

THE HARTOGS-BOCHNER EXTENSION FOR MONOGENIC FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VECTOR VARIABLES AND THE DIRAC COMPLEX

YUN SHI [†] AND WEI WANG [‡]

ABSTRACT. Holomorphic functions in several complex variables are generalized to regular functions in several quaternionic variables, and further to monogenic functions of several vector variables, which are annihilated by several Dirac operators on k copies of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . As the Dolbeault complex in complex analysis, the Dirac complex resolving several Dirac operators plays the fundamental role to investigate monogenic functions. Although the spaces in the Dirac complex are complicated irreducible modules of $GL(k)$, we give a simple characterization of the first four spaces, which allows us to write down first three operators in the Dirac complex explicitly and to show this part to be an elliptic complex. Then the PDE method can be applied to obtain solutions to the non-homogeneous several Dirac equations under the compatibility condition, which implies the Hartogs' phenomenon of monogenic functions. Moreover, we find the boundary version of several Dirac operators and introduce the notion of a tangentially monogenic function, corresponding to tangential Cauchy–Riemann operator and CR functions in several complex variables, and establish the Hartogs-Bochner extension of a tangentially monogenic function on the boundary of a domain.

1. INTRODUCTION

Holomorphic functions in several complex variables are generalized to k -regular functions in several quaternionic variables, and further to monogenic functions of several vector variables, which are annihilated by several Dirac operators on k copies of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . Write $\mathbf{x}_A = (x_{A1}, \dots, x_{An})$ as the vector variable in the A -th copy of \mathbb{R}^n , and $\partial_{A_j} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{A_j}}$, where $A = 0, \dots, k-1, j = 1, \dots, n$. The Dirac operator in the A -th copy is $\nabla_A : \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^\pm) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^\mp)$ with $\nabla_A := \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j \partial_{A_j}$, $A = 0, \dots, k-1$, where \mathbb{S}^\pm denote the two spinor modules for n even, the same symbols are used for n odd with the convention that \mathbb{S}^+ and \mathbb{S}^- are isomorphic, and $\gamma_j : \mathbb{S}^\pm \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^\mp$ are Dirac matrices. The *several Dirac operators* $\mathcal{D}_0 : \Gamma(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^+) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{S}^-)$ are given by

$$\mathcal{D}_0 f = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_0 f \\ \vdots \\ \nabla_{k-1} f \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for } f \in \Gamma(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^+),$$

where Ω is a domain in \mathbb{R}^{kn} . f is called a *monogenic function* on Ω if $\mathcal{D}_0 f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, for any $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$. The space of all monogenic functions on Ω is denoted by $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$. The notion of a spinor-valued

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30G35; 58J10; 35N10; 15A66.

Key words and phrases. monogenic functions of several vector variables; several Dirac operators; the Dirac complex; tangentially monogenic functions; the Hartogs–Bochner extension.

[†] Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University of Science and Technology, Hangzhou 310023, China, Email: shiyun@zust.edu.cn;

[‡] School of Mathematical Science, Zhejiang University (Zijingang campus), Zhejiang 310058, China, Email: wwang@zju.edu.cn;

The first author is partially supported by Nature Science Foundation of Zhejiang province (No. LY22A010013) and National Nature Science Foundation in China (Nos. 11801508, 11971425); The second author is partially supported by National Nature Science Foundation in China (Nos. 11971425, 12371082).

monogenic function on \mathbb{R}^{kn} is the generalizing of a holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^n . Ones have been interested in generalization the theory of several complex variables to this kind of functions since 90's [30]. For this purpose, a fundamental tool is to solve the non-homogeneous equation

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{D}_0 f = g,$$

for $g \in \Gamma(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{S}^-)$. Since it is overdetermined, (1.1) can only be solved under a compatibility condition. Thus the solution of this problem can be obtained if one can provide a description of the so-called the Dirac complex. In the quaternionic case, such complexes are known explicitly now (cf. e.g. [5], [7]-[10], [33]). They are further extended to the Heisenberg groups [21, 27, 28, 33].

For 2 vector variables with the dimension n even, the Dirac complex was found by Krump-Souček [15], by using parabolic geometry associated to $\text{SO}(2, 2n+2)$. In [29], the authors wrote down operators explicitly in the Dirac complex of two variables, without the restriction of even dimension, and applied the PDE method of several complex variables to equation (1.1) to obtain compactly support solution if g is compactly supported and satisfies the compatibility condition

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{D}_1 g = 0.$$

This solution implies the Hartogs' phenomenon for monogenic functions of 2 vector variables.

For 3 vector variables with the dimension n greater than 5, Sabadini-Sommen-Struppa-Van Lancker [23] wrote down operators in the Dirac complex explicitly, with the help of the tool of megaforms and the method of computer algebra. In the stable case (i.e. $k \leq \frac{n}{2}$), the method of parabolic geometry was used to construct the Dirac complex by Krump [16] for $n = 4$ and by Salač [24]-[26] for general even n . Salač also constructed the second order differential operators in the complex as the sum of 4 invariant differential operators [24]. For the unstable case, it is an open problem to construct the Dirac complex. However some results can be found in Krump [17].

In this paper, we write down explicitly the first three operators in the Dirac complex for any n and k . For k ($k \geq 3$) vector variables, the first four terms of this complex can be written as

$$(1.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_0} \Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_1} \Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_2) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_2} \begin{matrix} \Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}'_3) \\ \oplus \\ \Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}''_3) \end{matrix},$$

(cf. [11, 24, 25, 26] in the stable case), where Ω is a domain in \mathbb{R}^{kn} , and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_0 &= V_{0\dots} \otimes \mathbb{S}^+, \\ \mathcal{V}_1 &= V_{10\dots} \otimes \mathbb{S}^-, \\ \mathcal{V}_2 &= V_{210\dots} \otimes \mathbb{S}^-, \\ \mathcal{V}'_3 &= V_{220\dots} \otimes \mathbb{S}^+, \\ \mathcal{V}''_3 &= V_{3110\dots} \otimes \mathbb{S}^-. \end{aligned}$$

Here V_λ is an irreducible $\text{GL}(k)$ -module labeled by λ , where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ is a partition of n , i.e. $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k \geq 0$, and $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = n$. $V_{0\dots} \cong \mathbb{C}$, $V_{10\dots} \cong \mathbb{C}^k$. The spaces $V_{210\dots}, V_{220\dots}, V_{3110\dots}$ can be realized as subspaces of tensor products of \mathbb{C}^k in terms of Young symmetrizer, which are called Weyl modules. We give a very simple and elementary characterization of these spaces as follows.

Let ω^A , $A = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$, be a basis of \mathbb{C}^k . Denote briefly $\omega^{A_1 \dots A_l} := \omega^{A_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \omega^{A_l}$. An element h in $\otimes^l \mathbb{C}^k$ can be written as $h = h_{A_1 \dots A_l} \omega^{A_1 \dots A_l}$. Here and in the sequel we use Einstein's convention of taking summation over repeated indices. We also identify an element h with the tuple $(h_{A_1 \dots A_l})$.

Proposition 1.1. (1) $h = (h_{ABC}) \in V_{210\dots}$ if and only if

$$(1.4) \quad h_{[A\underline{B}C]} + h_{[A\underline{C}B]} = \frac{3}{2}h_{ABC}.$$

Moreover, for any $h \in V_{210\dots}$, we have

$$(1.5) \quad h_{ABC} = h_{ACB}.$$

(2) $h = (h_{DABC}) \in V_{220\dots}$ if and only if

$$(1.6) \quad h_{DABC} = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{(A,D),(B,C)} (h_{D[A\underline{B}C]} + h_{B[C\underline{D}A]}).$$

(3) $h = (h_{EDABC}) \in V_{3110\dots}$ if and only if

$$(1.7) \quad \sum_{(D,B,C)} h_{[E\underline{D}A\underline{B}C]} = \frac{10}{3}h_{EDABC}.$$

Here bracket $[\dots]$ means skewsymmetrization of indices, but underlined indices in a bracket are not skewsymmetrized. $\sum_{(B,\dots,C)}$ denotes the summation taken over all permutations (B, \dots, C) .

It is convenient to use these characterization as the definition of these vector spaces.

A section in $\Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_0)$ is an \mathbb{S}^+ -valued function on Ω , while a section in $\Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_1)$ is written as $F = (F_A)$ with F_A to be \mathbb{S}^- -valued functions on Ω . A section in $\Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_2)$ is written as $h = (h_{ABC})$ with h_{ABC} to be \mathbb{S}^- -valued functions which satisfy (1.4) on Ω . A section of $\Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}'_3)$ or $\Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}''_3)$ is similarly defined. The operators $\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}'_2$ and \mathcal{D}''_2 in (1.3) are

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mathcal{D}_0 f)_A &= \nabla_A f, \\ (\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{ABC} &= \sum_{(B,C)} \nabla_{[A} \nabla_B F_{C]}, \\ (\mathcal{D}'_2 h)_{DABC} &= \sum_{(A,D),(B,C)} (\nabla_D h_{[A\underline{B}C]} + \nabla_B h_{[C\underline{D}A]}), \\ (\mathcal{D}''_2 h)_{EDABC} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(D,B,C)} (2\nabla_{[E} \nabla_{\underline{D}} h_{A]BC} + \nabla_D \nabla_{[E} h_{A]BC} + \Delta_{BC} h_{[E\underline{D}A]}), \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in \Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_0)$, $F \in \Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_1)$, $h \in \Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_2)$, where $A, B, C, D, E = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. We can check both $\mathcal{D}'_2 h$ and $\mathcal{D}''_2 h$ are \mathcal{V}''_3 -valued (cf. Corollary 2.1). So we have the operator

$$\mathcal{D}_2 = \mathcal{D}'_2 + \mathcal{D}''_2 : \Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_2) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_3), \quad \mathcal{V}_3 = \mathcal{V}'_3 \oplus \mathcal{V}''_3.$$

For 3 vector variables, the differential operators in the Dirac complex was given explicitly by [11, 23]. We can check that in this case, operators in (1.8) coincide with their formulae.

Then we prove the short sequence (1.3) is an elliptic complex. The symbol sequence of (1.3) at $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{kn} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, is

$$(1.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^+ \xrightarrow{\sigma_0(\xi)} \mathcal{V}_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_1(\xi)} \mathcal{V}_2 \xrightarrow{\begin{matrix} \sigma'_2(\xi) & \mathcal{V}'_3 \\ \sigma''_2(\xi) & \mathcal{V}''_3 \end{matrix}} \oplus,$$

where $\sigma_j(\xi)$ is the symbol of the operator \mathcal{D}_j in (1.8).

Theorem 1.1. For $k \geq 3$, the short sequence (1.3) is an elliptic complex, i.e. $\mathcal{D}_{j+1} \circ \mathcal{D}_j = 0$ for $j = 0, 1$, and $\ker \sigma_0(\xi) = \{0\}$, and $\ker \sigma_j(\xi) = \text{Im } \sigma_{j-1}(\xi)$, $j = 1, 2$, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{kn} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

One difficulty of checking (1.3) to be a complex is that the representation spaces $V_{210\dots}$, $V_{220\dots}$ and $V_{3110\dots}$ are not easily manipulated as exterior or symmetric powers. It becomes more tedious but proofs are still elementary, based on the characterization in Proposition 1.4. Another one comes from the noncommutativity of several Dirac operators ∇_A 's. But their anticommutators

$$\{\nabla_B, \nabla_C\} = \nabla_B \nabla_C + \nabla_C \nabla_B =: \Delta_{BC}$$

are scalar operators, which commute with any ∇_A , i.e.

$$(1.10) \quad \Delta_{BC} \nabla_A = \nabla_A \Delta_{BC}.$$

This nice property is frequently used in this paper.

Then as in the quaternionic case [31, 32], [34]-[36], we can apply the PDE method of several complex variable to study this complex. We show the non-homogeneous equation (1.1) has compactly supported solution if g is compactly supported and satisfies the compatibility condition (1.2). This result implies the Hartogs' phenomenon for monogenic functions of k vector variables of any dimensions.

To investigate monogenic functions on a domain, we need the boundary complex of the Dirac complex (1.3). By using the construction of the boundary complex of a general differential complex (see eg. [3, 4, 19, 20]), we get the tangential several Dirac operators:

$$\mathcal{D}_0 : \Gamma(\partial\Omega, \mathcal{V}_0) \rightarrow \Gamma(\partial\Omega, \mathcal{V}_1),$$

where \mathcal{V}_1 is two copies of $\mathbb{S}^- \otimes \mathbb{C}^{k-1}$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{V}_1 \cong \left(\mathbb{S}^- \otimes \mathbb{C}^{k-1} \right) \oplus \left(\mathbb{S}^- \otimes \mathbb{C}^{k-1} \right).$$

It is the counterpart of tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator on the boundary of a domain in \mathbb{C}^n . $\hat{f} \in \Gamma(\partial\Omega, \mathcal{V}_0)$ is called *tangentially monogenic* if

$$\mathcal{D}_0 \hat{f} = 0.$$

This is the counterpart of the notion of a CR function in several complex variables. Then we can establish the Hartogs-Bochner extension for tangentially monogenic functions.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $k \geq 2$ and Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^{kn} with smooth boundary such that $\mathbb{R}^{kn} \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ is connected. If f is a smooth tangentially monogenic function on $\partial\Omega$, then there exists $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ smooth up to the boundary such that $\tilde{f} = f$ on $\partial\Omega$.*

In the quaternionic case, the boundary complex of k -Cauchy-Fueter complex was obtained by the second author in [36], and used to establish the Hartogs-Bochner extension for k -CF functions on the boundary.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the characterization of vector spaces $V_{210\dots}$, $V_{220\dots}$, $V_{3110\dots}$ in the Dirac complex is given. In Section 3, we show the short sequence (1.3) with operators given by (1.8) is a complex. In Section 4, we study vector spaces of the boundary complex of the Dirac complex and obtain the boundary version of several Dirac operators. In Section 5, we prove the ellipticity of the short sequence (1.3) of the Dirac complex and the Hartogs-Bochner extension for tangentially monogenic functions of k vector variables by using uniform elliptic operators, the associated Hodge-Laplacian operators, to solve the non-homogeneous equation (1.1) under the compatibility condition (1.2). In this approach, operators \mathcal{D}'_2 and \mathcal{D}''_2 are used to solve (1.1). In Appendix A, we give some basic facts about Young diagrams, Young symmetrizer and the construction of Weyl modules as irreducible representations of $GL(k)$.

