# SemTalk: Holistic Co-speech Motion Generation with Frame-level Semantic Emphasis

Xiangyue Zhang<sup>\*1,2</sup> Jianfang Li<sup>\*2</sup> Jiaxu Zhang<sup>1</sup> Ziqiang Dang<sup>2,3</sup> Jianqiang Ren<sup>2</sup> Liefeng Bo<sup>2</sup> Zhigang Tu<sup>1†</sup> <sup>1</sup>Wuhan University <sup>2</sup>Alibaba <sup>3</sup>Zhejiang University **Project page:** https://xiangyue-zhang.github.io/SemTalk



Figure 1. On the left, we analyze semantic labels from the BEAT2 dataset [30] and visualize frame-level motion, revealing that semantically relevant motions are rare and sparse, aligning with real-life observations. On the right, this observation drives the design of SemTalk, which establishes a rhythm-aligned base motion and dynamically emphasizes sparse semantic gestures at the frame-level. In this example, SemTalk amplifies expressiveness on words like "watching" and "just," enhancing gesture and torso movements. The semantic scores below are automatically generated by SemTalk to modulate semantic emphasis over time.

#### Abstract

A good co-speech motion generation cannot be achieved without a careful integration of common rhythmic motion and rare yet essential semantic motion. In this work, we propose SemTalk for holistic co-speech motion generation with frame-level semantic emphasis. Our key insight is to separately learn general motions and sparse motions, and then adaptively fuse them. In particular, rhythmic consistency learning is explored to establish rhythm-related base motion, ensuring a coherent foundation that synchronizes gestures with the speech rhythm. Subsequently, semantic emphasis learning is designed to generate semantic-aware sparse motion, focusing on frame-level semantic cues. Finally, to integrate sparse motion into the base motion and generate semantic-emphasized co-speech gestures, we further leverage a learned semantic score for adaptive synthesis. Qualitative and quantitative comparisons on two public datasets demonstrate that our method outperforms the state-of-the-art, delivering high-quality co-speech motion with enhanced semantic richness over a stable base motion.

# 1. Introduction

Nonverbal communication, including body language, hand gestures, and facial expressions, is integral to human interactions. It enriches conversations with contextual cues and enhances understanding among participants [6, 13, 19, 23]. This aspect is particularly significant in holistic co-speech motion generation, where the challenge lies in synthesizing gestures that align with speech rhythm while also capturing the infrequent yet critical semantic gestures that convey specific ideas [24, 37].

Most existing methods [16, 29, 47] rely heavily on rhythm-related audio features as conditions for gesture generation. While these rhythm-based features successfully align gestures with the timing of speech, they often over-

<sup>\*</sup>Xiangyue Zhang and Jianfang Li contributed equally to this work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Zhigang Tu is the corresponding author (tuzhigang@whu.edu.cn).

shadow the sparse yet expressive semantic motion (see Fig. 1). As a result, the generated motions may lack the contextual depth necessary and nuanced expressiveness for natural interaction. Some methods try to address this by incorporating semantic information like emotion, style, and content[9, 11, 22, 31]. However, rhythm features tend to dominate, making it difficult to capture sparse, semantically relevant gestures at the frame level. These rare but impactful gestures are often diluted or overlooked, highlighting the challenge of balancing rhythmic alignment with semantic expressiveness in co-speech motion generation.

In real-world human conversations, we have an observation that while most speech-related gestures are indeed rhythm-related, only a limited number of frames involve semantically emphasized gestures. This insight suggests that co-speech gestures can be decomposed into two distinct components: (*i*) General rhythm-related motions. These provide a continuous, coherent base motion aligned with the speech rhythm, reflecting the natural timing of speaking. (*ii*) Sparse semantic-aware motions: These occur infrequently but are essential for conveying specific meanings or emphasizing key points within the conversation.

Inspired by this observation, we propose a new framework SemTalk. SemTalk models the base motion and the sparse motion separately and then fuse them adaptively to generate high-fidelity co-speech motion. Specifically, we first focus on capturing the foundational patterns of speech by introducing rhythmic consistency learning. This method aligns the generated motions with rhythm by a fine-grained refinement and local-global consistency learning. For finegrained refinement, we incorporate a coarse2fine crossattention module, which progressively refines the base motion cues in a coarse-to-fine manner, starting from the face and moving through the hands, upper body, and lower body. This approach ensures consistent rhythmic transmission across all body parts, enhancing coherence and rhythmic base motion. For local-global consistency learning, locally, we use a frame-level consistency loss that encourages each frame to synchronize with the corresponding speech features, ensuring precise temporal alignment. Globally, we employ a sequence-level consistency loss that maintains the overall rhythmic flow across the entire motion sequence.

Furthermore, we introduce *semantic emphasis learning*, which focuses on generating sparse yet meaningful semantic motion. This approach utilizes frame-level semantic cues from textual information, high-level speech features, and emotion labels to identify frames that require emphasis. A gating mechanism is then employed to adaptively activate semantic motions at key frames, allowing the model to produce gestures that not only align with the rhythm but also enhance the motion with deeper semantic meaning and contextual relevance. Finally, the learned base motion and sparse motion are integrated through a dynamically learned

semantic score, which selectively amplifies expressiveness by incorporating semantic gestures into the rhythm-aligned base motion. This adaptive approach enables the model to capture the full spectrum of human expressiveness, generating motions that are semantically aligned with the speech. Our contributions are summarized below:

- We propose SemTalk, a novel framework for holistic cospeech motion generation that separately models general rhythm-related base motion and semantic-aware sparse motion, adaptively integrating them based on a learned semantic score.
- We introduce *rhythmic consistency learning* to incorporate latent face and latent hands features with rhythmrelated priors, ensuring coherent and rhythm-related base motion. We then propose *semantic emphasis learning* to synthesize semantic gestures at certain frames, enhancing expressive semantic-aware sparse motion.
- Experimental results show that our model surpasses state-of-the-art methods qualitatively and quantitatively, achieving higher motion quality and richer semantics.

