z - z_*)^{j/n}, \quad j_0 \in \mathbb{Z},$$
(2.12)

convergent in a cut neighbourhood of z_* . Furthermore, we need to assume here that n is the smallest possible integer of a solution (2.12), i.e. if gcd(j,n) > 1 for all j with non-zero coefficients c_j , n would have to be reduced. We need two possible types of intermediate changes of variables. The first one, also called 'twist' in [4], is needed when base points other than the one to be blown up are visible in a coordinate chart resulting in the coefficient of the 2-form ω to become non-monomial. This is given in the respective charts by

$$u = \tilde{u}, \quad v = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}} \qquad \text{or} \qquad U = \frac{1}{\tilde{U}}, \quad V = \tilde{V},$$

$$(2.13)$$

effectively sending a point at v = 0 (respectively U = 0) on the exceptional curve to infinity. The other type of intermediate coordinate change was introduced in [27] for Painlevé I and applied to some quasi-Painlevé type systems in [28] and is needed when the space of initial conditions becomes ramified. In this case we apply a k-fold covering transformation,

$$u = \frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{v}}, \quad v = \hat{v}^k \quad \text{or} \quad U = \hat{U}^k, \quad V = \frac{\hat{V}}{\hat{U}}.$$
 (2.14)

Once all base points are completely resolved, with a polynomial Hamiltonian in each final coordinate chart and ω in the form (2.10), one can read off the behaviour of the a solution at a movable singularity in a straightforward way. For a solution of the form (2.12), to denote the leading-order behaviour as well as the fractional power of $z - z_*$ in which it is expanded, we use the following notation in the remainder of this article,

$$y(z) = c(z - z_*)^p + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^{1/n}), \qquad c = c_{j_0}, \qquad p = \frac{j_0}{n},$$
 (2.15)

where $h \in \mathbb{C}$ denotes a free parameter in the series expansion: Usually, the coefficients c_j , $j > j_0$, are computable recursively, with one exception due to a resonance in the recursion, giving rise to a 1-parameter family of series solutions. Here and in the following, we will write

$$\mathcal{P}_h(\zeta) = \sum_{j=j_0+1}^{\infty} c_j(h) \,\zeta^j \tag{2.16}$$

for any 1-parameter family of power series in $\zeta = (z - z_*)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ with coefficients c_j that in general can depend on h, a free parameter. The parameter h, together with the position z_* of a movable singularity, are determined by values $y(z_0)$ and $y'(z_0)$ at some nearby point z_0 , so prescribing the position z_* of a movable singularity and the value of h together are equivalent to giving initial conditions for y and y' at an ordinary point z_0 .

We will see in the following that for the quartic Hamiltonian systems obtained in the classification in section 5, we find coordinate charts after the final blow-up in each blow-up cascade where n can take on different values, here in particular $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, so that in the quasi-Painlevé case we obtain solutions having a mix of different types of algebraic poles in general. The Painlevé cases are distinguished in that we have n = 1 for all exponents. We will see below that some of the Painlevé equations, or variants thereof, emerge as special cases in this classification.

3 General outline of the procedure

We start from a general non-autonomous quartic Hamiltonian, where we assume the coefficients of the quartic terms to be constant, to avoid fixed singularities. The generic quartic Hamiltonian initially has four base points on the line at infinity for the affine chart (x, y), i.e. in \mathbb{CP}^2 on the line represented as a point set by $\{u_0 = 0\} \cup \{V_0 = 0\}$. We generate sub-cases by two different mechanisms, namely coalescence of two base points on the one hand, and a degeneration in the Hamiltonian on the other. We describe these processes by making use of two different tools: via the Newton polygons associated with the form of the polynomial Hamiltonian in the original system, and via the power series in which the solutions are expressed at the level of the regularised system.

3.1 Degeneration and coalescence of base points

By changing a certain parameter in the system of equations, two previously separated base points can coalesce into a single point, with the result that the two blow-up cascades merge into one cascade. This is a limiting process with the effect that the behaviour of the solution around the movable singularity z_* , obtained on the exceptional curves after the final blow-up in these cascades, changes in the merged cascade. E.g., we will see in an example below that, if the the solution in two cascades is expressed as the power series in $(z - z_*)^{1/2}$, the solution in the merged case is represented by a power series in $(z - z_*)^{1/3}$. In general, the branch resulting from the coalescence of two branches with power series in $(z - z_*)^{1/n}$ and $(z - z_*)^{1/m}$ respectively, yields solutions whose behaviour is described as a power series in $(z - z_*)^{1/\ell}$ where:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{P}((z-z_*)^{1/n}) & & \\
\mathfrak{P}((z-z_*)^{1/m}) & & \\
\mathfrak{P}((z-z_*)^{1/m}) & & \\
\end{array} , \qquad \qquad \ell = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} - 1. \quad (3.1)$$

A degeneration is obtained by setting a certain coefficient function in the Hamiltonian to 0. The function in question to be set to 0 can be seen in the blow-up process, namely it is multiplying a term of leading order in the blown up vector field that determines the position of a base point in that coordinate chart. The effect of this is somewhat opposite (but not inverse) to a coalescence of base points described above, namely a cascade of blow-ups branches out into two cascades. In the following we will see examples where after some blow-up, there are several new base points arising on the exceptional curve, that is the cascade of blow-ups splits into two or more branches. This is likewise accompanied by a change in leading order of the solution at the singularities. E.g. in one example below, a cascade of blow-ups from which a solution if obtained with algebraic poles of the type $(z - z_*)^{-1/3}$, splits into two cascades, each of which gives rise to ordinary poles $(z - z_*)^{-1}$ in the solution. In terms of power series, this example involves a power series in $(z - z_*)^{1/3}$ giving rise to two branches each expressed as a power series in $(z - z_*)^{1/\ell}$ which gives rise to two branches in $(z - z_*)^{1/n}$ and $(z - z_*)^{1/m}$ respectively, with:

$$\mathcal{P}((z-z_*)^{1/\ell}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}((z-z_*)^{1/n})}, \qquad \ell = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} + 1.$$
(3.2)

Using the mechanisms of coalescence and degeneration we obtain a whole scheme of quasi-Painlevé Hamiltonian systems with different singularity structures. In figure 1 the scheme for the treated cases and sub-cases is depicted, specifying the type of transformation between cases. Each case is labelled by either C or Q referring to the form of the Hamiltonian (cubic or quartic respectively), an increasing numeric index is added to distinguish between cases obtained by a coalescence, and an alphabetic index is introduced to identify cases resulting from a degeneration. Furthermore, each case is characterised by an array of rational exponents, following a nomenclature that is a simpler version of the one proposed in [29]. In our case, the length of the array represents the number of different possible behaviours around the movable singularities in the regularised system without taking into account the possible ramifications, and each element in the array corresponds to the power k of the elementary term in the power series $\mathcal{P}((z - z_*)^k)$.

The description provided by the power series is not sufficient to justify the selection of the admissible cases and sub-cases generated by the above mentioned mechanisms. This is why we introduce an additional representation, provided by the Newton polygons.

3.2 Newton polygons

The Hamiltonian H(x, y; z) defines a (z-dependent) algebraic curve in \mathbb{CP}^2 . The generic genus of this curve can be determined from the Newton polygon of the polynomial in x and y given by the Hamiltonian. Namely, if we write

$$H(x, y; z) = \sum_{j,k} \alpha_{jk}(z) \, x^j y^k,$$
(3.3)

the Newton polygon is the convex hull of the set of points (j, k) for which $\alpha_{jk} \neq 0$. The genus is then given by the number of integer points in the interior of the polygon. In figure 2 the Newton polygon associated with a



Figure 2: Newton polygon associated with the polynomial Hamiltonian in x and y for a genus 3 curve. The blue dots at coordinates (j, k) correspond to the non-zero element $x^j y^k$ in the polynomial, while the orange dots are points of the lattice in the interior of the polygon.



Figure 3: Quartic polynomial Hamiltonians with the highlighted dots for the constraints for cases Q1 (eq. (5.4)) (left), Q4.a1 (eq. (5.89)) (middle), Q3.b2 (eq. (5.70)) (right).

polynomial Hamiltonian for a genus 3 curve is represented. In particular, we are interested in studying systems described by quartic Hamiltonians.

In figure 3, three admissible cases of Newton polygon are represented, alongside with the geometrical constraints to which they are subject. These constraints are shown in the the figure via highlighted curves surrounding some points of the lattice (j,k), j and k representing the element $x^j y^k$ in the expression of H(x,y). The curve around the fourth diagonal fixes the highest admissible power in the polynomial, quartic in the case shown. The region within the curve drawn around the bottom left part of the lattice will be fixed independently of the specific case under study. These constraints limit the number and the shapes of the polygons associated with the analysed cases. The exploitation of such constraints allows us to exclude from our study the potential case Q5.b1 (see the scheme in figure 1), that we have not observed in our analysis and that is in principle admissible with the description provided by the power series.

The two mechanisms previously described to navigate the possible cases are the coalescence and degeneration of branches. The framework offered by Newton polygons is particularly effective in this context to describe both transformations. In figure 4 the two possible transformations are represented as their action on the shape of the Newton polygons. The degeneration (left to middle) transforms the polygon such that the genus of the curve associated with the polynomial decreases (from 3 to 2 in the figure). The coalescence (middle to right) is a transformation of the polygon leaving the genus of the curve invariant.

In appendix A the scheme given in figure 1 is displayed in terms of the Newton polygons associated with each case.

3.3 Regularisation through cascades of blow-ups

In the analysis below, starting from general cubic and quartic Hamiltonian, we consider each possible coalescence of base points and degeneration of branches as sub-cases. For each sub-case, we determine the intersection diagram and the conditions on the coefficient functions to be satisfied in order for the system to be of quasi-Painlevé type. Note that we are not actually proving here that the systems have the quasi-Painlevé property.



Figure 4: Newton polygons associated with polynomial Hamiltonians analysed in the paper and the transformation we consider to generate the different sub-cases: the degeneration (left to middle) and coalescence (middle to right).

This was done e.g. for some systems of quasi-Painlevé type in the authors' recent article [5], where certain auxiliary functions are constructed to prove that the intermediate exceptional divisors from the blow-up process are inaccessible for the flow of the vector field. While we note that this can be done in principle also for all the cases considered in this article, the focus here is to get a comprehensive overview of the movable singularities for the individual systems.

This proceeds along the following lines:

- 1. Blow-up all base points to resolve the indeterminacies of the vector field in each affine chart of \mathbb{CP}^2 (e.g. the right hand side of (2.1) in the chart (x, y)). For each base point, a whole cascade of blow-ups may be necessary. Furthermore, a cascade can sometimes split into several sub-cascades (or branches).
- 2. The obtained configuration of exceptional divisors obtained in the blow-up process is gathered in the blowup diagram. The essential information, i.e. the (self-)intersection numbers between divisors is extracted in term of a Dynkin-style diagram. The defining manifold for the system is the *n*-times blown up space with the inaccessible exceptional curves removed.
- 3. For each cascade of blow-ups, compute the Hamiltonian and canonical 2-form $\omega = dy \wedge dx$ for the system after the final blow-up. Here, one can achieve that the Hamiltonian remains polynomial in the dependent variables of all final coordinate charts (u_f, v_f) , while the 2-form takes on the form $k u_f^m du_f \wedge dv_f$. In order for the 2-form to stay in this simple form, certain intermediate transformations may be required after some blow-ups (the above mentioned twists and k-fold coverings), which we will point out in the text where they occur. However, this is done solely to keep the equations simple, all the blow-ups could also be performed without this additional step, but would be computationally more involved in some cases.
- 4. To avoid logarithmic singularities in the solutions of the system one needs an additional condition satisfied that ensures that a certain cancellation takes place in the final system of each blow-up cascade. Under this condition the system can be converted into regular initial value problem (after interchange of the dependent and independent variable). This ensures that the solutions can be expressed as Laurent series in some fractional power of $z z_*$ (Puiseux series), where z_* is the position of a movable singularity. These conditions are equivalent to passing the quasi-Painlevé test, as outlined in section 1.2.
- 5. To obtain the singular behaviour of the solution in the original affine coordinate chart (x(z), y(z)), one needs to unravel all the changes of coordinates introduced by the blow-ups. We do this for each case, indicating the leading order and series expansion of the solutions in the form of (2.15) for each cascade of blow-ups. While the full series solutions can also be obtained in this way, one can also get this by inserting a formal series into the system and solving a recurrence relation for the coefficients. In this way this process is equivalent to performing a (quasi-)Painlevé test on the system.



Figure 5: Cascades of blow-up transformations for the Hamiltonian systems related to (from left to right) H_{C1} , H_{C2} , H_{C3} .

In certain sub-cases, where the singularities obtained from all cascades of blow-ups are poles, we can relate the system to one of the known Painlevé equations. While in case for cubic Hamiltonians we find systems equivalent to Painlevé's equations I, II and IV, in the quartic case we recover systems equivalent to the (modified) Painlevé III and V equations.

4 Brief review of cubic Hamiltonian systems

To introduce our method used for the classification of quartic quasi-Painlevé type systems and for reasons of completeness we outline our analysis by reviewing cubic Hamiltonian systems. We start from a cubic (C) Hamiltonian of the form

$$H_{C}(x(z), y(z); z) = \alpha_{30} x^{3} + \alpha_{21} x^{2} y + \alpha_{12} x y^{2} + \alpha_{03} y^{3} + \alpha_{20}(z) x^{2} + \alpha_{11}(z) x y + \alpha_{02}(z) y^{2} + \alpha_{10}(z) x + \alpha_{01}(z) y,$$

$$(4.1)$$

where the coefficients $\alpha_{ij}(z)$, $i + j \leq 2$ are analytic functions, while the coefficients of the cubic terms are constants, α_{30} , α_{21} , α_{12} , $\alpha_{03} \in \mathbb{C}$. In the generic case, the Hamiltonian system derived from $H_{\rm C}$ will initially have three base points when compactified on \mathbb{CP}^2 . We then consider the cases where the base points coalesce one by one. If we allowed the coefficients of the cubic terms to be functions as well, this would in general introduce fixed singularities into the systems of equations, which we want to exclude here.

