Well-posed Cauchy problem and the Hamiltonian form of $(2+1)$ nonlinear equations integrable by inverse scattering transform

L. P. Nizhnik[∗]

Abstract

The Hamiltonian form of the $(2+1)$ nonlinear integrable Schrödinger equation and the system of two $(2+1)$ nonlinear analogue of the mKdV equation is proved. A wellposed Cauchy problem is formulated and the solvability of such a problem for the $(2+1)$ nonlinear analogue of the mKdV equation is proved.

MSC 2020: 37K40, 35Q55, 35R30

1 The nonlinear Schrödinger equation

The paper $[1]$ presents well-posedness and solvability of the Cauchy problem for the $(2+1)$ nonlinear Schrödinger equation of the form:

$$
i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + (v_1 + v_2)u = 0,
$$
\n(1.1)

where pseudopotentials v_1 and v_2 are real-valued functions related to the solution $u(x, y; t)$ of equation [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) in the form:

$$
\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} |u|^2, \qquad \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial y} = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} |u|^2. \tag{1.2}
$$

For the Cauchy problem, equality [\(1.2\)](#page-0-1) can be represented as following:

$$
v_1(x, y; t) = p_-(y, t) + 2 \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} |u(s, y; t|^2 ds,
$$

\n
$$
v_2(x, y; t) = q_+(x, t) - 2 \int_{y}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} |u(x, s; t|^2 ds,
$$
\n(1.3)

where

$$
p_-(y,t) = v_1(-\infty, y; t),
$$
 $q_+(x,t) = v_2(x, +\infty; t).$

[∗] Institute of Mathematics NAS of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine, nizhnik@imath.kiev.ua

The Cauchy problem for equations (1.1) – (1.3) consists of finding a solution $u(x, y; t)$ of equation [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0)–[\(1.3\)](#page-0-2) with a given initial data $u(x, y; 0)$ and specifying two functions $p_-(y, t)$ and $q_+(x, t)$. A solution to such a Cauchy problem is given in [\[1\]](#page-7-0).

In order to construct the Hamiltonian form for the equation (1.1) – (1.2) , we proceed in the same way as in the case of the (1+1) Schrödinger equations. We will denote by $u_1 = u$, and by $u_2 = \bar{u}$ in equalities [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0)–[\(1.2\)](#page-0-1). The relationship [\(1.2\)](#page-0-1) of the pseudopotentials v_1 and v_2 with u_1 and u_2 can be represented in the form:

$$
v_1(x, y; t) = r(y, t) + 2\partial_y \partial_x^{-1}(u_1 u_2), \quad v_2(x, y; t) = s(x, t) + 2\partial_x \partial_y^{-1}(u_1 u_2), \tag{1.4}
$$

where functions r and s are real-valued and uniformly bounded in their arguments:

$$
r(y,t) = \frac{1}{2} [v_1(+\infty, y; t) + v_1(-\infty, y; t)],
$$

\n
$$
s(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} [v_2(x, +\infty; t) + v_2(x, -\infty; t)].
$$
\n(1.5)

In formulae [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0), the operators ∂_x^{-1} and ∂_y^{-1} are skew-symmetric, having the following form:

$$
\partial_x^{-1} f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \Big[\int_{-\infty}^x f(s) \, ds - \int_x^{+\infty} f(s) \, ds \Big],
$$
\n
$$
\partial_y^{-1} g(y) = \frac{1}{2} \Big[\int_{-\infty}^y g(s) \, ds - \int_y^{+\infty} g(s) \, ds \Big], \quad f, g \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^1).
$$
\n(1.6)

Therefore, the identity holds:

$$
\iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi(x,y) [\partial_x \partial_y^{-1}] \varphi(x,y) \, dx dy = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(x,y) [\partial_x \partial_y^{-1}] \psi(x,y) \, dx dy. \tag{1.7}
$$

A similar identity is also valid for the operator $\partial_y \partial_x^{-1}$ x^{-1} . The functions ψ and φ are assumed to be rapidly decreasing at infinity with their derivatives $\psi, \varphi \in W_2'(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Theorem 1.1. Equation [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) with explicit relation [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0) of values v_1 and v_2 with solution u_1, u_2 admits a representation of the form:

$$
\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} = \frac{-i\delta H}{\delta u_2}, \qquad \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} = \frac{i\delta H}{\delta u_1},\tag{1.8}
$$

where the Hamilton function H has the form:

$$
H = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[u_{1,x} u_{2,x} + u_{1,y} u_{2,y} - u_1 (r+s) u_2 - u_1 u_2 [\partial_x \partial_y^{-1} + \partial_y \partial_x^{-1}] (u_1 u_2) \right] dx \, dy. \tag{1.9}
$$