# 2. Related Work

Co-speech Gesture Generation. Co-speech gesture generation focuses on creating gestures that naturally align with speech to enhance communication. Early rule-based methods [7, 18, 20, 21, 40] lacked the ability to capture the variability of human motion. Deterministic models [5, 7, 35, 45, 48] attempted direct mappings from speech to gestures. Probabilistic models like GANs [1, 16, 39] and diffusion models [2, 9, 46, 52] introduced variability. Some approaches incorporated semantic information. HA2G [31] and SEEG [27] used hierarchical networks and alignment techniques. LivelySpeaker [51] focuses on generating cospeech gestures by conditioning on both rhythmic features and semantic information. However, it lacked adaptability for integrating semantic gestures with the speech rhythm. DisCo [28] also addresses gesture diversity by disentangling content and rhythm, and balancing data distributions for more diverse motions, but it does not explicitly model sparse semantic gestures or dynamically integrate them with the rhythmic base motion. In contrast, SemTalk introduces a novel framework that separately models rhythm-related base motions and generates sparse semantic gestures. To ensure two components are seamlessly integrated, we dynamically combine base motion and sparse motion based on a learned semantic score.

Holistic Co-speech Motion Generation. Generating synchronized, expressive full-body motion in response to speech remains challenging, especially in coordinating the face, hands, and torso [8, 30, 33, 36, 47]. Previous methods gave way to generative models that enhance synchronization across regions. Habibie et al. [16] introduced a CNN-based approach for face and body generation, though it often led to uncoordinated gestures. Talk-SHOW [47] improved synchronization with VQ-VAE [41] cross-conditioning but handled facial expressions separately, causing fragmented outputs. DiffSHEG [8] and EMAGE [30] partially address this by using separate encoders for expressions and gestures, though unidirectional flow restricts expressive coherence, and ProbTalk [32] leverages PQ-VAE [42] for better body-facial synchronization. These methods, however, primarily rely on audiorelated rhythmic cues, risking the loss of nuanced semantic gestures. Inspired by TM2D [14], which decomposes dance motion into music-related and text-aware components, we decompose co-speech motion into rhythm-related and sparse semantic motions, learning each independently. This separation enables us to better capture both the rhythmic flow and the expressive nuances of the motion.

# 3. Method

#### 3.1. Preliminary on RVQ-VAE

Following [4, 15, 50], our approach uses a residual vectorquantized autoencoder (RVQ-VAE) to precisely capture complex body movements in a latent space. Unlike standard VO-VAE [41], which has a single quantized latent space, RVQ-VAE decomposes motion into multiple quantization levels, capturing both coarse and fine details. To retain unique motion characteristics across body regions, we segment the body into four parts-face, upper body, hands, and lower body-each with a dedicated RVQ-VAE, following [3, 30]. This segmentation preserves each part's dynamics and prevents feature entanglement. Each part's motion sequence, denoted  $M = m_{1:N} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$ , is mapped to a latent sequence  $z_{1:n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  via a 1D convolutional encoder with downsampling factor n/N. This latent sequence undergoes a series of quantization steps  $Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_V$ to progressively capture fine details. Each quantization layer assigns the latent vector to the nearest codebook entry, recording residuals at each step. This cumulative encoding yields a refined latent representation  $\hat{z}$ , and the decoding mirrors the encoding, with distinct decoders for each region.

## 3.2. Overview

As shown in Figure 2, our SemTalk pipeline includes two main components: the Base Motion Blocks  $f_r(\cdot)$  and the Sparse Motion Blocks  $f_s(\cdot)$ . Given rhythmic features  $\gamma_b$ ,  $\gamma_h$ , a seed pose  $\tilde{m}$ , and a speaker ID *id*, the Base Motion Blocks generate rhythm-aligned codes  $q^r$ , forming the rhythmic foundation of the base motion:

$$f_r: (\gamma_b, \gamma_h, \tilde{m}, id; \theta_{f_r}) \to q^r, \tag{1}$$

where  $\theta_{f_r}$  denotes the learnable parameters of the Base Motion Blocks. The Sparse Motion Blocks then take semantic



Figure 2. An overview of the SemTalk pipeline. SemTalk generates holistic co-speech motion by first constructing rhythm-aligned  $q^r$  in  $f_r$ , guided by rhythmic consistency loss  $L_{\text{Rhy}}$ . Meanwhile,  $f_s$  produce frame-level semantic codes  $q^s$ , activated selectively by the semantic score  $\psi$ . Finally,  $q^m$  is achieved by fusing  $q^r$  and  $q^s$  based on  $\psi$ , with motion decoder, yielding synchronized and contextually enriched motions.

features  $\phi_l$ ,  $\phi_g$ ,  $\phi_e$ , along with  $\gamma_h$ ,  $\tilde{m}$  and id, to produce frame-level semantic codes  $q^s$  and semantic score  $\psi$ .  $\psi$  then triggers these codes only for semantically significant frames, producing a sparse motion representation:

$$f_s: (\phi_l, \phi_g, \phi_e, \tilde{m}, id; \theta_{f_s}) \to (q^s, \psi), \tag{2}$$

where  $\theta_{f_s}$  represents the Sparse Motion Block parameters. Finally, the semantic emphasis mechanism  $\mathcal{E}$  combines  $q^r$ and  $q^s$ , guided by  $\psi$ , to form the final motion codes  $q^m$ :

$$q^m = \mathcal{E}(q^r, q^s; \psi). \tag{3}$$

The motion decoder then uses  $q^m$  to generate the output m', enriched with rhythm alignment and semantic emphasis.

#### **3.3. Generating Rhythm-related Base Motion**

The Base Motion Generation (Fig. 3 a) in SemTalk establishes a rhythmically aligned foundation for co-speech gesture generation by leveraging both rhythmic and speakerspecific features, enhancing the naturalness and personalization of generated gestures.