2. CHARACTERIZATION OF VECTOR SPACES IN THE SHORT SEQUENCE OF THE DIRAC COMPLEX

2.1. **Spinor modules and $GL(k)$ -modules.** The real Clifford algebra \mathbb{R}_n is the associative algebra generated by the n basis elements of \mathbb{R}^n satisfying

$$e_i e_j + e_j e_i = -2\delta_{ij},$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. The basis of \mathbb{R}_n is $e_0 = 1$, $e_\alpha = e_{a_1} \dots e_{a_i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq a_1 < \dots < a_i \leq n$.

When n is even, there are two spinor modules \mathbb{S}^\pm . Let $\gamma_j^\pm : \mathbb{S}^\pm \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^\mp$ be the representation of e_j on the spinor modules. In odd dimensional $2m + 1$, there exists a unique spinor module $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^-$, where \mathbb{S}^\pm are spinor modules of $\mathfrak{so}(2m, \mathbb{C})$, and $\gamma_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma_j^+ \\ \gamma_j^- & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (cf. [12]). γ_j^\pm will be denoted as γ_j for simplicity. They satisfy


$$(2.1) \quad \gamma_j \gamma_k + \gamma_k \gamma_j = -2\delta_{jk} \text{Id}_{\mathbb{S}^\pm}.$$

In the sequel of this paper, \mathbb{S}^\pm denote the two spinor modules for n even, and the same symbols are used for n odd with the convention that \mathbb{S}^+ and \mathbb{S}^- are isomorphic.

Lemma 2.1. (cf. e.g. [12, p.125] or [29, Lemma 2.1]) *The adjoint γ_k^* of γ_k with respect to the standard inner product on \mathbb{S}^\pm is given by*

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma_k^* = -\gamma_k.$$

Young diagram associated to a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ is a



with λ_i boxes in the i -th row. For $k = 2$, the Dirac complex in terms of the Young diagrams is

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \square \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \end{matrix} \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow 0,$$

which was given in [11, 15], where $\bullet = \mathbb{C}$. We constructed the differential operators explicitly in [29] without the dimension restriction.

For $k \geq 3$, the Dirac complex in terms of the Young diagrams is

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \square \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \end{matrix} \rightarrow \begin{matrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \end{matrix} \rightarrow \dots$$

(cf. [11, 24, 25, 26] in the stable case), where \rightarrow and \Rightarrow are differential operators of first order and second order, respectively, and

$$\mathcal{V}_3 = \mathcal{V}'_3 \oplus \mathcal{V}''_3 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \otimes \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & & \\ \hline \square & & \\ \hline \end{array} \otimes \mathbb{S}^-.$$

Note that for $k = 2$, $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} = \bullet$ as a $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ -module in (2.3) and $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & & \\ \hline \square & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ is trivial.

Since $\mathcal{D}_j : \Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_j) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_{j+1})$ is a $\mathfrak{gl}(k) \times \mathfrak{so}(n)$ -invariant (or $\mathrm{GL}(k) \times \mathrm{Spin}(n)$ -invariant) operator, its symbol is the projection of $\mathfrak{gl}(k) \times \mathfrak{so}(n)$ -modules

$$(2.5) \quad \pi_j : \mathcal{V}_j \otimes (\mathbb{R}^k \otimes \mathbb{R}^n) \otimes (\mathbb{R}^k \otimes \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{j+1}.$$

But for $\mathcal{V}_1 = \square \otimes \mathbb{S}^-$, where $\square = \mathbb{R}^k$, we have the decomposition

$$\square \otimes \square \otimes \square = \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \otimes \square = \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \oplus \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right),$$

by using Pieri's rule [13, p. 225], where $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}$ appears twice. So it is difficult to determine the projection $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}$ in (2.5), which will give us the invariant operator \mathcal{D}'_2 . While for $\mathcal{V}_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \otimes \mathbb{S}^-$, we have the decomposition

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \otimes \square \otimes \square = \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \otimes \square \\ = \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \oplus \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \\ \oplus \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right),$$

by using Pieri's rule, where $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}$ appears twice again and we have the same difficulty to

determine \mathcal{D}''_2 . When n is even and in the stable case, the Dirac complex is known [24]-[26]. In this case, Salač also constructed the projection π_j in (2.5) and operators \mathcal{D}_j by using the representation theory in a complicated way. But it is difficult to deduce expressions (1.8) of \mathcal{D}_j 's from his formulae even in the even dimensional case. Our operators $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}'_2, \mathcal{D}''_2$ in (1.8) have no restriction of even dimensions.

2.2. Projection operators C_λ and vector spaces $V_{210\dots}$, $V_{220\dots}$ and $V_{3110\dots}$. The *symmetrisation* and the *skewsymmetrisation* of indices are given by

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{\dots(A_1\dots A_p)\dots} &:= \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} f_{\dots A_{\sigma(1)}\dots A_{\sigma(p)}\dots}, \\ f_{\dots[A_1\dots A_p]\dots} &:= \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \text{sign}(\sigma) f_{\dots A_{\sigma(1)}\dots A_{\sigma(p)}\dots}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where the summations are taken over the group S_p of all permutations of p letters.

$\text{GL}(k)$ -module $V_{210\dots}$, $V_{220\dots}$, $V_{3110\dots}$ can be realized as a subspace of $\otimes^\bullet \mathbb{C}^k$ by Weyl's construction (See Appendix A). Let $C_{21} : \otimes^3 \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \otimes^3 \mathbb{C}^k$ be a linear mapping given by

$$(2.8) \quad C_{21}(h)_{ABC} = \frac{2}{3} \sum_{(B,C)} h_{[A\underline{BC}]},$$

for $h \in \otimes^3 \mathbb{C}^k$. The space $V_{210\dots}$ is defined to be the image of C_{21} in $\otimes^3 \mathbb{C}^k$. Let $C_{22} : \otimes^4 \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \otimes^4 \mathbb{C}^k$ be a linear mapping given by

$$(2.9) \quad C_{22}(h)_{DABC} = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{(A,D),(B,C)} (h_{D[A\underline{BC}]} + h_{B[C\underline{DA}]}),$$

for $h \in \otimes^4 \mathbb{C}^k$. The space $V_{220\dots}$ is defined to be the image of C_{22} in $\otimes^4 \mathbb{C}^k$. Let $C_{311} : \otimes^5 \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \otimes^5 \mathbb{C}^k$ be a linear mapping given by

$$(2.10) \quad C_{311}(h)_{EDABC} = \frac{3}{10} \sum_{(D,B,C)} h_{[E\underline{DABC}]},$$

for $h \in \otimes^5 \mathbb{C}^k$. The space $V_{3110\dots}$ is defined to be the image of C_{311} in $\otimes^5 \mathbb{C}^k$. These images are usually called *Weyl modules* of $V_{210\dots}$, $V_{220\dots}$ and $V_{3110\dots}$, respectively. More information about these representations of $\text{GL}(k)$ is given in Appendix A.

Remark 2.1. (1) For $h \in V_{210\dots}$, h_{ABC} is symmetric in B and C , but not skewsymmetric in A and C .

(2) For $h \in V_{3110\dots}$, h_{EDABC} is symmetric in D, B, C and skewsymmetric in E and A , but not in E, A, C .

Proposition 2.1. C_{21}, C_{22} and C_{311} are all projection operators, i.e. $C_\lambda^2 = C_\lambda$ for $\lambda = 21, 22, 311$.

Proof. (1) Denote $H_{ABC} := C_{21}(h)_{ABC} = \frac{2}{3} (h_{[A\underline{BC}]} + h_{[A\underline{CB}]})$. Then

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} C_{21}^2(h)_{ABC} &= C_{21}(H)_{ABC} = \frac{1}{3} (H_{ABC} + H_{ACB} - H_{CBA} - H_{BCA}) \\ &= \frac{2}{9} \sum_{(B,C)} (h_{[A\underline{BC}]} + h_{[A\underline{CB}]} - h_{[C\underline{BA}]} - h_{[C\underline{AB}]}) \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \sum_{(B,C)} h_{[A\underline{BC}]} = H_{ABC} = C_{21}(h)_{ABC}, \end{aligned}$$

by definition (2.8) of C_{21} , where $\sum_{(B,C)} h_{[C\underline{AB}]} = 0$. Thus C_{21} is a projection.

(2) Denote $H_{DABC} := C_{22}(h)_{DABC} = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{(A,D),(B,C)} (h_{D[A\underline{BC}]} + h_{B[C\underline{DA}]})$. Then

$$(2.12) \quad C_{22}^2(h)_{DABC} = C_{22}(H)_{DABC} = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{(A,D),(B,C)} (H_{D[A\underline{BC}]} + H_{B[C\underline{DA}]})$$

Note that

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{(B,C)} H_{D[\underline{A}BC]} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(B,C)} (H_{DABC} - H_{DCBA}) = H_{DABC} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(B,C)} H_{DCBA},$$

by H_{DABC} symmetric in B, C by the definition. But

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{(B,C)} H_{DCBA} &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{(B,C)} \sum_{(C,D)} \sum_{(A,B)} (h_{D[\underline{C}BA]} + h_{B[\underline{A}DC]}) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{(B,C)} \left(h_{D[\underline{C}BA]} + h_{D[\underline{C}AB]} + h_{B[\underline{A}DC]} + h_{A[\underline{B}DC]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + h_{C[\underline{D}BA]} + h_{C[\underline{D}AB]} + h_{B[\underline{A}CD]} + h_{A[\underline{B}CD]} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{(B,C)} \left(h_{D[\underline{C}BA]} + h_{B[\underline{A}DC]} + h_{C[\underline{D}AB]} + h_{A[\underline{B}CD]} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{6} \sum_{(A,D),(B,C)} (h_{D[\underline{A}BC]} + h_{B[\underline{C}DA]}) = -H_{DABC}, \end{aligned}$$

where the third identity holds since we obviously have

$$(2.15) \quad \sum_{(B,C)} h_{D[\underline{C}AB]} = \sum_{(B,C)} h_{A[\underline{B}DC]} = 0, \quad \sum_{(B,C)} (h_{C[\underline{D}BA]} + h_{B[\underline{A}CD]}) = 0.$$

Substitute (2.14) into (2.13) to get

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{(B,C)} H_{D[\underline{A}BC]} = \frac{3}{2} H_{DABC}.$$

By relabeling indices, we get $\sum_{(A,D)} H_{B[\underline{C}DA]} = \frac{3}{2} H_{BCDA}$. Substitute them into (2.12) to get

$$\begin{aligned} C_{22}^2(h)_{DABC} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{(A,D)} H_{DABC} + \sum_{(B,C)} H_{BCDA} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{12} \sum_{(A,D),(B,C)} \left(h_{D[\underline{A}BC]} + h_{B[\underline{C}DA]} + h_{B[\underline{C}DA]} + h_{D[\underline{A}BC]} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{(A,D),(B,C)} (h_{D[\underline{A}BC]} + h_{B[\underline{C}DA]}) \\ &= H_{DABC} = C_{22}(h)_{DABC}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus C_{22} is a projection.

(3) Denote $H_{EDABC} := C_{311}(h)_{EDABC} = \frac{3}{10} \sum_{(D,B,C)} h_{[E\underline{D}ABC]}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} C_{311}^2(h)_{EDABC} &= C_{311}(H)_{EDABC} = \frac{3}{10} \sum_{(D,B,C)} H_{[E\underline{D}ABC]} \\ &= \frac{1}{20} \sum_{(D,B,C)} (H_{EDABC} - H_{EDCBA} - H_{ADEBC} + H_{ADCBE} + H_{CDEBA} - H_{CDABE}) \\ &=: S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4 + S_5 + S_6. \end{aligned}$$

Since H_{EDABC} is symmetric in D, B, C and skewsymmetric in E, A by definition, we have

$$(2.17) \quad S_1 = S_3 = \frac{1}{20} \sum_{(D,B,C)} H_{EDABC} = \frac{3}{10} H_{EDABC}.$$

The second sum is

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} S_2 &= -\frac{1}{20} \sum_{(D,B,C)} H_{EDCBA} = -\frac{3}{200} \sum_{(D,B,C)} \sum_{(D,B,A)} h_{[E\underline{DCBA}]} \\ &= \frac{3}{200} \sum_{(D,B,C)} \sum_{(D,B,A)} h_{[E\underline{DABC}]} \\ &= \frac{3}{200} \sum_{(D,B,C)} \left(\sum_{(D,B)} h_{[E\underline{DABC}]} + \sum_{(A,B)} h_{[E\underline{ADBC}]} + \sum_{(A,D)} h_{[E\underline{DBAC}]} \right) \\ &= \frac{3}{200} \sum_{(D,B,C)} \sum_{(D,B)} h_{[E\underline{DABC}]} = \frac{3}{100} \sum_{(D,B,C)} h_{[E\underline{DABC}]} = \frac{1}{10} H_{EDABC}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the fifth identity holds because $h_{[E\underline{ADBC}]}$ is skewsymmetric in D, C , which are also symmetrized, while $h_{[E\underline{DBAC}]}$ is skewsymmetric in B, C , which are also symmetrized. By exchanging A and E in (2.18), we get

$$(2.19) \quad S_4 = \frac{1}{20} \sum_{(D,B,C)} H_{ADCBE} = -\frac{1}{10} H_{ADEBC} = \frac{1}{10} H_{EDABC},$$

by H_{EDABC} skewsymmetric in E and A . Moreover, we have

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} S_5 &= \frac{1}{20} \sum_{(D,B,C)} H_{CDEBA} = -\frac{1}{20} \sum_{(D,B,C)} H_{EDCBA} = S_2 = \frac{1}{10} H_{EDABC}, \\ S_6 &= -\frac{1}{20} \sum_{(D,B,C)} H_{CDABE} = \frac{1}{20} \sum_{(D,B,C)} H_{ADCBE} = S_4 = \frac{1}{10} H_{EDABC}. \end{aligned}$$

The summation of (2.17)-(2.20) give us

$$(2.21) \quad C_{311}^2(h)_{EDABC} = H_{EDABC} = C_{311}(h)_{EDABC}.$$

Thus C_{311} is a projection. □

Proof of Proposition 1.1. (1) Suppose that h satisfy (1.4), then $h = C_{21}(h)$. Thus h belongs to the Weyl module. If h belongs to the Weyl module, then $h = C_{21}(h')$ for some $h' \in \otimes^3 \mathbb{C}^k$. Then $C_{21}(h) = C_{21}^2(h') = C_{21}(h') = h$ by Proposition 2.1, i.e. (1.4) holds.

