

OmniPrism: Learning Disentangled Visual Concept for Image Generation

Yangyang Li^{1,2,3} Daqing Liu²† Wu Liu¹ Allen He²
 Xinchun Liu² Yongdong Zhang¹ Guoqing Jin³✉

¹University of Science and Technology of China ²JD Explore Academy, JD.com Inc.

³State Key Laboratory of Communication Content Cognition, People’s Daily Online

lyy1030@mail.ustc.edu.cn {daqing.liu, allenhethis}@outlook.com

{liuwu, zyd73}@ustc.edu.cn liuxinchun1@jd.com jinguoqing@people.cn

<https://tale17.github.io/omni>




Figure 1. We propose **OmniPrism**, which arbitrarily disentangles and combines visual concepts. (a) Disentangled visual concept generation. Given a reference image with multiple concepts, our method can disentangle the desired concept guided by natural language such as content names (red color words in prompts), “style” or “composition” (e.g., relation or structural features like pose) while remaining faithful to prompts. (b) Multi-concept combination. Given two or more reference images with the corresponding concept guidance, our approach can combine all desired concepts in any combination without conflicts.

Abstract

Creative visual concept generation often draws inspiration from specific concepts in a reference image to produce relevant outcomes. However, existing methods are typically constrained to single-aspect concept generation or are easily disrupted by irrelevant concepts in multi-aspect concept generation scenarios, leading to concept confusion and hindering creative generation. To address this, we propose **OmniPrism**¹, a visual concept disentangling approach for creative image

¹**OmniPrism** is inspired by the way a prism disperses light into its component, analogous to how we disentangle concepts from an image.

† Project Lead ✉ Corresponding Author

generation. Our method learns disentangled concept representations guided by natural language and trains a diffusion model to incorporate these concepts. We utilize the rich semantic space of a multimodal extractor to achieve concept disentanglement from given images and concept guidance. To disentangle concepts with different semantics, we construct a paired concept disentangled dataset (PCD-200K), where each pair shares the same concept such as content, style, and composition. We learn disentangled concept representations through our contrastive orthogonal disentangled (COD) training pipeline, which are then injected into additional diffusion cross-attention layers for generation. A set of block embeddings is designed to adapt

each block’s concept domain in the diffusion models. Extensive experiments demonstrate that our method can generate high-quality, concept-disentangled results with high fidelity to text prompts and desired concepts.

1. Introduction

With the advancement of text-to-image (T2I) generation based on diffusion models [24, 28, 29, 31], creative image generation has gained increasing attention, particularly in visual concept generation, which enables the generation of specific concepts from reference images, offering stronger flexibility and control. Visual concepts in an image can be divided into three orthogonal components: content (semantic subjects, background, *etc.*), style (art style, color, *etc.*), and composition (relation, camera views, layout, pose, *etc.*). These concepts can be utilized individually or in combination to produce creative outcomes.

Some recent studies focus on extracting single concepts from reference images for downstream generation tasks, such as subject customization [5, 9, 18, 30, 31, 33, 43], stylization [10, 15, 26, 34, 42], relationship customization [13, 14], and spatial condition generation [48]. However, these methods are limited to specific aspects of concept and lack flexibility for generating diverse concepts (see Fig. 2 (a)). Other works [2, 3] use subject masks to generate a single subject concept from images with multiple subjects, achieving relatively diverse subject disentanglement. However, they do not address abstract concepts that cannot be selected with a mask, such as style or relationships. Additionally, these methods often require fine-tuning during inference or complex additional conditions for each sample, which limits their applicability.

Other approaches aim to learn multi-aspect concept generation within a unified model. Xu *et al.* [44] and Yael *et al.* [39] bind the concepts and attributes of an image to a set of tokens, but their similarity of the generated concepts is limited. Some works utilize multimodal encoders to extract language-driven visual representations. For example, BLIP-Diffusion [19] fuses image and text features through attention, while DeaDiff [26] injects visual representations into specific layers of U-Net to generate disentangled style concepts. SSR-Encoder [50] aligns visual representations to the CLIP [27] language space. However, these methods cannot disentangle different concepts in the representation space, leading to concept confusion, *i.e.*, irrelevant concepts blend in images, as shown in red circle of Fig. 2 (b).

In this work, we explore learning to disentangle different concepts in reference images using natural language guidance and generate corresponding results. Previous research face challenges with concept confusion due to: 1) the lack of data samples that highlight target concepts, leading models to reconstruct the reference image during training, thus




Figure 2. **Challenges in visual concept generation.** (a) Limited concept space of single-aspect concept generation, which is only suitable for single tasks. (b) Previous multi-aspect concept generation works often struggled with concept confusion. (c) We disentangle different concepts in the representation space, thereby achieving results without irrelevant concepts.

failing to accurately perceive the target concepts; 2) inadequate differentiation between different concepts, resulting in concept coupling in the representation space and mixed results influenced by irrelevant concepts. To address these issues, we design a data-driven method that explicitly constructs positive and negative samples to highlight target concepts and employs a contrastive learning mechanism to encourage the model to disentangle different concepts from the reference image.

Specifically, we propose a visual concept generation approach based on contrastive orthogonal disentangled learning, termed **OmniPrism**. We introduce a learnable Q-Former [20] as a multimodal representation extractor for its rich semantic space. To extract corresponding concept representations based on natural language, we design a Contrastive Orthogonal Disentangle (COD) learning mechanism, which constrains the concept representations (guided by language) to be close to the same concept and orthogonal to irrelevant concepts, thus achieving concept disentanglement. We also design a novel block embedding, which adds a learnable embedding to each diffusion block to adaptively align different concept domains for generating more matching concept results. These disentangled concept representations are fed into a set of additional cross-attention layers in the U-net for generation. To facilitate learning concept disentanglement and generation through our method, we construct a Paired Concept Disentanglement Dataset (PCD-200K) with 200K pairs, where each pair contains a shared concept and other distinct concepts.

In addition, by the advantages of constraining different types of concept representations to be orthogonal during training, these concepts can be combined without conflict,

as shown in Fig. 1 (b). This provides more flexible applications, such as disentangling multiple concepts from different images and combining them in one image.

Our main contributions are summarized as follows:

- We propose **OmniPrism**, a novel disentangled visual concept generation approach with high fidelity to text prompts and desired concepts.
- We propose a Contrastive Orthogonal Disentangle (COD) Learning mechanism to disentangle concepts guided by natural language, and a novel block embedding to adapt the concept domain of each diffusion block.
- We contrast the Paired Concept Disentanglement dataset (PCD-200K) for concept disentanglement, where each sample contains the same concept and irrelevant concepts.