**Rhythmic Speech Encoding.** To synchronize motion with speech, SemTalk incorporates rhythmic features: beats  $\gamma_b$  and HuBERT features  $\gamma_h$ .  $\gamma_b$ , derived from amplitude,



Figure 3. Architecture of SemTalk. SemTalk generates holistic co-speech motion in three stages. (a) Base Motion Generation uses *rhythmic consistency learning* to produce rhythm-aligned codes  $q^r$ , conditioned on rhythmic features  $\gamma_b$ ,  $\gamma_h$ , seed pose  $\tilde{m}$ , and *id*. (b) Sparse Motion Generation employs *semantic emphasis learning* to generate semantic codes  $q^s$ , activated by semantic score  $\psi$ . (c) Adaptively Fusion automatically combines  $q^r$  and  $q^s$  based on  $\psi$  to produce mixed codes  $q^m$  at frame level for rhythmically aligned and contextually rich motions.

short-time energy [10], and onset detection, mark key rhythmic points for aligning gestures with speech. Meanwhile,  $\gamma_h$ , extracted by the HuBERT encoder [17], captures highlevel audio traits such as phonetic structure and speaker nuances, adding details to the gestures. In addition to rhythmic features  $\gamma$ , SemTalk uses a seed pose  $\tilde{m}$  and speaker identity *id* to generate a personalized, rhythm-aligned latent pose *p*. Then MLP-based Face Enhancement and Body Part-Aware modules utilize  $\gamma$ , *p* and *id* to obtain latent face  $f_e$ , hands  $f_h$ , upper body  $f_u$  and lower body  $f_l$ .

Rhythmic Consistency Learning. To enforce a rhythmically coherent base motion, we introduce rhythmic consistency learning, which includes a fine-grained refinement and local-global consistency learning designed to align generated gestures with the speech. To refine base motion, we first proposed a transformer-based Coarse2Fine Cross-Attn Module utilize  $\gamma$ , p and id to obtain latent base motion  $f_b$ . The refinement begins with  $\gamma$  for  $f_e$ , which guides the rhythmic representation for  $f_h$ , followed by conditioning  $f_u$  and finally influencing  $f_l$ . This progression from face to hands, upper body, and lower body enables the model to create synchronized motions that align naturally with spoken content. An MLP layer then generates codes that synchronize different body regions with the rhythm, producing distinct codes for the face  $q_f^r$ , hands  $q_h^r$ , upper body  $q_u^r$ , and lower body  $q_l^r$ , ensuring temporal coherence across all parts.

Inspired by CoG's use of InfoNCE loss [44] to synchronize facial expressions with audio cues, our approach adopts a similar philosophy of aligning motion and speech. However, we differ fundamentally by incorporating separate local and global rhythmic consistency losses, which are applied to both latent face  $f_e$  and latent hands  $f_h$ , ensuring a more cohesive and synchronized representation across the entire motion sequence. InfoNCE loss maximizes mutual information and ensures temporal alignment by contrasting frame-level or sentence-level features across modalities, synchronizing rhythm. By leveraging HuBERT features  $\gamma_h$  instead of basic beat features  $\gamma_b$ , which only capture rhythmic pauses, we incorporate rich, high-level audio representations that enhance the model's ability to capture rhythmrelated motion patterns and maintain temporal coherence.

This rhythmic consistency loss ensures that the motions are not only synchronized at the frame level but also maintain a consistent rhythmic flow across the entire sequence. It can be defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Rhy}} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \frac{\exp\left(\sin\left(h\left(f_{i}\right), \gamma_{h}^{i}\right)/\tau\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \exp\left(\sin\left(h\left(f_{i}\right), \gamma_{h}^{j}\right)/\tau\right)},\tag{4}$$

where N denotes the number of frames(or the batch size),  $\tau$  denotes the temperature hyperparameter,  $h(\cdot)$  is the projection head for latent motion,  $f_i$  and  $\gamma_h^i$  are the latent motion and rhythmic features at frame (or sample) i, and  $\operatorname{sim}(\cdot)$  represents cosine similarity.

The local frame-level consistency loss  $\mathcal{L}_{Rhy}^{(L)}$  aligns the motion features of each frame with the corresponding rhythmic cues. This local loss encourages each frame's gestures and facial movements to align with subtle rhythmic variations, embedding rhythm within each temporal segment.

To ensure rhythmic coherence at a broader level, we incorporate a global sample-level consistency loss  $\mathcal{L}_{Rhy}^{(G)}$ . Unlike local loss,  $\mathcal{L}_{Rhy}^{(G)}$  reinforces rhythm consistency throughout the sequence, ensuring that the generated motion maintains a smooth, rhythm-aligned motion flow throughout its duration. By jointly minimizing  $\mathcal{L}_{Rhy}^{(L)}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{Rhy}^{(G)}$ , *rhythmic consistency learning* enables SemTalk to produce base motions that are rhythmically aligned and temporally cohesive, forming a solid foundation upon which further semantic gesture refinement can be applied.

## 3.4. Generating Semantic-aware Sparse Motion

The Sparse Motion Generation (Fig. 3 b) in SemTalk adds expressive, context-specific gestures to the rhythm-aligned base motion by incorporating sparse but meaningful semantic cues drawn from speech content and emotional tone. By separating rhythm and semantics, this stage enhances gesture generation with contextually nuanced movements, focusing on moments of high semantic relevance.

Semantic Speech Encoding. To capture the breadth of semantic cues in speech, *Semantic Emphasis Learning* combines three types of information: frame-level text embeddings  $\phi_l$ , sentence-level features  $\phi_g$  from the CLIP model [38], and emotion features  $\phi_e$  from the emotion2vec model [34]. Together, these features form a comprehensive semantic representation  $f_t$  that reflects both the content and emotional undertones of speech, enabling SemTalk to activate motions that are sensitive to nuanced semantic cues.

