Adapting Image-to-Video Diffusion Models for Large-Motion Frame Interpolation

Luoxu Jin CSCE, Graduate School of FSE Waseda University Tokyo, Japan

Abstract—The development of video generation models has advanced significantly in recent years. For video frame interpolation, we adopt a pre-trained large-scale image-to-video diffusion model. To enable this adaptation, we propose a conditional encoder, which serves as a simple yet effective trainable module. By leveraging the first and last frames, we extract spatial and temporal features and input them into the conditional encoder. The computed features of the conditional encoder guide the video diffusion model in generating keyframe-guided video sequences. Our method demonstrates superior performance on the Fréchet Video Distance (FVD) metric compared to previous deterministic approaches in handling large-motion cases, highlighting advancements in generative-based methodologies.

Index Terms—Diffusion Model, Video Frame Interpolation

I. INTRODUCTION

Video frame interpolation (VFI) refers to the process of generating intermediate frames between two existing frames in a video to increase the frame rate or to smooth out motion. This technique is widely used in a variety of applications, such as creating slow-motion videos, enhancing video quality for smoother playback, or improving the visual experience in tasks like animation. Video frame interpolation has traditionally been addressed using convolutional neural networks and flowbased models, which synthesize intermediate frames using the convolution kernel to capture local and long-range dependencies in video data. These methods typically rely on explicit motion estimation techniques, such as optical flow, to predict the motion field between consecutive frames, which is then used to guide the interpolation process [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]. However, they often exhibit limitations in handling large, nonlinear motions, occlusions, or complex object deformations due to the inherent constraints of motion estimation algorithms and the locality of convolution kernel.

In contrast, generative models, such as diffusion-based approaches, represent a significant advancement in learning implicit motion representations and scene dynamics directly from large-scale video datasets. These models utilize rich prior knowledge to synthesize temporally and spatially coherent frames, even in cases involving substantial motion or ambiguous scenarios. By modeling the frame interpolation task probabilistically, generative approaches can produce multiple plausible intermediate frames, offering greater flexibility and robustness compared to traditional deterministic methods [7], [8], [9], [10]. Hiroshi Watanabe CSCE, Graduate School of FSE Waseda University Tokyo, Japan

Training a video diffusion model for video frame interpolation is a resource-intensive process that demands significant computational power to capture and model complex temporal dynamics between frames. To address this issue, we propose leveraging pre-trained image-to-video diffusion models and adapting them specifically for video frame interpolation tasks. Our approach is similar to Parameter-Efficient Fine-Tuning (PEFT) [11] techniques, enabling effective optimization of the base model while minimizing the need for extensive retraining.

Building on the success of ControlNet [12] in the textto-image domain, which has demonstrated exceptional control capabilities, we extend this method to keyframe-guided video frame interpolation. Experimental results indicate that this adaptation of ControlNet achieves great performance, maintaining temporal and spatial consistency while addressing the complexities of interpolation tasks. Moreover, to enhance temporal consistency in the interpolated frames, we integrate bidirectional optical flow into our approach, ensuring smoother and more coherent transitions between frames. Additionally, we incorporate a keyframe attention mechanism within each transformer block, allowing the model to better capture spatial and temporal representations by emphasizing keyframe feature. These enhancements improve the quality of the interpolated frames, enabling the model to handle complex motion dynamics and achieve better performance in video frame interpolation tasks.

We evaluated our interpolation model across a diverse range of cases, including real-world styles, linear sketches, and anime styles, demonstrating its impressive performance. Furthermore, our method is evaluated on datasets featuring scenarios where the first and last frames exhibit large motion, addressing ambiguous motion cases compared to previous deterministic-based methods. These results indicate that incorporating the plug-and-play conditional encoder enhances the model's versatility and adaptability for video frame interpolation tasks. In summary, our contributions are as follows.

- 1) We introduce a conditional encoder that adapts an image-to-video model to handle large-motion scenarios in video frame interpolation.
- We propose a dual-branch architecture to capture spatialtemporal features and integrate a keyframe attention module into each transformer block, enhancing interpolation performance.