2. Related Work

2.1. Single-Aspect Visual Concept Generation

Visual concept generation based on diffusion models is typically conditioned on both image and text, aiming to generate results that incorporate visual concepts from image (subject, style, relation, *etc.*) while faithful to text prompts. Text Inversion [8] optimizes an extra embedding for a reference image in the text domain to generate similar subjects. DreamBooth [30] binds a unique identifier in the token domain to the reference image by optimizing the model and generate customized results. ControlNet [48] adds an additional control branch to learn the structural representations (*e.g.* edges, depth) from the reference images and generate corresponding results. Some encoder-based methods [4, 43, 45] introduce image encoders (ViT [7], DINO [47], *etc.*) to extract representations of reference images and train a model to fit them for training-free generation. IP-Adapter [46] designs a set of plug-and-play adapters to help the models learn image representations without compromising its original capabilities. Other works injects different conditions into different U-Net blocks [1, 26, 40, 41] or different time steps [1, 49] during inference to separate concepts. However, these methods are limited to single concept and cannot to be flexibly applied to multiple concepts, leading to concept conflict. In contrast, our method uses language as guidance to disentangle desired concepts from images, thereby achieving accurate multi-aspect visual concept generation without concept conflict.

2.2. Multi-Aspect Visual Concept Generation

Compared with single-aspect visual concept generation, multi-aspect visual concept generation incorporates additional conditions as guidance to flexibly generate the desired concepts in the reference images. Some inference-tuning works [2, 16, 51] using additional spatial control (mask, layout, *etc.*) or multiple reference images, binding the desired concept to a language mnemonic to achieve con-

cept disentanglement. However, these methods are time-consuming for learning each sample and require complex control conditions, which limits their practical application. Xu *et al.* [44] and Yael *et al.* [39] bind the concepts and attributes of an image to a set of tokens, but the similarity of the generated concepts was limited. Other works use a multimodal encoder to extract concept representations based on text. Blip-Diffusion [19] employs Q-Former [20] to jointly learn image features and text features of subject name. However, they only use this multi-modal interaction to enhance visual representations and cannot disentangle visual concepts described by text. DEADiff [26] uses “content” and “style” as guidance to extract representations and inject them into certain U-Net layers to disentangle style concepts. However, they cannot disentangle concepts except style since their limited language domain. SSR-Encoder [50] aligns image representations with language guidance in the CLIP [27] representations space and generate corresponding concept as language guidance. But they suffer from the trade-off of concept fidelity and concept independence, since the CLIP space lacks the property of concept disentanglement. Different from these works, our approach disentangles different concept representations by contrastive orthogonal disentangled learning, thereby generating desired concepts without confusion and achieving disentangled multi-aspect visual concept generation.

3. Method

Given a text prompt T_{tar} , a reference image I_{ref} , and a concept guidance T_{cg} , our goal is to generate an image I_{tar} that is faithful to T_{tar} and incorporates the desired concept without irrelevant concepts in I_{ref} . To achieve this, we propose a disentangled visual concept generation approach termed **OmniPrism**, which employs a concept extractor (Sec. 3.2) to disentangle specified concept representations f_{cpt} from I_{ref} guided by T_{cg} and feed f_{cpt} into additional cross-attention layers of diffusion models. A set of block embeddings e_1 to e_N (Sec. 3.2) are designed to align the concept domain of corresponding diffusion model blocks, n is the number of diffusion blocks. We design a Contrastive Orthogonal Disentangled (COD) learning mechanism (Sec. 3.3) and combine it with our PCD-200K (Sec. 3.4) dataset to achieve the concept disentanglement.

3.1. Preliminary

Diffusion models [29] are generative models that use a network ϵ_θ to gradually denoise random Gaussian noise $z_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ to learn the data distribution. This is the reverse process of adding noise to the image z_0 by T steps Markov chain. Diffusion models use text prompts c as conditions for text-to-image generation, and the training objective is to predict the noise ϵ added to the noised latent z_t




Figure 3. **Framework of OmniPrism.** (a) Given the reference image I_{ref} , target prompt T_{tar} and concept guidance T_{cg} , the concept extractor disentangles concept representations f_{cpt} by concatenating CLIP features f_{cg} of T_{cg} with a learnable query q , and feeds f_{cpt} into additional cross-attention layers in U-Net to generate target image I_{tar} . A learnable block embedding e_i is added to q to align the concept domain of i -th diffusion block. (b) We employ an anti-query q_a to capture irrelevant concepts f_{cpt}^a in I_{ref} , and constrain the desired concept f_{cpt}^{tar} in I_{tar} to be similar to f_{cpt} and orthogonal to f_{cpt}^a by Contrastive Orthogonal Disentangled (COD) Learning.

at time step t , which can be simplified as a variant of the variational bound:

$$\mathcal{L}_{ldm} = \mathbb{E}_{z, c, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1), t} [\|\epsilon_{\theta}(z_t, c, t) - \epsilon\|_2^2]. \quad (1)$$

During inference, ϵ_{θ} gradually denoises $z_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ using various samplers [21, 23, 36].

For conditional generation, recently diffusion models employ classifier-free guidance [12] to jointly train conditional models $\epsilon_{\theta}(z_t, c, t)$ and unconditional models $\epsilon_{\theta}(z_t, \emptyset, t)$ by dropping out c , thereby the noise predicted during inference is:

$$\hat{\epsilon}_{\theta}(z_t, c, t) = \omega \cdot \epsilon_{\theta}(z_t, c, t) + (1 - \omega) \cdot \epsilon_{\theta}(z_t, \emptyset, t), \quad (2)$$

here ω is the guidance scale which controls the strength of condition c in generated results.

3.2. Disentangling Visual Concept For Generation

Images contain rich visual concept representations, and visual encoders like ViT [6] cannot distinguish these concepts. To efficiently obtain disentangled visual concept representations for generation, we use natural language as guidance to disentangle corresponding concepts from reference image. Previously, Blip-Diffusion [19], DEADiff [26], and SSR-Encoder [50] use multi-modal encoders as concept extractor. However, they are all struggled in disentangling general visual concepts from images. Inspired by them, we introduce a pre-trained Q-Former [20] with strong multi-modal alignment capabilities as concept extractor to learn from paired data how to disentangle different concepts guided by language, as illustrated in Fig. 3.

