

# Integrability of the Ablowitz-Ladik system with nonlocal shifted reductions

Baoqiang Xia

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Jiangsu Normal University,

Xuzhou, Jiangsu 221116, P. R. China,

E-mail address: xiabaoqiang@126.com

## Abstract

We investigate the complete integrability of the Ablowitz-Ladik (AL) system with shifted nonlocal reductions under the rapidly decreasing boundary conditions. We find that the space and space-time shifted nonlocal reductions preserve the complete integrability of the AL system, but it is not the case for the time shifted nonlocal reduction. For the resulting space and space-time shifted nonlocal differential-difference equations, we construct their explicit variables of action-angle type and present their Hamiltonian descriptions in terms of the action-angle variables.

**Keywords:** Ablowitz-Ladik system, shifted nonlocal reductions, action-angle variables, complete integrability.

## 1 Introduction

The Ablowitz-Ladik (AL) lattice system [1–3]

$$\begin{aligned} iq_t(n, t) + q(n+1, t) - 2q(n, t) + q(n-1, t) - p(n, t)q(n, t) (q(n+1, t) + q(n-1, t)) &= 0, \\ ip_t(n, t) - p(n+1, t) + 2p(n, t) - q(n-1, t) + p(n, t)q(n, t) (p(n+1, t) + p(n-1, t)) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

as a spatially discretized version of the Ablowitz-Kaup-Newell-Segur (AKNS) system [4], is an important differential-difference equation (DDE) in the theory of soliton. This model is an infinite-dimensional integrable Hamiltonian system described by the form [5–9]

$$q_t(n, t) = \{H, q(n, t)\}, \quad p_t(n, t) = \{H, p(n, t)\}, \quad (1.2)$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$H = - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (q(n, t)(p(n-1, t) + p(n+1, t)) + 2 \ln(1 - q(n, t)p(n, t))), \quad (1.3)$$

and the Poisson brackets

$$\{q(n, t), q(m, t)\} = \{p(n, t), p(m, t)\} = 0, \quad \{q(n, t), p(m, t)\} = i\delta_{n,m} (1 - q(n, t)p(n, t)). \quad (1.4)$$

The AL system admits rich reductions. The well-known reduction is  $p(n, t) = \nu q^*(n, t)$ , where  $\nu = \pm 1$  and the asterisk denotes complex conjugation. Under this reduction, the AL system leads to the integrable discrete nonlinear Schrödinger (DNLS) equation [1–3]

$$iq_t(n, t) + q(n+1, t) - 2q(n, t) + q(n-1, t) - \nu |q(n, t)|^2 (q(n+1, t) + q(n-1, t)) = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

In addition to the above standard local reduction, several new space-time shifted nonlocal reductions compatible with the AL system were found and started to receive attention recently. They include [10–12]:

$$p(n, t) = \nu q^*(n_0 - n, t), \quad n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.6)$$

$$p(n, t) = \nu q(n, t_0 - t), \quad t_0 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (1.7)$$

$$p(n, t) = \nu q(n_0 - n, t_0 - t), \quad n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[i], \quad t_0 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (1.8)$$

where the notation  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  means that the real and imaginary parts of the complex parameter  $n_0$  are integers. These reductions are generalizations of the nonlocal reductions proposed in [13, 14] (see also [15–19]). Under the above reductions, the AL system gives the space, time and space-time shifted nonlocal DNLS equations respectively; they are

$$iq_t(n, t) = 2q(n, t) - q(n+1, t) - q(n-1, t) + \nu q(n, t)q^*(n_0 - n, t) (q(n+1, t) + q(n-1, t)), \quad (1.9)$$

$$iq_t(n, t) = 2q(n, t) - q(n+1, t) - q(n-1, t) + \nu q(n, t)q(n, t_0 - t) (q(n+1, t) + q(n-1, t)), \quad (1.10)$$

$$iq_t(n, t) = 2q(n, t) - q(n+1, t) - q(n-1, t) + \nu q(n, t)q(n_0 - n, t_0 - t) (q(n+1, t) + q(n-1, t)), \quad (1.11)$$

The inverse scattering transform (IST) for the above shifted nonlocal equations was studied very recently in [12].

The main aim of this paper is to investigate the complete integrability of the AL system with shifted nonlocal reductions under the rapidly decreasing boundary conditions. Here the complete integrability is in the sense that the variables of action-angle type, which linearize the model in the infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian setting, can be explicitly constructed with aid of the corresponding scattering data, see e.g. [8, 20–22]. For the AL system, we find that the space and space-time shifted nonlocal reductions are compatible with the Poisson bracket relations of the scattering data of the corresponding linear spectral problem. However, this is not the case for the time shifted nonlocal reduction (1.7) (see section 4.3). This implies that the space and space-time shifted nonlocal reductions (1.6) and (1.8) do preserve the complete integrability of the AL system, but it is not true for the time shifted nonlocal reduction (1.7). For the shifted nonlocal reductions (1.6) and (1.8), we confirm further the complete integrability of the resulting DDEs (1.9) and (1.11) by an explicit construction of variables of action-angle type.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the main results on the inverse scattering problem associated with the AL system in order to introduce the required notations and tools. In section 3, we derive the  $r$ -matrix representation of the Poisson brackets of the scattering data for the AL system. Based on this we compute all the Poisson bracket relations between the scattering data associated with the AL system. With the results in section 3, we investigate, in section 4, the constructions of the action-angle variables for the AL system with shifted nonlocal reductions, and discuss the complete integrability of the corresponding shifted nonlocal DDEs. Our results are discussed further in section 5. In particular, we give a short comment on the corresponding continuous model, the AKNS system.

## 2 The linear spectral problem for the AL system

The aim of this section is to collect the main results on the inverse scattering problem for the AL system following [1–3, 23], and reformulate these results in a fashion that is convenient for deriving the  $r$ -matrix representation for the Poisson brackets of the corresponding scattering data. The starting point is that the AL system (1.1) is equivalent to the compatibility condition

$$L_t(n) = M(n+1)L(n) - L(n)M(n) \quad (2.1)$$

of the following pair of linear spectral problems [23–25]

$$\Phi(n+1, z) = L(n, z)\Phi(n, z), \quad (2.2a)$$

$$\Phi_t(n, z) = M(n, z)\Phi(n, z), \quad (2.2b)$$

where

$$L(n, z) = \frac{1}{f(n)} (Z + Q(n)), \quad M(n, z) = i\omega(z)\sigma_3 + P(n, z), \quad (2.3)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= \sqrt{1 - q(n)p(n)}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q(n) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q(n) \\ p(n) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \omega(z) &= \frac{1}{2} (z - z^{-1})^2, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ P(n, z) &= i \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}q(n)p(n-1) - \frac{1}{2}p(n)q(n-1) & zq(n) - z^{-1}q(n-1) \\ zp(n-1) - z^{-1}p(n) & \frac{1}{2}q(n)p(n-1) + \frac{1}{2}p(n)q(n-1) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Here and in what follows, for convenience of notation, we have suppressed the  $t$  dependence. We note that here we use a different normalisation of the Lax pair compared to the traditional one introduced in [1]. This normalized Lax pair has appealing algebraic properties [9, 23, 24], which is more convenient for our analysis.

We will restrict our attention to the case with rapidly decreasing boundary conditions,

$$\lim_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} p(n) = \lim_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} q(n) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

## 2.1 The transition matrix and Jost solutions

The transition matrix  $T(n, m, z)$  is defined as the solution of (2.2a),

$$T(n+1, m, z) = L(n, z)T(n, m, z), \quad (2.6)$$

with the initial condition

$$T(n, m, z)|_{n=m} = \mathbb{I}, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\mathbb{I}$  denotes the identity matrix. For  $n > m$ , the transition matrix is given by

$$T(n, m, z) = L(n-1, z)L(n-2, z) \cdots L(m, z), \quad (2.8)$$

for  $n < m$ , it is given by

$$T(n, m, z) = T^{-1}(m, n, z) = L^{-1}(n, z)L^{-1}(n+1, z) \cdots L^{-1}(m-1, z). \quad (2.9)$$

The Jost solutions  $T_{\pm}(n, z)$  for  $|z| = 1$  are defined by

$$T_{\pm}(n, z) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \pm\infty} T(n, m, z)E(m, z), \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$E(m, z) = \begin{pmatrix} z^m & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-m} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.11)$$