Fig. 1: The input video data $\{I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_N\}$ is first encoded into latent representations, where Gaussian noise is added to produce the noisy representations $\{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_N\}$. Subsequently, the spatial and temporal features of the first and last frames are extracted through separate branches and fed into the conditional encoder. The conditional encoder integrates these features while employing zero initialization to ensure stable training. Finally, the integrated features are incorporated into a pre-trained 3D U-Net.

II. RELATED WORK

A. Video Frame Interpolation

The frame interpolation task is defined as the process of synthesizing intermediate frames given two input frames, enabling smoother transitions and higher temporal resolution in videos. This task has been widely explored, with a significant body of research focusing on flow-based methods, which estimate optical flow between the input frames to guide the generation of intermediate frames [3] [4].

Alongside these, other approaches employ CNNs or transformers [13] to learn feature representations directly from the input frames, bypassing explicit motion estimation. These models extract spatial and temporal features and synthesize the intermediate frame in a data-driven manner, demonstrating versatility and efficiency [14], [15], [16]. However, previous work often assumes that the two input frames are closely spaced, which limits their ability to interpolate ambiguous or complex motion accurately. This assumption makes traditional methods less effective for scenarios with large temporal gaps or large motion dynamics.

Recently, generative models have demonstrated remarkable potential in video synthesis tasks and have shown significant promise for applications in video frame interpolation [8], [9], [7], [10]. In this context, we explore the use of video diffusion models for frame interpolation by incorporating a conditional encoder. This approach facilitates the adaptation of pre-trained generative models for interpolation tasks, reducing computational overhead while preserving high-quality synthesis.

B. Diffusion Model for Image and Video Generation

Diffusion models have demonstrated remarkable capabilities in the text-to-image domain, achieving state-of-the-art performance among generative models [17], [18], [19], [20], [21]. Building on their success in image generation, recent research has increasingly focused on applying diffusion models to video generation [22], [23]. Some approaches involve training a plug-and-play motion module that integrates seamlessly with existing text-to-image diffusion models [24], while others extend pre-trained text-to-image generation models by adding temporal modules to handle video dynamics [25], [26]. Both methods have shown promising results in synthesizing temporally coherent and visually compelling video content. Our approach aims to enhance control by fine-tuning image-tovideo diffusion models through keyframe-guided generation.

III. PROPOSED METHOD

A. Preliminaries

Diffusion models operate through two fundamental phases that support their generative capabilities. The forward diffusion process involves progressively corrupting real data, such as an image, by adding noise over a series of steps until the data is transformed into pure noise. In contrast, the reverse diffusion process trains the model to iteratively denoising this noisy data step by step, reconstructing coherent and high-quality samples from random noise.

In this research, we utilize Stable Video Diffusion(SVD) [22] as our base model, a latent diffusion framework akin to Stable Diffusion [21], tailored for video generation tasks.



Fig. 2: The first and last frames are processed through distinct feature extractors, followed by a fusion process to integrate their features.

Given a sequence of N video frames $\{I_1, I_2, ..., I_N\}$ the pretrained autoencoder \mathcal{E} encodes the frames into a latent representation $\{z_1, z_2, ..., z_N\}$ During training, a standard diffusion process is applied in the latent space, where gaussian noise $\epsilon \sim N(0, I)$ is added to the latent representations z_t . Here, z_t is represented as $z_t = \alpha_t z + \sigma_t \epsilon$ at time step t, where α_t and σ_t are predefined by the noise schedule. In stable video diffusion, v-prediction is employed, where $v = \alpha_t z - \sigma_t \epsilon$. Here, τ_{θ} is a conditional encoder that encodes the condition cinto the model f_{θ} . The model f_{θ} is trained using the following loss function:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon \sim N(0,I), t \sim Uniform(1,T)} ||f_{\theta}(z_t, t, \tau_{\theta}(c)) - v||.$$
(1)

At inference time, the reverse diffusion process begins with pure gaussian noise $z \sim N(0, I)$ and iteratively denoising it to generate a sequence of latent representations. These latent representations are then passed through the decoder \mathcal{D} , which reconstructs the corresponding frames in the image space.