**Semantic Emphasis Learning.** Semantic Emphasis Learning in SemTalk is designed to capture sparse, semantically rich gestures that enhance motion by focusing on frames of high contextual relevance. Learning semantic-aware motion separately from rhythm-based gestures enables SemTalk to highlight meaningful frames that enrich gesture expressiveness without disrupting the underlying base motion.

The process begins by generating fused semantic features  $f_t$ , combining local and global cues from text, speech, and emotion embeddings to help identify frames needing semantic emphasis. Using  $f_t$ , SemTalk computes a semantic score  $\psi$ , which highlights frames with high semantic value, while reducing attention to rhythm-only frames.  $\psi$ , informed by broader context, filters back semantic features  $f_t$  to activate frames with significant relevance, ensuring that the model emphasizes frames aligned with specific communicative intentions. Supervision of  $\psi$  through a classification loss  $\mathcal{L}^G_{cls}$  based on semantic labels further refines the model's ability to identify key frames. To propagate semantic emphasis cohesively across different body regions, we use Coarse2Fine Cross-Attention Module similar to Sec. 3.3 to get sparse semantic motion  $f_s$ . In this stage, the emphasis in Sparse Motion Generation is placed on body motion, as these are more critical for conveying semantic meaning in co-speech interactions.

Once  $\psi$  is established, it modulates the integration of rhythm-aligned base motion  $f_b$  and sparse semantic motion  $f_s$ . Through alpha-blending, frames with high semantic relevance draw more from  $f_s$ , while others rely on  $f_b$ . The

final motion codes  $q^s$  are computed as:

$$q^s = MLP(\psi f_s + (1 - \psi)f_b), \tag{5}$$

To foster diverse motion generation, SemTalk includes a code classification loss  $\mathcal{L}_{cls}$  and a reconstruction loss  $\mathcal{L}_{rec}$ . These losses are specifically focused on frames with high semantic scores, guiding the model to prioritize the generation of sparse, meaningful gestures.

#### 3.5. Semantic Score-based motion fusion

The Adaptive Fusion stage (Fig. 3 c) in SemTalk seamlessly integrates sparse semantic-aware motion into the rhythmicrelated base motion. By strategically enhancing frames based on their semantic importance, it maintains a smooth and natural motion flow across sequences. For each frame *i*, the semantic score  $\psi_i$  computed during the Sparse Motion Generation stage is compared to a threshold  $\beta$ . If  $\psi_i > \beta$ , the base motion's latent code  $q_i^r$  is replaced with the sparse semantic code  $q_i^s$ , effectively highlighting expressive gestures where they are most relevant; otherwise,  $q_i = q_i^r$ .

This selective replacement emphasizes semantically critical gestures while preserving the natural rhythmic base motion. By blending  $q^r$  and  $q^s$  based on semantic scores, SemTalk adapts to the expressive needs of the speech context while ensuring coherence. The result is a realistic motion sequence where important gestures stand out without disrupting the foundational rhythm. To ensure smooth transitions and consistency across all body parts, this frameby-frame replacement prevents abrupt shifts and effectively emphasizes the most expressive frames.

# 4. Experiments

## 4.1. Experimental Setup

**Datasets.** For training and evaluation, we utilize two primary datasets: BEAT2 and SHOW. BEAT2, as introduced in EMAGE [30], is an extension of the original BEAT dataset [29], which includes 76 hours data featuring 30 speakers. BEAT2 standardizes the BEAT into a mesh representation with paired audio, text transcripts, and frame-level semantic labels. Following [30], we focus on the BEAT2-standard subset, allocating data for 85%/7.5%/7.5% train/val/test. SHOW [47] contains 26.9 hours of high-quality, in-the-wild talk show videos featuring 3D body meshes at 30fps. Due to the absence of framelevel semantic labels in the SHOW dataset, we adapt the Sem-gate module from SemTalk, pre-trained on the BEAT2 dataset, to generate these labels. Following [47], we select video sequences longer than 10 seconds and divide the dataset into 80%/10%/10% train/val/test split.

**Implementation Details.** Our model is trained on a single NVIDIA A100 GPU. For both datasets, training runs for 200 epochs with a batch size of 64. We use the RVQ-VAE



Figure 4. **Comparison on BEAT2** [30] **Dataset.** SemTalk\* refers to the model trained solely on the Base Motion Generation stage, capturing basic rhythmic alignment but lacking detailed semantic gestures. In contrast, SemTalk successfully emphasized sparse yet vivid motions. For example, when the phrase "my opinion" is spoken, SemTalk-driven characters raise both hands and then make the gesture of extending their index finger to emphasize the statement. When speaking "never tell," our model produces a clear, repeated gesture to match the rhythm of each word, capturing the intended emphasis.

architecture [41] as the auto-encoder, with ResNet blocks in both encoder and decoder, downscaling by a factor of 4. The residual quantization employs 6 layers with a code dimension and codebook size of 256, and a dropout rate of 0.2, we predict the remaining five codes through five transformer layers from the first layer's output. In *Base Motion Learning*, the temperature  $\tau$  is set to 0.1. In *Sparse Motion Learning*,  $\beta$  is set to 0.5. The training uses the ADAM optimizer with a learning rate of 1e-4. Inspired by [30], we first train with a four-frame seed pose, then gradually increase the masked joints and frames ratio from 0 to 40% over the initial 120 epochs, fixing it afterward.

Metrics. We evaluate the generated body gestures using the FGD metric [49] to assess the distributional alignment between generated and GT, reflecting gesture realism. DIV [25] is measured by the average L1 distance across multiple gesture clips, indicating the range of gesture variation. To assess speech-motion synchrony, we employ BC [26], which measures the temporal alignment between gesture rhythm and audio beats. For facial expressions, we utilize two reconstruction metrics: vertex **MSE** [46] to quantify positional differences, and vertex L1 difference **LVD** [47] to gauge discrepancies between GT and generated facial vertices. Given the lack of explicit semantic consistency labels, we further conduct a comprehensive user study. We excluded ProbTalk [32] from our comparison because we were unable to obtain correct results during retraining using the publicly available code.

