

UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS TO THE ISOTROPIC L_p GAUSSIAN MINKOWSKI PROBLEM

JINRONG HU

ABSTRACT. The uniqueness results of solutions to the isotropic L_p Gaussian Minkowski problem in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} shall be obtained when $-(n+1) < p < -1$ without the origin-centred assumption on convex bodies for $n \geq 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Minkowski problem prescribing surface area measure stands as one of the fundamental problems in the Brunn-Minkowski theory, which was originally proposed and addressed by Minkowski himself [33, 34]. This problem underwent significant progress through a series of papers (see, e.g., [1, 2, 7, 14]). As an important extension of Minkowski problem, the L_p Minkowski problem prescribing L_p surface area measure pertained to the L_p -Brunn-Minkowski theory, was introduced by Lutwak [30]. Building upon Lutwak's seminal work, the L_p Minkowski problem has been the breeding ground equipped with many meaningful outputs (see e.g., [6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 31, 38, 39, 40]).

Analogues of the aforementioned Minkowski type problems and L_p Minkowski type problems have penetrated into the probability space. The basic geometric invariant in probability space is the *Gaussian volume*. Given a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , the Gaussian volume $\gamma(K)$ of K is defined by

$$\gamma(K) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{n+1}} \int_K e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} dX.$$

Unlike Lebesgue measure, Gaussian volume is neither translation invariant nor homogeneous. Huang-Xi-Zhao [21] established the variational formula of Gaussian volume and introduced the Gaussian surface area measure as

$$(1.1) \quad S(K, \eta) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{n+1}} \int_{\nu_K^{-1}(\eta)} e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} d\mathcal{H}^n(X)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 35A02, 52A20.

Key words and phrases. Uniqueness result, isotropic L_p Gaussian Minkowski problem.

This work is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): Project P36545.

for each Borel subset $\eta \subset \mathbb{S}^n$. Based on that, they proposed the Gaussian Minkowski problem prescribing Gaussian surface area measure and obtained the normalized solution to the Gaussian Minkowski problem by virtue of the variational arguments [17, 20] and moreover derived the existence of weak solution to the non-normalized Gaussian Minkowski problem by using degree theory method. Subsequently, the development on the Gaussian Minkowski problem flourishes. An important extension of Gaussian Minkowski problem, the L_p Gaussian Minkowski problem has been widely studied, such as see [10, 15, 18, 23, 27, 28, 37]. This problem acts as a bridge which connects the L_p Minkowski problem to the Gaussian probability space, which prescribes the L_p Gaussian surface area measure defined as

$$(1.2) \quad S_p(K, \eta) = \frac{1}{p(\sqrt{2\pi})^{n+1}} \int_{\nu_K^{-1}(\eta)} (X \cdot \nu_K(X))^{1-p} e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} d\mathcal{H}^n(X)$$

for each Borel subset $\eta \subset \mathbb{S}^n$. If the L_p Gaussian surface area measure is proportional to the spherical Lebesgue measure, the solvability of the L_p Gaussian Minkowski problem amounts to attacking the following Monge-Ampère equation:

$$(1.3) \quad h^{1-p} \frac{1}{\kappa} e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} = c, \text{ for } c > 0, \text{ on } \mathbb{S}^n.$$

The uniqueness results of solutions to (1.3) play a critical role on deriving the existence results of solutions to the L_p Gaussian Minkowski problem with the aid of the degree theory for which is helpful to eliminate the Lagrange multiplier that appears in variational approaches. There are the known uniqueness results for the isotropic L_p Gaussian Minkowski problem. When $n = 1$, $p = 1$ and $h \geq 0$, Chen-Hu-Liu-Zhao [10] proved the uniqueness of solutions to (1.3) based on an argument of Andrews [4]. Later, following similar lines as [10], Liu [29] proved the uniqueness results by generalizing [10] to the case $0 \leq p < 1$ and $n = 1$. When $n \geq 2$, Ivaki [22] confirmed Chen-Hu-Liu-Zhao's conjecture by proving the uniqueness for $p > 1$ but allowing $h > 0$ via utilizing the Heintze-Karcher inequality, and for $p > -n - 1$ in the class of origin-centred convex bodies via the local Brunn-Minkowski inequality shown by Ivaki-Milman [23]. While under an additional assumption on the size of Gaussian volume, the uniqueness for $p \geq 1$ directly follows from the Ehrhard inequality and the characterization of its equality cases, for more details, such as see Shenfeld-Van Handel [36] and [15, 21, 28]. It is of great interest to see whether for $p < 1$ the origin-centred assumption could be removed.

