

# Modality-Driven Design for Multi-Step Dexterous Manipulation: Insights from Neuroscience

Naoki Wake<sup>1</sup>, Atsushi Kanehira<sup>1</sup>, Daichi Saito<sup>2</sup>, Jun Takamatsu<sup>1</sup>,  
Kazuhiro Sasabuchi<sup>1</sup>, Hideki Koike<sup>2</sup>, and Katsushi Ikeuchi<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract**—Multi-step dexterous manipulation is a fundamental skill in household scenarios, yet remains an underexplored area in robotics. This paper proposes a modular approach, where each step of the manipulation process is addressed with dedicated policies based on effective modality input, rather than relying on a single end-to-end model. To demonstrate this, a dexterous robotic hand performs a manipulation task involving picking up and rotating a box. Guided by insights from neuroscience, the task is decomposed into three sub-skills—reaching, grasping and lifting, and in-hand rotation—based on the dominant sensory modalities employed in the human brain. Each sub-skill is addressed using distinct methods from a practical perspective: a classical controller, a Vision-Language-Action model, and a reinforcement learning policy with force feedback, respectively. We tested the pipeline on a real robot to demonstrate the feasibility of our approach. The key contribution of this study lies in presenting a neuroscience-inspired, modality-driven methodology for multi-step dexterous manipulation.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Multi-step dexterous manipulation plays a crucial role in many household tasks, yet it remains challenging for robots. For instance, a sequential task of grasping an object and changing its posture is a common action observed in daily life. However, even such a seemingly simple task involves several sub-tasks from a robotics perspective, including finding the object, approaching it, grasping, lifting, and manipulating in hand. Additionally, these sub-tasks must be sequenced with precise control and timing, making multi-step dexterous manipulation a significant challenge in the robotics community.

A recent prominent approach seeks to solve multi-step tasks using a single model. Specifically, “Vision-Language-Action (VLA) models” (e.g., [1], [2], [3]) generate step-wise robotic motions based on visual and verbal information. However, deploying the VLA models in the real world presents several issues. Possible task branches emerge as the sequence length increases, making it extremely challenging to gather training data covering all possible scenarios. Additionally, current VLA models are trained with RGB images and teleoperation data using parallel-jaw grippers (e.g., [4]), thus limiting their application to tasks that involve additional modalities and action complexity. For example, visual input often involves self-occlusions during operations, necessitating the use of other modalities such as tactile or force feedback. In other cases, some grasps cannot be achieved




Fig. 1. In this paper, we propose that multi-step dexterous manipulation can be addressed by sequencing sub-skills tailored to specific sensory modalities. We focus on a manipulation task that involves picking up and rotating a box. Guided by neuroscience evidence, this task is decomposed into three sub-tasks: approaching the object using visual feedback, grasping and lifting using visual and force feedback, and rotating the object in hand using force feedback.

with conventional parallel-jaw grippers and need dexterous manipulators that come with increased action dimensions.

Toward scalable and efficient multi-step dexterous manipulation, we advocate decomposing tasks into sub-tasks and addressing them with suitable control methods, rather than relying on a single model (Fig. 1). This decomposition approach is not new; recent solutions in robotic manipulation have proposed leveraging Large-Language-Models (LLMs) and Vision-Language-Models (VLMs) to understand and decompose human instruction into detailed sub-steps (e.g., [5], [6], [7], [8], [9]), in the context of task and motion planning [10]. Cheng et al. [11] and Mehta et al. [12] have demonstrated that a multi-step approach achieves higher success rates in dexterous manipulation compared to solving with a single model. However, the choice of appropriate modalities and learning approaches for dexterous manipulation has not been sufficiently investigated.

This paper aims to provide practical insights into the decomposition of multi-step tasks and the corresponding control methods for the resulting sub-tasks. We focus on the task of grasping and rotating a box with a dexterous robotic hand. Drawing on neuroscience perspectives, we decompose this task into reaching, grasping, and in-hand manipulation, based on the effective modalities required for each sub-task. Each sub-task is implemented using different methods, including classical controllers, visual feedback controllers, and RL policies with force feedback. The contributions of

<sup>1</sup>Applied Robotics Research, Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science, Institute of Science Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

this paper are:

- Proposing a modality-driven design informed by neuroscience insights.
- Demonstrating multi-step dexterous manipulation through the sequencing of sub-skills in a real-robot setup.
- Providing practical guidelines for successful implementation, which include a vision-based teleoperation system and the use of augmented simulation data to enhance model robustness.

## II. MODALITY-DRIVEN DESIGN FOR MULTI-STEP DEXTEROUS MANIPULATION

Although the action of grasping and manipulating an object may appear seamless in humans, behavioral experiments and brain imaging studies have revealed that different types of information are utilized in each phase. These insights provide valuable clues, particularly for robots with dexterity comparable to humans, regarding what type of information contributes to efficient motion in each phase. Therefore, we propose that considering the modalities involved in each phase is effective for devising task decomposition and determining appropriate learning methods for each sub-task.