Specifically, we construct a PCD-200K dataset (Sec. 3.4), each sample includes reference and target

image/prompt, and a natural language phrase as concept guidance T_{cg} which describes the shared concept in both images. The CLIP [27] representations of the reference image f_I and concept guidance f_{cg} are fed into the concept extractor E and interact with a learnable query q through several cross-attention layers, the output H_{ce}^1 of the first attention layer is:

$$H_{ce}^1 = \text{Attn}(\text{cat}(q + e_i, f_{cg})W_q^e, f_I W_k^e, f_I W_v^e), \quad (3)$$

where $\text{Attn}(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{QW^T}{\sqrt{d}}\right)V$,

where e_i is the block embedding corresponds to the i -th diffusion block, W^e are attention weights of E . Subsequently, the disentangled concept representation f_{cpt}^i output from E are fed into the i -th diffusion block through a set of additional cross-attention adapters:

$$H^{i+1} = \mu \cdot \text{Attn}(H^i W_q, f_{cpt}^i W_k', f_{cpt}^i W_v') + \text{Attn}(H^i W_q, c_t W_k, c_t W_v), \quad (4)$$

where W' are the newly added attention weights, W are the original attention weights, μ is the scale of attention output of f_{cpt}^i . The classifier-free guidance becomes:

$$\hat{\epsilon}_{\theta}(z_t, c_t, f_{cpt}, t) = \omega \cdot \epsilon_{\theta}(z_t, c_t, f_{cpt}, t) + (1 - \omega) \cdot \epsilon_{\theta}(z_t, \emptyset, t), \quad (5)$$

Block Embeddings. Recent works [26, 40] have found that different blocks in diffusion model have varying impacts on generated results. Coarse layers tend to learn low-level concepts such as style and color, while fine layers capture high-level semantic concepts. Therefore, some works [26, 41] inject reference style image features into the coarse layers

to achieve stylization. However, they rely heavily on manual priors and may ignore the impact of other blocks in results. Agarwa et al. [1] find that fine layer can also generate appearance-related concepts. To this end, we design a novel block embedding that aims to adapt concept representations to different concept domain of each diffusion block. Specifically, as shown in Fig. 3 and Eq. (3), we introduce a set of learnable block embeddings e_1 to e_N corresponding to N diffusion blocks. While calculating the f_{cpt} , each e_i is added to q and obtain f_{cpt}^i that matches different concept domain. These representations are then fed to the corresponding diffusion blocks to interact with latent representations H^i , achieving adaptive concept domain alignment and controllable concept disentangled generation.

3.3. Contrastive Orthogonal Disentangled Learning

Previous works [19, 26, 50] use multimodal encoders to extract visual representations guided by language, but they lack a effective concept disentangling mechanism and get conflict results. To extracted concept-disentangled representations, we design a Contrastive Orthogonal Disentangled (COD) learning mechanisms in training stage to disentangle each types of visual concepts into mutually orthogonal dimensions in the representation space. Since these representations are orthogonal, they can be easily combined for multi-concept generation without conflict.

Specifically, we design a learnable anti-query q_a of the same size as q to capture irrelevant concepts f_{cpt}^a in the reference image as Eq. (3). To learn q and q_a , we input I_{tar} , q and the concept guidance T_{cg} into our concept extractor to obtain the concept representation f_{cpt}^{tar} in I_{tar} . Subsequently, f_{cpt}^a and f_{cpt}^{tar} are concatenated and fed to the additional cross-attention layers of U-Net to generate I_{ref} . This additional training branch facilitates the combine generation of different concepts. Furthermore, we design a contrastive orthogonal disentangled Loss \mathcal{L}_{COD} , which constrains f_{cpt} and f_{cpt}^{tar} to be similar in the representation space, and f_{cpt}^a and f_{cpt}^{tar} to be orthogonal:

$$\mathcal{L}_{COD} = |\cos(f_{cpt}^a, f_{cpt}^{tar})| - \cos(f_{cpt}, f_{cpt}^{tar}), \quad (6)$$

where $\cos(\cdot)$ is cosine similarity, and the total loss is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{total} = \mathcal{L}_{ldm} + \lambda \cdot \mathcal{L}_{COD}, \quad (7)$$

where λ is the weight to control the influence of \mathcal{L}_{COD} .

3.4. Paired Concept-Disentangled Dataset

Previous visual concept generation methods [4, 8, 30, 43, 45] typically use L2 loss to reconstruct training samples, which makes it difficult to extract different concepts from a image. Therefore, we design a data construction pipeline to generate paired data specific to certain concepts, named paired concept-disentangled dataset (PCD-200K) with 200K paired data. We divide the visual concepts in the image into three components: content, style,

and composition, which are the fundamental visual concepts of an image. Our goal is to describe the desired visual concepts in natural language. Therefore, each pair of our dataset include reference image I_{ref} and target image I_{tar} , reference prompt T_{ref} and target prompt T_{tar} , and concept guide T_{cg} in simple natural language, which indicates the shared visual concept between the two images. Specifically, we design three different pipelines to build different visual concepts. We first apply GPT-4² to generate reference and target prompts and concept guidance. For content concept, we use FLUX³ and Kolors-inpainting [37] to generate two images that are different except for the shared subject. For style concept, we apply Instant-Style [41] to generate two images with the same style. For composition, we use ControlNet-Depth [48] to generate two images with the same composition. Concept guidance for content is the name of the subject (*e.g.* cat, man), while for the other two types of concept is “style” and “composition”, as it is challenging to accurately describe these concepts in natural language. We give a more detailed description of the data construction pipeline in the supplementary material.

4. Experiments

4.1. Experimental Settings

Implementation Details. Our experiments are based on Stable Diffusion XL 1.0 [25], and using CLIP-L/14 [27] as text and image encoder. We initialize our concept extractor with the pre-trained BLIP-2’s Q-Former [20], and the token number of learnable query, anti-query and block embeddings are set to 32. The additional cross-attention adapters to U-Net are initialized from IP-Adapter-XL-Plus [46]. We adopt AdamW optimizer [22] with a learning rate of $1e-5$ and λ is set to $1e-4$. Our model is trained on a single machine with 8*80GB A100 GPUs for 80,000 steps, with a batch size of 4 per GPU. During inference, we adopt DDIM sampler [36] with 20 sample steps and the guidance scale of classifier-free guidance ω is set to 5.

Evaluation Metrics. To fairly evaluate our performance against other methods, we collate 120 sets for different concepts, each set containing 4 results. We use the following metrics for evaluation. **Mask CLIP-I** [27] to measure the CLIP image similarity with masked subject in reference. **CLIP-T** [11] to measure the CLIP similarity with text prompts. **Style Similarity** [35] to measure the style similarity with style reference. **Aesthetic Score** [32] to evaluate the quality of generated images using LAION-Aesthetics Predictor V2⁴. All CLIP versions are ViT-B-32. We do not evaluate composition due to the lack of corresponding metrics and comparison methods.

²<https://openai.com/index/gpt-4/>

³<https://github.com/black-forest-labs/flux>

⁴<https://github.com/christophschuhmann/improved-aesthetic-predictor>




Figure 4. **Diverse capabilities of our method.** Our method supports the single concept disentangled generation from a same reference image, including different content, style, and composition. In addition, we can combine these disentangled concepts to generate results that incorporate multiple desired concepts.