Proof. Using the property (1.7) , we obtain:

$$
\frac{\delta H}{\delta u_2} = \left[-\Delta u_1 - (r+s)u_1 - 2u_1[\partial_x \partial_y^{-1} + \partial_y \partial_x^{-1}](u_1 u_2) \right].
$$

Considering the explicit relation [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0) of v_1 and v_2 with the solution u_1, u_2 , we have

$$
\frac{\delta H}{\delta u_2} = -[\Delta u_1 + (v_1 + v_2)u_1].
$$

Therefore, the equation $\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t}$ = $-i\delta H$ is equivalent to [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) because of $u_1 = u$, and $u_2 = \bar{u}$. δu_2 Similarly, it is proved that the equation $\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t}$ = $i\delta H$ $\frac{\delta}{\delta u_1}$ is equivalent to the equation [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) for $u_2 = \bar{u}.$ \Box

2 Spatially two-dimensional nonlinear integrable analogues of the KdV equation

Back in 1980, in the work [\[2\]](#page-7-1), a spatially symmetric two–dimensional KdV equation was considered, which in the literature became known as the Nizhnik-Novikov-Veselov equation [\[4\]](#page-7-2). This equation has the form:

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = k_1 \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} + k_2 \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} + 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (v_1 u) + 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (v_2 u), \n\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y} = k_1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \qquad \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} = k_2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}.
$$
\n(2.1)

It has a Lax representation:

$$
LP - QL = 0 \tag{2.2}
$$

for the operator:

$$
L = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} + u(x, y; t). \tag{2.3}
$$

Let us now consider the spatially two–dimensional analogue of the modified KdV equation [\[3\]](#page-7-3), when the nonlinearity is cubic, in contrast to equation [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0), where it is quadratic. The equation has the form:

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} + (vu)_y + (wu)_x - \frac{1}{2}(v_y + w_x)u,
$$

\n
$$
v_x = 3(u^2)_y, \qquad w_y = 3(u^2)_x.
$$
\n(2.4)

and the Lax representation has the form [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1), where

$$
L = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & u \\ -u & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix}, \ P = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{D} - \frac{1}{2}v_y & -3u_x\partial_x \\ 3u_y\partial_y & \mathfrak{D} - \frac{1}{2}w_x \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
Q = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{D} - \frac{1}{2}v_y - w_x & 3\partial_y u_y \\ -3\partial_x u_x & \mathfrak{D} - \frac{1}{2}w_x - v_y \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (2.5)

where

$$
\mathfrak{D} = \partial_t - \partial_x^3 - \partial_y^3 - v\partial_y - w\partial_x.
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $u(x, y; t) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be a solution of equation [\(2.4\)](#page-2-2), and let the functions v and w of equation (2.4) be related to the solution u of equation (2.4) as following:

$$
v(x, y; t) = p(y, t) + 3 \int_{-\infty}^{x} [u^2(s, y, t)]'_y ds,
$$

\n
$$
w(x, y; t) = q(x, t) - 3 \int_{y}^{+\infty} [u^2(x, s, t)]'_x ds,
$$
\n(2.6)

where

$$
p(y, t) = v(-\infty, y, t),
$$
 $q(x, t) = w(x, +\infty, t).$

Then, the scattering data $f(x, y, t)$ satisfy the equation:

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial y^3} - q(x, y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2} q'_x(x, t) f - p(y, t) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{2} p'_y(y, t) f = 0. \tag{2.7}
$$

Proof. Recall [\[3\]](#page-7-3) that in the case $u_1, u_2 \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the scattering problem for the equation

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & u_1 \\
u_2 & \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\n\end{pmatrix}\n\begin{pmatrix}\n\psi_1 \\
\psi_2\n\end{pmatrix} = 0
$$
\n(2.8)

consists in constructing solutions ψ_1, ψ_2 in the form:

$$
\psi_1(x, y) = a_1(y) + o(1), \text{ where } x \to -\infty; \quad \psi_1(x, y) = b_1(y) + o(1), \text{ where } x \to +\infty;
$$
\n
$$
\psi_2(x, y) = a_2(x) + o(1), \text{ where } y \to -\infty; \quad \psi_2(x, y) = b_2(x) + o(1), \text{ where } y \to +\infty.
$$
\n(2.9)