### A. Reaching

We define human reaching as the act of moving the hand close to an object without pre-shaping it, as preparation for a subsequent grasping task. This task relies on vision-based spatial awareness to approach the object without interacting with or colliding with the environment. Neuroimaging studies have shown that reaching engages cortical regions responsible for spatial recognition (e.g., superior parietal lobule [13], [14], [15], [16]), spatial motion planning (e.g., dorsal premotor cortex [17]), and coding spatial locations (e.g., frontal eye fields [17]), which reflect eye-position signals. **These findings emphasize the importance of spatial awareness and planning in reaching.** In robotics, reaching can thus be framed as a vision-based motion planning problem. While recent methods leverage VLMs to achieve spatial awareness [18], [19], classical controllers remain a robust alternative, effectively utilizing the spatial information of the workspace.

### B. Grasping and lifting

We define grasping as the operation to achieve appropriate contact positions and the finger postures required to reach those positions. From both robotic and anatomical perspectives, grasps are categorized into precision and power types [20], [21]. Precision grasping involves pinching an object between the fingers and the opposing thumb, while power grasping involves securely holding an object between the flexed fingers and the palm. One factor determining the grasp type is the task’s purpose [20]. Here, verbal input is utilized to understand the goal and intent.

Another factor influencing the grasp type is the object’s shape and size [20], for which visual information is essential [22], while verbal information may convey the object’s

affordance [23], [24]. In the field of neuroscience, visually-guided grasping involves cortical areas that code object information (e.g., ventral premotor cortex [25], [26]), suggesting that visual information plays critical role in grasping objects [27], [28].

Another important aspect in grasping is adjusting the positional relationship between the object and the hand. Visual information is again suggested to be utilized to this end (e.g., dorsal premotor cortex [29], [30]). Furthermore, the grasping task involves cortical regions where tactile sensations are integrated with visual information (e.g., superior parietal lobule [31], [32], [33]), suggesting that proprioceptive information with the object is used in grasping behavior. In the context of the lifting task, studies have shown that humans adjust their grip force based on the object’s surface properties and weight [34], [35]. Therefore, proprioceptive information, which conveys tactile and/or contact-force feedback from the environment, plays a critical role in lifting, especially when the object’s weight and surface friction coefficient are unknown.

**Therefore, visual, verbal, and proprioceptive information are effective in object grasping and lifting.** Robot-control methodologies that leverage language and visual information can be broadly categorized into top-down and bottom-up approaches. The top-down approach includes Learning-from-Observation (LfO) [36], [37]. In this method, the grasp type is inferred from human multimodal demonstrations. The finger configurations (i.e., contact web [22]) on object’s surface are then determined visually using techniques such as CNNs. In contrast, bottom-up approaches, such as VLA models, allow direct action outputs from language instructions and visual inputs [1], [38].

These two approaches have pros and cons. The method of directly estimating the contact web has limitations when occlusion occurs during approach. In contrast, bottom-up methods may accommodate partial occlusions if those appear in the training data, but do not guarantee the coherent action outputs due to the non-unimodal nature in the latent space [39]. In this paper, we assume a hybrid method that a VLA model generates low-level grasping motions for a specific grasp type, following LfO that determines a grasp type from human demonstration ([8]).

### C. In-hand manipulation

**In object manipulation tasks that require fast cyclic movements and small finger displacements, tactile and force feedback are critical, whereas visual feedback is less important** [40], [41], [42]. Visual feedback may not be utilized in real time, and visual occlusion can become an issue. From a neuroscience perspective, in-hand tasks involve the recruitment of somatosensory and motor areas compared to visual areas [43]. This observation aligns with findings from other in-hand manipulation studies in robotics, which demonstrate that tasks can be successfully performed without relying on visual information [44], [45]. It is noteworthy that visual feedback may be effective depending on the complexity of the tool usage, such as tongs manipulation [46]. In

this study, however, we consider in-hand rotations as contact-rich tasks where proprioceptive information is dominant and propose an RL approach that relies solely on force feedback.

### III. RELATED WORK

#### A. Learning in-hand dexterous manipulation

Research on in-hand dexterous manipulation has made significant progress [47], [48], [49], [50], [51], [52], [53], [54], [50]. Despite the widespread use of RL in this domain [50], [47], [55], [56], [44], its application to complex tasks remains challenging due to difficulties in reward design and long training times. To address this, recent studies decompose in-hand manipulation tasks to reduce learning complexity [57], [58], [59]. Our approach follows this strategy by segmenting object rotation into finger movement patterns on the object’s surface [60], learning each segment with RL, and sequencing them, enabling efficient learning and reduced complexity.

#### B. Multi-step robot operation

While studies on in-hand dexterous manipulation report successful results, most focus on single-step skills, assuming the object is already in hand. In contrast, we extend these methods by sequencing multiple skills, such as grasping and lifting. Skill sequencing offers the flexibility to adopt the most suitable modalities and learning methods for each skill, as discussed in Sec. II. Although prior studies have highlighted the benefits of this approach [11], [12], [61], we aim to further explore effective modalities for learning each skill informed by neuroscience studies.