4.2. Main Results

We demonstrate the capabilities of our method from multiple aspects, as shown in Fig. 4. Our method supports the disentangled generation of multiple concepts guided by natural language, including single subject, individual subjects within multiple subjects, style, and composition (*e.g.* relation, pose). These results proves that our method possesses a robust concept disentangled representation space and a strong visual concept disentangled generation capability. In addition, by constraining different types of concept repre-

sentations to be orthogonal, these features can be naturally integrated into the same generated result in any combination without interfering with each other.

Qualitative Comparison. To fully evaluate the superiority of our method, we compare our method with the state-of-the-art visual concept generation methods, including IP-Adapter [46], BLIP-Diffusion [19], DEADiff [26] and SSR-Encoder [50]. The results are shown in Fig. 5. Both IP-Adapter and our **OmniPrism** are based on SDXL [25], and others are base on SD-v1.5 [29] as their latest version. It can be seen that existing visual concept generation methods




Figure 5. **Comparison with the state-of-the-art works.** Our method achieves superior disentangled generation performance. It not only avoids introducing irrelevant concepts but also ensures the highest concept and prompt fidelity and image quality.

Method	Mask CLIP-I \uparrow	CLIP-T \uparrow	Style Similarity \uparrow	Aesthetic Score \uparrow
IP-Adapter [46]	0.7839	0.2430	0.8042	6.1854
BLIP-Diffusion [19]	0.7551	0.2489	0.5117	6.1742
DEADiff [26]	0.7357	<u>0.2731</u>	0.3491	6.1096
SSR-Encoder [50]	<u>0.7932</u>	0.2592	0.5830	<u>6.3720</u>
Ours	0.7965	0.2958	<u>0.5854</u>	6.4846

Table 1. **Quantitative comparison with other methods.** The 1st and 2nd ranks for each metric are marked in bold and underlined.

struggled to disentangle different concepts from reference images and leading to concept confusion. IP-Adapter and BLIP-Diffusion are too faithful to the reference image and generate concepts irrelevant to prompts. DEADiff relies on specific diffusion blocks to disentangle style, but it cannot disentangle content and composition. SSR-Encoder aligns image representations to the concepts in CLIP text domain, but they suffer from the trade-off of either inaccurately generating concepts or generating irrelevant concepts. In contrast, our method effectively disentangle different concept representations based on concept guidance and aligns them to the concept domains of corresponding diffusion blocks, thus achieves superior concept fidelity and concept independence across multiple concepts.

Quantitative Comparison. Tab. 1 shows the quantitative comparison results between our method and others.




Figure 6. **Visualization of attention map.** The results illustrate how concept guidance interacts with image representations in concept extractor.

Our method achieves the highest Mask CLIP-I and CLIP-T scores, which indicates our superior concept fidelity and prompt fidelity. IP-Adapter achieves the highest style similarity, but their method relies heavily on the reference image and neglects the text prompt, which easy to achieve a similar style, but their CLIP-T score is the lowest. Our method achieves the highest style similarity except for IP-Adapter. In addition, our image quality is significantly better than other methods. In summary, our method achieves optimal results in terms of text fidelity, concept faithfulness, style similarity, and image quality.

Concept Representations Visualization. To demonstrate that our extracted concept representations are disentangled, we visualize the attention maps of concept guidance alongside reference image representations within the concept ex-




Figure 7. **The t-SNE projection visualization of concept representations with other methods.** Our method effectively separates different types of concepts and obtains a disentangled visual concept representation space.

tractor, as shown in Fig. 6. Different concept guidance aligns with the corresponding visual concepts in the reference image, thus demonstrating the precise language-driven disentanglement capability of our concept extractor. In addition, our goal is to learn and disentangle concepts with varying semantics. We expect that these concepts be distributed across distinct clusters, so we calculate the t-SNE projection [38] of different concept representations for all compared methods. As shown in Fig. 7, all compared methods failed to disentangle different concepts, SSR-Encoder can separate style and composition concepts since these terms are distinct in the text space, but it struggles to distinguish content concepts with complex semantics. In contrast, our **OmniPrism** disentangles each concept into separate clusters, thereby demonstrating our superior concept disentangling ability.

4.3. Ablation Study

To evaluate the effectiveness of each component in our method, We conduct ablation experiments by removing each component from the original method. For block embeddings (BE), we simply remove them. For contrastive orthogonal disentangled learning, we firstly remove the orthogonality by modifying the Eq. (6) to:

$$\mathcal{L}_{CD} = \cos(\mathbf{f}_{cpt}^a, \mathbf{f}_{cpt}^{tar}) - \cos(\mathbf{f}_{cpt}, \mathbf{f}_{cpt}^{tar}), \quad (8)$$

then we remove all the contrastive orthogonal disentangled learning by delete the anti-query q_a and \mathcal{L}_{COD} . The results are shown in Fig. 8.

Effect of Block Embedding. Our block embedding is designed to adaptively match the extracted concept representations to the concept domains of different diffusion blocks. As shown in the second column of Fig. 8, after removing the block embedding, the extracted concepts are not well aligned to the concept domain of the diffusion model, the generated results exhibit discrepancies with the concepts in the reference image.




Figure 8. **Ablation study of each component in our methods.** We evaluate removing block embedding (BE), contrastive orthogonal disentangled (COD) learning, and orthogonality from our full method respectively.

Effect of Contrastive Orthogonal Disentangled learning.

Our COD learning mechanism is designed to help the concept disentanglement. As shown in the third column of Fig. 8, without it, the generated results contain concepts irrelevant to concept guidance (*e.g.*, the boy in the first row), and the fidelity to the desired concept is compromised by these irrelevant concepts.

Effect of Orthogonality. Removing only the orthogonality in COD Learning can still produce concept disentangled results. However, when combining multiple concepts, these non-orthogonal concept representations interfere with each other and become mixed, as shown in the 4th column of Fig. 8. By adding orthogonality, the interference between concepts is greatly reduced when combining multiple different types of concepts, resulting in the ideal concept combination results, as shown in the last column of Fig. 8.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose **OmniPrism**, an innovative approach for disentangled visual concept generation that disentangles individual concepts or combines multiple concepts into outputs. By integrating a multimodal Q-Former as a concept extractor and a Contrastive Orthogonal Disentangle (COD) learning mechanism, our method effectively disentangles different visual concepts into distinct clusters within the representation space guided by natural language. A novel block embedding further enhances the alignment of the concept representations with the diffusion block’s concept domains, allowing for high-fidelity generation that closely matches prompts and desired concepts. Moreover, the construction of the Paired Concept Disentanglement Dataset (PCD-200K) offers a valuable resource for advancing research in this field. Our **OmniPrism** not only

addresses concept confusion but also allows for the flexible combination of multiple concepts from different images, paving the way for more innovative applications in visual concept generation. Future work could explore extending this framework to more complex scenarios and further refining the disentanglement process to enhance the diversity and applicability of generated concepts.