B. Conditional Encoder

We present a novel plug-and-play conditional encoder module designed specifically for video frame interpolation shown in Fig 1. Firstly, we extract the first and last frames from the video data $\{I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_N\}$, resulting in $\{I_1, I_N\}$. We design spatial and temporal branches to extract spatial-temporal features, aiming to enhance interpolation performance shown in Fig 2. Additionally, a 1×1 convolutional layer is employed to integrate conditional image CLIP [27] features for further processing.

Spatial Branch: Zero images are inserted between the first and last frames to represent the unconditional phase, with a binary mask concatenated to distinguish phases. The binary mask is set as m = 1 for the conditional phase in the first and last frames, and m = 0 for the unconditional phase in intermediate frames [28]. These components are concatenated along the channel dimension. A pre-trained autoencoder is then utilized to encode the combined inputs, extracting spatial features.

Temporal Branch: A pre-trained optical flow extractor [29] is employed to compute bi-directional optical flow, which are



Fig. 3: In both the spatial transformer block and the temporal transformer block, a keyframe attention block is first integrated to enhance feature representation.

subsequently processed through a convolutional network to produce temporal features.

We adopt a simple early channel attention fusion strategy to combine spatial and temporal features [30], as the fused features will be processed by a complex conditional encoder capable of effectively learning the required representations autonomously. Specifically, the fusion of features is defined by the following equation:

$$F_{\text{fused}} = \sigma \left(\text{MLP}(F_s, F_t) \right) \cdot F_s + \left(1 - \sigma \left(\text{MLP}(F_s, F_t) \right) \right) \cdot F_t$$
(2)

where σ represents the sigmoid activation function, MLP is a multilayer perceptron consisting of convolutional layers, and F_s and F_t denote the spatial and temporal features, respectively. This equation adaptively balances the contributions of spatial and temporal features in the fused representation.

The design of the conditional encoder is inspired by ControlNet [12]. It replicates the encoder part of the pretrained Stable Video Diffusion U-Net, initializing the weights identically. The conditional encoder comprises a convolutional block, a spatial transformer, and a temporal transformer block. In the spatial and temporal transformer blocks, we introduce keyframe attention to enhance the representation of both spatial and temporal fused features. Subsequently, the attention layer receives n inputs, $z = [z^1, \ldots, z^n]$, where $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Through a linear projection operation, key and value features are derived from the key frames z_1, z_n , which are concatenated to form $K^{(1,n)}$ and $V^{(1,n)}$ respectively. For each query feature from frame z_i , the KeyframeAttn(Q, K, V) mechanism, as defined by the following equation:

Softmax
$$\left(\frac{Q \cdot (K^{1,n})^{\top}}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right) V^{1,n}$$
 (3)



Fig. 4: Interpolation results across different styles. From the first row to the last row, the results correspond to real-world, anime, and sketch styles.

TABLE I: Comparison of results on the DAVIS-7 and UCF101-7 datasets, evaluated on all 7 generated frames.

	DAVIS-7 Dataset						UCF101-7 Dataset				
	PSNR \uparrow	SSIM \uparrow	LPIPS \downarrow	$FID\downarrow$	$FVD\downarrow$		PSNR \uparrow	SSIM \uparrow	LPIPS \downarrow	FID \downarrow	$FVD\downarrow$
AMT [14] FILM [15]	21.38	0.5881	0.2540	22.16	279.1	AMT [14]	26.44	0.8428	0.1712	19.66 10.27	296.0 321.2
SVDKFI [9] Ours	15.47 18.90	0.3226 0.4701	0.4035 0.3165	30.55 25.70	503.0 185.8	SVDKFI [9] Ours	18.91 21.74	0.6520 0.7077	0.2897 0.2809	56.41 42.66	361.4 279.7

Specifically, in the spatial transformer block, conditional frames are utilized as key-value pairs to propagate appearance correlations from the spatial features. In the temporal transformer block, cross-frame interactions are modeled using conditional frames as key-value pairs to propagate implicit temporal coherence across other features, as illustrated in Fig. 3.

