

CompactFlowNet: Efficient Real-time Optical Flow Estimation on Mobile Devices

Andrei Znobishchev
Picsart AI Research (PAIR)
anznobishchev@gmail.com

Valerii Filev
Picsart AI Research (PAIR)
valerii.filev@picsart.com

Oleg Kudashev
Picsart AI Research (PAIR)
oleg.kudashev@picsart.com

Nikita Orlov
Picsart AI Research (PAIR)
nikita.orlov@picsart.com

Humphrey Shi
Picsart AI Research (PAIR)
SHILabs @ U of Oregon & UIUC
shihonghui3@gmail.com

Abstract

We present *CompactFlowNet*, the first real-time mobile neural network for optical flow prediction, which involves determining the displacement of each pixel in an initial frame relative to the corresponding pixel in a subsequent frame. Optical flow serves as a fundamental building block for various video-related tasks, such as video restoration, motion estimation, video stabilization, object tracking, action recognition, and video generation. While current state-of-the-art methods prioritize accuracy, they often overlook constraints regarding speed and memory usage. Existing light models typically focus on reducing size but still exhibit high latency, compromise significantly on quality, or are optimized for high-performance GPUs, resulting in sub-optimal performance on mobile devices. This study aims to develop a mobile-optimized optical flow model by proposing a novel mobile device-compatible architecture, as well as enhancements to the training pipeline, which optimize the model for reduced weight, low memory utilization, and increased speed while maintaining minimal error. Our approach demonstrates superior or comparable performance to the state-of-the-art lightweight models on the challenging KITTI and Sintel benchmarks. Furthermore, it attains a significantly accelerated inference speed, thereby yielding real-time operational efficiency on the iPhone 8, while surpassing real-time performance levels on more advanced mobile devices.

1. Introduction

Within the scope of computer vision tasks, optical flow estimation functions as a fundamental component integral to numerous algorithms that range from action recognition [50], [68], target tracking [49], and video stabilization [69], [3], to more composite tasks like autonomous navigation [8], [61]

and human-robot interaction [7]. The objective of optical flow is to determine pixel-wise mapping between a source image and a target image, expressed as a 2D displacement field.

Early research works have proven the effectiveness of approaches based on energy minimization, as highlighted in multiple studies [16], [4], [70], [31]. Later, a series of convolutional neural networks (CNNs) [11], [24] were suggested for learning optical flow. Those works proved that deep learning methods can reach similar performance to traditional methods in terms of accuracy, while being significantly faster.

Recent optical flow models [65], [51], [22], [67], [59], [19], [48] have capitalized on the concept of feature warping and cost volume computation to improve performance. Feature warping allows these models to handle large displacements by warping the features of the second image towards the first using an initial flow estimate. In addition, the use of cost volumes, which are 4D tensors storing the matching costs of features at different potential displacements, provides a more detailed and granular matching strategy. This has enabled these models to make more accurate and precise flow predictions, improving the overall optical flow estimation. Despite the notable advancements in the accuracy of optical flow prediction with recurrent [59], [9] and transformer-based [25], [27], [64], [72], [19], [48] models in recent years, a critical examination of state-of-the-art methodologies reveals a frequent disregard for certain constraints, including model size, latency, and memory utilization. While a series of attempts have been initiated to enhance the compactness of optical flow models [43], [21], [20], [29], [30], these efforts often demonstrate a compromise on accuracy, a lack of consideration for inference speed, or a limitation to testing on high-performance hardware.

Modern applications, however, necessitate real-time in-

ference capabilities on mobile devices, as it provides numerous advantages, with the most noticeable one being the enhancement of overall inference latency. This is achieved via eliminating the necessity to upload data to a server and wait for inference results, resulting in the app being able to respond to user requests more promptly. Other benefits include the elimination of the need for server maintenance and the capability to function with limited or no internet connectivity. Furthermore, keeping user data on the device helps mitigate privacy issues.

With the advent of powerful mobile processors, efficient memory management, and energy-optimized designs, mobile devices have become capable of handling computationally demanding tasks [32]. Deploying deep learning models on mobile devices entails meeting specific requirements to ensure optimal performance. Firstly, the number of parameters in the models should be carefully considered. Mobile devices often have resource limitations, making it essential to reduce parameter count. Studies indicate that models with parameter count limited to a few million parameters are suitable for mobile deployment [18], [23]. Secondly, the memory footprint of the models is a critical concern, as limited memory on mobile devices can lead to performance issues. To ensure efficient memory utilization, models with memory footprints ranging from tens to hundreds of megabytes are preferable for mobile deployment [23]. Furthermore, latency, or inference time, is a vital consideration for mobile applications. Since optical flow is typically applied to video-related tasks [12], [41], [7], [69], [6], [3], real-time inference speed is often necessary.

Hence, the purpose of this research is to take into account the aforementioned requirements and propose a neural network architecture for optical flow prediction suitable for efficient real-time inference on mobile devices. In summary, our contributions are the following:

- A novel architecture is suggested that prioritizes model compactness and accelerates inference speed on mobile devices, thereby addressing the crucial challenge of efficient deployment.
- A heavier backbone architecture recently designed specifically for mobile applications is utilized. It improves accuracy without compromising the inference time on mobile devices.
- A meticulous evaluation of the speed and memory efficiency of lightweight optical flow methods is undertaken across various contemporary mobile devices and diverse input resolutions for the first time.

To our knowledge, this is the first compact and memory-efficient model for optical flow prediction with real-time inference performance on mobile devices.

2. Related work

Various approaches have been suggested for optical flow estimation. Most of them consider a two-frame case when a model aims to predict optical flow given two consecutive frames. Although methods that incorporate a larger number of frames for prediction exist [26], [44], [13], [9], [47] they impose a heavier demand on computational time and memory resources. Thus, only two-frame methods are investigated further.