References

- [1] Aishwarya Agarwal, Srikrishna Karanam, Tripti Shukla, and Balaji Vasani. An image is worth multiple words: Multi-attribute inversion for constrained text-to-image synthesis. *arXiv:2311.11919*, 2023. 3, 5
- [2] Omri Avrahami, Kfir Aberman, Ohad Fried, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Dani Lischinski. Break-a-scene: Extracting multiple concepts from a single image. In *SIGGRAPH Asia*, pages 1–12, 2023. 2, 3
- [3] Hong Chen, Yipeng Zhang, Simin Wu, Xin Wang, Xuguang Duan, Yuwei Zhou, and Wenwu Zhu. Disenbooth: Identity-preserving disentangled tuning for subject-driven text-to-image generation. *arXiv:2305.03374*, 2023. 2
- [4] Xi Chen, Lianghua Huang, Yu Liu, Yujun Shen, Deli Zhao, and Hengshuang Zhao. Anydoor: Zero-shot object-level image customization. *arXiv:2307.09481*, 2023. 3, 5
- [5] Xi Chen, Lianghua Huang, Yu Liu, Yujun Shen, Deli Zhao, and Hengshuang Zhao. Anydoor: Zero-shot object-level image customization. In *CVPR*, pages 6593–6602, 2024. 2
- [6] Alexey Dosovitskiy. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *arXiv:2010.11929*, 2020. 4
- [7] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, Jakob Uszkoreit, and Neil Houlsby. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. In *ICLR*, 2021. 3
- [8] Rinon Gal, Yuval Alaluf, Yuval Atzmon, Or Patashnik, Amit H Bermano, Gal Chechik, and Daniel Cohen-Or. An image is worth one word: Personalizing text-to-image generation using textual inversion. *arXiv:2208.01618*, 2022. 3, 5
- [9] Rinon Gal, Yuval Alaluf, Yuval Atzmon, Or Patashnik, Amit Haim Bermano, Gal Chechik, and Daniel Cohen-Or. An image is worth one word: Personalizing text-to-image generation using textual inversion. In *ICLR*, 2023. 2
- [10] Amir Hertz, Andrey Voynov, Shlomi Fruchter, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Style aligned image generation via shared attention. In *CVPR*, pages 4775–4785, 2024. 2
- [11] Jack Hessel, Ari Holtzman, Maxwell Forbes, Ronan Le Bras, and Yejin Choi. Clipscore: A reference-free evaluation metric for image captioning. In *EMNLP*, 2021. 5
- [12] Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. Classifier-free diffusion guidance. *arXiv:2207.12598*, 2022. 4
- [13] Siteng Huang, Biao Gong, Yutong Feng, Xi Chen, Yuqian Fu, Yu Liu, and Donglin Wang. Learning disentangled identifiers for action-customized text-to-image generation. In *CVPR*, pages 7797–7806, 2024. 2
- [14] Ziqi Huang, Tianxing Wu, Yuming Jiang, Kelvin CK Chan, and Ziwei Liu. Reversion: Diffusion-based relation inversion from images. *arXiv:2303.13495*, 2023. 2
- [15] Jaeseok Jeong, Junho Kim, Yunjey Choi, Gayoung Lee, and Youngjung Uh. Visual style prompting with swapping self-attention. *arXiv:2402.12974*, 2024. 2
- [16] Chen Jin, Ryutaro Tanno, Amrutha Saseendran, Tom Driete, and Philip Alexander Teare. An image is worth multiple words: Discovering object level concepts using multi-concept prompt learning. In *ICML*, 2024. 3
- [17] Alexander Kirillov, Eric Mintun, Nikhila Ravi, Hanzi Mao, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, Tete Xiao, Spencer Whitehead, Alexander C. Berg, Wan-Yen Lo, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. Segment anything. *arXiv:2304.02643*, 2023. 2
- [18] Nupur Kumari, Bingliang Zhang, Richard Zhang, Eli Shechtman, and Jun-Yan Zhu. Multi-concept customization of text-to-image diffusion. In *CVPR*, pages 1931–1941, 2023. 2
- [19] Dongxu Li, Junnan Li, and Steven Hoi. Blip-diffusion: Pre-trained subject representation for controllable text-to-image generation and editing. *NeurIPS*, 2024. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 1
- [20] Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven Hoi. Blip-2: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models. In *ICML*, pages 19730–19742. PMLR, 2023. 2, 3, 4, 5, 1
- [21] Luping Liu, Yi Ren, Zhijie Lin, and Zhou Zhao. Pseudo numerical methods for diffusion models on manifolds. *arXiv:2202.09778*, 2022. 4
- [22] Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization. In *ICLR*, 2019. 5
- [23] Cheng Lu, Yuhao Zhou, Fan Bao, Jianfei Chen, Chongxuan Li, and Jun Zhu. Dpm-solver: A fast ode solver for diffusion probabilistic model sampling in around 10 steps. *NeurIPS*, pages 5775–5787, 2022. 4
- [24] Alex Nichol, Prafulla Dhariwal, Aditya Ramesh, Pranav Shyam, Pamela Mishkin, Bob McGrew, Ilya Sutskever, and Mark Chen. Glide: Towards photorealistic image generation and editing with text-guided diffusion models. *arXiv:2112.10741*, 2021. 2
- [25] Dustin Podell, Zion English, Kyle Lacey, Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Jonas Müller, Joe Penna, and Robin Rombach. Sdxl: Improving latent diffusion models for high-resolution image synthesis. *arXiv:2307.01952*, 2023. 5, 6, 1, 2
- [26] Tianhao Qi, Shancheng Fang, Yanze Wu, Hongtao Xie, Jiawei Liu, Lang Chen, Qian He, and Yongdong Zhang. Deadiff: An efficient stylization diffusion model with disentangled representations. *arXiv:2403.06951*, 2024. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 1
- [27] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *ICML*, 2021. 2, 3, 4, 5, 1
- [28] Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. *arXiv:2204.06125*, 2022. 2

