

VOPy: A Framework for Black-box Vector Optimization

Yaşar Cahit Yıldırım¹

Efe Mert Karagözlü^{2*}

İlter Onat Korkmaz¹

Çağın Ararat³

Cem Tekin¹

CAHIT.YILDIRIM@BILKENT.EDU.TR

EKARAGOZ@CS.CMU.EDU

ONAT.KORKMAZ@BILKENT.EDU.TR

CARARAT@BILKENT.EDU.TR

CEMTEKIN@EE.BILKENT.EDU.TR

¹ *Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Bilkent University*

² *Machine Learning Department, Carnegie Mellon University*

³ *Department of Industrial Engineering, Bilkent University*

Abstract

We introduce VOPy, an open-source Python library designed to address black-box vector optimization, where multiple objectives must be optimized simultaneously with respect to a partial order induced by a convex cone. VOPy extends beyond traditional multi-objective optimization (MOO) tools by enabling flexible, cone-based ordering of solutions; with an application scope that includes environments with observation noise, discrete or continuous design spaces, limited budgets, and batch observations. VOPy provides a modular architecture, facilitating the integration of existing methods and the development of novel algorithms. We detail VOPy’s architecture, usage, and potential to advance research and application in the field of vector optimization. The source code for VOPy is available at <https://github.com/Bilkent-CYBORG/VOPy>.

Keywords: vector optimization, multi-objective optimization, Bayesian optimization, multi-armed bandit, machine-learning software

1 Introduction

Black-box optimization is a subfield of optimization where the optimal value of a function is sought without using derivatives, primarily relying on potentially noisy pointwise evaluations of the function. In many real life problems, gradients are not available, making black-box optimization methods invaluable. These applications include hyperparameter tuning of machine-learning algorithms (Wu et al., 2019; Snoek et al., 2012), neural architecture search (Kandasamy et al., 2018), portfolio allocation (Hoffman et al., 2011), and synthetic gene design (Gonzalez et al., 2015). Black-box optimization is also widely used in experimental design (Terayama et al., 2021), such as thermal conductivity (Seko et al., 2015) and crystal-structure prediction (Oganov and Glass, 2006). Libraries like COMBO (Ueno et al., 2016), RBF0pt (Costa and Nannicini, 2018), Google Vizier (Golovin et al., 2017), and OpenBox (Jiang et al., 2024) have been implemented to perform black-box optimization.

Despite the success of single-objective optimization, many applications require simultaneous optimization of different objectives. Multi-objective optimization (MOO) usually deals with this using the componentwise order for objective vectors (Emmerich and Deutz,

. *Part of this work was done when the author was at Bilkent University.

2018). However, one may want to consider a more general ordering to reflect more and less conservative ways in which one design dominates another. In vector optimization (VO), one defines a vector partial order \preceq_C on \mathbb{R}^D induced by a closed convex cone C with $C \cap -C = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ via $\boldsymbol{\mu} \preceq_C \boldsymbol{\nu}$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{\nu} - \boldsymbol{\mu} \in C$. Here, $D \geq 2$ is the number of objectives and $\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ are arbitrary objective vectors. Then, the goal is to find the *Pareto set* of a vector-valued function \boldsymbol{f} with respect to \preceq_C over a given set of designs through sequential pointwise observations. In different applications, there could be observation noise, limited observation budget, partial observations of \boldsymbol{f} , an ability to accommodate batch observations, etc. Although there is recent focus on black-box vector-optimization algorithms (Ararat and Tekin, 2023; Karagözlü et al., 2024; Korkmaz et al., 2024), a unifying and convenient framework covering these cases is missing for algorithm designers and practitioners. Here, we introduce `VOPy` to facilitate the development and applications of the field.