#### C. Teleoperation for dexterous manipulation

Even if VLA models are pre-trained on large-scale data, they are still sensitive to environmental changes [62] and limited to parallel-jaw grippers without dexterity. Therefore, model fine-tuning is necessary using dexterous manipulation demonstrations in specific environments. A common method of data collection is teleoperation. Teleoperation methods are broadly classified into glove-based [63], [64], [65], [66] and vision-based [67], [68], [69], [70], [71], [11], [72] approaches. Glove-based methods enable real-time capture of fine-grained movements and, in some cases, tactile feedback for the operator. In contrast, vision-based methods are more cost-effective and require minimal setup. Prior studies have demonstrated that vision-based approaches can effectively facilitate the teleoperation of dexterous robotic hands, for fundamental tasks such as object pickup [68]. Based on these considerations, we opted for a vision-based setup to simplify system deployment.

### IV. EXPERIMENT

As shown by Cheng et al. [11] and Mehta et al. [12], a multi-step approach achieves higher success rates in dexterous manipulation compared to solving with a single model. Thus, we focus on the performance of our method rather than comparing with single-model approaches.

#### A. Hardware setup

We used a UR10e robot arm (Universal Robots)<sup>1</sup> with six degrees of freedom (DoF). A four-fingered robot hand, the Shadow Dexterous Hand Lite (Shadow Robotics)<sup>2</sup>, was attached to the robot. The robotic hand was not equipped with tactile sensors; instead, torque sensor values from one joint of each finger (FF3, MF3, RF3, and TH2, as defined in its official specifications<sup>3</sup>) were utilized as proprioceptive feedback for the system.

For visual feedback to the system, we used a ZED2i camera<sup>4</sup> fixed in a position relative to the UR10e robot. Although the ZED2i is a stereo camera capable of calculating depth information, we used only the left-side image because the VLA model used in this study [38] was pre-trained with RGB images.

#### B. Data collection using teleoperation and fine-tuning

In our system, a ZED2i stereo camera was used to calculate the 3D position of the wrist using its official SDK. Concurrently, a cropped image of the hand region was extracted and processed using an off-the-shelf hand reconstruction model [73] to estimate the hand pose. By leveraging two NVIDIA RTX4090 GPUs, we achieved real-time tracking of wrist and finger positions and orientations at an approximate rate of 15 FPS.

For data collection, the motion of the operator’s right hand was mapped to the movements of the Shadow hand (Fig. 2). The initial wrist position was set using a gesture of the left hand, and subsequent relative left-wrist movements were reflected in the position of the Shadow hand. The Shadow hand’s pose was fixed for precision grasping from above the object. The normalized distance between the operator’s thumb and middle fingertip was linearly mapped to the closing degree of the robotic thumb and opposing fingers. The initiation and termination of data collection in each episode were signaled through predefined gestures of the left hand. 40 real-world demonstrations were collected with a gelatin box from the YCB object set [74].

VLA models still face challenges in achieving robustness for practical robotics applications. Even in environments resembling their training demonstrations, factors such as lighting changes can lead to failures [62]. While the diversity of environments and objects appears to play an important role in improving generalization performance [75], capturing such diversity through real-world demonstrations is both time-consuming and costly. To address this, we adopt an approach that augments real-world datasets with simulated data. Specifically, we utilized IsaacGym to create a simulation environment replicating real-world conditions, introducing randomization in object position, shape, scale, and lighting (Fig. 3). A pre-configured robot controller guided the robot hand and arm to contact points on the objects, based on

<sup>1</sup><https://www.universal-robots.com/products/ur10-robot/>

<sup>2</sup><https://www.shadowrobot.com/dexterous-hand-series/>

<sup>3</sup><https://www.shadowrobot.com/dexterous-hand-series/>

<sup>4</sup><https://www.stereolabs.com/products/zed-2>




Fig. 2. To collect human demonstrations, we developed a vision-based teleoperation system. In this system, right-hand motions were captured to control the robot arm and the Shadow hand, while left-hand gestures were used to start and stop the recording.




Fig. 3. We prepared a mixed dataset consisting of real and simulated demonstrations to enhance the model’s robustness.

precise 3D object positions within the simulation. This process yielded 4,000 simulated demonstrations, which were combined with the 40 real-world demonstrations.

### C. Classical control of robot hands

We implemented inverse kinematics (IK) to determine the position and orientation of the robot hand. To accomplish the reaching task, the system first obtained the object’s 2D position from a third-party object detector<sup>5</sup>, and then computed its 3D position using depth information from stereo cameras. This 3D position was transformed into the robot’s coordinate system via an AR marker. The reaching target was defined as a predetermined relative position with respect to the object. During this process, the hand’s orientation was maintained at a predefined pose. The IK solution was also applied at each action step determined by the Octo model.

### D. VLA model

The current foundation models for robots primarily cannot be directly applied to our setup in off-the-shelf manner because the existing models and dataset focus on operating parallel jaw grippers. To adapt these models for dexterous manipulation, we utilized a model called Octo [38]. Octo features a mechanism known as block-wise attention structure, which allows for flexible modifications of the action space and observation space during fine-tuning. Instead of using the one-dimensional action space of a parallel gripper, we assigned multi-dimensional finger joint information. Based on the Virtual Finger theory [76], we imposed a constraint to synchronize three fingers opposing the thumb, reducing the 16-dimensional control parameters of the dexterous hand

<sup>5</sup><https://azure.microsoft.com/en-us/products/ai-services/ai-custom-vision>




Fig. 4. The in-hand rotation skill was decomposed into four sub-skills based on primitive finger motions. Each sub-skill was trained using RL (image adapted from [60]).

to 7 dimensions (4 dimensions for the thumb and 3 dimensions for the opposing fingers). Finally, we defined a 14-dimensional action space by incorporating 6 dimensions for controlling the hand’s position and orientation, as well as 1 dimension to determine the termination of an episode.

