

FriendsQA: A New Large-Scale Deep Video Understanding Dataset with Fine-grained Topic Categorization for Story Videos

Zhengqian Wu^{1,3,4*}, Ruizhe Li^{1,3,4*}, Zijun Xu^{2,3,4},
Zhongyuan Wang^{1,2,3,4}, Chunxia Xiao^{1,2,3,4}, Chao Liang^{1,2,3,4†}

¹School of Computer Science, Wuhan University, China

²School of Cyber Science and Engineering, Wuhan University, China

³National Engineering Research Center for Multimedia Software, Wuhan University, China

⁴Hubei Key Laboratory of Multimedia and Network Communication Engineering, Wuhan University, China
{2023202110068, 2020300004016, 2019300003083, cxxiao, cliang}@whu.edu.cn, wzy_hope@163.com

Abstract

Video question answering (VideoQA) aims to answer natural language questions according to the given videos. Although existing models perform well in the factoid VideoQA task, they still face challenges in deep video understanding (DVU) task, which focuses on story videos. Compared to factoid videos, the most significant feature of story videos is storylines, which are composed of complex interactions and long-range evolution of core story topics including characters, actions and locations. Understanding these topics requires models to possess DVU capability. However, existing DVU datasets rarely organize questions according to these story topics, making them difficult to comprehensively assess VideoQA models' DVU capability of complex storylines. Additionally, the question quantity and video length of these dataset are limited by high labor costs of handcrafted dataset building method. In this paper, we devise a large language model based multi-agent collaboration framework, StoryMind, to automatically generate a new large-scale DVU dataset. The dataset, FriendsQA, derived from the renowned sitcom *Friends* with an average episode length of 1,358 seconds, contains 44.6K questions evenly distributed across 14 fine-grained topics. Finally, We conduct comprehensive experiments on 10 state-of-the-art VideoQA models using the FriendsQA dataset.

Code and Datasets —

<https://github.com/nercms-mmap/FriendsQA>

Introduction

Video question answering (VideoQA) aims to answer natural language questions based on given videos, supporting advanced applications like video grounding (Ren et al. 2024) and video chatbots (Jin et al. 2024). Early researches on factoid VideoQA focus on questions about actions or objects in videos (Yu et al. 2019). With the popularity of video language model (VLM) and multimodal large language model

*These authors contributed equally.

†Chao Liang is the corresponding author.

Factoid VideoQA (NExT-QA)

00:00 00:16
Q1: what is the woman doing? Attribution: **P**
✓A. cycling ✗B. squatting ✗C. playing instrument ✗D. drinking soup ✗E. skiing

DVU (FriendsQA)

00:00 22:49
Q1: What is the main **action** taking place in Scene 2 at **Monica's Apartment**? Attribution: **P**
✓A. The characters are watching and reacting to a Spanish soap opera.
✗B. The characters are having a discussion about relationships.
✗C. The characters are preparing and eating a meal together.
✗D. The characters are playing a board game. Story topic: **A** Related time: 05:22-09:27

Q2: How do the **characters' reactions** to **Ross's divorce** highlight their different personalities? Attribution: **I**
✗A. They are all supportive and understanding of his situation.
✗B. They offer him unsolicited advice and try to fix his problems.
✓C. They make light of his situation and encourage him to move on. Story topic: **C**
✗D. They express sympathy but avoid getting emotionally involved. Related time: 02:00-13:55

Attribution: **Perception (P)** **Inference (I)** Story topic: **Character (C)** **Action (A)** **Location (L)**

Figure 1: Comparisons of factoid VideoQA and DVU.

(MLLM) technologies, significant progress has been made in recent years (Yu et al. 2023; Zhong et al. 2022).

However, VideoQA models' performance significantly declines on deep video understanding (DVU) task. For instance, VideoChat2 achieves 61.70% accuracy on the factoid NExT-QA dataset but drops to 44.05% on our DVU FriendsQA dataset. As illustrated in Figure 1, the key reasons include: First, regarding question attributes, in addition to perception questions that inquire about visual cues, DVU also includes inference questions that assess the understanding of storylines, offering more diverse questions. Second, for the same perception questions, factoid VideoQA involves short-range shot-level perception in factoid video depicting simple events without complex narrative interactions, whereas DVU involves long-range scene-level (Liang et al. 2009; Xu, Wei, and Wu 2023) perception in story videos encompass

Dataset	Venue	Fine-grained topic distribution			Question Scale			Difficulty Measure	Cross Episodes
		# Fin. Top.	Gin.	Ent.	# Que.	Vid. Len. (s)	# Que. × Vid. Len. (Ks)		
MovieQA	CVPR'16	6	0.819	2.713	14.9K	202.7	3,020.2	✗	✗
TVQA	EMNLP'18	8	0.821	2.873	144.9K	76.2	11,041.4	✗	✗
TVQA+	ACL'20	5	0.789	2.660	29.4K	61.5	1,808.1	✗	✗
HLVU (DVU 22&23)	ICMR'20	6	0.773	2.548	455	106 / 4,907	1,010.5	✗	✗
DramaQA	AAAI'21	-	-	-	17.9K	3.6 / 91.8	429.9	✓	✗
DeepMaven	EACL'23	-	-	-	1K	3,102	3,102.0	✗	✗
CinePile	CVPRW'24	-	-	-	200K	160	32,000.0	✗	✗
MovieChat-1K	CVPR'24	4	0.701	2.203	19.0K	564	10,716.0	✗	✗
FriendsQA (ours)		14	0.927	3.794	44.6K	1,358 / 5,390	98,874.8	✓	✓

Table 1: Comparisons of existing DVU datasets. Fine-grained topic distribution consider the number of fine-grained topics exceeding 5% of the dataset (# Fin. Top.) and the balance degree of topic distribution. The Gini index (Gin.) and entropy (Ent.) are employed to measure the distribution’s balance. Question scale is compared by analyzing the number of questions (# Que.), the average video length (Vid. Len.), and their product. The proposed FriendsQA dataset offers additional features, including difficulty measure and cross episodes questioning. The figures around the “/” represent two distinct video input lengths.




Figure 2: The distribution of 5 datasets questions across the 14 fine-grained topics, based on 7 topics (character (C), action (A), location (L) and their combinations) and 2 cognitive attributions, i.e., perception (P) and inference (I).

intricate storylines. In addition, characters and locations in DVU have specific identities (Sang et al. 2011), i.e., Ross and Monica’s apartment. Due to these factors, the DVU task is more challenging. The core of DVU task lies in understanding storylines with long-range evolution, composed of story topics (Guo, Liang, and Wang 2023), i.e. characters, actions, locations and their combinations.

Although story topics are essential to analyzing storylines, the most of existing DVU datasets (Lei et al. 2018; Tapaswi et al. 2016; Song et al. 2024) do not organize questions based on them. We use Gemini 1.5 Pro¹ to categorize questions from 5 classic DVU datasets (Please refer to Appendix A for more details.) into 14 fine-grained topics we refer to as in this paper, i.e., 7 story topics, character, action, location and their combinations attached to 2 question attributions, perception and inference (Ammanabrolu et al. 2021). The results are shown in Figure 2. We find that these datasets tend to concentrate on a limited type of fine-grained

¹<https://aistudio.google.com/>

topics. This situation make it difficult to provide a comprehensive evaluation of VideoQA models’ DVU capability.

Furthermore, complex storylines involve long-range involvement, necessitating both numerous questions to cover the entire storyline and sufficiently video length to convey the storyline clearly. However, as shown in Table 1, existing DVU datasets either pair a large number of questions with short videos, such as TVQA (Lei et al. 2018), or feature longer videos with fewer questions, such as DeepMaven (Fung et al. 2023). This limitation prevents them from evaluating the model’s understanding of storylines in both breadth and depth simultaneously.

To address the above two challenges, we propose StoryMind, a large language model (LLM) based multi-agent collaboration framework, comprising a generator to generate questions with 14 fine-grained topics and two reviewers to remove low-quality ones. We provide the generator with detailed topic explanations and manually constructed examples to guide question generation. To ensure balanced topic coverage, generator will iteratively generate questions until the number of questions for each fine-grained topic reaches the predetermined threshold. To ensure dataset quality, only the question and its answer unanimously deemed reasonable and accurate by both reviewers will be retained. Moreover, as illustrated in Table 1, we perform difficulty measure for each question to accurately evaluate the DVU capability of various VideoQA models, and introduce cross-episode questions to augment the challenge of the dataset by covering more complex storyline.

We apply StoryMind to the popular sitcom *Friends*, comprising 234 episodes with average video length of 1,358s across ten seasons. This results in a new large-scale DVU dataset, FriendsQA, with the most diverse and balanced fine-grained topics. As studied in Table 1, this dataset includes 44.6K questions evenly distributed across 14 fine-grained topics. Thereafter, we comprehensively evaluate the DVU capability of 10 state-of-the-art (SOTA) models on FriendsQA, encompassing both VLM-based methods and newly proposed MLLM-based methods.




