

# GLOBAL WELL-POSEDNESS FOR THE LANDAU-LIFSHITZ-BARYAKHTAR EQUATION IN $\mathbb{R}^3$

FAN XU AND BIN LIU

**ABSTRACT.** This paper establishes the global well-posedness of the Landau-Lifshitz-Baryakhtar (LLBar) equation in the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The study first demonstrates the existence and uniqueness of global strong solutions using the weak compactness approach. Furthermore, the existence and uniqueness of classical solutions, as well as arbitrary smooth solutions, are derived through a bootstrap argument. The proofs for the existence of these three types of global solutions are based on Friedrichs mollifier approximation and energy estimates, with the structure of the LLBar equation playing a crucial role in the derivation of the results.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Statement of the problem.** The origins of ferromagnetism theory can be traced back to Weiss's seminal work in [9, 35], where he introduced the molecular field theory to explain the macroscopic magnetization of ferromagnetic materials. Subsequently, Landau and Lifshitz [22] formulated a dynamical theory for the magnetization of ferromagnetic materials, leading to the introduction of the celebrated Landau-Lifshitz (LL) equation. This equation describes the evolution of the spin magnetic moment in ferromagnetic systems, especially in the context of precession and nonlinear dynamics under external magnetic fields. Later, Gilbert [18] made a significant contribution by extending the theory through the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) equation, which incorporates dissipation effects through a damping term. The LLG equation provides a more detailed description of the evolution of the spin magnetic moment, particularly in the context of precession and energy dissipation under an external magnetic field. Moreover, Garanin [16] developed a thermodynamically consistent framework for spin dynamics and derived the Landau-Lifshitz-Bloch (LLB) equation. The LLB equation improves upon the LL and LLG models by offering a more accurate description of magnetic dynamics at high temperatures, especially near the Curie temperature, where thermal fluctuations become significant. Over the past several decades, the existence, uniqueness, and regularity of solutions to both the LLG and LLB equations have been the subject of extensive mathematical investigation, with notable references including [1, 10, 11, 14, 20, 21, 23–26, 30, 31] and others cited therein. To address experimental observations and microscopic calculations, including the non-local damping effects in magnetic metals and crystals or the unexpectedly high attenuation of short-wavelength magnons, Baryakhtar [4–6] extended both the LLG and LLB models. He introduced the Landau-Lifshitz-Baryakhtar (LLBar) equation, a fourth-order nonlinear parabolic equation, to better

---

*Key words and phrases.* Landau-Lifshitz-Baryakhtar equation; Well-posedness; Strong solution; Smooth solution.

capture these phenomena. The LLBar equation incorporates higher-order terms that account for non-local damping effects and the attenuation of high-frequency excitations, providing a more comprehensive description of the magnetic behavior in materials with complex dynamical properties. The dynamic evolution of its solutions is described by

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_t = \lambda_r \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} - \lambda_e \Delta \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} - \gamma \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} = \Delta \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{2\chi} (1 - |\mathbf{u}|^2) \mathbf{u}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where the unknown quantity  $\mathbf{u}(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  denotes the magnetization vector. The positive constants  $\lambda_r$ ,  $\lambda_e$ , and  $\gamma$  are the relativistic damping constant, the exchange damping constant, and the electron gyromagnetic ratio, respectively. The positive constant  $\chi$  is the magnetic susceptibility of the material. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\chi = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\lambda_r = \lambda_e = \gamma = 1$  in this paper. The notation  $\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}$  denotes the effective field, which consists of the external magnetic field, the demagnetizing field and some quantum mechanical effects, etc. For more details on the background of the LLG, LLB and LLBar equations, we refer to [2, 12, 13, 19, 29, 32, 34] and the references therein.

The mathematical analysis of the LLBar equation has recently been undertaken by Soenjaya and Tran [32], who proved the existence and uniqueness of global weak (strong) solutions in bounded domains with smooth boundaries using the classical Galerkin finite-dimensional approximation method. Building upon the analysis in bounded domains, we now extend the problem to the three-dimensional whole space, transitioning to the Cauchy problem. More specifically, in this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem for the following LLBar equation:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_t = -\Delta^2 \mathbf{u} - \Delta \mathbf{u} + 2(1 - |\mathbf{u}|^2) \mathbf{u} + 2\Delta(|\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{u} \times \Delta \mathbf{u}, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

We shall establish the existence and uniqueness of a global strong solution to system (1.2), along with the existence of classical and arbitrarily smooth solutions under appropriate regularity conditions on the initial data. The proofs of these results are framed within a unified approach, which combines Friedrichs mollifier approximation with energy estimates.

**1.2. Main results.** To begin with, let us give some notations that will be frequently used in the following argument. The inequality  $A \lesssim_{a,b,\dots} B$  means that there exists a positive constant  $C$  depending only on  $a, b, \dots$  such that  $A \leq CB$ , while  $A \asymp_{a,b,\dots} B$  indicates that there two positive constants  $C \leq C_1$  depending only on  $a, b, \dots$  such that  $CB \leq A \leq C_1 B$ .

Let  $\mathbb{L}^p := L^p(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$  be the space of  $p$ -th Lebesgue integrable functions. Given a Banach space  $X$ , the symbol  $X' := \mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{R})$  stands for its dual space. Let  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$  denotes the space of all  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued functions of class  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  with compact supports. Let  $\Lambda^s := (I - \Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}$  denotes the Bessel operator [3]. Let  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$  be a Hilbert space endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^s} = \|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^2} = \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $\hat{f}$  denotes the Fourier transform of a tempered distribution  $f$ . Let  $\mathbb{H}^s := H^s(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$ .

The main results can now be stated by the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Given any  $T > 0$ .*

(1) *If  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^2$ , then system (1.2) admits a unique global strong solution*

$$\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^2) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbb{H}^4),$$

*such that for any  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $\phi \in \mathbb{L}^2$*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{u}(t), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= (\mathbf{u}_0, \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \int_0^t (\Delta^2 \mathbf{u}, \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} ds - \int_0^t (\Delta \mathbf{u}, \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} ds \\ &+ 2 \int_0^t ((1 - |\mathbf{u}|^2) \mathbf{u}, \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} ds + 2 \int_0^t (\Delta(|\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} ds - \int_0^t (\mathbf{u} \times \Delta \mathbf{u}, \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

(2) *If  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^6$ , then system (1.2) admits a unique global classical solution*

$$\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathcal{C}^4(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0, T]; \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

(3) *If  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^{6+4m}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , then system (1.2) admits a unique global smooth solution*

$$\mathbf{u} \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{m+1} \mathcal{C}^k([0, T]; \mathcal{C}^{4(m+1-k)}(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

**Remark 1.2.** To the best of our knowledge, Theorem 1.1 provides the first mathematical analysis result for the Cauchy problem of the LLBar equation. In particular, conclusions (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.1 demonstrate the existence and uniqueness of a global classical solution, as well as arbitrary smooth solutions, under smooth large initial data, which was not addressed in previous initial-boundary value problems [32]. The methods used in this paper differ from those in previous literature on the Landau-Lifshitz equations.