For the observation space, we extended the RGB image input by adding 4-dimensional torque information obtained from each finger. The captured RGB images were preprocessed before being input to the model by cropping the image to focus on the object. Specifically, the third-party object detector localized the object in the scene at the beginning of each episode, and subsequent images were cropped to a 400-pixel square region around the detected object, ensuring that both the object and the Shadow hand were visible in the frame (see Fig. 3 for an example image). This preprocessing was intended to create a focus-of-attention that removed irrelevant surrounding information while emphasizing the interaction between the object and the hand [77]. We included not just the immediately preceding frame but also the history of the past two steps to enrich the temporal context.

### E. RL for in-hand rotation

The in-hand rotation policies were learned through RL within a IsaacGym Simulator [78]. The methodological details including reward functions, are thoroughly described in our previous paper [60]. In brief, we decomposed the rotation task into four primitive finger motion sub-tasks and trained policies for each sub-task (Fig. 4). These policies were designed to eliminate dependence on visual input, relying solely on force feedback, thereby minimizing the sim-to-real gap in the vision domain. Furthermore, we employed a teacher-student learning approach [51] to facilitate training using rich observational information from the simulator. This approach enabled effective policy training for multi-step in-hand manipulation.

## V. RESULTS

### A. Effect of simulation data on the real setup

First, we evaluated the grasping policy using the Octo model on a real robot (Table I). The model fine-tuned solely on simulation data failed in real-world experiments, even when the box sizes and shapes used in the real setup were within the range of randomization in the simulation data (see Fig. 3 for the visual appearances of the tested objects). In contrast, the model that was fine-tuned exclusively on real-world data succeeded with the box used during training (i.e., an orange jello-sized box) but showed inconsistent performance when the box shape or color varied. The model fine-tuned on a combined dataset of simulation and real-world data demonstrated robust results, even when the target objects differed from the ones in the training dataset. These findings suggest that augmenting the dataset with simulation data, incorporating randomized variations in object shape, color, and size, helps to stabilize the model’s performance.

TABLE I

PERFORMANCE OF THE OCTO UNDER DIFFERENT TRAINING SETUPS.

Sim / Real	0/40 (real only)	4000/40 (proposed)	4000/0 (sim only)
Orange jello-size box	5/5	5/5	0/5
Green jello-size box	0/5	5/5	0/5
Blue diamond	5/5	5/5	0/5
Blue spam-size box	1/5	5/5	0/5

### B. Complete pipeline using a real robot

We tested an integrated pipeline on a real robot system to confirm that our proposed approach is sufficient to solve the target task (Fig. 5). In this example, we provided the text input “grasp the object from above” to the Octo model. Once the Octo model determined that the episode had ended, control was transferred from the Octo model to the RL-based skills. The RL skills (Fig.4) were executed sequentially, with each skill running for 1000 steps, while commands were sent to the robot at a frequency of 10 Hz. If the task was deemed a failure through human visual inspection, the process was immediately terminated at that stage. TableII provides a detailed breakdown of the success rates for the end-to-end experiment. The system successfully advanced through Reaching, Grasp and Lifting, and the first step of in-hand rotation (33/35), and 5/35 trials successfully completed the final rotation step.

TABLE II

SUCCESS RATE AT EACH STEP OF THE MULTI-STEP MANIPULATION.

Step	Reach	Grasp & lift	In-hand step 1	In-hand step 2	In-hand step 3	In-hand step 4
Trials	35/35	34/35	33/35	24/35	20/35	5/35

## VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed a modality-driven approach to address multi-step dexterous manipulation. Our method is




Fig. 5. A successful example of end-to-end execution, from reaching to in-hand rotation.

grounded in neuroscientific insights, utilizing task decomposition based on dominant sensory modalities. The real-world experiments demonstrated the feasibility of our approach with two findings: first, simulation data can substantially enhance the robustness of the VLA model, and second, policies that do not rely on visual information can successfully bridge the sim-to-real gap, enabling reliable execution on physical robots.

There are notable limitations to our approach. First, our method assumes that the learning process is conducted offline, which constrains its applicability in online learning settings. Additionally, even with the simplified problem space achieved by decomposing tasks into sub-skills, the RL policies still rely on tailored reward functions, which limits the scalability of our method. Furthermore, while we demonstrated the feasibility of our approach, the end-to-end performance remains limited. One possible reason is the discrepancy between the grasping state produced by the Octo model after the lifting phase and the distribution assumed during RL training. Optimized domain randomization may mitigate this issue; however, fine-tuning between sub-skills learned using different modalities and methods remains a challenging open question. Despite these constraints, the key contribution of this study lies in its unique perspective on robotic policy design: drawing from neuroscientific principles to decompose complex tasks into sub-skills based on effective modalities.