Alternatively, they can be defined as solutions of (2.2a) with the asymptotic conditions

$$T_{\pm}(n, z) = E(n, z) + O(1), \quad n \rightarrow \pm\infty. \quad (2.12)$$

The algebraic property  $\det L(n, z) = 1$  implies that the matrices  $T_{\pm}(n, z)$  are unimodular. Let  $T_{\pm}^{(1)}(n, z)$  and  $T_{\pm}^{(2)}(n, z)$  denote the first and second columns of the Jost solutions  $T_{\pm}(n, z)$  respectively. It can be shown (see e.g. [3, 23]) that  $T_{-}^{(1)}(n, z)$  and  $T_{+}^{(2)}(n, z)$  can be analytically continued outside the unit circle,  $|z| \geq 1$ , whereas  $T_{-}^{(2)}(n, z)$  and  $T_{+}^{(1)}(n, z)$  can be analytically continued inside the unit circle,  $|z| \leq 1$ . Moreover, these columns have the following asymptotic behaviors [23]:

$$z^{-n}T_{-}^{(1)}(n, z) = \frac{C(n)}{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} O(z^{-2}, \text{even}) \\ O(z^{-1}, \text{odd}) \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.13a)$$

$$z^n T_{+}^{(2)}(n, z) = \frac{1}{C(n)} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} O(z^{-1}, \text{odd}) \\ O(z^{-2}, \text{even}) \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.13b)$$

$$z^{-n}T_{+}^{(1)}(n, z) = \frac{1}{C(n)} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} O(z^2, \text{even}) \\ O(z, \text{odd}) \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad z \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.13c)$$

$$z^n T_{-}^{(2)}(n, z) = \frac{C(n)}{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} O(z, \text{odd}) \\ O(z^2, \text{even}) \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad z \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.13d)$$

where

$$C(n) = \prod_{m=n}^{\infty} f(m) = \prod_{m=n}^{\infty} \sqrt{1 - q(m)p(m)}, \quad \mathcal{C} = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} C(n). \quad (2.14)$$

In (2.13), “even” indicates that the higher-order terms are even powers of  $z$  or  $z^{-1}$ , while “odd” indicates that higher-order terms are odd powers.

## 2.2 The scattering data

The reduced monodromy matrix  $T(z)$  is defined for  $|z| = 1$  as

$$T(z) = T_{+}^{-1}(n, z)T_{-}(n, z). \quad (2.15)$$

It can be expressed as the limit

$$T(z) = \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ m \rightarrow -\infty}} E(-n, z)T(n, m, z)E(m, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(-n, z)T_{-}(n, z). \quad (2.16)$$

Let us introduce the following notation for  $T(z)$ :

$$T(z) = \begin{pmatrix} a(z) & \tilde{b}(z) \\ b(z) & \tilde{a}(z) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.17)$$

The unimodular property of the  $n$ -part of Lax pair (2.2a) implies that the matrix  $T(z)$  is unimodular, i.e.

$$a(z)\tilde{a}(z) - b(z)\tilde{b}(z) = 1. \quad (2.18)$$

By using (2.15), we obtain the Wronskian representations for the elements of  $T(z)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} a(z) &= \det \left( T_-^{(1)}(n, z), T_+^{(2)}(n, z) \right), & b(z) &= -\det \left( T_-^{(1)}(n, z), T_+^{(1)}(n, z) \right), \\ \tilde{a}(z) &= -\det \left( T_-^{(2)}(n, z), T_+^{(1)}(n, z) \right), & \tilde{b}(z) &= \det \left( T_-^{(2)}(n, z), T_+^{(2)}(n, z) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

The analytic properties of the Jost solutions imply that  $a(z)$  and  $\tilde{a}(z)$  have analytic continuation to the domains  $|z| > 1$  and  $|z| < 1$  respectively, whereas  $b(z)$  and  $\tilde{b}(z)$  in general can only be well-defined on the unit circle  $|z| = 1$ . It follows from (2.13) and (2.19) that  $a(z)$  and  $\tilde{a}(z)$  have asymptotic behaviors

$$\begin{aligned} a(z) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}} + O(z^{-2}, \text{even}), & z &\rightarrow \infty, \\ \tilde{a}(z) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}} + O(z^2, \text{even}), & z &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Moreover, we have the following symmetry relations:

$$a(-z) = a(z), \quad \tilde{a}(-z) = \tilde{a}(z), \quad b(-z) = -b(z), \quad \tilde{b}(-z) = -\tilde{b}(z). \quad (2.21)$$

The symmetry relations (2.21) imply that the zeros of  $a(z)$  and  $\tilde{a}(z)$  always appear in pairs: if  $z_j$  is a zero of  $a(\lambda)$ , then so does  $-z_j$ ; if  $\tilde{z}_l$  is a zero of  $\tilde{a}(\lambda)$ , then so does  $-\tilde{z}_l$ . To simplify our analysis we shall assume that  $a(z)$  and  $\tilde{a}(z)$  have only finite number of simple zeros in the corresponding analytic domains. Let us denote the set of the zeros of  $a(z)$  by  $\mathcal{Z} \equiv \{z_j\}_{j=1}^N \cup \{-z_j\}_{j=1}^N$  where  $|z_j| > 1$ , and the set of the zeros of  $\tilde{a}(z)$  by  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} \equiv \{\tilde{z}_l\}_{l=1}^{\tilde{N}} \cup \{-\tilde{z}_l\}_{l=1}^{\tilde{N}}$  where  $|\tilde{z}_l| < 1$ . The expression (2.19) implies that for  $z = z_j$ , the column  $T_-^{(1)}(n, z)$  is proportional to the column  $T_+^{(2)}(n, z)$ , and for  $z = \tilde{z}_l$ , the column  $T_-^{(2)}(n, z)$  is proportional to the column  $T_+^{(1)}(n, z)$ . Let  $\gamma_j$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_l$  be the corresponding proportionality coefficients,

$$\begin{aligned} T_-^{(1)}(n, z_j) &= \gamma_j T_+^{(2)}(n, z_j), & j &= 1, \dots, N, \\ T_-^{(2)}(n, \tilde{z}_l) &= \tilde{\gamma}_l T_+^{(1)}(n, \tilde{z}_l), & l &= 1, \dots, \tilde{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Let  $\hat{\gamma}_j$  and  $\hat{\tilde{\gamma}}_l$  be the proportionality coefficients corresponding to the paired zeros  $-z_j$  and  $-\tilde{z}_l$ , respectively. We can deduce that  $\hat{\gamma}_j = -\gamma_j$  and  $\hat{\tilde{\gamma}}_l = -\tilde{\gamma}_l$ .

Following the terminology used for the continuous models [8], we will call  $a(z)$ ,  $\tilde{a}(z)$ ,  $b(z)$  and  $\tilde{b}(z)$  transition coefficients for the continuous spectrum, and call  $\gamma_j$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_l$  transition coefficients for the discrete spectrum. The set

$$\left\{ b(z), \tilde{b}(z), \{z_j\}_{j=1}^N, \{\tilde{z}_l\}_{l=1}^{\tilde{N}}, \{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^N, \{\tilde{\gamma}_l\}_{l=1}^{\tilde{N}} \right\} \quad (2.23)$$

constitutes the so-called scattering data for the AL system.

A very useful fact is that the transition coefficients  $a(z)$  and  $\tilde{a}(z)$  can be expressed in terms of their zeros and  $b(z)\tilde{b}(z)$ . Indeed, we have the following trace formulae:

$$a(z) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}} \frac{z^{2\tilde{N}} \prod_{j=1}^N (z^2 - z_j^2)}{z^{2N} \prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} (z^2 - \tilde{z}_j^2)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=1} \frac{\xi \ln \left( \xi^{2(N-\tilde{N})} (1 + b(\xi)\tilde{b}(\xi)) \right)}{\xi^2 - z^2} d\xi \right\}, \quad |z| > 1 \quad (2.24)$$

$$\tilde{a}(z) = \mathcal{C} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} (z^2 - \tilde{z}_j^2)}{\prod_{j=1}^N (z^2 - z_j^2)} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=1} \frac{\xi \ln \left( \xi^{2(N-\tilde{N})} (1 + b(\xi)\tilde{b}(\xi)) \right)}{\xi^2 - z^2} d\xi \right\}, \quad |z| < 1 \quad (2.25)$$

To derive the above two formulae, we define

$$\hat{a}(z) = \mathcal{C} a(z) \frac{z^{2N} \prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} (z^2 - \tilde{z}_j^2)}{z^{2\tilde{N}} \prod_{j=1}^N (z^2 - z_j^2)}, \quad \hat{\tilde{a}}(z) = \mathcal{C} \tilde{a}(z) \frac{\prod_{j=1}^N (z^2 - z_j^2) \prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} (-\tilde{z}_j^2)}{\prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} (z^2 - \tilde{z}_j^2) \prod_{j=1}^N (-z_j^2)}. \quad (2.26)$$

Then it follows that the function  $\hat{a}(z)$  is analytic for  $|z| > 1$  and it has no zeros, while  $\hat{\tilde{a}}(z)$  is analytic for  $|z| < 1$  and it has no zeros. Moreover, they satisfy the asymptotic conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}(z) &= 1, & z &\rightarrow \infty, \\ \hat{\tilde{a}}(z) &= 1, & z &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

By using the symmetry relations (2.21) and the asymptotic conditions (2.27), one may deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=1} \frac{\xi \ln \hat{a}(\xi)}{\xi^2 - z^2} d\xi = \begin{cases} -\ln \hat{a}(z), & |z| > 1, \\ 0, & |z| < 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.28)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=1} \frac{\xi \ln \hat{\tilde{a}}(\xi)}{\xi^2 - z^2} d\xi = \begin{cases} 0, & |z| > 1, \\ \ln \hat{\tilde{a}}(z), & |z| < 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.29)$$