Figure 3: The workflow diagram of the multi-agent collaboration framework StoryMind.

In summary, our contributions are three-fold:

- We devise StoryMind, a LLM-based multi-agent collaboration framework to automatically generate and review large-scale questions with diverse fine-grained topics.
- We construct FriendsQA, a large-scale DVU dataset comprising 44.6K questions with 14 fine-grained topics tailored for thorough DVU evaluation
- We conduct extensive evaluations of 10 SOTA VideoQA models on FriendsQA.

Related Work

In this section, we briefly overview the VideoQA datasets, encompassing factoid VideoQA and DVU datasets.

Factoid VideoQA Datasets

Factoid VideoQA dataset (Xu et al. 2017; Yu, Kim, and Kim 2018; Garcia et al. 2020; Li et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2021; Mangalam, Akshulakov, and Malik 2023) mainly focus on simple visual fact in short-range, such as object recognition, action recognition, spatial and temporal understanding in shot level. ActivityNet-QA (Yu et al. 2019) focuses on actions recognition, spatial relationships, and temporal relationships. It contains 58K human-annotated QA pairs on 5.8K videos from ActivityNet (Fabian Caba Heilbron and Niebles 2015). NEX-T-QA (Xiao et al. 2021) delves videos featuring object interaction, and there are 52K manually annotated questions including temporal, and descriptive questions. A notable distinction of these datasets is that they focus on short-range understanding of video with out complex storyline. This is a major factor contributing to the significant performance gap between factoid VideoQA and DVU.

DVU Datasets

Story videos, such as TV shows, are composed of complex interactions and long-range evolvement of core story topics. Understanding these topics requires models to possess deep video understanding (DVU) capability. Early work, such as PororoQA (Kim et al. 2017), focused on scene-dialog storytelling without complex storylines. Recent research has increasingly shifted towards understanding complex storylines in story video. However, as illustrated in Figure 2, the majority of current DVU datasets (Tapaswi et al. 2016; Lei et al.

2018, 2020; Song et al. 2024; Curtis et al. 2020) do not organize questions based on story topics. This phenomenon indicates existing datasets are difficult to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the VideoQA models’ capability of DVU.

In addition, As shown in Table 1, some DVU datasets contain a large number of questions but are paired with short videos less than 5 minute, e.g., TVQA (Lei et al. 2018). Conversely, the other datasets encompass long videos (approximately 90 minutes). e.g. HLVU (Curtis et al. 2020). Recently, the DVU 2022 (Curtis et al. 2022) and DVU 2023 (Curtis et al. 2023) grand challenges² have comprehensively addressed on HLVU dataset. Nevertheless, These datasets often have a limited number of questions. For example, HLVU and DeepMaven (Fung et al. 2023) have fewer than 1K questions, and the largest, MovieChat-1K (Song et al. 2024), has only 19K questions. It hinders the evaluation of the model’s understanding of storylines in terms of both breadth and depth simultaneously.

Particularly, There are some movie/TV-based datasets (Yang and Choi 2019; Ma, Jurczyk, and Choi 2018; Chen et al. 2021) for story understanding in the NLP domain. Specifically, compared to script-based QA dataset also named FriendsQA (Yang and Choi 2019), ours has two key differences: (1) In the question generation phrase, we explicitly add spatio-temporal information, such as timeline and character detection boxes obtained from video, to better suit the DVU task. (2) In the question answering phase, we provide video with QA pair as the basis of answering questions.

StoryMind

To reduce the labor costs, we propose StoryMind, a multi-agent collaboration framework. It can automatically generate large-scale questions with comprehensive and balanced fine-grained topics. As shown in Figure 3, it mainly consists of four stages, data preparation, question generation, question filtration and difficulty measure.

Data Preparation

The data preparation phase is responsible for converting the metadata into video information.

²<https://sites.google.com/view/dvuchallenge2023/home>




Figure 4: The flowchart of data preparation.

Metadata The script and episode video represent the textual structure and visual presentation of the storyline, respectively. Therefore, we use them as the metadata for question generation. The script from PAINS dataset (Niu et al. 2023) contains multiple scenes, with each scene detailing the location, the main characters involved, and aligning the dialogue with the video timeline, as shown in Figure 4. It provides the generator with a complete storyline evolvment ensuring that the generated questions are more accurate and closely aligned with the storyline. The timeline allows the generator to accurately generate the start and end timestamps corresponding to each question, facilitating the subsequent calculation of difficulty scores.

Video Information We apply the shot-based instance search method (Li et al. 2023) to detect and identify characters in the episode with, obtaining detection bounding boxes. This additional visual information allows the generator to generate questions with positional details, i.e., left, and right, thereby increasing questions complexity. Finally, we insert the bounding boxes into the script according to the timeline, as shown in Figure 4, creating a crucial component of the question generation prompts, which we refer to as the *video information*. Notably, to enquire the more complicated storylines, we specifically designed cross-episode questions by concatenating 4 consecutive episodes³.

Question Generation

The question generation stage aims to produce questions with diverse and balanced fine-grained topics. We introduce Gemini 1.5 Pro as generator and prompt the generator with *video information*, *descriptions of the fine-grained topics* and *questions examples*, as illustrated in Figure 5(a). Video information is the output of data preparation stage that record the script, timeline, and character positions. Descriptions of the fine-grained topic contains the description of 2 attributions (P, I) and 7 topics (C, A, L, CA, CL, AL and CAL). Questions examples include manually designed examples for each fine-grained topic to assist the generator in better understanding the fine-grained topics. ALL of

³We choose 4 episodes because the scripts from 4 episodes reach the generator’s context limit.




Figure 5: The iterative flowchart of question generation.

them help the generator understand the categorization of fine-grained topics and generate corresponding questions.

In addition, to ensure a balanced distribution of questions across each fine-grained topic, we require the generator to specify the fine-grained topic categorization of each question and save the information into question database (Figure 5(b)). This allows database to track the distribution across all fine-grained topics (Figure 5(c)). To prevent the generator from focusing solely on one topic, we devise a feedback mechanism. The database checks the number of questions for each fine-grained topic against a same threshold. If a topic falls below the threshold, the database will provide feedback to generator with generating more questions for that topic. Otherwise, the opposite feedback will be given (Figure 5(d)). The generator will iteratively generate questions until all topics reach the threshold.

As illustrated in generated result of Figure 5, we require the generator to output additional information for each question, such as choices list and ground truth (GT). Since the video information contains a complete timeline, character and location information, we require the generator to specify the related time, characters, and locations associated with each question. These will be used for subsequent difficulty measure. Please refer to Appendix B.1 for more details.

Question Filtration

Question filtration aims to filter out incorrect questions. We introduce Gemini 1.5 Pro and Claude 3.5 Sonnet⁴ as two reviewers to automate the process. The prompt is meticulously designed for them as shown in Figure 6 (For complete prompt, please refer to Appendix B.2), which mainly consists of three parts, *video information*, *generated questions* and *relevant requirements*. Video information is the output of data preparation. It ensures reviews to be on the same

⁴<https://claude.ai/>




Figure 6: The flowchart of question filtration.




Figure 7: Illustration of two difficulty factors.

level of obtaining storylines as the generator, allowing them to fairly assess the questions’ accuracy. Generated questions is the output of question generation phase. Reviewers evaluate the generated questions based on the video information. Relevant requirements include two rules:

- **Rule 1** The question must be relevant to the video and can be answered with GT from the generator.
- **Rule 2** Among the 4 choices, there must be only one correct answer, and three wrong answers.

If the both rules are met, the output for the correctness of the question is `True`; otherwise, it is `False` (Figure 6(a)). Additionally, The unique correct answer selected independently by each of the two reviewers must be identical and consistent with GT (Figure 6(b)).

Difficulty Measure

Difficulty measure aims to assign a difficulty score to each question to better assess the DVU capabilities of VideoQA models (Zhang et al. 2022). We propose 2 difficulty factors, i.e., video length and content. Let $|V_w^i|$ and $|V_r^i|$ denote the length of the whole video and relevant video for i -th question. $|C_w^i|$ and $|C_r^i|$ denote the instance (including characters and locations obtained from video information) number of the whole video and relevant video to represent content. Therefore, we define 2 difficulty scores:

- Length difficulty ($\sigma_l^i = |V_w^i|/|V_r^i|$). It represent the relationship of length between whole video and relevant video as shown in Figure 7(a). Larger value indicates

Dataset	Manul	Revision	Single		Cross	
			# Num	Ratio	# Num	Ratio
FriendsQA-S1	✗	✗	3,795	100%	995	100%
FriendsQA-M w/o R.	✓	✗	3,475	91.57%	894	89.85%
FriendsQA-M	✓	✓	3,584	94.44%	905	90.95%

Table 2: Comparison of datasets on first season in different filtration and type. w/o R. indicates revision are not included.