For each sub-case, we recover a Painlevé equation, in particular P_{I} (figure 7), P_{II} (right of figure 6) and P_{IV} (left of figure 6). The coefficients $\alpha_{jk}(z)$ are in general analytic functions in z, but these are fixed by the resonance conditions for the system to have the Painlevé property. Note also that for quadratic Hamiltonian systems the equations are linear and do not have movable singularities, therefore have the Painlevé property by default.

Taking only the homogeneous cubic part of the Hamiltonian, we can factorise this as

$$H_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{hom}}(x(z), y(z); z) = \alpha_{30} x^3 + \alpha_{21} x^2 y + \alpha_{12} x y^2 + \alpha_{03} y^3 = \prod_{i=1}^3 \left(\alpha_i x + \beta_i y \right), \quad \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{C},$$
(4.2)

we identify linear factors under the equivalence relation

$$(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \sim (\lambda \, \alpha_i, \lambda \, \beta_i), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$(4.3)$$

that is we consider the coefficients of these factors as points $[\alpha_i : \beta_i] \in \mathbb{CP}^2$. Under this equivalence the factorisation is unique and we distinguish the following three cases:

- 1. All three points $[\alpha_i : \beta_i] \in \mathbb{CP}^2$, i = 1, 2, 3, are pairwise distinct.
- 2. There are two distinct points, one of which is a double point
- 3. There is only one triple point



Figure 6: Rational surface constructed for $H_{\rm C1}$ and its corresponding intersection diagram (left), coinciding with the Dynkin diagram $E_6^{(1)}$ for $P_{\rm IV}$. On the right the same for $H_{\rm C2}$, whose intersection diagram coincides with $E_7^{(1)}$ for $P_{\rm II}$.

In the following we consider all cases in turn. In each case we bring the Hamiltonian into some standard form, by shifting and / or re-scaling the dependent variables x, y.

4.1 Case C1: 3 simple points (P_{IV})

By a Möbius transformation, we can arrange the three points $[\alpha_i:\beta_i] \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ to be [1:0], [1:1] and [0:1]. The Hamiltonian thus takes the form

$$H_{\rm C1}(x(z), y(z); z) = x(x-y)y + \alpha_{11}(z) xy + \alpha_{10}(z) x + \alpha_{01}(z) y, \qquad (4.4)$$

where the coefficients a_{20} and a_{02} are set to 0 by an additional shift in x and y. In the extended phase space of the system \mathbb{CP}^2 there are three base points all on the line $\{u_0 = 0\} \cup \{V_0 = 0\}$, with coordinates

$$p_1: (u_0, v_0) = (0, 0), \quad p_4: (u_0, v_0) = (0, 1), \quad p_7: (U_0, V_0) = (0, 0),$$

$$(4.5)$$

and from each base point a cascade made up of two more points to blow-up arises. The cascades are as follows:

$$p_1 \leftarrow p_2: (u_1, v_1) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_3: (u_2, v_2) = (0, -\alpha_{10}(z)),$$

$$(4.6)$$

$$p_4 \leftarrow p_5: (u_5, v_5) = (0, \alpha_{11}(z)) \leftarrow p_6: (u_6, v_6) = (\alpha_{01}(z) + \alpha_{10}(z) - \alpha_{11}'(z), 0), \tag{4.7}$$

$$p_7 \leftarrow p_8 : (U_8, V_8) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_9 : (U_9, V_9) = (\alpha_{01}(z), 0),$$

$$(4.8)$$

and the conditions for the system to have the Painlevé property are

$$\alpha'_{01} = 0, \qquad \alpha'_{10} = 0, \qquad \alpha''_{11} = 0.$$
 (4.9)

With these conditions implemented, (4.4) becomes the Okamoto Hamiltonian for the fourth Painlevé equation.

Remark 4.1. With a different normalisation for the cubic term in (4.4), such that the base point are symmetrically distributed on the line at infinity, one could alternatively consider the Hamiltonian

$$H_{\rm C1}(x(z), y(z); z) = \frac{1}{3} \left(x^3 + y^3 \right) + \tilde{\alpha}_{11}(z) \, xy + \tilde{\alpha}_{10}(z) \, x + \tilde{\alpha}_{01}(z) \, y \,. \tag{4.10}$$

Under the conditions $\tilde{\alpha}_{11}^{\prime\prime} = 0$, $\tilde{\alpha}_{01}^{\prime} = 0$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_{10}^{\prime} = 0$ this becomes the cubic Hamiltonian studied in [30].



Figure 7: Rational surface constructed for H_{C3} and its corresponding intersection diagram (left), coinciding with the Dynkin diagram $E_8^{(1)}$ for P_I .

4.2 Case C2: 1 double point, 1 simple point (P_{II})

The Hamiltonian representing this case is

$$H_{C2}(x(z), y(z); z) = xy^2 + \beta_{20}(z) x^2 + \beta_{10}(z) x + \beta_{01}(z) y, \qquad (4.11)$$

which can be seen as the structure obtained by the coalescence of two of the three branches for H_{C1} in figure 5, namely $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ and $\{p_4, p_5, p_6\}$, into a single longer branch $\{p_1, \ldots, p_6\}$, as represented in the same figure for H_{C2} .

By re-scaling $x \mapsto \beta_{20}^{-1/2} x$ and $y \mapsto \beta_{20}^{1/4} y$ one can set the function $\beta_{20} = 1$. Then this system is regularised by two cascades, arising from the points in \mathbb{CP}^2

$$p_1: (u_0, v_0) = (0, 0), \quad p_7: (U_0, V_0) = (0, 0),$$

$$(4.12)$$

with 6 and 3 blow-ups respectively, as follows:

$$p_1 \leftarrow p_2: (U_1, V_1) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_3: (U_2, V_2) = (-1, 0) \leftarrow p_4: (U_3, V_3) = (0, 0) \leftarrow (4.13) \leftarrow p_5: (U_4, V_4) = (-\beta_{10}(z), 0) \leftarrow p_6: (U_5, V_5) = (-\beta_{01}(z) + \beta_{10}'(z), 0),$$

$$p_7 \leftarrow p_8 : (U_8, V_8) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_9 : (U_9, V_9) = (-\beta_{01}(z), 0).$$
 (4.14)

The conditions emanating from these two cascades for the system to be of Painlevé type are

$$\beta_{01}'(z) = 0, \qquad \beta_{10}''(z) = 0.$$
(4.15)

With these conditions implemented this turns out to be the standard Hamiltonian for P_{II} .

4.3 Case C3: 1 triple point (P_I)

The last Hamiltonian we consider in the cubic case is

$$H_{C3}(x(z), y(z); z) = y^3 + \gamma_{20}(z) x^2 + \gamma_{11}(z) xy + \gamma_{01}(z) y, \qquad (4.16)$$

result of the coalescence of the three branches $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$, $\{p_4, p_5, p_6\}$, $\{p_7, p_8, p_9\}$ of the case H_{C1} in figure 5 into the single long branch $\{p_1, \ldots, p_9\}$ of H_{C3} in the same figure.

First re-scaling x we can let $\gamma_{20} = 1$. Then shifting $x \to x + \gamma_{11}/2$ one can absorb the xy term, giving rise to a term $(\gamma_{11}^2 y^2)/4$, which in turn can be absorbed by a shift in y, leaving us with the Hamiltonian

$$H_{C3}(x(z), y(z); z) = y^3 + x^2 + \gamma(z) y.$$
(4.17)

The sole cascade in this case is given by the following highly degenerate sequence of 9 blow-ups

$$p_{1}: (u_{0}, v_{0}) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_{2}: (U_{1}, V_{1}) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_{3}: (U_{2}, V_{2}) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_{4}: (U_{3}, V_{3}) = (-1, 0) \leftarrow (-1, 0) \leftarrow p_{5}: (U_{4}, V_{4}) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_{6}: (U_{5}, V_{5}) = (0, 0) \leftarrow (-1, 0) \leftarrow (-1,$$

With the resonance condition $\gamma'' = 0$, this is the Hamiltonian for the first Painlevé equation,

$$y'' = 6y^2 + z, (4.19)$$

after a suitable re-scaling of z.

5 Quartic Hamiltonian systems

We now explore polynomial quartic (Q) Hamiltonian systems

$$H_{Q}(x(z), y(z); z) = a_{40} x^{4} + a_{31} x^{3} y + a_{22} x^{2} y^{2} + a_{13} x y^{3} + a_{04} y^{4} + a_{30}(z) x^{3} + a_{21}(z) x^{2} y + a_{12}(z) x y^{2} + a_{03}(z) y^{3} + a_{20}(z) x^{2} + a_{11}(z) x y + a_{02}(z) y^{2} + a_{10}(z) x + a_{01}(z) y,$$
(5.1)

quartic in x and y, where the coefficients $\alpha_{ij}(z)$, $i + j \leq 3$ are analytic functions, while the coefficients of the quartic terms are assumed constant. Again, this assumption is made to avoid the occurrence of fixed singularities in the equations of motion.

As before, we factorise the quartic homogeneous part of the Hamiltonian into linear forms,

$$H_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathrm{hom}}(x(z), y(z); z) = a_{40} x^4 + a_{31} x^3 y + a_{22} x^2 y^2 + a_{13} x y^3 + a_{04} y^4 = \prod_{i=1}^{4} \left(\alpha_i x + \beta_i y \right), \tag{5.2}$$

with $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Again we identify linear factors under the equivalence relation

$$(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \sim (\lambda \, \alpha_i, \lambda \, \beta_i), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$
(5.3)

that is, we consider $[\alpha_i : \beta_i], i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, as points in \mathbb{CP}^1 . Therefore, we thus distinguish the following five cases, where for each case we bring the quartic part into some standard form:

- 1. All four points $[\alpha_i : \beta_i] \in \mathbb{CP}^1$, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, are pairwise distinct.
- 2. There are three distinct points, one of which is a double point.
- 3. There are two distinct points, both of which are double points.
- 4. There are two distinct points, one of which is a triple point, the other a simple point.
- 5. All the $[\alpha_i : \beta_i]$, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, define the same point in \mathbb{CP}^1 , a quadruple point.

We now look at all the cases in detail and produce their defining manifolds, as well as the conditions on the remaining coefficient functions in the Hamiltonian for the system to have the quasi-Painlevé property. Sub-cases arise where certain coefficient functions multiplying the leading order terms in the system of equations after a blow-up, defining any new base points on the exceptional curve, vanish. This is turn gives rise to a degeneration of the Hamiltonian. For each case we give the cascade of blow-ups, the configuration of the exceptional divisors



Figure 8: Cascades of blow-up transformations for the Hamiltonian systems related to (from left to right) H_{Q1} in section 5.1, H_{Q2} in section 5.2, H_{Q3} in section 5.3 and H_{Q4} in section 5.4.

arising from these, and a (Dynkin-like) diagram that reduces the irreducible components of the inaccessible divisor class to blobs, filled in according to the self-intersection number, and connected to other blobs according to the intersection between these divisor components. Here, a hollow blob denotes a curve of self-intersection -2, while for a filled-in blob the corresponding curve has self-intersection number -3.

As we will see below, when the conditions on the coefficients are implemented for systems to be of quasi-Painlevé type, the solutions of the equations of motions in the plane in general have singularities that are movable algebraic poles expressed as Laurent series in $(z - z_*)^{1/n}$, with $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, and solutions with mixed types of algebraic poles can occur. While in the general case the equations give rise to branched solutions, we will see below that in some special cases we also obtain equations of Painlevé type, related to the modified third and fifth Painlevé equations.