Adding the above two equations and using (2.26) yields

$$a(z) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}} \frac{z^{2\tilde{N}} \prod_{j=1}^N (z^2 - z_j^2)}{z^{2N} \prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} (z^2 - \tilde{z}_j^2)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=1} \frac{\xi \ln \left( \hat{a}(\xi)\hat{\tilde{a}}(\xi) \right)}{\xi^2 - z^2} d\xi \right\}, \quad |z| > 1, \quad (2.30)$$

$$\tilde{a}(z) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} (z^2 - \tilde{z}_j^2) \prod_{j=1}^N (-z_j^2)}{\prod_{j=1}^N (z^2 - z_j^2) \prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} (-\tilde{z}_j^2)} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=1} \frac{\xi \ln \left( \hat{a}(\xi)\hat{\tilde{a}}(\xi) \right)}{\xi^2 - z^2} d\xi \right\}, \quad |z| < 1. \quad (2.31)$$

Equations (2.18) and (2.26) yield, for  $|z| = 1$ ,

$$\hat{a}(z)\hat{\tilde{a}}(z) = \left(1 + b(z)\tilde{b}(z)\right) \mathcal{C}^2 \frac{z^{2N} \prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} \left(-\tilde{z}_j^2\right)}{z^{2\tilde{N}} \prod_{j=1}^N \left(-z_j^2\right)}. \quad (2.32)$$

Substituting (2.32) into (2.30) and (2.31), we obtain the expressions (2.24) and (2.25).

**Remark 1** *The trace formulae for the transition coefficients  $a(z)$  and  $\tilde{a}(z)$  reported in previous literatures (see e.g. [6, 12]) subject to the assumption that the number of the zeros of  $a(z)$  is identical to that of  $\tilde{a}(z)$ . This assumption is reasonable for investigating the standard local reduction, since, under the standard local reduction,  $a(z)$  and  $\tilde{a}(z)$  subject to the symmetry  $\tilde{a}(z) = a^*\left(\frac{1}{z^*}\right)$  [1–3]. However, for space and space-time shifted nonlocal reductions, there appear to be no extra symmetry relations between the transition coefficients  $a(z)$  and  $\tilde{a}(z)$  (see [12] and the section 4 in this paper). Thus, it is necessary to derive a more general trace formulae without this assumption on the numbers of the zeros. Here we provide such trace formulae, see formulae (2.24) and (2.25).*

### 2.3 Time evolution of the scattering data

Using the zero curvature representation (2.1), we obtain the evolution equation of transition matrix  $T(n, m, z)$ :

$$\frac{dT(n, m, z)}{dt} = M(n, z)T(n, m, z) - T(n, m, z)M(m, z). \quad (2.33)$$

Letting in (2.33)  $m \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , we find that the Jost solutions satisfy the evolution equation

$$\frac{dT_{\pm}(n, z)}{dt} = M(n, z)T_{\pm}(n, z) - i\omega(z)T_{\pm}(n, z)\sigma_3. \quad (2.34)$$

Expression (2.16) together with equation (2.34) yield the evolution equations for the reduced monodromy matrix

$$\frac{dT(z)}{dt} = i\omega(z)[\sigma_3, T(z)]. \quad (2.35)$$

In components, (2.35) becomes

$$\frac{da(z)}{dt} = 0, \quad \frac{d\tilde{a}(z)}{dt} = 0, \quad \frac{db(z)}{dt} = -2i\omega(z)b(z), \quad \frac{d\tilde{b}(z)}{dt} = 2i\omega(z)\tilde{b}(z). \quad (2.36)$$

The evolution equations of the transition coefficients  $\gamma_j$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_l$  can be derived from (2.22) and (2.34), they are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\gamma_j}{dt} &= -2i\omega(z_j)\gamma_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \frac{d\tilde{\gamma}_l}{dt} &= 2i\omega(\tilde{z}_l)\tilde{\gamma}_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, \tilde{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$



Equations (2.36) and (2.37) can easily be solved, so that we obtain the explicit time dependence of the transition coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
a(z, t) &= a(z, 0), \quad \tilde{a}(z, t) = \tilde{a}(z, 0), \\
b(z, t) &= \exp(-2i\omega(z)t) b(z, 0), \quad \tilde{b}(z, t) = \exp(2i\omega(z)t) \tilde{b}(z, 0), \\
z_j(t) &= z_j(0), \quad \gamma_j(t) = \exp(-2i\omega(z_j)t) \gamma_j(0), \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \\
\tilde{z}_j(t) &= \tilde{z}_j(0), \quad \tilde{\gamma}_j(t) = \exp(2i\omega(\tilde{z}_j)t) \tilde{\gamma}_j(0), \quad j = 1, \dots, \tilde{N}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

The inverse part of the scattering problem, namely constructing the potentials  $q(n, t)$  and  $p(n, t)$  from the known scattering data, can be accomplished by reformulating the AL scattering problem as an appropriate Riemann-Hilbert problem. For details on this issue, we refer the reader to references [3, 23] for example.

## 2.4 Conserved quantities and trace identities

Equations (2.36) imply that  $a(z)$  provides a generating function for the conserved quantities, and so does  $\tilde{a}(z)$ . By studying the expansion of  $\ln a(z)$  in powers of  $z^{-1}$  and the expansion of  $\ln \tilde{a}(z)$  in powers of  $z$ , we can extract two sequences of conserved quantities for the AL system including its Hamiltonian. Details will be presented below.

We consider the expansion of the transition matrix  $T(n, m, z)$ :

$$T(n, m, z) = (\mathbb{I} + W(n, z)) \exp(D(n, m, z)) (\mathbb{I} + W(m, z))^{-1}, \tag{2.39}$$

where  $W$  is an off-diagonal matrix and  $D$  is a diagonal matrix. Inserting (2.39) into (2.6) and splitting the result into diagonal and off-diagonal parts, we obtain

$$W(n+1, z) \exp(D(n+1, m, z) - D(n, m, z)) = \frac{1}{f(n)} (Q(n) + ZW(n, z)), \tag{2.40}$$

$$\exp(D(n+1, m, z) - D(n, m, z)) = \frac{1}{f(n)} (Z + Q(n)W(n, z)). \tag{2.41}$$

By using (2.41) to eliminate  $\exp(D(n, m, z))$  from (2.40), we obtain

$$W(n+1, z)Z - ZW(n, z) + W(n+1, z)Q(n)W(n, z) - Q(n) = 0. \tag{2.42}$$

The difference equation (2.41) with the initial condition  $D(n, m, z)|_{n=m} = 0$  implied by (2.7) can easily be solved,

$$\exp(D(n, m, z)) = Z^{n-m} \prod_{k=m}^{n-1} \frac{1}{f(k)} (\mathbb{I} + Z^{-1}Q(k)W(k, z)). \tag{2.43}$$

We write the off-diagonal matrix  $W(n, z)$  as

$$W(n, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & W_{12}(n, z) \\ W_{21}(n, z) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.44)$$

Substituting (2.44) together with the expansion

$$W_{12}(n, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} W_{12}^{(j)}(n) z^j, \quad W_{21}(n, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} W_{21}^{(j)}(n) z^{-j}, \quad (2.45)$$

into (2.42), we obtain

$$W_{12}^{(2j)}(n) = W_{21}^{(2j)}(n) = 0, \quad j \geq 1, \quad (2.46)$$

and  $W_{12}^{(2j+1)}(n)$  and  $W_{21}^{(2j+1)}(n)$  are given recursively by

$$\begin{aligned} W_{12}^{(1)}(n) &= q(n-1), \quad W_{21}^{(1)}(n) = p(n-1), \\ W_{12}^{(2j+1)}(n) &= W_{12}^{(2j-1)}(n-1) - p(n-1) \sum_{\substack{k+l=2j, \\ k, l \geq 1}} W_{12}^{(k)}(n-1) W_{12}^{(l)}(n), \quad j \geq 1, \\ W_{21}^{(2j+1)}(n) &= W_{21}^{(2j-1)}(n-1) - q(n-1) \sum_{\substack{k+l=2j, \\ k, l \geq 1}} W_{21}^{(k)}(n-1) W_{21}^{(l)}(n), \quad j \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.47)$$

By using (2.16), (2.39) and (2.43), we find

$$\ln a(z) = - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \ln(f(n)) + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \ln(1 + z^{-1} q(n) W_{21}(n, z)), \quad (2.48)$$

$$\ln \tilde{a}(z) = - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \ln(f(n)) + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \ln(1 + z p(n) W_{12}(n, z)). \quad (2.49)$$

By computing the expansion of the logarithmic function  $\ln(1 + z^{-1} q(n) W_{21}(n, z))$  in  $z^{-1}$ , we obtain the asymptotic representation for  $\ln a(z)$ ,

$$\ln a(z) = I_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} I_{2k} z^{-2k}, \quad (2.50)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \ln(f(n)), \quad I_2 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q(n) p(n-1), \\ I_{2k} &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m} (q(n))^m \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_m = k} W_{21}^{(2k_1-1)}(n) W_{21}^{(2k_2-1)}(n) \dots W_{21}^{(2k_m-1)}(n). \end{aligned} \quad (2.51)$$

Similarly, by computing the expansion of the logarithmic function  $\ln(1 + zp(n)W_{12}(n, z))$  in  $z$ , we obtain the asymptotic representation for  $\ln \tilde{a}(z)$ ,

$$\ln \tilde{a}(z) = \tilde{I}_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{I}_{2k} z^{2k}, \quad (2.52)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_0 = I_0 &= - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \ln(f(n)), \quad \tilde{I}_2 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} p(n)q(n-1), \\ \tilde{I}_{2k} &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m} (p(n))^m \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_m=k} W_{12}^{(2k_1-1)}(n) W_{12}^{(2k_2-1)}(n) \cdots W_{12}^{(2k_m-1)}(n). \end{aligned} \quad (2.53)$$

The Poisson commutativity of the two sequences of the conserved quantities will be proved in the next section; see corollary 1 section 3.