**1.3. Organization.** This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we shall establish the existence and uniqueness of a global smooth solution to the approximated system (2.1). In Section 3, we shall derive uniform bounded estimates (independent of  $\epsilon$ ) for the solutions  $\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$  to the approximated system (2.1). In Section 4, we shall analyze the convergence of the approximate solutions  $\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$  to obtain local strong and local smooth solutions for the original system (1.2). In Section 5, we shall leverage the inherent properties of the equation to extend the local solutions to global solutions on the time interval  $[0, T]$ , and prove the uniqueness of the global solution, thereby completing the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 2. GLOBAL SOLVABILITY OF THE MODIFIED SYSTEM

Let us first define the Friedrichs mollifier. Given any radial function

$$\rho(|x|) \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \rho \geq 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho dx = 1,$$

define the mollification  $J_\epsilon f$  of functions  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , by

$$(J_\epsilon f)(x) := (\rho * f)(x) := \epsilon^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho\left(\frac{x-y}{\epsilon}\right) f(y) dy, \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

The regularizing operator  $J_\epsilon$  has the following well-known properties (cf. [33]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $J_\epsilon$  be the mollifier as defined above.*

(i) *Mollifier  $J_\epsilon$  commutes with distribution derivatives.*

(ii) *For all  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $J_\epsilon f \rightarrow f$  uniformly on any compact set  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and*

$$\|J_\epsilon f\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

(iii) *For all  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,*

$$(J_\epsilon f, g)_{L^2} = (f, J_\epsilon g)_{L^2}.$$

(iv) *For all  $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , and  $g \in H^l(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $l \geq 1$ ,*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \|J_\epsilon f - f\|_{H^s} = 0, \quad \|J_\epsilon g - g\|_{H^{l-1}} \leq C\epsilon \|g\|_{H^l}.$$

(v) *For all  $f \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\|J_\epsilon f\|_{H^{m+k}} \leq C_{m,k} \epsilon^{-k} \|f\|_{H^m}, \quad \|J_\epsilon D^k f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_k \epsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}-k} \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

We now consider the following modified system:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_t^\epsilon = -J_\epsilon[\Delta^2(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)] - J_\epsilon[\Delta(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)] + 2J_\epsilon[(1 - |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2)J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon] + 2J_\epsilon[\Delta(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)] \\ \quad - J_\epsilon[J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)], \\ \mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon = J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}_0. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

System (2.1) can be expressed as an ODE in the work space  $\mathbb{H}^s$ :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u}^\epsilon = F^\epsilon(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \\ \mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon = J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F^\epsilon(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon) := & -J_\epsilon[\Delta^2(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)] - J_\epsilon[\Delta(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)] + 2J_\epsilon[(1 - |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2)J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon] + 2J_\epsilon[\Delta(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)] \\ & - J_\epsilon[J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

The following lemma demonstrates the existence and uniqueness of a global smooth solution for the regularized system (2.2).

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let integer  $s \geq 10$ ,  $1 > \epsilon > 0$ , then system (2.2) admits a unique global solution  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^s)$ .*

**Proof.** For fixed  $\|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} < M$  and  $\|\mathbf{v}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} < M$ , by using Lemma 2.1 and Sobolev embedding  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|F^\epsilon(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon) - F^\epsilon(\mathbf{v}^\epsilon)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} \lesssim \|J_\epsilon^2 \Delta^2(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{v}^\epsilon)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} + \|J_\epsilon^2 \Delta(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{v}^\epsilon)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} + \|J_\epsilon^2(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{v}^\epsilon)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} \\
& + \|J_\epsilon(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - |J_\epsilon \mathbf{v}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{v}^\epsilon)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} + \|J_\epsilon \Delta(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - |J_\epsilon \mathbf{v}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{v}^\epsilon)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} \\
& + \|J_\epsilon[J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon) - J_\epsilon \mathbf{v}^\epsilon \times \Delta(J_\epsilon \mathbf{v}^\epsilon)]\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} \\
& \lesssim_s \epsilon^{-4} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{v}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} + \epsilon^{-2} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{v}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} + \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{v}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} + \epsilon^{-s} \| |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - |J_\epsilon \mathbf{v}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{v}^\epsilon \|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
& + \epsilon^{-(s+2)} \| |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - |J_\epsilon \mathbf{v}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{v}^\epsilon \|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \epsilon^{-s} \| J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon) - J_\epsilon \mathbf{v}^\epsilon \times \Delta(J_\epsilon \mathbf{v}^\epsilon) \|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
& \lesssim_{s,\epsilon} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{v}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} + \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} (\|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} + \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{v}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}) \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{v}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{v}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{v}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
& + \|J_\epsilon \Delta \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{v}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{v}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \|J_\epsilon \Delta(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{v}^\epsilon)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
& \lesssim_{s,\epsilon} (1 + \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{v}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} \lesssim_{M,s,\epsilon} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{v}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^s}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Thus, the mapping  $F^\epsilon(\cdot) : \mathbb{H}^s \mapsto \mathbb{H}^s$  is locally Lipschitz continuous. This allows us to apply the Picard theorem on Banach spaces to prove that system (2.2) admits a unique solution  $\mathbf{u}^\epsilon \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T_\epsilon]; \mathbb{H}^s)$  where  $T_\epsilon$  is the maximal existence time.