(2)-(3) can be proved similarly. □

The construction of differential operators $\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}'_2, \mathcal{D}''_2$ given in (1.3) is motivated by the projection maps C_{21}, C_{22}, C_{311} . Define

$$(2.22) \quad \mathcal{D}''_2 = 2\check{\mathcal{D}}''_2 + \widehat{\mathcal{D}}''_2 : \Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_2) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_3),$$

where

$$(2.23) \quad \check{\mathcal{D}}''_2 h = \sum_{(D,B,C)} \nabla_{[E} \nabla_{\underline{D}} h_{\underline{ABC}]} \omega^{EDABC}, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{D}}''_2 h = \sum_{(D,B,C)} \nabla_D \nabla_{[E} h_{\underline{ABC}]} \omega^{EDABC}.$$

In fact, by comparing (1.8) and (2.23) with (2.8)-(2.10), we have

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1 F &= \frac{3}{2} C_{21} (\nabla_A \nabla_B F_C \omega^{ABC}), \\ \mathcal{D}'_2 h &= 6 C_{22} (\nabla_D h_{ABC} \omega^{DABC}), \\ \check{\mathcal{D}}''_2 h &= \frac{10}{3} C_{311} (\nabla_E \nabla_D h_{ABC} \omega^{EDABC}), \\ \widehat{\mathcal{D}}''_2 h &= \frac{10}{3} C_{311} (\nabla_D \nabla_E h_{ABC} \omega^{EDABC}). \end{aligned}$$

Because the image of C_λ is V_λ , we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. (1) $\mathcal{D}_0 f \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}_1)$ for $f \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}_0)$; (2) $\mathcal{D}_1 F \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}_2)$ for $F \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}_1)$; (3) $\mathcal{D}'_2 h \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}'_3)$ and $\mathcal{D}''_2 h \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}''_3)$ for $h \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}_2)$.

3. DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS IN THE SHORT SEQUENCE OF THE DIRAC COMPLEX

3.1. The explicit expression of \mathcal{D}''_2 .

Proposition 3.1. For $h \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}_2)$,

$$(3.1) \quad (\mathcal{D}''_2 h)_{EDABC} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(D,B,C)} (2\nabla_{[E} \nabla_{\underline{D}} h_{A]BC} + \nabla_D \nabla_{[E} h_{A]BC} + \Delta_{BC} h_{[E\underline{D}A]}).$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} 6 \sum_{(D,B,C)} \nabla_{[E} \nabla_{\underline{D}} h_{A]BC} &= 2 \sum_{(D,B,C)} (\nabla_E \nabla_D h_{[A\underline{B}C]} - \nabla_A \nabla_D h_{[E\underline{B}C]} + \nabla_C \nabla_D h_{[E\underline{B}A]}) \\ &= \sum_{(D,B,C)} \left(\nabla_E \nabla_D \sum_{(B,C)} h_{[A\underline{B}C]} - \nabla_A \nabla_D \sum_{(B,C)} h_{[E\underline{B}C]} + \sum_{(B,C)} \nabla_B \nabla_C h_{[E\underline{D}A]} \right) \\ &= \sum_{(D,B,C)} \left(\frac{3}{2} \nabla_E \nabla_D h_{ABC} - \frac{3}{2} \nabla_A \nabla_D h_{EBC} + \Delta_{BC} h_{[E\underline{D}A]} \right) \\ &= \sum_{(D,B,C)} (3\nabla_{[E} \nabla_{\underline{D}} h_{A]BC} + \Delta_{BC} h_{[E\underline{D}A]}), \end{aligned}$$

by using characterization (1.4) of $h \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}_2)$ and

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{(D,B,C)} f_{\dots D \dots B \dots C \dots} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(D,B,C)} \sum_{(B,C)} f_{\dots D \dots B \dots C \dots} = \sum_{(D,B,C)} f_{\dots D \dots C \dots B \dots}, \\ f_{\dots [EAC] \dots} &= \frac{1}{3} (f_{\dots E[AC] \dots} - f_{\dots A[EC] \dots} + f_{\dots C[EA] \dots}), \end{aligned}$$

by the definitions of symmetrization and skewsymmetrization in (2.7). Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} 6 \sum_{(D,B,C)} \nabla_D \nabla_{[E} h_{A]BC} &= 2 \sum_{(D,B,C)} (\nabla_D \nabla_E h_{[A\underline{B}C]} - \nabla_D \nabla_A h_{[E\underline{B}C]} + \nabla_D \nabla_C h_{[E\underline{B}A]}) \\ &= \sum_{(D,B,C)} \left(\nabla_D \nabla_E \sum_{(B,C)} h_{[A\underline{B}C]} - \nabla_D \nabla_A \sum_{(B,C)} h_{[E\underline{B}C]} + \sum_{(B,C)} \nabla_B \nabla_C h_{[E\underline{D}A]} \right) \\ &= \sum_{(D,B,C)} \left(\frac{3}{2} \nabla_D \nabla_E h_{ABC} - \frac{3}{2} \nabla_D \nabla_A h_{EBC} + \Delta_{BC} h_{[E\underline{D}A]} \right) \\ &= \sum_{(D,B,C)} (3\nabla_D \nabla_{[E} h_{A]BC} + \Delta_{BC} h_{[E\underline{D}A]}). \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.1) follows from the summation of the above two identities and the definition of \mathcal{D}_2'' in (2.22)-(2.23). \square

3.2. The short sequence (1.3) is a complex.

Theorem 3.1. (1) $\mathcal{D}_1 \circ \mathcal{D}_0 = 0$. (2) $\mathcal{D}_2' \circ \mathcal{D}_1 = 0$. (3) $\mathcal{D}_2'' \circ \mathcal{D}_1 = 0$.

Proof. (1) Note that

$$(3.3) \quad (\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{ABC} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(B,C)} (\nabla_A \nabla_B F_C - \nabla_C \nabla_B F_A) = \nabla_A \nabla_{(B} F_C) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{BC} F_A,$$

for $F \in \Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_1)$ by definition (1.8), where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{AB} &:= \nabla_A \nabla_B + \nabla_B \nabla_A \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \gamma_j \partial_{A_j} \gamma_k \partial_{B_k} + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \gamma_k \partial_{B_k} \gamma_j \partial_{A_j} = -2 \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{A_j} \partial_{B_j} \text{Id}_{\mathbb{S}^\pm} \end{aligned}$$

is symmetric in A and B . Given $f \in \Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_0)$, and $A, B, C = 0, \dots, k-1$, we have

$$(\mathcal{D}_1 \circ \mathcal{D}_0 f)_{ABC} = \nabla_A \nabla_{(B} \nabla_C) f - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{BC} \nabla_A f = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_A \Delta_{BC} - \Delta_{BC} \nabla_A) f = 0$$

by (1.10).

(2) Given $F \in \Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{V}_1)$ and $D, A, B, C = 0, \dots, k-1$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mathcal{D}_2' \circ \mathcal{D}_1 F)_{DABC} &= \sum_{(A,D),(B,C)} \left(\nabla_D (\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{[ABC]} + \nabla_B (\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{[CDA]} \right) \\ &= \sum_{(A,D)} \nabla_D \sum_{(B,C)} (\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{[ABC]} + \sum_{(B,C)} \nabla_B \sum_{(A,D)} (\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{[CDA]} \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \sum_{(A,D)} \nabla_D (\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{ABC} + \frac{3}{2} \sum_{(B,C)} \nabla_B (\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{CDA}, \end{aligned}$$

by using (1.4), since $\mathcal{D}_1 F \in \mathcal{V}_2$ by Corollary 2.1. But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(A,D)} \nabla_D (\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{ABC} &= \sum_{(A,D)} \nabla_D \left(\nabla_A \nabla_{(B} F_C) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{BC} F_A \right) = \Delta_{AD} \nabla_{(B} F_C) - \Delta_{BC} \nabla_{(D} F_A), \\ \sum_{(B,C)} \nabla_B (\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{CDA} &= \sum_{(B,C)} \nabla_B \left(\nabla_C \nabla_{(D} F_A) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{AD} F_C \right) = \Delta_{BC} \nabla_{(D} F_A) - \Delta_{AD} \nabla_{(B} F_C), \end{aligned}$$

by using (3.3) and (1.10). Their summation vanishes, i.e. $(\mathcal{D}_2' \circ \mathcal{D}_1 F)_{DABC} = 0$.

(3) By the formulae of \mathcal{D}_2'' in (3.1), we have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 4(\mathcal{D}_2'' \circ \mathcal{D}_1 F)_{EDABC} &= 2 \sum_{(D,B,C)} \left(2\nabla_{[E} \nabla_{\underline{D}} (\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{A]BC} + \nabla_D \nabla_{[E} (\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{A]BC} + \Delta_{BC} (\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{[E\underline{D}A]} \right) \\ &= \sum_{(D,B,C)} \left((\nabla_E \nabla_D + \Delta_{DE}) (\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{ABC} - (\nabla_A \nabla_D + \Delta_{DA}) (\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{EBC} + 2\Delta_{BC} (\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{[E\underline{D}A]} \right), \end{aligned}$$

by

$$2\nabla_E \nabla_D + \nabla_D \nabla_E = \nabla_E \nabla_D + \Delta_{DE}.$$

Note that

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\Delta_{BC}(\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{[E\underline{D}A]} &= \Delta_{BC}((\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{EDA} - (\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{ADE}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{BC}\left((\nabla_E\nabla_D + \Delta_{DE})F_A - (\nabla_A\nabla_D + \Delta_{DA})F_E + [\nabla_E, \nabla_A]F_D\right), \end{aligned}$$

by (3.3) again, and

$$(3.7) \quad (\nabla_E\nabla_D + \Delta_{DE})(\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{ABC} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_E\nabla_D + \Delta_{DE})(-\Delta_{BC} \cdot F_A + 2\nabla_A\nabla_{(B}F_C)),$$

by (3.3). By exchanging A and E in (3.7), we get

$$(3.8) \quad -(\nabla_A\nabla_D + \Delta_{DA})(\mathcal{D}_1 F)_{EBC} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_A\nabla_D + \Delta_{DA})(\Delta_{BC} \cdot F_E - 2\nabla_E\nabla_{(B}F_C)).$$

Noting that in the sum of (3.6)-(3.8), terms involving F_A and F_E are canceled each other, respectively, by the commutativity in (1.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &4(\mathcal{D}_2'' \circ \mathcal{D}_1 F)_{EDABC} \\ &= \sum_{(D,B,C)} \left\{ \left((\nabla_E\nabla_D + \Delta_{DE})\nabla_A\nabla_{(B}F_C) - (\nabla_A\nabla_D + \Delta_{DA})\nabla_E\nabla_{(B}F_C) \right) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{BC}[\nabla_E, \nabla_A]F_D \right\} \\ &= \sum_{(D,B,C)} \left\{ \left((\nabla_E\nabla_D + \Delta_{DE})\nabla_A\nabla_C - (\nabla_A\nabla_D + \Delta_{DA})\nabla_E\nabla_C \right) F_B + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{DC}[\nabla_E, \nabla_A]F_B \right\} \\ &= \sum_{(D,B,C)} \left\{ \nabla_E\nabla_D\nabla_A\nabla_C - \nabla_E\Delta_{DA}\nabla_C - \nabla_A\nabla_D\nabla_E\nabla_C + \nabla_A\Delta_{DE}\nabla_C + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{DC}[\nabla_E, \nabla_A] \right\} F_B \\ &= \sum_{(D,B,C)} \left(-\nabla_E\nabla_A\nabla_D\nabla_C + \nabla_A\nabla_E\nabla_D\nabla_C + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{DC}[\nabla_E, \nabla_A] \right) F_B \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(D,B,C)} \left(-[\nabla_E, \nabla_A]\Delta_{DC} + \Delta_{DC}[\nabla_E, \nabla_A] \right) F_B = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by using the first identity in (3.2) repeatedly. Here in the third identity we use anticommutator to move ∇_A, ∇_E to the first two positions. The theorem is proved. \square

4. THE BOUNDARY COMPLEX

4.1. The boundary complex. To prove Hartogs-Bochner extension for monogenic functions in k vector variables, it is necessary to find the first operator of the boundary complex of the Dirac complex (1.3). Let us recall the construction of the boundary complex of a general differential complex on \mathbb{R}^{kn} (see eg. [3, 4, 19, 20]):

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, E^{(0)}) \xrightarrow{A_0(x, \partial)} \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, E^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{A_1(x, \partial)} \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, E^{(2)}) \xrightarrow{A_2(x, \partial)} \dots$$

We say $u \in \Gamma_c(\overline{\Omega}, E^{(j)})$ has *zero Cauchy data* on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ for $A_j(x, \partial)$ if for any $\psi \in \Gamma_c(U, E^{(j+1)})$, i.e. ψ is compactly supported in a given open set U , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle A_j(x, \partial)u, \psi \rangle dV = \int_{\Omega} \langle u, A_j^*(x, \partial)\psi \rangle dV$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product of $E^{(j)}$, and $A_j^*(x, \partial)$ is the formal adjoint operator of $A_j(x, \partial)$. Given an open set U . Set

$$\mathcal{J}_{A_j}(\partial\Omega, U) := \left\{ u \in \Gamma(U, E^{(j)}) ; u \text{ has zero Cauchy data on } \partial\Omega \text{ for } A_j(x, \partial) \right\}.$$

Then $U \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{A_j}(\partial\Omega, U)$ is a sheaf. We must have

$$A_j(x, \partial)\mathcal{J}_{A_j}(\partial\Omega, U) \subset \mathcal{J}_{A_{j+1}}(\partial\Omega, U).$$

This is because

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle A_{j+1}(x, \partial)A_j(x, \partial)u, \psi \rangle dV &= 0 = \int_{\Omega} \langle u, A_j^*(x, \partial)A_{j+1}^*(x, \partial)\psi \rangle dV \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle A_j(x, \partial)u, A_{j+1}^*(x, \partial)\psi \rangle dV, \end{aligned}$$

for any $u \in \mathcal{J}_{A_j}(\partial\Omega, U)$ and compactly supported $\psi \in \Gamma(U, E^{(j+1)})$, by $A_{j+1}A_j = 0$, $A_j^*A_{j+1}^* = 0$. Setting the quotient sheaf

$$Q^{(j)}(U) = \frac{\Gamma(U, E^{(j)})}{\mathcal{J}_{A_j}(\partial\Omega, U)},$$

we obtain a quotient complex of the form

$$Q^{(0)}(\partial\Omega) \xrightarrow{\hat{A}_0} Q^{(1)}(\partial\Omega) \xrightarrow{\hat{A}_1} Q^{(2)}(\partial\Omega) \xrightarrow{\hat{A}_2} \dots$$

where \hat{A}_j is induced by the differential operator $A_j(x, \partial)$, but is not necessarily a differential operator (cf. [4]).