5.1 Case Q1: 4 simple points

By a Möbius transformation $z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ we can achieve that three of the four points $[\alpha_i: \beta_i]$, i = 1, 2, 3, 4 are [1:0], [0:1] [1:-1], while the fourth point is [t:1], where $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}$ is a parameter that cannot be fixed. Therefore, we consider the Hamiltonian

$$H_{Q1}(x(z), y(z); z) = xy(x - y)(x - ty) + a_{21}(z) x^2y + a_{12}(z) xy^2 + a_{20}(z) x^2 + a_{11}(z) xy + a_{02}(z) y^2 + a_{10}(z) x + a_{01}(z) y.$$
(5.4)

Note that through an additional shift in x and y, we are able to set the coefficient functions $a_{30}(z)$ and $a_{03}(z)$ to 0. The base points of this Hamiltonian system extended to \mathbb{CP}^2 are given by

$$p_1: (u_0, v_0) = (0, 0), \quad p_5: (u_0, v_0) = (0, 1), \quad p_9: (U_0, V_0) = (t, 0), \quad p_{13}: (U_0, V_0) = (0, 0), \tag{5.5}$$

where the points p_5 and p_9 are visible in both coordinate charts (u_0, v_0) , (U_0, V_0) . We will see below that each of these points can be regularised by a cascade of 4 blow-ups if certain conditions on the coefficient functions are satisfied, leading to an additional cancellation in the system after the last blow-up in each cascade. The first two cascades of blow-ups are

$$p_1 \leftarrow p_2: (u_1, v_1) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_3: (u_2, v_2) = (0, -a_{20}) \leftarrow p_4: (u_3, v_3) = (0, -a_{10}(z) + a_{20}a_{21}(z)), \quad (5.6)$$

$$p_5 \leftarrow p_6: (u_6, v_6) = \left(0, \frac{a_{12}(z) + a_{21}(z)}{t - 1}\right) \leftarrow p_7: (u_7, v_7) = (0, h_7(z)) \leftarrow p_8: (u_8, v_8) = (0, h_8(z)), \quad (5.7)$$

with

$$h_7(z) = \frac{a_{02} + a_{12}(z) (a_{12}(z) + (t+1)a_{21}(z))}{t-1} + (t-1)(a_{11}(z) + a_{20}),$$



Figure 9: Rational surface constructed for H_{Q1} (left) and its corresponding intersection diagram (right).

$$\begin{split} h_8(z) &= \frac{a_{01}(z) + a_{10}(z)}{1-t} - \frac{a_{12}'(z) + a_{21}'(z)}{(1-t)^2} \\ &+ \frac{(3t-1)a_{20}\,a_{21}(z) + 2t(a_{11}(z)\,a_{21}(z) + a_{20}\,a_{12}(z)) + (1+t)(a_{02}\,a_{21}(z) + a_{11}(z)\,a_{12}(z))}{(1-t)^3} \\ &- \frac{a_{12}(z)^3}{(1-t)^4} + \frac{a_{21}(z)\left(2t\,a_{21}(z)^2 + (t^2 + 4t + 1)a_{12}(z)^2 + 3t(t+1)a_{12}(z)\,a_{21}(z)\right)}{(1-t)^5} \,. \end{split}$$

The cascades on last two branches are

$$p_{9} \leftarrow p_{10} \colon (U_{10}, V_{10}) = \left(\frac{a_{12}(z) + t \, a_{21}(z)}{1 - t}, 0\right) \leftarrow p_{11} \colon (U_{11}, V_{11}) = (h_{11}(z), 0) \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow p_{12} \colon (U_{12}, V_{12}) = (h_{12}(z), 0) , \\ p_{13} \leftarrow p_{14} \colon (U_{14}, V_{14}) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_{15} \colon (U_{15}, V_{15}) = \left(-\frac{a_{02}}{t}, 0\right) \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow p_{16} \colon (U_{16}, V_{16}) = \left(\frac{a_{02} \, a_{12}(z) - t \, a_{01}(z)}{t^{2}}, 0\right) ,$$

$$(5.8)$$

with

$$\begin{split} h_{11} &= \frac{a_{12}(z)^2 + a_{21}(z)^2}{(1-t)^3} - \frac{a_{12}(z) a_{21}(z)}{(1-t)^2} + \frac{a_{02} + t a_{11}(z) + t^2 a_{20}}{t(1-t)} \,, \\ h_{12} &= \frac{a_{01}(z) + t a_{10}(z)}{t(1-t)} + \frac{a_{12}'(z) + t a_{21}'(z)}{t(1-t)^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{a_{21}(z) \left(t(1+t)a_{21}(z)^2 + (1+4t+t^2)a_{12}(z) a_{21}(z) + 3(t+1)a_{12}(z)\right)}{(1-t)^5} \\ &\quad + \frac{(3t-1)a_{02} a_{12}(z) + 2 t^2(a_{21}(z)(a_{02} + a_{20}) + a_{11}(z) a_{12}(z)) + t^2(1+t)(a_{12}(z) a_{20} + a_{11}(z) a_{21}(z))}{t^2(1-t)^3} \,. \end{split}$$

The conditions for the system (5.4) to be of quasi-Painlevé type are

$$a_{20}'(z) = 0, \qquad a_{02}'(z) = 0, \qquad \left((t-1)^2 a_{11}(z) + a_{12}(z)^2 + (t+1)a_{12}(z) a_{21}(z) + t a_{21}(z)^2 \right)' = 0.$$
(5.10)

Hence, we let $a_{20}(z) = a_{20}$ and $a_{02}(z) = a_{02}$, while $a_{11} = c - \frac{1}{(t-1)^2} \left(a_{12}(z)^2 + (t+1)a_{12}(z)a_{21}(z) + t a_{21}(z)^2 \right)$, where $a_{20}, a_{02}, c \in \mathbb{C}$ are constants.

The coordinate charts (x, y), (u_4, v_4) , (u_8, v_8) , (u_{12}, v_{12}) , (u_{16}, v_{16}) can be glued to form a symplectic atlas, forming the space of initial conditions for the system (5.4), with symplectic 2-form

$$\omega = dy \wedge dx = u_4 \, du_4 \wedge v_4 = u_8 \, du_8 \wedge v_8 = u_{12} \, du_{12} \wedge dv_{12} = u_{16} \, du_{16} \wedge dv_{16} \,, \tag{5.11}$$

such that in each chart the system is given by a polynomial Hamiltonian.

To obtain the behaviour of the solution at a movable singularity $z_* \in \mathbb{C}$, one needs to inspect the system of equations after the last blow-up in each cascade, with the conditions (5.10) implemented and an additional change of independent variable. Namely, we let u_4 , the variable defining the exceptional curve $E_4 = \{u_4 = 0\}$, become the independent variable, so that $z = z(u_4)$ and $v_4 = v_4(u_4)$. In these variables, we have a regular initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dz}{du_4} = u_4 \left(1 + u_4 F_1(z, v_4; u_4) \right) \\ \frac{dv_4}{du_4} = \frac{F_2(z, v_4; u_4)}{1 + u_4 F_1(z, v_4; u_4)} \end{cases}, \qquad \begin{cases} z(0) = z_* \\ v_4(0) = h \end{cases}, \tag{5.12}$$

where F_1 and F_2 are analytic functions in their arguments (in fact polynomial in u_4, v_4) and $h \in \mathbb{C}$ is the parameter where the solution intersects the exceptional curve E_4 .

Therefore, the leading order of solutions passing through the exceptional curve E_4 is

$$z(u_4) = z_* + \frac{1}{2}u_4^2 + \mathcal{O}(u_4^3), \qquad v_4(u_4) = h + \mathcal{O}(u_4), \qquad (5.13)$$

where the right-hand sides are convergent power series in u_4 since the system (5.12) has local analytic solutions. In the next step, one needs to take the square root and invert the series to obtain the solution of u_4 , v_4 as a series expansion in $(z - z_*)^{1/2}$. With the notation introduced in (2.15), we can write

$$u_4 = \sqrt{2}(z - z_*)^{1/2} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^{1/2}), \qquad v_4 = h + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^{1/2}). \tag{5.14}$$

Lastly, to obtain the solution in the original variables (x, y) one needs to undo all the changes of variable introduced by the blow-ups,

$$x(z) = \frac{1}{u_4}, \qquad y(z) = -a_{20} u_4 + (a_{20} a_{21}(z) - a_{10}(z)) u_4^2 + u_4^3 v_4, \qquad (5.15)$$

yielding

$$E_4: \quad x(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (z - z_*)^{-1/2} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^{1/2}), \qquad y(z) = -a_{20}\sqrt{2}(z - z_*)^{1/2} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^{1/2}), \quad (5.16)$$

with the parameter h appearing in the higher orders of $z - z_*$.

For singularities where the solution passes through the final exceptional curve E_8 , the behaviour of the solutions is

$$E_8: \quad x(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(t-1)}} (z-z_*)^{-1/2} + \mathcal{P}_h((z-z_*)^{1/2}),$$

$$y(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(t-1)}} (z-z_*)^{-1/2} + \mathcal{P}_h((z-z_*)^{1/2}),$$

(5.17)

whereas for the singularities lying on the exceptional curve E_{12} the behaviour of the solutions is given by

$$E_{12}: \quad x(z) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{2t(1-t)}} (z-z_{*})^{-1/2} + \mathcal{P}_{h}((z-z_{*})^{1/2}),$$

$$y(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t(1-t)}} (z-z_{*})^{-1/2} + \mathcal{P}_{h}((z-z_{*})^{1/2}),$$

(5.18)

and lastly, along the last exceptional curve E_{16} we have

$$E_{16}: \quad x(z) = \frac{i a_{02} \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{t}} (z - z_*)^{1/2} + \mathcal{P}_h \left((z - z_*)^{1/2} \right), \quad y(z) = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2t}} (z - z_*)^{-1/2} + \mathcal{P}_h \left((z - z_*)^{1/2} \right). \quad (5.19)$$

Thus, the system derived from the Hamiltonian (5.4), under the conditions (5.10), is of quasi-Painlevé type with all movable singularities being square-root type algebraic poles. Here, for different values of t we find Hamiltonian systems with isomorphic defining manifolds, which however are not related by birational transformations.

Remark 5.1. The defining manifold for the Hamiltonian system (5.4), with the homogeneous quartic part replaced by $H_4 = x^4 - y^4$, was already presented in [14], while the system itself was introduced in [11] as an example of a Hamiltonian system with the quasi-Painlevé property whose solutions are of the leading order $x(z), y(z) \sim c(z-z_*)^{-1/2}$ at any singularity $z_* \in \mathbb{C}$. The defining manifold is obtained by 4 cascades of blow-ups of the 4 initial base points arising on \mathbb{CP}^2 ,

$$(u_0, v_0) \in \{(0, 1), (0, -1), (0, i), (0, -i)\}.$$
(5.20)

The resonance conditions in this case are

$$(2a_{20}(z) - a_{12}(z)^2)' = 0, \qquad a'_{11}(z) = 0, \qquad (2a_{02}(z) + a^2_{21}(z))' = 0.$$
 (5.21)

This system is equivalent to (5.4) only for specific values of the parameter t, namely for those t for which one can find a Möbius transformation mapping the four points $z \in \{0, 1, t, \infty\}$ into $\{1, -1, i, -i\}$,

$$z \mapsto \frac{a \, z + b}{c \, z + d}, \qquad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad a \, d - b \, c = 1.$$
(5.22)

The parameters a, b, c, d and t are fixed by imposing one particular choice of the mapping, say

$$0 \mapsto i, \quad 1 \mapsto 1, \quad t \mapsto -i, \quad \infty \mapsto -1.$$
(5.23)

With this choice the Möbius transformation becomes

$$z \mapsto \frac{\frac{1-i}{2}z+i}{-\frac{1-i}{2}z+1}$$
, with $t = 2$. (5.24)

Under a permutation of the points $\{0, 1, t, \infty\}$ one obtains 4! = 24 different values for t in total. This also shows that for other values of t one obtains inequivalent systems but with isomorphic defining manifolds.

5.2 Case Q2: 1 double point, 2 simple points

Here we can achieve that the points $[\alpha_i : \beta_i]$, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, corresponding to the linear factors of the homogeneous quartic part of the Hamiltonian, become [0:1], [1:-1] and [1:0] (twice). This leads us to a standard Hamiltonian of the form

$$H_{Q2}(x(z), y(z); z) = x^{2}(x - y) y + b_{21}(z) x^{2} y + b_{03}(z) y^{3} + b_{20}(z) x^{2} + b_{11}(z) xy + b_{02}(z) y^{2} + b_{10}(z) x + b_{01}(z) y,$$
(5.25)

where the coefficient functions $b_{30}(z)$ and $b_{12}(z)$ have already been eliminated by appropriate shifts in x and y. In the following, we will assume that $b_{03} \neq 0$, the case where $b_{03} = 0$ needs to be treated as a sub-case below (degeneration). The Hamiltonian (5.25) is obtained from the previous case, with four single points, by a coalescence of two cascades of blow-ups into one cascade, specifically the cascades originated in p_9 and p_{12} in



Figure 10: Rational surface constructed for H_{Q2} (left) and its corresponding intersection diagram (right).

section 5.1 by letting $t \to 0$.

The three base points initially found after extending the phase space to \mathbb{CP}^2 are

$$p_1: (u_0, v_0) = (0, 0), \qquad p_5: (u_0, v_0) = (0, 1), \qquad p_9: (U_0, V_0) = (0, 0).$$
 (5.26)

The first two base points can be resolved in a cascade of 4 blow-ups each, while the third point needs 8 blow-ups, as follows. The cascades originating from p_1 and p_5 , respectively, are

$$p_1 \leftarrow p_2: (u_1, v_1) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_3: (u_2, v_2) = (0, -b_{20}(z)) \leftarrow p_4: (u_3, v_3) = (0, b_{20}(z) b_{21}(z) - b_{10}(z)), \quad (5.27)$$

$$p_5 \leftarrow p_6: (u_5, v_5) = (0, b_{03}(z) + b_{21}(z)) \leftarrow p_7: (u_6, v_6) = (0, f_7(z)) \leftarrow p_8: (u_7, v_7) = (0, f_8(z)),$$
(5.28)

where

$$f_{7}(z) = 2 b_{03}(z) (b_{21}(z) + 2b_{03}(z)) + b_{02}(z) + b_{11}(z) + b_{20}(z) ,$$

$$f_{8}(z) = b_{01}(z) + b_{10}(z) - b'_{03}(z) - b'_{21}(z) + b_{21}(z) (b_{02}(z) + 6 b_{03}(z)^{2} - b_{20}(z)) + b_{03}(z) (b_{21}(z)^{2} + 3 b_{02}(z) + 5 b_{03}(z)^{2} + 2b_{11}(z) + b_{20}(z)) .$$

We give the blow-ups for the cascade originating from the base point p_9 below. Here, as an additional requirement to keep the Hamiltonian function polynomial with respect to the symplectic 2-form in the system after the final blow-up, we need to implement a twist of the form (2.13), as discussed in section 2.2. In this case, the twist happens after the 2nd blow-up in the cascade originated at p_9 , which introduces an additional intermediate change of variables ($\tilde{U}_{10}, \tilde{V}_{10}$), implemented as

$$U_{10} = \frac{1}{\tilde{U}_{10}}, \qquad V_{10} = \tilde{V}_{10}.$$
(5.29)