Next, we shall prove that  $T_\epsilon = \infty$ . Taking the  $L^2$  inner product of equation (2.1) with  $\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$  and using integration by parts, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + 2 \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 + 4 \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \cdot \nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + 2 \| |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| |\nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| \|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
& = \|\nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + 2 \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

By using the Gronwall lemma to (2.5), we conclude that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim_{\mathbf{u}_0, T} 1. \tag{2.6}$$

Moreover, we note that relation (2.4) with  $\mathbf{v}^\epsilon = 0$  gives the bound

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} \lesssim_{s,\epsilon} (1 + \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^s},$$

which together with (2.6) and Gronwall's lemma implies that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} \lesssim_{s,\epsilon, \mathbf{u}_0, T} 1.$$

Thus invoking the continuation property of ODEs on Banach spaces (cf. [28, Theorem 3.3]), we conclude that the solution exists globally in time. The proof is thus completed.  $\square$

### 3. UNIFORMLY BOUNDED ESTIMATE

This section is dedicated to obtaining a uniform bound (independent of  $\epsilon$ ) for the approximate solution  $\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$  in the relevant energy space, in preparation for proving the four conclusions in Theorem 1.1.

To begin with, we consider the case where the initial value belongs to  $\mathbb{H}^2$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^2$ . Then there exists  $T^* = T^*(\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}) > 0$  such that for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,  $T' \in (0, T^*)$  and  $t \in [0, T']$ ,*

$$\|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^4}^2 ds \lesssim_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}, T'} 1, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\|\mathbf{u}_t^\epsilon\|_{L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \lesssim_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}, T'} 1. \quad (3.2)$$

**Proof.** Similar to the proofs of (2.5) and (2.6), it is straightforward to obtain that for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 ds \lesssim_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}, T} 1. \quad (3.3)$$

Next, multiplying both sides of equation (2.1) by  $-\Delta \mathbf{u}^\epsilon$  and using integration by parts, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\nabla \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + 4 \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \cdot \nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + 2 \| |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| |\nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| \|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ & = \|\Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + 2 \|\nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - 2(\Delta(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)_{\mathbb{L}^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Here, regarding the above equation, we used the fact that

$$(J_\epsilon(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \Delta \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)_{\mathbb{L}^2} = (J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon, \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)_{\mathbb{L}^2} = 0.$$

Through direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(\Delta(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)_{\mathbb{L}^2} = 4 \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \cdot \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + 2 \| |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| |\Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| \|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ & + 8(\nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon (J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \cdot \nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)^\top, \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)_{\mathbb{L}^2} + 4(\|\nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon, \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)_{\mathbb{L}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.4) and using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality [15, 17]

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)}^4 \lesssim \|\nabla \Delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{5}{2}}, \quad f \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

it follows from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\nabla \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \| |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| |\Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| \|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ & \lesssim \|\Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \| |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| |\Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| \|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \\ & \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \varepsilon \| |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| |\Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| \|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 \\ & \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \varepsilon \| |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| |\Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| \|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{10}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

By choosing  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small, and using Lemma 2.1 as well as estimate (3.3), it follows from (3.5) that

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{u}^\epsilon(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds \leq \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}, T} + C \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{10} ds.$$

Thus, by using the Bihari inequality [7], there exists a unique positive constant

$$T^* \asymp \frac{1}{(\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}, T})^4},$$

such that for any  $T' < T^*$  and  $t \in [0, T']$ ,

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{u}^\epsilon(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds \lesssim_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}, T'} 1. \quad (3.6)$$

Next, multiplying both sides of equation (2.1) by  $\Delta^2 \mathbf{u}^\epsilon$  and using the Sobolev embedding  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{H^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad f \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad (3.7)$$

the Sobolev inequality  $\|f\|_{L^6} \lesssim \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}$ ,  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$  [8] and the fact that  $\|D^2 f\|_{L^2} \asymp \|\Delta f\|_{L^2}$ ,  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\Delta^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = \|\nabla \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + 2\|\Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - 2(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon, \Delta^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ & + 2(\Delta(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \Delta^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)_{\mathbb{L}^2} - (J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon, \Delta^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ & \leq \varepsilon \|\Delta^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\Delta \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^6 + C_\varepsilon \|\Delta(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ & + C_\varepsilon \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ & \leq \varepsilon \|\Delta^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\Delta \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^6 + C_\varepsilon \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^4 \|\Delta \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ & + C_\varepsilon \|\nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^4 \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \|\Delta \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ & \leq \varepsilon \|\Delta^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^6 + C_\varepsilon (1 + \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2) \|\Delta \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Therefore, by choosing sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ , applying the Gronwall lemma to (3.8), and using the estimates (3.3) and (3.6), we obtain the estimate (3.1).

Finally, for (3.2), by applying the estimate (3.1), we deduce from equation (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{u}_t^\epsilon\|_{L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \lesssim \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^4)}^2 + \| |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \|_{L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \\ & + \|\Delta(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)\|_{L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \\ & \lesssim_{T'} \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^4)}^2 + \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^1)}^6 + \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^2)}^6 + \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^2)}^4 \\ & \lesssim_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}, T'} 1. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 3.1 is thus completed.  $\square$

In order to ultimately obtain a classical solution, and even any smooth solution, we need to obtain higher-order uniform energy estimates. The detailed result is as follows.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^k$  where the integer  $k \geq 3$ . Then there exists  $T^* = T^*(\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}) > 0$  such that for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,  $T' \in (0, T^*)$  and  $t \in [0, T']$ ,*

$$\|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 + \int_0^t \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^{k+2}}^2 ds \lesssim_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}, T'} 1, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\|\mathbf{u}_t^\epsilon\|_{L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^{k-2})}^2 \lesssim_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}, T'} 1. \quad (3.10)$$

**Proof.** Multiplying both sides of equation (2.1) by  $\Lambda^{2k}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$  and using integration by parts, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\Delta \Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &= \|\nabla \Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + 2\|\Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - 2(\Lambda^k(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &+ 2(\Lambda^k(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \Delta \Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)_{\mathbb{L}^2} + (\Lambda^k(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \nabla \Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)_{\mathbb{L}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|\Lambda^k \cdot\|_{L^2} \asymp \|\cdot\|_{H^k}$  and

$$\|\nabla \Lambda^k \cdot\|_{L^2}^2 = -(\Lambda^k \cdot, \Delta \Lambda^k \cdot)_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon \|\Delta \Lambda^k \cdot\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\Lambda^k \cdot\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.11)$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 + \|\Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 \lesssim \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 + \| |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \|_{\mathbb{H}^k} \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^k} \\ &+ \| |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 + |(\Lambda^k(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \nabla \Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)_{\mathbb{L}^2}| \\ &:= \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 + A_1 + A_2 + A_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