The functions $a_1, a_2 \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^1)$ are incident waves, and the functions $b_1, b_2 \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^1)$ are scattered waves. In this case, if $a = col(a_1, a_2)$ are given, then $b = col(b_1, b_2)$ are uniquely determined by $b = Sa$, where $S = I + F$ is the scattering operator, and

$$
F = \begin{pmatrix} F_{11} & F_{12} \\ F_{21} & F_{22} \end{pmatrix}
$$

is the matrix of Hilbert–Schmidt integral operators F_{ij} . There exists an operator $S^{-1} = I + G$. The scattering data are a pair of operators (F_{21}, G_{12}) or (F_{12}, G_{21}) . The scattering data are uniquely determined by the potentials u_1, u_2 . The potentials are uniquely reconstructed from the scattering data. The operator that transforms the potentials into scattering data is denoted by \mathfrak{A} , and the solutions to the inverse scattering problem are determined by the operator \mathfrak{A}^{-1} . In the case $u_2 = -\bar{u}_1$ for the scattering data, only one operator F_{21} is sufficient to solve the inverse scattering problem. In this case, the necessary and sufficient condition for the Hilbert– Schmidt operator F_{21} to be scattering data is the condition $||F_{21}|| < 1$. In what follows, we will omit the indices (2,1) of the operator F_{21} , and denote the kernel of this operator by $f(x, y)$. Then, if $a_2 = 0$, then $b_2 = Fa_1$. Let $u(x, y; t)$ be a solution to system [\(2.4\)](#page-2-2). Let $\psi = \text{col}(\psi_1, \psi_2)$ be a solution to equation [\(2.8\)](#page-3-0). Then the function $\hat{\psi} = P\psi$ by virtue of the Lax representation

[\(2.2\)](#page-2-1) also satisfies the equation $L\hat{\psi} = 0$. Let the incident waves for the solution ψ have the form $(a_1, 0)$. Then, the incident waves (\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2) and the scattered one \hat{b}_2 for the solution $\hat{\psi}$ have the form:

$$
\hat{a}_1(y,t) = \left[-\frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^3} - \frac{1}{2}p'_y(y,t) - p(y,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right]a_1(y), \quad \hat{a}_2 = 0,
$$

$$
\hat{b}_2(x,t) = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \partial_x^3 - q(x,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2}q'_x(x,t)\right]b_2(x,t).
$$
\n(2.10)

Since $b_2 = Fa_1$ and $\hat{b}_2 = Fa_2$, then equation [\(2.7\)](#page-3-1) follows from [\(2.10\)](#page-4-0) for the scattering data $f(x, y; t)$ of the kernel of the integral operator $F(t)$. \Box

Theorem 2.2. Let the initial conditions of equation [\(2.7\)](#page-3-1) be $f(x, y, 0) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and let the real functions $p(y, t)$ and $q(x, t)$ belong to the space $\mathbb{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then the solution $f(x, y, t)$ of the Cauchy problem for equation [\(2.7\)](#page-3-1) exists and is unique for any time interval, and the integral operator $F(t)$ with kernel $f(x, y, t)$ has the property

$$
||F(t)||_{L_2} = ||F(0)||_{L_2}.
$$
\n(2.11)

Proof. The evolution equation [\(2.7\)](#page-3-1) can be represented as

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = [A_1(t) + A_2(t)]f,
$$

where the operators $A_1 =$ ∂^3 $\frac{\delta}{\partial x^3} + q$ ∂ $rac{\sigma}{\partial x}$ + 1 2 $q'_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$ x' and $A_2 =$ ∂^3 $\frac{\delta}{\partial y^3}+p$ ∂ $rac{\delta}{\partial y}$ + 1 2 p'_i y' are skew-symmetric $A_j^* = -A_j$. The operator $A_1(t)$ acts on the variable x, and the operator $A_2(t)$ acts on the variable y , and these operators commute and smoothly depend on t . Therefore, there exist unitary operators $U_1(t)$ and $U_2(t)$, as solutions of the equations $\frac{dU_j(t)}{dt} = A_j(t)U_j(t)$; $U_j(0) = I$.