Inspired by [19, 22, 23], here we give the uniqueness result of solutions to (1.3) in the case of $-(n+1) < p < -1$ without the origin-centred assumption on convex bodies by substituting a new suitable test function into the spectral formulation of local Brunn-Minkowski inequality. Given a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , set $R(K) = \max|X|$ for $X \in K$. The main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 1$. Suppose $-(n+1) < p < -1$. Let ∂K be a smooth, strictly convex hypersurface with the support function $h > 0$ and $R(K) \leq 1$ such that $h^{1-p} e^{-\frac{1Dh^2}{2}} \frac{1}{\kappa} = c$ for $c > 0$. Then ∂K is a sphere. In particular, if $c \in (0, e^{-1/2}]$, there is a constant solution; if $c > e^{-1/2}$, there is no constant solution.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section recalls some basics on convex body.

2.1. Basics of convex bodies. There are many standard references about the theory of convex bodies, such as the books of Gardner [16] and Schneider [35].

Write \mathbb{R}^{n+1} for the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space. For $Y, Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $\langle Y, Z \rangle$ denotes the standard inner product. For vectors $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $|X| = \sqrt{\langle X, X \rangle}$ is the Euclidean norm. Let \mathbb{S}^n be the unit sphere. A convex body is a compact convex set of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with a non-empty interior.

Given a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , for $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$, the support function of K (with respect to the origin) is defined by

$$h(x) := h_K(x) = \max\{\langle x, Y \rangle : Y \in K\}.$$

Given a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , for \mathcal{H}^n almost all $X \in \partial K$, the unit outer normal of K at X is unique. In such case, we use ν_K to denote the Gauss map that takes $X \in \partial K$ to its unique unit outer normal. Meanwhile, for $\omega \subset \mathbb{S}^n$, the inverse Gauss map ν_K^{-1} is expressed as

$$\nu_K^{-1}(\omega) = \{X \in \partial K : \nu_K(X) \text{ is defined and } \nu_K(X) \in \omega\}.$$

In particular, for a smooth and strictly convex body K , i.e., its boundary is C^∞ -smooth and is of positive Gauss curvature, for simplicity in the subsequence, we abbreviate ν_K^{-1} as F . Then the support function of K can be written as

$$(2.1) \quad h(x) = x \cdot F(x) = \nu_K(X) \cdot X, \text{ where } x \in \mathbb{S}^n, \nu_K(X) = x \text{ and } X \in \partial K.$$

Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be a local orthonormal frame on \mathbb{S}^n , h_i be the first order covariant derivatives of $h(\cdot)$ with respect to a local orthonormal frame on \mathbb{S}^n . Differentiating (2.1) with respect to e_i , we get

$$h_i = e_i \cdot F(x) + x \cdot F_i(x).$$

Since F_i is tangent to ∂K at $F(x)$, we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad h_i = e_i \cdot F(x).$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.2), there is

$$(2.3) \quad F(x) = \sum_i h_i e_i + hx = \nabla h + hx.$$

Here ∇ is the (standard) spherical gradient. On the other hand, since we can extend $h(\cdot)$ to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} as a 1-homogeneous function $h(\cdot)$, then restrict the gradient of $h(\cdot)$ on \mathbb{S}^n , it yields that (see for example, [13])

$$(2.4) \quad Dh(x) = F(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^n,$$

where D is the gradient operator in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Let h_{ij} be the second-order covariant derivatives of h with respect to a local orthonormal frame on \mathbb{S}^n . Then, applying (2.3) and (2.4), we have (see, e.g., [24, p. 382])

$$(2.5) \quad Dh(x) = \sum_i h_i e_i + hx, \quad F_i(x) = \sum_j (h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij})e_j.$$