For  $A_1$ , by using the Moser estimate [3]

$$\|fg\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{H^s} + \|g\|_{L^\infty} \|f\|_{H^s}, \quad f, g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad s \in \mathbb{N},$$

and inequality (3.7), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &\lesssim (\|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \cdot J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^k} \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} + \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}) \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^k} \\ &\lesssim \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 \lesssim \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Similarly, we have

$$A_2 \lesssim \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^4 \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 \lesssim \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2. \quad (3.14)$$

For  $A_3$ , by using the fact

$$\Lambda^k(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon) \asymp \Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon + J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times (\nabla \Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon) + C_k \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Lambda^i J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times (\nabla \Lambda^{k-i} J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon),$$

the Sobolev embeddings  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $p \in [2, 6]$ , and the estimate (3.11), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A_3 &\lesssim |(\Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon, \nabla \Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)_{\mathbb{L}^2}| + C_k \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |(\Lambda^i J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times (\nabla \Lambda^{k-i} J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \nabla \Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)_{\mathbb{L}^2}| \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\nabla \Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &+ C_k \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|\Lambda^i J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^3} \|\nabla \Lambda^{k-i} J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\nabla \Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \|\nabla \Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^3}^2 \|\Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_{\varepsilon, k} \|\nabla \Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \|\Lambda^k J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \|\Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 + C_{\varepsilon, k} \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^{k+1}}^2 \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$



Plugging (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15) into (3.12) and choosing  $\varepsilon$  small enough, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 + \|\Delta J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 \\ & \lesssim_k (1 + \|J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + \|J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \|J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + \|J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^{k+1}}^2) \|J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Note that inequality (3.16) holds for any integer  $k \geq 3$ . First, let  $k = 3$ , and using the Gronwall lemma and the uniform estimate (3.1), we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^3}^2 + \int_0^t \|J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^5}^2 ds \lesssim_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^3}, T'} 1.$$

Furthermore, through a bootstrap argument, we increment  $k$  step by step and repeatedly apply the Gronwall lemma to inequality (3.16), thereby obtaining the estimate (3.9).

Regarding (3.10), it follows from (2.1) and Moser estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_t^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^{k-2}}^2 & \lesssim \|J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{k+2}}^2 + \| |J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}|^2 J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u} \|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 + \|J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u} \times \Delta J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{k-2}}^2 \\ & \lesssim_k \|J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{k+2}}^2 + \|J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^4 \|J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 + \|J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^4. \end{aligned}$$

This combined with (3.9) means that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_t^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^{k-2})}^2 & \lesssim_k \|J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^{k+2})}^2 + \|J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^2)}^4 \|J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^k)}^2 + \|J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^k)}^4 \\ & \lesssim_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}, T'} 1. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of lemma 3.2 is thus completed.  $\square$

#### 4. LOCAL EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS

This section is dedicated to obtaining the local strong (smooth) solutions to the original system by taking the limit as  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ , with the help of the uniform estimates from Section 3. In addition, we derive the corresponding blow-up criterion, which prepares for the subsequent proof of the global existence of the solutions.

**4.1. Local strong solutions.** Applying the uniform boundedness estimates (3.1) and (3.2), and using the Banach-Alaoglu theorem and the uniqueness of limit, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon & \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly-star in } L^\infty(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^2), \\ \frac{d}{dt} J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon & \rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{L}^2), \\ J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon & \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^4). \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Since  $\mathbb{H}^4 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{loc}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{-s}$  for some  $s > 4$ , by using the Aubin-Lions lemma we see from (4.1) that

$$J_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \text{ in } L_w^2(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^4) \cap L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{H}_{loc}^3). \quad (4.2)$$

Moreover, invoking the Fatou lemma, it follows that

$$\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^4}^2 ds \lesssim_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}, T'} 1, \quad \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \lesssim_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}, T'} 1. \quad (4.3)$$

Let

$$F(\mathbf{u}) := -\Delta^2 \mathbf{u} - \Delta \mathbf{u} + 2(1 - |\mathbf{u}|^2)\mathbf{u} + 2\Delta(|\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{u} \times \Delta \mathbf{u}.$$

We have the following convergence result.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Under the same assumptions as Lemma 3.1, for any  $t \in [0, T']$  and  $\phi \in \mathbb{L}^2$ , it follows that*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} (\mathbf{u}^\epsilon(t), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} - (\mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon, \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \int_0^t (F^\epsilon(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon(s)), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} ds \\ &= (\mathbf{u}(t), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} - (\mathbf{u}_0, \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \int_0^t (F(\mathbf{u}(s)), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where  $F^\epsilon(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon)$  is defined by (2.3). Moreover, the solution  $\mathbf{u}$  satisfies the following regularity

$$\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}([0, T']; \mathbb{H}^2) \cap L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^4).$$

**Proof.** By using the fact  $\mathbf{u}^\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{u}$  weakly-star in  $L^\infty(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^2)$  and Lemma 2.1, it is obviously that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} (\mathbf{u}^\epsilon(t), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} = (\mathbf{u}(t), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} \text{ and } \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} (\mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon, \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2}.$$

Since  $J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{u}$  weakly in  $L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^4)$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1 and (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^t (J_\epsilon \Delta^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon(s), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} - (\Delta^2 \mathbf{u}(s), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} ds \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_0^t (\Delta^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon(s), J_\epsilon \phi - \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} ds \right| + \left| \int_0^t (\Delta^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon(s), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} - (\Delta^2 \mathbf{u}(s), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} ds \right| \\ & \leq C_{T'} \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^4)} \|J_\epsilon \phi - \phi\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \left| \int_0^t (\Delta^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon(s), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} - (\Delta^2 \mathbf{u}(s), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} ds \right| \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \searrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

For the remaining terms, we shall focus on proving the following two convergence results

$$\lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \int_0^t (J_\epsilon \Delta (|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} ds = \int_0^t (\Delta (|\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} ds, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \int_0^t (J_\epsilon (J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} ds = \int_0^t (\mathbf{u} \times \Delta \mathbf{u}, \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} ds, \quad (4.6)$$

and the proofs for the other terms are similar and therefore omitted. For every  $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , there exists  $\phi_h \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$  such that  $\|\phi - \phi_h\|_{L^2} \leq h$  for  $h > 0$ . Moreover, for any  $\phi_h \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , there exists  $d > 0$  such that  $\text{supp } \phi_h$  is a compact subset of  $\mathcal{O}_d$ . Thus by using the triangle

inequality and noting that  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is a Banach algebra, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(J_\epsilon \Delta(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} - (\Delta(|\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2}| \\
& \leq |(\Delta(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), J_\epsilon \phi - \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2}| + |(\Delta(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}), \phi - \phi_h)_{\mathbb{L}^2}| \\
& \quad + |(\Delta(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}), \phi_h)_{\mathbb{L}^2}| \tag{4.7} \\
& \lesssim \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^3 \|J_\epsilon \phi - \phi\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + (\|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^3 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^3) \|\phi - \phi_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
& \quad + (\|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2(\mathcal{O}_d)}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2(\mathcal{O}_d)}^2) \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2(\mathcal{O}_d)} \|\phi_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{O}_d)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by using the estimates (3.1) and (4.3) and applying the convergence result(4.2), we see from (4.7) that