- [29] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *CVPR*, pages 10684–10695, 2022. 2, 3, 6
- [30] Nataniel Ruiz, Yuanzhen Li, Varun Jampani, Yael Pritch, Michael Rubinstein, and Kfir Aberman. Dreambooth: Fine tuning text-to-image diffusion models for subject-driven generation. In *CVPR*, pages 22500–22510, 2023. 2, 3, 5
- [31] Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily L Denton, Kamyar Ghasemipour, Raphael Gontijo Lopes, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Tim Salimans, et al. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. *NeurIPS*, 35:36479–36494, 2022. 2
- [32] Christoph Schuhmann, Romain Beaumont, Richard Vencu, Cade Gordon, Ross Wightman, Mehdi Cherti, Theo Coombes, Aarush Katta, Clayton Mullis, Mitchell Wortsman, Patrick Schramowski, Srivatsa Kundurthy, Katherine Crowson, Ludwig Schmidt, Robert Kaczmarczyk, and Jenia Jitsev. LAION-5B: an open large-scale dataset for training next generation image-text models. In *NeurIPS*, 2022. 5
- [33] Jing Shi, Wei Xiong, Zhe Lin, and Hyun Joon Jung. Instantbooth: Personalized text-to-image generation without test-time finetuning. In *CVPR*, pages 8543–8552, 2024. 2
- [34] Kihyuk Sohn, Lu Jiang, Jarred Barber, Kimin Lee, Nataniel Ruiz, Dilip Krishnan, Huiwen Chang, Yuanzhen Li, Irfan Essa, Michael Rubinstein, et al. Styledrop: Text-to-image synthesis of any style. *NeurIPS*, 2024. 2
- [35] Gowthami Somepalli, Anubhav Gupta, Kamal Gupta, Shramay Palta, Micah Goldblum, Jonas Geiping, Abhinav Shrivastava, and Tom Goldstein. Measuring style similarity in diffusion models. *arXiv:2404.01292*, 2024. 5
- [36] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. *arXiv:2010.02502*, 2020. 4, 5
- [37] Kolors Team. Kolors: Effective training of diffusion model for photorealistic text-to-image synthesis. *arXiv preprint*, 2024. 5, 2
- [38] Laurens Van der Maaten and Geoffrey Hinton. Visualizing data using t-sne. *JMLR*, 9(11), 2008. 8
- [39] Yael Vinker, Andrey Voynov, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Ariel Shamir. Concept decomposition for visual exploration and inspiration. *TOG*, 2023. 2, 3
- [40] Andrey Voynov, Qinghao Chu, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Kfir Aberman. P+: Extended textual conditioning in text-to-image generation. *arXiv:2303.09522*, 2023. 3, 4
- [41] Haofan Wang, Qixun Wang, Xu Bai, Zekui Qin, and Anthony Chen. Instantstyle: Free lunch towards style-preserving in text-to-image generation. *arXiv:2404.02733*, 2024. 3, 4, 5, 2
- [42] Zhouxia Wang, Xintao Wang, Liangbin Xie, Zhongang Qi, Ying Shan, Wenping Wang, and Ping Luo. Styleadapter: A single-pass lora-free model for stylized image generation. *arXiv:2309.01770*, 2023. 2
- [43] Yuxiang Wei, Yabo Zhang, Zhilong Ji, Jinfeng Bai, Lei Zhang, and Wangmeng Zuo. Elite: Encoding visual concepts into textual embeddings for customized text-to-image generation. In *ICCV*, pages 15943–15953, 2023. 2, 3, 5
- [44] Zhi Xu, Shaozhe Hao, and Kai Han. Cusconcept: Customized visual concept decomposition with diffusion models. *arXiv:2410.00398*, 2024. 2, 3
- [45] Binxin Yang, Shuyang Gu, Bo Zhang, Ting Zhang, Xuejin Chen, Xiaoyan Sun, Dong Chen, and Fang Wen. Paint by example: Exemplar-based image editing with diffusion models. In *CVPR*, pages 18381–18391, 2023. 3, 5
- [46] Hu Ye, Jun Zhang, Sibio Liu, Xiao Han, and Wei Yang. Ip-adapter: Text compatible image prompt adapter for text-to-image diffusion models. *arXiv:2308.06721*, 2023. 3, 5, 6, 7, 1
- [47] Hao Zhang, Feng Li, Shilong Liu, Lei Zhang, Hang Su, Jun Zhu, Lionel Ni, and Heung-Yeung Shum. DINO: DETR with improved denoising anchor boxes for end-to-end object detection. In *ICLR*, 2023. 3
- [48] Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In *ICCV*, pages 3836–3847, 2023. 2, 3, 5
- [49] Yuxin Zhang, Weiming Dong, Fan Tang, Nisha Huang, Haibin Huang, Chongyang Ma, Tong-Yee Lee, Oliver Deussen, and Changsheng Xu. Prospect: Prompt spectrum for attribute-aware personalization of diffusion models. *TOG*, 42(6):1–14, 2023. 3
- [50] Yuxuan Zhang, Yiren Song, Jiaming Liu, Rui Wang, Jinpeng Yu, Hao Tang, Huaxia Li, Xu Tang, Yao Hu, Han Pan, et al. Ssr-encoder: Encoding selective subject representation for subject-driven generation. In *CVPR*, 2024. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 1
- [51] Yanbing Zhang, Mengping Yang, Qin Zhou, and Zhe Wang. Attention calibration for disentangled text-to-image personalization. In *CVPR*, pages 4764–4774, 2024. 3

OmniPrism: Learning Disentangled Visual Concept for Image Generation

Supplementary Material

In the supplementary materials, we introduce more detailed analysis and additional results:

- Sec. F provides **more experimental details**, including *training details* and *comparative methods*.
- Sec. G demonstrates our **PCD-200K dataset** in detail (Fig. 9).
- Sec. H introduces **more analysis** of our method in *compatibility to base models* (Fig. 10), *ablations of concept scale* (Fig. 11), and the *comparison with ControlNet* (Fig. 12, Fig. 13).
- Sec. I illustrates **more creative applications** by our work, including *multi-content combinations* (Fig. 14) and *concept blending* (Fig. 15).
- Sec. J shows **more qualitative results** of disentangled generation of *content* (Fig. 17), *style* (Fig. 18), and *composition* (Fig. 19).
- Sec. K and Sec. L discusses the **social impact** and potential **limitations** (Fig. 16) of our work.