The solution of the Cauchy problem for equation [\(2.7\)](#page-3-1) can be represented in the form:

$$
f(x, y, t) = U_1(t)U_2(t)f(x, y, 0).
$$

This shows that the equality [\(2.11\)](#page-4-1) is true.

Theorem 2.3. There is a unique classical solution to the Cauchy problem for the system (2.4) with given sufficiently smooth initial condition $u(x, y, 0) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and given sufficiently smooth functions $p(y, t), q(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Proof. Since the solution of the Cauchy problem is reduced by the IST method to the linear Cauchy problem for equation [\(2.7\)](#page-3-1), the initial data $f(x, y, 0) = \mathfrak{A}u(x, y, 0)$ obtained by the IST method. The inequality $||F(0)||_{L_2} < 1$ is valid, and therefore, we have $||F(t)||_{L_2} < 1$ by virtue of theorem [2.2.](#page-4-2) Hence, the function $u(x, y, t) = \mathfrak{A}^{-1} f(x, y, t)$ is a solution of the Cauchy problem by virtue of theorem [2.2.](#page-4-2) \Box

Remark 2.1. Using the IST method, one can remove the requirement for additional smoothness of the initial data of the Cauchy problem in Theorem [2.3](#page-4-3) if one defines a generalized solution to the Cauchy problem, so that in the case where the formula $u(x, y, t) = \mathfrak{A}^{-1} f(x, y, t)$ has meaning, the function $u(x, y, t)$ is a generalized solution to the Cauchy problem.

3 Hamiltonian form of a system of two $(2+1)$ nonlinear analogues of mKdV

Let us now consider a system of two equations with respect to the functions $u_1(x, y, t)$ and $u_2(x, y, t)$

$$
\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^3}\right)u_1 - v\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} - w\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} - (v_1 + w_1)u_1,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^3}\right)u_2 - v\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} - w\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} - (v_2 + w_2)u_2.
$$
\n(3.1)

Pseudopotentials v_1, v_2, v, w_1, w_2, w are related to the solutions u_1, u_2 by equalities:

$$
\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} = 3(u'_{1,y} \cdot u_2)'_y, \quad \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial y} = 3(u'_{1,x} \cdot u_2)'_x,
$$

$$
\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} = 3(u_1 \cdot u'_{2,y})'_y, \quad \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial y} = 3(u_1 \cdot u'_{2,x})'_x,
$$

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 3(u_1 \cdot u_2)'_y, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} = 3(u_1 \cdot u_2)'_x.
$$

(3.2)

System (3.1) – (3.2) admits the Lax representation (2.2) . In this case, the operator

$$
L = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & u_1 \\ u_2 & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix},
$$

and the operators P and Q are matrix operators of the form:

$$
P = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{D} + v_1 & -3u_{1,x}\partial_x \\ -3u_{2,y}\partial_y & \mathfrak{D} + w_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{D} + w_1 + w_2 + v_1 & 3u_{1,y}\partial_y + 3u_{1,yy} \\ 3u_{2,x}\partial_x + 3u_{2,xx} & \mathfrak{D} + w_2 + v_1 + v_2 \end{pmatrix},
$$
(3.3)

where

$$
\mathfrak{D} = \partial_t - \partial_{xxx}^3 - \partial_{yyy}^3 + w\partial_x + v\partial_y.
$$

Theorem 3.1. Let the pseudopotentials of (3.2) be uniquely related to solutions u_1 and u_2 by equalities:

$$
v_1 = 3\partial_x^{-1} (u_{1,y} \cdot u_2)'_y, \quad w_1 = 3\partial_y^{-1} (u_{1,x} \cdot u_2)'_x,
$$

\n
$$
v_2 = 3\partial_x^{-1} (u_1 \cdot u_{2,y})'_y, \quad w_2 = 3\partial_y^{-1} (u_1 \cdot u_{2,x})'_x,
$$

\n
$$
v = 3\partial_x^{-1} (u_1 \cdot u_2)'_y, \quad w = 3\partial_y^{-1} (u_1 \cdot u_2)'_x,
$$

\n(3.4)

where ∂_x^{-1} \int_x^{-1} and ∂_y^{-1} y^{-1} are skew–symmetric operators defined by equalities [\(1.6\)](#page-1-2). Then, the system (3.1) – (3.4) is a Hamiltonian system of the form:

$$
\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} = \frac{-\delta H}{\delta u_2}, \qquad \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} = \frac{\delta H}{\delta u_1},\tag{3.5}
$$

where Hamilton function $H = H_1 + H_2 + H_3$, and

$$
H_1 = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} [u_{1,xx} \cdot u_{2,x} + u_{1,yy} \cdot u_{2,y}] dx dy,
$$