#### **4.2. Qualitative Results**

**Qualitative Comparisons.** We strongly encourage readers to view our demo video for a clear understanding of SemTalk's qualitative performance. Overall, our method demonstrates superior alignment between speech and motion, generating more realistic, diverse, and semantically consistent motion than the baselines on both datasets. On the BEAT2 dataset (Fig. 4), TalkSHOW, EMAGE, and Diff-SHEG all exhibit slight jitter effects. EMAGE displays



Figure 5. Comparison on SHOW [47] Dataset. Our method performs better in motion diversity and semantic richness.



Figure 6. Facial Comparison on the BEAT2 [30] Dataset. Our approach synchronizes facial expressions closely with phonetic and semantic cues in speech, generating natural lip movements that enhance clarity and expressiveness, as seen in words like "always" and "because," where each syllable transition is smoothly captured in alignment with the audio input.

noticeable jitters mainly in the legs and shoulders, while TalkSHOW suffers from instability throughout the body. DiffSHEG, designed primarily to focus on the upper body without considering the lower body holistically, generates slow motions with visible inconsistencies, especially at the boundaries of speech clips. Regarding motion diversity, DiffSHEG generates a broader range of gestures than EMAGE and TalkSHOW; however, EMAGE maintains a higher degree of naturalness. SemTalk surpasses all baselines by achieving the highest levels of both diversity and realism. Compared to the SemTalk\* baseline, SemTalk generates gestures that are contextually appropriate, lively, and expressive. Although SemTalk\* ensures rhythmic consistency, it lacks strong semantic expressiveness. SemTalk, by contrast, emphasizes key phrases, such as raising both hands to highlight "dream job" or using a definitive pointing gesture for "that is why," thereby reinforcing the semantics. SemTalk\* lacks expressiveness, but can still generate a well-formed, general base motion that is rhythmically consistent. With the semantic emphasis on frame-level motion, our SemTalk finally generates motions that are both rhythmrelated and semantic-aware. This highlights the effectiveness of our rhythmic consistency learning and semantic emphasis learning.

In facial comparisons (Fig. 6), EMAGE shows minimal lip movement, while both DiffSHEG and EMAGE reveal inconsistencies between lip motion and the rhythm of speech. In contrast, SemTalk produces smooth, natural transitions across syllables, resulting in realistic and expressive



Figure 7. Qualitative study on semantic score.

lips, significantly surpassing the baselines.

On the SHOW dataset (Fig. 5), SemTalk shows more agile gestures than TalkSHOW, EMAGE, and DiffSHEG, when applied to unseen data. Our method captures natural and contextually rich gestures, particularly in moments of emphasis such as "I like to do" and "relaxing," where our model produces lively hand and body movements that align with the speech content. Unlike baselines, which tend to generate repetitive or overly simplistic motions, SemTalk maintains a high degree of diversity and subtlety, producing gestures that vary naturally across different phrases.

**Semantic Score.** Fig. 7 illustrates the relationship between semantic emphasis and gesture intensity, represented by variations in the semantic score. To model this correlation smoothly, we extracted actual semantic scores from selected key frames, capturing trends in gesture emphasis. Words with high semantic significance, such as "comes," "fantastic," and "captured," align with peaks in the semantic score, highlighting moments where the model emphasizes expressive gestures. These peaks indicate points in the audio that prompt the generation of more dynamic and engaging body movements, creating a closer alignment between gesture intensity and the expected meaning of the speaking.

**User study.** We conducted a user study using 10 video samples, recruiting 25 participants with varied backgrounds to assess several aspects of generated motion, including realism, semantic consistency, motion-speech synchronism, and diversity. The study required participants to rank shuf-



Figure 8. Results of the user study.

RC	SE	C2F	RVQ	FGD↓	BC↑	DIV↑	MSE↓	LVD↓
-	-	-	-	6.234	7.628	11.44	8.239	7.831
-	-	-	$\checkmark$	5.484	7.641	11.84	13.882	15.42
$\checkmark$	-	-	$\checkmark$	4.867	7.701	12.38	6.201	6.928
		-		4.526	7.751	12.83	6.215	6.997
-	-			4.897	7.702	12.42	13.416	15.72
		-	-	5.831	7.758	11.97	6.587	7.106
	-			4.397	7.776	12.49	6.100	6.898
	$\checkmark$			4.278	7.770	12.91	6.153	6.938

Table 1. Ablation study on each key component. "RC" denotes *rhythmic consistency learning*, "SE" denotes the *semantic emphasis learning*, and "C2F" denotes *Coarse2Fine Cross-Att Module*, "RVQ" denotes the RVQ-VAE.

fled videos across different methods on these criteria. As shown in Fig. 8, our approach received dominant preferences across all metrics, particularly excelling in semantic consistency and motion diversity. This indicates that our model produces highly synchronized and contextually appropriate gestures that align well with the spoken content, outperforming baselines in delivering realistic and expressive motion that users find engaging and natural.

# 4.3. Quantitative Results

**Comparison with Baselines.** As shown in Tab. 2, on the BEAT2 dataset, SemTalk achieves superior results in FGD, MSE, and LVD, showing improved distribution alignment and reduced motion errors compared to previous methods. To ensure a fair comparison, we add a lower-body VQ-VAE to TalkSHOW, DiffSHEG, and SemTalk, following [30]. Notably, our approach reduces FGD significantly, indicating robust distribution matching, particularly in generating expressive and context-aware gestures. While TalkSHOW and EMAGE achieve competitive diversity (DIV) scores, SemTalk maintains a balance between high semantic relevance and natural motion flow.