Early work on optical flow Optical flow has been well studied with classical optimization methods, and can be formulated as an energy minimization problem. This method, first introduced in [16], focuses on the optimization of an energy function within a coarse-to-fine framework. However, the inherent computational heaviness of such energy optimization techniques poses significant challenges for their implementation in real-time applications even when high-performance hardware is available.

Utilizing CNNs has instigated a paradigm shift in the field of computer vision. [11] introduced two CNN models, FlowNetS and FlowNetC, showing the capability of these networks to directly estimate optical flow from raw image data. While these models did not surpass the accuracy of state-of-the-art methods, they demonstrated significant improvement of inference speed. Subsequent iterations, specifically FlowNet2 [24], further enhanced the accuracy of optical flow estimation, nearing the performance metrics of energy minimization methods, but with significantly superior computational efficiency. FlowNet2, in particular, is a cascade of FlowNet variants, each of which incrementally refines the optical flow field. However, this complex network configuration was not optimized for its size and computational efficiency, resulting in 160M parameters and 640 megabytes memory footprint [30].

Further generation of CNN-based models, i.e. PWC-Net [51] and IRR-PWC [22], further refined this approach by introducing pyramid processing and iterative refinement respectively, leading to higher precision and more detailed flow maps. These methods employ an iterative coarse-to-fine approach which entails estimating optical flow on low resolution, subsequently leveraging this initial estimation to inform predictions at the higher resolution levels. Feature correspondence is identified with a correlation module within a predetermined window at each level, a strategy that proves to be significantly more efficient than establishing correspondence amongst all pixels at the original resolution. Even though the search range has a fixed size, large displacement flow can still be estimated due to feature warping and the coarse-to-fine approach. Such methods deliver a decent compromise among accuracy, memory-efficiency and computational speed, indicating their potential applicability in

practical scenarios.

Recent state-of-the-art methods Cutting-edge methodologies for optical flow prediction extend beyond the conventional CNNs and exploit the latest developments in computer vision. RAFT [59], for instance, constructs multi-scale 4D correlation volumes for all pairs of pixels and employs a recurrent unit for iterative updates of flow estimates. While this strategy enhances the accuracy of the model, it also imposes significant computational burden. For instance, inference on images of resolution 1024x448 requires 107 milliseconds and more than 2.5 gigabytes of GPU memory [54]. Alternatively, other methodologies have harnessed the potential of attention mechanism and transformer-based strategies [25], [27], [64], [19], [48] and [36]. GMFlowNet [72], for instance, employs a global matching strategy in conjunction with patch-based overlapping attention, thereby achieving superior quality compared to RAFT. However, this enhancement is accompanied by an increase in latency by 30%.

Despite their impressive accuracy, state-of-the-art models are incapable of real-time inference, even on powerful GPUs. Furthermore, their suitability for mobile applications is compromised due to their extensive parameter size and peak memory usage during inference. This is largely attributed to the construction of substantial cost volumes and the utilization of attention mechanisms. Additionally, the inherent nature of attention mechanisms results in a quadratic surge in GPU memory consumption proportional to the increase in the area of input frames.

Fast and lightweight models There is a high demand for fast optical flow prediction in practical applications. Typically, optical flow is used for video processing, which involves estimating flow multiple times per second. Notably, while optical flow constitutes a crucial component of a plethora of video-related algorithms, it merely represents one of the blocks of these methodologies, with the remaining parts often demanding significant inference time. This additionally highlights importance of fast inference speed of optical flow.

As one of the pioneering compact models in the field, SpyNet [43] employs a spatial pyramid network architecture for optical flow prediction, which effectively approximates large displacements. Due to a small size of merely 1.2M parameters, SpyNet was often used for video-related applications such as video enhancement [66], frame interpolation [41] and video super-resolution [6]. However, it is worth noting that despite its compactness, SpyNet was not specifically designed for swift inference. Consequently, its latency is 47.4 milliseconds, which is slower than the 32.2 milliseconds (NVIDIA 1080Ti GPU, 1024x436 resolution) latency of more modern PWC-Net [29]. Another

model named LiteFlowNet [21] offers several key improvements over SpyNet. This network introduces a lightweight, yet more accurate and efficient architecture that is specifically designed for speed and outperforms SpyNet in terms of both quality and inference time. However, when compared to PWC-Net, LiteFlowNet is slower with a latency of 53.2 milliseconds (NVIDIA 1080Ti GPU, 1024x436 resolution) [29]. PWC-Net-small [51] proposed by the authors of PWC-Net is a light version of the original model. Its reduced size is achieved via dropping DenseNet connections, which also makes it more suitable for memory limited applications. FDFlowNet [29] employs a U-shape network for multi-scale feature extraction and a partial fully connected structure with dilated convolution for flow estimation. This approach is about 2 times faster than the original PWC-Net. Finally, FastFlowNet [30] introduces several architectural improvements over PWC-Net. A key feature is the Head Enhanced Pooling Pyramid (HEPP), providing more efficient feature extraction. Also, it incorporates the center dense dilated correlation (CDDC), a modification to the standard cost volume that reduces calculation while keeping large search radius. Lastly, it introduces a Shuffle Block Decoder, a more compact and efficient decoding mechanism than that used by PWC-Net. These specific design choices accelerate the inference process while maintaining high-quality predictions.

