

Improved estimation of the positive powers ordered restricted standard deviation of two normal populations

Somnath Mondal and Lakshmi Kanta Patra¹

Department of Mathematics

Indian Institute of Technology Bhilai, Durg, India-491001

Abstract

The present manuscript is concerned with component-wise estimation of the positive power of ordered restricted standard deviation of two normal populations with certain restrictions on the means. We propose several improved estimators under a general scale invariant bowl-shaped loss function. Also, we proposed a class of improved estimators. It has been shown that the boundary estimator of this class is a generalized Bayes. As an application, the improved estimators are obtained with respect to quadratic loss, entropy loss, and a symmetric loss function. We have conducted extensive Monte Carlo simulations to study and compare the risk performance of the proposed estimators. Finally, a real life data analysis is given to illustrate our findings.

Keywords: Decision theory; Stein-type estimators; Best affine equivariant estimators; Generalized Bayes; Scale invariant estimator, Relative risk improvement.

1. Introduction

In statistical inference, parameter estimation under order restriction has significant attention due to its practical applications across various fields, including bio-assays, economics, reliability, and life-testing studies. For instance, it makes sense to rank employee pay based on their job description. It is anticipated in agricultural research that the average yield of a particular crop will be higher when fertilizer is used than when it is not. Thus, imposing an order restriction on some model parameters, such as average values and variance, makes sense. Estimators are more efficient when this prior knowledge of the order restriction on parameters is taken into account. Some early works in this direction we refer to [1], [26] and [30]. The problem of finding improved estimators of location and scale parameters of various location and scale families of distribution under order restriction has been extensively studied in the literature. For some important contributions in these directions are [15], [16], [20], [31], [21], [8], [19], [22], [23], [12]. The authors have used the approach of [27], [6], [14] to derive the estimators that dominate usual estimators such as maximum likelihood estimator (MLE), best affine equivariant estimator (BAEE), etc. For a detailed review of finding improved estimators under order restriction using these techniques, we refer to [18].

[29] have considered estimating the common variance of two normal distributions with ordered location parameters under the quadratic loss function. They have shown that the usual estimators are inadmissible by proposing improved estimators. Estimation of ordered restricted normal

¹ lkpatra@iitbhilai.ac.in; patralakshmi@gmail.com

means under a linear loss function has been studied by [17]. The authors prove that plugin estimators improve upon the unrestricted MLE. [25] studied componentwise estimation of ordered scale parameter two Lomax distributions under quadratic error loss function. He proposed various improved estimators. [7] studied the estimation of two ordered normal means with a known covariance matrix using the Pitman nearness criterion. [24] discussed the componentwise estimation ordered scale parameter of two exponential distributions with respect to a general scale invariant loss function. They have proved the inadmissibility of usual estimators by proposing several improved estimators. [13] consider the problem of estimating positive powers of the scale parameter under order restriction for two normal populations with a common mean. They have proposed several plugin-type estimators using MLE and their improvements. [11] have considered the componentwise estimation of the ordered variance of two normal populations with common mean under quadratic loss function. They have proposed various estimators that dominate some benchmark estimators. [9] has investigated improved estimation of ordered restricted location parameters of a bivariate model with respect to general bowl-shaped location invariant loss function. They have used the techniques of [6] to derive estimators that improve upon the usual estimators.

In this paper, we consider the problem of estimating the positive powers of ordered scale parameters for two normal populations with restricted mean. Here, we consider a class of scale invariant bowl-shaped loss function $L\left(\frac{\delta}{\theta}\right)$, where δ is an estimator of θ . We assume that the loss function $L(t)$ satisfies the following criteria: $L(t)$ is strictly bowl shaped, and reaching its minimum value 0 at $t = 1$. Consequently, $L(t)$ decreasing for $t \leq 1$ and increasing for $t \geq 1$. The integrals involving $L(t)$ are finite and can be differentiated under integral sign.

