

Shielding of breathers for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation

Gregorio Falqui,^{a,b} Tamara Grava,^{c,d,e} Christian Puntini^f

^a *Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni, Università di Milano-Bicocca, via R. Cozzi 55, 20125 Milano, Italy*

^b *INFN, Sezione di Milano, ^c INFN, Sezione di Trieste,*

^d *SISSA, via Bonomea 265, 34136, Trieste, Italy*

^e *School of Mathematics, University of Bristol, Fry Building, Bristol, BS8 1UG, UK*

^f *Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Vienna, Austria.*

December 24, 2024

Abstract

We study a deterministic gas of breathers for the Focusing Nonlinear Schrödinger equation. The gas of breathers is obtained from a N -breather solution in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. The limit is performed at the level of scattering data by letting the N -breather spectrum to fill uniformly a suitable compact domain of the complex plane in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. The corresponding norming constants are interpolated by a smooth function and scaled as $1/N$. For particular choices of the domain and the interpolating function, the gas of breathers behaves as finite breathers solution. This extends the *shielding effect* discovered in [6] for a soliton gas also to a breather gas.

1 Introduction

The hallmark of integrability of a non-linear dispersive wave equation is the existence of pseudo-particle solutions, that is, coherent nonlinear modes that interact elastically. Recently several investigations, both on the mathematical and the physical side, have been carried out in which a very large number of solitons is considered, both in the case of a coherent superposition and of a random superposition, [20] [24], [25], [8], [23], [22],[34].

Random nonlinear superpositions of solitons are more closely related to the notion of a soliton gas in an infinite statistical ensemble of interacting solitons that was first introduced by Zakharov by considering the Korteweg de Vries (KdV) equation in [37], and more recently extended to the Focusing Nonlinear Schrödinger (FNLS) equation by El and Tovbis [20]. In particular, in [6] the problem of both a deterministic and a random distribution of N soliton solutions of FNLS was discussed and in [26] a central limit theory for a soliton gas has been

derived. In [6] it was proved that particular configuration of a deterministic soliton gas surprisingly yields a one-soliton solution. Such a phenomenon was termed *soliton shielding* and further studied in [7].

In this paper we study the corresponding problem considering the case of a *gas of breathers*. Generally speaking, a breather is a nonlinear wave in which energy concentrates in a localized and oscillatory way. The first breather type solution of FNLS was found in [30] and [32] and called *Kuznetsov-Ma breather*. It is localized in the spatial variable x and periodic in the temporal variable t . In particular, in [32] the initial value problem for FNLS with initial data a perturbed plane wave solution with non-vanishing boundary conditions at $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ was shown to yield asymptotic states consisting of periodically “pulsating” solitary waves (plus a residual of small amplitude dispersive waves).

Different kind of such pulsating localized solution to FNLS were subsequently discovered. In [33] a rational solution of this kind, called *Peregrine breather* was found. It is localized in both spatial and temporal variables (x, t) . In [2] an x -periodic and t -localized new solution, called *Akhmediev breather* was discovered. In 1988 Tajiri and Watanabe [36] found a travelling breather, now called *Tajiri-Watanabe breather*, whose speed depends on the spectrum of the associated linear problem. Such solutions have been shown to be a model of modulational instability by Zakharov and Gelash in 2013 [38] and [39].

The Kuznetsov-Ma, Peregrine and Akhmediev breathers find applications as prototypical models of rogue waves in nonlinear optics and the theory of gravity water waves [28], [29], [19], [17], [21]. Furthermore the Peregrine breather emerges in a universal way in the semiclassical limit of the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation [5]. Higher order breathers solution of FNLS obtained using Darboux transformations from the rational Peregrine breather in [4], [3] were further studied in [8] and observed in a water-wave tank to be approximation of “super rogue waves” with an amplitude of up to 5 times the background in [16]. This analysis was pushed forward by Bilman, Buckingham, Li, Miller and Wang [9] [10], [11],[12], [13] where breathers and solitons of infinite order have been considered, and a universal profile emerges after an appropriate scaling.

The starting point of our study is the fact that N -breather solutions to FNLS can be obtained from the Zakharov-Shabat linear spectral problem, reformulated as a Riemann-Hilbert (RH) problem for a 2×2 matrix $M(z; x, t)$, z being the spectral parameter, with suitable scattering data [8], [14]. In particular, for the Kuznetsov-Ma and the Tajiri-Watanabe N -breather, one needs $4N$ poles where N poles are free parameters located in the upper half complex plane minus the unit half disk, while the remaining $3N$ poles are obtained by symmetry. The spectral data are completed by assigning to each of the first N poles a norming constant, while the remaining norming constants are obtained by symmetry. Our main aim is to study the limit of the N -breather solution when the number N goes to infinity and the location of the poles accumulates uniformly on a bounded domain \mathcal{D}_1 of the complex plane (and its “symmetric” counterparts as required by the very notion of breather solution). The corresponding norming constants are interpolated by a smooth function and rescaled by N . In this way we arrive to the inverse problem for a gas of breathers and we show the existence of its solution. For certain choices of the domain \mathcal{D}_1 and the interpolation function, the behaviour of the gas of breathers corresponds to a n -breather solution, n being determined by the geometry of the above-mentioned domain \mathcal{D}_1 of pole accumulation.

The layout of the present paper is the following.

- In the second section we briefly review the characteristic properties of the inverse spectral problem for breather solutions, as well as the associated Riemann-Hilbert problem, and frame the Kuznetsov-Ma and the Tajiri-Watanabe 1-breather solution within this scheme.
- In section three we transform the Riemann-Hilbert problem for N poles into a RH prob-

lem with a jump matrix defined on closed contours encircling the accumulation domains of the poles and describe its solution.

- We finally pass to the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit, showing the existence of the solution of the limiting inverse problem. We illustrate the shielding effect for particular choices of the domain \mathcal{D}_1 and we explicitly illustrate our procedure and results for the cases when the limiting solution reduces to a $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ shielding breather, corresponding to the choice of the domain \mathcal{D}_1 (respectively) a disk and an eight-shaped figure.

2 A brief review of the IST for breathers

In this section we report and summarize the main steps of the inverse scattering transform for the N -breather solution of the FNLS equation

$$i\psi_t + \psi_{xx} + 2|\psi|^2\psi = 0. \quad (1)$$

with non-zero boundary conditions at $x = \pm\infty$ developed in [14],[15].

In order to remove the possible time-dependence of the boundary conditions, we can rewrite the equation (1) as

$$i\psi_t + \psi_{xx} + 2(|\psi|^2 - \psi_0^2)\psi = 0 \quad (2)$$

with the nonzero boundary conditions as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ being

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \psi(x, t) = \psi_{\pm}, \quad |\psi_{\pm}| =: \psi_0 \neq 0. \quad (3)$$

The additional term $-2\psi_0^2\psi$ in (2) that has been added in order to make the boundary conditions (3) independent of time can be removed by the rescaling $\tilde{\psi}(x, t) = e^{2i\psi_0^2 t}\psi(x, t)$.

As it well known, the FNLS equation (1) admits the scaling invariance

$$\psi(x, t) \rightarrow \sigma\psi(\sigma x, \sigma^2 t), \quad \forall \sigma > 0. \quad (4)$$

Hence, we may assume that $\psi_- = \psi_0 = 1$ and ψ_+ arbitrary constant with $|\psi_+| = 1$. The nonlinear Schrödinger equation is the compatibility condition of the Zakharov-Shabat linear spectral problem [35]

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x f &= \mathcal{L}f, & \mathcal{L} &= ik\sigma_3 + \Psi, & \Psi &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \psi \\ -\bar{\psi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ i\partial_t f &= \mathcal{B}f, & \mathcal{B} &= -2ik^2\sigma_3 + i\sigma_3(\Psi_x - \Psi^2 - 1) - 2k\Psi, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ stands for complex conjugate. The direct and spectral problem with non zero boundaries conditions have been developed in [14]. We report the inverse spectral problem in the the complex z -plane where $z = k + \sqrt{k^2 + 1}$.