Let σ_k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) denote the k -th elementary symmetric function of principal radii of curvature. The eigenvalues of matrix $\{h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij}\}$, denoted by $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, are the principal radii of curvature at the point $X(x) \in \partial K$. Then the Gauss curvature of ∂K , κ , is revealed as

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{\sigma_n} = \frac{1}{\det(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij})}.$$

3. UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS TO THE ISOTROPIC L_p GAUSSIAN MINKOWSKI PROBLEM

The following lemma is the local version of Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality and is also the spectral formulation of the Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality originating from Hilbert's work [3, 5] and later studied e.g. in [23, 25, 32].

Lemma 3.1. [3, 5] *Let $f \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} fh\sigma_k d\sigma = 0$. Then we have*

$$(3.1) \quad k \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f^2 h \sigma_k d\sigma \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} h^2 \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_i f \nabla_j f d\sigma,$$

where $\sigma_k^{ij} = \frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial b_{ij}}$ with $b_{ij} := h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij}$. Equality holds if and only if for some vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we have

$$f(x) = \left\langle \frac{x}{h(x)}, v \right\rangle, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^n.$$

Based on Lemma 3.1 for $k = n$, we have the following result, which is the main ingredient of proving Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $X = Dh : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \partial K$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have*

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & n \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-|X|^\alpha} |X|^2 dV_n - n \frac{\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} X dV_n \right|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} dV_n} \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-|X|^\alpha} h(\Delta h + nh) dV_n + \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |X|^{2\alpha-1} e^{-|X|^\alpha} h \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle dV_n \\ & \quad - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |X|^{\alpha-1} e^{-|X|^\alpha} h \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle dV_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $dV_n = h\sigma_n d\sigma$.

Proof. Let $\{E_l\}_{l=1}^{n+1}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Suppose $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a local orthonormal frame of \mathbb{S}^n such that $(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij})(x_0) = \lambda_i(x_0)\delta_{ij}$. Motivated by Ivaki-Milman [23, Lemma 3.2], for $l = 1, \dots, n+1$, we set the functional $f_l : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$(3.3) \quad f_l(x) = e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} \langle X(x), E_l \rangle - \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} \langle X(x), E_l \rangle dV_n}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} dV_n}.$$

Due to $\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f_l dV_n = 0$ for $1 \leq l \leq n+1$, by using Lemma 3.1 to f_l and summing over l , we derive

$$(3.4) \quad n \sum_l \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f_l^2 dV_n = n \left[\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-|X|^\alpha} |X|^2 dV_n - \frac{\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} X dV_n \right|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} dV_n} \right] \leq \sum_{l,i,j} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h^2 \sigma_n^{ij} \nabla_i f_l \nabla_j f_l d\sigma.$$