F. More Experimental Details

F.1. Training Details

We use Stable Diffusion XL (SDXL) [25] as the base model, add an extra cross-attention layer to U-Net as IP-Adapter[46], and initialize it with the weights of pretrained IP-Adapter-XL-Plus. We use the pretrained BLIP2 [20] Q-Former as the concept extractor, and add a project layer to project its output to the input size of U-Net’s cross-attention. The image input and concept guidance are fed into the concept extractor after the image encoder and text encoder of CLIP-L/14 [27] respectively. During training, we freeze other parameters and only train the concept extractor with its project layer, and the extra cross-attention layer in U-Net. We adopt a three-stage training method. The first two stages refer to IP-Adapter-SDXL, we train our model for 15,000 steps and 10,000 steps on the Laion Aesthetics 6.5+ dataset⁵ at 512 and 1024 resolutions respectively to reconstruct the reference image. \mathcal{L}_{COD} is not used in these two stages, and the learning rate of all trainable parameters is $1e-5$. In the third stage, the model is trained on our PCD-200K with \mathcal{L}_{total} for 80000 steps, the learning rate of the extra cross-attention layer is $1e-6$, and the learning rate of others is $1e-5$.

F.2. Comparative Methods

Here we give the details of our comparative method:

IP-Adapter [46] injects images into a set of additional cross-attention layers in U-Net to learn image prompts with-

out affecting the original model’s capabilities. We utilize their official implementation and set the image scale to 0.5 to achieve a balance between image and text prompts. For a fair comparison, we use their SDXL-Plus version. All concept extraction are guided only by text prompt.

BLIP-Diffusion [20] uses BLIP2 [19] to integrate image and text features for subject customization. We use their official implementation in *Diffusers*⁶ based on stable diffusion (SD) 1.5 according to their latest version. The concept guidance is the same as that used in our method.

DEADiff [26] uses BLIP2 to learn content and style features in an image, injecting the style features into the style-specific blocks in U-Net to achieve stylization. We use their official implementation based on SD 1.5. For content and style extraction, the guidance are "content" and "style" respectively, and composition extraction uses "content" due to the absence of corresponding settings.

SSR-Encoder [50] uses cross-attention to align image features with text prompts to achieve subject extraction. We utilize their implementation based on SD 1.5. The concept guidance is the same as that used in our method.

G. Paired Concept Disentangled Datasets

We disentangle the concepts in an image into three components: content, style, and composition. For each of these concepts, we design distinct data construction pipeline, as shown in Fig. 9. Each pipeline first generates prompts describing the same concept and then generates the corresponding image. All images are produced at a resolution of 1024×1024 . The datasets for content, style, and composition contain 120K, 40K, and 40K samples, respectively.

G.1. Content Set

Prompt Generation. For the content concepts, our primary objective is to disentangle the various semantic subjects in the image, which can be described by class names. To ensure data diversity, we first use GPT-4o⁷ to generate a content template with 120 semantic subjects, *e.g.* humans, animals, plants, furniture, buildings. Three adjectives are generated for each semantic subject to enhance diversity. We then form the concept guidance T_{cg} by randomly selecting a subject and an adjective and query GPT-4o to generate two prompts. The reference prompt T_{ref} describes the subject in a certain scenario, and the target prompt T_{tar} describes the subject and an additional subject in different scenarios.

⁶<https://github.com/huggingface/diffusers>

⁷<https://openai.com/index/hello-gpt-4o/>

⁵<https://laion.ai/blog/laion-aesthetics/>

Image Generation. We use FLUX⁸ to generate reference image I_{ref} using T_{ref} , and use Segment Anything Model (SAM) [17] to generate mask of the desired concept in I_{ref} using T_{cg} . Subsequently, we use Kolors-Inpainting [37] to generate target images I_{tar} based on the target prompt T_{tar} .

G.2. Style Set

Prompt Generation. Describing the style concept in an image with precise language is difficult. Therefore, we uniformly set the concept guidance T_{cg} for all samples to “style” and use GPT-4o to generate two prompts T_{ref} and T_{tar} describing a random subject in a certain scene. Before generating the image, we randomly select a style description T_{style} from the style template which includes 106 common styles in *SDXL Prompt Styler*⁹ and add it to T_{ref} as a style guidance.

Image Generation. Existing stylization methods often deviate from the reference style, and images generated by the same method tend to be similar. Therefore, we first use SDXL [25] to generate a template image I_{tmp} based on T_{ref} and T_{style} , and then use Instant-Style [41] to generate I_{ref} and I_{tar} based on T_{ref} and T_{tar} , to ensure that the two images have similar styles. The concept guidance for all samples is set to “composition”.

G.3. Composition Set

Prompt Generation. Composition in an image refers to concepts such as relationships, camera angles, or human poses. We collect 50,000 images with a resolution of more than 768×768 and corresponding prompts from Laion Aesthetics 6.5+ as I_{ref} and T_{ref} . Since prompts generated by the language model may conflict with the original composition, we use GPT-4o to generate 100 simple style descriptors, which are added to T_{ref} to form T_{tar} .

Image Generation. To generate the target image I_{tar} , we first estimate the depth map of I_{ref} , and then use ControlNet-Depth [48] to generate I_{tar} based on T_{tar} .

H. More Analysis

H.1. Compatibility to Base Models

OmniPrism is trained using SDXL-1.0 [25] as base model. During inference, the base model can be flexibly replaced with other models of the same architecture to achieve different effects. We replace SDXL with *Samaritan 3d Cartoon*¹⁰ and *HimawariMix*¹¹, which can generate 3d cartoon or cartoon results respectively. As shown in Fig. 10, our **OmniPrism** demonstrates effective concept disentan-

⁸<https://github.com/black-forest-labs/flux>

⁹https://github.com/twri/sdxl_prompt_styler

¹⁰<https://civitai.com/models/81270/samaritan-3d-cartoon?modelVersionId=144566>

¹¹<https://civitai.com/models/131611/himawarimix?modelVersionId=558064>

glement generation capabilities across different base models.

H.2. Ablations of Concept Scale μ

The scale μ of concept guidance controls the influence level of concepts on the generated results. As shown in Fig. 11, reducing μ decreases the similarity between the concepts in the generated results and the reference concepts. When μ is too large, the image quality will be significantly deteriorates. Notably, even when the scale is excessively high and image quality degrades, irrelevant concepts from the reference image do not appear in the generated results, demonstrating our superior concept disentanglement ability. By adjusting μ , users can generate results that better align with their expectations.

H.3. Discussion with ControlNet

To train our method to learn the “composition” concepts, we use data generated from ControlNet-Depth [48]. However, the “composition” concept generation ability of our **OmniPrism** is different from ControlNet-Depth. ControlNet concatenate the depth map to the pixel space, which is a strict constraint and may causing conflict between prompt and depth map. As shown in Fig. 12, whether ControlNet uses canny, openpose, or depth as the control condition, the prompt may conflict with the control condition, either failing to generate the corresponding structure accurately or not following the prompt guidance. In contrast, our composition concept is injected into the latent space via cross-attention, enabling flexible generation of concepts such as relationships without conflicts between prompts and structural features.