IV. EXPERIMENT

A. Experiment Settings

We implement our conditional encoder upon Stable Video Diffusion model, an image-to-video model trained on the WebVid10M [31] large-scale video dataset. For training, we utilize the Vimeo-90k Septuplet [32] dataset as the training set. Due to computational resource constraints, we employ the 8-bit AdamW optimizer with a learning rate of 1×10^{-5} . The performance of our model is evaluated on the DAVIS-7 [33] and UCF101-7 [34] datasets using a range of metrics, including PSNR, SSIM, LPIPS [35], FID [36], and FVD [37].

B. Quantitative Result

We select several comparison methods, including AMT [14], FILM [15], and SVDKFI [9]. AMT and FILM represent traditional video frame interpolation methods, while SVDKFI and our method are generative-based approaches. The best results are highlighted in bold font. As discussed in VIDIM [8], metrics such as PSNR, SSIM, LPIPS, and FID are not fully suitable for evaluating video frame interpolation as they may not align with human perceptual judgment. Our method

achieves the best performance on the FVD metric, as shown in Table I.

C. Qualitative Result

We present the qualitative results, as illustrated in Fig. 6 Notably, when the first and last frames involve non-linear transformations or ambiguous motion, traditional methods such as AMT and FILM fail to generate accurate intermediate frames. In contrast, our model generates superior results, characterized by accurate motion and clear textures. Additionally, our method outperforms SVDKFI in low-resolution scenarios, demonstrating greater robustness to the effects of down sampling. Furthermore, our approach exhibits the capability to interpolate across diverse image styles. We evaluate its performance on real-world, anime, and sketch styles, as illustrated in Fig. 4.

D. Ablation study

We conducted experiments on the proposed components of our method to perform an ablation study. Refer to Table II for detailed results. For a fair comparison of the proposed method, we discard the 256×256 versions of the DAVIS-7 and UCF101-7 datasets. Instead, we re-crop the DAVIS 2017 dataset to 512×512 resolution, retaining sequences of 7 frames, where the model is tasked with predicting the middle 5 frames. The baseline model includes only the spatial branch, enabling an image-to-video model to adapt to video frame interpolation. In contrast, our method integrates the temporal



Fig. 5: The example illustrates a large-motion scenario. Whereas other methods struggle to accurately predict motion, our approach successfully reconstructs and captures realistic motion dynamics.



Fig. 6: Effect of resolution on frame interpolation performance. The left example depicts a middle frame at 512×512 resolution, while the right example is at 256×256 resolution.

branch and the keyframe attention module. Experimental results demonstrate that the proposed components enhance the performance of the baseline model. TABLE II: Ablation study of proposed components, evaluating the middle of 5 generated frames at 512×512 resolution.

	PSNR↑	SSIM↑	LPIPS↓	FID↓	FVD↓
Baseline	19.02	0.5145	0.3161	16.50	134.6
Ours	19.74	0.5214	0.3130	16.32	122.6

E. Effect of Resolution on Interpolation Quality

In this section, we analyze the impact of resolution on the generation quality of Stable Video Diffusion. Stable Video Diffusion is a type of latent diffusion model that employs an autoencoder to down sample data into a latent space, facilitating more efficient training. However, this down sampling process is inherently lossy and can lead to suboptimal performance when generating low-resolution images. As illustrated in Fig. 6, the results highlight the influence of different resolutions. In particular, the first and last frames are utilized as conditional frames, but the down sampling process results in the loss of pixel-level information, thereby impairing the

accurate prediction of the middle frame.