Let the random variables X_1, X_2, S_1 and S_2 are independent and distributed as,

$$X_1 \sim N\left(\mu_1, \frac{\sigma_1^2}{p_1}\right), S_1 \sim \sigma_1^2 \chi_{p_1-1}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad X_2 \sim N\left(\mu_2, \frac{\sigma_2^2}{p_2}\right), S_2 \sim \sigma_2^2 \chi_{p_2-1}^2 \quad (1.1)$$

We assume the parameter μ_i, σ_i for $i = 1, 2$ are unknown and $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2$. Our goal is to estimate $\sigma_i^k, i = 1, 2, k > 0$, under the loss function $L(t)$ when $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ and $\mu_1 > 0, \mu_2 > 0$. The primary focus will be finding estimators that dominate the usual estimators, such as BAEE, uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimator (UMVUE). To find the improved estimators, we use the techniques of [27], [6], and [14]. These approaches have been used to find improved estimators of scale parameters of various location-scale families of distributions. Some recent paper in this direction are [4], [5], [28], [2], [3]. We first apply the invariance principle. For this purpose, we consider the group of transformation as

$$\mathcal{G} = \{g_{a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2} : a_1 > 0, a_2 > 0, b_1 \in \mathbb{R}, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

The group \mathcal{G} act on the $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ in the following manner

$$(X_1, X_2, S_1, S_2) \rightarrow (a_1 X_1 + b_1, a_2 X_2 + b_2, a_1^2 S_1, a_2^2 S_2)$$

Under this group of transformation the problem of estimating σ_i^k under $L(t)$ is invariant. Now onwards we denote $\underline{X} = (X_1, X_2), \underline{S} = (S_1, S_2)$ and $V_i = S_i/\sigma_i^2$. After some simplification the

form of an affine equivariant estimator is obtained as

$$\delta_{ic}(\underline{X}, \underline{S}) = cS_i^{\frac{k}{2}}, i = 1, 2, \quad (1.2)$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant. The following lemma provides the BAEE of σ_i^k .

Lemma 1.1. *Under a bowl-shaped loss function $L(t)$ the best affine equivariant estimator of σ_i^k is $\delta_{0i}(\underline{X}, \underline{S}) = c_{0i}S_i^{\frac{k}{2}}$, where c_{0i} is the unique solution of the equation*

$$E \left[L' \left(c_{0i}V_i^{\frac{k}{2}} \right) V_i^{\frac{k}{2}} \right] = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

Example 1.1. For $i = 1, 2$

- (i) Under the quadratic loss function $L_1(t) = (t - 1)^2$, the BAEE of σ_i^k is obtained as $\delta_{0i}^{(1)} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_i+k-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_i+2k-1}{2})} S_i^{\frac{k}{2}}$.
- (ii) For the entropy loss function $L_2(t) = t - \ln t - 1$ we get the BAEE of σ_i^k is $\delta_{0i}^{(2)} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_i-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_i+k-1}{2})} S_i^{\frac{k}{2}}$.
- (iii) The BAEE of σ_i^k is $\delta_{0i}^{(3)} = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_i-k-1}{2})}{2^k\Gamma(\frac{p_i+k-1}{2})}} S_i^{\frac{k}{2}}$ with respect to a symmetric loss function $L_3(t) = t + \frac{1}{t} - 2$.

Remark 1.1. *The UMVUE of σ_i^k is $\delta_{iMV} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_i-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_i+k-1}{2})} S_i^{\frac{k}{2}}$ and it belongs to the class of all affine equivariant estimators. We observe that this is the BAEE with respect to entropy loss function $L_2(t)$. Also, the BAEE improves upon the UMVUE under the loss function $L_1(t)$ and $L_3(t)$.*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we consider the estimation of σ^k when $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$. We have proposed estimators that dominate the BAEE. A class of improved estimators is obtained, and it is shown that the boundary estimator of this class is a generalized Bayes estimator. In Subsection 2.2, we have considered improved estimation σ_1^k when means are nonnegative. Finally, as an application, we have derived improved estimators for three special loss functions. In Section 3, we have obtained results similar to Section 2. In addition to this, a double shrinkage estimator is obtained. A simulation has been carried out to compare the risk performance of the improved estimators in Section 4.