With this, the cascade of blow-ups is

$$p_{9} \leftarrow p_{10} \colon (u_{9}, v_{9}) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_{11} \colon (\tilde{U}_{10}, \tilde{V}_{10}) = \left(\frac{1}{b_{03}(z)}, 0\right) \leftarrow p_{12} \colon (U_{11}, V_{11}) = (-1, 0) \leftarrow (-1, 0) \leftarrow (-1, 0) = \left(\frac{1}{b_{03}(z)} - b_{03}(z) - b_{21}(z), 0\right) \leftarrow (-1, 0) \leftarrow (-1,$$



Figure 11: Cascades of blow-ups transformation for H_{Q2} with $b_{03} = 0$, giving rise to two different branches (left) or just one branch (right).

where

$$\begin{split} f_{14}(z) &= -\left(b_{02}(z) + b_{11}(z) + 2\,b_{03}(z)\,b_{21}(z) + 2\,b_{03}(z)^2\right) - \frac{b_{03}'(z)}{3\,b_{03}(z)}\,,\\ f_{15}(z) &= -\left[b_{03}'(z) + b_{03}(z)\left(5\,b_{03}(z)^2 + b_{21}(z)^2 + 3\,b_{02}(z) + 2\,b_{11}(z) + b_{20}(z)\right) + b_{01}(z) \right. \\ &+ b_{21}(z)\left(b_{02}(z) + 6\,b_{03}(z)^2\right)\right]\,,\\ f_{16}(z) &= b_{02}'(z) - \left(b_{21}(z)\,b_{03}(z)\right)' + \frac{1}{3}\,b_{03}'(z)\left(\frac{b_{02}(z)}{b_{03}(z)} - 13\,b_{03}\right) - 2\,b_{03}(z)^3(10\,b_{21}(z) + 7\,b_{03}(z)) \\ &- b_{03}(z)\left(2\,b_{01}(z) + b_{10}(z) + 2\,b_{21}(3\,b_{02}(z) + b_{11}(z)\right) + b_{21}'(z)\right) \\ &- b_{03}(z)^2\left(10\,b_{02}(z) + 3\,b_{20}(z) + 6\,b_{11}(z) + 6\,b_{21}(z)^2\right)\,. \end{split}$$

The surface diagram of the defining manifold is depicted in figure 10 and the conditions obtained after the cascades for the system to be of quasi-Painlevé type are

$$b'_{20} = 0,$$
 $(b_{11}(z) + b_{02}(z) + 2b_{03}(b_{03} + b_{21}))' = 0,$ $b_{03}(z) b''_{03}(z) - b'_{03}(z)^2 = 0.$ (5.31)

The first condition says that $b_{20}(z) = b_{20} \in \mathbb{C}$ is constant, while the second condition gives $b_{11}(z) = c - b_{02}(z) - 2b_{03}(b_{03} + b_{21})$, with $c \in \mathbb{C}$. The last condition implies that $b_{03}(z) = a \exp(b z)$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ are constants.

The 2-form ω in the final charts of each cascade of blow-ups is given by

$$\omega = dy \wedge dx = u_4 \, du_4 \wedge dv_4 = u_8 \, du_8 \wedge dv_8 = u_{16}^2 \, du_{16} \wedge dv_{16}. \tag{5.32}$$

In this case, the behaviour of the solutions about the movable singularities is not the same for the three branches. Indeed, for the solutions going through E_4 and E_8 in figure 10 the singularities are of square-root type, while through E_{16} in figure 10 they are of cubic-root type. In particular, along E_4 and E_8 we have

$$E_4: x(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (z - z_*)^{-1/2} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^{1/2}), \quad y(x) = -b_{20}\sqrt{2}(z - z_*)^{1/2} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^{1/2}), \quad (5.33)$$

$$E_8: x(z) = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(z - z_*)^{-1/2} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^{1/2}), \quad y(x) = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(z - z_*)^{-1/2} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^{1/2}), \quad (5.34)$$

and along E_{16}

$$E_{16}: x(z) = \left(\frac{b_{03}(z_*)}{3}\right)^{1/3} (z - z_*)^{-1/3} + \mathcal{P}_h\left((z - z_*)^{1/3}\right),$$

$$y(x) = \left(\frac{1}{9 \, b_{03}(z_*)}\right)^{1/3} (z - z_*)^{-2/3} + \mathcal{P}_h\left((z - z_*)^{1/3}\right).$$
(5.35)



Figure 12: Intersection diagrams associated with the cascades shown in figure 11 (left and right respectively), where we observe the coalescence of the short cascades for H_{Q2}^{a1} in (5.36), from the points $\{p_9, \ldots, p_{11}^{\pm}\}$ (left) to $\{p_9, \ldots, p_{13}\}$ with H_{Q2}^{a2} in (5.39) (right).

5.2.1 Degeneration $Q2 \rightarrow Q2.a1$ and coalescence $Q2.a1 \rightarrow Q2.a2$

When the coefficient b_{03} in the Hamiltonian (5.25) vanishes, we observe a structural change in the third cascade of blow-ups of the point p_9 in the generic case Q2. This is due to fact that if the function $b_{03} = 0$ in (5.30) an additional cancellation occurs in the system involving (u_9, v_9) . The cascades of blow-ups to be performed are schematised in figure 11. For convenience the Hamiltonian will be rewritten by letting $b_{02} = (\tilde{b}_{02})^2$.

$$H_{\rm Q2}^{\rm a1}(x(z), y(z); z) = x^2(x-y) y + b_{21}(z) x^2 y + b_{20}(z) x^2 + b_{11}(z) xy + \tilde{b}_{02}^2(z) y^2 + b_{10}(z) x + b_{01}(z) y .$$
(5.36)

When $\tilde{b}_{02}(z) \neq 0$, the branch starting from p_9 splits in two branches (left of figure 11), each with two more points at which to blow up the surface. In this case, we obtain the following conditions for the quasi-Painlevé property of the system:

$$b'_{20}(z) = 0, \quad \left(b_{11}(z) + \tilde{b}_{02}(z)^2\right)' = 0, \quad \left(\frac{b_{01}(z)}{\tilde{b}_{02}(z)} + \tilde{b}_{02}(z)\,b_{21}(z)\right)' = 0, \quad \tilde{b}_{02}(z)\,\tilde{b}''_{02}(z) - \tilde{b}'_{02}(z)^2 = 0, \quad (5.37)$$

from which we find that $b_{20}(z) = b_{20}$ is a constant, $\tilde{b}_{02}(z) = \exp(az+b)$, $b_{11}(z) = c - \tilde{b}_{02}(z)^2$ and $b_{01}(z) = \tilde{b}_{02}(z)(d-\tilde{b}_{02}(z)b_{21}(z))$, with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$. Here, the leading order behaviour of the solution on the exceptional curves E_4 and E_8 is the same as in (5.33) and (5.34), while we find the following for the two new cascades:

$$E_{11}^{\pm}: \ x(z) = \pm \tilde{b}_{02}(z_*) + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^1), \qquad y(z) = \pm \frac{1}{2 \,\tilde{b}_{02}(z_*)}(z - z_*)^{-1} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^1).$$
(5.38)

If instead $\tilde{b}_{02}(z) = 0$, while assuming $b_{01}(z) \neq 0$, we observe the coalescence of the above-mentioned branches, giving rise to a single branch originating at p_9 and composed of a cascade of 5 blow-ups (right of figure 11). The Hamiltonian is

$$H_{\rm Q2}^{\rm a2}(x(z), y(z); z) = x^2(x-y)y + b_{21}(z)x^2y + b_{20}(z)x^2 + b_{11}(z)xy + b_{10}(z)x + b_{01}(z)y,$$
(5.39)

and this case the conditions for the quasi-Painlevé property are

$$b'_{20}(z) = 0, \qquad b'_{11}(z) = 0, \qquad b_{01}(z) \, b''_{01}(z) - b'_{01}(z)^2 = 0,$$
(5.40)

yielding $b_{20}(z) = b_{20}$, $b_{11}(z) = b_{11}$ and $b_{01}(z) = \exp(az + b)$, with $b_{20}, b_{11}, a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ constants. Again the behaviour of the solution along the exceptional curves E_4 and E_8 is reported in (5.33) and (5.34), while along the exceptional curve E_{13} the leading order is

$$E_{13}: \ x(z) = b_{01}(z_*)(z - z_*) + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^1), \qquad y(z) = \frac{1}{b_{01}(z_*)}(z - z_*)^{-2} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^1).$$
(5.41)

The corresponding intersection diagrams are reported in figure 12.



Figure 13: Rational surface constructed for H_{Q3} (left) and its corresponding intersection diagram (right).

5.3 Case Q3: 2 double points

By a Möbius transformation, the base point p_5 from the previous case can be slid along the line at infinity in figure 11 to take any coordinates $(u_0, v_0) = (0, c)$, (respectively $(U_0, V_0) = (c^{-1}, 0)$), $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. If we then let $c \to 0$ the point coalesces with the other single point, p_1 , to form another double base point. In this case the homogeneous quartic part of the Hamiltonian becomes x^2y^2 . The general Hamiltonian in this case is

$$H_{Q3}(x,y;z) = x^2 y^2 + c_{30}(z) x^3 + c_{03}(z) y^3 + c_{20}(z) x^2 + c_{11}(z) xy + c_{02}(z) y^2 + c_{10}(z) x + c_{01}(z) y.$$
(5.42)

Here, by a shift in x and y we have set the coefficients $c_{21}(z)$ and $c_{12}(z)$ to 0. By an additional scaling $x \mapsto c(z)x$, $y \mapsto c(z)^{-1}y$ we can achieve that $c_{30}(z) = c_{03}(z) =: c_3(z)$, unless either c_{30} or c_{03} , or both are identically 0, which will be treated as separate sub-cases, by subsequent degeneration of the Hamiltonian, below. The system (5.42) presents two base points in \mathbb{CP}^2 with coordinates

$$p_1: (u_0, v_0) = (0, 0), \qquad p_9: (U_0, V_0) = (0, 0),$$
(5.43)

giving rise to the following two cascades of blow-ups. The cascade originating at the point p_1 is

$$p_{1} \leftarrow p_{2} : (U_{1}, V_{1}) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_{3} : (\tilde{u}_{2}, \tilde{v}_{2}) = \left(0, -\frac{1}{c_{3}(z)}\right) \leftarrow p_{4} : (u_{3}, v_{3}) = (0, 0) \leftarrow$$

$$\leftarrow p_{5} : (u_{4}, v_{4}) = \left(0, -\frac{c_{20}(z)}{c_{3}(z)}\right) \leftarrow p_{6} : (u_{5}, v_{5}) = \left(0, c_{3}(z)^{2} \left(c_{11}(z) - c_{3}(z)^{2} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{c_{3}'(z)}{c_{3}(z)}\right)\right) \leftarrow p_{7} : (u_{6}, v_{6}) = \left(0, c_{10}(z) - c_{3}(z) c_{02}(z)\right) \leftarrow p_{8} : (u_{7}, v_{7}) = (0, f_{8}(z)),$$

$$(5.44)$$

where

$$f_8(z) = 2c_3(z)^2 c_{20}(z) - c_3(z) c_{01}(z) - c_{11}(z) c_{20}(z) + c'_{20}(z) - \frac{1}{3} \frac{c_{20}(z) c'_3(z)}{c_3(z)}.$$
 (5.45)

In order to obtain a polynomial Hamiltonian after the last blow-up transformation, we implemented the twist (2.13) for $(u_2, v_2) \mapsto (\tilde{u}_2, \tilde{v}_2)$.

Due to the symmetry in the Hamiltonian, the second cascade is similar:

$$p_{9} \leftarrow p_{10}: (u_{10}, v_{10}) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_{11}: \left(\tilde{U}_{11}, \tilde{V}_{11}\right) = \left(-\frac{1}{c_{3}(z)}, 0\right) \leftarrow p_{12}: (U_{12}, V_{12}) = (0, 0) \leftarrow (5.46)$$

$$\leftarrow p_{13}: (U_{13}, V_{13}) = \left(-\frac{c_{02}(z)}{c_{3}(z)}, 0\right) \leftarrow p_{14}: (U_{14}, V_{14}) = \left(c_{3}(z)^{2} - c_{11}(z) - \frac{1}{3}\frac{c_{3}'(z)}{c_{3}(z)}, 0\right) \leftarrow (5.46)$$

$$\leftarrow p_{15}: (U_{15}, V_{15}) = \left(c_{01}(z) - c_{3}(z), 0\right) \leftarrow p_{16}: (U_{16}, V_{16}) = (f_{16}(z), 0),$$



Figure 14: Cascades of blow-up transformation for H_{Q3}^{a1} in (5.52) (left) and H_{Q3}^{a2} in (5.58) (right).

where

$$f_{16}(z) = 2 c_3(z)^2 c_{02}(z) - c_3(z) c_{10}(z) - c_{11}(z) c_{02}(z) - c'_{02}(z) + \frac{1}{3} \frac{c_{02}(z) c'_3(z)}{c_3(z)}, \qquad (5.47)$$

and involving the twist (2.13) for $(U_{11}, V_{11}) \mapsto (\tilde{U}_{11}, \tilde{V}_{11})$.