On the SHOW dataset, SemTalk excels with the lowest FGD, MSE, and the highest BC, indicating precise beat alignment with the audio and enhanced semantic consistency in generated motions. Although EMAGE exhibits high DIV, our model achieves comparable results while maintaining smooth, realistic motion free from jitter.

Ablation Study. We assess the impact of each component of our model on BEAT2 and present the results in Tab. 1, which reveals several key insights (more ablation results

Dataset	Method	$\textbf{FGD}{\downarrow}$	BC↑	DIV↑	MSE↓	LVD↓
	FaceFormer [12]	-	-	-	7.787	7.593
	CodeTalker [43]	-	-	-	8.026	7.766
	CaMN [29]	6.644	6.769	10.86	-	-
	DSG [46]	8.811	7.241	11.49	-	-
EI	Habibie et al. [16]	9.040	7.716	8.213	8.614	8.043
3E/	TalkSHOW [47]	6.209	6.947	13.47	7.791	7.771
щ	EMAGE [30]	5.512	7.724	13.06	7.680	7.556
	DiffSHEG [8]	8.986	7.142	11.91	7.665	8.673
	SemTalk (Ours)	4.278	7.770	12.91	6.153	6.938
	FaceFormer [12]	-	-	-	138.1	43.69
	CodeTalker [43]	-	-	-	140.7	45.84
	CaMN [29]	22.12	7.712	10.37	-	-
~	DSG [46]	24.84	8.027	10.23	-	-
Ň	Habibie et al. [16]	27.22	8.209	8.541	145.6	47.35
H	TalkSHOW [47]	24.43	8.249	10.98	139.6	45.17
•1	EMAGE [30]	22.12	8.280	12.46	136.1	42.44
	DiffSHEG [8]	24.87	8.061	10.79	139.0	45.77
	SemTalk (Ours)	20.18	8.304	11.36	134.1	39.15

Table 2. Quantitative comparison with SOTA methods. Our SemTalk method consistently outperforms state-of-the-art base-lines across both the BEAT2 and SHOW datasets. Lower values are better for FMD, FGD, MSE, and LVD. Higher values are better for BC and DIV. We report FGD×10<sup>-1</sup>, BC×10<sup>-1</sup>, MSE×10<sup>-8</sup> and LVD×10<sup>-5</sup> for simplify.

please see supplementary material) :

- **Rhythmic Consistency Learning (RC)** is essential for achieving temporal coherence in motion sequences. By capturing and preserving consistent rhythmic patterns, RC enhances the natural flow of generated motions. This approach not only boosts performance on key metrics like FGD, LVD, and BC but also reduces the MSE, contributing to smoother and more realistic base motion.
- Semantic Emphasis Learning (SE) proves essential for selectively enhancing semantic-rich gestures. The inclusion of SE, as shown in rows with SE enabled, improves both diversity (DIV) and FGD, enabling the model to emphasize semantically relevant motions. SE demonstrates its effectiveness in focusing on frame-level semantic information, which contributes to the generation of lifelike gestures with enriched contextual meaning.
- Coarse2Fine Cross-Attention Module (C2F) effectively refines motion details, improving BC, FGD, and DIV. When combined with RVQ and RC, C2F achieves the best MSE and LVD, highlighting its role in enhancing gesture realism and diversity through fine-tuning from coarse to refined levels.
- **RVQ-VAE** (**RVQ**) enhances the diversity and realism of generated motion. Though it slightly increases MSE and LVD, it notably improves FGD, leading to more natural motion generation compared to standard VQ-VAE.

# 5. Conclusion

We propose SemTalk, a novel approach for holistic co-speech motion generation with frame-level semantic

emphasis. Our method addresses the integration of sparse yet crucial semantically significant gestures into foundational rhythm-related motions, which has received less attention in previous works. We develop a framework that separately learns rhythm-related base motion through *rhythmic consistency learning* and semantic-aware semantic motion through *semantic emphasis learning*, and adaptively fuses them based on a learned semantic score. Our approach has demonstrated state-of-the-art performance on two public datasets quantitatively and qualitatively. The qualitative results and user study show that our method can generate high-quality co-speech motion sequences that enhance frame-level semantics over robust base motions, reflecting the full spectrum of human expressiveness.

# References

- [1] Chaitanya Ahuja, Dong Won Lee, and Louis-Philippe Morency. Low-resource adaptation for personalized cospeech gesture generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 20566–20576, 2022. 2
- [2] Simon Alexanderson, Rajmund Nagy, Jonas Beskow, and Gustav Eje Henter. Listen, denoise, action! audio-driven motion synthesis with diffusion models. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 42(4):1–20, 2023. 2
- [3] Tenglong Ao, Zeyi Zhang, and Libin Liu. Gesturediffuclip: Gesture diffusion model with clip latents. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 42(4):1–18, 2023. 3
- [4] Zalán Borsos, Raphaël Marinier, Damien Vincent, Eugene Kharitonov, Olivier Pietquin, Matt Sharifi, Dominik Roblek, Olivier Teboul, David Grangier, Marco Tagliasacchi, et al. Audiolm: a language modeling approach to audio generation. *IEEE/ACM transactions on audio, speech, and language processing*, 31:2523–2533, 2023. 3
- [5] Justine Cassell, Catherine Pelachaud, Norman Badler, Mark Steedman, Brett Achorn, Tripp Becket, Brett Douville, Scott Prevost, and Matthew Stone. Animated conversation: rulebased generation of facial expression, gesture & spoken intonation for multiple conversational agents. In *Proceedings* of the 21st annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques, pages 413–420, 1994. 2
- [6] Justine Cassell, David McNeill, and Karl-Erik McCullough. Speech-gesture mismatches: Evidence for one underlying representation of linguistic and nonlinguistic information. *Pragmatics & cognition*, 7(1):1–34, 1999. 1
- Justine Cassell, Hannes Högni Vilhjálmsson, and Timothy Bickmore. Beat: the behavior expression animation toolkit. In Proceedings of the 28th annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques, pages 477–486, 2001. 2
- [8] Junming Chen, Yunfei Liu, Jianan Wang, Ailing Zeng, Yu Li, and Qifeng Chen. Diffsheg: A diffusion-based approach for real-time speech-driven holistic 3d expression and gesture generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference* on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 7352– 7361, 2024. 2, 3, 8