2. Improved estimation of σ_1^k

In the section we consider the improved estimation σ_1^k with $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$. To find an improved estimator we consider a class of estimators of the form

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \left\{ \delta_{\phi_1} = \phi_1(U) S_1^{\frac{k}{2}} : U = S_2 S_1^{-1} \text{ and } \phi_1(\cdot) \text{ is positive measurable function} \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

Now we analyse the risk function $R(\theta, \delta_{\phi_1}) = E^U \left[E \left\{ L \left(V_1^{k/2} \phi_1(U) \right) \mid U = u \right\} \right]$ for $k > 0$. The inner conditional risk can be written as $R_1(\theta, c) = E \left\{ L \left(V_1^{\frac{k}{2}} c \right) \mid U = U \right\}$, where $V_1 \mid U = u \sim$

Gamma $\left(\frac{p_1+p_2-2}{2}, \frac{2}{(1+\eta^2 u)}\right)$ distribution, with $\eta = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} \leq 1$. Let $c_\eta(u)$ be the unique solution of $E_\eta \left(L' \left(V_1^{k/2} c_\eta(u) \right) V_1^{k/2} | U = u \right) = 0$. Using monotone likelihood ratio (MLR) property of Gamma distribution we have $E_\eta \left(L' \left(V_1^{k/2} c_1(u) \right) V_1^{k/2} | U = u \right) \geq E_1 \left(L' \left(V_1^{k/2} c_1(u) \right) V_1^{k/2} | U = u \right) = 0 = E_\eta \left(L' \left(V_1^{k/2} c_\eta(u) \right) V_1^{k/2} | U = u \right)$. Consequently we get $c_\eta(u) \leq c_1(u)$, where $c_1(u)$ is the unique solution of $E_1 \left(L' \left(V_1^{k/2} c_1(u) \right) V_1^{k/2} | U = u \right) = 0$. By the transformation $z_1 = v_1(1+u)$ we have $E \left(L' \left(Z_1^{k/2} c_1(u) (1+u)^{-k/2} \right) \right) = 0$ with $Z_1 \sim \chi_{p_1+p_2+k-2}^2$. Using (2.2), we obtain $c_1(u) = \alpha_1(1+u)^{\frac{k}{2}}$. Consider $\phi_1^*(u) = \min\{\phi_1(u), c_1(u)\}$, then for $P(\phi_1(U) > c_1(U)) \neq 0$ we get $c_\eta(u) \leq c_1(u) = \phi_1^*(u) < \phi_1(u)$ on a set of positive probability. Hence we get $R_1(\theta, \phi_1^*) < R_1(\theta, \phi_1)$ for all θ . So we get the result as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let α_1 be a solution of the equation*

$$EL' \left(Z_1^{k/2} \alpha_1 \right) = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

where $Z_1 \sim \chi_{p_1+p_2+k-2}^2$. Consider $\phi_1^*(u) = \min\{\phi_1(u), c_1(u)\}$, then the risk function of the estimator $\delta_{\phi_1^*(u)}$ is nowhere larger than the estimator δ_{ϕ_1} provided $P(\phi_1(U) > c_1(U)) \neq 0$ holds true.

Corollary 2.2. *The risk function of the estimator $\delta_{1ST1} = \min\{c_{01}, \alpha_1(1+U)^{k/2}\} S_1^{k/2}$ is nowhere larger than the BAAE δ_{01} provided $\alpha_1 < c_{01}$.*

Remark 2.1. *For the case $k < 0$ the risk function of the estimator $\delta_{1ST1} = \min\{c_{01}, \alpha_1(1+U)^{k/2}\} S_1^{k/2}$ is nowhere larger than the estimator δ_{01} provided $\alpha_1 > c_{01}$.*

Next we consider another class of estimators as

$$C_2 = \left\{ \delta_{\phi_2} = \phi_2(U, U_1) S_1^{k/2} : U_1 = (X_2 - X_1) S_1^{-1/2} \text{ and } U = S_2 S_1^{-1} \right\}$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let α_2 be a solution of the equation*

$$EL' \left(Z_2^{k/2} \alpha_2 \right) = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

where $Z_2 \sim \chi_{p_1+p_2+k-1}^2$. Then the risk function of the estimator

$$\delta_{1ST2} = \begin{cases} \min\{\phi_2(U, U_1), c_{1,0}(U, U_1)\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & U_1 > 0 \\ c_{01} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is nowhere larger than the estimator δ_{ϕ_2} provided $P(c_{1,0}(U, U_1) < \phi_2(U, U_1)) > 0$ under a general scale invariant loss function $L(t)$, where $c_{1,0}(U, U_1) = \alpha_2 (1+U+U_1^2(1/p_1+1/p_2)^{-1})^{k/2}$.