The spectral z -complex plane is partitioned into the following domains:

$$\begin{aligned} D^+ &= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (|z|^2 - 1) \Im(z) > 0\}, \\ D^- &= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (|z|^2 - 1) \Im(z) < 0\}, \\ \Sigma &= \mathbb{R} \cup \mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R} \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We observe that D^+ is the union of the exterior to the unit disk in the upper half plane D_1^+ and the interior of the unit disk in the lower half plane D_2^+ . The domain D^- obtained by reflection




Figure 1: The complex plane divided as in (6) and 4 poles satisfying (7). Fixing ζ_1 in D_1^+ determines the other three “fellow” points of the spectrum, $\zeta_2 = -1/\zeta_1, \zeta_3 = \bar{\zeta}_1, \zeta_4 = -1/\bar{\zeta}_1$.

from D^+ along the real axis is equally partitioned into an unbounded domain D_1^- in the lower half plane and a bounded domain D_2^- in the upper half plane, (see figure (1)).

The N -breather solution has the following scattering data:

the discrete spectrum $\mathcal{Z} = \{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{2N}, \bar{\zeta}_1, \dots, \bar{\zeta}_{2N}\}$ of the Zakharov Shabat linear spectral problem [14] with

$$\zeta_j \in D_1^+, \zeta_{N+j} = -\frac{1}{\zeta_j} \in D_2^+, \bar{\zeta}_j \in D_1^-, \bar{\zeta}_{N+j} = -\frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}_j} \in D_2^- \quad j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (7)$$

The points of the discrete spectrum satisfy, with respect to the boundary values ψ_{\pm} in (3), the “theta” condition :

$$\arg\left(\frac{\psi_-}{\psi_+}\right) = 4 \sum_{j=1}^N \arg(\zeta_j). \quad (8)$$

Assuming $\psi_- = 1$ and writing $\zeta_j = m_j e^{i\xi_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, N$, $m_j > 1$, $0 < \xi_j < \pi$, (8) becomes

$$-\arg(\psi_+) = 4 \sum_{j=1}^N \xi_j, \quad (\text{mod } 2\pi). \quad (9)$$

To complete the scattering data, we need to add the norming constants. For each point of the spectrum in D_1^+ , $\{\zeta_j\}_{j=1}^N$ we chose N nonzero complex numbers $\{C_j\}_{j=1}^N$. The remaining constants are obtained by symmetry as follows: for points in D_2^+ one sets

$$C_{N+j} = -\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j}\right)^2 \bar{C}_j, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N, \quad (10)$$

while the $2N$ norming constants associated with the poles in D^- are obtained from the previous ones as

$$C_{2N+\alpha} = -\bar{C}_\alpha, \quad \text{for } \alpha = 1, \dots, 2N. \quad (11)$$

The breather solution can be recovered by solving the following Riemann-Hilbert problem:

To find a 2×2 matrix $M(z; x, t)$ that is meromorphic in \mathbb{C} with simple poles in the set $\mathcal{Z} = \{\zeta_\alpha, \bar{\zeta}_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^{2N}$ and satisfies the residue conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}_{z=\zeta_\alpha} M(z; x, t) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta_\alpha} M(z; x, t) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C_\alpha e^{-2i\theta(\zeta_\alpha; x, t)} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \operatorname{Res}_{z=\bar{\zeta}_\alpha} M(z; x, t) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}_\alpha} M(z; x, t) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\bar{C}_\alpha e^{2i\theta(\bar{\zeta}_\alpha; x, t)} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

for $\alpha = 1, \dots, 2N$, with

$$\theta(z; x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(z + \frac{1}{z} \right) \left(x - \left(z - \frac{1}{z} \right) t \right). \quad (13)$$

Note that $\overline{\theta(z; x, t)} = \theta(\bar{z}; x, t)$ and

$$\theta\left(-\frac{1}{z}; x, t\right) = -\theta(z; x, t). \quad (14)$$

Further the matrix $M(z; x, t)$ satisfies the following asymptotic conditions

$$M(z; x, t) = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty \quad (15)$$

$$M(z; x, t) = \frac{i}{z} \sigma_1 + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0, \quad (16)$$

where σ_1 is the Pauli matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

From the solution of the above Riemann-Hilbert problem for the 2×2 matrix M one recovers the N breather solution of the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation by the relation

$$\psi(x, t) = -i \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} (zM_{12}(z; x, t)), \quad (17)$$

where M_{12} is the 1, 2 entry of M . The conditions (12) show that the matrix M is meromorphic in the complex plane; in particular, for $z \in D_+$, the second column of M is analytic while the first column has a first order pole at $\zeta_\alpha \in D_+$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, 2N$. On the other hand the second column of M is analytic in D_- and it has a first order pole at $\bar{\zeta}_\alpha \in D_-$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, 2N$. Taking into account the asymptotic conditions (15) the 2×2 matrix $M(z; x, t)$ takes the form

$$M(z; x, t) = \mathbb{I} + \frac{i}{z} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2N} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} A_\alpha(x, t) & 0 \\ B_\alpha(x, t) & 0 \end{bmatrix}}{z - \zeta_\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2N} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & E_\alpha(x, t) \\ 0 & F_\alpha(x, t) \end{bmatrix}}{z - \bar{\zeta}_\alpha}, \quad (18)$$

where the coefficients $A_\alpha(x, t)$, $B_\alpha(x, t)$, $E_\alpha(x, t)$, $F_\alpha(x, t)$ are to be determined from the residue conditions (12) as follows. Let us introduce the notation

$$c_\alpha = C_\alpha e^{-2i\theta(\zeta_\alpha; x, t)}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, 2N.$$

From the residue condition (12) for the N -breather solution and the ansatz (18) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} A_\beta \\ B_\beta \end{bmatrix} &= c_\beta M_{12}(\zeta_\beta) = \frac{ic_\beta}{\zeta_\beta} + c_j \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2N} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} E_\alpha \\ F_\alpha \end{bmatrix}}{\zeta_\beta - \zeta_\alpha} \\ \begin{bmatrix} E_\beta \\ F_\beta \end{bmatrix} &= -\bar{c}_\beta M_{11}(\bar{\zeta}_\beta) = -\bar{c}_\beta - \bar{c}_\beta \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2N} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} A_\alpha \\ B_\alpha \end{bmatrix}}{\bar{\zeta}_\beta - \bar{\zeta}_\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for $\beta = 1, \dots, 2N$. This is a system of $2N$ equations in $2N$ unknowns $A_\alpha, B_\alpha, E_\alpha$ and F_α (see Appendix A for a comprehensive solution).

From (17), the N -breather solution of the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation is finally recovered as

$$\psi(x, t) = -i \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z M_{12}(z; x, t) = 1 - i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2N} E_\alpha(x, t). \quad (20)$$

2.1 Kuznetsov-Ma and Tajiri-Watanabe breathers

We report the formulæ of the Kuznetsov-Ma [30], [32] and the Tajiri-Watanabe [36], [1] 1-breather solutions. To be consistent with our notation, the formula presented here have been derived from the expression $\psi(x, t) = 1 - i(E_1(x, t) + E_2(x, t))$ where $E_1(x, t)$ and $E_2(x, t)$ solve the linear system (75) in the appendix. The 1-Kuznetsov-Ma breather is obtained from the data (ζ_1, C_1) where the single pole ζ_1 is purely imaginary

$$\zeta_1 = iZ, \quad Z > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad C_1 = e^{\kappa + i\phi}, \quad \text{with } \kappa, \phi \text{ real.} \quad (21)$$

The remaining poles and norming constants are obtained by symmetry according to (7) and (10), (11) respectively. The 1-Kuznetsov-Ma breather solution is

$$\psi_{1KM}(x, t) = \frac{\cosh(\chi(x)) - \frac{1}{2}c_+ (1 + c_-^2/c_+^2) \sin(s(t)) + ic_- \cos(s(t))}{\cosh(\chi(x)) - A \sin(s(t))} \quad (22)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} c_\pm &= Z \pm \frac{1}{Z}, \quad A = \frac{2}{c_+} < 1, \\ \chi(x) &= c_- x + c_0 + \kappa, \quad c_0 = \ln\left(\frac{c_+}{2Zc_-}\right), \\ s(t) &= c_+ c_- t - \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The Kuznetsov-Ma breather is a stationary breather, since it is localized in the spatial variable x and periodic in the temporal variable t , with period $T = \frac{2\pi}{c_+ c_-} = \frac{2\pi}{Z^2 - 1/Z^2}$.