Type	Dataset		Difference
	FriendsQA-S1	FriendsQA-M	
single	33.45	33.60	0.15
cross	34.77	35.02	0.25

Table 3: The average accuracy and difference (%) of 10 SOTA models across single and cross-episode questions on FriendsQA-M and FriendsQA-S1.

smaller proportion of relevant video length, making it harder for models to capture the necessary information.

- Content difficulty ($\sigma_c^i = |C_w^i|/|C_r^i|$). It characterizes the relationship of video content between whole video and relevant video as shown in Figure 7(b). Larger value indicates less video content of relevant video, making it harder for models to capture the necessary instance.

Based on the two factors mentioned above, we use the following formula to calculate the overall difficulty score:

$$\sigma^i = \frac{\sigma_l^i}{\mu_l} + \frac{\sigma_c^i}{\mu_c} \quad (1)$$

where μ_l and μ_c represent the average length and content scores for all questions, which is used to mitigate factor discrepancy. In the ‘‘Evaluation’’ section, we validate the effectiveness of our difficulty measure through experiments.

FriendsQA Dataset

Dataset Quality

Manual Verification Before presenting the dataset statistics, we assess the quality of the FriendsQA generated by the StoryMind. This evaluation ensures the validity of subsequent evaluation. Therefore, we first select 4,790 questions from the first season (denoted as FriendsQA-S1) and apply the same requirements as in the previous ‘‘Question Filtration’’ and manually revise questions with incorrect options (e.g., the correct answer is A but B is selected). In the subsequent experiments, we use the manually verified dataset with revision (denoted as FriendsQA-M).

Manual v.s. Automatic The comparisons between FriendsQA-M and FriendsQA-S1 are shown in Table 2. For single-episode questions, 91.57% are retained directly without revision (denoted as FriendsQA w/o R.), and 94.44% are retained with revision. For cross-episode questions, these figures are 89.85% and 90.95%, respectively. Furthermore,




Figure 8: The distribution of fine-grained topics.

we conduct analysis of the accuracy difference of 10 SOTA models (will be elaborated in the latter ‘‘Evaluation’’ section) on FriendsQA-S1 and FriendsQA-M, as shown in Table 3. The average differences between them are 0.15% for single-episode questions and 0.25% for cross-episode questions. These results demonstrate the high-quality of the FriendsQA and the effectiveness of the StoryMind.

Dataset Statistics

Dataset Scale We applied StoryMind to the classic sitcom *Friends*, which contains 234 episodes with average length of 1358s. It leads to a large-scale dataset, FriendsQA, with over 44.6K questions, covering 14 fine-grained topics. The number of single-episode and cross-episode questions are 35,222 and 9,470, respectively, in approximately a 4:1 ratio. More examples for 14 fine-grained topic are elaborated in Appendix C.

Fine-grained Topic As illustrated in Figure 8, The proportion of P and I questions is nearly 50%, and for specific topics, like the CL with the lowest proportion of I questions, it still accounts for 10.9%. This indicates that the questions are evenly distributed across the 14 fine-grained topics. The distribution is the same for single and cross-episode question, which is detailed in Appendix D.

Difficulty Finally, to facilitate the analysis of results in conjunction with question difficulty, we sort the questions in ascending order of difficulty score σ^2 and divide them into three levels, easy, medium and hard based on a 9:3:1 ratio. The average difficulty scores of the 14 fine-grained topics across different difficulty levels are shown in the Figure 9. It can be observed that the difficulty of P questions tends to be higher than that of I questions across all three levels.

Evaluation

Setting

Baseline We benchmark 10 SOTA models over the past two years and categorize these models into two groups:

- **MLLM** models including Chat-Univi (Jin et al. 2024), MA-LMM (He et al. 2024), MovieChat (Song et al. 2024), SeViLA (Yu et al. 2023), TimeChat (Ren et al. 2024), Video-ChatGPT (Maaz et al. 2024), VideoChat2 (Li et al. 2024), VideoLLaMA2(Cheng et al. 2024). Except for SeViLA, which uses FlanT5-XL 3B (Chung et al. 2022) as backbone, all other MLLM models, use various versions of the LLaMA model (Touvron et al.





Figure 9: Overall difficulty score on different levels. The numbers in $[\cdot]$ are coordinate values for each circle.



(a) Average content and length difficulty for P and I questions.

(b) The impact of the 2 difficulties on the average accuracy of 10 models.

Figure 10: The influence of the two difficulties on accuracy

2023a,b) as backbone. For these models, we consistently use the 7B parameter version of LLaMA as the backbone.

- **VLM** models including Vid-TLDR (Choi et al. 2024) and VIOLETv2 (Fu et al. 2023). We use the weights fine-tuned on MSRVTT-QA, the most commonly used VideoQA dataset, to ensure fairness.

Implementation Details We set models in zero-shot question-answering settings on FriendsQA, with official default configurations. Evaluation is performed with the maximum frames supported by each model while staying within 48GB capacity of RTX A6000 GPU. More implementation details of 10 tested models are elaborated in Appendix E.

Evaluation Metrics We use accuracy (Li et al. 2023) as metrics, which is calculated by dividing the number of correct answered questions by the total number of questions.

Evaluation Result

MLLM v.s. VLM Table 4 shows an overall result of 10 models. By comparing MLLM models and VLM models, we find most MLLM models achieve better performance. This may be due to the fact that MLLMs utilize LLM as backbone, having greater language comprehension ability. Furthermore, extensive language pre-training may have brought more prior knowledge of reasoning to MLLM.

Attribution As shown in Table 4 that the accuracy of P questions is significantly lower than I questions for each model. We calculate the length difficulty σ_l and content difficulty σ_c of both question types. Figure 10(a) shows that P questions get higher overall difficulty, consistent with the

Att. Top.	MLLM							VLM		AVG		
	Chat-UniVi	MA-LMM	MovieChat	SeViLA	TimeChat	Video-ChatGPT	VideoChat2	VideoLLaMA2	VIOLETv2		Vid-TLDR	
P	C	26.27 / 23.85	29.84 / 35.90	17.76 / 21.24	35.87 / 40.75	18.07 / 15.28	15.82 / 17.52	23.53 / 30.31	28.87 / 44.47	26.71 / 22.11	21.33 / 22.61	24.41 / 27.40
	A	25.77 / 41.79	30.47 / 36.48	24.51 / 22.06	39.19 / 27.03	19.26 / 17.41	25.39 / 20.07	22.70 / 34.16	32.10 / 42.45	27.07 / 28.86	22.53 / 26.87	26.90 / 29.72
	L	24.77 / 34.62	32.79 / 43.24	17.56 / 19.32	27.79 / 34.03	21.53 / 5.65	15.41 / 16.05	29.19 / 34.77	37.54 / 45.32	28.52 / 25.56	24.07 / 27.04	25.92 / 28.56
	CA	30.77 / 30.84	29.42 / 31.95	16.07 / 22.03	28.38 / 33.29	22.71 / 14.32	15.07 / 15.79	23.78 / 27.29	33.27 / 35.37	25.53 / 28.40	24.38 / 23.75	24.94 / 26.30
	CL	27.43 / 27.41	31.05 / 42.27	19.00 / 18.99	34.96 / 31.24	14.46 / 5.51	16.73 / 12.10	27.34 / 36.75	32.55 / 37.67	28.16 / 27.72	21.26 / 24.50	25.29 / 26.42
	AL	31.58 / 40.70	29.77 / 47.51	21.95 / 22.76	34.39 / 31.56	18.46 / 6.48	24.25 / 18.94	24.71 / 38.70	34.80 / 45.68	28.96 / 29.07	25.34 / 27.24	27.42 / 30.86
CAL	30.74 / 27.62	29.94 / 41.77	19.86 / 22.31	31.88 / 37.14	19.68 / 7.35	16.12 / 13.20	25.36 / 29.93	35.01 / 37.14	28.36 / 30.20	25.67 / 23.27	26.26 / 26.99	
I	C	38.62 / 30.03	49.16 / 42.90	23.24 / 23.43	36.10 / 47.36	31.79 / 13.53	25.40 / 22.77	39.02 / 43.56	52.24 / 57.43	28.83 / 31.52	25.36 / 26.73	34.98 / 33.93
	A	57.69 / 72.14	54.67 / 50.88	25.60 / 27.57	34.50 / 25.81	33.46 / 27.86	33.72 / 42.08	55.86 / 76.10	65.57 / 82.26	26.94 / 24.05	29.81 / 35.63	41.78 / 46.44
	L	56.91 / 69.04	59.62 / 55.88	26.68 / 32.04	32.94 / 32.20	41.81 / 24.15	32.91 / 44.12	64.32 / 74.46	73.03 / 86.22	29.81 / 30.50	33.27 / 42.57	45.13 / 49.12
	CA	42.23 / 46.37	43.93 / 47.72	20.89 / 26.45	32.48 / 34.32	33.23 / 15.87	26.04 / 27.55	38.00 / 44.53	52.11 / 61.38	30.44 / 33.21	27.06 / 30.75	34.64 / 36.81
	CL	39.28 / 56.08	47.29 / 55.57	26.33 / 34.80	32.40 / 34.63	15.64 / 18.75	27.19 / 43.24	44.22 / 67.91	49.38 / 75.84	39.23 / 37.16	26.76 / 40.88	34.77 / 46.49
	AL	50.32 / 65.86	49.98 / 45.06	25.63 / 30.33	35.21 / 29.29	26.90 / 22.53	29.27 / 36.92	48.92 / 66.72	59.48 / 74.18	32.84 / 25.13	27.32 / 44.02	38.59 / 44.00
CAL	51.24 / 54.95	51.24 / 39.34	24.70 / 28.83	33.17 / 29.58	29.90 / 18.32	34.37 / 33.18	53.44 / 53.90	64.27 / 63.81	33.69 / 26.73	31.85 / 35.74	40.79 / 38.44	
AVG	38.48 / 43.62	41.02 / 43.69	22.03 / 24.96	33.29 / 33.58	25.54 / 15.12	24.18 / 25.48	37.68 / 46.17	47.12 / 55.64	29.46 / 28.50	26.32 / 30.40	32.51 / 34.72	