Proof: We analyse the risk function of the estimator $\delta_{\phi_2}(\underline{X}, \underline{S})$ is

$$R(\theta, \delta_{\phi_2}) = E \left[E \left\{ L \left(V_1^{\frac{k}{2}} \phi_2(U, U_1) \right) | U = u, U_1 = u_1 \right\} \right]$$

The conditional risk can be written as $R_1(\theta, c) = E \left\{ L \left(V_1^{\frac{k}{2}} c \right) \mid U = u, U_1 = u_1 \right\}$. We have conditional distribution of V_1 given $U = u, U_1 = u_1$ is

$$g_{\eta, \rho_1}(v_1) \propto e^{-\frac{v_1}{2}(1+u\eta^2) - \frac{1}{2\left(\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2\eta^2}\right)}(u_1\sqrt{v_1} - \rho_1)^2} v_1^{\frac{p_1+p_2-1}{2}-1}$$

$v_1 > 0, u_1 \in \mathbb{R}, u > 0$ where $\eta = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} < 1$ and $\rho_1 = \frac{\mu_2 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \geq 0$. We have $\frac{g_{\eta, \rho_1}(v_1)}{g_{\eta, 0}(v_1)}$ is increasing in v_1 for all $u_1 > 0$. For all $c > 0$ we obtain $E_{\eta, \rho_1} \left[L' \left(V_1^{k/2} c \right) V_1^{k/2} \right] \geq E_{\eta, 0} \left[L' \left(V_1^{k/2} c \right) V_1^{k/2} \right]$. Again we have $\frac{g_{\eta, 0}(v_1)}{g_{1, 0}(v_1)}$ is increasing in v_1 . For all $c > 0$ we have $E_{\eta, \rho_1} \left[L' \left(V_1^{k/2} c \right) V_1^{k/2} \right] \geq E_{\eta, 0} \left[L' \left(V_1^{k/2} c \right) V_1^{k/2} \right] \geq E_{1, 0} \left[L' \left(V_1^{k/2} c \right) V_1^{k/2} \right]$. Let $c_{\eta, \rho_1}(u, u_1)$ is the unique minimizer of $R_1(\theta, c) = 0$. Now take $c = c_{1, 0}(u, u_1)$ we get

$$E_{\eta, \rho_1} \left[L' \left(V_1^{\frac{k}{2}} c_{1, 0}(u, u_1) \right) V_1^{k/2} \right] \geq E_{\eta, 0} \left[L' \left(V_1^{\frac{k}{2}} c_{1, 0}(u, u_1) \right) V_1^{k/2} \right] \geq E_{1, 0} \left[L' \left(V_1^{\frac{k}{2}} c_{1, 0}(u, u_1) \right) V_1^{k/2} \right] = 0$$

Again we have $E_{\eta, \rho_1} \left[L' \left(V_1^{\frac{k}{2}} c_{\eta, \rho_1}(u, u_1) \right) V_1^{k/2} \right] = 0$. Since $L(t)$ is strictly bowl-shaped so $L'(t)$ is increasing. From the above the inequality, we have $c_{\eta, \rho_1}(u, u_1) \leq c_{1, 0}(u, u_1)$, where $c_{1, 0}(u, u_1)$ is the unique solution of $E_{1, 0} \left[L' \left(V_1^{\frac{k}{2}} c_{1, 0}(u, u_1) \right) V_1^{k/2} \right] = 0$. Using the transformation $z_2 = v_1 \left(1 + u + u_1^2 \left(\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \right)^{-1} \right)$ we obtain

$$EL' \left(Z_2^{k/2} c_{1, 0}(u, u_1) \left(1 + u + u_1^2 (1/p_1 + 1/p_2)^{-1} \right)^{-k/2} \right) = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where $Z_2 \sim \chi_{p_1+p_2+k-1}^2$. Comparing with equation (2.3) we get

$$c_{1, 0}(u, u_1) = \alpha_2 \left(1 + u + u_1^2 (1/p_1 + 1/p_2)^{-1} \right)^{k/2}.$$