The 1-Tajiri-Watanabe breather is obtained by choosing

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1 &= iZe^{i\alpha}, \quad \text{with } Z > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}, \\ C_1 &= e^{\kappa + i\phi}, \quad \text{with } \kappa \text{ and } \phi \text{ real.} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The corresponding formula for the breather is

$$\psi_{1TW}(x, t) = \frac{\cosh(\chi - 2i\alpha) + \frac{B}{2} [d_+(Z^2 \sin(s - 2\alpha) - \sin(s)) + id_-(Z^2 \cos(s - 2\alpha) - \cos(s))]}{e^{2i\alpha} (\cosh(\chi) + B [Z^2 \sin(s - 2\alpha) - \sin(s)])} \quad (25)$$




Figure 2: Two different Kuznetsov-Ma breathers with $Z = 2$, $\kappa = 0$, $\phi = 0$ (left) and $Z = 3$, $\kappa = 1$, $\phi = 0$ (right). In both cases, $\psi(x, t) \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_{\pm} &= Z \pm \frac{1}{Z}, & d_{\pm} &= Z^2 \pm \frac{1}{Z^2}, \\
 B &= \frac{2 \cos(\alpha)}{|1 - Z^2 e^{-2i\alpha}| (Z + \frac{1}{Z})}, \\
 \chi(x, t) &= c_- x \cos(\alpha) + d_+ t \sin(2\alpha) + c'_0 + \kappa, \\
 s(x, t) &= c_+ x \sin(\alpha) - d_- t \cos(2\alpha) + \phi \\
 c'_0 &= -\ln \left(\frac{2 \cos(\alpha) |1 - Z^2 e^{-2i\alpha}|}{Z + \frac{1}{Z}} \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Let us observe that

$$\psi_{1TW}(x, t) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } x \rightarrow -\infty, \quad \psi_{1TW}(x, t) \rightarrow e^{-4i\alpha} \text{ as } x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

The Tajiri-Watanabe breather is a non-stationary breather, since the peak does not remain localized at a fixed value in x , unlike the solutions generated from purely imaginary eigenvalues. From the equation $\chi(x, t) = 0$ it is possible to obtain the velocity $v(Z, \alpha)$ of the peak.




Figure 3: Two different Tajiri-Watanabe breathers, left $Z = 2$, $\kappa = 0$, $\phi = 0$ and $\alpha = \pi/6$ and right $Z = 3$, $\kappa = 0$, $\phi = 0$ and $\alpha = -\pi/8$.

We have limited ourselves to display formulas for 1-breather solutions. There exist N -breathers solutions for every natural N which can be obtained from (20) by solving the linear system (73). We report in Figure 4 the plot of three different 2-breathers solutions obtained via different choices of pole locations.

In [31], the long-time asymptotics of the 2-breathers solutions is studied, and it is shown that, as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, the 2-breathers solution can be decomposes as a sum of two 1-breather solutions plus $o(1)$.

Remark 1 *In the limit $Z \rightarrow 1$ the Tajiri-Watanabe breather converges to the Akhmediev*




Figure 4: The three possible kinds of 2-breathers. Top left: a KM-type 2-breather with $\zeta_1 = 2i$ and $\zeta_2 = 3i$. Top right: a TW-type 2-breather with $\zeta_1 = 1 + 2i$ and $\zeta_2 = -2 + i$. Bottom: a breather of mixed type with $\zeta_1 = 2i$ and $\zeta_2 = 1 + i$.

breather

$$\psi_{1A}(x, t) = \frac{\cosh(2t \sin(2\alpha) + c'_0 + \kappa - 2i\alpha) - \cos(\alpha) \cos(2x \sin(\alpha) + \phi - \alpha)}{e^{2i\alpha} (\cosh(2t \sin(2\alpha) + c'_0 + \kappa) - \cos(\alpha) \cos(2x \sin(\alpha) + \phi - \alpha))}, \quad (27)$$

with

$$c'_0 = -\ln(2 \cos(\alpha) \sin(\alpha)). \quad (28)$$

In the further limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ one recover the Peregrine breather.

3 Gas of breathers and shielding

This section contains our main result. We consider a N -breather solution with the first N poles ζ_1, \dots, ζ_N filling uniformly a bounded domain \mathcal{D}_1 in D_1^+ and we let N go to infinity. We assume that \mathcal{D}_1 has a smooth boundary. The corresponding norming constants are interpolated by a smooth function and rescaled by N . In order to perform the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ on the inverse problem for $M(z; x, t)$, we first need to transform the residue conditions (12) to jump conditions. For the purpose we introduce a close anticlockwise oriented contour $\gamma_{+,1}$ in D_1^+ that encircles \mathcal{D}_1 and we call $D_{\gamma_{+,1}}$ the domain with boundary $\gamma_{+,1}$. The other domains are obtained by symmetry: \mathcal{D}_2 is the domain obtained from \mathcal{D}_1 by the relation

$$z \in \mathcal{D}_1 \iff -\frac{1}{\bar{z}} \in \mathcal{D}_2. \quad (29)$$

We introduce a closed anticlockwise oriented contour $\gamma_{+,2}$ that encircles \mathcal{D}_2 in D_2^+ and we define as $D_{\gamma_{+,2}}$ the finite domain with boundary $\gamma_{+,2}$.

Analogously we define $\gamma_{-,1} = -\overline{\gamma_{+,1}}$ and $\gamma_{-,2} = -\overline{\gamma_{+,2}}$ as the closed anticlockwise oriented contours that encircle $\overline{\mathcal{D}_1}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{D}_2}$ respectively. Also in this case we call $D_{\gamma_{-,1}}$ and $D_{\gamma_{-,2}}$ the domains whose boundaries are $\gamma_{-,1}$ and $\gamma_{-,2}$ respectively (see Figure 5 for the geometry of these contours).

We reformulate the inverse problem (12) as a Riemann-Hilbert problem for a matrix $Y^N(z; x, t)$




Figure 5: The complex plane with the four domains $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, \overline{\mathcal{D}_1}, \overline{\mathcal{D}_2}$ and the four contours $\gamma_{+,1}, \gamma_{+,2}, \gamma_{-,1}, \gamma_{-,2}$

that is obtained from $M(z; x, t)$ by removing the poles but paying the price of putting a jump. Indeed we define the matrix $Y^N(z; x, t)$

$$Y^N(z; x, t) = \begin{cases} M(z; x, t) & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{D_{\gamma_{+,1}} \cup D_{\gamma_{+,2}} \cup D_{\gamma_{-,1}} \cup D_{\gamma_{-,2}}\}, \\ M(z; x, t)J^N(z; x, t) & \text{for } z \in D_{\gamma_{+,1}} \cup D_{\gamma_{+,2}} \cup D_{\gamma_{-,1}} \cup D_{\gamma_{-,2}}, \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

where the jump matrix $J^N(z; x, t)$ is defined as

$$J^N(z; x, t) = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ -e^{-2i\theta(z)} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{C_j}{z-\zeta_j} & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & z \in D_{\gamma_{+,1}} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ -e^{2i\theta(z)} \sum_{j=N+1}^{2N} \frac{C_j}{z-\zeta_j} & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & z \in D_{\gamma_{+,2}} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{2i\theta(z)} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\overline{C_j}}{z-\zeta_j} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & z \in D_{\gamma_{-,1}} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{2i\theta(z)} \sum_{j=N+1}^{2N} \frac{\overline{C_j}}{z-\zeta_j} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & z \in D_{\gamma_{-,2}}, \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

where we used the shorthand notation $\theta(z) = \theta(z; x, t)$.

It is immediate to check that the requirement of $Y^N(z; x, t)$ to be analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\Gamma \cup \{0\}\}$ where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma_{+,1} \cup \gamma_{+,2} \cup \gamma_{-,1} \cup \gamma_{-,2}\}$$

is equivalent to the residue conditions (12).