Table 4: The accuracy (%) of 10 SOTA models on FriendsQA. The figures before the “/” denote single-episode questions, while those after it denote cross-episode questions. Here the bold indicates the best value. Att. means attribution, Top. means topic.




Figure 11: Accuracy (%) of 10 SOTA models across different levels of difficulty in FriendsQA.

results. Specific to 2 factors, although σ_c is similar, P questions have significantly higher σ_l . This suggests the shorter video related to P questions make it harder for the model to extract relevant information.

Topic By Comparing the accuracy of C, A, L within P and I in Table 4, We find that models exhibit lower accuracy on questions involving C. Furthermore, this trend is reinforced by comparing the accuracy of CA, CL, and AL topics within the P. This issue may stem from the model’s weakness in character recognition. Unlike traditional facial recognition, in this experiment, we do not provide an additional facial registration database. The model must infer characters by integrating visual content with subtitles, making character recognition a challenging task in this context. However, this pattern is not entirely consistent for cross-episode I questions. We attribute this exception to the fact that I questions can also be answered by reasoning about actions or locations, without solely relying on character recognition.

Difficulty For questions difficulty, we first examine the impact of the two difficulty factors on model performance. Figure 10(b) shows the average accuracy of 10 models

across 3 difficulty levels as the two difficulty scores increase. The downward trend in accuracy as difficulty rises confirms the effectiveness of these factors. Figure 11 shows the accuracy of each models in 3 difficulty levels. As observed, 9 of 10 models are consistent with our expectation, where accuracy decreases as difficulty increases. Only SeViLA shows no significant difference among different difficulty levels. It might be because SeViLA is the only model fine-tuning on TVQA including *Friends*, with more prior knowledge.

Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, we devise StoryMind, a LLM-based multi-agent collaboration framework to automatically generate large-scale dataset, FriendsQA, with fine-grained topics. FriendsQA builds upon the core C, A, and L story topics of stories with a large number of questions. We conduct comprehensive evaluation on FriendsQA and believe that it will guide the development of VideoQA methods.

Although FriendsQA represents a significant advancement, it remains in early stages of development. We are continually refining and expanding StoryMind framework to enhance its adaptability and applicability to various types of story videos. Inspired by (Wang et al. 2020; Nguyen et al. 2024), future work includes extending StoryMind to other genres and shows with different storytelling styles and wider range of scenarios, such as movies and dramas.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 62372339, 62371350, 62372336) and the Ministry of Education Industry-University Cooperative Education Project (No. 240700006245501, 220800006041043, 202102246004, 202002142012). The numerical calculations in this paper have been done on the supercomputing system in the Supercomputing Center of Wuhan University.

References

- Ammanabrolu, P.; Cheung, W.; Broniec, W.; and Riedl, M. O. 2021. Automated Storytelling via Causal, Commonsense Plot Ordering. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 35(7): 5859–5867.
- Chen, M.; Chu, Z.; Wiseman, S.; and Gimpel, K. 2021. Summscreen: A dataset for abstractive screenplay summarization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.07091*.
- Cheng, Z.; Leng, S.; Zhang, H.; Xin, Y.; Li, X.; Chen, G.; Zhu, Y.; Zhang, W.; Luo, Z.; Zhao, D.; and Bing, L. 2024. VideoLLaMA 2: Advancing Spatial-Temporal Modeling and Audio Understanding in Video-LLMs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.07476*.
- Choi, J.; Lee, S.; Chu, J.; Choi, M.; and Kim, H. J. 2024. vid-TLDR: Training Free Token Merging for Light-weight Video Transformer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 18771–18781.
- Chung, H. W.; Hou, L.; Longpre, S.; Zoph, B.; Tay, Y.; Fedus, W.; Li, E.; Wang, X.; Dehghani, M.; Brahma, S.; Webson, A.; Gu, S. S.; Dai, Z.; Suzgun, M.; Chen, X.; Chowdhery, A.; Narang, S.; Mishra, G.; Yu, A.; Zhao, V.; Huang, Y.; Dai, A.; Yu, H.; Petrov, S.; Chi, E. H.; Dean, J.; Devlin, J.; Roberts, A.; Zhou, D.; Le, Q. V.; and Wei, J. 2022. Scaling Instruction-Finetuned Language Models.
- Curtis, K.; Awad, G.; Godil, A.; and Soboroff, I. 2023. The ACM Multimedia 2023 Deep Video Understanding Grand Challenge. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, MM '23, 9606–9609. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9798400701085.
- Curtis, K.; Awad, G.; Rajput, S.; and Soboroff, I. 2020. HLvu: A New Challenge to Test Deep Understanding of Movies the Way Humans do. In *Proceedings of the 2020 International Conference on Multimedia Retrieval*, ICMR '20, 355–361. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9781450370875.
- Curtis, K.; Awad, G.; Rajput, S.; and Soboroff, I. 2022. The ACM Multimedia 2022 Deep Video Understanding Grand Challenge. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, MM '22, 7075–7078. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9781450392037.
- Fabian Caba Heilbron, B. G., Victor Escorcia; and Niebles, J. C. 2015. ActivityNet: A Large-Scale Video Benchmark for Human Activity Understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 961–970.
- Fu, T.-J.; Li, L.; Gan, Z.; Lin, K.; Wang, W. Y.; Wang, L.; and Liu, Z. 2023. An Empirical Study of End-to-End Video-Language Transformers With Masked Visual Modeling. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 22898–22909.
- Fung, Y.; Wang, H.; Wang, T.; Kebarighotbi, A.; Bansal, M.; Ji, H.; and Natarajan, P. 2023. DeepMaven: Deep question answering on long-distance movie/TV show videos with multimedia knowledge extraction and synthesis. In *Proceedings of the 17th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 3041–3051.
- Garcia, N.; Otani, M.; Chu, C.; and Nakashima, Y. 2020. KnowIT VQA: Answering Knowledge-Based Questions about Videos. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 34(07): 10826–10834.
- Guo, J.; Liang, C.; and Wang, Z. 2023. Who, What and Where: Composite-semantic Instance Search for Story Videos. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME)*, 858–863. IEEE.
- He, B.; Li, H.; Jang, Y. K.; Jia, M.; Cao, X.; Shah, A.; Shrivastava, A.; and Lim, S.-N. 2024. Ma-Imm: Memory-augmented large multimodal model for long-term video understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 13504–13514.
- Jin, P.; Takanobu, R.; Zhang, W.; Cao, X.; and Yuan, L. 2024. Chat-univi: Unified visual representation empowers large language models with image and video understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 13700–13710.
- Kim, K.-M.; Heo, M.-O.; Choi, S.-H.; and Zhang, B.-T. 2017. Deepstory: Video story qa by deep embedded memory networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.00836*.
- Lei, J.; Yu, L.; Bansal, M.; and Berg, T. L. 2018. TVQA: Localized, Compositional Video Question Answering. In *Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*.
- Lei, J.; Yu, L.; Berg, T.; and Bansal, M. 2020. TVQA+: Spatio-Temporal Grounding for Video Question Answering. In Jurafsky, D.; Chai, J.; Schluter, N.; and Tetreault, J., eds., *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 8211–8225. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Li, K.; Wang, Y.; He, Y.; Li, Y.; Wang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Wang, Z.; Xu, J.; Chen, G.; Luo, P.; Wang, L.; and Qiao, Y. 2024. MVBenchmark: A Comprehensive Multi-modal Video Understanding Benchmark. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 22195–22206.
- Li, L.; Chen, Y.-C.; Cheng, Y.; Gan, Z.; Yu, L.; and Liu, J. 2020. HERO: Hierarchical Encoder for Video+Language Omni-representation Pre-training. In Webber, B.; Cohn, T.; He, Y.; and Liu, Y., eds., *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, 2046–2065. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Li, R.; Guo, J.; Li, M.; Wu, Z.; and Liang, C. 2023. A Hierarchical Deep Video Understanding Method with Shot-Based Instance Search and Large Language Model. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, MM '23, 9425–9429. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9798400701085.
- Liang, C.; Zhang, Y.; Cheng, J.; Xu, C.; and Lu, H. 2009. A Novel Role-Based Movie Scene Segmentation Method. In Muneesawang, P.; Wu, F.; Kumazawa, I.; Roeksabutr, A.;