Consider a function $\phi_2^*(u, u_1) = \min \left\{ \phi_2(u, u_1), \alpha_2 \left(1 + u + u_1^2 (1/p_1 + 1/p_2)^{-1} \right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\}$. Now we have $c_{\eta, \rho_1}(u, u_1) \leq c_{1, 0}(u, u_1) = \phi_2^* < \phi_2(u, u_1)$ provided $P(c_{1, 0}(U, U_1) < \phi_2(U, U_1)) > 0$. Hence we get $R_1(\theta, \phi_2(u, u_1)) < R(\theta, \phi_2^*)$. This complete the proof of the result.

Corollary 2.4. *The estimator*

$$\delta_{1ST2} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ c_{01}, \alpha_2 \left(1 + U + U_1^2 (1/p_1 + 1/p_2)^{-1} \right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & U_1 > 0 \\ c_{01} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

dominates δ_{01} under a general scale invariant loss function provided $\alpha_2 < c_{01}$.

The following corollary gives improved estimator of σ_1^k under the order restriction $\mu_1 > \mu_2$.

Corollary 2.5. *The estimator*

$$\delta_{1ST2} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ c_{01}, \alpha_2 (1 + U + U_1^2 (1/p_1 + 1/p_2)^{-1})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & U_1 < 0 \\ c_{01} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

dominates BAAE with respect to a scale invariant loss function $L(t)$ when $\mu_1 > \mu_2$.

The following corollary provides improved estimator for the common mean problem, that is $\mu_1 = \mu_2$.

Corollary 2.6. *The estimator*

$$\delta_{1ST2} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \phi_2, \alpha_2 (1 + U + U_1^2 (1/p_1 + 1/p_2)^{-1})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & U_1 > 0 \\ \max \left\{ \phi_2, \alpha_2 (1 + U + U_1^2 (1/p_1 + 1/p_2)^{-1})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & U_1 < 0 \end{cases}$$

dominates δ_{ϕ_2} provided $P(c_{1,0}(U, U_1) < \phi_2(U, U_1)) > 0$ under a general scale invariant loss function $L(t)$

Remark 2.2. *The Theorem 2.1 of [11] is a special case of this corollary by taking $k = 1$ and quadratic loss L_1*

Example 2.1. (i) For the quadratic loss function $L_1(t)$ we have $\alpha_1 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+2k-2}{2})}$, $\alpha_2 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+2k-1}{2})}$. The improved estimators of σ_1^k are obtained as

$$\delta_{1ST1}^{(1)} = \min \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+2k-1}{2})}, \alpha_1(1+U)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}$$

$$\delta_{1ST2}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+2k-1}{2})}, \alpha_2 (1 + U + U_1^2 (1/p_1 + 1/p_2)^{-1})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & U_1 > 0 \\ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+2k-1}{2})} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(ii) Under the entropy loss function $L_2(t)$ we get $\alpha_1 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2-2}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2})}$, $\alpha_2 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-1}{2})}$. So we get the improved estimators as

$$\delta_{1ST1}^{(2)} = \min \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}, \alpha_1(1+U)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}$$

$$\delta_{1ST2}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}, \alpha_2 (1 + U + U_1^2 (1/p_1 + 1/p_2)^{-1})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & U_1 > 0 \\ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(iii) For the symmetric loss function $L_3(t)$ we obtain $\alpha_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2-k-2}{2})}{2^k \Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2})}}$, $\alpha_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2-k-1}{2})}{2^k \Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-1}{2})}}$.
The improved estimators of σ_1^k are obtained as

$$\delta_{1ST1}^{(3)} = \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1-k-1}{2})}{2^k \Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}}, \alpha_1 (1+U)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}$$