The intersection diagram associated with the system is shown in figure 13. The conditions obtained after the cascades for the system to be of quasi-Painlevé type are

$$(c_3(z)^2 - c_{11}(z))' = 0, \qquad c_3(z) c_3''(z) - c_3'(z)^2 = 0.$$
 (5.48)

Since $c_3(z) \neq 0$, the latter condition gives $c_3(z) = \exp(az+b)$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ integration constants. Furthermore, the first condition becomes $c_{11}(z) = c + \exp(2(az+b))$, with $c \in \mathbb{C}$ constant. Under these conditions, the solutions at the movable singularities for the branch starting from p_1 (third diagram in figure 8) has leading order behaviour

$$E_8: \ x(z) = \left(-9 c_3(z_*)\right)^{-1/3} (z - z_*)^{-2/3} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^{1/3}),$$

$$y(z) = \left(-\frac{c_3(z_*)}{3}\right)^{1/3} (z - z_*)^{-1/3} + \mathcal{P}_h\left((z - z_*)^{1/3}\right).$$
(5.49)

The behaviour of the solutions through the exceptional curve after the last blow-up of the cascade originating from p_9 (third diagram in figure 8) is

$$E_{16}: \ x(z) = \left(\frac{c_3(z_*)}{3}\right)^{1/3} (z - z_*)^{-1/3} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^{1/3}),$$

$$y(x) = -\left(9 c_3(z_*)\right)^{-1/3} (z - z_*)^{-2/3} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^{1/3}).$$
(5.50)

The symplectic 2-form, in the coordinate charts after the final blow-up for each cascade is

$$\omega = dy \wedge dx = u_8^2 \, du_8 \wedge dv_8 = V_{16}^2 \, dU_{16} \wedge dV_{16}.$$
(5.51)

5.3.1 Degeneration $Q3 \rightarrow Q3.a1$ and coalescence $Q3.a1 \rightarrow Q3.a2$

This case refers to the occurrence where the coefficient functions $c_{30} \neq c_{03}$ in the Hamiltonian (5.42), and one of them vanishes. By a further scaling $x \mapsto c(z) x$ and $y \mapsto c(z)^{-1}y$, which leaves the quartic term invariant, we can achieve that $c_{03}(z) = c_{20}(z)$, assuming that $c_{20}(z) \neq 0$. Here, for convenience in the blow-up calculation we let $c_{03}(z) = c_{20}(z) = -\tilde{c}(z)^2$, so that the Hamiltonian is

$$H_{\rm Q3}^{\rm a1}(x,y;z) = x^2 y^2 - \tilde{c}(z)^2 \left(y^3 + x^2\right) + c_{11}(z) \, xy + c_{02}(z) \, y^2 + c_{10}(z) \, x + c_{01}(z) \, y \tag{5.52}$$

The degeneration $c_{30} = 0$ gives rise to a splitting of the cascade of blow-ups emanating from the base point p_9 : $(u_0, v_0) = (0, 0)$. The corresponding blow-up diagram is shown in figure 14 (left). This splitting leads

to a change of leading-order behaviour for solutions passing through the final exceptional curves in the two sub-cascades. The conditions from the three cascades, for the system to be of quasi-Painlevé type, are

$$\tilde{c}''(z)\,\tilde{c}(z) - \tilde{c}'(z)^2 = 0\,,\qquad c'_{11}(z) = 0\,,\qquad \left(\frac{c_{10}(z)}{\tilde{c}(z)}\right)' = 0\,.$$
 (5.53)

These can be simplified and integrated to give

 $\tilde{c}(z) = \exp(az+b), \qquad c_{11}(z) = c_{11}, \qquad c_{10}(z) = c \cdot \tilde{c}(z),$ (5.54)

where $a, b, c, c_{11} \in \mathbb{C}$ are constants.

The 2-form in the coordinates after final blow-ups in each cascade is of the form

$$\omega = dy \wedge dx = -V_{11}^2 \, dU_{11} \wedge dV_{11} = du_3^+ \wedge dv_3^+ = du_3^- \wedge dv_3^-.$$
(5.55)

The singularities arising from the exceptional curve after the cascade of blow-ups from p_4 (left of figure 14) is of the form

$$E_{11}: \ x(z) = \left(-\frac{\tilde{c}(z_{*})^{2}}{3}\right)^{1/3} (z - z_{*})^{-1/3} + \mathcal{P}_{h}\left((z - z_{*})^{1/3}\right),$$

$$y(z) = \left(-3\,\tilde{c}(z_{*})\right)^{-2/3} (z - z_{*})^{-2/3} + \mathcal{P}_{h}((z - z_{*})^{1/3}),$$

(5.56)

while the singularities from the split cascades emanating from p_1 (left of figure 14) are now ordinary poles,

$$E_3^{\pm}: \ x(z) = \frac{\pm 1}{2\,\tilde{c}(z_*)}(z-z_*)^{-1} + \mathcal{P}_h\big((z-z_*)^1\big)\,, \qquad y(z) = -\tilde{c}(z_*) + \mathcal{P}_h\big((z-z_*)^1\big)\,, \tag{5.57}$$

with two different types of residues for x at the movable singularity z_* .

In the case where the coefficients $c_{03}(z) \neq c_{20}(z) = 0$ we observe the coalescence of the two branches of the diagram on the left of figure 14. Here, if $c_{10}(z) \neq 0$, we can re-scale x and y so that $c_{10}(z) = c_{03}(z)$ and the Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H_{\rm Q3}^{\rm a2}(x,y;z) = x^2 y^2 - c_{03}(z) \left(y^3 + x\right) + c_{11}(z) xy + c_{02}(z) y^2 + c_{01}(z) y \,. \tag{5.58}$$

Performing the blow-ups, we get the intersection diagrams in figure 15 for the cascades schematised in figure 14 respectively to the left and to the right. The resonance conditions are

$$c'_{11}(z) = 0, \qquad c''_{03}(z) c_{03}(z) - c'_{03}(z)^2 = 0,$$
 (5.59)

resulting in $c_{03}(z) = \exp(az+b)$ and $c_{11}(z) = c_{11}$, with the constants $a, b, c_{11} \in \mathbb{C}$. The leading order behaviours for the solutions at the two types of movable singularity are given by

$$E_{13}: \ x(z) = \left(\frac{c_{03}(z_{*})}{3}\right)^{1/3} (z - z_{*})^{-1/3} + \mathcal{P}_{h}((z - z_{*})^{1/3}),$$

$$y(z) = -\left(9 c_{03}(z_{*})\right)^{-1/3} (z - z_{*})^{-2/3} + \mathcal{P}_{h}((z - z_{*})^{1/3}),$$

(5.60)

for the long branch terminating at the point p_{13} on the right of figure 14 and

$$E_5: \ x(z) = -\frac{1}{c_{03}(z_*)}(z-z_*)^{-2} + \mathcal{P}_h((z-z_*)^1), \qquad y(z) = -c_{03}(z_*)(z-z_*) + \mathcal{P}_h((z-z_*)^1), \qquad (5.61)$$

for the cascade ending at the point p_5 in the same diagram.



Figure 15: The mechanism of coalescence of the two small branches identified with the points $\{p_1, \ldots, p_3^{\pm}\}$ in figure 14 (left) for H_{Q3}^{a1} to the single branch $\{p_1, \ldots, p_5\}$ in figure 14 (right) for H_{Q3}^{a2} .

5.3.2 Degeneration Q3.a1 \rightarrow Q3.b1 (P_V) and coalescences Q3.b1 \rightarrow Q3.b2 (P_{III}) \rightarrow Q3.b3 (P_{III})

In this case, both cascades of blow-ups (5.44) and (5.46) split into two, each of which give rise to ordinary poles as singularities for the system of equations, as represented on the left of figure 16. The Hamiltonian, after re-scaling of x and y, is

$$H_{Q3}^{b1}(x,y;z) = x^2 y^2 - \tilde{c}(z)^2 \left(x^2 + y^2\right) + c_{11}(z) xy + c_{10}(z) x + c_{01}(z) y, \qquad (5.62)$$

Performing the blow-ups, we obtain the following conditions,

$$\tilde{c}''(z)\,\tilde{c}(z) - \tilde{c}'(z)^2 = 0\,, \qquad c_{11}'(z) = 0\,, \qquad \left(\frac{c_{10}(z)}{\tilde{c}(z)}\right)' = 0\,, \qquad \left(\frac{c_{01}(z)}{\tilde{c}(z)}\right)' = 0\,, \tag{5.63}$$

which, when implemented, result in a system with meromorphic solutions, i.e. it has the Painlevé property. Namely, the leading order of the solutions are

$$E_3^{\pm}: \ x(z) = \pm \frac{1}{2\,\tilde{c}(z_*)}(z-z_*)^{-1} + \mathcal{P}_h\big((z-z_*)^1\big)\,, \qquad y(z) = \pm\,\tilde{c}(z_*) + \mathcal{P}_h((z-z_*)^1)\,, \tag{5.64}$$

for the branches emerged by the base point p_1 in the left of figure 16, and

$$E_6^{\pm}: \ x(z) = \mp \tilde{c}(z_*) + \mathcal{P}_h((z-z_*)^1), \qquad y(z) = \pm \frac{1}{2\,\tilde{c}(z_*)}(z-z_*)^{-1} + \mathcal{P}_h\big((z-z_*)^1\big), \tag{5.65}$$

for the branches with origin in p_4 (left of figure 16).

Remark 5.2. The intersection diagram obtained for the Hamiltonian system (5.62) is that of the extended affine Weyl group of type $D_5^{(1)}$, which it shares with the Painlevé V equation (left of figure 16). In fact, our Hamiltonian (5.75), with the normalisation $\tilde{c}(z) = \exp(z)$, $c_{10}(z) = a \exp(z)$, $c_{01}(z) = b \exp(z)$, $c_{11}(z) = c$ with constants $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$H_{Q3}^{b1}(x,y;z) = x^2 y^2 - \exp(2z) \left(x^2 + y^2\right) + c \, xy + \exp(z)(a \, x + b \, y),\tag{5.66}$$

is related to the modified Painlevé V equation (see [1, p.29]),

$$w''(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2w} - \frac{1}{w-1}\right)(w')^2 + (w-1)^2\left(\alpha w + \frac{\beta}{w}\right) + \gamma \exp(t)w + \frac{\delta \exp(2t)w(w+1)}{w-1}.$$
 (5.67)

In fact, letting

$$x(z) = 2\exp(z)\left(u(z) - \frac{1}{2}\right), \qquad y(z) = \frac{1}{2}\left(v(z) + \frac{\exp(z)}{2}\right), \tag{5.68}$$

in (5.66) and eliminating v(z) from the Hamiltonian system obtained, we can deduce a second-order equation for u(z). Under the additional change of variables u(z) = w(t)/(w(t) - 1) and z = t/2, we obtain equation (5.67),



Figure 16: Cascades of blow-ups transformation for H_{Q3}^{b1} (left), H_{Q3}^{b2} (middle) and H_{Q3}^{b3} (right) giving rise to three different intersection diagrams that are associated with the Dynkin diagrams of $D_5^{(1)}$ for P_V , $D_6^{(1)}$ and $D_7^{(1)}$ both for P_{III} respectively.

the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ being related to a, b, c in (5.66) in the following way,

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{32} (b + c + 1)^2, \qquad \beta = -\frac{1}{32} (b - c - 1)^2, \qquad \gamma = a, \qquad \delta = 1.$$
(5.69)

Thus, with (5.66) we have found a very symmetric Hamiltonian system for the modified Painlevé V equation.

We observe a coalescence in (5.66) if we restore the coefficients c_{20} multiplying x^2 and c_{02} multiplying y^2 and let $c_{02} = 0$ (or $c_{20} = 0$ which is similar). This corresponds to the coalescence of the two branches of blow-ups originating in p_4 (left of figure 16) producing the blow-up structure shown in the middle of figure 16. In this case, we can re-scale $x \mapsto \tilde{c}(z) x, y \mapsto \tilde{c}(z)^{-1}y$ in order to set the coefficient $\tilde{c}(z) = -1$, which is assumed in the following, yielding the Hamiltonian

$$H_{\rm Q3}^{\rm b2}(x,y;z) = x^2 y^2 - x^2 + c_{11}(z) \, xy + c_{10}(z) \, x + c_{01}(z) \, y \,. \tag{5.70}$$

Here, the conditions for the equations of motion to be of Painlevé type are

$$c'_{11}(z) = 0, \qquad c'_{10}(z) = 0, \qquad c''_{01}(z) c_{01}(z) - c'_{01}(z)^2 = 0,$$
(5.71)

which amount to $c_{01}(z) = \exp(az + b)$, $c_{10}(z) = c$ and $c_{11}(z) = d$ with constants $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$. In the following, we assume $a \neq 0$ and shift and re-scale z so that $c_{01}(z) = \exp(z)$. In this form, the resulting system of equations is related to the modified Painlevé III equation [1, p.22] of type $D_6^{(1)}$. Namely, x(z) satisfies the following second-order equation,

$$x''(z) = \frac{(x')^2}{x} - 2cx^2 + 4x^3 - \exp(z)\left(1+d\right) - \frac{\exp(2z)}{x}.$$
(5.72)

The leading order for the solutions at the movable singularities then are

$$E_8: \ x(z) = \exp(z_*)(z - z_*) + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^1), \qquad y(z) = -\exp(-z_*)(z - z_*)^{-2} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^1)$$
(5.73)

for the branch originating at p_4 (center of figure 16) and

$$E_3^{\pm}: \ x(z) = \frac{\pm 1}{2} (z - z_*)^{-1} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^1), \qquad y(z) = \pm 1 + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^1), \tag{5.74}$$

for the branches emerging from p_1 (center of figure 16).



Figure 17: Rational surface constructed for H_{Q4} (left) and its corresponding intersection diagram (right).