- Liao, M.; and Tang, X., eds., *Advances in Multimedia Information Processing - PCM 2009*, 917–922. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. ISBN 978-3-642-10467-1.
- Ma, K.; Jurczyk, T.; and Choi, J. D. 2018. Challenging reading comprehension on daily conversation: Passage completion on multiparty dialog. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, 2039–2048.
- Maaz, M.; Rasheed, H.; Khan, S.; and Khan, F. S. 2024. Video-ChatGPT: Towards Detailed Video Understanding via Large Vision and Language Models. In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL 2024)*.
- Mangalam, K.; Akshulakov, R.; and Malik, J. 2023. EgoSchema: A Diagnostic Benchmark for Very Long-form Video Language Understanding. In Oh, A.; Naumann, T.; Globerson, A.; Saenko, K.; Hardt, M.; and Levine, S., eds., *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 36, 46212–46244. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Nguyen, T.; Hu, Z.; Wu, X.; Nguyen, C.-D.; Ng, S.-K.; and Luu, A. T. 2024. Encoding and Controlling Global Semantics for Long-form Video Question Answering. In Al-Onaizan, Y.; Bansal, M.; and Chen, Y.-N., eds., *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, 7049–7066. Miami, Florida, USA: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Niu, Y.; Liang, C.; Lu, A.; Huang, B.; Wang, Z.; and Guo, J. 2023. Person-action Instance Search in Story Videos: An Experimental Study. *ACM Trans. Inf. Syst.*, 42(2).
- Ren, S.; Yao, L.; Li, S.; Sun, X.; and Hou, L. 2024. Timechat: A time-sensitive multimodal large language model for long video understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 14313–14323.
- Sang, J.; Liang, C.; Xu, C.; and Cheng, J. 2011. Robust movie character identification and the sensitivity analysis. In *2011 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo*, 1–6.
- Song, E.; Chai, W.; Wang, G.; Zhang, Y.; Zhou, H.; Wu, F.; Chi, H.; Guo, X.; Ye, T.; Zhang, Y.; et al. 2024. Moviechat: From dense token to sparse memory for long video understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 18221–18232.
- Tapaswi, M.; Zhu, Y.; Stiefelhagen, R.; Torralba, A.; Urtasun, R.; and Fidler, S. 2016. Movieqa: Understanding stories in movies through question-answering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 4631–4640.
- Touvron, H.; Lavril, T.; Izacard, G.; Martinet, X.; Lachaux, M.-A.; Lacroix, T.; Rozière, B.; Goyal, N.; Hambro, E.; Azhar, F.; et al. 2023a. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*.
- Touvron, H.; Martin, L.; Stone, K.; Albert, P.; Almahairi, A.; Babaei, Y.; Bashlykov, N.; Batra, S.; Bhargava, P.; Bhosale, S.; et al. 2023b. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*.
- Wang, X.; Chen, J.; Wang, Z.; Liu, W.; Satoh, S.; Liang, C.; and Lin, C.-W. 2020. When Pedestrian Detection Meets Nighttime Surveillance: A New Benchmark. In Bessiere, C., ed., *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-20*, 509–515. International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence Organization. Main track.
- Xiao, J.; Shang, X.; Yao, A.; and Chua, T.-S. 2021. NEXT-QA: Next Phase of Question-Answering to Explaining Temporal Actions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 9777–9786.
- Xu, D.; Zhao, Z.; Xiao, J.; Wu, F.; Zhang, H.; He, X.; and Zhuang, Y. 2017. Video Question Answering via Gradually Refined Attention over Appearance and Motion. In *ACM Multimedia*.
- Xu, Y.; Wei, Y.; and Wu, B. 2023. Query-aware Long Video Localization and Relation Discrimination for Deep Video Understanding. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, MM '23, 9591–9595. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9798400701085.
- Yang, A.; Miech, A.; Sivic, J.; Laptev, I.; and Schmid, C. 2021. Just Ask: Learning to Answer Questions from Millions of Narrated Videos. In *ICCV*.
- Yang, Z.; and Choi, J. D. 2019. FriendsQA: Open-domain question answering on TV show transcripts. In *Proceedings of the 20th Annual SIGdial Meeting on Discourse and Dialogue*, 188–197.
- Yu, S.; Cho, J.; Yadav, P.; and Bansal, M. 2023. Self-Chained Image-Language Model for Video Localization and Question Answering. In *NeurIPS*.
- Yu, Y.; Kim, J.; and Kim, G. 2018. A Joint Sequence Fusion Model for Video Question Answering and Retrieval. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*.
- Yu, Z.; Xu, D.; Yu, J.; Yu, T.; Zhao, Z.; Zhuang, Y.; and Tao, D. 2019. ActivityNet-QA: A Dataset for Understanding Complex Web Videos via Question Answering. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 33(01): 9127–9134.
- Zhang, B.; Fang, Y.; Ren, T.; and Wu, G. 2022. Multimodal analysis for deep video understanding with video language transformer. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 7165–7169.
- Zhong, Y.; Ji, W.; Xiao, J.; Li, Y.; Deng, W.; and Chua, T.-S. 2022. Video Question Answering: Datasets, Algorithms and Challenges. In Goldberg, Y.; Kozareva, Z.; and Zhang, Y., eds., *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, 6439–6455. Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Appendix

This appendix provides additional information not described in the paper due to the page limit. Specifically, section A contains the specific method and more quantitative results of fine-grained topic categorization. Section B discusses more details about the implementation of StoryMind, including the generator and two reviewers. Section C illustrates samples of FriendsQA. Section D represents the quantity distribution of fine-grained topic questions in FriendsQA. For section E, we elaborate on the experiment settings of the evaluation of 10 SOTA models.

A. Fine-Grained Topic Categorization

We employ Gemini 1.5 Pro to categorize fine-grained topics of questions of 5 classic DVU datasets. The prompt template for assessment is shown in Figure 14.

As shown in Table 5, results of fine-grained topic categorization indicate that the current DVU datasets are not evenly distributed. In contrast, FriendsQA has a more comprehensive and balanced distribution of various topics.

B. Details for StoryMind

In this section, we discuss more details about the implementation of StoryMind, including the prompt for the generator and reviewers.

B.1 Prompt for Generator

We use Gemini 1.5 Pro⁵, paired with LangChain⁶, as the LLM to generate questions for the given story videos. We develop a formatting tool using Langchain’s tools module, which enables the generator to output questions and related information according to our specifications. The prompt template for question generation is shown in Figure 15.

The prompt template contains three main parts: video information, description of the fine-grained topic and question example. The first two parts have been discussed in detail in the paper. As for the final question example, we design heuristic question examples for each fine-grained topic, which enables the generator to mimic and generate new questions. Some question examples are presented in Table 6.

B.2 Prompt for Reviewers


The structure of the prompt template for the reviewer is shown in Figure 16. In this prompt, each row of the CSV file corresponds to a generated question and its choices.

The first step in the reviewer’s verification process is the relevance review. This step requires utilizing the video information, question, and choices provided in the prompt. The video information offers a textual description of the video content, allowing reviewers to determine if the generated questions and choices are relevant to the video (Figure 6(a) in the paper).

⁵<https://aistudio.google.com/>

⁶<https://www.langchain.com/>

(a) Single-episode questions (35,222 questions)



(b) Cross-episode questions (9,470 questions)




Figure 12: Quantity distributions of single-episode and cross-episode questions of FriendsQA in fine-grained topics

The second step in the reviewer’s verification process is the correctness review. A generated question passes the review if and only if the answers provided by two reviewers are consistent with the GT (Figure 6(b) in the paper).

C. Samples of FriendsQA

Figure 17 and Figure 18 show several single-episode and cross-episode questions in FriendsQA, respectively. For easy verification, we specifically identify each question’s fine-grained topic category and difficulty score.

Particularly, for the proposed difficulty measure, we give some samples for different difficulty levels in Figure 19 to illustrate how time and content factors affect the difficulty measure of the question. For the time factor, the difficulty score and level increase as related time decreases. Similarly, for the content factor, both the difficulty score and level increase as the number of related instances decreases.