Therefore, we obtain the Riemann-Hilbert problem for the matrix function $Y^N(z; x, t)$, which is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\Gamma \cup \{0\}\}$ and subject to the jump conditions

$$Y_+^N(z; x, t) = Y_-^N(z; x, t)J^N(z; x, t) \quad (32)$$

for $z \in \Gamma$. The subscript $Y_{\pm}(z)$ denotes the left/right boundary values of $Y(z)$ as $z \rightarrow \Gamma$ in a non tangential direction. Further we have the asymptotic condition

$$\begin{aligned} Y^N(z; x, t) &= \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty \\ Y^N(z; x, t) &= \frac{i}{z}\sigma_1 + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Finally, the N -breather solution of the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation is recovered from (17) by the relation

$$\psi(x, t) = -i \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z Y_{12}^N(z; x, t). \quad (34)$$

3.1 Gas of breathers

Now we have reformulated the inverse problem in such a way that inspecting the jump matrix (31), it is possible to perform the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ by assuming that the point spectrum ζ_1, \dots, ζ_N of the breathers accumulates uniformly inside the domain $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset D_{\gamma_{+,1}}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Namely, we assume that the spectral breather density converges to the uniform measure of the




Figure 6: The complex plane with the four domains $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, \overline{\mathcal{D}_1}, \overline{\mathcal{D}_2}$

domain \mathcal{D}_1 , that is

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}_1}{N} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} d^2w, \quad (35)$$

where \mathcal{A}_1 is the area of \mathcal{D}_1 and d^2w is the infinitesimal cartesian area. We interpolate the norming constants as

$$\begin{aligned} C_j &= \frac{\mathcal{A}_1}{\pi N} \beta_1(\zeta_j, \overline{\zeta_j}), \\ C_{N+j} &= \frac{\mathcal{A}_1}{\pi N} \beta_2(\zeta_{N+j}, \overline{\zeta_{N+j}}), \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where $\beta_1(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\beta_2(\cdot, \cdot)$ are smooth functions and we use the notation $\beta_1(\zeta_j, \overline{\zeta_j})$ to stress the fact that the function β_1 is not analytic. Since the norming constants satisfy the conditions

(10) and (11), in particular

$$C_{N+j} = - \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}_j} \right)^2 \overline{C_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \quad (37)$$

it follows that

$$\beta_2(\zeta_{N+j}, \overline{\zeta_{N+j}}) = - \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}_j} \right)^2 \overline{\beta_1(\zeta_j, \bar{\zeta}_j)}$$

or, equivalently using the relation $\zeta_{N+j} = -\frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}_j}$,

$$\beta_2(z, \bar{z}) = -z^2 \overline{\beta_1\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}}, -\frac{1}{z}\right)}, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}_2. \quad (38)$$

In this way the sum in the off-diagonal entries of the jump matrix J^N in (31) becomes a Riemann sum and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{C_j}{(z - \zeta_j)} &= \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\mathcal{A}_1}{\pi N} \frac{\beta_1(\zeta_j, \bar{\zeta}_j)}{(z - \zeta_j)} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\mathcal{D}_1} \frac{\beta_1(w, \bar{w})}{z - w} \frac{d^2 w}{\pi}, \\ \sum_{j=N+1}^{2N} \frac{C_j}{(z - \zeta_j)} &= \sum_{j=N+1}^{2N} \frac{\mathcal{A}_1}{\pi N} \frac{\beta_2(\zeta_j, \bar{\zeta}_j)}{z - \zeta_j} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\mathcal{D}_2} \frac{\beta_2(w, \bar{w})}{z - w} \frac{d^2 w}{\bar{w}^2 w^2 \pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Since the “theta” condition

$$\arg\left(\frac{1}{\psi_+}\right) = 4 \sum_{n=1}^N \arg(\zeta_n) \quad (40)$$

must hold, we assume that the domain \mathcal{D}_1 (and consequentially $\overline{\mathcal{D}_1}$, \mathcal{D}_2 and $\overline{\mathcal{D}_2}$) is chosen such that (40) holds also when passing to the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore the RH-problem (32), (33) becomes

$$\begin{cases} Y_+^\infty(z; x, t) = Y_-^\infty(z; x, t)(\mathbb{I} + J^\infty(z; x, t)), & z \in \Gamma \\ Y^\infty(z; x, t) = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), & \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty, \\ Y^\infty(z; x, t) = \frac{i}{z} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(1), & \text{as } z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} J^\infty(z; x, t) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{2i\theta(z)} J_{12}^\infty(z; x, t) \\ -e^{-2i\theta(z)} J_{21}^\infty(z; x, t) & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ J_{21}^\infty(z; x, t) &= \iint_{\mathcal{D}_1} \frac{\beta_1(w, \bar{w}) d^2 w}{\pi(w - z)} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_{+,1}}(z) + \iint_{\mathcal{D}_2} \frac{\beta_2(w, \bar{w}) d^2 w}{\pi(w - z) \bar{w}^2 w^2} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_{+,2}}(z) \\ J_{12}^\infty(z; x, t) &= \iint_{\overline{\mathcal{D}_1}} \frac{\beta_1^*(w, \bar{w}) d^2 w}{\pi(w - z)} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_{-,1}}(z) + \iint_{\overline{\mathcal{D}_2}} \frac{\beta_2^*(w, \bar{w}) d^2 w}{\pi(w - z) \bar{w}^2 w^2} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_{-,2}}(z) \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where $\beta_1^*(w, \bar{w}) = \overline{\beta_1(\bar{w}, w)}$, $\beta_2^*(w, \bar{w}) = \overline{\beta_2(\bar{w}, w)}$, and $\mathbf{1}_\gamma$ is the characteristic function of the contour γ .

Theorem 1 (Existence of the solution ψ_∞) *There is a unique solution $Y^\infty(z; x, t)$ to the limiting Riemann-Hilbert problem (41), which determines a solution $\psi_\infty(x, t)$ to the focusing NLS equation via*

$$\psi_\infty(x, t) = -i \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z Y_{12}^\infty(z; x, t). \quad (43)$$

Moreover, ψ_∞ is a classical solution to the focusing NLS equation, which belongs to the class $C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$.

The proof of the above theorem is report in Appendix B. While the properties of the class of solutions obtained by solving the above Riemann-Hilbert problem for Y^∞ is not explored, in the section below we can get an insight for particular choices of the domain \mathcal{D}_1 and the breather density β_1 . Using Stoke theorem and Cauchy theorem we can solve the problem exactly and reduce it to a finite number of breathers.

Remark 2 *In the case of a gas of Akhmediev breathers, we can let the point ζ_1, \dots, ζ_N accumulate on an arc \mathcal{L}_1 of the unit circle. The corresponding gas of Akhmediev breathers is described by the solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem (41) where the jump matrix $J^\infty(z; x, t)$ has entries*

$$\begin{aligned} J_{21}^\infty(z; x, t) &= \int_{\mathcal{L}_1} \frac{\beta_1(w, \bar{w})dw}{\pi(w-z)} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_{+,1}}(z) + \int_{\mathcal{L}_2} \frac{\beta_2(w, \bar{w})dw}{\pi(w-z)} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_{+,2}}(z) \\ J_{12}^\infty(z; x, t) &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{L}_1}} \frac{\beta_1^*(w, \bar{w})d^2w}{\pi(z-w)} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_{-,1}}(z) + \int_{\overline{\mathcal{L}_2}} \frac{\beta_2^*(w, \bar{w})dw}{\pi(z-w)} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_{-,2}}(z) \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where $z \in \mathcal{L}_2$ if $-z \in \mathcal{L}_1$. The contours $\gamma_{+,1}$ and $\gamma_{+,2}$ encircle anti-clockwise the arcs \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 , respectively and similarly the contours $\gamma_{-,1}$ and $\gamma_{-,2}$ encircle anti-clockwise the arcs $\overline{\mathcal{L}_1}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{L}_2}$, respectively. We assume that the arcs $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \overline{\mathcal{L}_1}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{L}_2}$ do not intersect. By a transformation as in (30), the above Riemann-Hilbert problem can be mapped to a Riemann-Hilbert problem with jumps on the contours $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \overline{\mathcal{L}_1}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{L}_2}$. The initial data associated to such gas of breathers is expected to be step-like oscillatory, namely for $x \rightarrow -\infty$ one expects to have a travelling wave and for $x \rightarrow +\infty$ one expect that the initial data is approaching a nonzero constant of modulus one. This is a generalization of the soliton gas considered in [7].