- [9] Kiran Chhatre, Nikos Athanasiou, Giorgio Becherini, Christopher Peters, Michael J Black, Timo Bolkart, et al. Emotional speech-driven 3d body animation via disentangled latent diffusion. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1942–1953, 2024. 2
- [10] Selina Chu, Shrikanth Narayanan, and C-C Jay Kuo. Environmental sound recognition with time–frequency audio features. *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 17(6):1142–1158, 2009. 4
- [11] Radek Daněček, Kiran Chhatre, Shashank Tripathi, Yandong Wen, Michael Black, and Timo Bolkart. Emotional speech-driven animation with content-emotion disentanglement. In SIGGRAPH Asia 2023 Conference Papers, pages 1–13, 2023. 2
- [12] Yingruo Fan, Zhaojiang Lin, Jun Saito, Wenping Wang, and Taku Komura. Faceformer: Speech-driven 3d facial animation with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18770–18780, 2022. 8
- [13] Susan Goldin-Meadow. The role of gesture in communication and thinking. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 3(11):419– 429, 1999.
- [14] Kehong Gong, Dongze Lian, Heng Chang, Chuan Guo, Zihang Jiang, Xinxin Zuo, Michael Bi Mi, and Xinchao Wang. Tm2d: Bimodality driven 3d dance generation via music-text integration. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 9942–9952, 2023. 3
- [15] Chuan Guo, Yuxuan Mu, Muhammad Gohar Javed, Sen Wang, and Li Cheng. Momask: Generative masked modeling of 3d human motions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1900–1910, 2024. 3
- [16] Ikhsanul Habibie, Weipeng Xu, Dushyant Mehta, Lingjie Liu, Hans-Peter Seidel, Gerard Pons-Moll, Mohamed Elgharib, and Christian Theobalt. Learning speech-driven 3d conversational gestures from video. In *Proceedings of the* 21st ACM International Conference on Intelligent Virtual Agents, pages 101–108, 2021. 1, 2, 8
- [17] Wei-Ning Hsu, Benjamin Bolte, Yao-Hung Hubert Tsai, Kushal Lakhotia, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Abdelrahman Mohamed. Hubert: Self-supervised speech representation learning by masked prediction of hidden units. *IEEE/ACM transactions on audio, speech, and language processing*, 29: 3451–3460, 2021. 4
- [18] Chien-Ming Huang and Bilge Mutlu. Robot behavior toolkit: generating effective social behaviors for robots. In Proceedings of the seventh annual ACM/IEEE international conference on Human-Robot Interaction, pages 25–32, 2012. 2
- [19] Adam Kendon. *Gesture: Visible action as utterance*. Cambridge University Press, 2004. 1
- [20] Michael Kipp. Gesture generation by imitation: From human behavior to computer character animation. Universal-Publishers, 2005. 2
- [21] Stefan Kopp, Brigitte Krenn, Stacy Marsella, Andrew N Marshall, Catherine Pelachaud, Hannes Pirker, Kristinn R Thórisson, and Hannes Vilhjálmsson. Towards a common

framework for multimodal generation: The behavior markup language. In Intelligent Virtual Agents: 6th International Conference, IVA 2006, Marina Del Rey, CA, USA, August 21-23, 2006. Proceedings 6, pages 205–217. Springer, 2006. 2

- [22] Taras Kucherenko, Patrik Jonell, Sanne Van Waveren, Gustav Eje Henter, Simon Alexandersson, Iolanda Leite, and Hedvig Kjellström. Gesticulator: A framework for semantically-aware speech-driven gesture generation. In Proceedings of the 2020 international conference on multimodal interaction, pages 242–250, 2020. 2
- [23] Taras Kucherenko, Patrik Jonell, Youngwoo Yoon, Pieter Wolfert, and Gustav Eje Henter. A large, crowdsourced evaluation of gesture generation systems on common data: The genea challenge 2020. In *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces*, pages 11– 21, 2021. 1
- [24] Alex Lascarides and Matthew Stone. A formal semantic analysis of gesture. *Journal of Semantics*, 26(4):393–449, 2009. 1
- [25] Jing Li, Di Kang, Wenjie Pei, Xuefei Zhe, Ying Zhang, Zhenyu He, and Linchao Bao. Audio2gestures: Generating diverse gestures from speech audio with conditional variational autoencoders. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 11293– 11302, 2021. 6
- [26] Ruilong Li, Shan Yang, David A Ross, and Angjoo Kanazawa. Ai choreographer: Music conditioned 3d dance generation with aist++. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 13401– 13412, 2021. 6
- [27] Yuanzhi Liang, Qianyu Feng, Linchao Zhu, Li Hu, Pan Pan, and Yi Yang. Seeg: Semantic energized co-speech gesture generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference* on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 10473– 10482, 2022. 2
- [28] Haiyang Liu, Naoya Iwamoto, Zihao Zhu, Zhengqing Li, You Zhou, Elif Bozkurt, and Bo Zheng. Disco: Disentangled implicit content and rhythm learning for diverse co-speech gestures synthesis. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM international conference on multimedia*, pages 3764–3773, 2022.
- [29] Haiyang Liu, Zihao Zhu, Naoya Iwamoto, Yichen Peng, Zhengqing Li, You Zhou, Elif Bozkurt, and Bo Zheng. Beat: A large-scale semantic and emotional multi-modal dataset for conversational gestures synthesis. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 612–630. Springer, 2022. 1, 5, 8
- [30] Haiyang Liu, Zihao Zhu, Giorgio Becherini, Yichen Peng, Mingyang Su, You Zhou, Xuefei Zhe, Naoya Iwamoto, Bo Zheng, and Michael J Black. Emage: Towards unified holistic co-speech gesture generation via expressive masked audio gesture modeling. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1144–1154, 2024. 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8
- [31] Xian Liu, Qianyi Wu, Hang Zhou, Yinghao Xu, Rui Qian, Xinyi Lin, Xiaowei Zhou, Wayne Wu, Bo Dai, and Bolei