4.2. The formal adjoint operators. Define the inner product on $\otimes^m \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{S}^{\pm}$ as

$$\langle f, G \rangle_{\otimes^m \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{S}^{\pm}} := \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_m=0}^{k-1} \langle f_{A_1 \dots A_m}(x), G_{A_1 \dots A_m}(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^{\pm}},$$

for $f = f_{A_1 \dots A_m} \omega^{A_1 \dots A_m}$, $G = G_{B_1 \dots B_m} \omega^{B_1 \dots B_m} \in \otimes^m \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{S}^{\pm}$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^{\pm}}$ is the standard inner product on the spinor spaces \mathbb{S}^{\pm} . This inner product induces naturally inner products on subspaces \mathcal{V}_j and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$. Let \mathcal{D}_j^* be the formal adjoint operator of \mathcal{D}_j , and

$$dV = \bigwedge_{j=0}^{k-1} (dx_{j1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{jn}),$$

be the standard volume form on \mathbb{R}^{kn} .

Lemma 4.1. (1) For $G = (G_A) \in \Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_1)$, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{D}_0^* G = \sum_{A=0}^{k-1} \nabla_A G_A.$$

(2) For $h \in \Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_2)$, we have

$$(4.2) \quad (\mathcal{D}_1^* h)_C = \sum_{A, B=0}^{k-1} \left(\nabla_B \nabla_A h_{A(BC)} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{AB} h_{CAB} \right).$$

Proof. (1) For $f \in \Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathbb{S}^{\pm})$, $g \in \Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathbb{S}^{\mp})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \langle \nabla_A f, g \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^{\mp}} dV &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \sum_i \langle \gamma_i \partial_{A_i} f, g \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^{\mp}} dV \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \sum_i \langle f, \gamma_i \partial_{A_i} g \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^{\pm}} dV = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \langle f, \nabla_A g \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^{\pm}} dV, \end{aligned}$$

for $A = 0, \dots, k-1$, by integration by part and using $\gamma_j^* = -\gamma_j$ in Lemma 2.1. Thus the formal adjoint ∇_A^* of ∇_A satisfies

$$(4.3) \quad \nabla_A^* = \nabla_A.$$

Consequently, for $G = (G_A) \in \Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_1)$, we have

$$(f, \mathcal{D}_0^* G) = (\mathcal{D}_0 f, G) = \sum_{A=0}^{k-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \langle \nabla_A f, G_A \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} dV = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \left\langle f, \sum_{A=0}^{k-1} \nabla_A G_A \right\rangle_{\mathbb{S}^+} dV.$$

(4.1) follows.

(2) For $F \in \Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (F, \mathcal{D}_1^* h) &= (\mathcal{D}_1 F, h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \langle \mathcal{D}_1 F, h \rangle_{\mathcal{V}_2} dV \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A,B,C=0}^{k-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \langle \nabla_A \nabla_B F_C + \nabla_A \nabla_C F_B - \Delta_{BC} F_A, h_{ABC} \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} dV \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A,B,C=0}^{k-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \left(\langle F_C, \nabla_B \nabla_A h_{ABC} \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} + \langle F_B, \nabla_C \nabla_A h_{ABC} \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} - \langle F_A, \Delta_{BC} h_{ABC} \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} \right) dV \\ &= \sum_{C=0}^{k-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \left\langle F_C, \sum_{A,B=0}^{k-1} \left(\nabla_B \nabla_A h_{A(BC)} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{AB} h_{CAB} \right) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} dV, \end{aligned}$$

by using (3.3), (4.3) and relabeling indices. So we get (4.2). \square

Corollary 4.1. *For any $f \in \Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_0)$, we have $\mathcal{D}_0^* \mathcal{D}_0 f = \Delta f$, where $\Delta = -\sum_{A=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{A_j}^2$ is the Laplacian operator on \mathbb{R}^{kn} .*

Proof. By (4.1), we have

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{D}_0^* \mathcal{D}_0 f = \sum_{A=0}^{k-1} \nabla_A \nabla_A f = \sum_{A=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \gamma_j \partial_{A_j} \gamma_k \partial_{A_k} f = \Delta f.$$

The corollary is proved. \square

Corollary 4.2. *A monogenic function $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ on a domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{kn}$ is real analytic.*

Proof. By (4.4), we have $\mathcal{D}_0^* \mathcal{D}_0 f = \Delta f = 0$, in the sense of distributions. Thus f is harmonic and so it is real analytic at each point of Ω . \square

Without loss of generality, we can assume the defining function of the domain can be written locally as

$$(4.5) \quad \phi(\mathbf{x}) = x_{01} - \rho(x_{02}, \dots, x_{0n}, \dots, x_{(k-1)n}),$$

with $\rho(0, \dots, 0) = 0$, for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{kn}$. Then

$$Z_A = \nabla_A - \nabla_A \phi (\nabla_0 \phi)^{-1} \nabla_0, \quad A = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

are tangential vector fields, because $Z_A \phi = 0$, and

$$T = (\nabla_0 \phi)^{-1} \nabla_0 - \partial_{01},$$

is also tangential. Then

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_0 &= \nabla_0 \phi \cdot T + \nabla_0 \phi \cdot \partial_{01}, \\ \nabla_A &= Z_A + \nabla_A \phi \cdot T + \nabla_A \phi \cdot \partial_{01} = \mathfrak{Z}_A + \nabla_A \phi \cdot \partial_{01}, \quad A = 1, \dots, k-1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{Z}_A := Z_A + \nabla_A \phi \cdot T$$

is the tangential part of ∇_A , i.e. it is a vector field tangential to hypersurfaces $\{\phi = t\}$.

We need the following coarea formula.

Proposition 4.1. ([18, Theorem 1.2.4]) *For a measurable nonnegative function u on an open subset $\tilde{\Omega}$ of \mathbb{R}^N and $f \in C_c^1(\tilde{\Omega})$, we have*

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} u(x) |\text{grad} f(x)| dV(x) = \int_0^\infty dt \int_{\tilde{\Omega} \cap \{f=t\}} u(x) dS(x),$$

where dS is the $(N - 1)$ -dimension Hausdorff measure, which equals to the surface measure if the surface is smooth.

Denote \mathfrak{Z}_A^* be the formal adjoint of \mathfrak{Z}_A on hypersurface $\{\phi = \text{constant}\}$ with respect to the measure $\frac{dS}{|\text{grad}\phi|}$, i.e.

$$\int_{\{\phi=t\}} \mathfrak{Z}_A u \cdot v \frac{dS}{|\text{grad}\phi|} = \int_{\{\phi=t\}} u \cdot \mathfrak{Z}_A^* v \frac{dS}{|\text{grad}\phi|},$$

for $u, v \in \Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn})$.

Proposition 4.2. $\mathfrak{Z}_A^* = \mathfrak{Z}_A$, i.e. the formal adjoint ∇_A^* of ∇_A can be written as

$$(4.7) \quad \nabla_A^* = \mathfrak{Z}_A^* + \nabla_A \phi \cdot \partial_{01}, \quad A = 0, \dots, k-1.$$

Proof. It follows from the coarea formula that for $u, v \in \Gamma_c(\tilde{\Omega})$,

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \mathfrak{Z}_A u \cdot v dV &= \int_0^\infty dt \int_{\tilde{\Omega} \cap \{\phi=t\}} \mathfrak{Z}_A u \cdot v \frac{dS}{|\text{grad}\phi|} \\ &= \int_0^\infty dt \int_{\tilde{\Omega} \cap \{\phi=t\}} u \cdot \mathfrak{Z}_A^* v \frac{dS}{|\text{grad}\phi|} = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} u \cdot \mathfrak{Z}_A^* v dV. \end{aligned}$$

Here we can modify ϕ outside of support of u and v so that it is compactly supported. Then for $f \in \Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathbb{S}^+)$ and $h = (h_A) \in \Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_1)$, since \mathfrak{Z}_A is a scalar operator and

$$(4.9) \quad (\nabla_A \phi)^* = -\nabla_A \phi$$

by $\gamma_j^* = -\gamma_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \langle \nabla_A f, h_A \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} dV &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \langle \mathfrak{Z}_A f, h_A \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} dV + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \langle \nabla_A \phi \cdot \partial_{01} f, h_A \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} dV \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \langle f, \mathfrak{Z}_A^* h_A \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^+} dV + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \langle f, \partial_{01} (\nabla_A \phi h_A) \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} dV, \end{aligned}$$

by (4.6) and (4.8), for $A = 0, \dots, k-1$. Since we have known $\nabla_A^* = \nabla_A$, and

$$(4.10) \quad \partial_{01} (\nabla_A \phi h_A) = \nabla_A \phi \partial_{01} h_A,$$

by $\partial_{01} \nabla_A \phi = \nabla_A \partial_{01} \phi = \nabla_A 1 = 0$, we get $\mathfrak{Z}_A^* = \mathfrak{Z}_A$. The proposition is proved. \square

We need the following formula of integration by part for ∇_A .

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose that Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^{kn} . For any $f \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{S}^\pm)$ and $h \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{S}^\mp)$, we have*

$$(4.11) \quad \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_A f, h \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^\mp} dV = \int_{\Omega} \langle f, \nabla_A h \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^\pm} dV - \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla_A \phi \cdot f, h \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^\mp} \frac{dS}{|\text{grad}\phi|}.$$

Proof. Recall that $\mathbf{n} = -\frac{\text{grad}\phi}{|\text{grad}\phi|}$ is the unit vector outer normal to $\partial\Omega$ with $\text{grad}\phi(\mathbf{x}) = (1, -\rho_{x_{02}}, -\rho_{x_{03}}, \dots)$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_A f, h \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^{\mp}} dV &= \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathfrak{I}_A f, h \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^{\mp}} dV + \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_A \phi \cdot \partial_{01} f, h \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^{\mp}} dV \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle f, \mathfrak{I}_A^* h \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^{\pm}} dV + \int_{\Omega} \langle f, \partial_{01} (\nabla_A \phi \cdot h) \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^{\pm}} dV + \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle f, \nabla_A \phi \cdot h \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^{\pm}} \frac{dS}{|\text{grad}\phi|} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle f, \nabla_A^* h \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^{\pm}} dV - \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla_A \phi \cdot f, h \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^{\pm}} \frac{dS}{|\text{grad}\phi|}, \end{aligned}$$

by (4.8)-(4.10). The proposition is proved. \square

4.3. The characterization of the sheafs $\mathcal{J}_j(U)$'s.

Lemma 4.2. $H \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{V}_1)$ satisfies

$$(4.12) \quad \sum_{(B,C)} \nabla_{[A\phi \nabla_B \phi H_C]} = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \cap U,$$

for any $A, B, C \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, if and only if there exists some $H^{(1)} \in \Gamma(U, \mathbb{S}^+)$ such that

$$(4.13) \quad H_C = \nabla_C \phi \cdot H^{(1)} + O(\phi).$$

Proof. Letting $B = C = 0$ in (4.12), we get

$$\nabla_A \phi \nabla_0 \phi \cdot H_0 = (\nabla_0 \phi)^2 \cdot H_A, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Note that

$$(4.14) \quad (\nabla_0 \phi)^2 = |\nabla_0 \phi|^2 \text{Id}_{\mathbb{S}^{\pm}}$$

commutes $\nabla_A \phi$ and $|\nabla_0 \phi|$ obviously does not vanish by definition (4.5) of ϕ . If denote $H^{(1)} = \nabla_0 \phi (\nabla_0 \phi)^{-2} H_0 \Big|_{\partial\Omega}$, we have

$$H_A = \nabla_A \phi \cdot \nabla_0 \phi (\nabla_0 \phi)^{-2} H_0 = \nabla_A \phi \cdot H^{(1)}, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

for $A = 0, \dots, k-1$. Thus (4.13) follows.

Conversely, H_C given by (4.13) must satisfy (4.12) since

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{(B,C)} \nabla_{[A\phi \nabla_B \phi \nabla_C \phi]} &= \nabla_A \phi \sum_{(B,C)} \nabla_B \phi \nabla_C \phi - \sum_{(B,C)} \nabla_C \phi \nabla_B \phi \nabla_A \phi \\ &= [\nabla_A \phi, \{\nabla_B \phi, \nabla_C \phi\}] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is because

$$\{\nabla_B \phi, \nabla_C \phi\} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\gamma_i \gamma_j \partial_{Bi} \phi \partial_{Cj} \phi + \gamma_j \gamma_i \partial_{Cj} \phi \partial_{Bi} \phi) = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{Bi} \phi \partial_{Ci} \phi \cdot \text{Id}_{\mathbb{S}^{\pm}}.$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 4.3. For $F \in \Gamma(U, \mathbb{S}^{\pm})$, we have

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{(B,C)} \left(\nabla_{[A \nabla_B \phi (\phi \nabla_C \phi \cdot F)]} + \nabla_{[A \phi \nabla_B \phi \nabla_C \phi]} F \right) + O(\phi) \\ &= \sum_{(B,C)} \left(\nabla_{[A (\nabla_B \phi \nabla_C \phi \cdot F)]} + \nabla_{[A \phi \nabla_B (\nabla_C \phi \cdot F)]} + \nabla_{[A \phi \nabla_B \phi \nabla_C \phi]} F \right) + O(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $2 \sum_{(B,C)} \nabla_{[A} \nabla_{\underline{B}} \nabla_{C]} = [\nabla_A, \Delta_{BC}] = 0$, we have

$$(4.17) \quad \sum_{(B,C)} \nabla_{[A} \nabla_{\underline{B}} \nabla_{C]} (\phi^2 F) = 0.$$

On the other hand, for $F \in \Gamma(U, \mathbb{S}^\pm)$,

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_A \nabla_B \nabla_C (\phi^2 F) &= \nabla_A \nabla_B (2\phi \nabla_C \phi \cdot F) + \nabla_A \nabla_B (\phi^2 \nabla_C F) \\ &= 2\nabla_A \left(\nabla_B \phi \nabla_C \phi \cdot F + \phi \nabla_B (\nabla_C \phi \cdot F) \right) + \nabla_A \left(2\phi \nabla_B \phi \nabla_C F + \phi^2 \nabla_B \nabla_C F \right) \\ &= 2\nabla_A (\nabla_B \phi \nabla_C \phi \cdot F) + 2\nabla_A \phi \nabla_B (\nabla_C \phi \cdot F) + 2\nabla_A \phi \nabla_B \phi \nabla_C F + O(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Then (4.16) follows from (4.17) and skewsymmetrization of A and C in (4.18). \square

Proposition 4.4. (1) $\mathcal{J}_0(U)$ consists of \mathbb{S}^+ -valued function f such that $f = O(\phi)$.