3.2 Shielding of breathers on quadrature domains

In this section we take a particular class of domains \mathcal{D}_1 and interpolating function β_1 so that the gas of breathers turns into a finite set of breathers. Let us start by considering the class of quadrature domains [27]

$$\mathcal{D}_1 := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ s.t. } \left| (z - d_0)^m - d_1 \right| < \rho \right\}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (45)$$

with $d_0 \in D_1^+$ and $|d_1|, \rho > 0$ chosen so that $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset D_1^+$.

The boundary of \mathcal{D}_1 is described by the Schwartz function [27]:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{z} &= S_1(z), \\ S_1(z) &= \bar{d}_0 + \left(\bar{d}_1 + \frac{\rho^2}{(z - d_0)^m - d_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

The domain \mathcal{D}_2 is defined by symmetry:

$$\mathcal{D}_2 = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ s.t. } -\frac{1}{\bar{z}} \in \mathcal{D}_1 \right\}, \quad (47)$$

that gives

$$\mathcal{D}_2 := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ s.t. } \left| \left(-\frac{1}{z} - \bar{d}_0\right)^m - \bar{d}_1 \right| < \rho \right\}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (48)$$

and

$$\bar{z} = S_2(z), \quad S_2(z) = -\frac{1}{d_0 + \left(d_1 + \frac{\rho^2}{\left(-\frac{1}{z} - \bar{d}_0\right)^m - \bar{d}_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}}. \quad (49)$$

Recalling that $\psi_- = \psi_0 = 1$, we choose the asymptotic value ψ_+ to be as simple as possible, namely $\psi_+ = 1$, leading to the “theta” condition (8)

$$4 \sum_{j=1}^N \arg(\zeta_j) = 0, \quad (\text{mod } 2\pi). \quad (50)$$

This condition is satisfied if ζ_j , lies on the imaginary axis, or pairs of points ζ_j are symmetric with respect to the imaginary axis. This can be achieved by taking the domain \mathcal{D}_1 symmetric with respect to the imaginary axis. A possible choice to realize this condition is

$$\begin{aligned} \Im(d_0) > 1, \Re(d_0) = 0, \Re(d_1) = 0, \quad m \text{ odd} \\ \Im(d_0) > 1, \Re(d_0) = 0, \Im(d_1) = 0, \quad m \text{ even} . \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

We also remark that the constants d_0, d_1 and ρ have to be tuned in such a way that the domain \mathcal{D}_1 lies in D_1 .

We chose the interpolating function β_1 of the form

$$\beta_1(z, \bar{z}) = n(\bar{z} - \bar{d}_0)^{n-1} r(z), \quad (52)$$

with $r(z)$ analytic in \mathcal{D}_1 , then

$$\beta_2(z, \bar{z}) = -n \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}} - d_0 \right)^{n-1} z^2 r \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}} \right), \quad z \in \mathcal{D}_2 \quad (53)$$

and we note that by Schwartz reflection $r \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}} \right)$ is analytic in \mathcal{D}_2 .

Let us apply this result to the jump matrix J^∞ in (41). Thanks to Green's theorem, for $z \notin \mathcal{D}_1$ we obtain

$$\iint_{\mathcal{D}_1} \frac{\beta_1(w, \bar{w})}{\pi(z-w)} d^2 w = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial \mathcal{D}_1} \frac{r(w)(\bar{w} - \bar{d}_0)^n}{z-w} dw = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial \mathcal{D}_1} \frac{(S_1(w) - \bar{d}_0)^n r(w)}{z-w} dw \quad (54)$$

where S_1 is the Schwartz function (46).

Let us show that a n -breather solution emerges by choosing $m = n$ in the quadrature domains defined by formulas (45) and (46).

Indeed using the residue theorem to the contour integral (54) at the n poles $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ given by the solution of the equation $(z - d_0)^n = d_1$, it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathcal{D}_1} \frac{\beta_1(w, \bar{w})}{\pi(z-w)} d^2 w &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial \mathcal{D}_1} \frac{(S_1(w) - \bar{d}_0)^n r(w)}{z-w} dw \\ &= \rho^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{r(\lambda_j)}{\prod_{k \neq j} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)} \frac{1}{(z - \lambda_j)}, \quad \text{for } z \notin \mathcal{D}_1, \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

yielding the norming constants to be

$$C_j = \frac{\rho^2 r(\lambda_j)}{\prod_{k \neq j} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)}. \quad (56)$$

Since the n poles $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ are the solution of the equation $(z - d_0)^n = d_1$, they have the form

$$\lambda_k = d_0 + \sqrt[n]{|d_1|} e^{i \left(\frac{\arg(d_1)}{n} + \frac{2(k-1)\pi}{n} \right)} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (57)$$

and hence from (51) the ‘‘theta’’ condition $4 \sum_{j=1}^n \arg(\lambda_j) = 0, \text{ mod } 2\pi$ is satisfied.

By completeness we check that the integral over \mathcal{D}_2 gives a result compatible with the symmetry

constraints. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\iint_{\mathcal{D}_2} \frac{\beta_2(w, \bar{w}) d^2 w}{\pi(w-z)\bar{w}^2 w^2} &= -n \iint_{\mathcal{D}_2} \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{w}} - d_0\right)^{n-1} w^2 \overline{r\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{w}}\right)} d^2 w}{\pi(w-z)\bar{w}^2 w^2} \\
&= - \int_{\partial \mathcal{D}_2} \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{w}} - d_0\right)^n \overline{r\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{w}}\right)} dw}{2i\pi(w-z)} = \int_{\partial \mathcal{D}_2} \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{S_2(w)} - d_0\right)^n \overline{r\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{w}}\right)} dw}{2i\pi(w-z)} \\
&= - \int_{\partial \mathcal{D}_2} \frac{\left(d_1 + \frac{\rho^2}{\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{w}} - d_0\right)^n - \bar{d}_1}\right) \overline{r\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{w}}\right)} dw}{2i\pi(w-z)} \\
&= - \frac{\rho^2}{(-\bar{d}_0)^n - \bar{d}_1} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_{j+n}^n \overline{r\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda_{j+n}}\right)}}{\prod_{k \neq j} (\lambda_{j+n} - \lambda_{k+n})} \frac{1}{(z - \lambda_{j+n})},
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

where we have defined by λ_{n+j} , $j = 1, \dots, n$ the n zeros of the equation $\left(-\frac{1}{z} - \bar{d}_0\right)^n - \bar{d}_1 = 0$. It is immediate to verify that the

$$\lambda_{n+j} = -\frac{1}{\lambda_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \tag{59}$$

and the norming constants C_{n+j} given by

$$C_{n+j} = - \frac{\rho^2}{(-\bar{d}_0)^n - \bar{d}_1} \lambda_{j+n}^n \frac{\overline{r\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda_{j+n}}\right)}}{\prod_{k \neq j} (\lambda_{j+n} - \lambda_{k+n})} \tag{60}$$

satisfy the symmetry

$$C_{n+j} = - \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^2 \bar{C}_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \tag{61}$$

Adding up the two integrals over \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 , we obtain, up to a sign, the entry 2, 1 of the jump matrix (31).

Therefore we can conclude that the breather gas solution $\psi_\infty(x, t)$ in (43) coincides with the n -breather solution $\psi_n(x, t)$ in (34) with spectrum $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2n}\}$, with $\lambda_{n+j} = -\frac{1}{\lambda_j}$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, and corresponding norming constants given by (56) and (61). We summarize the section with the following theorem

Theorem 2 *The gas breather solution (43) obtained from the Riemann-Hilbert problem (41) is reduced to a n -breather solution when the domain \mathcal{D}_1 is a quadrature domain of the form*

$$\mathcal{D}_1 := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ s.t. } \left| (z - d_0)^n - d_1 \right| < \rho \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where the constants d_0 , d_1 , ρ and n are subject to the constraints (51) and tuned in such a way that $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset D_1$ and the interpolating function is of the form

$$\beta_1(z, \bar{z}) = n(\bar{z} - \bar{d}_0)^{n-1} r(z)$$

where $r(z)$ is analytic in \mathcal{D}_1 . The spectrum of the n breather solution is given by the zeros $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ of the polynomial $(z - d_0)^n - d_1 = 0$ and the norming constants are

$$C_j = \frac{\rho^2 r(\lambda_j)}{\prod_{k \neq j} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)}.$$

3.3 Examples

We end this Section by considering the simplest instances of quadrature domains leading to effective $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ breathers.