Our neuroscience-inspired, modality-driven approach provides both a theoretical foundation and a practical pathway for tackling dexterous manipulation tasks, particularly those involving anthropomorphic robotic hands. This work contributes to advancing the field by offering biologically informed insights for addressing the complexities of multi-step robotic manipulation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Moo Jin Kim, Karl Pertsch, Siddharth Karamcheti, Ted Xiao, Ashwin Balakrishna, Suraj Nair, Rafael Rafailov, Ethan Foster, Grace Lam,

- Pannag Sanketi, et al. Openvla: An open-source vision-language-action model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.09246*, 2024.
- [2] Anthony Brohan, Noah Brown, Justice Carbajal, Yevgen Chebotar, Xi Chen, Krzysztof Choromanski, Tianli Ding, Danny Driess, Avinava Dubey, Chelsea Finn, et al. Rt-2: Vision-language-action models transfer web knowledge to robotic control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.15818*, 2023.
  - [3] Anthony Brohan, Noah Brown, Justice Carbajal, Yevgen Chebotar, Joseph Dabis, Chelsea Finn, Keerthana Gopalakrishnan, Karol Hausman, Alex Herzog, Jasmine Hsu, et al. Rt-1: Robotics transformer for real-world control at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.06817*, 2022.
  - [4] Abby O'Neill, Abdul Rehman, Abhinav Gupta, Abhiram Maddukuri, Abhishek Gupta, Abhishek Padalkar, Abraham Lee, Acorn Pooley, Agrim Gupta, Ajay Mandlekar, et al. Open x-embodiment: Robotic learning datasets and rt-x models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.08864*, 2023.
  - [5] Mengdi Xu, Peide Huang, Wenhao Yu, Shiqi Liu, Xilun Zhang, Yaru Niu, Tingnan Zhang, Fei Xia, Jie Tan, and Ding Zhao. Creative robot tool use with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.13065*, 2023.
  - [6] Haoyu Zhou, Mingyu Ding, Weikun Peng, Masayoshi Tomizuka, Lin Shao, and Chuang Gan. Generalizable long-horizon manipulations with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.02264*, 2023.
  - [7] Jingkai Sun, Qiang Zhang, Yiqun Duan, Xiaoyang Jiang, Chong Cheng, and Renjing Xu. Prompt, plan, perform: Llm-based humanoid control via quantized imitation learning. In *2024 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 16236–16242. IEEE, 2024.
  - [8] Naoki Wake, Atsushi Kanehira, Kazuhiro Sasabuchi, Jun Takamatsu, and Katsushi Ikeuchi. Gpt-4v (ision) for robotics: Multimodal task planning from human demonstration. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 2024.
  - [9] Naoki Wake, Atsushi Kanehira, Kazuhiro Sasabuchi, Jun Takamatsu, and Katsushi Ikeuchi. Chatgpt empowered long-step robot control in various environments: A case application. *IEEE Access*, 2023.
  - [10] Caelan Reed Garrett, Rohan Chitnis, Rachel Holladay, Beomjoon Kim, Tom Silver, Leslie Pack Kaelbling, and Tomás Lozano-Pérez. Integrated task and motion planning. *Annual review of control, robotics, and autonomous systems*, 4:265–293, 2021.
  - [11] Cheng Pan, Kai Junge, and Josie Hughes. Vision-language-action model and diffusion policy switching enables dexterous control of an anthropomorphic hand. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.14022*, 2024.
  - [12] Shaunak A Mehta and Rana Soltani Zarrin. On the feasibility of a mixed-method approach for solving long horizon task-oriented dexterous manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.07403*, 2024.
  - [13] Ryuta Kawashima, Per E Roland, and Brendan T O'sullivan. Functional anatomy of reaching and visuomotor learning: a positron emission tomography study. *Cerebral Cortex*, 5(2):111–122, 1995.
  - [14] Scott T. Grafton, Andrew H. Fagg, Roger P. Woods, and Michael A. Arbib. Functional anatomy of pointing and grasping in humans. *Cerebral Cortex*, 6(2):226–237, 03 1996.
  - [15] Jason D Connolly, Melvyn A Goodale, Joseph FX Desouza, Ravi S Menon, Tutis Vilis, Medical Research Council Group for Action, and Perception). A comparison of frontoparietal fmri activation during anti-saccades and anti-pointing. *Journal of neurophysiology*, 84(3):1645–1655, 2000.
  - [16] Olivier Simon, Jean-François Mangin, Laurent Cohen, Denis Le Bihan, and Stanislas Dehaene. Topographical layout of hand, eye, calculation, and language-related areas in the human parietal lobe. *Neuron*, 33(3):475–487, 2002.
  - [17] Serguei V Astafiev, Gordon L Shulman, Christine M Stanley, Abraham Z Snyder, David C Van Essen, and Maurizio Corbetta. Functional organization of human intraparietal and frontal cortex for attending, looking, and pointing. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 23(11):4689–4699, 2003.
  - [18] Boyuan Chen, Zhuo Xu, Sean Kirmani, Brain Ichter, Dorsa Sadigh, Leonidas Guibas, and Fei Xia. Spatialvlm: Endowing vision-language models with spatial reasoning capabilities. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 14455–14465, 2024.