V. LIMITATION AND DISCUSSION

There are several limitations associated with the Stable Video Diffusion model. Firstly, Stable Video Diffusion often struggles to generate motion-rich videos, which means it performs poorly in cases requiring interpolation of ambiguous motion. Secondly, as a latent diffusion model, it down-samples the input into a latent space, which can compromise fine-grained details and adversely affect pixel-level metrics such as PSNR and SSIM. Additionally, Stable Video Diffusion has its own inherent limitations in output resolution, restricting its applicability in real-world scenarios.

VI. CONCLUSION

We introduce a conditional encoder designed to adapt an image-to-video model for large-motion frame interpolation. To enhance performance, we integrate a dual-branch feature extractor and propose a keyframe attention mechanism that effectively captures both spatial and temporal information, enabling accurate interpolation of intermediate frames. Despite its simplicity, our method proves highly effective, achieving comparable results on the Fréchet Video Distance (FVD) metric when evaluated against other state-of-the-art approaches.

REFERENCES

- X. Cheng and Z. Chen, "Video frame interpolation via deformable separable convolution," in AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2020.
- [2] D. Danier, F. Zhang, and D. R. Bull, "St-mfnet: A spatio-temporal multiflow network for frame interpolation," *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 3511–3521, 2021.
- [3] H. Jiang, D. Sun, V. Jampani, M.-H. Yang, E. G. Learned-Miller, and J. Kautz, "Super slomo: High quality estimation of multiple intermediate frames for video interpolation," *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 9000–9008, 2017.
- [4] S. Niklaus and F. Liu, "Softmax splatting for video frame interpolation," *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 5436–5445, 2020.
- [5] H. Sim, J. Oh, and M. Kim, "Xvfi: extreme video frame interpolation," International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 14469–14478, 2021.
- [6] L. Kong, B. Jiang, D. Luo, W. Chu, X. Huang, Y. Tai, C. Wang, and J. Yang, "Ifrnet: Intermediate feature refine network for efficient frame interpolation," *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 1959– 1968, 2022.
- [7] D. Danier, F. Zhang, and D. R. Bull, "Ldmvfi: Video frame interpolation with latent diffusion models," in AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2023.
- [8] S. Jain, D. Watson, E. Tabellion, A. Holy'nski, B. Poole, and J. Kontkanen, "Video interpolation with diffusion models," *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 7341–7351, 2024.
- [9] X. Wang, B. Zhou, B. Curless, I. Kemelmacher-Shlizerman, A. Holynski, and S. M. Seitz, "Generative inbetweening: Adapting image-to-video models for keyframe interpolation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.15239*, 2024.
- [10] W. Wang, Q. Wang, K. Zheng, H. Ouyang, Z. Chen, B. Gong, H. Chen, Y. Shen, and C. Shen, "Framer: Interactive frame interpolation," *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2410.18978, 2024.
- [11] Z. Han, C. Gao, J. Liu, J. Zhang, and S. Q. Zhang, "Parameter-efficient fine-tuning for large models: A comprehensive survey," *Transactions on Machine Learning Research*, 2024.
- [12] L. Zhang, A. Rao, and M. Agrawala, "Adding conditional control to textto-image diffusion models," in *International Conference on Computer Vision*), Oct. 2023.
- [13] A. Vaswani, N. M. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, L. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, "Attention is all you need," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2017.