On the other hand,  $\ln a(z)$  and  $\ln \tilde{a}(z)$  can be represented in terms of the scattering data as

$$\ln a(z) = c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{2k} z^{-2k}, \quad (2.54)$$

$$\ln \tilde{a}(z) = \tilde{c}_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_{2k} z^{2k}, \quad (2.55)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= -\ln \mathcal{C}, \quad \tilde{c}_0 = \ln \mathcal{C} + \ln \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} (-\tilde{z}_j^2)}{\prod_{j=1}^N (-z_j^2)} + 2(N - \tilde{N})\pi i + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=1} \frac{1}{\xi} \ln(1 + b(\xi)\tilde{b}(\xi)) d\xi, \\ c_{2k} &= \frac{1}{k} \left( N - \tilde{N} + \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} \tilde{z}_j^{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^N z_j^{2k} \right) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=1} \xi^{2k-1} \ln(1 + b(\xi)\tilde{b}(\xi)) d\xi, \quad k \geq 1, \\ \tilde{c}_{2k} &= \frac{1}{k} \left( \tilde{N} - N + \sum_{j=1}^N z_j^{-2k} - \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} \tilde{z}_j^{-2k} \right) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=1} \xi^{-2k-1} \ln(1 + b(\xi)\tilde{b}(\xi)) d\xi, \quad k \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.56)$$

Indeed, (2.54) and (2.55) can be derived by computing the expansion of (2.24) in  $z^{-1}$  and the expansion of (2.25) in  $z$ , and using

$$\oint_{|\xi|=1} \xi^k \ln \xi^{2(N-\tilde{N})} d\xi = \begin{cases} -4(N - \tilde{N})\pi^2, & k = -1, \\ \frac{4(N-\tilde{N})\pi i}{k+1}, & k \neq -1, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases} \quad (2.57)$$

which can be verified easily by setting  $\xi = e^{i\theta}$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ , in the integrand.

By comparing the asymptotic expansions (2.50) and (2.52) with expansions (2.54) and (2.55), we obtain the trace identities for the AL system,

$$I_0 = \tilde{I}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} (-\tilde{z}_j^2)}{\prod_{j=1}^N (-z_j^2)} + (N - \tilde{N})\pi i + \frac{1}{4\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=1} \frac{1}{\xi} \ln \left( 1 + b(\xi)\tilde{b}(\xi) \right) d\xi, \quad (2.58)$$

$$I_{2k} = c_{2k}, \quad \tilde{I}_{2k} = \tilde{c}_{2k}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

We note that the Hamiltonian of the AL system can be recovered from the combination of the first two nontrivial conserved quantities constructed above. Indeed, the Hamiltonian (1.3) can be recovered as

$$H = 4I_0 - I_2 - \tilde{I}_2. \quad (2.59)$$

This confirms further the advantage of the use of the normalized Lax pair (2.2) (indeed, if we prefer to the traditional one, the conserved quantity  $I_0$  will be missed in the sequence of the conserved quantities obtained from the expansion of the corresponding transition coefficient  $a(z)$ ). With the observation (2.59) and the trace identities (2.58), we are able to derive the representation of the Hamiltonian (1.3) in terms of the scattering data:

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} \ln \tilde{z}_j^4 - \sum_{j=1}^N \ln z_j^4 + 4(N - \tilde{N})\pi i + \sum_{j=1}^N \left( z_j^2 - z_j^{-2} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} \left( \tilde{z}_j^2 - \tilde{z}_j^{-2} \right) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=1} (2\xi^{-1} - \xi^{-3} - \xi) \ln \left( 1 + b(\xi)\tilde{b}(\xi) \right) d\xi. \quad (2.60)$$

### 3 Poisson structure on the scattering data

The aim of this section is to derive the Poisson structure on the scattering data of the AL system from the initial Poisson structure (1.4). Different from the method based on expansions over the squared solutions [6, 7], our analysis is based on the tool of  $r$ -matrix [8]. As we shall see the  $r$ -matrix is a useful tool for computing the Poisson brackets of the scattering data of the AL system. In particular, we shall derive the  $r$ -matrix representations of the Poisson brackets of the Jost solutions and of the reduced monodromy matrix for the AL system. To the best of our knowledge, this result for the AL system has not reported in the literature. A byproduct is that the conserved quantities introduced in section 2.4 are proved to be in involution.

Using the initial Poisson brackets (1.4), one can check directly that the Lax matrix  $L(n, z)$  satisfies the following ultralocal Poisson algebra [9]

$$\left\{ L(n, z) \otimes L(m, \mu) \right\} = \delta_{n,m} \left[ r\left(\frac{z}{\mu}\right), L(n, z) \otimes L(m, \mu) \right], \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$r(z) = \frac{i}{2(1-z^2)} \begin{pmatrix} z^2+1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2z & 0 \\ 0 & 2z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & z^2+1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

For (3.2), it is straightforward to verify that the relation

$$r(z)(A \otimes B) = (B \otimes A)r(z) \quad (3.3)$$

holds for any two  $2 \times 2$  diagonal matrices  $A$  and  $B$ .

We begin by deriving the Poisson brackets of the Jost solutions.

**Proposition 1** *The Poisson brackets of the Jost solutions  $T_{\pm}(n, z)$  for  $|z| = 1$  are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ T_{-}(n, z) \otimes T_{-}(n, \mu) \right\} &= r\left(\frac{z}{\mu}\right) (T_{-}(n, z) \otimes T_{-}(n, \mu)) - (T_{-}(n, z) \otimes T_{-}(n, \mu)) r_{-}(z, \mu), \\ \left\{ T_{+}(n, z) \otimes T_{+}(n, \mu) \right\} &= (T_{+}(n, z) \otimes T_{+}(n, \mu)) r_{+}(z, \mu) - r\left(\frac{z}{\mu}\right) (T_{+}(n, z) \otimes T_{+}(n, \mu)), \\ \left\{ T_{-}(n, z) \otimes T_{+}(n, \mu) \right\} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\pm}(z, \mu) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \pm\infty} \left( E(m, \frac{\mu}{z}) \otimes E(m, \frac{z}{\mu}) \right) r\left(\frac{z}{\mu}\right) \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} p.v. \frac{\mu^2+z^2}{\mu^2-z^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pm\pi i \left( \delta\left(\frac{z}{\mu}\right) - \delta\left(-\frac{z}{\mu}\right) \right) & 0 \\ 0 & \mp\pi i \left( \delta\left(\frac{z}{\mu}\right) - \delta\left(-\frac{z}{\mu}\right) \right) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p.v. \frac{\mu^2+z^2}{\mu^2-z^2} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Here *p.v.* indicates principal value and the delta function  $\delta\left(\frac{z}{\mu}\right)$  is defined by

$$\int_{|\mu|=1} \delta\left(\pm\frac{z}{\mu}\right) \frac{f(\mu)}{\mu} d\mu = f(\pm z). \quad (3.6)$$

**Proof** Using (2.8), (2.9) and (3.1), we obtain that the entries of the transition matrix  $T(n, m, z)$  satisfy the Poisson brackets in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ T(n, m, z) \otimes T(n, m, \mu) \right\} &= \left[ r\left(\frac{z}{\mu}\right), T(n, m, z) \otimes T(n, m, \mu) \right], \quad m < n, \\ \left\{ T(n, m, z) \otimes T(n, m, \mu) \right\} &= - \left[ r\left(\frac{z}{\mu}\right), T(n, m, z) \otimes T(n, m, \mu) \right], \quad m > n, \\ \left\{ T(n, m_1, z) \otimes T(n, m_2, \mu) \right\} &= 0, \quad m_1 < n < m_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

The Poisson algebra (3.4) can be derived by using (3.3), (3.7) and the definition (2.10), and the following formula [8]

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} p.v. \frac{(z\mu^{-1})^n}{1 - z\mu^{-1}} = \mp i\pi\delta\left(\frac{z}{\mu}\right), \quad (3.8)$$

for  $|z| = |\mu| = 1$ . □

Using (2.16) and (3.4), we obtain the following important relation.

**Proposition 2** *For  $|z| = |\mu| = 1$ , the Poisson brackets of the reduced monodromy matrix are given by*

$$\left\{ T(z) \otimes T(\mu) \right\} = r_+(z, \mu) (T(z) \otimes T(\mu)) - (T(z) \otimes T(\mu)) r_-(z, \mu), \quad (3.9)$$

where  $r_{\pm}(z, \mu)$  is given by (3.5).