Since $\nabla_i X = \sum_j (h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij})e_j = \lambda_i e_i$ at x_0 . Then $\langle e_i, X \rangle = h_i$ and $\sum_i \lambda_i \langle e_i, X \rangle^2 = |X| \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle$ at x_0 . Using $\sum_i \frac{\partial \sigma_n}{\partial \lambda_i} \lambda_i^2 = \sigma_1 \sigma_n$ and $\frac{\partial \sigma_n}{\partial \lambda_i} \lambda_i = \sigma_n$ for $\forall i$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad \sum_{l,i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} \nabla_i f_l \nabla_j f_l &= \sum_{l,i} \frac{\partial \sigma_n}{\partial \lambda_i} ((\nabla_i (e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}})) \langle X, E_l \rangle + e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} \langle \nabla_i X, E_l \rangle)^2 \\
&= \sum_{l,i} \frac{\partial \sigma_n}{\partial \lambda_i} (-e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_i |X|^\alpha) \langle X, E_l \rangle + e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} \langle \nabla_i X, E_l \rangle)^2 \\
&= \sum_{l,i} \frac{\partial \sigma_n}{\partial \lambda_i} (-\frac{1}{2} \alpha |X|^{\alpha-2} e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} \langle \lambda_i e_i, X \rangle \langle X, E_l \rangle + e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} \langle \lambda_i e_i, E_l \rangle)^2 \\
&= \sum_i \frac{\partial \sigma_n}{\partial \lambda_i} (\frac{\alpha^2}{4} e^{-|X|^\alpha} |X|^{2\alpha-2} \lambda_i^2 \langle X, e_i \rangle^2 + e^{-|X|^\alpha} \lambda_i^2 - \alpha |X|^{\alpha-2} \lambda_i^2 e^{-|X|^\alpha} \langle X, e_i \rangle^2) \\
&= e^{-|X|^\alpha} \sigma_1 \sigma_n + \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \sigma_n e^{-|X|^\alpha} |X|^{2\alpha-1} \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle - \alpha |X|^{\alpha-1} \sigma_n e^{-|X|^\alpha} \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, using $dV_n = h\sigma_n d\sigma$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&n \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-|X|^\alpha} |X|^2 dV_n - n \frac{\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} X dV_n \right|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} dV_n} \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h^2 e^{-|X|^\alpha} \sigma_1 \sigma_n d\sigma + \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |X|^{2\alpha-1} e^{-|X|^\alpha} h^2 \sigma_n \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle d\sigma \\
&\quad - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |X|^{\alpha-1} e^{-|X|^\alpha} h^2 \sigma_n \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle d\sigma \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-|X|^\alpha} h(\Delta h + nh) dV_n + \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |X|^{2\alpha-1} e^{-|X|^\alpha} h \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle dV_n \\
&\quad - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |X|^{\alpha-1} e^{-|X|^\alpha} h \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle dV_n.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

Using Lemma 3.2, we can get the following equivalent form.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $X = Dh : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \partial K$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have*

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \langle hX, \nabla \log \frac{h^{n+2}}{\kappa} \rangle e^{-|X|^\alpha} dV_n \leq n \frac{\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} X dV_n \right|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} dV_n} + \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |X|^{2\alpha-1} e^{-|X|^\alpha} h \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle dV_n.$$

Proof. Using (3.2), we have

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-|X|^\alpha} (n|\nabla h|^2 - h\Delta h) dV_n - n \frac{\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} X dV_n \right|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} dV_n} \\ \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |X|^{2\alpha-1} e^{-|X|^\alpha} h \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle dV_n - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |X|^{\alpha-1} e^{-|X|^\alpha} h \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle dV_n.$$

On the other hand, employing $dV_n = h\sigma_n d\sigma$. By integration by parts, we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \langle hX, \nabla \log \frac{h^{n+2}}{\kappa} \rangle e^{-|X|^\alpha} dV_n \\ = (n+2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |\nabla h|^2 e^{-|X|^\alpha} dV_n + \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \langle h\nabla h, \sigma_n^{-1} \nabla \sigma_n \rangle e^{-|X|^\alpha} h \sigma_n d\sigma \\ = (n+2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |\nabla h|^2 e^{-|X|^\alpha} dV_n - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \operatorname{div}(h\nabla h e^{-|X|^\alpha} h) \sigma_n d\sigma \\ = (n+2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |\nabla h|^2 e^{-|X|^\alpha} dV_n - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |\nabla h|^2 e^{-|X|^\alpha} dV_n - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h\Delta h e^{-|X|^\alpha} dV_n \\ + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |X|^{\alpha-1} e^{-|X|^\alpha} h^2 \sigma_n \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle d\sigma \\ = n \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |\nabla h|^2 e^{-|X|^\alpha} dV_n - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h\Delta h e^{-|X|^\alpha} dV_n + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |X|^{\alpha-1} e^{-|X|^\alpha} h \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle dV_n.$$