D. Quantity Distributions of FriendsQA

As illustrated in Figure 12, the quantity distribution of FriendsQA covers all fine-grained topics and is balanced across them, including single-episode and cross-episode questions.

E. Setting for Evaluation

The setting for evaluation includes experimental details and the text input of evaluation on FriendsQA.

E.1 Experimental Details

Following recent VideoQA benchmark (Mangalam, Akshulakov, and Malik 2023; Li et al. 2024), we adopt a zero-shot question-answering setting on FriendsQA to test 10 state-of-the-art (SOTA) VideoQA models. To ensure the objectivity of the evaluation, we do not modify the model code and

Table 5: Categorization results of 14 fine-grained topic categorizations in different DVU datasets.

Topic	MovieQA		TVQA		TVQA+		DVU22&23		MovieChat-1K		FriendsQA	
	P	I	P	I	P	I	P	I	P	I	P	I
C	4,097	2,528	31,412	11,313	8,464	3,292	2	48	4,840	384	3,074	3,110
A	85	48	16,513	1,049	1,349	62	44	3	627	2	2,986	3,369
L	208	92	5,311	199	848	16	0	0	8,836	413	3,976	3,712
CA	3,157	1,746	45,567	8,934	9,356	1,099	34	179	1,031	5	3,618	3,747
CL	204	42	8,432	349	1,635	19	2	38	42	0	2,727	2,453
AL	7	2	462	42	70	5	0	3	7	0	2,812	2,934
CAL	1,792	936	7,813	7,517	2,011	1,157	13	89	2,060	770	3,006	3,168

(a) Question Input

Please watch the video carefully and focus on understanding three elements of the video which are character, action, and location. Note that the information about the scene that appears in the question is incrementally labeled according to the location switching. Please answer the following question according to in-depth and comprehensive understanding of the video:

Question: [question]

(b) Prompt for MLLM

[Question Input]

Please select the best option from following choices:

[choices]

Figure 13: Question input and the prompt for MLLM.

solely utilize the default parameters provided by the official repository for performance evaluation.

E.2 Text Input of Evaluation

This paper evaluates two types of VideoQA models, VLM and MLLM. The similarity between them during evaluation is that they both use video keyframes as the input of visual information, but the difference lies in the input of text information. For VLM, we input question and choices into the question input head and choice input head of the model, respectively.

For MLLM, we put the question and choices as a whole into the prompt and input them into the model (Figure 13). It should be emphasized that in addition to the main question, we also write a description in the question input part to prompt the model to accurately locate the question scene based on the change of locations.

F. Qualitative Experiments

Figure 20 and Figure 21 present qualitative results on the FriendsQA dataset for 10 SOTA models, encompassing both single-episode and cross-episode questions.

Table 6: Question examples of the prompt for question generation.

Episode	Attribution	Topic	Examples		
Single	P	C	How many important characters from within the TV series appear in Scene 1? In Scene 2, in what order do the characters appear?		
		A	What is the main action taking place in Scene 2? What is the order of appearance of actions in Scene 4?		
		L	In which location does Scene 5 take place? In Scene 3, where did the event take place?		
		CA	What action does Chandler perform while Joey is practicing his lines? Which character takes a puff of a cigarette during the rehearsal scene?		
		CL	In Scene 13 which characters are in Central Perk? In which location do Monica and Ross appear together?		
		AL	What action is taking place in Scene 3 at the hospital? What sequence of actions takes place in Scene 7 at Central Perk?		
		CAL	Who is discussing a topic at Monica's apartment in Scene 12? In Scene 3 at Central Perk, who is sitting, discussing, and then standing up?		
		C	Who are the main characters in this video that contribute to the plot? Across the entire episode, how does Rachel's (growth/moods change/opinions)?		
		A	In both Scene 1 and Scene 3, what actions appear simultaneously in both scenes? How do the actions related to preparing and consuming meals highlight the friendship throughout the episode?		
		L	Throughout the episode, how does Monica's apartment serve as a place for? How do the scenes set at Central Perk contribute to the development of the friendships over the course of the episode?		
Single	I	CA	In Scene 2, after Joey greets everyone, what action do the other characters perform? How do Ross's interactions and actions with Monica and Phoebe in various scenes depict her role within the friend group?		
		CL	Which characters appear at Chandler's apartment in Scene 4 and also appear at Central Perk in Scene 14? Which characters appear in both Monica and Rachel's apartment in Scene 12 and Central Perk in Scene 5?		
		AL	What actions happen in locations of Scene 12 and Scene 15? Across multiple scenes at Central Perk, what actions reflect the reliance on this location for emotional support?		
		CAL	In Scene 6 at the street, who gives \$1000 and a football phone, and what action do they perform next? How do the interactions at Central Perk and Monica's apartment across various scenes reveal the core dynamics of the friends group?		
		C	Which two characters are shown to be brother and sister? In these episodes, which two characters fall in love with each other?		
		A	What recurring action is performed whenever someone enters their apartment? What action is considered a symbolic gesture of leaving her old life of depending on her family?		
		L	How many locations appears in these episodes? Which location appears most in these episodes?		
		CA	What action does Ross repeatedly perform as he reminisces about his relationship with Carol? Which character is the most likely to use humor as a defense mechanism?		
		CL	Which character is shown his/her work in Central Perk throughout all episodes? In which locations does Ross reveal his past with Carol?		
		AL	What location is frequently used for watching TV? What action is repeatedly performed in both Monica's apartment and Central Perk?		
Cross	P	CAL	Who is shown working at Central Perk after giving up their credit cards? At which locations does Chandler smoke a cigarette?		
		C	Which two characters are shown to be twins or brother and sister? Who appears to be the most pragmatic and realistic in their approach to life?		
		A	How do the reactions to receiving unexpected gifts reveal the beliefs? How does the recurring action of 'hugging' demonstrate the importance of physical touch and intimacy in their friendships?		
		L	How do the different apartments featured in the episodes reflect the personalities and lifestyles? How does the setting of Central Perk contribute to the overall tone and atmosphere of the episodes?		
		CA	Based on their interactions, which character seems to be the most empathetic and understanding of others' feelings? Why does Monica keep her relationship with Alan a secret from her friends for so long?		
		CL	How does the contrast between Monica and Rachel's apartment and Ross's apartment reflect their differing emotional states? How does the setting of Monica and Rachel's apartment reflect the evolving dynamics of their friendship?		
		AL	How does the location of the office contribute to the portrayal of professional life and personal struggles? At which location frequently discuss romantic relationships and drinking coffee?		
		CAL	Which character works in a restaurant but dreams of having their own restaurant? Why is Monica's apartment the primary location for the group's emotional discussions and support?		
		Cross	I	C	Which two characters are shown to be brother and sister? Who appears to be the most pragmatic and realistic in their approach to life?
				A	How do the reactions to receiving unexpected gifts reveal the beliefs? How does the recurring action of 'hugging' demonstrate the importance of physical touch and intimacy in their friendships?
L	How do the different apartments featured in the episodes reflect the personalities and lifestyles? How does the setting of Central Perk contribute to the overall tone and atmosphere of the episodes?				
CA	Based on their interactions, which character seems to be the most empathetic and understanding of others' feelings? Why does Monica keep her relationship with Alan a secret from her friends for so long?				
CL	How does the contrast between Monica and Rachel's apartment and Ross's apartment reflect their differing emotional states? How does the setting of Monica and Rachel's apartment reflect the evolving dynamics of their friendship?				
AL	How does the location of the office contribute to the portrayal of professional life and personal struggles? At which location frequently discuss romantic relationships and drinking coffee?				
CAL	Which character works in a restaurant but dreams of having their own restaurant? Why is Monica's apartment the primary location for the group's emotional discussions and support?				

Prompt for the Categorization of Fine-grained Topic

System: You are an expert in long story video comprehension and you are good at annotate questions' type of DVU task. You need to annotate given question with choices list according to the descriptions of attribution and topic, categorize questions into correct attribution and topic.

Question for story video comprehension is divided into 2 attributions, 7 topics.

Attributions: P, I.

Description: For questions of perception (P), it can be obtained from the appearance of the video directly, while questions of inference (I) need to analyze the content of the video and logical reasoning to get the results.

Topics: C, A, L, CA, CL, AL, CAL

Description: We focus on three core elements of the video: character, action, and location, where C stands for character, A stands for action, and L stands for location. Therefore, there are 7 possible topics, i.e., C, A, L, CA, CL, AL, CAL. It should be noted that topic is based on which elements it contains. For example, C can only involve character, not anything about action or location, questions with topic C mean it's only about character itself.

For example:

“Why does Hook leave Eamon's apartment?” contains character (‘Hook’), action (‘leave’) and location (‘Eamon's apartment’) and to answer 'Why' needs logical inference. So this question attribution is ‘I’, topic is ‘CAL’.