$$\delta_{1ST2}^{(3)} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1-k-1}{2})}{2^k \Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}}, \alpha_2 (1+U+U^2(1/p_1+1/p_2)^{-1})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & U_1 > 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1-k-1}{2})}{2^k \Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now we will obtain a class of improved estimators. To do so we use the IERD method of [14]. The joint density of V_1 and U is

$$f_\eta(v_1, u) \propto e^{-\frac{v_1}{2}(1+u\eta^2)} v_1^{\frac{p_1+p_2-2}{2}-1} u^{\frac{p_2-1}{2}-1} \eta^{p_2-1}, \quad \forall v_1 > 0, u > 0, 0 < \eta \leq 1 \quad (2.5)$$

Define

$$F_\eta(y, v_1) = \int_0^y f_\eta(s, v_1) ds \quad \text{and} \quad F_1(y, v_1) = \int_0^y f_1(s, v_1) ds.$$

Theorem 2.7. Suppose that the function ϕ_1 satisfies the following conditions.

(i) $\phi_1(l)$ is increasing function in l and $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \phi_1(l) = c_{01}$.

(ii) $\int_0^\infty L'(\phi_1(h)v_1^{\frac{k}{2}}) v_1^{\frac{k}{2}} F_\eta(v_1, m) dv_1 \geq 0$.

Then the risk of δ_{ϕ_1} in (2.1) is smaller than the δ_{01} under bowl-shaped loss function.

Proof: Let RD be the risk difference of δ_{01} and δ_{ϕ_1} , then we can express RD as

$$\begin{aligned} RD &= E \left\{ \int_1^\infty \frac{d}{dt} L \left(\phi_1(tu)v_1^{\frac{k}{2}} \right) dt \right\} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_1^\infty L' \left(\phi_1(tu)v_1^{\frac{k}{2}} \right) \phi_1'(tu) v_1^{\frac{k}{2}} u f_\eta(u, v_1) dt du dv_1 \end{aligned}$$

Making the transformation $h = tu$ and put $q = \frac{h}{t}$ we get

$$RD = \int_0^\infty \phi_1'(h) \int_0^\infty \int_0^h L' \left(\phi_1(h)v_1^{\frac{k}{2}} \right) v_1^{\frac{k}{2}} f_\eta(q, v_1) dq dh dv_1$$

It can be easily check that $\frac{f_\eta(q, v_1)}{f_1(q, v_1)}$ is non decreasing in $q \forall q > 0$, and hence we we have $\frac{F_\eta(q, v_1)}{F_1(q, v_1)}$ is non decreasing in q for $q > 0$. By using Lemma 2.1 of [14] and let $v_1^* = \phi_1^{-2/k}$ we get

$$RD \geq \int_0^\infty \phi_1'(h) \frac{F_\eta(q, v_1^*)}{F_1(q, v_1^*)} \int_0^\infty L' \left(\phi_1(h)v_1^{\frac{k}{2}} \right) v_1^{\frac{k}{2}} F_1(q, v_1) dq dv_1$$

Hence the risk difference is non negative if

$$\int_0^\infty L' \left(\phi_1(h)v_1^{\frac{k}{2}} \right) v_1^{\frac{k}{2}} F_1(q, v_1) dv_1 \geq 0$$

This completes the proof.

Now we obtain improved estimators for σ_1^k under three special loss functions by applying Theorem 2.7, as explained in the subsequent corollaries.

Corollary 2.8. *Let us assume that the function $\phi_1(h)$ satisfies the following conditions*

$$(i) \phi_1(h) \text{ is increasing function in } h \text{ and } \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \phi_1(h) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2}\right)}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+2k-1}{2}\right)}.$$

$$(ii) \phi_1(h) \leq \phi_{BZ}^{(1)}(h)$$

where

$$\phi_{BZ}^{(1)}(h) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2}\right) \int_0^h \frac{q^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}}}{(1+q)^{\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2}}} dq}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+p_2+2k-2}{2}\right) \int_0^h \frac{q^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}}}{(1+q)^{\frac{p_1+p_2+2k-2}{2}}} dq}$$

The the risk of the estimator δ_{ϕ_1} given in (2.1) is nowhere greater than that of $\delta_{01}^{(1)}$ under the scale-invariant quadratic loss function L_1 .