  - [19] Wenlong Huang, Chen Wang, Ruohan Zhang, Yunzhu Li, Jiajun Wu, and Li Fei-Fei. Voxposer: Composable 3d value maps for robotic manipulation with language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.05973*, 2023.
  - [20] John R Napier. The prehensile movements of the human hand. *The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery British Volume*, 38(4):902–913, 1956.
  - [21] Tsuneo Yoshikawa. Passive and active closures by constraining mechanisms. *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control*, 121(3):418–424, 09 1999.
  - [22] Daichi Saito, Kazuhiro Sasabuchi, Naoki Wake, Jun Takamatsu, Hideki Koike, and Katsushi Ikeuchi. Task-grasping from a demonstrated human strategy. In *2022 IEEE-RAS International Conference on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids)*, pages 880–887. IEEE, 2022.
  - [23] Naoki Wake, Kazuhiro Sasabuchi, and Katsushi Ikeuchi. Grasp-type recognition leveraging object affordance. *HOB1-RO-MAN Workshop*, 2020.
  - [24] Naoki Wake, Daichi Saito, Kazuhiro Sasabuchi, Hideki Koike, and Katsushi Ikeuchi. Text-driven object affordance for guiding grasp-type recognition in multimodal robot teaching. *Machine Vision and Applications*, 34(4):58, 2023.
  - [25] Gita Prabhu, Hideki Shimazu, Gabriella Cerri, Thomas Brochier, Rachel L Spinks, Marc A Maier, and Roger N Lemon. Modulation of primary motor cortex outputs from ventral premotor cortex during visually guided grasp in the macaque monkey. *The Journal of physiology*, 587(5):1057–1069, 2009.
  - [26] Akira Murata, Luciano Fadiga, Leonardo Fogassi, Vittorio Gallese, Vassilis Raos, and Giacomo Rizzolatti. Object representation in the ventral premotor cortex (area f5) of the monkey. *Journal of neurophysiology*, 78(4):2226–2230, 1997.
  - [27] Hideo Sakata, Masato Taira, Akira Murata, and Seiichiro Mine. Neural mechanisms of visual guidance of hand action in the parietal cortex of the monkey. *Cerebral cortex*, 5(5):429–438, 1995.
  - [28] Elena Borra, Abdelouahed Belmalih, Roberta Calzavara, Marzio Gerbella, Akira Murata, Stefano Rozzi, and Giuseppe Luppino. Cortical connections of the macaque anterior intraparietal (aip) area. *Cerebral Cortex*, 18(5):1094–1111, 2008.
  - [29] Melvyn A Goodale and A David Milner. Separate visual pathways for perception and action. *Trends in neurosciences*, 15(1):20–25, 1992.
  - [30] Paul Cisek, Donald J Crammond, and John F Kalaska. Neural activity in primary motor and dorsal premotor cortex in reaching tasks with the contralateral versus ipsilateral arm. *Journal of neurophysiology*, 89(2):922–942, 2003.
  - [31] JT Coull, CD Frith, R Sx' J Frackowiak, and PM Grasby. A fronto-parietal network for rapid visual information processing: a pet study of sustained attention and working memory. *Neuropsychologia*, 34(11):1085–1095, 1996.
  - [32] Daniel M Wolpert, Susan J Goodbody, and Masud Husain. Maintaining internal representations: the role of the human superior parietal lobe. *Nature neuroscience*, 1(6):529–533, 1998.
  - [33] Scott T Grafton, Andrew H Fagg, Roger P Woods, and Michael A Arbib. Functional anatomy of pointing and grasping in humans. *Cerebral Cortex*, 6(2):226–237, 1996.
  - [34] Roland S Johansson and Goran Westling. Roles of glabrous skin receptors and sensorimotor memory in automatic control of precision grip when lifting rougher or more slippery objects. *Experimental brain research*, 56:550–564, 1984.
  - [35] J Randall Flanagan and Michael A Beltzner. Independence of perceptual and sensorimotor predictions in the size–weight illusion. *Nature neuroscience*, 3(7):737–741, 2000.
  - [36] Katsushi Ikeuchi, Naoki Wake, Kazuhiro Sasabuchi, and Jun Takamatsu. Semantic constraints to represent common sense required in household actions for multimodal learning-from-observation robot. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 43(2):134–170, 2024.
  - [37] Naoki Wake, Riku Arakawa, Iori Yanokura, Takuya Kiyokawa, Kazuhiro Sasabuchi, Jun Takamatsu, and Katsushi Ikeuchi. A learning-from-observation framework: One-shot robot teaching for grasp-manipulation-release household operations. In *2021 IEEE/SICE International Symposium on System Integration (SII)*, pages 461–466. IEEE, 2021.
  - [38] Octo Model Team, Dibya Ghosh, Homer Walke, Karl Pertsch, Kevin Black, Oier Mees, Sudeep Dasari, Joey Hejna, Tobias Kreiman, Charles Xu, et al. Octo: An open-source generalist robot policy. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.12213*, 2024.
  - [39] Kun Wu, Yichen Zhu, Jinming Li, Junjie Wen, Ning Liu, Zhiyuan Xu, Qinru Qiu, and Jian Tang. Discrete policy: Learning disentangled action space for multi-task robotic manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.18707*, 2024.
  - [40] Anne-Sophie Augurelle, Allan M Smith, Thierry Lejeune, and Jean-Louis Thonnard. Importance of cutaneous feedback in maintaining