3. Method

As mentioned previously, CNN-based optical flow models and PWC-Net in particular provide a desirable balance between accuracy, memory efficiency and inference speed. Therefore, the architecture of PWC-Net was adopted as a prototype for the current study. Next, a profiling analysis was conducted to identify the computationally demanding components of PWC-Net’s architecture. These identified blocks were then optimized to accelerate the inference process, reduce memory usage, and decrease the model’s overall size. The profiling method is explained in Section 3.1, while architecture modifications are covered in Section 3.2. The adoption of a lighter model unavoidably leads to a reduction in its quality. To address this issue and restore the desired level of accuracy, a series of steps were undertaken. Firstly, since the introduction of PWC-Net, numerous studies have focused on developing mobile feature extractors. Section 3.3 explores alternative options for replacing the original backbone with a more efficient module. Moreover, a distillation pipeline is introduced to narrow the accuracy gap between the lighter model and the heavier model. See details in Section 3.4.

3.1. Profiling method

Initially, all the models were developed using Pytorch [42] and trained on NVIDIA GPU. Nevertheless, given that our

research concentrates on examining on-mobile inference, it was essential to deploy the models on mobile devices. One of the frameworks utilized for this purpose is TensorFlow Lite (TFLite) [1]. The choice of TFLite was influenced by its capability of GPU inference and its compatibility with both iOS and Android, thereby ensuring adaptability. There is no direct method to convert models from PyTorch to TFLite. Instead, this process necessitates several stages, which includes transforming the model from PyTorch to ONNX, subsequently converting from ONNX to TensorFlow [2], and finally from TensorFlow to TFLite.

The profiling process was conducted on the iPhone 8 to ensure that real-time inference capabilities can be achieved even for a relatively old smartphone model. The resolution of input images was set to 512x512, deemed as an appropriate resolution setting for practical applications. Benchmarking results for more modern devices and other input resolutions can be found in Section 4.2.

3.2. Architecture redesign

PWC-Net encompasses three main blocks: the feature extractor, flow estimator, and flow refiner. An investigation into the structure of PWC-Net reveals that the DenseNet approach, i.e. the feature concatenation employed in the flow estimator block, significantly impacts the inference performance of the network. The flow estimator block plays a dominant role in terms of parameter count, FLOPs, and latency, as indicated in Table 1. Furthermore, the reliance on feature concatenation in this block necessitates the retention of large feature tensors in memory, as depicted in Figure 1. Additionally, the feature concatenation within the flow estimator block has implications for the flow refiner, as it relies on the final feature map generated by the flow estimator. Hence, this introduces an increase in parameter count and latency within the flow refiner as well. Therefore, the elimination of feature concatenation in the flow estimator block becomes critical for developing a mobile-compatible architecture. Consequently, a sequentially connected structure was adopted for the flow estimator to address this need, see Figure 1.

Table 1. Computational profiling of PWC-Net conducted on iPhone 8 using input images with a resolution of 512x512

	Feature extractor	Flow estimator	Flow refiner
Params (M)	1.67	6.05	1.03
FLOPs (G)	3.0	26.8	18.5
Latency, ms	19	131	62

Furthermore, a reduction in the depth of the convolutional pyramid within the flow refiner was implemented, utilizing only four layers instead of the original seven. The number of channels for these layers was set to 128, 64, 32, and 2.

Additionally, taking inspiration from the approach pro-




Figure 1. Comparison of flow estimator structures: densely connected (left) and sequentially connected (right). The indicated number of input and output channels corresponds to the flow estimator block operating at the lowest resolution.

posed in [18], depthwise separable convolutions were adopted in both the flow estimator and flow refiner blocks. By decomposing the standard convolution into separate depth-wise and point-wise operations, depth-wise separable convolutions offer a notable reduction in computational requirements and parameter count. This enhanced efficiency makes them particularly well-suited for resource-constrained environments while maintaining a high level of accuracy.

3.3. Backbone replacement

The MobileNet models [18], [46], [17] have revolutionized mobile and embedded vision applications by introducing depthwise separable convolutions, inverted residuals, linear bottlenecks, and network architecture search with the new operations, thereby significantly enhancing the performance and computational efficiency of convolutional neural networks on resource-constrained devices. EfficientNet models [18], [46], [55], [56] have presented a novel compound scaling method that uniformly scales the depth, width, and resolution of convolutional neural networks, optimizing them for both accuracy and efficiency. Building upon the breakthroughs of MobileNet and EfficientNet, a plethora of new models have emerged [57], [62], [58], [34], [33], leveraging their innovative components, compound scaling, and neural architecture search.

Taking into account mobile-compatibility constraints described earlier, it is essential that the new backbone architecture maintains less than 4.5 million parameters. This ensures

that the complete optical flow model does not exceed 5 million parameters, yielding a model size under 10 megabytes when converted to float16. A comparative study was conducted among several state-of-the-art architectures that meet these criteria, including ReXNet [14], MobileNetV3 [17], HardCoReNas [40], MobileViT [38], MobileViTv3 [60] and EfficientFormer [35]. Despite the compact nature of MobileViT and MobileViTv3, their performance does not meet the requirements of the current work as the backbone applied to two input images requires more than 40 milliseconds on the iPhone 8 at the desired resolution of 512x512, thus impeding the full optical flow model from achieving the real-time 25 FPS inference. This problem also extends to the other attention-based model, EfficientFormer. Although this method demonstrates impressive efficiency on ImageNet resolution images [10], it struggles to satisfy the established constraints when applied to larger input sizes, due to its quadratic scalability with respect to the area of the input. Thus, only CNN-based models were tested, see Table 2 and Table 3. For this comparison, only the backbone was substituted in the original PWC-Net model. Then, each new model was trained on a mixture of datasets, see Section 4 for details.