3.3.1 The $n = 1$ case: the Kutznesov-Ma breather

In the particular case $n = 1$, \mathcal{D}_1 is the disk of radius ρ centered at $d_0 + d_1 = i\varsigma$ with $\varsigma > 1$

$$\mathcal{D}_1 := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ s.t. } |z - i\varsigma| < \rho \right\}, \quad (62)$$

whose boundary is described by the Schwarz function

$$\bar{z} = S_{disk}(z), \quad S(z)_{disk} = -i\varsigma + \frac{\rho^2}{(z - i\varsigma)}. \quad (63)$$

We obtain exactly the RH-problem (32), (33) for $N = 1$ with $\mathcal{Z} = \{\lambda_1 = i\varsigma, \lambda_2 = -i/\varsigma\}$, $C_1 = \rho^2 r(i\varsigma)$ and $C_2 = -\left(\frac{i}{\varsigma}\right)^2 \rho^2 r(i\varsigma)$.

Writing $C_1 = e^{\kappa_0 + i\phi_0}$ we obtain

$$\psi_1(x, t) = \frac{\cosh(\tilde{\chi}) - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{c}_+ \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{c}_-^2}{\tilde{c}_+^2}\right) \sin(\tilde{s}) + i\tilde{c}_- \cos(\tilde{s})}{\cosh(\tilde{\chi}) - A \sin(\tilde{s})} \quad (64)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{2}{\tilde{c}_+} < 1, \quad \tilde{c}_{\pm} = \varsigma \pm \frac{1}{\varsigma}, \\ \tilde{\chi}(x, t) &= \tilde{c}_- x + \tilde{c}_0 + \kappa_0, \quad \tilde{c}_0 = \ln\left(\frac{\tilde{c}_+}{2\varsigma\tilde{c}_-}\right) \\ s(x, t) &= \tilde{c}_+ \tilde{c}_- t - \phi_0. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$




Figure 7: Left: The 1-fold domain on which the poles accumulate on a disk of radius $\rho = 1$ centred at $\frac{5}{2}i$. Right: the “shielded” Kuznetsov-Ma breather. Parameters: $\lambda_1 = \frac{5}{2}i$, $C_1 = 1$

3.3.2 The $n = 2$ case: the Tajiri-Watanabe and Kutznesov-Ma breathers

We consider poles accumulating on \mathcal{D}_1 , where now \mathcal{D}_1 is the 2-fold domain given by

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ s.t. } |(z - i\varsigma)^2 - d_1| < \rho \right\}, \quad (66)$$

and \mathcal{D}_2 obtained by symmetry

$$\mathcal{D}_2 = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ s.t. } \left| \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}} - i\varsigma\right)^2 - d_1 \right| < \rho \right\}, \quad (67)$$

with $\varsigma > 1$ ($d_0 = i\varsigma$) and d_1 real. From the equations (57) and (56), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= i\varsigma + \sqrt{d_1}, \quad \lambda_2 = i\varsigma - \sqrt{d_1} \\ C_1 &= \frac{\rho^2 r(\lambda_1)}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}, \quad C_2 = \frac{\rho^2 r(\lambda_2)}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Note that when $d_1 < 0$ the eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 are purely imaginary so that one obtains 2-breather solution of Kuznetsov-Ma type, while when $d_1 > 0$ one obtains a 2-breather solution of Tajiri-Watanabe type because the eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 are complex.

When $r(z) = 1$ two examples of breathers are obtained in Figure 8.




Figure 8: The 2-fold domain on which the poles accumulate and the “shielding” 2-breather. Top left $\rho = \sqrt{6/5}$, $d_0 = i\zeta = 3i$, $d_1 = -1$ and $m = 2$. Top right: $\rho = \sqrt{2}$, $d_0 = i\zeta = 3i$, $d_1 = 1$ and $m = 2$. Below the corresponding 2-breather solutions with $r(z) = 1$ and parameters obtained from (68).

4 Conclusions and Outlook

We have considered a gas of N breathers solution of the FNLS equation in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, with poles accumulating in specific domains. According to the prescription yielding breather solution for FNLS, the soliton spectrum $\{\zeta_j\}$, $j = 1, \dots, N$ was chosen to be uniformly distributed in the domains \mathcal{D}_1 and the distribution in the other domains is obtained by symmetry. The norming constants C_j , $j = 1, \dots, N$ are interpolated by a smooth function $\beta_1(z, \bar{z})$ and asymptotically scale with $1/N$.

We had to carefully consider the so-called *theta condition*, and we restricted our study to the simplest case, namely the one for which $4 \sum_{j=1}^N \arg(\zeta_j) = 0$, resulting in a parent domain \mathcal{D}_1 symmetric with respect to the positive imaginary axis. We showed that, under such circumstance, the *soliton shielding* phenomenon, discovered in [6] happens also for breathes, namely when the domain \mathcal{D}_1 is the n -fold quadrature domain given by $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ s.t. } |(z - d_0)^m - d_1| < \rho\}$, with interpolating function $\beta_1(z, \bar{z}) = n(\bar{z} - \bar{d}_0)^{n-1} r(z)$ for a suitable analytic function $r(z)$, the limiting solution is the superposition of exactly n (Kuznetsov-Ma) breathers or Tajiri-Watanabe breathers. It remains an open problem the study of the analytical properties of a general gas of breather. For a gas of Akhmediev breather it is expected that the corresponding initial data is step-like oscillatory.

Acknowledgments

This work has received funding by the Italian PRIN 2022 (2022TEB52W) - PE1 - project *The charm of integrability: from nonlinear waves to random matrices*. We also gratefully acknowledge the

auspices of the GNFM Section of INdAM, under which part of this work was carried out, and the support of the project MMNLP (Mathematical Methods in Non Linear Physics) of the Italian INFN. C.P.'s research was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) [grant number Z 387-N].

Appendix A Determination of the breather parameters

The system of equations (19) is further simplified, using the symmetry of the matrix M (see formula 2.24 [14]) between the first column $M_1(z)$ and the second column $M_2(z)$:

$$M_1(z) = \frac{i}{z} M_2\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right). \quad (69)$$

The symmetry condition (69) gives the following relation of the matrix entries of $M(z)$ in (18)

$$M_1(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{i}{z} \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2N} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} A_\alpha \\ B_\alpha \end{bmatrix}}{z - \zeta_\alpha} = \frac{i}{z} M_2\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{i}{z} \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2N} \frac{i}{\zeta_\alpha} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} E_\alpha \\ F_\alpha \end{bmatrix}}{z + \frac{1}{\zeta_\alpha}}, \quad (70)$$

therefore

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\begin{bmatrix} A_{j+N} \\ B_{j+N} \end{bmatrix}}{z - \zeta_{j+N}} = - \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{i}{\zeta_j} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} E_j \\ F_j \end{bmatrix}}{z - \zeta_{j+N}}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\begin{bmatrix} A_j \\ B_j \end{bmatrix}}{z - \zeta_j} = - \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{i}{\zeta_{j+N}} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} E_{j+N} \\ F_{j+N} \end{bmatrix}}{z - \zeta_j}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{j+N} \\ B_{j+N} \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{i}{\zeta_j} \begin{bmatrix} E_j \\ F_j \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} A_j \\ B_j \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{i}{\zeta_{j+N}} \begin{bmatrix} E_{j+N} \\ F_{j+N} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (71)$$