With the choice $c_{20} = 0$ in (5.70) we obtain a system related to the $D_7^{(1)}$ form of the Painlevé III equation. This corresponds to the other two cascades of blow-ups coalescing (right of figure 16). If $c_{10}, c_{01} \neq 0$ we can re-scale x and y so that $c_{10}(z) = c_{01}(z) =: \tilde{c}(z)$, yielding

$$H_{Q3}^{b3}(x,y;z) = x^2 y^2 + c_{11}(z) \, xy + \tilde{c}(z) \, (x+y) \;. \tag{5.75}$$

Here, the conditions for the system to be of Painlevé type are

$$c'_{11}(z) = 0, \qquad \tilde{c}''(z)\,\tilde{c}(z) - \tilde{c}'(z)^2 = 0,$$
(5.76)

giving $\tilde{c}(z) = \exp(az + b)$ and $c_{11}(z) = c$, with constants $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$. Again, assuming $a \neq 0$, we re-scale z so that $\tilde{c}(z) = \exp(z)$. The second-order equation satisfied by x(z) is

$$x''(z) = \frac{(x')^2}{x} - 2\exp(z)x^2 - \exp(z)(1+c) - \frac{\exp(2z)}{x}.$$
(5.77)

The leading order behaviour of the solutions at the movable singularities for the cascade starting at p_1 (right of figure 16) is

$$E_5: \ x(z) = -\exp(-z_*)(z-z_*)^{-2} + \mathcal{P}_h((z-z_*)^1), \quad y(z) = -\exp(z_*)(z-z_*) + \mathcal{P}_h((z-z_*)^1), \quad (5.78)$$

and for the cascade emerging from p_6 (right of figure 16),

$$E_{13}: \ x(z) = \exp(z_*)(z - z_*) + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^1), \quad y(z) = -\exp(-z_*)(z - z_*)^{-2} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^1).$$
(5.79)

5.4 Case Q4: 1 triple point, 1 simple point

If, starting from the Hamiltonian in section 5.2, we slide the base point along the line at infinity and let it coalesce with the point p_9 (rather than p_1 in the previous section), we end up with the case of one triple and one single base point. The Hamiltonian in this instance can be taken to be

$$H_{\rm Q4}(x(z), y(z); z) = x^3 y + d_{12}(z) x y^2 + d_{03}(z) y^3 + d_{20}(z) x^2 + d_{11}(z) x y + d_{02}(z) y^2 + d_{10}(z) x + d_{01}(z) y \,. \tag{5.80}$$

Here, by appropriate shifts in x and y we have eliminated the coefficients of the terms x^3 and x^2y , and by an additional re-scaling of the variables x and y we can set the coefficient $d_{03}(z) = 1$. The case where $d_{03} = 0$ will be treated separately below as a degeneration.

The cascades of blow-ups are given by two branches, originating at the base points

$$p_1: (u_0, v_0) = (0, 0), \qquad p_5: (U_0, V_0) = (0, 0),$$
(5.81)

as depicted on the right of figure 8. The first cascade is given by

$$p_1 \leftarrow p_2: (u_1, v_1) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_3: (u_2, v_2) = (0, -d_{20}) \leftarrow p_4: (u_3, v_3) = (0, -d_{10}(z)).$$
 (5.82)

The cascade originating from p_5 is given in terms of the coordinates as

$$p_{5} \leftarrow p_{6}: (u_{6}, v_{6}) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_{7}: (u_{7}, v_{7}) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_{8}: (\hat{u}_{8}, \hat{v}_{8}) = (0, 1) \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow p_{9}: (u_{9}, v_{9}) = \left(0, -\frac{d_{12}(z)}{2}\right) \leftarrow p_{10}: (u_{10}, v_{10}) = \left(0, \frac{d_{12}(z)^{2}}{8}\right) \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow p_{11}: (u_{11}, v_{11}) = \left(0, \frac{d_{02}(z)}{2}\right) \leftarrow p_{12}: (u_{12}, v_{12}) = \left(0, \frac{d_{11}(z)}{2} - \frac{d_{02}(z)d_{12}(z)}{4} - \frac{d_{12}(z)^{4}}{128}\right) \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow p_{13}: (u_{13}, v_{13}) = \left(0, \frac{d_{20}(z)}{2} - \frac{d'_{12}(z)}{8}\right) \leftarrow p_{14}: (u_{14}, v_{14}) = (0, f_{14}(z)) \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow p_{15}: (u_{15}, v_{15}) = (0, f_{15}(z)) \leftarrow p_{16}: (u_{16}, v_{16}) = (0, f_{16}(z)) ,$$

$$(5.83)$$

where

$$\begin{split} f_{14}(z) &= \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{d_{12}(z)}{2} \right)^6 - \frac{d_{12}(z)^2}{16} \left(d_{11}(z) - \frac{d_{02}(z) d_{12}(z)}{2} \right) + \frac{d_{12}(z)}{4} \left(d_{20}(z) - \frac{d'_{12}(z)}{12} \right) + \frac{d_{02}(z)^2}{8} - \frac{d_{01}(z)}{2} \right) \\ f_{15}(z) &= -\frac{d_{10}(z)}{2} - \frac{d'_{02}(z)}{4} - \frac{(d_{12}(z)^3)'}{72} , \\ f_{16}(z) &= \frac{d_{12}(z)^2}{16} \left(d_{01}(z) - \frac{3}{4} d_{02}(z)^2 \right) + \frac{d_{12}(z)}{4} \left(\frac{d'_{02}(z)}{2} + \frac{d_{02}(z) d_{11}(z)}{2} - d_{10}(z) \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{d_{12}(z)^3}{32} + \frac{d_{02}(z)}{4} \right) \left(\frac{3}{4} d'_{12}(z) - d_{20}(z) \right) - \frac{3}{16} \left(\frac{d_{12}(z)}{2} \right)^4 \left(d_{11}(z) - \frac{d_{12}(z) d_{02}(z)}{2} \right) \\ &- \frac{d_{11}(z)^2}{8} - \frac{d'_{11}(z)}{2} - \frac{5}{128} \left(\frac{d_{12}(z)}{2} \right)^8 . \end{split}$$

In the long branch we have implemented the intermediate change of variables $(u_8, v_8) \mapsto (\hat{u}_8, \hat{v}_8)$ as a 2-fold covering (2.14),

$$u_8 = \frac{\hat{u}_8}{\hat{v}_8}, \qquad v_8 = -\hat{v}_8^2, \tag{5.84}$$

The conditions on the coefficients for the Hamiltonian system to be of quasi-Painlevé type are

$$d'_{20}(z) = 0, \qquad d''_{12}(z) = 0,$$
(5.85)

therefore $d_{20}(z) = d_{20}$ and $d_{12}(z) = az + b$, with $d_{20}, a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ constants. The 2-form in the coordinates after final blow-ups in each cascade is of the form

$$\omega = dy \wedge dx = u_4 \, du_4 \wedge dv_4 = 2 \, u_{16}^3 \, du_{16} \wedge dv_{16} \,. \tag{5.86}$$

The solutions at the movable singularities have the following behaviour

$$E_4: \ x(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (z - z_*)^{-1/2} + \mathcal{P}_h \left((z - z_*)^{1/2} \right), \qquad y(z) = -d_{20}\sqrt{2} (z - z_*)^{1/2} + \mathcal{P}_h \left((z - z_*)^{1/2} \right), \tag{5.87}$$



Figure 18: Cascades of blow-ups for H_{Q4}^{a1} in (5.89) (left) and for H_{Q4}^{a2} in (5.94), both reproducing the cases studied in [5].

along the exceptional curve E_4 in figure 17, and

$$E_{16}: \ x(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(z - z_*)^{-1/2} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^{1/4}), \qquad y(z) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(z - z_*)^{-3/4} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^{1/4}), \qquad (5.88)$$

after the last blow-up in the long cascade in figure 17.

5.4.1 Degeneration Q4 \rightarrow Q4.a1 (quasi-P_{IV}) and coalescence Q4.a1 \rightarrow Q4.a2 (quasi-P_{II})

In this sub-case, where $d_{03} = 0$, we can use the scaling freedom $x \to cx$, $y \to c^{-3}y$ to let $d_{12} = 1$. With this, our Hamiltonian becomes

$$H_{Q4}^{a1}(x(z), y(z); z) = x^3 y + xy^2 + d_{20}(z)x^2 + d_{11}(z)xy + d_{02}(z)y^2 + d_{10}(z)x + d_{01}(z)y,$$
(5.89)

whose cascades of blow-ups to obtain a regular system are depicted in figure 18 (left). This is related to a Hamiltonian $H_2^{\text{qsi-P}_{\text{IV}}}(x_2, y_2; z)$ for the equation of quasi-Painlevé IV type introduced by the authors in [5, sec. 4.3]. In particular, the Hamiltonian $H_2^{\text{qsi-P}_{\text{IV}}}(x_2, y_2; z)$ maps into (5.89) through a transformation of the variables $x_2 \mapsto y$ and $y_2 \mapsto x$ followed by a shift in y.

The conditions for the Hamiltonian system derived by (5.89) to be of quasi-Painlevé type are

$$d'_{20}(z) = 0, \qquad d''_{02}(z) = 0, \qquad \left(d_{02}(z)^3 + d_{02}(z) d_{11}(z) - d_{01}(z)\right)' = 0, \tag{5.90}$$

from which $d_{20}(z) = d_{20}$, $d_{02}(z) = az+b$, $d_{01}(z) = d_{02}(z) d_{11}(z) + d_{02}(z)^3 + c$, where $d_{02}, a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ are constants. The 2-form after the final blow-ups in each cascade is

$$\omega = dy \wedge dx = u_4 \, du_4 \wedge dv_4 = dU_7 \wedge dV_7 = -V_{13} \, dU_{13} \wedge dV_{13} \,, \tag{5.91}$$

The behaviour of the solutions at movable singularities along E_4 is analogous to that already treated in (5.87). However, the behaviour of the solutions along E_7 is

$$E_7: \ x(z) = -d_{02}(z_*) + \mathcal{P}_h((z-z_*)^1), \qquad y(z) = -(z-z_*)^{-1} + \mathcal{P}_h((z-z_*)^1), \tag{5.92}$$

whereas along the last exceptional curve we have

$$E_{13}: \ x(z) = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(z-z_{*})^{-1/2} + \mathcal{P}_{h}\left((z-z_{*})^{1/2}\right), \qquad y(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z-z_{*})^{-1} + \mathcal{P}_{h}\left((z-z_{*})^{1}\right).$$
(5.93)

The intersection diagram associated with this case is depicted at the left of figure 19.

By letting $d_{12} = 0$, we re-scale x and y so that $d_{02} = 1$, the Hamiltonian now being

$$H_{Q4}^{a2}(x(z), y(z); z) = x^{3}y + d_{20}(z)x^{2} + d_{11}(z)xy + y^{2} + d_{10}(z)x + d_{01}(z)y.$$
(5.94)



Figure 19: Intersection diagrams associated with H_{Q4}^{a1} in (5.94) (left) and H_{Q4}^{a2} in (5.89) (right).

This is equivalent to the Hamiltonian $H_3^{\text{qsi-P}_{\text{II}}}(x_3, y_3; z)$ for the equation of quasi-Painlevé II type presented in the authors' article [5, sec. 4.1]. Explicitly, the Hamiltonian $H_3^{\text{qsi-P}_{\text{II}}}(x_3, y_3; z)$ in [5] is mapped into (5.94) after a transformation of the variables $x_3 \mapsto y, y_3 \mapsto x$ and a shift first in the variable y and then in the variable x.

The conditions such that the system associated with the Hamiltonian (5.94) is of quasi-Painlevé type are:

$$d'_{20}(z) = 0, \qquad d''_{11}(z) = 0,$$
(5.95)

i.e. $d_{20}(z) = d_{20}, d_{11}(z) = az + b$, with $d_{20}, a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ constants. The 2-form is given by

$$\omega = dy \wedge dx = u_4 \, du_4 \wedge dv_4 = 3V_{13} \, dU_{13} \wedge dV_{13} \,, \tag{5.96}$$

and in the procedure of building the long cascade, we have to consider the 3-fold covering (2.14) for the coordinate chart $(U_7, V_7) \mapsto (\hat{U}_7, \hat{V}_7)$ as

$$V_7 = \frac{\hat{V}_7}{\hat{U}_7}, \qquad U_7 = \hat{U}_7^3.$$
 (5.97)

The behaviour of the solutions at the movable singularities through E_4 is the same as in (5.87), whereas along the exceptional curve E_{13} emerging after the final blow-up in the cascade starting at p_5 in figure 18 (right), we obtain the following:

$$E_{13}: \ x(z) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(z-z_{*})^{-1/2} + \mathcal{P}_{h}\left((z-z_{*})^{1/2}\right), \qquad y(z) = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}(z-z_{*})^{-3/2} + \mathcal{P}_{h}\left((z-z_{*})^{1/2}\right).$$
(5.98)

The intersection diagram associated with this case is depicted at the right of figure 19.

5.5 Case Q5: 1 quadruple point

In this case the Hamiltonian can be brought into the standard form

$$H_{Q5}(x(z), y(z); z) = x^4 + e_{21}(z) x^2 y + e_{12}(z) xy^2 + y^3 + e_{20}(z) x^2 + e_{11}(z) xy + e_{02}(z) y^2 + e_{10}(z) x + e_{01}(z) y,$$
(5.99)

where we have eliminated the $e_{30}(z) x^3$ term by an appropriate shift in x and re-scaled y to set $e_{03} = 1$. Thus, for $e_{03}(z) \neq 0$, we are essentially in the situation of the Hamiltonian presented in [11], for which the defining manifold was computed in [14]. However, here we consider an additional intermediate change of variables to keep the Hamiltonian polynomial, and for this reason we provide the cascade of points in appendix B specifying the additional transformation. The rational surface associated with this system and the related intersection diagram are depicted in figure 20. This is obtained after a single cascade of 16 blow-ups, the condition for the quasi-Painlevé case being

$$\left(e_{12}(z)^2 - 3e_{21}(z)\right)'' = 0. \tag{5.100}$$



Figure 20: Rational surface constructed for H_{Q5} (left) and its corresponding intersection diagram (right).