Table 2. Parameter count and inference speed across backbone architectures. Model latency corresponds to the inference speed of the full optical flow model. Latency is calculated as an average over 100 runs performed after 100 warm-up runs on iPhone 8 using input images with a resolution of 512x512

Backbone	Params (M)	Model latency, ms
Original (PWC-Net)	1.67	39
HardCoRe-Nas-B	<u>2.67</u>	41
MobileNetV3 (large)	3.12	<u>40</u>
HardCoRe-Nas-C	3.09	43
ReXNet(x1.0)	3.54	45

Table 3. Accuracy metrics across backbone architectures. The figures enclosed in parentheses represent the results of networks that were trained on the same dataset. AEPE metric is used

Backbone	Sintel Final		KITTI 2015
	train	validation	train
Original (PWC-Net)	(2.15)	3.78	(2.23)
HardCoRe-Nas-B	(1.71)	3.08	(1.91)
MobileNetV3 (large)	(1.66)	<u>2.87</u>	(1.83)
HardCoRe-Nas-C	(1.67)	2.96	(1.82)
ReXNet(x1.0)	(1.65)	2.80	(1.80)

Interestingly, these models exhibit similar performance metrics when evaluated on the Sintel and KITTI datasets. Consequently, among the proposed alternatives, MobileNetV3 stands out by offering the fastest inference speed

and demonstrating a significant enhancement in quality relative to the original architecture.

3.4. Distillation

The original PWC-Net, modified with MobileNetV3 as the backbone, was employed as a heavy teacher model. CompactFlowNet, the streamlined model as described in Section 3.2, was trained as a student model within a distillation pipeline. The loss function used for training is the following:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{sup} + \gamma * \mathcal{L}_{dist} \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{sup} is the supervision loss calculated as per-pixel L2 norm between the predicted flow and the ground-truth flow on each resolution level, \mathcal{L}_{dist} is the distillation loss reflecting the discrepancy between the predicted flow of the teacher and student models, and γ is the weight of the distillation loss set to 0.1.

4. Experiments

4.1. Implementation details

FlyingChairs [11] and FlyingThings3D [37] datasets have been typically used for optical flow model pre-training. Recently, a novel dataset Autoflow [53] has been rendered providing more diverse shapes, motions and appearances of moving objects leading to better accuracy on pre-training, which was validated for PWC-Net and RAFT. Besides, a more diverse and complex augmentation scheme has been suggested. Accordingly, we have integrated a broader range of augmentations with more adjustable parameters. The augmentation pipeline incorporates color jitter, Gaussian noise, eraser transform, random crop and pad, horizontal flip, vertical flip and random scale. See specific augmentation parameters in Supplementary Materials.

Moreover, authors of [54] advocate that models such as Flow1D [63], SeparableFlow [71], PWC-Net, IRR-PWC and RAFT, which employ a OneCycle learning rate and gradient clipping, have shown performance improvements with these training techniques. The OneCycle learning rate schedule starts with a low learning rate, incrementally escalates to reach a peak value, and subsequently regresses back to the value below the initial learning rate. Utilization of gradient clipping improves stability of training and has been reported to deliver better performance for PWC-Net and IRR-PWC [15]. Thus, the reported training modification were adopted in this study as well.

The original PWC-Net with MobileNetV3 as the backbone is first pre-trained on Autoflow using the OneCycle learning rate for 1.2 million iterations. Next, the heavy model is fine-tuned on a mixture of FlyingThings3D [37], Sintel [5], KITTI 2015 [39], HD1K [28] and VIPER [45] datasets with a piece-wise learning rate, as described in [52]

for 750000 iterations. Subsequently, CompactFlowNet is initialized with backbone weights taken from the trained heavy model. Finally, CompactFlowNet is trained in a distillation pipeline with the OneCycle learning rate for 1.2 million iterations on a mixture of Autoflow, FlyingThings3D, Sintel, KITTI 2015, HD1K and VIPER datasets. The resultant model does not exhibit overfitting to a specific dataset; instead, it demonstrates generalization capabilities, enabling it to estimate optical flow across diverse domains. Recent state-of-the-art models, such as RAFT and Flowformer++, were considered as potential options for teacher models as well. However, their integration noticeably hampers the training process without yielding discernible performance enhancements for the student model.

Weight decay is set to 0 for pre-training and distillation, and to 1e-5 for fine-tuning.

4.2. Main results

Evaluation results of CompactFlowNet, and its comparison to the reference PWC-Net model and to the lightweight methods are summarized in Table 4 and Table 5. Furthermore, running times can be found in Table 6. Finally, the peak memory usage is shown in Table 7. Performance is measured directly on device for all of the listed methods, i.e. all of the models were implemented in PyTorch and converted to TFLite for on-device inference. Latency is calculated as an average over 100 runs performed after 100 warm-up runs. Inference capabilities of the models under investigation are demonstrated using input images of 512x512, 436x1024 (the resolution of Sintel images), and 1080x1920 (Full HD) resolutions. This strategy effectively represents a range of computational demands, thereby providing a comprehensive analysis of model performance under varying operational conditions. PWC-Net+ corresponds to the regular PWC-Net model trained within the enhanced pipeline of [54].

In addition, see Figure 2, Figure 3 and Figure 4 to visually review performance of different lightweight models.

It is important to note that the comparison excludes computationally intensive state-of-the-art methods such as RAFT [59], Flowformer++ [48], Videoflow [47] and others as they are currently impractical for deployment in the mobile devices domain. Some of their respective papers contain assessments of the speed and memory utilization on high-performance GPUs. Besides, the paper [54] provides an in-depth examination of latency and peak-memory utilization concerning PWC-Net and RAFT.