(2) $\mathcal{J}_1(U)$ consists of $f = f_C \omega^C$ with

$$(4.19) \quad f_C = \nabla_C \phi \cdot F + \phi (\nabla_C F + \nabla_C \phi \cdot F') + O(\phi^2) = \nabla_C \left(\phi F + \phi^2 \cdot \frac{F'}{2} \right) + O(\phi^2),$$

for some $F, F' \in \Gamma(U, \mathbb{S}^+)$.

Proof. (1) By definition, $\mathcal{J}_0(U)$ is the set of all $f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{V}_0)$ satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{D}_0 f, G \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} dV = \int_{\Omega} \langle f, \mathcal{D}_0^* G \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^+} dV,$$

for any $G \in \Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{V}_1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{D}_0 f, G)_{\mathcal{V}_1} &= \sum_{A=0}^{k-1} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_A f, G_A \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} dV \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle f, \mathcal{D}_0^* G \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^+} dV - \sum_{A=0}^{k-1} \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla_A \phi \cdot f, G_A \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} \frac{dS}{|\text{grad}\phi|}, \end{aligned}$$

by using (4.1) and integration by part (4.11). Since G_A are arbitrarily chosen, $f \in \mathcal{J}_0(U)$ if and only if $\nabla_A \phi \cdot f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Taking A such that $\nabla_A \phi \neq 0$ in a neighbourhood, we have $(\nabla_A \phi)^2 \cdot f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. But by (4.14), we see $f|_{\partial\Omega \cap U} = 0$. So $f = O(\phi)$.

(2) By definition, $\mathcal{J}_1(U)$ is the set of all $f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{V}_1)$ satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{D}_1 f, h \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} dV = \int_{\Omega} \langle f, \mathcal{D}_1^* h \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} dV,$$

for any $h \in \Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{V}_2)$. For $g \in \Gamma_c(U, \mathbb{S}^-)$ with compact support, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_A \nabla_B f_C, g \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} dV &= \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_B f_C, \nabla_A^* g \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^+} dV - \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla_A \phi \nabla_B f_C, g \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^+} \frac{dS}{|\text{grad}\phi|} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle f_C, \nabla_B^* \nabla_A^* g \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} dV - \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla_B \phi f_C, (\mathfrak{Z}_A^* + \nabla_A \phi \cdot \partial_{01}) g \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} \frac{dS}{|\text{grad}\phi|} \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla_A \phi \nabla_B f_C, g \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} \frac{dS}{|\text{grad}\phi|} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle f_C, \nabla_B \nabla_A g \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} dV + \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla_A \phi \nabla_B \phi f_C, \partial_{01} g \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} \frac{dS}{|\text{grad}\phi|} \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \mathfrak{Z}_A (\nabla_B \phi f_C) + \nabla_A \phi \nabla_B f_C, g \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^-} \frac{dS}{|\text{grad}\phi|}, \end{aligned}$$

by using (4.7), integration by part (4.11) twice and (4.9). After skewsymmetrization of A and C and symmetrization B and C above, then apply it to $g = h_{ABC}$ such that $(h_{ABC}) \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{V}_2)$ and take summation over A, B, C to get

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{D}_1 f, h)_{\mathcal{V}_2} &= \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_{[A} \nabla_{\underline{B}} f_{C]} + \nabla_{[A} \nabla_{\underline{C}} f_{B]}, h_{ABC} \rangle_{\mathcal{S}^-} dV \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle f, \mathcal{D}_1^* h \rangle_{\mathcal{S}^-} dV + \sum_{A, B, C} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\langle \mathcal{S}_{ABC}, \partial_{01} h_{ABC} \rangle_{\mathcal{S}^-} - \langle \mathcal{S}'_{ABC}, h_{ABC} \rangle_{\mathcal{S}^-} \right) \frac{dS}{|\text{grad}\phi|} \\ &= (f, \mathcal{D}_1^* h)_{\mathcal{V}_1} + \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\langle \mathcal{S}, \partial_{01} h \rangle_{\mathcal{V}_2} - \langle \mathcal{S}', h \rangle_{\mathcal{V}_2} \right) \frac{dS}{|\text{grad}\phi|}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_1^* h$ is given by (4.2), $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{S}_{ABC})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = (\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{ABC})$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{ABC} &:= \sum_{(B, C)} \left(\nabla_{[A} \phi \nabla_{\underline{B}} \phi f_{C]} \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega}, \\ \mathcal{S}'_{ABC} &:= \sum_{(B, C)} \left(\mathfrak{Z}_{[A} (\nabla_{\underline{B}} \phi f_{C]} + \nabla_{[A} \phi \nabla_{\underline{B}} f_{C]} \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega}, \end{aligned}$$

$A, B, C = 0, \dots, k-1$. Here $\mathcal{S} \in \Gamma(\partial\Omega, \mathcal{V}_2)$ since $\mathcal{S} = \frac{3}{2} C_{21}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}})$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{ABC} = \nabla_A \phi \nabla_B \phi f_C$. Similarly, $\mathcal{S}' \in \Gamma(\partial\Omega, \mathcal{V}_2)$. Since h and $\partial_{01} h$ are arbitrarily chosen \mathcal{V}_2 -valued functions, $f \in \mathcal{J}_1(U)$ if and only if $\mathcal{S} = 0, \mathcal{S}' = 0$. By Lemma 4.2, $\mathcal{S} = 0$ holds if and only if

$$(4.20) \quad f_C = \nabla_C \phi \cdot F + \phi G_C + O(\phi^2),$$

for some $F \in \Gamma(U, \mathbb{S}^+)$ and $G_C \in \Gamma(U, \mathbb{S}^-)$, where $C = 0, \dots, k-1$. Then substitute (4.20) into $\mathcal{S}' = 0$ to get

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{(B, C)} \left\{ \mathfrak{Z}_{[A} (\nabla_{\underline{B}} \phi (\nabla_{\underline{C}} \phi \cdot F)) + \nabla_{[A} \phi \nabla_{\underline{B}} (\nabla_{\underline{C}} \phi \cdot F) + \nabla_{[A} \phi \nabla_{\underline{B}} (\phi G_{C]} \right\} + O(\phi) \\ &=: \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 + O(\phi), \end{aligned}$$

by $\mathfrak{Z}_A \phi = 0$ for any A , where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_3 &= \sum_{(B, C)} \nabla_{[A} \phi \nabla_{\underline{B}} (\phi G_{C]} = \sum_{(B, C)} \nabla_{[A} \phi \nabla_{\underline{B}} \phi \cdot G_{C]} + O(\phi), \\ \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 &= \sum_{(B, C)} \left\{ \mathfrak{Z}_{[A} (\nabla_{\underline{B}} \phi \cdot \nabla_{\underline{C}} \phi \cdot F) + \nabla_{[A} \phi \nabla_{\underline{B}} (\nabla_{\underline{C}} \phi \cdot F) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{(B, C)} \left\{ \nabla_{[A} (\nabla_{\underline{B}} \phi \cdot \nabla_{\underline{C}} \phi \cdot F) - \nabla_{[A} \phi \nabla_{\underline{B}} \phi \nabla_{\underline{C}} \phi \cdot \partial_{01} F + \nabla_{[A} \phi \nabla_{\underline{B}} (\nabla_{\underline{C}} \phi \cdot F) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{(B, C)} \left(\nabla_{[A} (\nabla_{\underline{B}} \phi \cdot \nabla_{\underline{C}} \phi \cdot F) + \nabla_{[A} \phi \nabla_{\underline{B}} (\nabla_{\underline{C}} \phi \cdot F) \right) + O(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Here the second identity holds by (4.10) and the last identity holds by (4.15). By the second identity of (4.16) in Lemma 4.3, we have

$$0 = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \sum_{(B, C)} \nabla_{[A} \phi \nabla_{\underline{B}} \phi \nabla_{\underline{C}} F + O(\phi).$$

Then (4.21) is equivalent to

$$(4.22) \quad \sum_{(B,C)} \nabla_{[A\phi\nabla_B\phi} (G_C] - \nabla_C]F) + O(\phi) = 0.$$

Then apply Lemma 4.2 again to $H_C = G_C - \nabla_C F$ to get $G_C - \nabla_C F = \nabla_C \phi \cdot F' + O(\phi)$, for some $F' \in \Gamma(U, \mathbb{S}^-)$. The proposition is proved. \square

4.4. The tangential several Dirac operators. By the characterization of $\mathcal{J}_0(U)$ and $\mathcal{J}_1(U)$ in Proposition 4.4, we have isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0 : \Gamma(U, \mathcal{V}_0) / \mathcal{J}_0(U) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma(U \cap \partial\Omega, \mathcal{V}_0), \\ f = \hat{f} + \phi \hat{f}' + O(\phi^2) &\mapsto \hat{f}, \end{aligned}$$

for \hat{f}, \hat{f}' independent of x_{01} , where $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{V}_0$, and

$$\pi_1 : \Gamma(U, \mathcal{V}_1) / \mathcal{J}_1(U) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma(U \cap \partial\Omega, \mathcal{V}_1),$$

with $\mathcal{V}_1 \cong (\mathbb{S}^- \otimes \mathbb{C}^{k-1}) \oplus (\mathbb{S}^- \otimes \mathbb{C}^{k-1})$, given by

$$F = \hat{F} + \phi \hat{F}' + O(\phi^2) \mapsto (F_1, F_2),$$

for \hat{F}, \hat{F}' independent of x_{01} , where

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} (F_1)_\mu &= \hat{F}_\mu - \nabla_\mu \phi (\nabla_0 \phi)^{-1} \hat{F}_0, \\ (F_2)_\mu &= \hat{F}'_\mu - \nabla_\mu \left((\nabla_0 \phi)^{-1} \hat{F}_0 \right) - \nabla_\mu \phi (\nabla_0 \phi)^{-1} \left(\hat{F}'_0 - \nabla_0 \left((\nabla_0 \phi)^{-1} \hat{F}_0 \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$. Note that for $\mu = 0$, $(F_1)_0 = (F_2)_0 = 0$ by definition. π_1 is well-defined because for $F_A = \nabla_A \left(\phi F + \phi^2 \frac{F'}{2} \right) + O(\phi^2) \in \mathcal{J}_1(U)$, if we take $\hat{F}_A = \nabla_A \phi \cdot F$, $\hat{F}'_A = \nabla_A F + \nabla_A \phi \cdot F'$, it is easy to check that $\pi_1(F) = 0$ by (4.23). Then we call the operator induced from several Dirac operators the *tangential several Dirac operators*:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_0 : \Gamma(U \cap \partial\Omega, \mathcal{V}_0) &\rightarrow \Gamma(U \cap \partial\Omega, \mathcal{V}_1), \\ \hat{f} &\mapsto \pi_1 \left(\mathcal{D}_0 \hat{f} \right) = (F_1, F_2). \end{aligned}$$

It is given by

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} (F_1)_\mu &= \nabla_\mu \hat{f} - \nabla_\mu \phi (\nabla_0 \phi)^{-1} \nabla_0 \hat{f} = Z_\mu \hat{f}, \\ (F_2)_\mu &= -\nabla_\mu \left((\nabla_0 \phi)^{-1} \nabla_0 \hat{f} \right) + \nabla_\mu \phi (\nabla_0 \phi)^{-1} \nabla_0 \left((\nabla_0 \phi)^{-1} \nabla_0 \hat{f} \right) = -Z_\mu T \hat{f}, \end{aligned}$$

by $T \hat{f} = (\nabla_0 \phi)^{-1} \nabla_0 \hat{f}$, since \hat{f} is independent of x_{01} , for $\mu = 1, \dots, k-1$. On a domain D in $\partial\Omega$, a function $\hat{f} \in \Gamma(D, \mathcal{V}_0)$ is called *tangentially monogenic* if $\mathcal{D}_0 \hat{f} = 0$, i.e. $Z_\mu \hat{f} = 0$ and $Z_\mu T \hat{f} = 0$. Equivalently, $Z_\mu \hat{f} = 0$ and $[Z_\mu, T] \hat{f} = 0$ as a system of first order differential equations.