The 2-form after the final blow-up is given by

$$\omega = dy \wedge dx = 3 \, u_{16}^4 \, du_{16} \wedge dv_{16} \,, \tag{5.101}$$

and the behaviour of the solutions through the only exceptional curve after the last blow-up of the cascade is

$$E_{16}: \ x(z) = \frac{1}{5^{3/5}} (z - z_*)^{-3/5} + \mathcal{P}_h \left((z - z_*)^{1/5} \right), \qquad y(z) = -\frac{1}{5^{4/5}} (z - z_*)^{-4/5} + \mathcal{P}_h \left((z - z_*)^{1/5} \right).$$
(5.102)

5.5.1 Degeneration $Q5 \rightarrow Q5.a1$

If $e_{03} = 0$, analogously to the way we proceed in section 5.4.1, we can re-scale the variables x and y so that the coefficient $e_{12}(z) = -1$. The Hamiltonian for this sub-case then becomes

$$H_{\rm Q5}^{\rm a1}(x(z), y(z); z) = x^4 + e_{21}(z) x^2 y - xy^2 + e_{20}(z) x^2 + e_{11}(z) xy + e_{02}(z) y^2 + e_{10}(z) x + e_{01}(z) y, \quad (5.103)$$

and the associated system is of quasi-Painlevé type if the following conditions are satisfied

$$(8 e'_{02}(z) + e_{21}(z) e'_{21}(z))' = 0, (e_{01}(z) + e_{02}(z) e_{11}(z) + e_{02}(z)^2 e_{21}(z) + e'_{02}(z))' = 0.$$
 (5.104)

To obtain the 2-form in the final charts in a suitable way, we consider an additional intermediate change of variables with the form of a 2-fold covering at the step 3 for the branch $\{p_1, p_4, \ldots, p_{11}\}$ in figure 21 as

$$u_3 = \frac{\hat{u}_3}{\hat{v}_3}, \qquad v_3 = \hat{v}_3^2.$$
 (5.105)

The 2-form at the final step in the two cascades is

$$\omega = dy \wedge dx = dU_3 \wedge dV_3 = -2u_{11}^2 \, du_{11} \wedge dv_{11} \,, \tag{5.106}$$

The behaviour of the solutions going through the exceptional curve E_3 , here emerging after the final blow-up in the small branch presented in figure 21, is

$$E_3: \ x(z) = e_{02}(z_*) + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^1), \qquad y(z) = (z - z_*)^{-1} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^1), \tag{5.107}$$

while along the exceptional curve E_{11} , after the last blow-up in the longer branch of figure 21, we have

$$E_{11}: \ x(z) = 9^{-1/3}(z - z_*)^{-2/3} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^{1/3}), \qquad y(z) = \frac{1}{3}(z - z_*)^{-1} + \mathcal{P}_h((z - z_*)^{1/3}).$$
(5.108)



Figure 21: Cascades of blow-ups for H_{Q5}^{a1} (left) and its corresponding intersection diagram (right).

5.5.2 Degeneration coalescence Q5.a1 $\rightarrow \rightarrow$ Q5.b2 (P_{II}) and coalescence Q5.b2 \rightarrow Q5.b3 (P_I)

With the further degeneration induced by considering $e_{03} = 0 = e_{12}$ in (5.99), we find a new Hamiltonian system for Painlevé II, which we already announced in [5]. The Hamiltonian in this case becomes

$$H_{Q5}^{b2}(x(z), y(z); z) = x^4 + e_{21}(z) x^2 y + e_{20}(z) x^2 + e_{11}(z) xy + e_{02}(z) y^2 + e_{10}(z) x + e_{01}(z) y,$$
(5.109)

and in order to trace back this expression to that of the Hamiltonian function for the system underlying P_{II} , we consider the transformation of variables (x(z), y(z)) as

$$\begin{cases} x \mapsto a(z) \, x + b(z) \,, \\ y \mapsto c(z) \, y + d(z) \, x + e(z) \, x^2 + f(z) \,. \end{cases}$$
(5.110)

By solving a system in six unknowns, we can determine the expressions for the z-dependent coefficients a(z), $b(z), \ldots, f(z)$ in (5.110) such that the Hamiltonian takes the form:

$$H_{Q5}^{b2}(x(z), y(z); z) = x^4 + \tilde{e}_{21} x^2 y + (\tilde{e}_{02})^2 y^2 + \tilde{e}_{10}(z) x + \tilde{e}_{01}(z) y, \qquad (5.111)$$

with the coefficients \tilde{e}_{02} , \tilde{e}_{21} constants and with the constraint

$$\tilde{e}_{21}^2 - 4\,\tilde{e}_{02}^2 \neq 0 \implies \tilde{e}_{21} \neq \pm 2\,e_{02}\,,$$
(5.112)

guaranteeing the split into two branches for the cascade of blow-ups ending in the points p_6^+ and p_6^- , respectively, shown in figure 22 (left).

In order to have the system in the final charts after the blow-ups of the points p_6^{\pm} (left of figure 22), we introduce an additional change of variables $(U_2, V_2) \mapsto (\tilde{U}_2, \tilde{V}_2)$ in the system before the splitting, the twist

$$\tilde{U}_2 = \frac{1}{U_2}, \qquad \tilde{V}_2 = V_2,$$
(5.113)

such that the 2-form in the last charts reads as

$$\omega = dy \wedge dx = -dU_6^+ \wedge dV_6^+ = -dU_6^- \wedge dV_6^-.$$
(5.114)

The conditions for the Hamiltonian system derived from (5.111) to satisfy the Painlevé property are

$$\tilde{e}'_{10}(z) = 0, \qquad \tilde{e}''_{01}(z) = 0, \qquad (5.115)$$

hence the with $\tilde{e}_{10}(z) = \tilde{e}_{10}$ and $\tilde{e}_{01}(z) = gz + h$, with $\tilde{e}_{10}, g, h \in \mathbb{C}$ constants. The sketch of the cascades of blow-ups is at the left of figure 22, and its well known intersection diagram is the Dynkin diagram $E_7^{(1)}$. Comparing this result with the discussion in section 4.2, we get two different surface diagrams associated with



Figure 22: Cascades of blow-ups for $H_{\rm Q5}^{\rm b2}$ for ${\rm P_{II}}$ (left) and $H_{\rm Q5}^{\rm b2}$ for ${\rm P_{I}}$ (right).

two different structures of cascades of blow-ups (centre of figure 5 and left of figure 22) leading to the same minimal intersection diagram $E_7^{(1)}$. In particular, with the choice of coefficients

$$\tilde{e}_{21} = -2, \quad \tilde{e}_{02} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad \tilde{e}_{10} = \frac{1+4\alpha}{6}, \quad \tilde{e}_{01}(z) = -\frac{z}{4},$$
(5.116)

and a change of variables $(x(z) \mapsto y_4(z), y(z) \mapsto x_4(z))$, we get the Hamiltonian $H_4^{\mathrm{P_{II}}}$ [5, eq. (3.4)] in the variables (x_4, y_4) .

The coalescence of the two branches ending with the blow-ups of the points p_6^{\pm} (left of figure 22) is obtained by considering again the change of variables (5.110) in (5.109) and solving the system to determine the coefficients $a(z), b(z), \ldots, f(z)$ in (5.110) such that the Hamiltonian takes the form:

$$H_{Q5}^{b3}(x(z), y(z); z) = x^4 + 2\,\hat{e}_{02}\,x^2y + (\hat{e}_{02})^2\,y^2 + \hat{e}_{11}\,xy + \hat{e}_{10}(z)\,x + \hat{e}_{01}\,y\,, \tag{5.117}$$

where \hat{e}_{02} , \hat{e}_{11} , \hat{e}_{01} are constants. Note that in this case we are studying the case complementary to (5.112), where we have

$$\hat{e}_{21} = 2\,\hat{e}_{02}\,.\tag{5.118}$$

The cascade of blow-ups for this case is depicted at the right of figure 22. Notice that in order to obtain a suitable expression for the symplectic form corresponding to the system derived after the final blow-up in the cascade (the point p_{10} at the right of figure 22), we need to implement two intermediate additional changes of variables: the twist at step 2

$$U_2 = \frac{1}{\tilde{U}_2}, \qquad V_2 = \tilde{V}_2, \qquad (5.119)$$

and the 2-fold covering at step 4,

$$U_4 = \hat{U}_4^2, \qquad V_4 = \frac{V_4}{\hat{U}_4}.$$
 (5.120)

The 2-form in the final chart is then

$$\omega = dy \wedge dx = -2 \, dU_{10} \wedge dV_{10} \,. \tag{5.121}$$

The condition for the Hamiltonian system derived from the Hamiltonian (5.117) to be of Painlevé type is

$$\hat{e}_{10}^{\prime\prime}(z) = 0, \qquad (5.122)$$

such that $\hat{e}_{10}(z) = kz + \ell$, with $k, \ell \in \mathbb{C}$ suitable constants, x satisfies the well-known equation $x''(z) = 6x^2 + z$. The intersection diagram associated with the surface type is the Dynkin diagram for $E_8^{(1)}$, as already discussed in section 4.3. So, with (5.117) we have found a quartic Hamiltonian giving rise to P_I . **Remark 5.3.** We obtain a further degeneration in the case where in (5.109) we let the coefficients $e_{12} = 0$, $e_{02} = 0$. The very degenerate Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_{Q5}^{deg}(x(z), y(z); z) = x^4 + e_{21}(z) x^2 y + e_{11}(z) xy + e_{10}(z) x + e_{01}(z) y, \qquad (5.123)$$

giving rise to a decoupled system in x and y, where the equation in x takes the form of a Riccati equation.

Summary

Starting from a general quartic polynomial, we have classified quasi-Painlevé Hamiltonian systems in terms of the intersection diagrams obtained for their defining manifold by an *n*-point blow-up of \mathbb{CP}^2 , where $n \leq 16$, with equality in the most general cases. Through coalescence of base points and subsequent degeneration of the Hamiltonian, we obtain various sub-cases with a number n < 16 of blow-ups. Among these sub-cases we find various quasi-Painlevé systems whose solutions exhibit movable algebraic poles of the leading order $(z - z_*)^{-1/k}$ at a singularity $z_* \in \mathbb{C}$, where $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, and mixed types of poles and/or algebraic poles can occur in the same system. In the sub-cases where for all types of movable singularity we have k = 1 we recover known Painlevé equations. Among the Hamiltonians of degree 3 and 4 we have recovered systems equivalent to the Painlevé equation I - V. The Painlevé VI equation will be found among the systems with Hamiltonian of degree 5 or higher.

We note that in the generic case for the homogeneous quartic part of the Hamiltonian with 4 initial base points (section 5.1) the intersection diagram itself does not suffice to uniquely determine the Hamiltonian structure, as by a Möbius transformation we can only fix three of the base points and a free parameter remains in the Hamiltonian. When going to higher-degree polynomial Hamiltonian systems, we expect it to be necessary to introduce further parameters to uniquely describe the space of initial conditions.

It will be an interesting task to classify quasi-Painlevé Hamiltonian systems of higher degree and we expect to find many new, interesting systems. While in the degree 4 case we found all intersection diagrams of (genuine) quasi-Painlevé systems (i.e. with at least one cascade of blow-ups to giving rise to algebraic poles) to have one and only one -3 curve (with all others being -2 curves), this will no longer be the case for higher degrees. While in the Painlevé cases, with all curves of self-intersection -2, the diagrams are extended Dynkin diagrams representing affine Weyl groups, the meaning of the diagrams with -3 curves remains to be discovered.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge funding by UK Research and Innovation under the EPSRC New Investigator Award EP/W012251/1, for the project entitled Geometric Aspects of Complex Differential Equations, at the University of Portsmouth from 2022 - 24. We also wish to thank Anton Dzhamay, Alex Stokes and Hidetaka Sakai for enlightening discussions when visiting Portsmouth. MD would like to thank Marco Bertola for interesting discussions.

A Scheme with Newton polygons

Here we depict the scheme presented in section 3.3 showing the Newton polygon associated with all the cases.



Figure 23: Caption

B Blow-ups for the general case Q5

We consider the cascade of 16 blow-ups required for the Hamiltonian system associated with the Hamiltonian (5.99) to be regularised. Although the space of initial conditions was already determined in [14], we list here the sequence of points at which to blow-up the surface, since here we consider an additional intermediate change of variables.