Related work. While there are no tools specifically designed for black-box VO, several libraries have been developed for MOO. An important example is `PyMOO` (Blank and Deb, 2020), which offers a comprehensive suite of ready-to-use MOO algorithms. However, it does not include Bayesian methods and falls short in facilitating decision-making processes crucial for end-to-end black-box algorithms. Some tools take advantage of Bayesian optimization (BO), which is widely used in MOO applications. For instance, `PyPAL` (Jablonka et al., 2021) implements the ϵ -PAL algorithm (Zuluaga et al., 2016), providing utilities for its application across various problems. However, it lacks flexibility for adapting to new or varied algorithms. `OpenBox` (Jiang et al., 2024), a comprehensive platform for black-box optimization, supports MOO. However, it lacks the modularity needed to accommodate custom algorithm development. Lastly, `BoTorch` (Balandat et al., 2020) is a well-rounded library that is highly regarded for its extensiveness and modularity in Bayesian optimization. It has additional support for decoupled algorithms, a feature shared with `VOPy`. While these MOO libraries offer various capabilities, they all lack support for the generalized partial orderings essential for vector optimization—a gap `VOPy` is designed to address.

Contributions. (1) We design and implement `VOPy`, the first library for developing black-box vector optimization algorithms. (2) We provide solutions to the convex optimization problems that arise while performing confidence region comparisons with respect to \preceq_C . (3) We implement some of the existing VO and MOO algorithms from the literature using the modular design of `VOPy`. (4) We provide support for decoupled algorithms, which enable partial evaluations when objective costs may differ, along with novel example algorithms.

2 `VOPy`

`VOPy` is an open-source library where it is possible to use the existing vector optimization methods for applications, develop new algorithms using the efficient framework of `VOPy` for uncertainty and partial-order modeling, and to develop methods almost from scratch and benchmark them against known methods. `VOPy` adopts a modular design philosophy, inspired by the Bayesian optimization library `BoTorch`. An overview of `VOPy` with its dependencies, core modules, and built-in algorithms can be seen in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Overview of the dependencies, core modules, and built-in algorithms of VOPy.

2.1 Design and Implementation

The architecture of VOPy is divided into four main interfaces: **Order**, **Model**, **Algorithm**, and **Problem**. The **Order** interface is central to defining the partial order used across the library. This can be the componentwise order in MOO or any other partial order defined by a polyhedral ordering cone. This interface is critical as it allows all components of the library to perform solution comparisons. The **Model** interface facilitates the creation of various modeling components, which can use frequentist or Bayesian methods. VOPy comes with some built-in models that should suffice for most quick implementations: the empirical mean and variance model, multi-output Gaussian processes (GP) with independent or coregionalized outputs, and GPs that support decoupled modeling. The **Algorithm** interface is designed to foster algorithms. Implementations of the state-of-the-art VO algorithms as well as some important MOO algorithms are presented together with VOPy. Lastly, with the **Problem** interface, the user can connect their optimization problem with the other components of VOPy. Necessary tools to generate problems from offline datasets and link up real world problems are available. Together, these interfaces promote a flexible yet structured approach for developing and implementing end-to-end black-box VO algorithms, ensuring that VOPy can be adapted to a wide range of optimization problems and settings. In noisy black-box optimization, possible solutions have confidence regions containing the true function value with high probability. In MOO, hyperrectangles are used which fits well with componentwise order for easy comparison between regions. However, this approach does not extend to arbitrary partial orders, nor can it exactly represent models involving dependent objectives. To address this, we provide a **ConfidenceRegion** abstract class with **RectangularConfidenceRegion** and **EllipsoidalConfidenceRegion** derivatives, both supporting solutions to these comparisons using the CVXPY library.

VOPy is designed with performance in mind and provides significant speed-ups when possible. (see Performance notebook). VOPy follows the coding conventions of PEP8 (van Rossum et al., 2001) and enforces it through Flake8, Black and μ sort. VOPy also has been checked for vulnerabilities with the tool Bandit and is secure. These choices align with most of the frequently used libraries for Python community, e.g., the PyTorch ecosystem.

2.2 Testing and Accessibility

VOPy is extensively tested with a test suite that covers a broad range of code functionality. By maintaining a high code coverage ($\geq 95\%$), VOPy ensures reliability for both users and developers. Documentations for VOPy are generated using the Sphinx autodoc, and are hosted on ReadTheDocs, accessible through our GitHub repository.