Proposition 4.5. *If $\hat{f} \in C^\infty(\partial\Omega, \mathcal{V}_0)$ is tangentially monogenic, there exists a representative $\tilde{f} \in \Gamma(\bar{\Omega}, \mathcal{V}_0)$ such that $\tilde{f}|_{\partial\Omega} = \hat{f}$ and $\mathcal{D}_0 \tilde{f}$ is flat on $\partial\Omega$.*

Proof. Since \hat{f} is tangentially monogenic, $\mathcal{D}_0 \hat{f} = 0$, i.e. $Z_A \hat{f} = 0, Z_A T \hat{f} = 0$. Let \tilde{f} be a smooth extension of \hat{f} to a neighborhood of $\bar{\Omega}$, we see that $\pi_1 \mathcal{D}_0 \tilde{f} = 0$ by (4.24), i.e. $\mathcal{D}_0 \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{J}_1(U)$ for any open set U . Thus

$$\nabla_C \tilde{f} = \nabla_C \left(\phi \tilde{F} + \phi^2 \cdot \frac{\tilde{F}'}{2} \right) + O(\phi^2),$$

for some \mathbb{S}^- -valued function \tilde{F}, \tilde{F}' , by Proposition 4.4. Then we have

$$\nabla_C(\tilde{f} - \phi\tilde{F}) = \phi\nabla_C\phi \cdot \tilde{F}' + \phi^2\tilde{G}_C + O(\phi^3),$$

for some \mathbb{S}^- -valued function \tilde{G}_C . As $\mathcal{D}_1 \circ \mathcal{D}_0(\tilde{f} - \phi\tilde{F}) = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{(B,C)} \nabla_{[A}\nabla_{\underline{B}}(\phi\nabla_{C]}\phi \cdot \tilde{F}') + \sum_{(B,C)} \nabla_{[A}\nabla_{\underline{B}}(\phi^2\tilde{G}_{C]}) + O(\phi) \\ &= \sum_{(B,C)} \nabla_{[A}\phi\nabla_{\underline{B}}\phi(2\tilde{G}_{C]} - \nabla_{C]}\tilde{F}') + O(\phi), \end{aligned}$$

by the first identity of (4.16) in Lemma 4.3 and

$$\nabla_A\nabla_B(\phi^2\tilde{G}_C) = 2\nabla_A\phi\nabla_B\phi\tilde{G}_C + O(\phi).$$

Then by using Lemma 4.2, we have

$$2\tilde{G}_C = \nabla_C\tilde{F}' + \nabla_C\phi \cdot \tilde{h}' + O(\phi),$$

for some \mathbb{S}^- -valued function \tilde{h}' . Therefore

$$\nabla_C(\tilde{f} - \phi\tilde{F}) = \phi \left[\nabla_C\phi \cdot \tilde{F}' + \frac{\phi}{2} (\nabla_C\tilde{F}' + \nabla_C\phi \cdot \tilde{h}') + O(\phi^2) \right],$$

and so

$$\nabla_C \left(\tilde{f} - \phi\tilde{F} - \frac{\phi^2}{2}\tilde{F}' \right) = \frac{\phi^2}{2}\nabla_C\phi \cdot \tilde{h}' + O(\phi^3).$$

Repeating this procedure, we get

$$\nabla_C \left(\tilde{f} + \phi\tilde{f}^{(1)} + \phi^2\tilde{f}^{(2)} + \dots \right) = O_{\partial\Omega}^\infty$$

with a formal power series in ϕ with coefficients C^∞ on $\partial\Omega$, where $O_{\partial\Omega}^\infty$ denotes functions vanishing of infinite order on $\partial\Omega$. By using Whitney extension theorem as in [1, 2] we get the conclusion. The proposition is proved. \square

Remark 4.1. (1) *Such extension for CR functions was constructed by Andreotti-Hill [1, 2], while extension for pluriharmonic functions satisfying $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -equation was constructed by Andreotti-Nacinovich in [4]. Recently, such extension for k -CF functions was constructed by the second author in [36].*

(2) *It is sufficient to prove Theorem 1.2 that there exists an extension $\tilde{f} \in C^3(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $\mathcal{D}_0\tilde{f}$ vanishes on $\partial\Omega$ up to derivatives of second order.*

5. ELLIPTIC COMPLEX AND SOLUTIONS OF NON-HOMOGENEOUS SEVERAL DIRAC EQUATIONS

5.1. The ellipticity of the short Dirac complex. A differential complex

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(\Omega, V_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_0} \dots \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{j-1}} \Gamma(\Omega, V_j) \rightarrow \dots,$$

is called *elliptic* if its symbol sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_0 \xrightarrow{\sigma(\mathcal{D}_0)(\mathbf{x};\xi)} \dots \xrightarrow{\sigma(\mathcal{D}_{j-1})(\mathbf{x};\xi)} V_j \rightarrow \dots,$$

is exact for any $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{kn} \setminus \{0\}$, i.e.

$$\ker \sigma(\mathcal{D}_l)(\mathbf{x};\xi) = \text{Im } \sigma(\mathcal{D}_{l-1})(\mathbf{x};\xi).$$

The symbol of differential operator ∇_A is

$$(5.2) \quad \sigma(\nabla_A)(\mathbf{x}; \xi) = -\mathbf{i} \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j \xi_{Aj} := \xi_A,$$

which is a linear transformation $\mathbb{S}^\pm \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^\mp$.

Denote $|\xi_A|^2 := \xi_{A1}^2 + \cdots + \xi_{An}^2$ and $\xi_{BC} := \xi_B \xi_C + \xi_C \xi_B$. Then

$$(5.3) \quad \xi_A \xi_A = -\mathbf{i} \sum_k \gamma_k \xi_{Ak} \left(-\mathbf{i} \sum_j \gamma_j \xi_{Aj} \right) = |\xi_A|^2 \text{id}_{\mathbb{S}^\pm}.$$

Lemma 5.1. *For $\Theta \in \ker \sigma'_2(\xi) \cap \ker \sigma''_2(\xi)$, we have*

$$(5.4) \quad |\xi_0|^2 \Theta_{ABC} = \xi_A \xi_B \Theta_{00C} + \xi_A \xi_C \Theta_{00B} - \xi_{BC} \Theta_{00A}.$$

Proof. Firstly, note that since $\Theta \in \mathcal{V}_2$, we have

$$(5.5) \quad \Theta_{[ABC]} + \Theta_{[ACB]} = \frac{3}{2} \Theta_{ABC}, \quad \Theta_{ABC} = \Theta_{ACB},$$

by the characterization of \mathcal{V}_2 in (1.4)-(1.5). It follows from $\Theta \in \ker \sigma'_2(\xi)$ that

$$(5.6) \quad (\sigma'_2(\xi)\Theta)_{DABC} = \frac{3}{2} (\xi_D \Theta_{ABC} + \xi_A \Theta_{DBC} + \xi_B \Theta_{CDA} + \xi_C \Theta_{BDA}) = 0,$$

as in (3.4). If letting $B = C = 0$ in the first identity in (5.5), we get

$$(5.7) \quad \Theta_{A00} = -2\Theta_{00A}.$$

Letting $A = D = 0$ in (5.6), we get

$$(5.8) \quad 2\xi_0 \Theta_{0BC} + \xi_B \Theta_{C00} + \xi_C \Theta_{B00} = 0,$$

i.e.

$$(5.9) \quad \xi_0 \Theta_{0BC} = \xi_B \Theta_{00C} + \xi_C \Theta_{00B},$$

by (5.7).

On the other hand, for $\Theta \in \ker \sigma''_2(\xi)$, as in (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\sigma''_2(\xi)\Theta)_{EDABC} &= \sum_{(D,B,C)} \left(2\xi_{[E}\xi_{D}\Theta_{A]BC} + \xi_D \xi_{[E}\Theta_{A]BC} + \xi_{BC} \Theta_{[EDA]} \right) \\ &= (\xi_E \xi_D + \xi_{DE}) \Theta_{ABC} - (\xi_A \xi_D + \xi_{DA}) \Theta_{EBC} + \xi_{BC} (\Theta_{EDA} - \Theta_{ADE}) \\ &\quad + (\xi_E \xi_C + \xi_{CE}) \Theta_{ABD} - (\xi_A \xi_C + \xi_{CA}) \Theta_{EBD} + \xi_{BD} (\Theta_{ECA} - \Theta_{ACE}) \\ &\quad + (\xi_E \xi_B + \xi_{BE}) \Theta_{ADC} - (\xi_A \xi_B + \xi_{BA}) \Theta_{EDC} + \xi_{DC} (\Theta_{EBA} - \Theta_{ABE}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $D = E = 0$ above, we get

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= 3|\xi_0|^2 \Theta_{ABC} - (2\xi_A \xi_0 + \xi_0 \xi_A) \Theta_{0BC} + \xi_{BC} (\Theta_{00A} - \Theta_{A00}) \\ &\quad + (2\xi_0 \xi_C + \xi_C \xi_0) \Theta_{AB0} - (2\xi_A \xi_C + \xi_C \xi_A) \Theta_{00B} + \xi_{0B} (\Theta_{0CA} - \Theta_{AC0}) \\ &\quad + (2\xi_0 \xi_B + \xi_B \xi_0) \Theta_{A0C} - (2\xi_A \xi_B + \xi_B \xi_A) \Theta_{00C} + \xi_{0C} (\Theta_{0BA} - \Theta_{AB0}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Theta_{A0C} = \Theta_{AC0}$, and

$$(5.11) \quad \Theta_{0BA} + \Theta_{AB0} = -\Theta_{BA0},$$

by letting $C = 0$ in (5.5), and

$$(5.12) \quad \xi_A \Theta_{0BC} + \xi_B \Theta_{CA0} + \xi_C \Theta_{BA0} = -\xi_0 \Theta_{ABC},$$

by letting $D = 0$ in (5.6). We can use (5.11) to simplify (5.10) to get

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 = & 3|\xi_0|^2 \Theta_{ABC} - (2\xi_A \xi_0 + \xi_0 \xi_A) \Theta_{0BC} + 3\xi_{BC} \Theta_{00A} \\ & - \xi_0 \xi_C \Theta_{BA0} + \xi_C \xi_0 \Theta_{0BA} - \xi_0 \xi_B \Theta_{CA0} + \xi_B \xi_0 \Theta_{0CA} \\ & - (2\xi_A \xi_C + \xi_C \xi_A) \Theta_{00B} - (2\xi_A \xi_B + \xi_B \xi_A) \Theta_{00C}, \end{aligned}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} (2\xi_0 \xi_C + \xi_C \xi_0) \Theta_{AB0} + \xi_{0C} (\Theta_{0BA} - \Theta_{AB0}) = & \xi_0 \xi_C (\Theta_{AB0} + \Theta_{0BA}) + \xi_C \xi_0 \Theta_{0BA} \\ = & -\xi_0 \xi_C \Theta_{BA0} + \xi_C \xi_0 \Theta_{0BA}, \end{aligned}$$

and the same formula with B and C exchanged. Now substitute (5.12) into (5.13) further to get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & 4|\xi_0|^2 \Theta_{ABC} - 2\xi_A \xi_0 \Theta_{0BC} + \xi_C \xi_0 \Theta_{0BA} + \xi_B \xi_0 \Theta_{0CA} - (2\xi_A \xi_C + \xi_C \xi_A) \Theta_{00B} \\ & - 2(\xi_A \xi_B + \xi_B \xi_A) \Theta_{00C} + 3\xi_{BC} \Theta_{00A} \\ = & 4|\xi_0|^2 \Theta_{ABC} - 4\xi_A \xi_B \Theta_{00C} - 4\xi_A \xi_C \Theta_{00B} + 4\xi_{BC} \Theta_{00A}, \end{aligned}$$

by using (5.9) in the last identity. The lemma is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{kn} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, we can assume $\xi_0 \neq 0$ without loss of generality. For any $\vartheta \in \ker \sigma_0(\xi)$, we have $(\sigma_0(\xi)\vartheta)_A = \xi_A \vartheta = 0$, for $A = 0, \dots, k-1$. Then $0 = \xi_A \xi_A \vartheta = |\xi_A|^2 \vartheta$, by (5.3). So $\vartheta = 0$. Hence σ_0 is injective.

If $\eta = (\eta_A) \in \ker \sigma_1(\xi)$, we have

$$(5.14) \quad \xi_A \xi_B \eta_C + \xi_A \xi_C \eta_B - \xi_C \xi_B \eta_A - \xi_B \xi_C \eta_A = 0,$$

by definition (1.8). Letting $C = B = 0$ in (5.14), we have

$$(5.15) \quad \xi_A \xi_0 \eta_0 = |\xi_0|^2 \eta_A.$$

Set $\vartheta := \frac{\xi_0 \eta_0}{|\xi_0|^2} \in \mathcal{V}_0$. Then

$$(5.16) \quad (\sigma_0(\xi)\vartheta)_A = \xi_A \frac{\xi_0 \eta_0}{|\xi_0|^2} = \eta_A,$$

for $A = 0, \dots, k-1$, i.e. $\ker \sigma_1(\xi) \subset \text{Im } \sigma_0(\xi)$. It follows from $\mathcal{D}_1 \circ \mathcal{D}_0 = 0$ that $\sigma_1(\xi) \circ \sigma_0(\xi) = 0$. So we have $\text{Im } \sigma_0(\xi) = \ker \sigma_1(\xi)$.

By Theorem 3.1, $\mathcal{D}'_2 \circ \mathcal{D}_1 = 0$, $\mathcal{D}''_2 \circ \mathcal{D}_1 = 0$. It follows that $\sigma'_2(\xi) \circ \sigma_1(\xi) = 0$, $\sigma''_2(\xi) \circ \sigma_1(\xi) = 0$, for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{kn} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, respectively. Thus $\ker \sigma'_2(\xi) \cap \ker \sigma''_2(\xi) \supset \text{Im } \sigma_1(\xi)$. Conversely, for $\Theta \in \ker \sigma'_2(\xi) \cap \ker \sigma''_2(\xi)$, take $\eta \in \mathcal{V}_1$ with

$$\eta_A := \frac{2\Theta_{00A}}{|\xi_0|^2},$$

$A = 0, \dots, k-1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_1(\xi)\eta)_{ABC} &= \frac{1}{2} (\xi_A \xi_B \eta_C + \xi_A \xi_C \eta_B - \xi_{BC} \eta_A) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\xi_0|^2} (\xi_A \xi_B \Theta_{00C} + \xi_A \xi_C \Theta_{00B} - \xi_{BC} \Theta_{00A}) = \Theta_{ABC}, \end{aligned}$$

by (5.4) in Lemma 5.1. Thus we have $\ker \sigma'_2(\xi) \cap \ker \sigma''_2(\xi) \subset \text{Im } \sigma_1(\xi)$. The theorem is proved. \square

5.2. The uniform ellipticity of the associated Hodge-Laplacian operators. The natural Hodge Laplacian associated to the differential complex (1.3) should be

$$\tilde{\square}_j := \mathcal{D}_{j-1}\mathcal{D}_{j-1}^* + \mathcal{D}_j^*\mathcal{D}_j,$$

for $j = 1, 2$. But \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2'' are differential operators of second order, while $\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_2'$ are of first order. Thus $\tilde{\square}_1$ and $\tilde{\square}_2$ have degenerate principal symbols and are not uniformly elliptic. So it is better to consider the associated Hodge Laplacians of fourth order as

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \square_0 &:= (\mathcal{D}_0^*\mathcal{D}_0)^2, \\ \square_1 &:= (\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{D}_0^*)^2 + \mathcal{D}_1^*\mathcal{D}_1, \\ \square_2 &:= \mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{D}_1^* + (\mathcal{D}_2'\mathcal{D}_2')^2 + \mathcal{D}_2''^*\mathcal{D}_2''. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\square_0 = \Delta^2$ by Corollary 4.1.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $L_j(\xi) := \sigma(\square_j)(\xi)$ and $r_j = \dim(\mathcal{V}_j)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} L_0(\xi) &= (\sigma_0(\xi)^*\sigma_0(\xi))^2, \\ L_1(\xi) &= (\sigma_0(\xi)\sigma_0(\xi)^*)^2 + \sigma_1(\xi)^*\sigma_1(\xi), \\ L_2(\xi) &= \sigma_1(\xi)\sigma_1(\xi)^* + (\sigma_2'(\xi)^*\sigma_2'(\xi))^2 + \sigma_2''(\xi)^*\sigma_2''(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

are all automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^{r_j} respectively, for any fixed dual variable $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{kn} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, and are all homogeneous of degree 4 in ξ .