- a secure grip during manipulation of hand-held objects. *Journal of neurophysiology*, 89(2):665–671, 2003.
- [41] Dennis A Nowak and Joachim Hermsdörfer. Selective deficits of grip force control during object manipulation in patients with reduced sensibility of the grasping digits. *Neuroscience research*, 47(1):65–72, 2003.
- [42] Joël Monzée, Yves Lamarre, and Allan M Smith. The effects of digital anesthesia on force control using a precision grip. *Journal of neurophysiology*, 89(2):672–683, 2003.
- [43] Ferdinand Binkofski, Giovanni Buccino, Stefan Posse, Rüdiger J Seitz, Giacomo Rizzolatti, and H-J Freund. A fronto-parietal circuit for object manipulation in man: evidence from an fmri-study. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 11(9):3276–3286, 1999.
- [44] Herke Van Hoof, Tucker Hermans, Gerhard Neumann, and Jan Peters. Learning robot in-hand manipulation with tactile features. In *2015 IEEE-RAS International Conference on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids)*, pages 121–127. IEEE, 2015.
- [45] Johannes Pitz, Lennart Röstel, Leon Sievers, and Berthold Bäuml. Learning time-optimal and speed-adjustable tactile in-hand manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.13148*, 2024.
- [46] Kentaro Inoue, Ryuta Kawashima, Motoaki Sugiura, Akira Ogawa, Torsten Schormann, Karl Zilles, and Hiroshi Fukuda. Activation in the ipsilateral posterior parietal cortex during tool use: a pet study. *Neuroimage*, 14(6):1469–1475, 2001.
- [47] OpenAI: Marcin Andrychowicz, Bowen Baker, Maciek Chociej, Rafal Jozefowicz, Bob McGrew, Jakob Pachocki, Arthur Petron, Matthias Plappert, Glenn Powell, Alex Ray, et al. Learning dexterous in-hand manipulation. *IJRR*, 39(1):3–20, 2020.
- [48] Ankur Handa, Arthur Allshire, Viktor Makoviychuk, Aleksei Petrenko, Ritvik Singh, Jingzhou Liu, Denys Makoviichuk, Karl Van Wyk, Alexander Zhurkevich, Balakumar Sundaralingam, et al. Dextreme: Transfer of agile in-hand manipulation from simulation to reality. In *ICRA*, pages 5977–5984. IEEE, 2023.
- [49] Zhao-Heng Yin, Binghao Huang, Yuzhe Qin, Qifeng Chen, and Xiaolong Wang. Rotating without seeing: Towards in-hand dexterity through touch. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.10880*, 2023.
- [50] Tao Chen, Jie Xu, and Pulkit Agrawal. A system for general in-hand object re-orientation. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 297–307. PMLR, 2022.
- [51] Haozhi Qi, Ashish Kumar, Roberto Calandra, Yi Ma, and Jitendra Malik. In-hand object rotation via rapid motor adaptation. In *CoRL*, pages 1722–1732. PMLR, 2023.
- [52] Haozhi Qi, Brent Yi, Sudharshan Suresh, Mike Lambeta, Yi Ma, Roberto Calandra, and Jitendra Malik. General in-hand object rotation with vision and touch. In *CoRL*, pages 2549–2564. PMLR, 2023.
- [53] Sridhar Pandian Arunachalam, Sneha Silwal, Ben Evans, and Lerrel Pinto. Dexterous imitation made easy: A learning-based framework for efficient dexterous manipulation. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (icra)*, pages 5954–5961. IEEE, 2023.
- [54] Ilge Akkaya, Marcin Andrychowicz, Maciek Chociej, Mateusz Litwin, Bob McGrew, Arthur Petron, Alex Paino, Matthias Plappert, Glenn Powell, Raphael Ribas, et al. Solving rubik’s cube with a robot hand. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.07113*, 2019.
- [55] Yuanpei Chen, Tianhao Wu, Shengjie Wang, Xidong Feng, Jiechuan Jiang, Zongqing Lu, Stephen McAleer, Hao Dong, Song-Chun Zhu, and Yaodong Yang. Towards human-level bimanual dexterous manipulation with reinforcement learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:5150–5163, 2022.
- [56] Vikash Kumar, Emanuel Todorov, and Sergey Levine. Optimal control with learned local models: Application to dexterous manipulation. In *2016 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2016.
- [57] Baojiang Li, Shengjie Qiu, Jibo Bai, Bin Wang, Zhekai Zhang, Liang Li, Haiyan Wang, and Xichao Wang. Interactive learning for multi-finger dexterous hand: A model-free hierarchical deep reinforcement learning approach. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 295:111847, 2024.
- [58] Fan Yang, Wenrui Chen, Haoran Lin, Sijie Wu, Xin Li, Zhiyong Li, and Yaonan Wang. Task-oriented tool manipulation with robotic dexterous hands: A knowledge graph approach from fingers to functionality. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 2024.
- [59] Abhishek Gupta, Justin Yu, Tony Z Zhao, Vikash Kumar, Aaron Rovinsky, Kelvin Xu, Thomas Devlin, and Sergey Levine. Reset-free reinforcement learning via multi-task learning: Learning dexterous manipulation behaviors without human intervention. In *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 6664–6671. IEEE, 2021.