Applying (3.8) into (3.7), hence we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \langle hX, \nabla \log \frac{h^{n+2}}{\kappa} \rangle e^{-|X|^\alpha} dV_n \leq n \frac{\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} X dV_n \right|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} dV_n} + \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |X|^{2\alpha-1} e^{-|X|^\alpha} h \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle dV_n.$$

□

Using above results, we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\alpha = 2$ and recall $dV_n = h\sigma_n d\sigma = ch^p e^{\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} d\sigma$. One hand, by a direct computation, we get

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \langle hX, \nabla \log \frac{h^{n+2}}{\kappa} \rangle e^{-|X|^\alpha} dV_n = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \langle hX \frac{\kappa}{h^{n+2}}, \nabla \frac{h^{n+2}}{\kappa} \rangle e^{-|X|^\alpha} dV_n \\ = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \langle h^2 X \frac{1}{h^{n+2}}, \nabla \frac{h^{n+2}}{\kappa} \rangle e^{-|X|^\alpha} d\sigma \\ = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \langle h^{-n} X, \nabla \frac{h^{n+2}}{\kappa} \rangle e^{-|X|^\alpha} d\sigma.$$

Since $h^{1-p}e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}}\frac{1}{\kappa} = c$, $\frac{h^{n+2}}{\kappa} = ce^{\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}}h^{n+1+p}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \langle h^{-n}X, \nabla \frac{h^{n+2}}{\kappa} \rangle e^{-|X|^\alpha} d\sigma = c \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h^{-n} \langle X, \nabla (e^{\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} h^{n+1+p}) \rangle e^{-|X|^\alpha} d\sigma \\
(3.10) \quad & = c(n+1+p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h^p |\nabla h|^2 e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} d\sigma + \frac{\alpha}{2} c \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h^{1+p} \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle |X|^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} d\sigma \\
& = (n+1+p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |\nabla h|^2 e^{-|X|^\alpha} dV_n + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle |X|^{\alpha-1} e^{-|X|^\alpha} dV_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.10) into (3.6), there is

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.11) \quad & (n+1+p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |\nabla h|^2 e^{-|X|^\alpha} dV_n \leq n \frac{\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} X dV_n \right|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} dV_n} + \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |X|^{2\alpha-1} e^{-|X|^\alpha} h \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle dV_n \\
& \quad - \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle |X|^{\alpha-1} e^{-|X|^\alpha} dV_n.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} X dV_n = c \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h^p X d\sigma = c \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h^p (\nabla h + hx) d\sigma(x) \\
& = c \frac{p+1+n}{n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h^p \nabla h d\sigma = \frac{p+1+n}{n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} \nabla h dV_n.
\end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, there is

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad & n \frac{\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} X dV_n \right|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} dV_n} \leq n \frac{(p+1+n)^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-\frac{|X|^\alpha}{2}} |\nabla h| dV_n \right)^2}{n^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} dV_n} \\
& \leq \frac{(p+1+n)^2}{n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-|X|^\alpha} |\nabla h|^2 dV_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.13) into (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.14) \quad & \frac{(n+1+p)(-1-p)}{n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-|X|^\alpha} |\nabla h|^2 dV_n \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |X|^{2\alpha-1} e^{-|X|^\alpha} h \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle dV_n - \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle |X|^{\alpha-1} e^{-|X|^\alpha} dV_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\alpha = 2$ and $|X| \langle \nabla h, \nabla |X| \rangle = \sum_i \lambda_i h_i^2 \geq c_0 |\nabla h|^2$ where $c_0 > 0$ depends on ∂K .

Due to $\max |X| \leq 1$, by (3.14), we obtain

$$\frac{(n+1+p)(-1-p)}{n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{-|X|^\alpha} |\nabla h|^2 dV_n \leq 0.$$

If $-(n+1) < p < -1$, then $|\nabla h| \equiv 0$. Thus ∂K is a sphere. By studying the monotonic properties of function $g(t) = t^{n+1-p} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}$, to see the number of constant solutions to (1.3). It is clear to know that $g(t)$ is strictly increasing in $(0, \sqrt{n+1-p}]$, and is strictly decreasing

in $(\sqrt{n+1-p}, \infty)$, where $\sqrt{n+1-p} > 1$ for $-(n+1) < p < -1$ and $n \geq 1$, moreover $\max_{t \in (0, \infty)} g(t) = (n+1-p) \frac{n+1-p}{2} e^{-\frac{n+1-p}{2}} > e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, hence the proof is completed.