Proof. The proof is similar to [31] [32] for k -Cauchy-Fueter complexes. We sketch it for $L_1(\xi)$. By definition, $L_1(\xi)$ is homogeneous of degree 4 in ξ . Note that $\text{range } \sigma_1(\xi) \perp \ker \sigma_1(\xi)^*$, and

$$(5.18) \quad \mathbb{C}^{r_1} = \text{range } \sigma_1(\xi)^* \oplus \ker \sigma_1(\xi)$$

are orthogonal decompositions by the ellipticity (1.9) and simple linear algebra. Therefore $\text{range } \sigma_1(\xi)^*\sigma_1(\xi) = \text{range } \sigma_1(\xi)^*$. Similarly $\text{range } \sigma_0(\xi)^* \oplus \ker \sigma_0(\xi) = \mathbb{C}^{r_0}$ is a orthogonal decomposition, and so

$$(5.19) \quad \text{range } \sigma_0(\xi)\sigma_0(\xi)^* = \text{range } \sigma_0(\xi) = \ker \sigma_1(\xi).$$

Substitute them into the decomposition (5.18) to get

$$\mathbb{C}^{r_1} = \text{range } \sigma_0(\xi)\sigma_0(\xi)^* \oplus \text{range } \sigma_1(\xi)^*\sigma_1(\xi),$$

for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{kn} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. We also have $\text{range } (\sigma_0(\xi)\sigma_0(\xi)^*)^2 = \text{range } \sigma_0(\xi)\sigma_0(\xi)^*$ by using (5.19), $\sigma_0(\xi)^*\sigma_1(\xi)^* = 0$ and the decomposition (5.18). Therefore,

$$\mathbb{C}^{r_1} = \text{range } (\sigma_0(\xi)\sigma_0(\xi)^*)^2 \oplus \text{range } \sigma_1(\xi)^*\sigma_1(\xi),$$

Then the linear transformation $L_1(\xi)$ is automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^{r_1} for any fixed $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{kn} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Similarly, $L_0(\xi)$ and $L_2(\xi)$ are also automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^{r_0} and \mathbb{C}^{r_2} , respectively. \square

Proposition 5.1 implies that the associated Laplacian operators in (5.17) are all uniformly elliptic differential operators of fourth order with constant coefficients, i.e. there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1}|\xi|^4 I_{r_j} \leq L_j(\xi) \leq C|\xi|^4 I_{r_j}.$$

Let $G_j(\xi) = L_j(\xi)^{-1}$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{kn} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. It is a smooth homogeneous functions of degree $4 - kn$. Let \mathbf{G}_j be the convolution operator with kernel G_j . The following result in harmonic analysis can be applied to \mathbf{G}_j .

Proposition 5.2. ([14, Proposition 2.4.7]) *Let $K \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{kn} \setminus \{0\})$ be a homogeneous function of degree $l - kn$, and let \mathbf{K} be the operator defined by $\mathbf{K}\phi = \phi * K$. Then, for $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{kn})$, $A_1, \dots, A_l = 0, \dots, k-1, j_1, \dots, j_l = 1, \dots, n$,*

$$(5.20) \quad \partial_{A_1 j_1} \dots \partial_{A_l j_l}(\mathbf{K}\phi) = P.V.(\phi * \partial_{A_1 j_1} \dots \partial_{A_l j_l} K) + a_{A_1 j_1 \dots A_l j_l} \phi,$$

for some constant $a_{A_1 j_1 \dots A_l j_l}$. Each term in (5.20) is C^∞ and the identity holds as C^∞ functions.

Moreover, $\partial_{A_1 j_1} \dots \partial_{A_l j_l} K$ is a Calderon-Zygmund kernel on \mathbb{R}^{kn} . The singular integral operator $f \rightarrow P.V.(f * \partial_{A_1 j_1} \dots \partial_{A_l j_l} K)$ is bounded on L^p for $1 < p < \infty$. (5.20) holds as L^p functions.

Proposition 5.3. *The associated Hodge-Laplacian \square_j has the inverse \mathbf{G}_j in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$. \mathbf{G}_j can be extended to a bounded linear operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$ to $W^{4,p}(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$, for $1 < p < \infty$. For a \mathcal{V}_j -valued function f in $C_c^l(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$ and any non-negative integer l , we have $\mathbf{G}_j f \in C^{l+3}(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$.*

Proof. For $f \in \Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$,

$$(5.21) \quad \mathbf{G}_j f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} G_j(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{y}) dV(\mathbf{y}),$$

for $j = 0, 1, 2$. Then for $f \in \Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$,

$$(5.22) \quad \mathbf{G}_j \square_j f = \square_j \mathbf{G}_j f = f,$$

holds as L^2 functions, since their Fourier transformations are the same as L^2 functions. Since $L_j(\xi)$ are symmetric matrices by Proposition 5.1, we see that $G_j(\xi)$ are also symmetric. It follows from Plancherel theorem that $\mathbf{G}_j, j = 0, 1, 2$, is formally self-adjoint in the following sense:

$$(\mathbf{G}_j \phi, \psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)} = (\phi, \mathbf{G}_j \psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)},$$

for any $\phi, \psi \in \Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$.

On the other hand, G_j satisfies the following decay estimates

$$(5.23) \quad |\partial_{A_1 j_1} \dots \partial_{A_m j_m} G_j(\mathbf{x})| \leq \frac{C_{A_1 j_1 \dots A_m j_m}}{|\mathbf{x}|^{kn-4+m}},$$

for some constant $C_{A_1 j_1 \dots A_m j_m} > 0$ depending on $A_1, \dots, A_m = 0, \dots, k-1, j_1, \dots, j_m = 1, \dots, n$. By Proposition 5.2, the convolution with a homogeneous function of degree $-kn + l$ can be extended to a bounded linear operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{kn})$ to $W^{l,p}(\mathbb{R}^{kn})$. \mathbf{G}_j is bounded from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$ to $W^{4,2}(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$. So \mathbf{G}_j can be extended to a bounded operator from $W^{-4,2}(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$ by the duality argument. In particular, it is bounded from $W^{-2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$. Thus (5.22) holds as bounded linear operator from L^2 to L^2 , i.e. \mathbf{G}_j is the inverse operator of Laplacian \square_j in L^2 .

When $f \in C_c^l(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$, $\mathbf{G}_j f \in C^{l+3}(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$ by differentiation (5.21). The proposition is proved. \square

5.3. Solutions of non-homogeneous several Dirac equations. To show the Hartogs-Bochner extension, we need to solve non-homogeneous several Dirac equations

$$(5.24) \quad \mathcal{D}_0 u = f,$$

under the compatibility condition

$$(5.25) \quad \mathcal{D}_1 f = 0.$$

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_1)$ satisfies the compatibility condition (5.25) in sense of distributions. Then there exists $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_0)$ satisfying the non-homogeneous equation (5.24). Furthermore, if $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_1)$ with $\mathcal{D}_1 f = 0$ in the sense of distributions, then there exists $u \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_0) \cap W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_0)$ satisfying (5.24) and vanishing on the unbounded connected component of $\mathbb{R}^{kn} \setminus \text{supp} f$.*

Proof. Recall that \mathbf{G}_1 is the inverse operator of \square_1 on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_1)$ by Proposition 5.3. We assume $f \in \Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_1)$ first. Then

$$u := \mathcal{D}_0^* \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_0^* \mathbf{G}_1 f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathcal{V}_0),$$

by Proposition 5.3. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1 \square_1 f &= \mathcal{D}_1 \left(\mathcal{D}_1^* \mathcal{D}_1 + (\mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_0^*)^2 \right) f = \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_1^* \mathcal{D}_1 f \\ (5.26) \quad &= \left(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_1^* + (\mathcal{D}_2'^* \mathcal{D}_2')^2 + \mathcal{D}_2''^* \mathcal{D}_2'' \right) \mathcal{D}_1 f = \square_2 \mathcal{D}_1 f, \end{aligned}$$

by $\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0 = 0, \mathcal{D}_2' \mathcal{D}_1 = 0, \mathcal{D}_2'' \mathcal{D}_1 = 0$. Now for $f \in \Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_1)$, we have

$$(5.27) \quad \square_2 (\mathbf{G}_2 \mathcal{D}_1 f - \mathcal{D}_1 \mathbf{G}_1 f) = \mathcal{D}_1 f - \mathcal{D}_1 \square_1 \mathbf{G}_1 f = 0,$$

by using (5.26). For $f \in \Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_1)$, it is direct to see that $(\mathbf{G}_2 \mathcal{D}_1 f - \mathcal{D}_1 \mathbf{G}_1 f)^\wedge \in L^2$, and $L_2(\xi) (\mathbf{G}_2 \mathcal{D}_1 f - \mathcal{D}_1 \mathbf{G}_1 f)^\wedge(\xi) = 0$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{kn}$ by (5.27). Thus $(\mathbf{G}_2 \mathcal{D}_1 f - \mathcal{D}_1 \mathbf{G}_1 f)^\wedge = 0$ in L^2 , and so by Plancherel theorem $\mathbf{G}_2 \mathcal{D}_1 f = \mathcal{D}_1 \mathbf{G}_1 f$ in L^2 . Moreover, $\mathbf{G}_2 \mathcal{D}_1 f = \mathcal{D}_1 \mathbf{G}_1 f$ pointwisely, since they are both smooth by Proposition 5.3. Then

$$(5.28) \quad \mathbf{G}_2 \mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{D}_1 \mathbf{G}_1,$$

as operators from $\Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_1)$ to $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_2)$ by Proposition 5.3. Thus

$$(5.29) \quad \mathcal{D}_1 \mathbf{G}_1 f = \mathbf{G}_2 \mathcal{D}_1 f = 0,$$

and so

$$(5.30) \quad \mathcal{D}_0 u = \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_0^* \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_0^* \mathbf{G}_1 f = \left((\mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_0^*)^2 + \mathcal{D}_1^* \mathcal{D}_1 \right) \mathbf{G}_1 f = f,$$

i.e. $u = \mathcal{D}_0^* \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_0^* \mathbf{G}_1 f$ satisfies (5.24) for $f \in \Gamma_c(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathcal{V}_1)$. Since \mathbf{G}_j is bounded from $W^{-2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_j)$ by the proof of Proposition 5.3, the identity (5.28) holds as bounded linear operators from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_1)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_2)$ and so the identity (5.29) holds for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_1)$. Thus (5.30) holds as L^2 functions for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_1)$ satisfying $\mathcal{D}_1 f = 0$ in the sense of distributions. Thus $u = \mathcal{D}_0^* \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_0^* \mathbf{G}_1 f$ satisfies the equation (5.24).

Suppose that f is supported in a bounded $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. By $\mathcal{D}_0 u = f$, we see that u is monogenic on $\mathbb{R}^{kn} \setminus \Omega$. Since the integral kernel $K(\mathbf{x})$ of $\mathcal{D}_0^* \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_0^* \mathbf{G}_1$ decays as $|\mathbf{x}|^{-kn+1}$ for large $|\mathbf{x}|$ by decay estimate (5.23) and f is compactly supported, we see that

$$(5.31) \quad |u(\mathbf{x})| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} K(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{y}) dV(\mathbf{y}) \right| \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |\mathbf{x}|)^{kn-1}},$$

for some constant $C > 0$. So $\lim_{|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty} u(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. Since Ω is bounded, its projection to $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbf{x}_0}^n$ is also bounded and so is contained in a ball centered at origin with radius, say M . For $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}')$ with $\mathbf{x}' = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{k-1})$, if $|\mathbf{x}_0| > M$, then

$$\left(\{\mathbf{x}_0\} \times \mathbb{R}_{\mathbf{x}'}^{(k-1)n} \right) \cap \bar{\Omega} = \emptyset.$$

Thus, $u(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}')$ for $|\mathbf{x}_0| > M$ is monogenic in variable $\mathbf{x}' \in \mathbb{R}^{(k-1)n}$, and vanishes at infinity. Since

$$(5.32) \quad \Delta' u(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}') = 0,$$

by Corollary 4.2, where $\Delta' = -\sum_{A=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{A_j}^2$, each component of $u(\mathbf{x}_0, \cdot)$ is a biharmonic function on $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbf{x}'}^n$, vanishing at infinity, and so it is bounded. Hence $u(\mathbf{x}_0, \cdot) \equiv 0$ for $|\mathbf{x}_0| > M$ by Liouville-type theorem. So $u \equiv 0$ on the unbounded connected component of $\mathbb{R}^{kn} \setminus \Omega$ by the identity theorem for real analytic functions, since u is real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^{kn} \setminus \Omega$ by Corollary 4.2. The continuity of u follows from the integral representation formula as in (5.31). The theorem is proved. \square