- [14] Z. Li, Z.-L. Zhu, L. Han, Q. Hou, C. Guo, and M.-M. Cheng, "Amt: Allpairs multi-field transforms for efficient frame interpolation," *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 9801–9810, 2023.
- [15] F. Reda, J. Kontkanen, E. Tabellion, D. Sun, C. Pantofaru, and B. Curless, "Film: Frame interpolation for large motion," in *European Conference on Computer Vision*. Springer, 2022, pp. 250–266.
- [16] Z. Huang, T. Zhang, W. Heng, B. Shi, and S. Zhou, "Rife: Real-time intermediate flow estimation for video frame interpolation," *ArXiv*, vol. abs/2011.06294, 2020.
- [17] J. Ho, A. Jain, and P. Abbeel, "Denoising diffusion probabilistic models," in Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, Dec. 2020.
- [18] J. Song, C. Meng, and S. Ermon, "Denoising diffusion implicit models," in *International Conference on Learning Representations*, Jan. 2021.
- [19] P. Dhariwal and A. Nichol, "Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis," in Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, Dec. 2021.
- [20] J. Ho and T. Salimans, "Classifier-free diffusion guidance," in *NeurIPS* 2021 Workshop on Deep Generative Models and Downstream Applications, Dec. 2021.
- [21] R. Rombach, A. Blattmann, D. Lorenz, P. Esser, and B. Ommer, "Highresolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models," in *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, Jun. 2022.
- [22] A. Blattmann, T. Dockhorn, S. Kulal, D. Mendelevitch, M. Kilian, and D. Lorenz, "Stable video diffusion: Scaling latent video diffusion models to large datasets," *ArXiv*, vol. abs/2311.15127, 2023.
- [23] W. Hong, M. Ding, W. Zheng, X. Liu, and J. Tang, "Cogvideo: Large-scale pretraining for text-to-video generation via transformers," in *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.
- [24] Y. Guo, C. Yang, A. Rao, Z. Liang, Y. Wang, Y. Qiao, M. Agrawala, D. Lin, and B. Dai, "Animatediff: Animate your personalized text-toimage diffusion models without specific tuning," *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024.
- [25] A. Blattmann, R. Rombach, H. Ling, T. Dockhorn, S. W. Kim, S. Fidler, and K. Kreis, "Align your latents: High-resolution video synthesis with latent diffusion models," *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 22 563–22 575, 2023.
- [26] U. Singer, A. Polyak, T. Hayes, X. Yin, J. An, S. Zhang, Q. Hu, H. Yang, O. Ashual, O. Gafni, D. Parikh, S. Gupta, and Y. Taigman, "Make-avideo: Text-to-video generation without text-video data," in *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.
- [27] A. Radford, J. W. Kim, C. Hallacy, A. Ramesh, G. Goh, S. Agarwal, G. Sastry, A. Askell, P. Mishkin, J. Clark *et al.*, "Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision," in *International Conference on Machine Learning*, Jul. 2021.
- [28] Y. Guo, C. Yang, A. Rao, M. Agrawala, D. Lin, and B. Dai, "Sparsectrl: Adding sparse controls to text-to-video diffusion models," *ArXiv*, 2023.
- [29] Z. Teed and J. Deng, "Raft: Recurrent all-pairs field transforms for optical flow," in European Conference on Computer Vision, 2020.
- [30] J. Hu, L. Shen, S. Albanie, G. Sun, and E. Wu, "Squeeze-and-excitation networks," *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 7132–7141, 2017.
- [31] M. Bain, A. Nagrani, G. Varol, and A. Zisserman, "Frozen in time: A joint video and image encoder for end-to-end retrieval," *International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 1708–1718, 2021.
- [32] T. Xue, B. Chen, J. Wu, D. Wei, and W. T. Freeman, "Video enhancement with task-oriented flow," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 127, no. 8, pp. 1106–1125, 2019.
- [33] J. Pont-Tuset, F. Perazzi, S. Caelles, P. Arbeláez, A. Sorkine-Hornung, and L. V. Gool, "The 2017 davis challenge on video object segmentation," ArXiv, 2017.
- [34] K. Soomro, A. Zamir, and M. Shah, "Ucf101: A dataset of 101 human actions classes from videos in the wild," ArXiv, 2012.
- [35] R. Zhang, P. Isola, A. A. Efros, E. Shechtman, and O. Wang, "The unreasonable effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric," in *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, Jun. 2018.
- [36] M. Heusel, H. Ramsauer, T. Unterthiner, B. Nessler, and S. Hochreiter, "Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, Dec. 2017.
- [37] S. Ge, A. Mahapatra, G. Parmar, J.-Y. Zhu, and J.-B. Huang, "On the content bias in fréchet video distance," in *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024.