4.3. Discussion

CompactFlowNet provides high quality optical flow prediction while exhibiting superior speed and the lowest memory utilization on all evaluated devices compared to other lightweight approaches. As noted in Section 2, SpyNet stands out as a widely embraced option for downstream

Table 4. Performance comparison of lightweight optical flow models on the Sintel Clean and Sintel Final datasets. The figures enclosed in parentheses represent the results of networks that were trained on the same dataset. The end-point error is the default accuracy metric

Method	Sintel Clean		Sintel Final	
	train	test	train	test
PWC-Net-small	(2.83)	-	(4.08)	-
SPyNet	(3.17)	6.64	(4.32)	8.36
LiteFlowNet	(1.64)	4.86	(2.23)	6.09
FDFlowNet	(1.8)	3.71	(1.93)	5.11
FastFlowNet	(2.08)	4.89	(2.71)	6.08
CompactFlowNet	(1.55)	<u>4.43</u>	(1.94)	<u>5.55</u>

Table 5. Performance comparison of lightweight optical flow models on the KITTI dataset. The figures enclosed in parentheses represent the results of networks that were trained on the same dataset. The end-point error is the default accuracy metric

Method	KITTI 2015		
	train	train (f1-all)	test (f1-all)
PWC-Net-small	-	-	-
SPyNet	(4.13)	-	35.07
LiteFlowNet	(2.16)	(8.16)	<u>10.24</u>
FDFlowNet	(1.56)	(6.36)	9.38
FastFlowNet	(2.13)	(8.21)	11.22
CompactFlowNet	(1.91)	(7.50)	14.64



Figure 2. Visualized results on Sintel Clean test set.

video processing tasks. Consequently, CompactFlowNet, characterized by its superior quality and optimized inference capabilities, can function as a more adept foundational element for a spectrum of video-related algorithms. PWC-Net-small [51], akin to CompactFlowNet, enhances memory efficiency by eliminating DenseNet connections within the

Table 6. Running time (ms) across lightweight optical flow models. Latency is measured as an average over 100 runs performed after 100 warm-up runs for the following devices: iPhone 8 | iPhone XR | iPhone 12 | iPhone 14 Pro

Method	Input resolution											
	512x512				436x1024				1080x1920			
PWC-Net+	212	115	114	62	343	198	154	102	1787	1440	875	474
PWC-Net-small	96	58	45	30	167	103	72	51	926	553	406	235
FDFlowNet	127	72	53	37	218	125	93	63	1187	658	504	292
FastFlowNet	60	36	32	16	91	54	41	28	433	248	214	137
CompactFlowNet	40	26	19	13	71	41	34	23	349	209	179	114

Running times indicated in bold font correspond to latency compatible with real-time inference.

Table 7. Peak memory footprint (MB)

Method	Input resolution		
	512x512	436x1024	1080x1920
PWC-Net+	239	327	879
PWC-Net-small	<u>151</u>	<u>205</u>	<u>529</u>
FDFlowNet	185	257	659
FastFlowNet	261	271	535
CompactFlowNet	118	146	370





Figure 4. Visualized results on KITTI 2015 test set.




Figure 3. Visualized results on Sintel Final test set.

flow estimator module. However, without additional measures to reduce computational demand, its latency remains prohibitive for real-time inference. In addition, CompactFlowNet achieves superior accuracy due to its advanced backbone and the implementation of the distillation pipeline. With respect to the quality metrics CompactFlowNet aligns closely with that of FDFlowNet and PWC-Net+, despite these latter models being significantly more time-consuming on mobile devices. FastFlowNet, while presenting a satisfactory inference speed, underperforms on the Sintel test and is slower on mobile devices compared to CompactFlowNet by a margin of 20-50%. Remarkably, CompactFlowNet is the

pioneer in providing online inference speed on iPhone 8 and iPhone XR, handling relatively high resolutions of 512x512 and 436x1024 respectively. Despite online inference on larger resolutions posing a significant challenge, CompactFlowNet successfully achieves nearly 10 FPS on iPhone 14

Pro when processing FullHD resolution input images.

Moreover, when it comes to memory usage, CompactFlowNet surpasses other lightweight optical flow models, as illustrated in Table 7. FDFlowNet incorporates partial dense connections within its flow estimator, a feature that significantly increases peak GPU memory usage during the inference process. Similarly, the architecture of FastFlowNet is less optimal in terms of memory utilization. Although the specific memory demands are dependent on the application, CompactFlowNet’s reduced memory consumption increases its adaptability and suitability for various uses.

With its superior attributes, including less memory usage, quicker inference speed, and high quality, CompactFlowNet stands out as the optimal selection for real-world applications.

4.4. Ablations

A sequence of experiments are carried out to validate the significance of the proposed alterations to the training scheme. The initial step involves training CompactFlowNet on a combination of Autoflow, FlyingThings3D, Sintel, KITTI 2015, HD1K, and VIPER datasets. Then, we pre-load backbone weights obtained from the heavy counterpart model as described in Section 4.1 and train CompactFlowNet the same way. Further, we conduct an experiment with distillation, see Section 3.4. Evidently, the proposed modifications contribute positively towards the benchmark metrics, see Table 8.

Table 8. Ablation study. AEPE metric is used

Pre-trained backbone	Distillation	Sintel Final		KITTI 2015
		train	validation	train
-	-	(2.39)	4.05	(2.14)
✓	-	(2.03)	3.64	(2.06)
✓	✓	(1.94)	3.36	(1.91)

5. Conclusions

We have introduced CompactFlowNet, a new efficient and compact model for high-quality dense optical flow estimation specifically designed for use on mobile devices with real-time inference capabilities. Given that optical flow serves as a fundamental component in numerous video-based tasks, including video restoration, video inpainting, video effects application, diffusion-based video generation and others, this model enables these algorithms to be executed directly on mobile devices, considerably reducing associated costs.