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \left| \int_0^t (J_\epsilon \Delta(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} - (\Delta(|\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} ds \right| \leq C_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}, T'} h,$$

which combined with the arbitrariness of  $h$  implies that (4.5) holds. Similarly, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(J_\epsilon(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} - (\mathbf{u} \times \Delta \mathbf{u}, \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2}| \\
& \leq |(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon, J_\epsilon \phi - \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2}| + |(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u} \times \Delta \mathbf{u}, \phi - \phi_h)_{\mathbb{L}^2}| \\
& \quad + |(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u} \times \Delta \mathbf{u}, \phi_h)_{\mathbb{L}^2}| \\
& \lesssim \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 \|J_\epsilon \phi - \phi\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + (\|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2) \|\phi - \phi_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
& \quad + (\|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2(\mathcal{O}_d)} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2(\mathcal{O}_d)}) \|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2(\mathcal{O}_d)} \|\phi_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{O}_d)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By taking the limit, we have

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \left| \int_0^t (J_\epsilon(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} - (\mathbf{u} \times \Delta \mathbf{u}, \phi)_{\mathbb{L}^2} ds \right| \leq C_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}, T'} h,$$

which implies that (4.6) holds. Combining the above convergence results, we finally obtain that the solution  $\mathbf{u}$  satisfies (4.4). Moreover, according to (4.1), we see that  $\mathbf{u} \in L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{H}^4)$  and  $\mathbf{u}_t \in L^2(0, T'; \mathbb{L}^2)$ . Thus by using Lions-Magenes lemma [27], it follows that  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}([0, T']; [\mathbb{L}^2, \mathbb{H}^4]_{\frac{1}{2}}) = \mathcal{C}([0, T']; \mathbb{H}^2)$ . The proof of Proposition 4.1 is thus completed.  $\square$

Based on the construction in Lemma 3.1, we know that the existence time  $T'$  of the solution  $\mathbf{u}$  is controlled by

$$T' < \frac{C}{(\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}, T})^4}.$$

At time  $T'$ , choose  $\mathbf{u}(T', x)$  as initial data for a new solution and repeat the process by continuing the solution on a time interval  $[T', T'']$  for which

$$T'' < \frac{C}{(\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(T')\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_{\|\mathbf{u}(T')\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}, T})^4}.$$

Obviously the process can be continued either for any time  $T > 0$  or until  $\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}$  becomes infinite. Therefore, we have the following blow-up criterion.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Under the same assumptions as Lemma 3.1, there exists a maximal time of existence  $T_M$  and a strong solution  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}([0, T_M]; \mathbb{H}^2) \cap L^2(0, T_M; \mathbb{H}^4)$  to the system 1.2. Moreover, if  $T_M \leq T$  then necessarily  $\lim_{t \nearrow T_M} \|\nabla u(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} = \infty$ .*

**4.2. Local smooth solutions.** Based on the uniform bound provided by Lemma 3.2, we shall prove that the sequence  $\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{C}([0, T']; \mathbb{L}^2)$  when  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^k$ ,  $k \geq 6$ . Specifically, we have the following result.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^k$  where the integer  $k \geq 6$ . The family  $\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$  forms a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{C}([0, T']; \mathbb{L}^2)$ . In particular, for all  $\epsilon$  and  $\epsilon' > 0$ , we have*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T']} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}, T'} \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon'\}.$$

**Proof.** By equation (2.1), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= -(J_\epsilon^2 \Delta^2 \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'}^2 \Delta^2 \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}, \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad - (J_\epsilon^2 \Delta \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'}^2 \Delta \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}, \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} + 2(J_\epsilon^2 \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'}^2 \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}, \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad - 2(J_\epsilon(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon) - J_{\epsilon'}(|J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}|^2 J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + 2(J_\epsilon \Delta(|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon) - J_{\epsilon'} \Delta(|J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}|^2 J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad - (J_\epsilon(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon) - J_{\epsilon'}(J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'} \times \Delta J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &:= K_1 + \dots + K_6. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