Inserting the above relation into the system (19) we obtain after some algebra the following linear system of equations for the constants E_α , $\alpha = 1, \dots, 2N$:

$$E_\alpha - i \bar{c}_\alpha \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{\zeta_{j+N} \zeta_\alpha - \zeta_j} + \frac{1}{\zeta_j \zeta_\alpha - \zeta_{j+N}} \right) = -\bar{c}_\alpha. \quad (72)$$

Using the symmetries (7), (10), (11) and (14) the above system of equations can be written in the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1_N + \bar{X} & -\bar{Y}D \\ D^{-1}Y & 1_N + D^{-1}XD \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_1 \\ \vdots \\ E_{2N} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \bar{c}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{c}_{2N} \end{bmatrix} \quad (73)$$

where 1_N is the N -dimensional identity and

$$X_{kj} = i \frac{c_k}{1 + \zeta_k \zeta_j}, \quad Y_{kj} = i \frac{c_k}{\zeta_k - \zeta_j}, \quad D = \text{diag}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N).$$

Solvability of the above linear system of equations is inferred indirectly by the solvability of the Riemann-Hilbert problem for Y^N in (32) (see section below). For $N = 1$ the one breather solution (20),

$$\psi(x, t) = 1 - i(E_1 + E_2) \quad (74)$$

is obtained by solving the linear system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - i \frac{\bar{c}_1}{1 + \zeta_1^2} & i \frac{\bar{c}_1 \zeta_1}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_1} \\ i \frac{c_1}{\zeta_1(\zeta_1 - \bar{\zeta}_1)} & 1 + i \frac{c_1}{\zeta_1^2 + 1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_1 \\ E_2 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \bar{c}_1 \\ -\frac{c_1}{\zeta_1^2} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (75)$$

The solution of such system for complex $\zeta_1 \in D_1^+$ and $c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ gives the Tajiri-Watanabe breather. In the case ζ_1 is pure imaginary and $\zeta_1 \in D_1^+$ one gets the Kutznesov-Ma breather.

Appendix B Proof of Theorem 1

In this appendix we show the solvability of the Riemann-Hilbert problem (32),(33) for N -breathers and the Riemann-Hilbert problem (41) for the breather gas. We follow the steps in [40]. Since the proof is identical in the two cases, we consider only the latter case. This provides a prove of Theorem 1. The Riemann-Hilbert problem (41) can be written in the form

$$\begin{cases} Y_+^\infty(z; x, t) - Y_-^\infty(z; x, t) = Y_-^\infty(z; x, t)J^\infty(z; x, t), & z \in \Gamma \\ Y^\infty(z; x, t) = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), & \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty, \\ Y^\infty(z; x, t) = \frac{i}{z} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(1), & \text{as } z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases} \quad (76)$$

which is equivalently written using the Sokhotski–Plemelj integral formula as

$$Y^\infty(z; x, t) = \mathbb{I} + \frac{i}{z}\sigma_2 + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{Y_-^\infty(s; x, t)J^\infty(s; x, t)}{s - z} ds$$

where we recall that Γ is the union of all the contours $\gamma_{\pm 1}$ and $\gamma_{\pm 2}$. We can obtain an integral equation by taking the boundary value $Y_-^\infty(z)$ as z approaches non tangentially the oriented contour Γ from the right:

$$Y_-^\infty(\xi) = \mathbb{I} + \frac{i}{\xi}\sigma_2 + \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \xi \\ z \in \text{right side of } \Gamma}} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{Y_-^\infty(s)J^\infty(s)}{s - \xi} ds \right). \quad (77)$$

We define the integral operator \mathcal{C}_{J^∞} as

$$\mathcal{C}_{J^\infty}(h)(\xi) = \mathcal{C}_-(hJ^\infty)(\xi), \quad (78)$$

where \mathcal{C}_- is the Cauchy projection operator acting on $L^2(\Gamma, ds) \otimes \text{Mat}(2 \times 2, \mathbb{C})$ to itself, namely

$$\mathcal{C}_-(h)(\xi) = \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \xi \\ z \in \text{right side of } \Gamma}} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{h(s)}{s - z} ds \right), \quad (79)$$

here $L^2(\Gamma, ds)$ is the space of square integrable functions on the contour Γ . Then the integral equation (77) takes the form

$$[1 - \mathcal{C}_{J^\infty}]Y_-^\infty(\xi) = \mathbb{I} + \frac{i}{\xi}\sigma_2, \quad (80)$$

where 1 is the identity in $L^2(\Gamma, ds) \otimes \text{Mat}(2 \times 2, \mathbb{C})$. Then Theorem 9.3 from [40](p.984) guarantees that the operator $1 - \mathcal{C}_{J^\infty}$ is invertible as an operator acting from $L^2(\Gamma, ds) \otimes \text{Mat}(2 \times 2, \mathbb{C})$ to itself. Invertibility is guaranteed from the fact that $1 - \mathcal{C}_{J^\infty}$ is a Fredholm integral operator with zero index and the kernel of $1 - \mathcal{C}_{J^\infty}$ is $\{0\}$. In particular this last point is obtained by applying the vanishing lemma [40]. Indeed suppose that exists $\hat{Y}_- \in L^2(\Gamma, ds) \otimes \text{Mat}(2 \times 2, \mathbb{C})$ such that $(1 - \mathcal{C}_{J^\infty})\hat{Y}_- = 0$. Then the quantity

$$\hat{Y}(x, t; z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\hat{Y}_-(s)J^\infty(s; x, t)ds}{s - z}$$

solves the following RH problem:

- $\hat{Y}(z; x, t)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$;
- $\hat{Y}_+(\xi) = \hat{Y}_-(\xi)(\mathbb{I} + J^\infty(\xi))$ for $\xi \in \Gamma$;
- $\hat{Y}(z; x, t) = \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

To show that such problem has only the zero solution we use the following properties:

- the jump matrix satisfies the Schwartz symmetry: $J^\infty(z; x, t) = -\overline{J^\infty(\bar{z}; x, t)^t}$;
- the contour Γ is symmetric with respect to the real axis \mathbb{R} (up to the orientation).

We define $H(z) = \hat{Y}(z)\overline{\hat{Y}(\bar{z})}^t$, then clearly $\overline{H(\bar{z})}^t = H(z)$, $H(z) = \mathcal{O}(z^{-2})$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ and $H(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. We consider the integral on the real axis and apply contour deformation on the upper half space

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_+(z) dz &= \int_{\gamma_{-2} \cup \gamma_{+1}} H_-(z) dz \\ &= \int_{\gamma_{-2} \cup \gamma_{+1}} \hat{Y}_+(z)(\mathbb{I} + J^\infty(z))^{-1} ((\mathbb{I} + \overline{J^\infty(\bar{z})})^{-1})^t \overline{\hat{Y}_+(\bar{z})}^t dz \\ &= \int_{\gamma_{-2} \cup \gamma_{+1}} \hat{Y}_+(z)\overline{\hat{Y}_+(\bar{z})}^t dz = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The second identity is obtained using the boundary values of $\hat{Y}(z)$. In the third identity we have used the Schwartz reflection of the jump matrix J^∞ and the fourth identity is obtained using the fact that $\hat{Y}(z)$ is analytic inside $\gamma_{-2} \cup \gamma_{+1}$. We conclude that

$$0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{Y}_+(z)\overline{\hat{Y}_+(\bar{z})}^t dz = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[|\hat{Y}_{11}(z)|^2 + |\hat{Y}_{12}(z)|^2 \begin{matrix} * \\ * \end{matrix} |\hat{Y}_{21}(z)|^2 + |\hat{Y}_{22}(z)|^2 \right] dz$$

which implies $\hat{Y}_{ij}(z) = 0$, $i, j = 1, 2$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Due to analyticity of $\hat{Y}(z)$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$ and the unique continuation theorem, then \hat{Y} is identically zero. So the null space is empty and the operator $1 - \mathcal{C}_{J^\infty}$ is invertible showing the existence of the solution to the Riemann-Hilbert problem for $Y^\infty(z; x, t)$. The proof that the solution $\psi_\infty(x, t)$ is in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$, relies on the proof of existence of the matrix $\partial^n Y^\infty(z; x, t)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following properties:

1. $\partial^n Y^\infty(z; x, t)$ is holomorphic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$.
2. $\partial^n Y^\infty(z; x, t) = \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})$, as $z \rightarrow \infty$
3. For $z \in \Gamma$, the boundary values of $\partial^n Y^\infty(z; x, t)$ satisfy the jump relation

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial^n Y^\infty(z; x, t))_+ &= (\partial^n Y^\infty(z; x, t))_- J^\infty(z; x, t) + \mathcal{F}^{(n)}(z; x, t), \\ \mathcal{F}^{(n)}(z; x, t) &:= \sum_{\ell=1}^n \binom{n}{\ell} \partial^{n-\ell} Y^\infty(z; x, t)(z; x, t) \partial^\ell J^\infty(z; x, t). \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

Here $\partial^n Y^\infty(z; x, t)$ is the partial derivative with respect to a parameter, in our case t or x . The details on the existence of the solution to such problem are given in [18],[26].