References

[1] Google llc. tensorflow lite. <https://www.tensorflow.org/lite>. Accessed: 2019-04-08. 4

[2] Martin Abadi, Paul Barham, Jianmin Chen, Zhifeng Chen, Andy Davis, Jeffrey Dean, Matthieu Devin, Sanjay Ghemawat, Geoffrey Irving, Michael Isard, Manjunath Kudlur, Josh Levenberg, Rajat Monga, Sherry Moore, Derek G. Murray, Benoit Steiner, Paul Tucker, Vijay Vasudevan, Pete Warden, Martin Wicke, Yuan Yu, and Xiaoqiang Zheng. Tensorflow: A system for large-scale machine learning. In *12th USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (OSDI 16)*, pages 265–283, 2016. 4

[3] Rana Ashar, Burhan Sadiq, Hira Mohiuddin, Saniya Ashraf, Muhammad Imran, and Anayat Ullah. Video stabilization using raft-based optical flow. In *2023 International Conference on Robotics and Automation in Industry (ICRAI)*, pages 1–5, 2023. 1, 2

[4] Thomas Brox and Jitendra Malik. Large displacement optical flow: descriptor matching in variational motion estimation. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 33(3):500–513, 2010. 1

[5] D Butler, Jonas Wulff, G Stanley, and M Black. Mpi-sintel optical flow benchmark: Supplemental material. In *MPI-IS-TR-006, MPI for Intelligent Systems (2012)*. Citeseer, 2012. 5

[6] Kelvin CK Chan, Xintao Wang, Ke Yu, Chao Dong, and Chen Change Loy. Basicvsr: The search for essential components in video super-resolution and beyond. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4947–4956, 2021. 2, 3

[7] Jen-Yen Chang, Antonio Tejero-de Pablos, and Tatsuya Harada. Improved optical flow for gesture-based human-robot interaction. In *2019 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 7983–7989. IEEE, 2019. 1, 2

[8] Yilun Chen, Palanisamy Praveen, Mudalige Priyantha, Katharina Muelling, and John Dolan. Learning on-road visual control for self-driving vehicles with auxiliary tasks. In *2019 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, pages 331–338. IEEE, 2019. 1

[9] Yonghu Chen, Dongchen Zhu, Wenjun Shi, Guanghui Zhang, Tianyu Zhang, Xiaolin Zhang, and Jiamao Li. Mfcflow: A motion feature compensated multi-frame recurrent network for optical flow estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 5068–5077, 2023. 1, 2

[10] Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In *2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 248–255. Ieee, 2009. 5

[11] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Philipp Fischer, Eddy Ilg, Philip Hausser, Caner Hazirbas, Vladimir Golkov, Patrick Van Der Smagt, Daniel Cremers, and Thomas Brox. FlowNet: Learning optical flow with convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 2758–2766, 2015. 1, 2, 5

[12] Lijie Fan, Wenbing Huang, Chuang Gan, Stefano Ermon, Boqing Gong, and Junzhou Huang. End-to-end learning of motion representation for video understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6016–6025, 2018. 2