4. ADDITIONAL REMARK-LOCAL VERSION OF EHRHARD INEQUALITY

Similar to Lemma 3.1, it is an independent interest to see the spectral formulation of Ehrhard inequality for the Gaussian measure, which can be regarded as the local version of Ehrhard inequality.

Set $I_\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by $I_\gamma := \varphi \circ \Phi^{-1}$ with $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}$ and $\Phi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \varphi(s) ds$. By using Ehrhard inequality on Gaussian volume, Kolesnikov-Milman [26] obtained a class of sharp Poincaré-type inequalities for the Gaussian measure on the boundary of convex sets as below.

Lemma 4.1. [26, Theorem 1.1] *Let $g \in C^2(\partial K)$. Then we have*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{n+1}} \int_{\partial K} H g^2 e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} d\mathcal{H}^n - \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{n+1}} \int_{\partial K} \langle X, \nu_K(X) \rangle g^2 e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} d\mathcal{H}^n \\ & - (\log I_\gamma)'(\gamma(K)) \left(\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{n+1}} \int_{\partial K} g e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} d\mathcal{H}^n \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{n+1}} \int_{\partial K} \langle \Pi_{\partial K}^{-1} \nabla_{\partial K} g, \nabla_{\partial K} g \rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} d\mathcal{H}^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nabla_{\partial K}$ denotes the induced Levi-Civita connection on the boundary ∂K , H is the mean curvature of ∂K at X , $\Pi_{\partial K}^{-1}$ is the inverse of second fundamental form $\Pi_{\partial K}$ of ∂K at X and $(\log I_\gamma)'(v) = -\Phi^{-1}(v)/I_\gamma(v)$.

Based on Lemma 4.1, we can get the related spectral formulation of Ehrhard inequality for the Gaussian measure.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)$. Then we have*

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} n \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f^2 h \sigma_n e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma & \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h^2 f_i f_j e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma + \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f^2 h^2 e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} h \sigma_n d\sigma \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h_i f^2 h |Dh| e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} |Dh|_j d\sigma \\ & + (\log I_\gamma)'(\gamma(K)) \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{n+1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f h \sigma_n e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 and the assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_i \sigma_n^{ii} (fh)^2 e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h(fh)^2 \sigma_n e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} (fh)_i (fh)_j e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma + (\log I_\gamma)'(\gamma(K)) \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{n+1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} fh \sigma_n e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_i \sigma_n^{ii} (fh)^2 e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h(fh)^2 \sigma_n e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h^2 f_i f_j e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} fh h_i f_j e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma + \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h_i h_j f^2 e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \\ & \quad + (\log I_\gamma)'(\gamma(K)) \left(\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} fh \sigma_n e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