“Who is helping the Loyalists make the bomb?” contains character (‘Who’), action (‘make the bomb’) and to answer ‘Who’ needs ‘perception’ from video. So this question attribution is ‘I’, topic is ‘CA’.

Questions to be annotated are as follow:

[questions_str]

Output Reuirements

Each line includes 3 elements, separated by spaces: id of the question, attribution of the question (P or I), topic of the question (select from C, A, L, CA, CL, AL, CAL).

Output examples:

0 P CAL

1 I CAL

2 P CAL

3 I CAL

4 P CAL

...

Now you are annotating questions whose id from [start] to [end], please give the annotating result according to the id from [start] to [end] (Directly output without any explanation.):

Figure 14: The prompt template for assessing fine-grained topics of different DVU datasets.

Prompt for Generator

System: You are an expert in long story video comprehension and now need to put your students to the test by coming up with a series of questions for them to answer.

Question for story video comprehension is divided into 2 attributions, 7 topics.

Attributions: P, I.

Description: For questions of perception (P), it can be obtained from the appearance of the video directly, while questions of inference (I) need to analyze the content of the video and logical reasoning to get the results.

Topics: C, A, L, CA, CL, AL, CAL

Description: We focus on three core elements of the video: character, action, and location, where C stands for character, A stands for action, and L stands for location. Therefore, there are 7 possible topics, i.e., C, A, L, CA, CL, AL, CAL. It should be noted that topic is based on which elements it contains. For example, C can only involve character, not anything about action or location, questions with topic C mean it's only about character itself.

Please note that the video information contains the boundingbox, character, and line and line timestamps:

[Video information]

Questions Examples:

[Questions Examples]

Requirements: I hope relevant segments can be cross video clips. I want to generate questions of different difficulty, so for each type you need to help me generate questions of different difficulty by either focusing on more long-term video clips, or focusing on more complex character's relationship. You can even generate questions cover the whole episode. And the generation of questions should ideally be spread throughout the video rather than concentrated in certain scenes. In order to better validate the question, Please generate the basis of inference, a list of the start and end times of the relevant segments in the video, and a list of the relevant characters related to question.

Please generate questions with GroundTruth and choices list in multiple-choice format. For each 2 attributions and 7 topics, i.e., for each of the 14 type, you should generate at least 15 questions and questions should be with different degree of difficulty. You are specialize in using 'Tools_of_saving_question' to save questions and you can generate about 14 questions and save. Use tool 'Tools_of_saving_question' to save question information.

Figure 15: The prompt template for generator.

Prompt for Reviewer

System: You are very good at reviewing questions for correctness and answering given questions. Here is a video information for a movie or TV show, and the corresponding test questions for understanding the content of the movie or TV show. You are asked to evaluate each question, assessing its correctness (True or False). Assuming you are actually doing the test and can only watch the video, you only need to give the corresponding assessment in the order in which they are presented.

Please note that the video information contains the boundingbox, character, and line and line timestamps:

[Video information]

Generated questions are as follow:

[CSV]

Relevant Requirements:

For correctness, There are two criteria for the correctness of a question and both of them should be satisfied:

1. The question must be relevant to the content of the video and can be answered with the only one Answer from Choices.
 2. In the list of options for this question, there must be only one correct answer, with the other options unequivocally incorrect.
- You need to carefully review each question, ensuring that there are no factual errors, logical reasoning errors, etc., in the question and answer. For conservative, Please filter out the 20% of questions with low confidence in all questions by giving their correctness as False.

Output Requirements:

Each line includes 3 elements, separated by spaces: id of the question, correctness of the question (True or False), the answer you want to use to answer this question (You can select from Choices.)\\

Output examples:

1 True XXX

2 True XXX

3 True XXX

4 True XXX

5 False XXX

...

Figure 16: The prompt template for reviewer.



Question: How many **people** are present in the first scene of the episode?

- (A) 5
- (B) 6
- (C) 7
- (D) 8

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
P	C	1.6558

Question: What is the **main action** taking place in the first scene of the episode?

- (A) The friends are discussing the importance of kissing
- (B) Ross is setting up a museum exhibit
- (C) Rachel is looking for her engagement ring
- (D) Monica is cooking dinner for her parents

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
P	A	0.5112

Question: In which **location** does Scene 9 take place?

- (A) Central Perk
- (B) Museum of Prehistoric History
- (C) Barry's office
- (D) Monica and Rachel's

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
P	L	0.9343



Question: **Who** is worried about the 'karmic debt' of keeping the extra money?

- (A) Phoebe
- (B) Chandler
- (C) Rachel
- (D) Monica

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
I	C	2.8298

Question: In both Scene 1 and Scene 3, what is the main topic of **conversation**?

- (A) The ethics of keeping money that isn't yours
- (B) The challenges of dating and relationships
- (C) The importance of honesty and integrity
- (D) The nature of true friendship and support

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
I	A	2.9279

Question: Which scenes take place at **Central Perk**?

- (A) Scene 1, Scene 3, and Scene 5
- (B) Scene 1, Scene 5, and Scene 9
- (C) Scene 2, Scene 4, and Scene 6
- (D) Scene 4, Scene 8, and Scene 12

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
I	L	0.2211



Question: In Scene 13, what is **Angela** doing while **Monica** is telling the story about Underdog?

- (A) Talking to Monica
- (B) Looking at Joey
- (C) Drinking wine
- (D) Touching Bob's arm

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
P	CA	1.1676

Question: In Scene 10, **where** are **Monica and Angela**?

- (A) At Central Perk
- (B) At the Laundromat
- (C) In the kitchen
- (D) In the ladies' bathroom at the restaurant

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
P	CL	1.4311

Question: What **action** is taking place at the **laundromat** in Scene 7?

- (A) Rachel is doing laundry with Ross's help.
- (B) Rachel is arguing with a woman over a laundry machine.
- (C) Rachel is sorting her pink clothes.
- (D) Rachel is waiting for Ross to arrive at the laundromat.

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
P	AL	2.2159

Question: In Scene 15 **at the laundromat**, what is **Ross's reaction** when the **woman** tries to **take the laundry cart** after **Rachel** gets upset?

- (A) He laughs at Rachel's reaction.
- (B) He defends Rachel and argues with the woman.
- (C) He ignores the situation.
- (D) He tells Rachel to let the woman take the cart.

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
P	CAL	1.8753



Question: Why is **Chandler** not **joining** the Thanksgiving festivities in Scene 8?

- (A) He doesn't like turkey.
- (B) He has bad memories of Thanksgiving.
- (C) He's going out of town.
- (D) He's fighting with Monica.

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
I	CA	0.9889

Question: In Scene 10 and Scene 12, **which characters** are present at **both Monica and Rachel's apartment and the hallway** during the key incident?

- (A) Monica, Rachel, Phoebe, Joey, and Chandler
- (B) Monica, Rachel, Ross, Joey, and Chandler
- (C) Monica, Phoebe, Ross, Joey, and Chandler
- (D) Rachel, Phoebe, Ross, Joey, and Chandler

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
I	CL	0.3915

Question: How do the **actions** taking place in both **Monica and Rachel's apartment and Carol and Susan's apartment** throughout the episode **illustrate the theme of family and chosen family**?

- (A) Sharing meals, expressing gratitude
- (B) Offering support, providing a sense of belonging
- (C) Celebrating holidays, upholding traditions
- (D) All of the above

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
I	AL	0.2161

Question: In Scene 2 **at Central Perk**, **who** is **talking about their parents' Thanksgiving plans**, and **what action** do they perform next?

- (A) Rachel, She takes a sip of her coffee
- (B) Ross, He gets up to call their mother
- (C) Monica, She starts preparing dinner
- (D) Joey, He makes a joke about the situation

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
I	CAL	1.0768

Figure 17: Examples of the fine-grained topic questions (single-episode questions)



S01E01



S01E03

Question: What is the significance of October 20th for Ross?

- ✗ (A) It's the anniversary of his first date with Carol.
- ✗ (B) It's the anniversary of his parents' wedding.
- ✓ (C) It's the anniversary of his first time having sex.
- ✗ (D) It's the anniversary of his divorce from Carol.

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
P	C	3.8149

Question: What action does the character perform as a symbolic gesture of leaving her old life of depending on her father?

- ✗ (A) She moves out of her parents' house.
- ✗ (B) She gets a job and starts supporting herself.
- ✓ (C) She cuts up her credit cards.
- ✗ (D) She returns her engagement ring to Barry.

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
P	A	2.0000

Question: Which location is seen the least throughout the episodes?

- ✗ (A) Monica and Rachel's apartment
- ✗ (B) Chandler and Joey's apartment
- ✗ (C) Ross's apartment
- ✓ (D) The Lamaze class

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
P	L	0.8421

Question: Who expresses a strong desire to be married again after their divorce?