- [13] Pierre Godet, Alexandre Boulch, Aurélien Plyer, and Guy Le Besnerais. Starflow: A spatiotemporal recurrent cell for lightweight multi-frame optical flow estimation. In *2020 25th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR)*, pages 2462–2469. IEEE, 2021. [2](#)
- [14] Dongyoon Han, Sangdoo Yun, Byeongho Heo, and YoungJoon Yoo. Rethinking channel dimensions for efficient model design. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 732–741, 2021. [5](#)
- [15] Markus Hofinger, Samuel Rota Bulò, Lorenzo Porzi, Arno Knapitsch, Thomas Pock, and Peter Kotschieder. Improving optical flow on a pyramid level. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XXVIII*, pages 770–786. Springer, 2020. [5](#)
- [16] Berthold KP Horn and Brian G Schunck. Determining optical flow. *Artificial intelligence*, 17(1-3):185–203, 1981. [1, 2](#)
- [17] Andrew Howard, Mark Sandler, Grace Chu, Liang-Chieh Chen, Bo Chen, Mingxing Tan, Weijun Wang, Yukun Zhu, Ruoming Pang, Vijay Vasudevan, et al. Searching for mobilenetv3. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 1314–1324, 2019. [4, 5](#)
- [18] Andrew G Howard, Menglong Zhu, Bo Chen, Dmitry Kalenichenko, Weijun Wang, Tobias Weyand, Marco Andreetto, and Hartwig Adam. Mobilenets: Efficient convolutional neural networks for mobile vision applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.04861*, 2017. [2, 4](#)
- [19] Zhaoyang Huang, Xiaoyu Shi, Chao Zhang, Qiang Wang, Ka Chun Cheung, Hongwei Qin, Jifeng Dai, and Hongsheng Li. Flowformer: A transformer architecture for optical flow. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2022: 17th European Conference, Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23–27, 2022, Proceedings, Part XVII*, pages 668–685. Springer, 2022. [1, 3](#)
- [20] Tak-Wai Hui and Chen Change Loy. Liteflownet3: Resolving correspondence ambiguity for more accurate optical flow estimation. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XX 16*, pages 169–184. Springer, 2020. [1](#)
- [21] Tak-Wai Hui, Xiaoou Tang, and Chen Change Loy. LiteFlowNet: A Lightweight Convolutional Neural Network for Optical Flow Estimation. In *Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 8981–8989, 2018. [1, 3](#)
- [22] Junhwa Hur and Stefan Roth. Iterative residual refinement for joint optical flow and occlusion estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5754–5763, 2019. [1, 2](#)
- [23] Andrey Ignatov, Radu Timofte, William Chou, Ke Wang, Max Wu, Tim Hartley, and Luc Van Gool. Ai benchmark: Running deep neural networks on android smartphones. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV) Workshops*, pages 0–0, 2018. [2](#)
- [24] Eddy Ilg, Nikolaus Mayer, Tomoy Saikia, Margret Keuper, Alexey Dosovitskiy, and Thomas Brox. FlowNet 2.0: Evolution of optical flow estimation with deep networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2462–2470, 2017. [1, 2](#)
- [25] Andrew Jaegle, Sebastian Borgeaud, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Carl Doersch, Catalin Ionescu, David Ding, Skanda Koppala, Daniel Zoran, Andrew Brock, Evan Shelhamer, et al. Perceiver io: A general architecture for structured inputs & outputs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.14795*, 2021. [1, 3](#)
- [26] Joel Janai, Fatma Guney, Anurag Ranjan, Michael Black, and Andreas Geiger. Unsupervised learning of multi-frame optical flow with occlusions. In *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*, pages 690–706, 2018. [2](#)
- [27] Shihao Jiang, Dylan Campbell, Yao Lu, Hongdong Li, and Richard Hartley. Learning to estimate hidden motions with global motion aggregation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 9772–9781, 2021. [1, 3](#)
- [28] Daniel Kondermann, Rahul Nair, Katrin Honauer, Karsten Krispin, Jonas Andrulis, Alexander Brock, Burkhard Gusefeld, Mohsen Rahimimoghaddam, Sabine Hofmann, Claus Brenner, et al. The hci benchmark suite: Stereo and flow ground truth with uncertainties for urban autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops*, pages 19–28, 2016. [5](#)
- [29] Lingtong Kong and Jie Yang. Fdflownet: Fast optical flow estimation using a deep lightweight network. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP)*, pages 1501–1505. IEEE, 2020. [1, 3](#)
- [30] Lingtong Kong, Chunhua Shen, and Jie Yang. Fastflownet: A lightweight network for fast optical flow estimation. In *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 10310–10316. IEEE, 2021. [1, 2, 3](#)
- [31] Till Kroeger, Radu Timofte, Dengxin Dai, and Luc Van Gool. Fast optical flow using dense inverse search. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11–14, 2016, Proceedings, Part IV 14*, pages 471–488. Springer, 2016. [1](#)
- [32] Juhyun Lee, Nikolay Chirkov, Ekaterina Ignasheva, Yury Pisarchyk, Mogan Shieh, Fabio Riccardi, Raman Sarokin, Andrei Kulik, and Matthias Grundmann. On-device neural net inference with mobile gpus. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.01989*, 2019. [2](#)
- [33] Youngwan Lee and Jongyoul Park. Centermask: Real-time anchor-free instance segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 13906–13915, 2020. [4](#)
- [34] Youngwan Lee, Joong-won Hwang, Sangrok Lee, Yuseok Bae, and Jongyoul Park. An energy and gpu-computation efficient backbone network for real-time object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops*, pages 0–0, 2019. [4](#)
- [35] Yanyu Li, Geng Yuan, Yang Wen, Ju Hu, Georgios Evangelidis, Sergey Tulyakov, Yanzhi Wang, and Jian Ren. Efficientformer: Vision transformers at mobilenet speed. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:12934–12949, 2022. [5](#)
- [36] Ao Luo, Fan Yang, Xin Li, Lang Nie, Chunyu Lin, Haoqiang Fan, and Shuaicheng Liu. Gafflow: Incorporating gaussian attention into optical flow. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF*