By integrating by parts and using Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= -((J_\epsilon^2 - J_{\epsilon'}^2) \Delta^2 \mathbf{u}^\epsilon, \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \|J_{\epsilon'} \Delta(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\leq \|(J_\epsilon^2 - J_{\epsilon'}^2) \Delta^2 \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \|J_{\epsilon'} \Delta(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim (\|J_\epsilon \Delta^2 \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \Delta^2 \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\Delta^2 \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'} \Delta^2 \mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}) \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \|J_{\epsilon'} \Delta(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon'\} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^6} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \|J_{\epsilon'} \Delta(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by using Young's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 + K_3 &= -((J_\epsilon^2 - J_{\epsilon'}^2) \Delta \mathbf{u}^\epsilon, \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} - (J_{\epsilon'}^2 \Delta(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + ((J_\epsilon^2 - J_{\epsilon'}^2) \mathbf{u}^\epsilon, \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|J_{\epsilon'}(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon'\} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^3} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + C_\epsilon \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|J_{\epsilon'} \Delta(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For  $K_4$ , noting  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is a Banach algebra and  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_4 &= -2((J_\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'}) (|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad - 2(J_{\epsilon'} (|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 (J_\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'}) \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad - 2(J_{\epsilon'} (|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| (|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| - |J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}|)) J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}, \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad - 2(J_{\epsilon'} (|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| (|J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}| - |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|)) J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}, \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad - 2(J_{\epsilon'} (|J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}| (|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon| - |J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}|)) J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}, \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad - 2(J_{\epsilon'} (|J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}| (|J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}| - |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|)) J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}, \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\lesssim \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon'\} (\|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^3 + \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 \|\mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2} + \|\mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}) \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + (\|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2) \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $K_5$ , by integrating by parts, applying the basic equality  $|f|^2 - |g|^2 = f \cdot (f - g) + (f - g) \cdot g$  and using Young's inequality and , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
K_5 &= 2((J_\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'}) \Delta (|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + 2(J_{\epsilon'} (|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - |J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}|^2 J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}), \Delta (\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}))_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&= 2((J_\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'}) \Delta (|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + 2(J_{\epsilon'} \Delta (|J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 (J_\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'}) \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + 2(J_{\epsilon'} \Delta ((J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \cdot (J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})) J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + 2((J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \cdot (J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'} - J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)) J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}, J_{\epsilon'} \Delta (\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}))_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + 2(J_{\epsilon'} \Delta ((J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'} \cdot (J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})) J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + 2((J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'} \cdot (J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'} - J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon)) J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}, J_{\epsilon'} \Delta (\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}))_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\lesssim \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon'\} (\| |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \|_{\mathbb{H}^3} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \| |J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 (J_\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'}) \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \|_{\mathbb{H}^3} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + \| (J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \cdot (J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})) J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'} \|_{\mathbb{H}^2} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \varepsilon \| J_{\epsilon'} \Delta (\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}) \|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C_\varepsilon \| J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \| J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'} \|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \| (J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'} \cdot (J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})) J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'} \|_{\mathbb{H}^2} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + C_\varepsilon \| J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'} \|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^4 \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\lesssim \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon'\} (\|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^3}^3 + \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^3} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2} \|\mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2} + \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^3} \|\mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2) \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + C_\varepsilon (\|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^4 + \|\mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^4) \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \varepsilon \| J_{\epsilon'} \Delta (\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}) \|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $K_6$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
K_6 &= -((J_\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'})(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad - (J_{\epsilon'}(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'} \times \Delta J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&= -((J_\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'})(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times \Delta J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad - (J_{\epsilon'}(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times (J_\epsilon \Delta \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'} \Delta \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad - (J_{\epsilon'}(J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \times (J_{\epsilon'} \Delta \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'} \Delta \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad - (J_{\epsilon'}((J_\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}) \times J_{\epsilon'} \Delta \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad - (J_{\epsilon'}((J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - J_{\epsilon'} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}) \times J_{\epsilon'} \Delta \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\lesssim \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon'\} (\|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^3}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^3} \|\mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}) \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + C_\epsilon (\|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{H}^4}) \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|J_{\epsilon'} \Delta (\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'})\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging the estimates for  $K_1$ - $K_6$  into (4.8) and choosing  $\varepsilon$  small enough, we infer from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim C_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^6}, T'} \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon'\} + C_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^6}, T'} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}. \quad (4.9)$$

Thus applying the Gronwall lemma to (4.9), we obtain the result. The proof is thus completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^k$ . If  $k = 6$ , then the sequence  $\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$  strongly converges to  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathcal{C}([0, T']; \mathcal{C}^4(\mathbb{R}^3))$ . If  $k = 6 + 4m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , then  $\mathbf{u} \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{m+1} \mathcal{C}^k([0, T']; \mathcal{C}^{4(m+1-k)}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ . Moreover, there exists a maximal time of existence  $T_M$  and a solution  $\mathbf{u} \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{m+1} \mathcal{C}^k([0, T_M]; \mathcal{C}^{4(m+1-k)}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  when  $k = 6 + 4m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Particularly, if  $T_M \leq T$  then  $\lim_{t \nearrow T_M} \|\nabla u(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} = \infty$ .*

**Proof.** As a direct corollary of Lemma 4.3, there exists a value  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}([0, T']; \mathbb{L}^2)$  such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T']} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}, T'} \epsilon. \quad (4.10)$$

Moreover, by using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality, there exists a constant  $C_k > 0$  such that for all  $f \in H^k(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $0 < k' < k$ ,

$$\|f\|_{H^{k'}} \leq C_k \|f\|_{H^k}^{\frac{k'}{k}} \|f\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{k'}{k}}. \quad (4.11)$$

By choosing  $\frac{11}{2} < k' < k$ , we see from Lemma 3.2, (4.10) and (4.11) that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T']} \|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{k'}} \lesssim_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}, T'} \epsilon^{1-\frac{k'}{k}}.$$

Since  $H^{k'}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , we conclude that  $\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$  strongly converges to  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathcal{C}([0, T']; \mathcal{C}^4(\mathbb{R}^3))$  when  $k = 6$ . Also, from the equation (2.1) so that  $\mathbf{u}_t^\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_t$  strongly in  $\mathcal{C}([0, T']; \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ . In particular,  $\mathbf{u}$  is a classical solution of the LLBar equation. Additionally, note that  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is a Banach algebra for  $s > \frac{3}{2}$ . Therefore, by the characteristics of the LLBar equation itself and a bootstrap argument, it follows that  $\mathbf{u} \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{m+1} \mathcal{C}^k([0, T']; \mathcal{C}^{4(m+1-k)}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  when

$k = 6 + 4m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . The blow-up criterion is the same as in Corollary 4.2. The proof is completed.  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

5.1. **Existence of global solutions.** Based on Corollary 4.2 in Section 4, we know that there exists a maximal time  $T_M$  such that for  $t \in [0, T_M)$ , the equation

$$\mathbf{u}_t = -\Delta^2 \mathbf{u} - \Delta \mathbf{u} + 2(1 - |\mathbf{u}|^2)\mathbf{u} + 2\Delta(|\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{u} \times \Delta \mathbf{u} \quad (5.1)$$

holds almost everywhere with respect to  $(t, x) \in [0, T_M) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ . We shall fully utilize the structural features of the LLBar equation itself to obtain the global solution. Specifically, taking the  $\mathbb{L}^2$  inner product of both sides of equation (5.1) with respect to  $|\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 = (\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} - \Delta \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}, 2|\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u})_{\mathbb{L}^2}, \quad (5.2)$$

where  $\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} = \Delta \mathbf{u} + 2(1 - |\mathbf{u}|^2)\mathbf{u}$  comes from (1.1). Moreover, taking the  $\mathbb{L}^2$  inner product of both sides of equation (5.1) with respect to  $-\Delta \mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{u}$ , respectively, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = (\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} - \Delta \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}, -\Delta \mathbf{u})_{\mathbb{L}^2}, \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = (\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} - \Delta \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}, 2\mathbf{u})_{\mathbb{L}^2}. \quad (5.4)$$