In the compactified version of the complex plane there is one base point only, namely

$$p_1: (U_0, V_0) = (0, 0).$$
 (B.1)

At the step 4 we have implemented the 3-fold covering $(u_4,v_4)\mapsto (\hat{u}_4,\hat{v}_4)$

$$u_4 = \frac{\hat{u}_4}{\hat{v}_4}, \qquad v_4 = \hat{v}^3.$$
 (B.2)

From the point p_1 the following cascade of blow-ups emerges

$$p_{1} \leftarrow p_{2}: (u_{1}, v_{1}) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_{3}: (u_{2}, v_{2}) = (0, 0) \leftarrow p_{4}: (u_{3}, v_{3}) = (0, 0) \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow p_{5}: (\hat{u}_{4}, \hat{v}_{4}) = (0, -1) \leftarrow p_{6}: (u_{5}, v_{5}) = \left(0, -\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right) \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow p_{7}: (u_{6}, v_{6}) = \left(0, -\frac{e_{12}(z)^{2}}{9} + -\frac{e_{21}(z)}{3}\right) \leftarrow p_{8}: (u_{7}, v_{7}) = \left(0, -2\frac{e_{12}(z)^{3}}{81} + \frac{e_{12}(z)e_{21}(z)}{9}\right) \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow p_{9}: (u_{8}, v_{8}) = \left(0, -\frac{e_{02}(z)}{3}\right) \leftarrow p_{10}: (u_{9}, v_{9}) = (0, f_{9}(z)) \leftarrow p_{11}: (u_{10}, v_{10}) = (0, f_{10}(z)) \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow p_{12}: (u_{11}, v_{11}) = \left(0, \frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{e'_{21}(z)}{3} - \frac{(e_{12}(z)^{2})'}{9}\right)\right) \leftarrow p_{13}: (u_{12}, v_{12}) = (0, f_{12}(z)) \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow p_{14}: (u_{13}, v_{13}) = (0, f_{13}(z)) \leftarrow p_{15}: (u_{14}, v_{14}) = (0, f_{14}(z)) \leftarrow p_{16}: (u_{15}, v_{15}) = (0, f_{15}(z)) \end{aligned}$$
(B.3)

with the functions $f_i(z)$ are

$$f_9(z) = \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^5 - \frac{5}{3} \frac{e_{12}(z)^3 e_{21}(z)}{81} + \frac{e_{12}(z)}{3} \left(\frac{e_{21}(z)^2}{3} - 2\frac{e_{02}(z)}{3}\right) + \frac{e_{11}(z)}{3}, \tag{B.4}$$

$$f_{10}(z) = \frac{7}{9} \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^6 + \frac{7}{3} \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^4 \frac{e_{21}(z)}{3} + 2 \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^2 \left(\left(\frac{e_{21}(z)}{3}\right)^2 - \frac{e_{02}(z)}{3}\right) - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{e_{21}(z)}{3}\right)^3 + \frac{e_{02}(z)e_{21}(z)}{9} - \frac{e_{11}(z)e_{12}(z)}{9} - \frac{e_{12}'(z)}{18} - \frac{e_{20}(z)}{3},$$
(B.5)

$$f_{12}(z) = -\frac{11}{9} \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^8 + \frac{44}{9} \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^6 \frac{e_{21}(z)}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^4 \left(10 \frac{e_{02}(z)}{3} - 20 \left(\frac{e_{21}(z)}{9}\right)^2\right) + \frac{5}{3} \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^3 \frac{e_{11}(z)}{3} + \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^2 \left(\frac{10}{3} \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^3 - 5 \frac{e_{02}(z)e_{21}(z)}{9} + \frac{e_{20}(z)}{3} - \frac{e_{12}(z)}{9}\right) + \frac{e_{12}(z)}{3} \left(2 \frac{e_{11}(z)e_{21}(z)}{9} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{e_{21}'(z)}{3}\right) - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{e_{21}(z)}{3}\right)^4 + \left(\frac{e_{21}(z)}{3}\right)^2 \frac{e_{02}(z)}{3} + \frac{e_{21}}{3} \left(\frac{1}{4} \frac{e_{21}'(z)}{3} - \frac{e_{20}(z)}{3}\right) - \left(\frac{e_{02}(z)}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{e_{01}(z)}{3},$$
(B.6)

$$f_{13}(z) = -\frac{130}{81} \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^9 + \frac{65}{9} \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^7 \frac{e_{21}(z)}{3} + \frac{7}{3} \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^5 \left(2\frac{e_{02}(z)}{3} - 5\left(\frac{e_{21}(z)}{3}\right)^2\right) \\ - \frac{7}{3} \frac{e_{11}(z)}{3} \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^4 + \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^3 \left(\frac{70}{9} \left(\frac{e_{21}(z)}{3}\right)^3 - \frac{28}{3} \left(\frac{e_{02}(z)e_{21}(z)}{9} + \frac{e_{12}'(z)}{45}\right) + \frac{4}{3} \frac{e_{20}(z)}{3}\right) \\ + \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^2 \left(4\frac{e_{11}(z)e_{21}(z)}{9} - \frac{e_{21}'(z)}{45}\right) - \frac{e_{11}(z)}{3} \left(\frac{e_{21}(z)}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{e_{21}(z)e_{21}'(z)}{45} \\ + \frac{e_{12}(z)}{3} \left(\frac{e_{21}(z)}{3} \left(4\frac{e_{21}(z)e_{02}(z)}{9} - \frac{5}{3}\frac{e_{21}(z)^3}{9} - \frac{11}{45}\frac{e_{12}'(z)}{9} - \frac{2}{3}\frac{e_{20}(z)}{3}\right) + \frac{e_{01}(z)}{3} - 2\left(\frac{e_{02}(z)}{3}\right)^2\right) \\ - \frac{e_{02}'(z)}{9} + \frac{e_{02}(z)e_{11}(z)}{9} - \frac{e_{10}(z)}{3},$$
(B.7)

$$\begin{aligned} f_{14}(z) &= \frac{1}{10} \frac{e_{12}(z)^4 e_{12}'(z)}{9} - \frac{1}{10} \frac{e_{12}(z)^3 e_{21}'(z)}{6} + \frac{e_{12}(z)}{3} \left(\frac{11}{10} \frac{e_{21}(z) e_{21}'(z)}{3} - \frac{e_{02}'(z)}{9}\right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{e_{21}(z)^2}{18} - \frac{1}{10} e_{12}(z)^2 e_{21}(z)\right) \frac{e_{12}'(z)}{2} + \frac{e_{11}'(z)}{6} - \frac{e_{02}(z)e_{12}'(z)}{9}, \end{aligned} \tag{B.8} \\ f_{15}(z) &= \frac{238}{81} \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^{11} - \frac{1309}{27} \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^9 \frac{e_{21}(z)}{3} + \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^7 \left(\frac{308}{9} \frac{e_{21}(z)^2}{9} - \frac{88}{9} \frac{e_{02}(z)}{9}\right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^6 \frac{44}{9} \frac{e_{11}(z)}{3} - \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^5 \left(\frac{308}{9} \left(\frac{e_{21}(z)}{3}\right)^3 - \frac{88}{3} \frac{e_{02}(z) e_{21}(z)}{9} - \frac{44}{9} \frac{e_{12}'(z)}{3} + \frac{8}{3} \frac{e_{20}(z)}{3}\right) \\ &- \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^4 \left(\frac{40}{3} \frac{e_{11}(z) e_{21}(z)}{9} + \frac{8}{3} \frac{e_{21}'(z)}{3}\right) + \frac{e_{21}(z)}{3} \left(\frac{2}{3} \frac{e_{02}(z) e_{21}(z)}{9} - \frac{44}{3} \frac{e_{12}'(z)}{9}\right) - \frac{44}{3} \frac{e_{12}'(z)}{9} - \frac{e_{10}(z)}{3}\right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^3 \left(\frac{20}{9} \frac{e_{21}(z)}{3} \left(\frac{7e_{21}(z)^3}{27} - \frac{4e_{02}(z)e_{21}(z)}{9} + \frac{e_{20}(z)}{3} - \frac{43}{30} \frac{e_{12}'(z)}{3}\right) - \frac{5}{3} \left(\frac{e_{01}(z)}{3} + \frac{4e_{02}(z)^2}{9}\right)\right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{e_{12}(z)}{3}\right)^2 \left(10 \frac{e_{11}(z) e_{21}(z)^2}{27} - \frac{5}{9} \left(\frac{e_{02}(z) e_{21}(z)^2}{27} + \frac{23}{18} \frac{e_{12}'(z)}{9} - \frac{4}{9} \frac{e_{20}(z)}{3}\right) + \frac{e_{11}(z)^2}{9}\right) \\ &+ \frac{e_{12}(z)}{3} \left(\frac{e_{21}(z)^2}{9} \left(-\frac{7}{9} \frac{e_{12}(z)^2}{81} + \frac{20}{9} \frac{e_{02}(z) e_{21}(z)^2}{27} + \frac{23}{18} \frac{e_{12}'(z)}{9} - \frac{4}{9} \frac{e_{20}(z)}{3}\right) + \frac{e_{11}(z)^2}{9} + \frac{e_{11}(z)^2}{27} \\ &+ e_{21}(z) \left(\frac{2e_{01}(z)}{27} - \frac{5e_{02}(z)^2}{81}\right) - \frac{11}{3} \frac{e_{02}(z) e_{12}(z)}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{e_{02}(z) e_{20}(z)}{3}\right] \\ &+ \frac{e_{11}(z)}{3} \left(\frac{5}{6} \frac{e_{12}'(z)}{3} + \frac{1}{9} e_{20}(z) - \frac{4}{243} e_{21}(z)^3\right) + \left(\frac{e_{02}(z)}{3} - \frac{e_{21}(z)^2}{9}\right) \frac{e_{11}'(z)}{3} - \frac{e_{12}'(z)}{18} - \frac{e_{20}'(z)}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

References

- V.I. Gromak, I. Laine, S. Shimomura: Painlevé Differential Equations in the Complex Plane (De Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2002). https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110198096
- M.A. Ablowitz, P.A. Clarkson: Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series (Cambridge University Press, 1991)
- [3] A.K. A.S. Fokas, A.R. Its, V. Novokshenov: Painleve Transcendents: The Riemann-Hilbert Approach. Mathematical surveys and monographs (American Mathematical Society, 2006). https://books.google. co.uk/books?id=BXRjXumx0qIC
- [4] G. Filipuk, A. Stokes: On Hamiltonian structures of quasi-Painlevé equations. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 56(49), pp. 495205 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/ad0b5c
- [5] M. Dell'Atti, T. Kecker: Geometric approach for the identification of Hamiltonian systems of quasi-Painlevé type. arXiv (2024). https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2402.19053
- S. Shimomura: A class of differential equations of PI-type with the quasi-Painlevé property. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 186, pp. 267–280 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1007/S10231-006-0004-3
- S. Shimomura: Nonlinear differential equations of second Painlevé type with the quasi-Painlevé property along a rectifiable curve. Tohoku Math. J. (2) 60(4), pp. 581-595 (2008). https://doi.org/10.2748/tmj/ 1232376167
- [8] G. Filipuk, R. Halburd: Movable algebraic singularities of second-order ordinary differential equations. J. Math. Phys. 50(2), pp. 023509 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3068414
- [9] G. Filipuk, R. Halburd: Movable singularities of Equations of Liénard type. Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 9 9, pp. 551-563 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03321744

- [10] T. Kecker: A Class of Non-Linear ODEs with Movable Algebraic Singularities. Computational Methods and Function Theory (2012). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03321848
- T. Kecker: Polynomial Hamiltonian systems with movable algebraic singularities. J. Anal. Math. 129, pp. 197-218 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11854-016-0020-1
- K. Okamoto: Sur les feuilletages associés aux équation du second ordre à points critiques fixes de P. Painlevé Espaces des conditions initiales. Jpn. J. Math. 5, pp. 1-79 (1979). https://doi.org/10.4099/MATH1924.5.1
- [13] H. Sakai: Rational Surfaces Associated with Affine Root Systems and Geometry of the Painlevé Equations. Commun. Math. Phys. 220(1), pp. 165–229 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200100446
- T. Kecker, G. Filipuk: Regularising transformations for complex differential equations with movable algebraic singularities. Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 25(9) (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11040-022-09417-6
- [15] K. Kajiwara, M. Noumi, Y. Yamada: Geometric aspects of Painlevé equations. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 50(7), pp. 073001 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/50/7/073001
- [16] A. Dzhamay, G. Filipuk, A. Ligceza, A. Stokes: On Hamiltonians related to the second Painlevé equation, in Proceedings of the conference Contemporary Mathematics in Kielce 2020, February 24-27 2021 (2021). https://doi.org/10.2478/9788366675360-006
- [17] P. Painlevé: Mémoire sur les équations différentielles dont l'intégrale générale est uniforme. Bull. Soc. Math. France 28, pp. 201-261 (1900). https://doi.org/10.24033/bsmf.633
- [18] R. Fuchs: Über lineare homogene Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung mit drei im Endlichen gelegenen wesentlich singulären Stellen. Math. Ann. 63, pp. 301–321 (1907). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01449199
- [19] J. Malmquist: Sur les équations différentielles du second ordre dont l'integrale générale a ses points critiques fixes. Ark. Mat. Astr. Fys. 17 (1923)
- [20] K. Okamoto: Studies on the Painlevé equations. I: Sixth Painlevé equation P_{VI}. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 146(1), pp. 337–381 (1986). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01762370
- [21] K. Okamoto: Studies on the Painleve equations II. Jpn. J. Math. 13, pp. 47-76 (1987). https://api. semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:123787748
- [22] K. Okamoto: Studies on the Painleve equations III. Second and Fourth Painlevé Equations P_{II} and P_{IV}. Math. Ann. 275, pp. 221–256 (1986). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01762370
- [23] K. Okamoto: Studies on the Painleve equations IV. Third Painlevé Equation P_{III}. Funkcial. Ekvac. 30, pp. 305-332 (1987). https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet/relay-station?mr=MR0927186
- [24] A. Dzhamay, G. Filipuk, A. Ligceza, A. Stokes: Different Hamiltonians for differential Painlevé equations and their identification using a geometric approach. arXiv (2021). https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv. 2109.06428
- [25] T. Shioda, K. Takano: On some Hamiltonian structures of Painlevé systems, I. Funkcial. Ekvac. 40(2), pp. 271 – 291 (1997). https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet/relay-station?mr=1480279
- [26] T. Matano, A. Matumiya, K. Takano: On some Hamiltonian structures of Painlevé systems, II. J. Math. Soc. Japan 51(4), pp. 843 – 866 (1999). https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/05140843

- [27] K. Iwasaki, S. Okada: On an orbifold Hamiltonian structure for the first Painlevé equation. J. Math. Soc. Japan 68(3), pp. 961 – 974 (2016). https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/06830961
- [28] G. Filipuk, A. Stokes: Orbifold Hamiltonian Structures of Certain Quasi-Painlevé' Equations. J. Dynam. Differential Equations (2024). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10884-024-10352-z
- [29] G. Filipuk: Regularisation and iterated regularisation of Hamiltonian systems of the second quasi-Painlevé equation. App. Num. Math. (2024). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnum.2024.10.012
- [30] T. Kecker: A cubic Hamiltonian system with meromorphic solutions. Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 16, pp. 307-317 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40315-015-0147-6