One hand, by integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad & 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} fh h_i f_j e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \\ & = -2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h_{ij} f^2 h e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} fh h_i f_j e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h_i f^2 h |Dh| e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} |Dh|_j d\sigma - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h_i h_j f^2 e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (4.4) into (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.5) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_i \sigma_n^{ii} (fh)^2 e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h(fh)^2 \sigma_n e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h^2 f_i f_j e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h_{ij} f^2 h e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} fh h_i f_j e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h_i f^2 h |Dh| e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} |Dh|_j d\sigma - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h_i h_j f^2 e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \\ & \quad + (\log I_\gamma)'(\gamma(K)) \left(\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} fh \sigma_n e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using again integration of parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h_i h_j f^2 e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \\
(4.6) \quad &= - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h_{ij} h f^2 e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} f h h_i f_j e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h_i f^2 h |Dh| e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} |Dh|_j d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Now applying (4.6) into (4.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.7) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_i \sigma_n^{ii} (fh)^2 e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h (fh)^2 \sigma_n e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h^2 f_i f_j e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h_{ij} f^2 h e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma + \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h_i f^2 h |Dh| e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} |Dh|_j d\sigma \\
& \quad + (\log I_\gamma)'(\gamma(K)) \left(\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} fh \sigma_n e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (4.7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} b_{ij} f^2 h e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} h (fh)^2 \sigma_n e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h^2 f_i f_j e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma + \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h_i f^2 h |Dh| e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} |Dh|_j d\sigma \\
& \quad + (\log I_\gamma)'(\gamma(K)) \left(\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} fh \sigma_n e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
n \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f^2 h \sigma_n e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma & \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h^2 f_i f_j e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma + \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f^2 h^2 e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} h \sigma_n d\sigma \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h_i f^2 h |Dh| e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} |Dh|_j d\sigma \\
& \quad + (\log I_\gamma)'(\gamma(K)) \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{n+1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} fh \sigma_n e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Notice that if $\gamma(K) \geq 1/2$, it follows that $(\log I_\gamma)'(\gamma(K)) \leq 0$, then we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $f \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)$. Suppose $\gamma(K) \geq 1/2$. Then there is*

$$\begin{aligned} n \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f^2 h \sigma_n e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma &\leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h^2 f_i f_j e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} d\sigma + \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f^2 h^2 e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} h \sigma_n d\sigma \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_n^{ij} h_i f^2 h |Dh| e^{-\frac{|Dh|^2}{2}} |Dh|_j d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.4. *Lemma 4.2 might be helpful to extend Theorem 1.1 to a class of more generalized convex bodies without assumptions on $R(K)$, perhaps by finding a suitable test function f into the local Ehrhard inequality (4.3).*

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I want to thank Mohammad N. Ivaki for his very helpful discussion and comments on this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. D. Aleksandrov, On the surface area measure of convex bodies, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **6** (1939), 167–174.
- [2] A. D. Aleksandrov, On the theory of mixed volumes. III. Extensions of two theorems of Minkowski on convex polyhedra to arbitrary convex bodies, *Mat. Sb.* **3** (1938), 27–46.
- [3] B. Andrews, Monotone quantities and unique limits for evolving convex hypersurfaces, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **1997**, no. 20, 1001–1031.
- [4] B. Andrews, Classification of limiting shapes for isotropic curve flows, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (2003), no. 2, 443–459.
- [5] B. Andrews, B. Chow, C. Guenther and M. Langford, *Extrinsic geometric flows*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 206, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, [2020] ©2020.
- [6] G. Bianchi, K. J. Böröczky, A. Colesanti and D. Yang, The L_p -Minkowski problem for $-n < p < 1$, *Adv. Math.* **341** (2019), 493–535.
- [7] T. Bonnesen and W. Fenchel, *Theory of convex bodies*, translated from the German and edited by L. Boron, C. Christenson and B. Smith, BCS Associates, Moscow, ID, 1987.
- [8] K. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, The logarithmic Minkowski problem, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **26** (2013), no. 3, 831–852.
- [9] K. J. Böröczky and H. T. Trinh, The planar L_p -Minkowski problem for $0 < p < 1$, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **87** (2017), 58–81.
- [10] S. Chen, S. Hu, W. Liu and Y. Zhao, On the planar Gaussian-Minkowski problem, *Adv. Math.* **435** (2023), part A, Paper No. 109351.
- [11] S. Chen, Q. Li and G. Zhu, On the L_p Monge-Ampère equation, *J. Differential Equations* **263** (2017), no. 8, 4997–5011.
- [12] S. Chen, Q. Li and G. Zhu, The logarithmic Minkowski problem for non-symmetric measures, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **371** (2019), no. 4, 2623–2641.
- [13] S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau, On the regularity of the solution of the n -dimensional Minkowski problem, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **29** (1976), no. 5, 495–516.
- [14] W. Fenchel and B. Jessen, Mengenfunktionen und konvexe Körper, *Danske Vid. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd.* **16** (1938), 1–31.
- [15] Y. Feng, S. Hu and L. Xu, On the L_p Gaussian Minkowski problem, *J. Differential Equations* **363** (2023), 350–390.