In components, the relation (3.9) becomes

$$\{a(z), a(\mu)\} = \{a(z), \tilde{a}(\mu)\} = \{\tilde{a}(z), \tilde{a}(\mu)\} = 0, \quad (3.10a)$$

$$\{a(z), \tilde{b}(\mu)\} = -i \left( \frac{1}{ze^{+0} - u} + \frac{1}{ze^{+0} + u} \right) \frac{z^2 + \mu^2}{4z} a(z) \tilde{b}(\mu), \quad (3.10b)$$

$$\{a(z), b(\mu)\} = i \left( \frac{1}{ze^{+0} - u} + \frac{1}{ze^{+0} + u} \right) \frac{z^2 + \mu^2}{4z} a(z) b(\mu), \quad (3.10c)$$

$$\{\tilde{a}(z), \tilde{b}(\mu)\} = i \left( \frac{1}{ze^{-0} - u} + \frac{1}{ze^{-0} + u} \right) \frac{z^2 + \mu^2}{4z} \tilde{a}(z) \tilde{b}(\mu), \quad (3.10d)$$

$$\{\tilde{a}(z), b(\mu)\} = -i \left( \frac{1}{ze^{-0} - u} + \frac{1}{ze^{-0} + u} \right) \frac{z^2 + \mu^2}{4z} \tilde{a}(z) b(\mu), \quad (3.10e)$$

$$\{b(z), b(\mu)\} = \{\tilde{b}(z), \tilde{b}(\mu)\} = 0, \quad \{b(z), \tilde{b}(\mu)\} = \pi \left( \delta\left(\frac{z}{\mu}\right) - \delta\left(-\frac{z}{\mu}\right) \right) a(z) \tilde{a}(\mu). \quad (3.10f)$$

Here we have used the Sochocki-Plemelj formula

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{ze^{-0} \pm u} &= \lim_{\substack{\tilde{z} \rightarrow z \\ |\tilde{z}| < 1}} \frac{1}{\tilde{z} \pm \mu} = p.v. \frac{1}{z \pm \mu} - \pi i \delta\left(\mp \frac{z}{\mu}\right), \\ \frac{1}{ze^{+0} \pm u} &= \lim_{\substack{\tilde{z} \rightarrow z \\ |\tilde{z}| > 1}} \frac{1}{\tilde{z} \pm \mu} = p.v. \frac{1}{z \pm \mu} + \pi i \delta\left(\mp \frac{z}{\mu}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

in order to derive (3.10). Due to the analytic properties of  $a(z)$  and  $\tilde{a}(z)$ , the Poisson brackets involving  $a(z)$  in (3.10) can be analytically continued into the domain  $|z| > 1$ , while the ones involving  $\tilde{a}(z)$  can be analytically continued into the domain  $|z| < 1$ .

From (3.10a), we immediately obtain

**Corollary 1** *The conserved quantities  $I_{2k}$  and  $\tilde{I}_{2k}$  constructed in section 2.4 (see (2.51) and (2.53)) are in involution,*

$$\{I_{2j}, I_{2k}\} = \{\tilde{I}_{2j}, \tilde{I}_{2k}\} = \{I_{2j}, \tilde{I}_{2k}\} = 0, \quad j, k \geq 0. \quad (3.12)$$

With aid of (3.4) and (3.9), we are able to compute all the Poisson bracket relations between the scattering data.

**Proposition 3** *For the AL system, the Poisson brackets of the scattering data (2.23) are given by*

$$\{z_j, z_k\} = \{z_j, \tilde{z}_l\} = \{\tilde{z}_l, \tilde{z}_m\} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq N, \quad 1 \leq l, m \leq \tilde{N}, \quad (3.13a)$$

$$\{\gamma_j, \gamma_k\} = \{\gamma_j, \tilde{\gamma}_l\} = \{\tilde{\gamma}_l, \tilde{\gamma}_m\} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq N, \quad 1 \leq l, m \leq \tilde{N}, \quad (3.13b)$$

$$\{b(z), z_j\} = \{\tilde{b}(z), z_j\} = \{b(z), \tilde{z}_l\} = \{\tilde{b}(z), \tilde{z}_l\} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad 1 \leq l \leq \tilde{N}, \quad (3.13c)$$

$$\{b(z), \gamma_j\} = \{\tilde{b}(z), \gamma_j\} = \{b(z), \tilde{\gamma}_l\} = \{\tilde{b}(z), \tilde{\gamma}_l\} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad 1 \leq l \leq \tilde{N}, \quad (3.13d)$$

$$\{z_j, \tilde{\gamma}_l\} = 0, \quad \{\gamma_j, z_k\} = \frac{i}{2} \delta_{jk} \gamma_j z_k, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq N, \quad 1 \leq l \leq \tilde{N}, \quad (3.13e)$$

$$\{\tilde{z}_l, \gamma_j\} = 0, \quad \{\tilde{\gamma}_l, \tilde{z}_m\} = \frac{i}{2} \delta_{lm} \tilde{\gamma}_l \tilde{z}_m, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad 1 \leq l, m \leq \tilde{N}, \quad (3.13f)$$

$$\{b(z), b(\mu)\} = \{\tilde{b}(z), \tilde{b}(\mu)\} = 0, \quad \{b(z), \tilde{b}(\mu)\} = \pi \left( \delta\left(\frac{z}{\mu}\right) - \delta\left(-\frac{z}{\mu}\right) \right) \left( 1 + b(z) \tilde{b}(z) \right). \quad (3.13g)$$

**Proof** The Poisson brackets (3.13a) follow from (3.10a). The Poisson brackets (3.13g) follow from (2.18), (2.21) and (3.10f). In order to derive Poisson brackets involving  $\gamma_j$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_l$ , we introduce the following notations

$$T_-(n, z) = \begin{pmatrix} f_-(n, z) & \hat{g}_-(n, z) \\ g_-(n, z) & \hat{f}_-(n, z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_+(n, z) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{g}_+(n, z) & f_+(n, z) \\ \hat{f}_+(n, z) & g_+(n, z) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.14)$$

With the notation (3.14), the quantities  $a(z)$ ,  $b(z)$ ,  $\tilde{a}(z)$ ,  $\tilde{b}(z)$ ,  $\gamma_j$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_l$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} a(z) &= g_+(n, z) f_-(n, z) - f_+(n, z) g_-(n, z), & b(z) &= \hat{g}_+(n, z) g_-(n, z) - \hat{f}_+(n, z) f_-(n, z), \\ \tilde{a}(z) &= \hat{g}_+(n, z) \hat{f}_-(n, z) - \hat{f}_+(n, z) \hat{g}_-(n, z), & \tilde{b}(z) &= \hat{g}_-(n, z) g_+(n, z) - \hat{f}_-(n, z) f_+(n, z), \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

$$\gamma_j = \frac{f_-(n, z)}{f_+(n, z)} \Big|_{z=z_j} = \frac{g_-(n, z)}{g_+(n, z)} \Big|_{z=z_j}, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_l = \frac{\hat{f}_-(n, z)}{\hat{f}_+(n, z)} \Big|_{z=\tilde{z}_l} = \frac{\hat{g}_-(n, z)}{\hat{g}_+(n, z)} \Big|_{z=\tilde{z}_l}.$$

After straightforward calculations using (3.4) and the expressions (3.15), we obtain (3.13b),

(3.13d) and

$$\{a(z), \gamma_j\} = \frac{i}{2} \frac{z^2 + z_j^2}{z^2 - z_j^2} a(z) \gamma_j, \quad \{a(z), \tilde{\gamma}_l\} = -\frac{i}{2} \frac{z^2 + \tilde{z}_l^2}{z^2 - \tilde{z}_l^2} a(z) \tilde{\gamma}_l, \quad (3.16a)$$

$$\{\tilde{a}(z), \gamma_j\} = -\frac{i}{2} \frac{z^2 + z_j^2}{z^2 - z_j^2} \tilde{a}(z) \gamma_j, \quad \{\tilde{a}(z), \tilde{\gamma}_l\} = \frac{i}{2} \frac{z^2 + \tilde{z}_l^2}{z^2 - \tilde{z}_l^2} \tilde{a}(z) \tilde{\gamma}_l. \quad (3.16b)$$

From (2.26) and the first of (3.16a), we obtain

$$\left\{ \ln \frac{z^{2\tilde{N}} \hat{a}(z)}{z^{2N} \mathcal{C}}, \gamma_j \right\} + \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \frac{\{z_k, \gamma_j\}}{z + z_k} - \frac{\{z_k, \gamma_j\}}{z - z_k} \right) + \sum_{l=1}^{\tilde{N}} \left( \frac{\{\tilde{z}_l, \gamma_j\}}{z - \tilde{z}_l} - \frac{\{\tilde{z}_l, \gamma_j\}}{z + \tilde{z}_l} \right) = \frac{i(z^2 + z_j^2)}{2(z^2 - z_j^2)} \gamma_j. \quad (3.17)$$