By combining (5.1), (5.2), and (5.4), and noting the definition of  $\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) &= (\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} - \Delta \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}, -\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}})_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= -\|\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}\|_{H^1}^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Based on (5.4) and a discussion similar to (2.5), it is not difficult to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

This combined with (5.5) implies that for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^3}^2 ds \leq C_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}, T}.$$

Moreover, similar to the uniform bounded estimates in Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, it is not difficult to prove that for any  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 + \int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{k+2}}^2 ds + \int_0^t \left\| \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^{k-2}}^2 ds \leq C_{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}, T}.$$

Thus, by using the blow-up criterion in Corollary 4.2 and Corollary 4.4, we deduce that for any  $T > 0$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^2) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbb{H}^4)$  when  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^2$ , and  $\mathbf{u} \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{m+1} \mathcal{C}^k([0, T]; \mathcal{C}^{4(m+1-k)}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  when  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^{6+4m}$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . The existence of global strong solutions, classical solutions, and arbitrary smooth solutions is thus proved.

**5.2. Uniqueness of global solutions.** The result on the uniqueness of the solution is as follows.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{u}_1$  and  $\mathbf{u}_2$  be two solutions of problem (1.2) with the same initial data  $\mathbf{u}(0) \in \mathbb{H}^k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ . Then,  $\mathbf{u}_1 \equiv \mathbf{u}_2$  in  $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^k)$ .*

**Proof.** Based on the existence proofs of solutions in Sections 3 and 4, we know that  $\mathbf{u}_1$  and  $\mathbf{u}_2$  satisfy the following space-time regularity:

$$\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^k) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbb{H}^{k+2}) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathbb{H}^{k-2}), \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (5.6)$$

Let  $\mathbf{u}^* := \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2$ . Then  $\mathbf{u}^*$  satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u}^* + \Delta^2 \mathbf{u}^* \\ &= -\Delta \mathbf{u}^* + 2\mathbf{u}^* - 2(|\mathbf{u}_1|^2 \mathbf{u}_1 - |\mathbf{u}_2|^2 \mathbf{u}_2) + 2\Delta(|\mathbf{u}_1|^2 \mathbf{u}_1 - |\mathbf{u}_2|^2 \mathbf{u}_2) - \mathbf{u}^* \times \Delta \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2 \times \Delta \mathbf{u}^*, \end{aligned}$$

with initial data  $\mathbf{u}^*(0) = 0$ . Taking the  $\mathbb{L}^2$  inner product of both sides of the above equation with  $\Lambda^{2k} \mathbf{u}^*$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\Delta \Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &= \|\nabla \Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + 2\|\Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - 2(\Lambda^k(|\mathbf{u}_1|^2 \mathbf{u}_1 - |\mathbf{u}_2|^2 \mathbf{u}_2), \Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*)_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &+ 2(\Lambda^k(|\mathbf{u}_1|^2 \mathbf{u}_1 - |\mathbf{u}_2|^2 \mathbf{u}_2), \Delta \Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*)_{\mathbb{L}^2} - (\Lambda^k(\mathbf{u}^* \times \Delta \mathbf{u}_1), \Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*)_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &+ (\Lambda^k(\mathbf{u}_2 \times \nabla \mathbf{u}^*), \nabla \Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*)_{\mathbb{L}^2} := L_1 + \dots + L_6. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

By integration by parts and Young's inequality, it follows that

$$L_1 + L_2 \leq \varepsilon \|\Delta \Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

Noting that  $H^k(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is a Banach algebra and  $\|\Lambda^k \cdot\|_{L^2} \asymp \|\cdot\|_{H^k}$ , we have

$$L_3 \lesssim \| |\mathbf{u}_1|^2 \mathbf{u}_1 - |\mathbf{u}_2|^2 \mathbf{u}_2 \|_{\mathbb{H}^k} \|\mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{H}^k} \lesssim (\|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_2\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2) \|\mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2.$$

Similarly, by Young's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} L_4 &\leq \varepsilon \|\Delta \Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \| |\mathbf{u}_1|^2 \mathbf{u}_1 - |\mathbf{u}_2|^2 \mathbf{u}_2 \|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\Delta \Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^4 + \|\mathbf{u}_2\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^4) \|\mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For  $L_5$ , it follows that

$$L_5 \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{\mathbb{H}^{k+2}} \|\mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2.$$

For  $L_6$ , by using the fact

$$\Lambda^k(\mathbf{u}_2 \times \nabla \mathbf{u}^*) \asymp \Lambda^k \mathbf{u}_2 \times \nabla \mathbf{u}^* + \mathbf{u}_2 \times (\nabla \Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*) + C_k \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Lambda^i \mathbf{u}_2 \times (\nabla \Lambda^{k-i} \mathbf{u}^*),$$



and the Sobolev embeddings  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_6 &\lesssim |(\Lambda^k \mathbf{u}_2 \times \nabla \mathbf{u}^*, \nabla \Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*)_{\mathbb{L}^2}| + C_k \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |(\Lambda^i \mathbf{u}_2 \times (\nabla \Lambda^{k-i} \mathbf{u}^*), \nabla \Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*)_{\mathbb{L}^2}| \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^k \mathbf{u}_2\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\nabla \Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + C_k \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|\Lambda^i \mathbf{u}_2\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\nabla \Lambda^{k-i} \mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\nabla \Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon \|\nabla \Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_{\varepsilon,k} \|\mathbf{u}_2\|_{\mathbb{H}^{k+2}}^2 \|\mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 \\
&\leq \varepsilon \|\Delta \Lambda^k \mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_{\varepsilon,k} (1 + \|\mathbf{u}_2\|_{\mathbb{H}^{k+2}}^2) \|\mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging the estimates for  $L_1$ - $L_6$  into (5.7) and choosing  $\varepsilon$  small enough, it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 + \|\Delta \mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2 \lesssim_k (1 + \|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^4 + \|\mathbf{u}_2\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^4 + \|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{\mathbb{H}^{k+2}}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_2\|_{\mathbb{H}^{k+2}}^2) \|\mathbf{u}^*\|_{\mathbb{H}^k}^2. \quad (5.8)$$

Noting (5.6) and applying the Gronwall lemma to (5.8), we derive that  $\mathbf{u}^* = 0$  in  $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^k)$ . The proof is thus completed.  $\square$