References

- [1] D. Agafontsev, A. A. Gelash, S. Randoux and P. Suret - *Multisoliton interactions approximating the dynamics of breather solutions*. Studies in Applied Mathematics, **152.2** (2024): 810-834.
- [2] N. N. Akhmediev, V. M. Eleonskii and N. E. Kulagin - *Exact first-order solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, **72.2** (1987): 809-818.

- [3] N.N. Akhmediev, A. Ankiewicz, J. M. Soto-Crespo, *Rogue waves and rational solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Physical Review E **80** (2009): 026601.
- [4] N. N. Akhmediev, A. Ankiewicz, and M. Taki - *Waves that appear from nowhere and disappear without a trace*. Physics Letters A **37.6** (2009): 675-678.
- [5] M. Bertola, A. Tovbis - *Universality for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation at the gradient catastrophe point: rational breathers and poles of the tritronquée solution to Painlevé I*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 66 (2013), no. 5, 678 - 752.
- [6] M. Bertola, T. Grava, and G. Orsatti - *Soliton shielding of the focusing Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation*. Physical Review Letters, **130.12** (2023): 1.
- [7] M. Bertola, T. Grava, and G. Orsatti - $\bar{\partial}$ -problem for focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation and soliton shielding. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2409.14825>
- [8] D. Bilman and P. D. Miller - *A Robust Inverse Scattering Transform for the Focusing Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation*. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, **72.8** (2019): 1722-1805.
- [9] D. Bilman and P. D. Miller - *Broader universality of rogue waves of infinite order*. Phys. D 435 (2022), Paper No. 133289, 12 pp.
- [10] D. Bilman, L. Ling and P. D. Miller - *Extreme superposition: Rogue waves of infinite order and the Painlevé-III hierarchy*. Duke Math. J., 169 (2020), pp. 671-760
- [11] D. Bilman, R. Buckingham - *Large-order asymptotics for multiple-pole solitons of the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, J. Nonlinear Sci., 29 (2019), pp. 2185-2229
- [12] D. Bilman, P.D. Miller *Extreme superposition: High-order fundamental rogue waves in the far-field regime*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 300 (2024), no. 1505, v+90 pp.
- [13] D. Bilman, R. Buckingham and D.-S. Wang - *Far-field asymptotics for multiple-pole solitons in the large-order limit* J. Differential Equations, 297 (2021), pp. 320-369
- [14] G. Biondini and G. Kovacic - *Inverse scattering transform for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonzero boundary conditions*. Journal of Mathematical Physics, **55.3** (2014): 031506.
- [15] G. Biondini, E. Fagerstrom, B. Prinari, *Inverse scattering transform for the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with fully asymmetric non-zero boundary conditions*. Phys. D 333 (2016), 117 - 136.
- [16] A. Chabchoub, N. Hoffmann, M. Onorato, N. N. Akhmediev - *Super Rogue Waves: Observation of a Higher-Order Breather in Water Waves*. Physical Review X, **2.1** (2012): 011015.
- [17] A. Costa, A. R. Osborne, D. T. Resio, S. Alessio, E. Chirivì, E. Saggese, K. Bellomo and C. E. Long- *Soliton Turbulence in Shallow Water Ocean Surface Waves*. Physical Review Letters, **113.10** (2014): 108501.
- [18] P. Deift and X. Zhou - *Perturbation theory for infinite-dimensional integrable systems on the line. A case study*. Acta Math. 188.2 (2002), pp. 163 - 262.
- [19] K. Dysthe and K. Trulsen - *Note on Breather Type Solutions of the NLS as Models for Freak-Waves*. Physica Scripta, **1999.T82** (1999): 48.
- [20] G.A. El and A. Tovbis - *Spectral theory of soliton and breather gases for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Physical Review E, **101.5** (2020): 052207.
- [21] A. A. Gelash - *Formation of rogue waves from a locally perturbed condensate*. Physical Review E, **97.2** (2018): 022208.
- [22] A. A. Gelash, D. Agafontsev, V. E. Zakharov, G. A. El, S. Randoux and P. Suret - *Bound state soliton gas dynamics underlying the spontaneous modulational instability*. Physical Review Letters, **123.23** (2019): 234102.
- [23] A. A. Gelash, D. Agafontsev, P. Suret and S. Randoux - *Solitonic model of the condensate*. Physical Review E, **104.4** (2021): 044213.

- [24] M. Girotti, T. Grava, R. Jenkins, and K. T. R. McLaughlin - *Rigorous asymptotics of a KdV soliton gas*. Communications in Mathematical Physics, **384** (2021): 733-784.
- [25] M. Girotti, T. Grava, R. Jenkins, K. T. R. McLaughlin and A. Minakov - *Soliton versus the gas: Fredholm determinants, analysis, and the rapid oscillations behind the kinetic equation*. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, **76.11** (2023): 3233-3299..
- [26] M. Girotti, T. Grava, K. T. R. McLaughlin and J. Najnudel - *Law of Large Numbers and Central Limit Theorem for random sets of solitons of the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2411.17036>
- [27] B. Gustafsson - *Quadrature identities and the Schottky double*. Acta Applicandae Mathematica, **1** (1983): 209-240.
- [28] P. A. E. M. Janssen - *Nonlinear four-wave interactions and freak waves*. Journal of Physical Oceanography, **33.4** (2003): 863-884.
- [29] C. Kharif, E. Pelinovsky and A. Slunyaev - *Rogue waves in the ocean*. Berlin Heidelberg, Springer Science & Business Media, (2008).
- [30] E. A. Kuznetsov - *Solitons in a parametrically unstable plasma*. Akademiia Nauk SSSR Doklady, **236** (1977): 575-577.
- [31] S. Li and G. Biondini - *Soliton interactions and degenerate soliton complexes for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonzero background*. The European Physical Journal Plus, **133.10** (2018): 400.
- [32] Y-C. Ma - *The perturbed plane-wave solutions of the cubic Schrödinger equation*. Studies in Applied Mathematics, **60.1** (1979): 43-58.
- [33] D. H. Peregrine - *Water waves, nonlinear Schrödinger equations and their solutions*. The ANZIAM Journal, **25.1** (1983): 16-43.
- [34] G. Roberti, G. A. El, A. Tovbis, F. Copie, P. Suret and S. Randoux - *Numerical spectral synthesis of breather gas for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Physical Review E, **103.4** (2021): 042205.
- [35] A. Shabat and V. E. Zakharov - *Exact theory of two-dimensional self-focusing and one-dimensional self-modulation of waves in nonlinear media*. Soviet Physics JETP, **34.1** (1972): 62.
- [36] M. Tajiri and Y. Watanabe - *Breather solutions to the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Physical Review E, **57.3** (1998): 3510.
- [37] V. E. Zakharov - *Kinetic Equation for Solitons*. Soviet Physics JETP, **33.3** (1971): 538-540.
- [38] V. E. Zakharov and A. A. Gelash - *Nonlinear stage of modulation instability*. Physical Review Letters, **111.5** (2013): 054101.
- [39] V. E. Zakharov and A. A. Gelash - *Freak waves as a result of modulation instability*. Procedia IUTAM, **9** (2013): 165-175.
- [40] X. Zhou, *The Riemann-Hilbert problem and inverse scattering*. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 1989, Vol. 20, 966 - 986.