3 How to use VOPy?

VOPy includes several pre-implemented algorithms, models, orders, and problems from the literature for black-box vector optimization, allowing users to select and use components based on their specific needs. The library provides various VO algorithms, including Naive Elimination (Ararat and Tekin, 2023), PaVeBa and PaVeBa-GP (Karagözlü et al., 2024), and VOGP together with its extension to continuous domains using adaptive discretization (Korkmaz et al., 2024). From MOO literature, VOPy implements Algorithm 1 of (Auer et al., 2016), which has no official code released, and ϵ -PAL (Zuluaga et al., 2016). It also includes a decoupled version of PaVeBa and a novel entropy-based decoupled algorithm.

VOPy natively supports componentwise orders, 2D cones, polyhedral approximations of 3D ice-cream cones, and polyhedral cones defined by a coefficient matrix. The library includes various GPyTorch models that handle coupled, decoupled, dependent, and independent objectives. For real-world datasets with vector-valued outputs, VOPy provides the SNW dataset (Zuluaga et al., 2012) and datasets for the Disk Brake (Tanabe and Ishibuchi, 2020) and Vehicle Safety (Liao et al., 2008) problems, generated using Sobol sequences.

Using existing methods for new problems. Researchers and practitioners can define their specific problem instances and integrate their experimental data to apply VOPy’s black-box vector-optimization tools. These ready-to-use components can be directly applied to optimization tasks with minimal setup. Following the documentation’s examples, users only need to connect their problem through a dataset or problem structure, set up the appropriate algorithm with an existing model and order, and let the algorithm run.

Benchmarking. Algorithm developers can create new algorithms by inheriting from VOPy’s abstract algorithm class, leveraging existing models, orders, and problems to compare their results with existing algorithms. VOPy’s benchmarking capabilities include metrics such as ϵ -F1 score (Karagözlü et al., 2024) and hypervolume discrepancy (Tu et al., 2022).

Custom uses. Any module in VOPy can be replaced with custom methods, e.g., users can incorporate a novel uncertainty model, using it together with existing algorithms and orders. Alternatively, if an application requires a non-polyhedral cone, the practitioner can define a custom `Order` derivative and apply existing algorithms and models on the problem.

4 Results and Conclusions

VOPy is the first open-source library designed for black-box VO. Its early stages contributed to the development of algorithms now existing in the literature (Karagözlü et al., 2024; Korkmaz et al., 2024). VOPy offers compatibility with various orders, models, algorithms, and acquisition strategies. With its demonstrated reliability and ease of use, it enables users to apply built-in tools or integrate new methods. In summary, VOPy offers a robust platform with the potential to lead further research and applications in black-box VO.

Acknowledgments and Disclosure of Funding

This work is supported by TÜBİTAK grant 121E159. Y.C.Y. and İ.O.K. are supported by Türk Telekom as part of 5G and Beyond Joint Graduate Support Programme coordinated by Information and Communication Technologies Authority. C.T. acknowledges support from a TÜBA-GEBİP 2023 Award.

References

- Çağın Ararat and Cem Tekin. Vector optimization with stochastic bandit feedback. In *Proceedings of The 26th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, pages 2165–2190, 2023.
- Peter Auer, Chao-Kai Chiang, Ronald Ortner, and Madalina Drugan. Pareto front identification from stochastic bandit feedback. In *Proceedings of The 19th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, pages 939–947, 2016.
- Maximilian Balandat, Brian Karrer, Daniel R. Jiang, Samuel Daulton, Benjamin Letham, Andrew Gordon Wilson, and Eytan Bakshy. BoTorch: a framework for efficient Monte-Carlo Bayesian optimization. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33 (NIPS 2020)*, pages 21524–21538, 2020.
- Julian Blank and Kalyanmoy Deb. Pymoo: Multi-objective optimization in Python. *IEEE Access*, 8:89497–89509, 2020.
- Alberto Costa and Giacomo Nannicini. RBFOpt: an open-source library for black-box optimization with costly function evaluations. *Mathematical Programming Computation*, 10:597–629, 2018.
- Michael TM Emmerich and André H Deutz. A tutorial on multiobjective optimization: fundamentals and evolutionary methods. *Natural Computing*, 17:585–609, 2018.
- Daniel Golovin, Benjamin Solnik, Subhodeep Moitra, Greg Kochanski, John Karro, and David Sculley. Google Vizier: a service for black-box optimization. In *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 1487–1495, 2017.
- Javier Gonzalez, Joseph Longworth, David C James, and Neil D Lawrence. Bayesian optimization for synthetic gene design. arXiv preprint 1505.01627, 2015.
- Matthew Hoffman, Eric Brochu, Nando De Freitas, et al. Portfolio allocation for Bayesian optimization. In *Proceedings of the 27th Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, pages 327–336, 2011.
- Kevin Maik Jablonka, Giriprasad Melpatti Jothiappan, Shefang Wang, Berend Smit, and Brian Yoo. Bias free multiobjective active learning for materials design and discovery. *Nature Communications*, 12(1):2312, 2021.