Our composition concept generation is not mutually exclusive with ControlNet, but exists as two complementary control methods. We also support additional control with ControlNet, as shown in Fig. 13. It can be seen that our **OmniPrism** naturally supports various categories of ControlNet’s control over content and style concepts.

I. More Creative Applications


Our **OmniPrism** can disentangle and generate different concepts in an image, and combine these concepts into one result in different ways. Based on our method, we can achieve multiple downstream applications such as:

- content, *e.g.*, subject customization
- style, *e.g.*, stylized generation
- composition, *e.g.*, spatial control or relationship customization
- content+style, *e.g.*, subject stylization
- content+composition, *e.g.*, subject pose control
- *etc.*


In addition to these flexible applications which have been shown in Fig. 4 of the main text, we also demonstrate the



(a) "Content" Concept Construction Pipeline



(b) "Style" Concept Construction Pipeline



(c) "Composition" Concept Construction Pipeline

Content Template	Style Template T_{style}
<pre> {"Swan": ["elegant", "white", "long-necked"]} {"Peacock": ["colorful", "large", "showy"]} {"Frog": ["green", "small", "hopping"]} {"Snake": ["slender", "scaly", "long"]} {"Turtle": ["slow", "green", "hard-shelled"]} {"Crocodile": ["scaly", "large", "predatory"]} {"Lizard": ["small", "green", "agile"]} {"Bee": ["small", "yellow-and-black", "buzzing"]} {"Butterfly": ["colorful", "delicate", "flying"]} {"Ant": ["tiny", "black", "hardworking"]} {"Spider": ["small", "eight-legged", "web-spinning"]} {"Fish": ["scaly", "swimming", "colorful"]} {"Octopus": ["soft-bodied", "eight-armed", "marine"]} {"Seahorse": ["small", "unique", "swimming"]} {"Lobster": ["large", "red", "marine"]} {"Jellyfish": ["transparent", "gelatinous", "floating"]} {"Starfish": ["star-shaped", "colorful", "marine"]} ... </pre>	<pre> {"Name": "misc-disco", "Prompt": "disco-themed {prompt} . vibrant, groovy, retro 70s style, shiny disco balls, neon lights, dance floor, highly detailed", "Negative Prompt": "minimalist, rustic, monochrome, contemporary, simplistic"} {"Name": "sai-fantasy art", "Prompt": "ethereal fantasy concept art of {prompt} . magnificent, celestial, ethereal, painterly, epic, majestic, magical, fantasy art, cover art, dreamy", "Negative prompt": "photographic, realistic, realism, 35mm film, dslr, cropped, frame, text, deformed, glitch, noise, noisy, off-center, deformed, cross-eyed, closed eyes, bad anatomy, ugly, disfigured, sloppy, duplicate, mutated, black and white"}, {"Name": "sai-isometric", "Prompt": "isometric style {prompt} . vibrant, beautiful, crisp, detailed, ultra detailed, intricate", "Negative prompt": "deformed, mutated, ugly, disfigured, blur, blurry, noise, noisy, realistic, photographic"}, ... </pre>

(d) Content & Style Temple

Figure 9. **Construction Pipeline of our PCD-200K.** We design three data construction pipelines for the three concepts of "content", "style", and "composition", each pipeline uses GPT-4o to obtain reference prompts T_{ref} , target prompts T_{tar} , and concept guidance T_{cg} , and use different models to generate corresponding reference images I_{ref} and target images I_{tar} .




Figure 10. **Compatibility to base model.** We change the base model from SDXL to a 3D cartoon model and a cartoon model of the same architecture, demonstrating the compatibility of our **OmniPrism** to different base models.




Figure 11. **Ablations of Concept Scale μ**



Figure 12. **Discussion with ControlNet.** ControlNet with all conditions is prone to conflicts between prompts and structural features, while our method extracts abstract “composition” concepts (e.g. relationships, poses) and generates creative results.



Figure 13. **Additional Controls with ControlNet.**

potentials on more creative applications in this section.

I.1. Multi-Content Combinations

In our paper, we demonstrate the creative generation results achieved by combining various concepts, such as content and style, to achieve subject stylization. The same concept, such as multiple style or composition concepts, is difficult to combine due to they may conflict with each other. Combining multiple content concepts together is also prone to concept mix-up, as the model may struggle to differentiate between subjects. To address this, we refer to IP-Adapter and add masks in the latent space to assign different layouts to each subject, as shown in Fig. 14.

I.2. Concept Blending

Our **OmniPrism** can disentangle and generate the target concept from a reference image. When the prompt is different from the target concept, it enables concept blending, where the features of the target concept are applied to the subject in the prompt, as shown Fig. 15.

J. More Qualitative Results

We present the generation results for various concepts on more reference images, “content” concepts are shown in Fig. 17, “style” concepts are shown in Fig. 18, and “composition” concepts are shown in Fig. 19. These results further demonstrate the powerful concept disentangling generation capability of our **OmniPrism**.

K. Social Impact

The proposed **OmniPrism** has the potential to revolutionize creative industries by enabling artists and designers to explore new styles and democratize content creation for small businesses and independent creators. However, it also poses




Figure 14. **Combination of multiple content concepts.** We use latent masks to assign layouts to different concepts to prevent them from conflicting.




Figure 15. **Concept Blending.** We modify the concept in prompts to some other subjects to generate creative results.

risks such as the creation of realistic but false content that can spread misinformation and deepfakes, potentially undermining public trust and political discourse. The unau-

thorized use of copyrighted material raises legal and ethical concerns, while biases in training datasets can perpetuate harmful stereotypes and marginalize certain groups. Any user-facing application based on this method needs to establish a security review mechanism to filter out potentially harmful content.



Figure 16. **Limitations of our OmniPrism.** Our method may fail when the concept name is unknown.

L. Limitations

Our **OmniPrism** can disentangle and generate various concepts in an image and allowing for any combination in a single result. However, when the concepts in the reference image are difficult to describe in natural language, such as unknown categories (Unknown Concept Name), our method struggles to generate similar concepts. This is because these objects are challenging for the multimodal extractor to capture through natural language, as shown in Fig. 16. In future work, we plan to expand our dataset to encompass a broader and more detailed natural language field to address this issue and achieve more accurate concept disentanglement.




Figure 17. Disentangled Generation of Content.




Figure 18. Disentangled Generation of Style.

Concept: **Composition**



A man and a women



A woman and a dog



A dog and a cat



A tiger climbing the tree



A man holding a pillow



A man and a women



A woman and a dog



A tiger and a dog



A boy and a tree



A man and a fridge



A man



A woman




A carton dog



A cartoon tiger




A cartoon eagle




A man holding a snake



A man touching a dog



A man on the stage



A bear cleaning room



A tiger holding cudgel




Figure 19. Disentangled Generation of Composition.