\n
$$
H_2 = 3 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} [u_{1,y} \cdot u_2 \partial_x^{-1} (u_1 \cdot u_{2,y})] dx dy,
$$

\n
$$
H_3 = 3 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} [u_{1,x} \cdot u_2 \partial_y^{-1} (u_1 \cdot u_{2,x})] dx dy.
$$
\n(3.6)

Proof. Functional H_1 can be represented in the following two forms:

$$
H_1 = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[u_1(u_{2,xxx} + u_{2,yyy}) \right] dx \, dy = - \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[(u_{1,xxx} + u_{1,yyy}) u_2 \right] dx \, dy.
$$

It follows from the first representation: $\frac{\delta H_1}{\delta}$ δu_1 = $\partial^3 u_2$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}$ + $\partial^3 u_2$ $\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y^3}$, and from the second one:

$$
\frac{\delta H_1}{\delta u_2} = -\left[\frac{\partial^3 u_1}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^3 u_1}{\partial y^3}\right].
$$

Finding $\frac{\delta H_2}{s}$ δu_2 , it is desirable to represent functional H_2 in the form:

$$
\hat{H}_2 = 3 \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[(u_{1,y} \cdot u_2) \partial_x^{-1} (u_1 \cdot u_{3,y}) \right] dx \, dy \Big|_{u_3 = u_2} = 3 \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_3 \partial_y [u_1 \partial_x^{-1} (u_{1,y} \cdot u_2)] \, dx \, dy \Big|_{u_3 = u_2}.
$$

Then,

$$
\frac{\delta H_2}{\delta u_2} = \left[\frac{\delta \hat{H}_2}{\delta u_2} + \frac{\delta \hat{H}_2}{\delta u_3} \right] \Big|_{u_3 = u_2} = 3u_{1,y}\partial_x^{-1}(u_1 \cdot u_{2,y}) + 3\partial_y[u_1 \partial_x^{-1}(u_{1,y} \cdot u_2)] =
$$

= $3u_{1,y}\partial_x^{-1}(u_1 \cdot u_2)'_y + 3u_1 \partial_y \partial_x^{-1}(u_{1,y} \cdot u_2),$

and by virtue of [\(3.4\)](#page-5-2):

$$
\frac{\delta H_2}{\delta u_2} = v \cdot u_{1,y} + v_1 \cdot u_1.
$$

Similarly we get:

$$
\frac{\delta H_3}{\delta u_2} = w \cdot u_{1,x} + w_2 \cdot u_1.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{\delta H}{\delta u_2} = -\left(\frac{\partial^3 u_1}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^3 u_1}{\partial y^3}\right) + w \cdot u_{1,x} + v \cdot u_{1,y} + (v_1 + w_2) \cdot u_1.
$$

The first equation of [\(3.1\)](#page-5-0) reduces to the equation: $\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} = \delta H$. It is similarly verified that δu_2 the second equation of [\(3.1\)](#page-5-0) is equivalent to the equation $\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x}$ δH . \Box $rac{\partial}{\partial t} =$ δu_1

Acknowledgments.

The author is grateful to the Simons Foundation for the financial support of the Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (1030291 and 1290607, L.N.), which facilitated the preparation of this English version of the results, partially published only in Russian before 1992.

References

- [1] L. P. Nizhnik, The Cauchy problem for the $(2+1)$ integrable nonlinear Schrödinger equation, 2023, arxiv 2305.06182, 15 pages.
- [2] L. P. Nizhnik, Integration of multidimensional nonlinear equations by the method of the inverse problem, Sov. Phys. Dokl. 25(9), (1980), 706–708.
- [3] L. P. Nizhnik, The inverse scattering problems for the hyperbolic equations and their application to non-linear integrable systems, Reports on Math. Phys. 26 (2), (1988), 261– 283.
- [4] Zi-Xiang Zhou, The relationship between the hyperbolic Nizhnik–Novikov–Veselov equation and the stationary Davey–Stewartson II equation, Inverse Problems 25, (2009) 025003 (21pp) doi:10.1088/0266-5611/25/2/025003