Based on the existence of global solutions, combined with the uniqueness result in Proposition 5.1, we have thus completed the proof of Theorem 1.1.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12231008).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. Alouges and A. Soyeur, *On global weak solutions for landau-lifshitz equations: existence and nonuniqueness*, *Nonlinear Anal* **18** (1992), no. 11, 1071–1084.
- [2] U. Atxitia, D. Hinzke, and U. Nowak, *Fundamentals and applications of the landau-lifshitz–bloch equation*, *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics* **50** (2016), no. 3, 033003.
- [3] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin, and R. Danchin, *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Vol. 343, Springer, 2011.
- [4] V. G. Baryakhtar, *Phenomenological description of relaxation processes in magnets*, *Zhurnal Eksperimental'noi i Teoreticheskoi Fiziki* **87** (1984), no. 4, 1501–1508.
- [5] V. G. Baryakhtar and A. G. Danilevich, *The phenomenological theory of magnetization relaxation*, *Low Temperature Physics* **39** (2013), no. 12, 993–1007.
- [6] V. G. Baryakhtar, B. A. Ivanov, A. L. Sukstanskii, and E. Y. Melikhov, *Soliton relaxation in magnets*, *Physical Review B* **56** (1997), no. 2, 619.
- [7] Imre Bihari, *A generalization of a lemma of bellman and its application to uniqueness problems of differential equations*, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica* **7** (1956), no. 1, 81–94.
- [8] H. Brézis, *Functional analysis, sobolev spaces and partial differential equations*, Vol. 2, Springer, 2011.
- [9] W. F. Brown, *Micromagnetics*, Interscience tracts on physics and astronomy, Interscience Publishers, 1963.
- [10] G. Carbou and P. Fabrie, *Regular solutions for landau-lifschitz equation in a bounded domain.*, *Differential and integral equations* **14** (2001), no. 2, 213–229.
- [11] ———, *Regular solutions for landau-lifschitz equation in  $\mathbb{R}^3$* , *Communications in Applied Analysis* **5** (2001), no. 1, 17–30.

- [12] M. Dvornik, A. Vansteenkiste, and B. Van Waeyenberge, *Micromagnetic modeling of anisotropic damping in magnetic nanoelements*, Physical Review B-Condensed Matter and Materials Physics **88** (2013), no. 5, 054427.
- [13] ———, *Thermodynamically self-consistent non-stochastic micromagnetic model for the ferromagnetic state*, Applied Physics Letters **105** (2014), no. 16.
- [14] M. Feischl and T. Tran, *Existence of regular solutions of the landau–lifshitz–gilbert equation in 3d with natural boundary conditions*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis **49** (2017), no. 6, 4470–4490.
- [15] E. Gagliardo, *Ulteriori proprietà di alcune classi di funzioni in più variabili*, Ricerche Mat. **8** (1959), 24–51.
- [16] D. A. Garanin, *Fokker-planck and landau–lifshitz–bloch equations for classical ferromagnets*, Physical Review B **55** (1997), no. 5, 3050.
- [17] D. Gilbarg and N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Vol. 224, Springer, 1977.
- [18] T. L. Gilbert, *A lagrangian formulation of the gyromagnetic equation of the magnetization field*, Phys. Rev. **100** (1955), 1243.
- [19] B. Guo and S. Ding, *Landau–lifshitz equations*, Vol. 1, World Scientific, 2008.
- [20] Susana Gutiérrez and André De Laire, *The cauchy problem for the landau–lifshitz–gilbert equation in bmo and self-similar solutions*, Nonlinearity **32** (2019), no. 7, 2522.
- [21] Yuxun He and Huaqiao Wang, *The landau–lifshitz–bloch equation in the thin film*, Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics **25** (2023), no. 3, 54.
- [22] L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, *On the theory of the dispersion of magnetic permeability in ferromagnetic bodies*, Phys. Z. Sowjetunion **8** (1935), no. 153, 101–114.
- [23] K. N. Le, *Weak solutions of the landau–lifshitz–bloch equation*, Journal of Differential Equations **261** (2016), no. 12, 6699–6717.
- [24] Qiaoxin Li, Boling Guo, Fengxia Liu, and Wuming Liu, *Weak and strong solutions to landau–lifshitz–bloch–maxwell equations with polarization*, Journal of Differential Equations **286** (2021), 47–83.
- [25] Qiaoxin Li, Boling Guo, and Ming Zeng, *Smooth solutions of the landau–lifshitz–bloch equation*, Journal of Applied Analysis & Computation **11** (2021), no. 6, 2713–2721.
- [26] Junyu Lin, Baishun Lai, and Changyou Wang, *Global well-posedness of the landau–lifshitz–gilbert equation for initial data in morrey spaces*, Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations **54** (2015), 665–692.
- [27] J.L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes (vii)*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata **63** (1963), no. 1, 201–224.
- [28] A.J. Majda, A.L. Bertozzi, and A. Ogawa, *Vorticity and incompressible flow*, Vol. 55, Cambridge texts in applied mathematics, 2002.
- [29] I. D. Mayergoyz, G. Bertotti, and C. Serpico, *Nonlinear magnetization dynamics in nanosystems*, Elsevier, 2009.
- [30] Yi Peng and Huaqiao Wang, *Strong solutions of the landau–lifshitz–bloch equation in besov space*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.03056 (2022).
- [31] Xueke Pu and Lian Yang, *Global smooth solutions for the landau–lifshitz–bloch equation with helicity term*, Applied Mathematics Letters **133** (2022), 108215.
- [32] A. L. Soenjaya and T. Tran, *Global solutions of the landau–lifshitz–baryakhtar equation*, Journal of Differential Equations **371** (2023), 191–230.
- [33] M.E. Taylor, *Partial differential equations. 1, basic theory*, Springer, 1996.
- [34] C. Vogler, C. Abert, F. Bruckner, and D. Suess, *Landau–lifshitz–bloch equation for exchange-coupled grains*, Physical Review B **90** (2014), no. 21, 214431.
- [35] P. Weiss, *L’hypothèse du champ moléculaire et la propriété ferromagnétique*, Journal de Physique Théorique et Appliquée **6** (1907), no. 1, 661–690.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF ENGINEERING MODELING AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, HUAZHONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, WUHAN 430074, HUBEI, P.R. CHINA.

*Email address:* d202280019@hust.edu.cn (F. Xu)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF ENGINEERING MODELING AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, HUAZHONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, WUHAN 430074, HUBEI, P.R. CHINA.

*Email address:* binliu@mail.hust.edu.cn (B. Liu)