For  $|z| > 1$ , the right hand side of equality (3.17) has singularities at  $z = \pm z_j$ . Comparing the residues at  $z = \pm z_j$ , we find the second of relations (3.13e). From (2.26) and the second of (3.16a), we obtain

$$\left\{ \ln \frac{z^{2\tilde{N}} \hat{a}(z)}{z^{2N} \mathcal{C}}, \tilde{\gamma}_l \right\} + \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \frac{\{z_k, \tilde{\gamma}_l\}}{z + z_k} - \frac{\{z_k, \tilde{\gamma}_l\}}{z - z_k} \right) + \sum_{m=1}^{\tilde{N}} \left( \frac{\{\tilde{z}_m, \tilde{\gamma}_l\}}{z - \tilde{z}_m} - \frac{\{\tilde{z}_m, \tilde{\gamma}_l\}}{z + \tilde{z}_m} \right) = \frac{i(z^2 + \tilde{z}_l^2)}{2(\tilde{z}_l^2 - z^2)} \tilde{\gamma}_l. \quad (3.18)$$

Since the right hand side of (3.18) is analytic for  $|z| > 1$ , the left hand side has no singularities at  $z = \pm z_j$ . Thus we obtain the first of relations (3.13e). The Poisson brackets (3.13f) can be derived from (3.16b) via a similar manner. The Poisson brackets (3.13c) can be deduced by using (2.26) and (3.10).  $\square$

The Poisson brackets (3.13) suggest that the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \ln \left( 1 + b(z) \bar{b}(z) \right), \quad \phi(z) = \frac{1}{2i} \ln \frac{b(z)}{\bar{b}(z)}, \quad |z| = 1, \\ \mathcal{P}_j &= 2 \ln z_j, \quad \mathcal{Q}_j = i \ln \gamma_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j &= 2 \ln \tilde{z}_j, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_j = i \ln \tilde{\gamma}_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \tilde{N}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

have the non-vanishing Poisson brackets of simple form:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\rho(z), \phi(\mu)\} &= i \left( \delta\left(\frac{z}{\mu}\right) + \delta\left(-\frac{z}{\mu}\right) \right), \quad |z| = |\mu| = 1, \\ \{\mathcal{P}_j, \mathcal{Q}_k\} &= \delta_{jk}, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq N, \\ \{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j, \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_k\} &= \delta_{jk}, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq \tilde{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

## 4 Integrability of the reduced AL systems

With aid of the Poisson bracket relations derived in the above section, we now discuss the complete integrability of the AL system with local and, particularly, shifted nonlocal reductions.



We will see that the IST does provide the variables of action-angle type for the space shifted nonlocal DNLS equation (1.9) and for the space-time shifted nonlocal DNLS equation (1.11), but it is not the case for the time shifted nonlocal DNLS equation (1.10).

#### 4.1 The DNLS equation

It can be checked directly that if  $\Phi(n, t, z)$  solves (2.2a) with  $p(n, t) = \nu q^*(n, t)$ , so does  $\hat{\Phi}(n, t) = \sigma_\nu \Phi^*(n, t, \frac{1}{z^*})$ , where  $\sigma_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Applying this observation to the Jost solutions  $T_\pm(n, z)$  and comparing the boundary conditions (see (2.12)), we obtain

$$T_\pm^{(1)}(n, t, z) = \sigma_\nu \left( T_\pm^{(2)}(n, t, \frac{1}{z^*}) \right)^*. \quad (4.1)$$

Using (2.19) and (4.1), we obtain the following symmetry relations for the transition coefficients:

$$\tilde{a}(z) = a^*\left(\frac{1}{z^*}\right), \quad |z| \leq 1; \quad \tilde{b}(z) = \nu b^*(z), \quad |z| = 1. \quad (4.2)$$

It follows from (4.1) and (4.2) that the eigenvalues  $z_j$  and  $\tilde{z}_j$  subject to

$$\tilde{z}_j = \frac{1}{z_j^*}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \tilde{N} = N, \quad (4.3)$$

and the transition coefficients  $\gamma_j$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_j$  subject to

$$\tilde{\gamma}_j = \nu \gamma_j^*, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \tilde{N} = N. \quad (4.4)$$

With (4.3) and (4.4) in mind, we conclude from (3.19) and (3.20) that the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \ln(1 + \nu |b(z)|^2), \quad \phi(z) = \arg b(z), \quad |z| = 1, \\ p_j &= -2 \arg z_j, \quad q_j = 2 \ln |\gamma_j|, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \\ \varrho_j &= -2 \ln |z_j|, \quad \varphi_j = 2 \arg \gamma_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

have the non-vanishing Poisson brackets of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \{\rho(z), \phi(\mu)\} &= i \left( \delta\left(\frac{z}{\mu}\right) + \delta\left(-\frac{z}{\mu}\right) \right), \\ \{p_j, q_k\} &= \delta_{jk}, \quad \{\varrho_j, \varphi_k\} = \delta_{jk}, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq N. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

We may represent the Hamiltonian of the DNLS equation (1.5) as a functional of only half of the new variables (4.5). Indeed, it follows from (2.60), (4.2), (4.3) and (4.5) that the Hamiltonian of the DNLS equation (1.5) can be expressed in terms of the variables  $\rho(\lambda)$ ,  $p_j$  and  $\varrho_j$  as

$$H = 4 \sum_{j=1}^N \varrho_j - \sum_{j=1}^N (e^{ip_j} + e^{-ip_j}) (e^{\varrho_j} - e^{-\varrho_j}) + \frac{i}{2} \oint_{|\xi|=1} (\xi^{-3} + \xi - 2\xi^{-1}) \rho(\xi) d\xi. \quad (4.7)$$

The new variables (4.5) completely trivialize the dynamics of the DNLS equation (1.5). Indeed, from (4.6) and (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\rho(z)}{dt} &= \{H, \rho\} = 0, & \frac{d\phi(z)}{dt} &= \{H, \phi\} = -(z - z^{-1})^2, \\ \frac{dp_j}{dt} &= \{H, p_j\} = 0, & \frac{dq_j}{dt} &= \{H, q_j\} = -i(e^{ip_j} - e^{-ip_j})(e^{\varrho_j} - e^{-\varrho_j}), \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \frac{d\varrho_j}{dt} &= \{H, \varrho_j\} = 0, & \frac{d\varphi_j}{dt} &= \{H, \varphi_j\} = 4 - (e^{ip_j} + e^{-ip_j})(e^{\varrho_j} + e^{-\varrho_j}), \quad j = 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

The above argument concludes the complete integrability of the DNLS equation (1.5). The quantities (4.5) serve as the variables of action-angle type for the DNLS equation (1.5).

We note that the complete integrability of the DNLS equation (1.5) has been investigated in [6] by using a method, different from the  $r$ -matrix, which is based on expansions over the squared solutions.

## 4.2 The space shifted nonlocal DNLS equation

In this case, we can check directly that if  $\Phi(n, t, z)$  solves (2.2a) with  $p(n, t) = \nu q^*(n_0 - n, t)$ ,  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so does  $\hat{\Phi}(n, t) = \tilde{\sigma}_\nu \Phi^*(n_0 - n + 1, t, z^*)$ , where  $\tilde{\sigma}_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Applying this observation to the Jost solutions  $T_\pm(n, z)$  and comparing the boundary conditions (see (2.12)), we obtain

$$T_\pm^{(2)}(n, t, z) = -\nu z^{-n_0-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\nu \left( T_\mp^{(1)}(n_0 - n + 1, t, z^*) \right)^*. \quad (4.9)$$

These equations imply the following symmetry relations for the transition coefficients:

$$a(z) = a^*(z^*), \quad |z| \geq 1; \quad \tilde{a}(z) = \tilde{a}^*(z^*), \quad |z| \leq 1; \quad \tilde{b}(z) = \nu z^{-2(n_0+1)} b^*(z^*), \quad |z| = 1. \quad (4.10)$$

The symmetry relations (4.10) imply that the zeros of  $a(z)$  and the zeros of  $\tilde{a}(z)$  always appear in quartets; we denote the sets of the zeros of  $a(z)$  and  $\tilde{a}(z)$  by  $\mathcal{Z} \equiv \{z_j, -z_j, z_j^*, -z_j^*\}_{j=1}^N$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} \equiv \{\tilde{z}_l, -\tilde{z}_l, \tilde{z}_l^*, -\tilde{z}_l^*\}_{l=1}^{\tilde{N}}$ , respectively. The transition coefficients corresponding to  $\mathcal{Z}$  becomes  $\left\{ \gamma_j, -\gamma_j, -\nu(z_j^*)^{2(n_0+1)} \frac{1}{\gamma_j^*}, \nu(z_j^*)^{2(n_0+1)} \frac{1}{\gamma_j^*} \right\}_{j=1}^N$ , and the ones corresponding to  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$  becomes  $\left\{ \tilde{\gamma}_l, -\tilde{\gamma}_l, -\nu(\tilde{z}_l^*)^{2(n_0+1)} \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_l^*}, \nu(\tilde{z}_l^*)^{2(n_0+1)} \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_l^*} \right\}_{l=1}^{\tilde{N}}$ . In terms of these scattering data, the Hamilto-

nian of the space shifted nonlocal DNLS equation (1.9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
H = & 8 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} \ln |\tilde{z}_j| - \sum_{j=1}^N \ln |z_j| + (N - \tilde{N})\pi i \right) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^N \left( z_j^2 - z_j^{-2} + (z_j^*)^2 - (z_j^*)^{-2} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} \left( \tilde{z}_j^2 - \tilde{z}_j^{-2} + (\tilde{z}_j^*)^2 - (\tilde{z}_j^*)^{-2} \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=1} (2\xi^{-1} - \xi^{-3} - \xi) \ln \left( 1 + \nu \xi^{-2(n_0+1)} b(\xi) b^*(\xi^*) \right) d\xi.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