- ✓ (A) Ross
- ✗ (B) Monica
- ✗ (C) Chandler
- ✗ (D) Rachel

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
P	CA	14.9104

Question: At which location does Rachel admit to Monica that she's attracted to Ross?

- ✗ (A) Central Perk
- ✓ (B) Monica and Rachel's apartment
- ✗ (C) Chandler and Joey's apartment
- ✗ (D) The hospital

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
P	CL	6.6107

Question: What action is repeatedly performed at Central Perk?

- ✓ (A) Ordering coffee
- ✗ (B) Playing foosball
- ✗ (C) Watching television
- ✗ (D) Reading books

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
P	AL	0.8458

Question: Who gives a homeless person \$1000 and a football phone on the street?

- ✓ (A) Phoebe
- ✗ (B) Chandler
- ✗ (C) Ross.
- ✗ (D) Monica.

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
P	CAL	4.0688



S01E02



S01E04

Question: Why does Monica feel uncomfortable with her friends' overwhelming enthusiasm for Alan?

- ✗ (A) She feels like they are being too critical of him.
- ✗ (B) She is worried that they will scare him away.
- ✓ (C) She is concerned that their positive opinions will influence her own judgment.
- ✗ (D) She is jealous of the attention they are giving him.

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
I	C	1.1676

Question: How does the recurring action of watching television together reflect the characters' need for escapism and shared experiences?

- ✗ (A) It allows them to avoid confronting their own problems and anxieties.
- ✗ (B) It provides a source of entertainment and distraction from their daily lives.
- ✗ (C) It fosters a sense of community and shared cultural references.
- ✓ (D) All of the above.

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
I	A	1.1145

Question: How does Central Perk, as a setting, reflect the changing dynamics and emotional journeys of the characters throughout the episodes?

- ✗ (A) It serves as a neutral ground where the characters can come together to celebrate, commiserate, and support each other.
- ✗ (B) It reflects the characters' evolving social lives and their interactions with the wider world.
- ✗ (C) It represents a constant in their lives amidst personal and relational changes.
- ✓ (D) All of the above

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
I	L	1.0927

Question: Based on their interactions, which character demonstrates the most empathy and understanding towards others' emotions?

- ✗ (A) Monica
- ✗ (B) Rachel
- ✓ (C) Phoebe
- ✗ (D) Joey

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
I	CA	5.9441

Question: How does Rachel's experience at Barry's office impact her perspective on her past relationship and her future aspirations?

- ✗ (A) It reinforces her decision to leave Barry and pursue a new path.
- ✗ (B) It highlights the stark contrast between her old life and her new aspirations.
- ✗ (C) It triggers a sense of nostalgia and regret for what she left behind.
- ✓ (D) All of the above

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
I	CL	3.3293

Question: Why do the characters frequently gather at Monica and Rachel's apartment to watch television and discuss their lives?

- ✗ (A) It provides a comfortable and familiar space for them to relax and unwind.
- ✗ (B) It allows them to bond over shared experiences and pop culture references.
- ✗ (C) It fosters a sense of intimacy and connection as they discuss their personal lives.
- ✓ (D) All of the above

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
I	AL	1.1145

Question: Which character works in a restaurant but dreams of having their own restaurant?

- ✓ (A) Monica works as a chef in a restaurant but dreams of opening her own restaurant one day.
- ✗ (B) Joey works as a waiter at Central Perk but dreams of becoming a successful actor.
- ✗ (C) Rachel works as a waitress at Central Perk but dreams of a career in fashion.
- ✗ (D) Phoebe works as a masseuse but dreams of becoming a professional musician.

Attribution	Topic	Difficulty
I	CAL	7.1029

Figure 18: Examples of the fine-grained topic questions (cross-episode questions)

00:00 Related time ↓ , Difficulty ↑ 22:44

(Related time: 11:16-12:30)
Question: In Scene 9, how does Ross react to seeing Carol and Susan at the restaurant?
 (A) He is happy to see them.
 (B) He is surprised and awkward.
 (C) He is angry and jealous.
 (D) He is indifferent.

Difficulty	Level
0.4784	Easy

(Related time: 19:06-19:10)
Question: In Scene 15 at the Chinese Restaurant, who is sitting across from Ross?
 (A) Carol
 (B) Kristin
 (C) No one
 (D) Susan

Difficulty	Level
3.1455	Medium

(Related time: 15:15-15:16)
Question: In Scene 12 at the Chinese Restaurant, what does Ross do when he notices Carol is alone?
 (A) He invites her to join their table
 (B) He ignores her
 (C) He leaves the restaurant
 (D) He argues with her

Difficulty	Level
11.4744	Hard

00:00 Related instances ↓ , Difficulty ↑ 22:46

(Related instances: Chandler, Joey, Rachel, Phoebe, Ross, Monica, Mrs. Bing, Paolo, Monica and Rachel's apartment)
Question: At the Mexican restaurant in Scene 5, what does Mrs. Bing do after greeting everyone?
 (A) The group is watching TV and discussing Chandler's mother.
 (B) Monica and Rachel are preparing dinner for the group.
 (C) Phoebe is teaching Monica how to play a new song on the guitar.
 (D) Ross and Rachel are having a private conversation about their feelings.

Difficulty	Level
0.3342	Easy

(Related instances: Chandler, Joey, Rachel, Ross, Phoebe)
Question: In Scene 15 at the Chinese Restaurant, who is sitting across from Ross?
 (A) Joey, Rachel, and Ross
 (B) Joey, Rachel, Ross, and Monica
 (C) Joey, Rachel, Ross, Monica, and Phoebe
 (D) All six friends are present

Difficulty	Level
3.0778	Medium

(Related instances: Mrs. Bing)
Question: At the Mexican restaurant in Scene 5, what does Mrs. Bing do after greeting everyone?
 (A) She orders food.
 (B) She hugs Chandler.
 (C) She sits down at the table
 (D) She suggests doing shots.

Difficulty	Level
7.2817	Hard

Figure 19: Samples of FriendsQA in different difficulty levels

S01E01



Question: How does Rachel's demeanor change from the beginning of the episode to the end? *Difficulty: 0.8390 Level: Easy*

- (A) She becomes more dependent on her parents.
- (C) She transitions from being reliant to seeking independence.
- (B) She remains optimistic and cheerful throughout.
- (D) She becomes increasingly pessimistic about her future.

Chat-UniVi: 😊
(C) She transitions from being reliant to seeking independence.

Video-ChatGPT: 😞
Rachel's demeanor changes from being optimistic and cheerful throughout to becoming increasingly pessimistic about her future.

MA-LMM: 😊
C) She transitions from being reliant to seeking independence.

VideoChat2: 😊
C) She transitions from being reliant to seeking independence.

MovieChat: 😞
(D) She becomes increasingly pessimistic about her future.

VideoLLaMA2: 😞
Answer: (D) She becomes increasingly pessimistic about her future.

SeViLA: 😞
She becomes more dependent on her parents.

VIOLETV2: 😊
She transitions from being reliant to seeking independence.

TimeChat: 😞
The given query happens in 1195.0 - 1227.5 seconds.

Vid-TLDR: 😊
She transitions from being reliant to seeking independence.

Question: What is Phoebe doing at the beginning of Scene 4? *Difficulty: 4.7126 Level: Medium*

- (A) Playing guitar and singing for money
- (C) Shopping for clothes
- (B) Working at Central Perk
- (D) Visiting her grandmother

Chat-UniVi: 😊
(A) Playing guitar and singing for money.

Video-ChatGPT: 😞
Phoebe is working at Central Perk.

MA-LMM: 😊
A) Playing guitar and singing for money.

VideoChat2: 😞
C) Shopping for clothes.

MovieChat: 😊
A) Playing guitar and singing for money.

VideoLLaMA2: 😞
Answer: (B) Working at Central Perk

SeViLA: 😞
Working at Central Perk

VIOLETV2: 😞
Shopping for clothes

TimeChat: 😞
(B) Working at Central Perk.

Vid-TLDR: 😞
Working at Central Perk

Question: Where does Monica work? *Difficulty: 13.5915 Level: Hard*

- (A) Central Perk
- (B) A Restaurant
- (C) Iridium
- (D) A fashion boutique

Chat-UniVi: 😞
(A) Central Perk.

Video-ChatGPT: 😞
A museum.

MA-LMM: 😞
(A) Central Perk.

VideoChat2: 😞
D) A restaurant

MovieChat: 😞
(A) Central Perk.

VideoLLaMA2: 😞
Answer: (A) Central Perk

SeViLA: 😞
Central Perk

VIOLETV2: 😞
Central Perk

TimeChat: 😞
(D) A restaurant.

Vid-TLDR: 😊
Iridium

Figure 20: Qualitative results across 10 SOTA models on single-episode questions.




Figure 21: Qualitative results across 10 SOTA models on cross-episode questions.