Zhou. Learning hierarchical cross-modal association for cospeech gesture generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10462–10472, 2022. 2

- [32] Yifei Liu, Qiong Cao, Yandong Wen, Huaiguang Jiang, and Changxing Ding. Towards variable and coordinated holistic co-speech motion generation. In *Proceedings of* the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 1566–1576, 2024. 3, 6
- [33] Shunlin Lu, Ling-Hao Chen, Ailing Zeng, Jing Lin, Ruimao Zhang, Lei Zhang, and Heung-Yeung Shum. Humantomato: Text-aligned whole-body motion generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.12978, 2023. 2
- [34] Ziyang Ma, Zhisheng Zheng, Jiaxin Ye, Jinchao Li, Zhifu Gao, Shiliang Zhang, and Xie Chen. emotion2vec: Selfsupervised pre-training for speech emotion representation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.15185*, 2023. 5
- [35] Stacy Marsella, Yuyu Xu, Margaux Lhommet, Andrew Feng, Stefan Scherer, and Ari Shapiro. Virtual character performance from speech. In *Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIG-GRAPH/Eurographics symposium on computer animation*, pages 25–35, 2013. 2
- [36] Evonne Ng, Javier Romero, Timur Bagautdinov, Shaojie Bai, Trevor Darrell, Angjoo Kanazawa, and Alexander Richard. From audio to photoreal embodiment: Synthesizing humans in conversations. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1001–1010, 2024. 2
- [37] Aslı Özyürek, Roel M Willems, Sotaro Kita, and Peter Hagoort. On-line integration of semantic information from speech and gesture: Insights from event-related brain potentials. *Journal of cognitive neuroscience*, 19(4):605–616, 2007. 1
- [38] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021. 5
- [39] Manuel Rebol, Christian Gütl, and Krzysztof Pietroszek. Real-time gesture animation generation from speech for virtual human interaction. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 1–4, 2021. 2
- [40] Shuai Shen, Wenliang Zhao, Zibin Meng, Wanhua Li, Zheng Zhu, Jie Zhou, and Jiwen Lu. Difftalk: Crafting diffusion models for generalized talking head synthesis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.03786, 2(4):5, 2023. 2
- [41] Aaron Van Den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, et al. Neural discrete representation learning. Advances in neural information processing systems, 30, 2017. 3, 6
- [42] Hanwei Wu and Markus Flierl. Learning product codebooks using vector-quantized autoencoders for image retrieval. In 2019 IEEE Global Conference on Signal and Information Processing (GlobalSIP), pages 1–5. IEEE, 2019. 3
- [43] Jinbo Xing, Menghan Xia, Yuechen Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Jue Wang, and Tien-Tsin Wong. Codetalker: Speech-driven

3d facial animation with discrete motion prior. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 12780–12790, 2023. 8

- [44] Zunnan Xu, Yachao Zhang, Sicheng Yang, Ronghui Li, and Xiu Li. Chain of generation: Multi-modal gesture synthesis via cascaded conditional control. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 6387– 6395, 2024. 4
- [45] Sicheng Yang, Zilin Wang, Zhiyong Wu, Minglei Li, Zhensong Zhang, Qiaochu Huang, Lei Hao, Songcen Xu, Xiaofei Wu, Changpeng Yang, et al. Unifiedgesture: A unified gesture synthesis model for multiple skeletons. In *Proceedings* of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia, pages 1033–1044, 2023. 2
- [46] Sicheng Yang, Zhiyong Wu, Minglei Li, Zhensong Zhang, Lei Hao, Weihong Bao, Ming Cheng, and Long Xiao. Diffusestylegesture: Stylized audio-driven co-speech gesture generation with diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.04919, 2023. 2, 6, 8
- [47] Hongwei Yi, Hualin Liang, Yifei Liu, Qiong Cao, Yandong Wen, Timo Bolkart, Dacheng Tao, and Michael J Black. Generating holistic 3d human motion from speech. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 469–480, 2023. 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8
- [48] Youngwoo Yoon, Woo-Ri Ko, Minsu Jang, Jaeyeon Lee, Jaehong Kim, and Geehyuk Lee. Robots learn social skills: End-to-end learning of co-speech gesture generation for humanoid robots. In 2019 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), pages 4303–4309. IEEE, 2019. 2
- [49] Youngwoo Yoon, Bok Cha, Joo-Haeng Lee, Minsu Jang, Jaeyeon Lee, Jaehong Kim, and Geehyuk Lee. Speech gesture generation from the trimodal context of text, audio, and speaker identity. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 39 (6):1–16, 2020. 6
- [50] Neil Zeghidour, Alejandro Luebs, Ahmed Omran, Jan Skoglund, and Marco Tagliasacchi. Soundstream: An endto-end neural audio codec. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 30:495–507, 2021. 3
- [51] Yihao Zhi, Xiaodong Cun, Xuelin Chen, Xi Shen, Wen Guo, Shaoli Huang, and Shenghua Gao. Livelyspeaker: Towards semantic-aware co-speech gesture generation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pages 20807–20817, 2023. 2
- [52] Lingting Zhu, Xian Liu, Xuanyu Liu, Rui Qian, Ziwei Liu, and Lequan Yu. Taming diffusion models for audiodriven co-speech gesture generation. In *Proceedings of* the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 10544–10553, 2023. 2