- [60] Daichi Saito, Atsushi Kanehira, Kazuhiro Sasabuchi, Naoki Wake, Jun Takamatsu, Hideki Koike, and Katsushi Ikeuchi. Apricot: Action primitives based on contact-state transition for in-hand tool manipulation. In *2024 IEEE-RAS International Conference on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids)*, pages in–press. IEEE, 2024.
- [61] Yuanpei Chen, Chen Wang, Li Fei-Fei, and C Karen Liu. Sequential dexterity: Chaining dexterous policies for long-horizon manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.00987*, 2023.
- [62] Zhijie Wang, Zhehua Zhou, Jiayang Song, Yuheng Huang, Zhan Shu, and Lei Ma. Towards testing and evaluating vision-language-action models for robotic manipulation: An empirical study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.12894*, 2024.
- [63] Chen Wang, Haochen Shi, Weizhuo Wang, Ruohan Zhang, Li Fei-Fei, and C Karen Liu. Dexcap: Scalable and portable mocap data collection system for dexterous manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.07788*, 2024.
- [64] Max Schwarz, Christian Lenz, Andre Rochow, Michael Schreiber, and Sven Behnke. Nimbrow avatar: Interactive immersive telepresence with force-feedback telemanipulation. In *2021 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 5312–5319. IEEE, 2021.
- [65] Hangxin Liu, Xu Xie, Matt Millar, Mark Edmonds, Feng Gao, Yixin Zhu, Veronica J Santos, Brandon Rothrock, and Song-Chun Zhu. A glove-based system for studying hand-object manipulation via joint pose and force sensing. In *2017 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 6617–6624. IEEE, 2017.
- [66] Hangxin Liu, Zhenliang Zhang, Xu Xie, Yixin Zhu, Yue Liu, Yongtian Wang, and Song-Chun Zhu. High-fidelity grasping in virtual reality using a glove-based system. In *2019 international conference on robotics and automation (icra)*, pages 5180–5186. IEEE, 2019.
- [67] Aravind Sivakumar, Kenneth Shaw, and Deepak Pathak. Robotic telekinesis: Learning a robotic hand imitator by watching humans on youtube. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.10448*, 2022.
- [68] Ankur Handa, Karl Van Wyk, Wei Yang, Jacky Liang, Yu-Wei Chao, Qian Wan, Stan Birchfield, Nathan Ratliff, and Dieter Fox. Dexpilot: Vision-based teleoperation of dexterous robotic hand-arm system. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 9164–9170. IEEE, 2020.
- [69] Shuang Li, Norman Hendrich, Hongzhuo Liang, Philipp Ruppel, Changshui Zhang, and Jianwei Zhang. A dexterous hand-arm teleoperation system based on hand pose estimation and active vision. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 54(3):1417–1428, 2022.
- [70] Yuzhe Qin, Hao Su, and Xiaolong Wang. From one hand to multiple hands: Imitation learning for dexterous manipulation from single-camera teleoperation. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 7(4):10873–10881, 2022.
- [71] Runyu Ding, Yuzhe Qin, Jiyue Zhu, Chengzhe Jia, Shiqi Yang, Ruihan Yang, Xiaojuan Qi, and Xiaolong Wang. Bunny-visionpro: Real-time bimanual dexterous teleoperation for imitation learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.03162*, 2024.
- [72] Yuzhe Qin, Wei Yang, Binghao Huang, Karl Van Wyk, Hao Su, Xiaolong Wang, Yu-Wei Chao, and Dieter Fox. Anyteleop: A general vision-based dexterous robot arm-hand teleoperation system. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.04577*, 2023.
- [73] Georgios Pavlakos, Dandan Shan, Ilija Radosavovic, Angjoo Kanazawa, David Fouhey, and Jitendra Malik. Reconstructing hands in 3D with transformers. In *CVPR*, 2024.
- [74] Berk Calli, Arjun Singh, Aaron Walsman, Siddhartha Srinivasa, Pieter Abbeel, and Aaron M Dollar. The ycb object and model set: Towards common benchmarks for manipulation research. In *2015 international conference on advanced robotics (ICAR)*, pages 510–517. IEEE, 2015.
- [75] Fanqi Lin, Yingdong Hu, Pingyue Sheng, Chuan Wen, Jiacheng You, and Yang Gao. Data scaling laws in imitation learning for robotic manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.18647*, 2024.
- [76] Thea Iberall. Grasp planning from human prehension. In *IJCAI*, volume 87, pages 1153–1157. Citeseer, 1987.
- [77] Naoki Wake, Iori Yanokura, Kazuhiro Sasabuchi, and Katsushi Ikeuchi. Verbal focus-of-attention system for learning-from-demonstration. In *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 10377–10384. IEEE, 2021.
- [78] Viktor Makoviychuk, Lukasz Wawrzyniak, Yunrong Guo, Michelle Lu, Kier Storey, Miles Macklin, David Hoeller, Nikita Rudin, Arthur

Allshire, Ankur Handa, et al. Isaac gym: High performance gpu-based physics simulation for robot learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.10470*, 2021.