Now we can prove the Hartogs-Bochner extension theorem for tangentially monogenic functions.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Proposition 4.5, we can extend f to a smooth function \tilde{f} on $\overline{\Omega}$ such that $\tilde{f}|_{\partial\Omega} = f$ and $\mathcal{D}_0\tilde{f}$ is flat on $\partial\Omega$. Then we extend $\mathcal{D}_0\tilde{f}$ by 0 outside of $\overline{\Omega}$ to get a \mathcal{D}_1 -closed element $F \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_1)$ supported in $\overline{\Omega}$. Then by Theorem 5.1, there exists $H \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mathcal{V}_0)$ vanishing on the connected open set $\mathbb{R}^{kn} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ such that $F = \mathcal{D}_0H$. Then $f - H$ monogenic on Ω and gives us the required extension. \square

APPENDIX A. THE CONSTRUCTION OF WEYL MODULES

Young diagrams can be used to describe projection operators for the regular representation, which will then give the irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}(k)$. More generally, a *tableau* is Young diagram with numbering of the boxes by the integers $1, \dots, k$. Given a tableau define two subgroups of the symmetric group

$$P = P_\lambda = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(k) : g \text{ preserves each row}\}$$

and

$$Q = Q_\lambda = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(k) : g \text{ preserves each column}\}.$$

In the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{GL}(k)$, we introduce two elements corresponding to these subgroups and set

$$a_\lambda := \sum_{g \in P} e_g, \quad b_\lambda := \sum_{g \in Q} \mathrm{sgn}(g) e_g,$$

where $\{e_g\}$ is the basis of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{GL}(k)$. The *Young symmetrizer*

$$\mathbf{c}_\lambda = a_\lambda \cdot b_\lambda$$

in $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{GL}(k)$ defines an action $\otimes^k \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \otimes^k \mathbb{C}^k$ by

$$(A.1) \quad (\omega^{i_k \dots v_{i_1}}) \mathbf{c}_\lambda = \sum_{\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \in PQ} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma_2) \cdot \omega^{i_{\sigma(k)} \dots i_{\sigma(1)}}.$$

The image of \mathbf{c}_λ on $\otimes^k \mathbb{C}^k$ is called the *Weyl module*, which is isomorphic to an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}(k)$ labelled by λ (cf. [13, Section 6.1]).

Proposition A.1. (cf. [13, Theorem 4.3, Lemma 4.26]) $\frac{\mathbf{c}_\lambda}{n_\lambda}$ is idempotent, i.e. $\mathbf{c}_\lambda \mathbf{c}_\lambda = n_\lambda \mathbf{c}_\lambda$, where $n_\lambda = \frac{k!}{\dim V_\lambda}$ with V_λ be an irreducible representation $\mathrm{GL}(k)$.

For Young diagram

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array},$$

denote

$$(A.2) \quad \mathfrak{c}_{21} := \frac{1}{3}\{1 + (12)\}\{1 - (13)\} = \frac{1}{3}[1 + (12) - (13) - (132)].$$

Here we add the factor $\frac{1}{3}$ so that it becomes a projection operator, compared to the original definition in (A.1). For $\omega^{321} \in \otimes^3 \mathbb{C}^k$, we have

$$(A.3) \quad (\omega^{321}) \mathfrak{c}_{21} = \omega^{321} + \omega^{312} - \omega^{123} - \omega^{132},$$

by (A.2). Here the element \mathfrak{c}_{21} of the group algebra $\mathbb{CGL}(k)$ acts on ω^{321} from right. If label the Young diagram by letter A, B, C as $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline C & B \\ \hline A & \\ \hline \end{array}$, for an element $h_{ABC}\omega^{ABC} \in \otimes^3 \mathbb{C}^k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (h_{ABC}\omega^{ABC}) \mathfrak{c}_{21} &= \frac{1}{3}h_{ABC}(\omega^{ABC} + \omega^{ACB} - \omega^{CBA} - \omega^{CAB}) \\ &= \frac{2}{3}(h_{[A\underline{BC}]} + h_{[A\underline{CB}]})\omega^{ABC}, \end{aligned}$$

by relabeling indices.

For Young diagram $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$, denote

$$(A.4) \quad \mathfrak{c}_{22} := \frac{1}{12}\{1 + (12)\}\{1 + (34)\}\{1 - (13)\}\{1 - (24)\}.$$

For $\omega^{4321} \in \otimes^4 \mathbb{C}^k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega^{4321}) \mathfrak{c}_{22} &= \frac{1}{12}(\omega^{4321} + \omega^{4312} + \omega^{3421} + \omega^{3412} - \omega^{4123} - \omega^{4132} - \omega^{1423} - \omega^{1432} \\ &\quad - \omega^{2341} - \omega^{2314} - \omega^{3241} - \omega^{3214} + \omega^{2143} + \omega^{2134} + \omega^{1243} + \omega^{1234}), \end{aligned}$$

by (A.4) of \mathfrak{c}_{22} . If label the Young diagram by letter A, B, C, D as $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline C & B \\ \hline A & D \\ \hline \end{array}$, for an element

$h_{DABC}\omega^{DABC} \in \otimes^4 \mathbb{C}^k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(h_{DABC}\omega^{DABC}) \mathfrak{c}_{22} \\ &= \frac{1}{12}h_{DABC}(\omega^{DABC} + \omega^{DACB} + \omega^{ADBC} + \omega^{ADCB} - \omega^{DCBA} - \omega^{DCAB} - \omega^{CDBA} - \omega^{CDAB} \\ &\quad - \omega^{BADC} - \omega^{BACD} - \omega^{ABDC} - \omega^{ABCD} + \omega^{BCDA} + \omega^{BCAD} + \omega^{CBDA} + \omega^{CBAD}) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{(A,D),(B,C)} (h_{D[A\underline{BC}]} + h_{B[C\underline{AD}]}) . \end{aligned}$$

For Young diagram $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & & \\ \hline 5 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$, denote

$$(A.5) \quad \mathfrak{c}_{311} := \frac{1}{20}\{1 + (12) + (14) + (24) + (124) + (142)\}\{1 - (13) - (15) - (35) + (135) + (153)\}.$$

For $\omega^{54321} \in \otimes^5 \mathbb{C}^k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega^{54321}) \mathfrak{c}_{311} = & \frac{1}{20} (\omega^{54321} + \omega^{54312} + \omega^{51324} + \omega^{52341} + \omega^{51342} + \omega^{52314} \\ & - \omega^{54123} - \omega^{54132} - \omega^{53124} - \omega^{52143} - \omega^{53142} - \omega^{52134} \\ & - \omega^{14325} - \omega^{14352} - \omega^{15324} - \omega^{12345} - \omega^{15342} - \omega^{12354} \\ & - \omega^{34521} - \omega^{34512} - \omega^{31524} - \omega^{32541} - \omega^{31542} - \omega^{32514} \\ & + \omega^{14523} + \omega^{14532} + \omega^{13524} + \omega^{12543} + \omega^{13542} + \omega^{12534} \\ & + \omega^{34125} + \omega^{34152} + \omega^{35124} + \omega^{32145} + \omega^{35142} + \omega^{32154}). \end{aligned}$$

If label the Young diagram by letter A, B, C, D, E as

C	B	D
A		
E		

, then for an element

$h_{EDABC} \omega^{EDABC} \in \otimes^5 \mathbb{C}^k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (h_{EDABC} \omega^{EDABC}) \mathfrak{c}_{311} \\ = & h_{EDABC} (\omega^{EDABC} + \omega^{EDACB} + \omega^{ECABD} + \omega^{EBADC} + \omega^{ECADB} + \omega^{EBACD} \\ & - \omega^{EDCBA} - \omega^{EDCAB} - \omega^{EACBD} - \omega^{EBCDA} - \omega^{EACDB} - \omega^{EBCAD} \\ & - \omega^{CDABE} - \omega^{CDAEB} - \omega^{CEABD} - \omega^{CBADE} - \omega^{CEADB} - \omega^{CBAED} \\ & - \omega^{ADEBC} - \omega^{ADECB} - \omega^{ACEBD} - \omega^{ABEDC} - \omega^{ACEDB} - \omega^{ABECD} \\ & + \omega^{CDEBA} + \omega^{CDEAB} - \omega^{CAEBD} + \omega^{CBEDA} + \omega^{CAEDB} + \omega^{CBEAD} \\ & + \omega^{ADCBE} + \omega^{ADCEB} + \omega^{AECBD} + \omega^{ABCDE} + \omega^{AECDB} + \omega^{ABCED}) \\ = & \frac{3}{10} \sum_{(D,B,C)} h_{[EDABC]} \omega^{EDABC}. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Andreotti, A. and Hill, C. Levi convexity and the Hans Lewy problem, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Super. Pisa* **26** part I (1972), 325-363.
- [2] Andreotti, A. and Hill, C. Levi convexity and the Hans Lewy problem, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Super. Pisa* **26** part II (1972), 747-806.
- [3] ANDREOTTI, A., HILL, C., LOJASIEWICZ, S. AND MACKICHAN, B., Complexes of differential operators, *Invent. Math.* **35** (1976), 43-86.
- [4] Andreotti, A. and Nacinovich, M. Noncharacteristic hypersurfaces for complexes of differential operators, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **125** (1980), 13-83.
- [5] Baston, R., Quaternionic complexes, *J. Geom. Phys.* **8** (1992), 29-52.
- [6] Brackx, F. Eelbode, D. and Voorde, L. Higher spin Dirac operators between spaces of simplicial monogenics in two vector variables. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **14(1)** (2011), 1-20.
- [7] Bureš, J., Damiano, A. and Sabadini, I., Explicit resolutions for the complex of several Fueter operators, *J. Geom. Phys.* **57(3)** (2007), 765-775.
- [8] Bureš, J. and Souček, V., Complexes of invariant operators in several quaternionic variables, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **51** (2006), 463-485.
- [9] Colombo, F., Souček, V. and Struppa, D., Invariant resolutions for several Fueter operators, *J. Geom. Phys.* **56 (7)** (2006), 1175-1191.
- [10] Colombo, F., Sabadini, I., Sommen, F. and Struppa, D., *Analysis of Dirac systems and computational algebra*, Progress in Mathematical Physics, **39**. Birkhäuser Boston, MA, 2004.
- [11] Damiano, A., Sabadini, I. and Souček, V., Different approaches to the complex of three Dirac operators, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **46(3)** (2014), 313-334.
- [12] Delanghe, R., Sommen, F. and Souček, V., *Clifford algebra and spinor-valued functions*, Mathematics and its Applications **53**, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1992.

- [13] Fulton, W. and Harris, J., *Representation theory, A first course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **129**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [14] Grafakos, L., *Classical and Modern Fourier Analysis*, Prentice Hall, Pearson, 2004.
- [15] Krump, L. and Souček, V., The generalized Dolbeault complex in two Clifford variables, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr.* **17(3)** (2007), 537-548.
- [16] Krump, L., The generalised Dolbeault complex for four Dirac operators in the stable rank, In: Proceedings of the ICNAAM, Kos 2008, AIP Conference Proceedings 2008, pp. 670-673.
- [17] Krump, L., A resolution for the Dirac operator in four variables in dimension 6, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr.* **19** (2009), 365-374.
- [18] Mazja, V., *Sobolev Spaces*, Springer series in soviet mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Translated from the Russian by T. Shaposhnikova (1985).
- [19] Nacinovich, M., *Complex analysis and complexes of differential operators*, Springer LNM **287** (1973), 105-195.
- [20] Nacinovich, M., On boundary Hilbert differential complexes, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **46** (1985), 213-235.
- [21] Ren, G-Z., Shi, Y. and Wang, W., The tangential k -Cauchy–Fueter operator and k -CF functions over the Heisenberg group, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr.* **30(2)** (2020), Paper No. 20.
- [22] Ren, G.-B. and Wang, H.-Y., Theory of several paravector variables: Bochner–Martinelli formula and Hartogs theorem, *Sci. China Math.* **57** (2014), 2347-2360.
- [23] Sabadini, I., Sommen, F., Struppa, D.C. and Van Lancker, P., Complexes of Dirac operators in Clifford algebras. *Math. Z.* **239**, (2002), 293-320.
- [24] Salač, T., k -Dirac operator and parabolic geometries, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **8** (2014), 383-408.
- [25] Salač, T., k -Dirac complexes, *SIGMA* **14** (2018), Paper No. 012, 33 pp.
- [26] Salač, T., Resolution of the k -Dirac operator, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr.* **28** (2018), No. 1, Paper No. 3, 19 pp.
- [27] Shi, Y. and Wang, W., The tangential k -Cauchy–Fueter complexes and Hartogs’ phenomenon over the right quaternionic Heisenberg group, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **199(4)** (2020), no. 2, 651-680.
- [28] Shi, Y. and Wu, Q.-Y., The Penrose transform and the exactness of the tangential k -Cauchy–Fueter complex on the Heisenberg group, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr.* **31(3)** (2021), Paper No. 33.
- [29] Shi, Y., Wang, W. and Wu, Q.-Y., On monogenic functions and the Dirac complex of two vector variables, arXiv:2404.03374.
- [30] Sommen, F. and Van Acker, N., Functions of two vector variables, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr.* **4(1)** (1994), 65-72.
- [31] Wang, W., On non-homogeneous Cauchy–Fueter equations and Hartogs’ phenomenon in several quaternionic variables, *J. Geom. Phys.* **58** (2008), 1203-1210.
- [32] Wang, W., The k -Cauchy–Fueter complexes, Penrose transformation and Hartogs’ phenomenon for quaternionic k -regular functions, *J. Geom. Phys.* **60** (2010), 513-530.
- [33] Wang, W., The tangential Cauchy–Fueter complex on the quaternionic Heisenberg group, *J. Geom. Phys.* **61** (2011), 363-380.
- [34] Wang, W., On the weighted L^2 estimate for the k -Cauchy-Fueter operator and the weighted k -Bergman kernel, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **452 (1)** (2017), 685-707.
- [35] Wang, W., The Neumann problem for the k -Cauchy–Fueter complex over k -pseudoconvex domains in \mathbb{R}^4 and the L^2 estimate, *J. Geom. Anal.* **29** (2019), 1233-1258.
- [36] Wang, W., On the boundary complex of the k -Cauchy–Fueter complex, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **202** (2023), 2255-2291.