- International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 9642–9651, 2023. 3
- [37] Nikolaus Mayer, Eddy Ilg, Philip Hausser, Philipp Fischer, Daniel Cremers, Alexey Dosovitskiy, and Thomas Brox. A large dataset to train convolutional networks for disparity, optical flow, and scene flow estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4040–4048, 2016. 5
- [38] Sachin Mehta and Mohammad Rastegari. Mobilevit: lightweight, general-purpose, and mobile-friendly vision transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.02178*, 2021. 5
- [39] Moritz Menze and Andreas Geiger. Object scene flow for autonomous vehicles. In *Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2015. 5
- [40] Niv Nayman, Yonathan Aflalo, Asaf Noy, and Lihi Zelnik. Hardcore-nas: Hard constrained differentiable neural architecture search. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 7979–7990. PMLR, 2021. 5
- [41] Simon Niklaus and Feng Liu. Context-aware synthesis for video frame interpolation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1701–1710, 2018. 2, 3
- [42] Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, Alban Desmaison, Andreas Kopf, Edward Yang, Zachary DeVito, Martin Raison, Alykhan Tejani, Sasank Chilamkurthy, Benoit Steiner, Lu Fang, Junjie Bai, and Soumith Chintala. Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. Curran Associates, Inc., 2019. 3
- [43] Anurag Ranjan and Michael J Black. Optical flow estimation using a spatial pyramid network. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4161–4170, 2017. 1, 3
- [44] Zhile Ren, Orazio Gallo, Deqing Sun, Ming-Hsuan Yang, Erik B. Sudderth, and Jan Kautz. A fusion approach for multi-frame optical flow estimation. In *2019 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, pages 2077–2086, 2019. 2
- [45] Stephan R. Richter, Zeeshan Hayder, and Vladlen Koltun. Playing for benchmarks. In *IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2017, Venice, Italy, October 22-29, 2017*, pages 2232–2241, 2017. 5
- [46] Mark Sandler, Andrew Howard, Menglong Zhu, Andrey Zhmoginov, and Liang-Chieh Chen. Mobilenetv2: Inverted residuals and linear bottlenecks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4510–4520, 2018. 4
- [47] Xiaoyu Shi, Zhaoyang Huang, Weikang Bian, Dasong Li, Manyuan Zhang, Ka Chun Cheung, Simon See, Hongwei Qin, Jifeng Dai, and Hongsheng Li. Videoflow: Exploiting temporal cues for multi-frame optical flow estimation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08340*, 2023. 2, 6
- [48] Xiaoyu Shi, Zhaoyang Huang, Dasong Li, Manyuan Zhang, Ka Chun Cheung, Simon See, Hongwei Qin, Jifeng Dai, and Hongsheng Li. Flowformer++: Masked cost volume autoencoding for pretraining optical flow estimation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.01237*, 2023. 1, 3, 6
- [49] Kai Shuang, Yuheng Huang, Yue Sun, Zhun Cai, and Hao Guo. Fine-grained motion representation for template-free visual tracking. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 671–680, 2020. 1
- [50] Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman. Two-stream convolutional networks for action recognition in videos. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems - Volume 1*, page 568–576, Cambridge, MA, USA, 2014. MIT Press. 1
- [51] Deqing Sun, Xiaodong Yang, Ming-Yu Liu, and Jan Kautz. Pwc-net: Cnns for optical flow using pyramid, warping, and cost volume. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 8934–8943, 2018. 1, 2, 3, 6
- [52] Deqing Sun, Xiaodong Yang, Ming-Yu Liu, and Jan Kautz. Models matter, so does training: An empirical study of cnns for optical flow estimation. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 42(6):1408–1423, 2019. 5
- [53] Deqing Sun, Daniel Vlasic, Charles Herrmann, Varun Jampani, Michael Krainin, Huiwen Chang, Ramin Zabih, William T Freeman, and Ce Liu. Autoflow: Learning a better training set for optical flow. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10093–10102, 2021. 5
- [54] Deqing Sun, Charles Herrmann, Fitsum Reda, Michael Rubinstein, David J Fleet, and William T Freeman. Disentangling architecture and training for optical flow. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2022: 17th European Conference, Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23–27, 2022, Proceedings, Part XXII*, pages 165–182. Springer, 2022. 3, 5, 6
- [55] Mingxing Tan and Quoc Le. Efficientnet: Rethinking model scaling for convolutional neural networks. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 6105–6114. PMLR, 2019. 4
- [56] Mingxing Tan and Quoc Le. Efficientnetv2: Smaller models and faster training. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 10096–10106. PMLR, 2021. 4
- [57] Mingxing Tan and Quoc V Le. Mixconv: Mixed depth-wise convolutional kernels. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.09595*, 2019. 4
- [58] Mingxing Tan, Bo Chen, Ruoming Pang, Vijay Vasudevan, Mark Sandler, Andrew Howard, and Quoc V Le. Mnasnet: Platform-aware neural architecture search for mobile. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2820–2828, 2019. 4
- [59] Zachary Teed and Jia Deng. Raft: Recurrent all-pairs field transforms for optical flow. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part II 16*, pages 402–419. Springer, 2020. 1, 3, 6
- [60] Shakti N. Wadekar and Abhishek Chaurasia. Mobilevitv3: Mobile-friendly vision transformer with simple and effective fusion of local, global and input features. 2022. 5

- [61] Chen Wang, Tete Ji, Thien-Minh Nguyen, and Lihua Xie. Correlation flow: robust optical flow using kernel cross-correlators. In *2018 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 836–841. IEEE, 2018. [1](#)
- [62] Bichen Wu, Xiaoliang Dai, Peizhao Zhang, Yanghan Wang, Fei Sun, Yiming Wu, Yuandong Tian, Peter Vajda, Yangqing Jia, and Kurt Keutzer. Fbnet: Hardware-aware efficient convnet design via differentiable neural architecture search. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10734–10742, 2019. [4](#)
- [63] Haofei Xu, Jialong Yang, Jianfei Cai, Juyong Zhang, and Xin Tong. High-resolution optical flow from 1d attention and correlation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 10498–10507, 2021. [5](#)
- [64] Haofei Xu, Jing Zhang, Jianfei Cai, Hamid Rezaatofghi, and Dacheng Tao. Gmflow: Learning optical flow via global matching. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 8121–8130, 2022. [1](#), [3](#)
- [65] Jia Xu, René Ranftl, and Vladlen Koltun. Accurate optical flow via direct cost volume processing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1289–1297, 2017. [1](#)
- [66] Tianfan Xue, Baian Chen, Jiajun Wu, Donglai Wei, and William T Freeman. Video enhancement with task-oriented flow. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 127:1106–1125, 2019. [3](#)
- [67] Gengshan Yang and Deva Ramanan. Volumetric correspondence networks for optical flow. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32, 2019. [1](#)
- [68] Xiaohang Yang, Lingtong Kong, and Jie Yang. Unsupervised motion representation enhanced network for action recognition. In *ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 2445–2449. IEEE, 2021. [1](#)
- [69] Jiyang Yu and Ravi Ramamoorthi. Learning video stabilization using optical flow. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 8159–8167, 2020. [1](#), [2](#)
- [70] Christopher Zach, Thomas Pock, and Horst Bischof. A duality based approach for realtime tv-l 1 optical flow. In *Pattern Recognition: 29th DAGM Symposium, Heidelberg, Germany, September 12-14, 2007. Proceedings 29*, pages 214–223. Springer, 2007. [1](#)
- [71] Feihu Zhang, Oliver J Woodford, Victor Adrian Prisacariu, and Philip HS Torr. Separable flow: Learning motion cost volumes for optical flow estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 10807–10817, 2021. [5](#)
- [72] Shiyu Zhao, Long Zhao, Zhixing Zhang, Enyu Zhou, and Dimitris Metaxas. Global matching with overlapping attention for optical flow estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 17592–17601, 2022. [1](#), [3](#)