- [16] R. J. Gardner, *Geometric tomography*, second edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 58, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2006.
- [17] C. Haberl, E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, The even Orlicz Minkowski problem, *Adv. Math.* **224** (2010), no. 6, 2485–2510.
- [18] J. Hu, The generalized Gaussian log-Minkowski problem, (to appear in) *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, arXiv:2401.08427
- [19] Y. Hu and M. N. Ivaki, On the uniqueness of solutions to the isotropic L_p dual Minkowski problem, *Nonlinear Anal.* **241** (2024), Paper No.
- [20] Y. Huang, E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, Geometric measures in the dual Brunn-Minkowski theory and their associated Minkowski problems, *Acta Math.* **216** (2016), no. 2, 325–388.
- [21] Y. Huang, D. M. Xi and Y. Zhao, The Minkowski problem in Gaussian probability space, *Adv. Math.* **385** (2021), Paper No. 107769, 36 pp.
- [22] M. N. Ivaki, Uniqueness of solutions to a class of non-homogeneous curvature problems, arXiv:2307.06252 (2023).
- [23] M. N. Ivaki and E. Milman, Uniqueness of solutions to a class of isotropic curvature problems, *Adv. Math.* **435** (2023), part A, Paper No. 109350, 11 pp.
- [24] D. Jerison, Prescribing harmonic measure on convex domains, *Invent. Math.* **105** (1991), no. 2, 375–400.
- [25] A. V. Kolesnikov and E. Milman, Local L^p -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for $p < 1$, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **277** (2022), no. 1360, v+78 pp.
- [26] A. V. Kolesnikov and E. Milman, Sharp Poincaré-type inequality for the Gaussian measure on the boundary of convex sets, in *Geometric aspects of functional analysis*, 221–234, Lecture Notes in Math., 2169, Springer, Cham, arXiv:1601.02925.
- [27] L. Kryvonos and D. Langharst, Weighted Minkowski’s existence theorem and projection bodies, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **376** (2023), no. 12, 8447–8493.
- [28] J. Liu, The L_p -Gaussian Minkowski problem, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **61** (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 28, 23 pp.
- [29] W. Liu, On the planar L_p Gaussian Minkowski problem, arxiv: 2405.13725.
- [30] E. Lutwak, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. I. Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem, *J. Differential Geom.* **38** (1993), no. 1, 131–150.
- [31] E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, On the L_p -Minkowski problem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), no. 11, 4359–4370.
- [32] E. Milman, Centro-affine differential geometry and the log-Minkowski problem, (to appear in) *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, arxiv: 2104.12408 (2021).
- [33] H. Minkowski, Allgemeine Lehrsätze über die convexen Polyeder, *Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen* (1897), 198–219.
- [34] H. Minkowski, Volumen und Oberfläche, *Math. Ann.* **57** (1903), no. 4, 447–495.
- [35] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, second expanded edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 151, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [36] Y. Shenfeld and R. van Handel, The equality cases of the Ehrhard-Borell inequality, *Adv. Math.* **331** (2018), 339–386.
- [37] W. Sheng and K. Xue, Flow by Gauss curvature to the L_p -Gaussian Minkowski problem, arXiv: 2212.01822.
- [38] G. Zhu, The logarithmic Minkowski problem for polytopes, *Adv. Math.* **262** (2014), 909–931.
- [39] G. Zhu, The L_p Minkowski problem for polytopes for $0 < p < 1$, *J. Funct. Anal.* **269** (2015), no. 4, 1070–1094.
- [40] G. Zhu, The centro-affine Minkowski problem for polytopes, *J. Differential Geom.* **101** (2015) 159–174.

INSTITUT FÜR DISKRETE MATHEMATIK UND GEOMETRIE, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, WIEDNER
HAUPTSTRASSE 8-10, 1040 WIEN, AUSTRIA

Email address: jinrong.hu@tuwien.ac.at