In this situation, we introduce the quantities

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(z) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \ln \left( 1 + \nu z^{-2(n_0+1)} b(z) b^*(z^*) \right), \quad \phi(z) = \frac{1}{2i} \ln \left( \nu z^{2(n_0+1)} \frac{b(z)}{b^*(z^*)} \right), \\
p_j &= -2 \arg z_j, \quad q_j = 2 \ln |\gamma_j|, \quad \varrho_j = -2 \ln |z_j|, \quad \varphi_j = 2 \arg \gamma_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \\
\tilde{p}_j &= -2 \arg \tilde{z}_j, \quad \tilde{q}_j = 2 \ln |\tilde{\gamma}_j|, \quad \tilde{\varrho}_j = -2 \ln |\tilde{z}_j|, \quad \tilde{\varphi}_j = 2 \arg \tilde{\gamma}_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \tilde{N}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Using (3.19) and (3.20), we may conclude that the above quantities have the non-vanishing Poisson brackets of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\rho(z), \phi(\mu)\} &= i \left( \delta\left(\frac{z}{\mu}\right) + \delta\left(-\frac{z}{\mu}\right) \right), \\
\{p_j, q_k\} &= \delta_{jk}, \quad \{\varrho_j, \varphi_k\} = \delta_{jk}, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq N, \\
\{\tilde{p}_j, \tilde{q}_k\} &= \delta_{jk}, \quad \{\tilde{\varrho}_j, \tilde{\varphi}_k\} = \delta_{jk} \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq \tilde{N}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

The Hamiltonian (4.11) can be expressed in terms of new variables (4.12) as

$$\begin{aligned}
H = & 4 \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \varrho_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} \tilde{\varrho}_j + 2(N - \tilde{N})\pi i \right) + \sum_{j=1}^N (e^{ip_j} + e^{-ip_j}) (e^{-\varrho_j} - e^{\varrho_j}) \\
& - \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} (e^{i\tilde{p}_j} + e^{-i\tilde{p}_j}) (e^{-\tilde{\varrho}_j} - e^{\tilde{\varrho}_j}) + \frac{i}{2} \oint_{|\xi|=1} (\xi^{-3} + \xi - 2\xi^{-1}) \rho(\xi) d\xi.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

As in the standard local reduction case, only half of the variables (4.12), namely  $\rho(\lambda)$ ,  $p_j$ ,  $\varrho_j$ ,  $\tilde{p}_j$  and  $\tilde{\varrho}_j$ , enter into the Hamiltonian (4.14).

In the new variables (4.12), the dynamics of the space shifted nonlocal DNLS equation (1.9)

is completely trivialized. Indeed, from (4.13) and (4.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\rho(z)}{dt} &= \{H, \rho\} = 0, & \frac{d\phi(z)}{dt} &= \{H, \phi\} = -(z - z^{-1})^2, \\
\frac{dp_j}{dt} &= \{H, p_j\} = 0, & \frac{dq_j}{dt} &= \{H, q_j\} = -i(e^{ip_j} - e^{-ip_j})(e^{\varrho_j} - e^{-\varrho_j}), \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \\
\frac{d\varrho_j}{dt} &= \{H, \varrho_j\} = 0, & \frac{d\varphi_j}{dt} &= \{H, \varphi_j\} = 4 - (e^{ip_j} + e^{-ip_j})(e^{\varrho_j} + e^{-\varrho_j}), \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \\
\frac{d\tilde{p}_j}{dt} &= \{H, \tilde{p}_j\} = 0, & \frac{d\tilde{q}_j}{dt} &= \{H, \tilde{q}_j\} = i(e^{i\tilde{p}_j} - e^{-i\tilde{p}_j})(e^{\tilde{\varrho}_j} - e^{-\tilde{\varrho}_j}), \quad j = 1, \dots, \tilde{N}, \\
\frac{d\tilde{\varrho}_j}{dt} &= \{H, \tilde{\varrho}_j\} = 0, & \frac{d\tilde{\varphi}_j}{dt} &= \{H, \tilde{\varphi}_j\} = (e^{i\tilde{p}_j} + e^{-i\tilde{p}_j})(e^{\tilde{\varrho}_j} + e^{-\tilde{\varrho}_j}) - 4, \quad j = 1, \dots, \tilde{N}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

The above results show that (4.12) can be interpreted as the variables of action-angle type for the space shifted nonlocal DNLS equation (1.9). Thus, we obtain the complete integrability of the space shifted nonlocal DNLS model (1.9) under the rapidly decreasing boundary conditions.

### 4.3 The time shifted nonlocal DNLS equation

In this case, we can check directly that if  $\Phi(n, t, z)$  solves (2.2a) with  $p(n, t) = \nu q(n, t_0 - t)$ ,  $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , so does  $\hat{\Phi}(n, t) = \sigma_\nu \Phi(n, t_0 - t, \frac{1}{z})$ , where  $\sigma_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Applying this observation to the Jost solutions  $T_\pm(n, z)$  and comparing the boundary conditions (see (2.12)), we obtain

$$T_\pm^{(2)}(n, t, z) = \nu \sigma_\nu T_\pm^{(1)}(n, t_0 - t, \frac{1}{z}). \tag{4.16}$$

These equations imply the following symmetries for the transition coefficients:

$$\tilde{a}(z) = a(\frac{1}{z}), \quad |z| \leq 1; \quad \tilde{b}(z, t) = \nu b(\frac{1}{z}, t_0 - t), \quad |z| = 1. \tag{4.17}$$

The symmetries (4.16) and (4.17) imply that

$$\tilde{z}_j = \frac{1}{z_j}, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_j(t) = \nu \gamma_j(t_0 - t), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N. \tag{4.18}$$

We emphasize that this reduction, unlike the two reductions discussed above, is not compatible with the Poisson bracket relations of the scattering data derived in section 3. For example, from the first of (3.13f) and (4.18), we obtain  $\left\{ \frac{1}{z_j}, \gamma_k \right\} = 0$  which is in contradiction with the second of (3.13e). Thus, the time shifted nonlocal reduction (1.7) does not preserve the complete integrability of the AL system.

### 4.4 The space-time shifted nonlocal DNLS equation

In this case, we can check directly that if  $\Phi(n, t, z)$  solves (2.2a) with  $p(n, t) = \nu q(n_0 - n, t_0 - t)$ ,  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,  $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , so does  $\hat{\Phi}(n, t) = \tilde{\sigma}_\nu \Phi(n_0 - n + 1, t_0 - t, z)$ , where  $\tilde{\sigma}_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Applying

this observation to the Jost solutions  $T_{\pm}(n, z)$  and comparing the boundary conditions (see (2.12)), we obtain

$$T_{\pm}^{(2)}(n, t, z) = -\nu z^{-n_0-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{\nu} T_{\mp}^{(1)}(n_0 - n + 1, t_0 - t, z). \quad (4.19)$$

These equations imply the following symmetry for the transition coefficients  $b(z, t)$  and  $\tilde{b}(z, t)$ :

$$\tilde{b}(z, t) = \nu z^{-2(n_0+1)} b(z, t_0 - t), \quad |z| = 1. \quad (4.20)$$

Note that the space-time shifted nonlocal reduction  $p(n, t) = \nu q(n_0 - n, t_0 - t)$ ,  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,  $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , does not impose additional symmetry relations for  $a(z)$  and  $\tilde{a}(z)$ .