- Huaijun Jiang, Yu Shen, Yang Li, Beicheng Xu, Sixian Du, Wentao Zhang, Ce Zhang, and Bin Cui. Openbox: a Python toolkit for generalized black-box optimization. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 25(120):1–11, 2024.
- Kirthevasan Kandasamy, Willie Neiswanger, Jeff Schneider, Barnabás Póczos, and Eric P. Xing. Neural architecture search with Bayesian optimisation and optimal transport. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 31 (NIPS 2018)*, pages 2020–2029, 2018.
- Efe Mert Karagözlü, Yaşar Cahit Yıldırım, Çağın Ararat, and Cem Tekin. Learning the Pareto set under incomplete preferences: Pure exploration in vector bandits. In *Proceedings of The 27th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, pages 3070–3078, 2024.
- İlter Onat Korkmaz, Yaşar Cahit Yıldırım, Çağın Ararat, and Cem Tekin. Vector optimization with Gaussian process bandits. arXiv preprint 2412.02484, 2024.
- Xingtao Liao, Qing Li, Xujing Yang, Weigang Zhang, and Wei Li. Multiobjective optimization for crash safety design of vehicles using stepwise regression model. *Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization*, 35:561–569, 2008.
- Artem R Oganov and Colin W Glass. Crystal structure prediction using ab initio evolutionary techniques: principles and applications. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 124(24), 2006.
- Atsuto Seko, Atsushi Togo, Hiroyuki Hayashi, Koji Tsuda, Laurent Chaput, and Isao Tanaka. Prediction of low-thermal-conductivity compounds with first-principles anharmonic lattice-dynamics calculations and Bayesian optimization. *Physical Review Letters*, 115(20):205901, 2015.
- Jasper Snoek, Hugo Larochelle, and Ryan P Adams. Practical Bayesian optimization of machine learning algorithms. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 25 (NIPS 2012)*, pages 2951–2959, 2012.
- Ryoji Tanabe and Hisao Ishibuchi. An easy-to-use real-world multi-objective optimization problem suite. *Applied Soft Computing*, 89:106078, 2020.
- Kei Terayama, Masato Sumita, Ryo Tamura, and Koji Tsuda. Black-box optimization for automated discovery. *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 54(6):1334–1346, 2021.
- Ben Tu, Axel Gandy, Nikolas Kantas, and Behrang Shafei. Joint entropy search for multi-objective Bayesian optimization. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35 (NIPS 2022)*, pages 9922–9938, 2022.
- Tsuyoshi Ueno, Trevor David Rhone, Zhufeng Hou, Teruyasu Mizoguchi, and Koji Tsuda. COMBO: An efficient Bayesian optimization library for materials science. *Materials Discovery*, 4:18–21, 2016.

Guido van Rossum, Barry Warsaw, and Nick Coghlan. Style guide for Python code. PEP 8, Python Software Foundation, 2001. URL <https://www.python.org/dev/peps/pep-0008/>.

Jia Wu, Xiu-Yun Chen, Hao Zhang, Li-Dong Xiong, Hang Lei, and Si-Hao Deng. Hyperparameter optimization for machine learning models based on Bayesian optimization. *Journal of Electronic Science and Technology*, 17(1):26–40, 2019.

Marcela Zuluaga, Peter Milder, and Markus Püschel. Computer generation of streaming sorting networks. In *Proceedings of the 49th Annual Design Automation Conference*, pages 1245–1253, 2012.

Marcela Zuluaga, Andreas Krause, and Markus Püschel. e-PAL: An active learning approach to the multi-objective optimization problem. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 17(104):1–32, 2016.