Expressions (3.19), (3.20) and (4.20) suggest that the variables

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \ln \left( 1 + \nu z^{-2(n_0+1)} b(z, t) b(z, t_0 - t) \right), \\ \phi(z) &= \frac{1}{2i} \ln \left( \nu z^{2(n_0+1)} \frac{b(z, t)}{b(z, t_0 - t)} \right), \\ p_j &= 2 \ln z_j, \quad q_j = i \ln \gamma_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \\ \tilde{p}_j &= 2 \ln \tilde{z}_j, \quad \tilde{q}_j = i \ln \tilde{\gamma}_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \tilde{N}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

have the non-vanishing Poisson brackets of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \{\rho(z), \phi(\mu)\} &= i \left( \delta\left(\frac{z}{\mu}\right) + \delta\left(-\frac{z}{\mu}\right) \right), \\ \{p_j, q_k\} &= \delta_{jk}, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq N, \\ \{\tilde{p}_j, \tilde{q}_k\} &= \delta_{jk}, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq \tilde{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Using (2.60), (4.20) and (4.21), we can express the Hamiltonian of the space-time shifted nonlocal DNLS equation (1.11) in terms of the new variables  $\rho(\lambda)$ ,  $p_j$  and  $\tilde{p}_j$  as

$$\begin{aligned} H &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} \tilde{p}_j - 2 \sum_{j=1}^N p_j + 4(N - \tilde{N})\pi i + \sum_{j=1}^N (e^{p_j} - e^{-p_j}) - \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} (e^{\tilde{p}_j} - e^{-\tilde{p}_j}) \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{2} \oint_{|\xi|=1} (\xi^{-3} + \xi - 2\xi^{-1}) \rho(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

From (4.22) and (4.23), we know that the new variables (4.21) completely trivialize the dynamics of the space-time shifted nonlocal DNLS equation (1.11):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\rho(z)}{dt} &= \{H, \rho\} = 0, \quad \frac{d\phi(z)}{dt} = \{H, \phi\} = -(z - z^{-1})^2, \\ \frac{dp_j}{dt} &= \{H, p_j\} = 0, \quad \frac{dq_j}{dt} = \{H, q_j\} = e^{p_j} + e^{-p_j} - 2, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \frac{d\tilde{p}_j}{dt} &= \{H, \tilde{p}_j\} = 0, \quad \frac{d\tilde{q}_j}{dt} = \{H, \tilde{q}_j\} = 2 - e^{\tilde{p}_j} - e^{-\tilde{p}_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, \tilde{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

This means that the quantities (4.21) serve as the variables of action-angle type for the space-time shifted nonlocal DNLS equation (1.11). Thus, the complete integrability for this equation is obtained.

## 5 Concluding remarks

We derived the  $r$ -matrix representation of the Poisson brackets of the scattering data of the linear spectral problem for the AL system. Based on this, we discussed the complete integrability of the AL system with space, time and space-time shifted nonlocal reductions. We found that the space and space-time shifted nonlocal reductions preserve the complete integrability of the AL system, but it is not the case for the time shifted nonlocal reduction. We further established the complete integrability of the corresponding space and space-time shifted nonlocal DDEs by constructing their explicit variables of action-angle type.

We end this paper with a brief discussion on the corresponding continuous model, the well-known AKNS system. The AKNS system [4]

$$\begin{aligned} iq_t(x, t) &= q_{xx}(x, t) - 2q^2(x, t)p(x, t), \\ ip_t(x, t) &= -p_{xx}(x, t) + 2p^2(x, t)q(x, t), \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

admits the following space-time shifted nonlocal reductions [10, 12]

$$p(x, t) = \nu q^*(x_0 - x, t), \quad x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$p(n, t) = \nu q(x, t_0 - t), \quad t_0 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$p(n, t) = \nu q(x_0 - x, t_0 - t), \quad x_0, t_0 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (5.4)$$

where  $\nu = \pm 1$  and  $x_0, t_0$  are arbitrary parameters. As in the case of the AL system, we can show that the space and space-time shifted nonlocal reductions (5.2) and (5.4) are compatible with the Poisson bracket relations of the scattering data of the AKNS system, but it is not the case for the time shifted nonlocal reduction (5.3). Indeed, let  $\mathcal{K} \equiv \{k_j\}_{j=1}^N$ ,  $\text{Im } k_j > 0$ , and  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} \equiv \{\tilde{k}_l\}_{l=1}^{\tilde{N}}$ ,  $\text{Im } \tilde{k}_l < 0$ , be the zeros of the transition coefficients  $a(k)$  and  $\tilde{a}(k)$  for the AKNS system, respectively. Let  $\gamma_j$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_l$  be the transition coefficients corresponding to the discrete spectrum  $k_j$  and  $\tilde{k}_l$ . Then we have the following Poisson bracket relations [8]

$$\{k_j, \tilde{\gamma}_l\} = 0, \quad \{\tilde{k}_l, \gamma_j\} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad 1 \leq l \leq \tilde{N}, \quad (5.5a)$$

$$\{\gamma_j, k_m\} = \delta_{jm}\gamma_j, \quad \{\tilde{\gamma}_l, \tilde{k}_n\} = \delta_{ln}\tilde{\gamma}_l, \quad 1 \leq j, m \leq N, \quad 1 \leq l, n \leq \tilde{N}. \quad (5.5b)$$

Under the reduction (5.3), the transition coefficients  $a(k)$  and  $\tilde{a}(k)$  subject to the symmetry [12]

$$\tilde{a}(k) = a(-k). \quad (5.6)$$

This implies that the discrete spectrum subject to  $\tilde{k}_j = -k_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq N$ . Such a restriction is not compatible with the Poisson bracket relations (5.5). For the reductions (5.2) and (5.4), proceeding as the AL case, we can construct the explicit action-angle variables for the resulting shifted nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Thus, for both the continuous model and the discrete model, the space and space-time shifted nonlocal reductions do preserve the complete integrability of the unreduced system, but it is not the case for the time shifted nonlocal reduction.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12271221).

## References

- [1] M.J. Ablowitz and J.F. Ladik, Nonlinear differential-difference equations, *J. Math. Phys.*, 16 (1975) 598-603.
- [2] M.J. Ablowitz and J.F. Ladik, Nonlinear differential-difference equations and Fourier analysis, *J. Math. Phys.*, 17 (1976) 1011-1018.
- [3] M.J. Ablowitz, B. Prinari and A.D. Trubatch, *Discrete and Continuous Nonlinear Schrödinger Systems*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series vol 302, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [4] M.J. Ablowitz, D.J. Kaup, A.C. Newell and H. Segur, The inverse scattering transform-fourier analysis for nonlinear problems, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 53(4) (1974) 249-315.
- [5] V.S. Gerdjikov and M.I. Ivanov, The Hamiltonian structure of multicomponent nonlinear Schrödinger difference equations, *Theor. Math. Phys.* 52 (1982) 89.
- [6] V.S. Gerdjikov, M.I. Ivanov and P.P. Kulish, Expansions over the squared solutions and difference evolution equations. *J. Math. Phys.* 25 (1984) 25-34.
- [7] V.S. Gerdjikov, On the Integrability of Ablowitz-Ladik models with local and nonlocal reductions, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 1205 (2019) 012015.
- [8] L.D. Faddeev and L.A. Takhtajan, *Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons*, Springer (2007).
- [9] V. Caudrelier and N. Crampse, New integrable boundary conditions for the Ablowitz-Ladik model: From Hamiltonian formalism to nonlinear mirror image method, *Nuclear Physics B*, 946 (2019) 114720.

- [10] M.J. Ablowitz and Z.H. Musslimani, Integrable space-time shifted nonlocal nonlinear equations, *Phys. Lett. A* 409 (2021) 127516.
- [11] S. Liu, J. Wang and D. Zhang, Solutions to integrable space-time shifted nonlocal equations, *Rep. Math. Phys.* 89 (2022) 2.
- [12] M.J. Ablowitz, Z.H. Musslimani and J.O. Nicholas, Inverse scattering transform for continuous and discrete space-time shifted integrable equations, arXiv:2312.11780.
- [13] M.J. Ablowitz and Z.H. Musslimani, Integrable nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 110(2013) No.064105 (5pp).
- [14] M.J. Ablowitz and Z.H. Musslimani, Integrable discrete PT symmetric model, *Phys. Rev. E*, 90 (2014) No.032912.
- [15] M.J. Ablowitz and Z.H. Musslimani, Inverse scattering transform for the integrable nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Nonlinearity*, 29 (2016) 915-946.
- [16] M.J. Ablowitz and Z.H. Musslimani, Integrable nonlocal nonlinear equations, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 139 (2016) 7-59.
- [17] A.S. Fokas, Integrable multidimensional versions of the nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Nonlinearity*, 29 (2016) 319-324.
- [18] V.S. Gerdjikov and A. Saxena, Complete integrability of nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Math. Phys.*, 58 (2017) No.013502 (33pp).
- [19] V. Caudrelier, Interplay between the inverse scattering method and Fokass unified transform with an application, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 140 (2018) 3-26.
- [20] V.E. Zakharov and L.D. Faddeev, Korteweg-de Vries equation, a completely integrable Hamiltonian system, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 5 (1971) 280-287.
- [21] V.E. Zakharov and S.V. Manakov, On the complete integrability of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Theor. Math. Phys.* 6 (1974) 68-73.
- [22] V.S. Buslaev, L.D. Faddeev and L.A. Takhtajan, Scattering theory for the Korteweg-De Vries (KdV) equation and its Hamiltonian interpretation, *Physica D* 18 (1986) 255.
- [23] B. Xia and A.S. Fokas, Initial-boundary value problems associated with the Ablowitz-Ladik system, *Physica D* 364 (2018) 27-61.
- [24] P.D. Miller, N.M. Ercolani, I.M. Krichever and C.D. Levermore, Finite genus solutions to the Ablowitz-Ladik equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 48 (1995) 1369-1440.
- [25] X. Geng, H.H. Dai and J. Zhu, Decomposition of the Discrete Ablowitz-Ladik Hierarchy, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 118 (2007) 281-312.