Corollary 2.9. *Under the scale-invariant entropy loss function L_2 , the risk of the estimator δ_{ϕ_1} given in (2.1) is nowhere greater than that of $\delta_{01}^{(2)}$ provided the function $\phi_1(h)$ satisfies*

$$(i) \phi_1(h) \text{ is increasing function in } h \text{ and } \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \phi_1(h) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_1-1}{2}\right)}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2}\right)}.$$

$$(ii) \phi_1(h) \leq \phi_{BZ}^{(2)}(h)$$

where

$$\phi_{BZ}^{(2)}(h) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+p_2-2}{2}\right) \int_0^h \frac{q^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}}}{(1+q)^{\frac{p_1+p_2-2}{2}}} dq}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2}\right) \int_0^h \frac{q^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}}}{(1+q)^{\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2}}} dq}$$

Corollary 2.10. *Suppose the following conditions holds true.*

$$(i) \phi_1(h) \text{ is increasing function in } h \text{ and } \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \phi_1(h) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_1-k-1}{2}\right)}{2^k \Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2}\right)}}.$$

$$(ii) \phi_1(h) \leq \phi_{BZ}^{(3)}(h)$$

where

$$\phi_{BZ}^{(3)}(h) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+p_2-k-2}{2}\right) \int_0^h \frac{q^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}}}{(1+q)^{\frac{p_1+p_2-k-2}{2}}} dq}{2^k \Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2}\right) \int_0^h \frac{q^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}}}{(1+q)^{\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2}}} dq}}$$

Then the risk of the estimator δ_{ϕ_1} given in (2.1) is nowhere greater than that of $\delta_{01}^{(3)}$ under the scale-invariant symmetric loss function L_3 .

Remark 2.3. *In the above corollaries we have obtained call of improved estimators for L_1 , L_2 and L_3 . The boundary estimators of this class are obtained as $\delta_{\phi_{BZ}}^{(1)} = \delta_{\phi_{BZ}}^{(1)}$, $\delta_{\phi_{BZ}}^{(2)} = \delta_{\phi_{BZ}}^{(2)}$ and*

$\delta_{\phi_{BZ}}^{(3)} = \delta_{\phi_{BZ}}^{(3)}$. *These estimators are [6] type estimators.*

2.1. Generalized Bayes estimator

In this subsection, we consider generalized Bayes estimator. We will prove that that [6] type estimator is generalized Byes. Consider the prior distribution as

$$\pi(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \mu_1, \mu_2) = \frac{1}{\sigma_1^4 \sigma_2^4}, \quad 0 < \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2, \quad \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

and the corresponding posterior distribution is

$$\pi(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 | \underline{X}, \underline{S}) \propto \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^4 \sigma_2^4} e^{-\frac{s_1}{2\sigma_1^2} - \frac{s_2}{2\sigma_2^2}} \left(\frac{s_1}{\sigma_1^2}\right)^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}} \left(\frac{s_2}{\sigma_2^2}\right)^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}} e^{-\frac{p_1(x_1-\mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2} - \frac{p_2(x_2-\mu_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}} \frac{\sqrt{p_1 p_2}}{2\pi \sigma_1 \sigma_2}}{\int_0^\infty \int_{\sigma_1^2}^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma_1^4 \sigma_2^4} e^{-\frac{s_1}{2\sigma_1^2} - \frac{s_2}{2\sigma_2^2}} \left(\frac{s_1}{\sigma_1^2}\right)^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}} \left(\frac{s_2}{\sigma_2^2}\right)^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}} d\sigma_1^2 d\sigma_2^2}$$

For the quadratic loss function $L_1(t)$ the generalized Bayes estimator of σ_1^k is obtained as

$$\delta_{B1}^{(1)} = \frac{\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{\sigma_1^2}^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma_1^k} \pi(\theta | x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2) d\sigma_1^2 d\sigma_2^2 d\mu_1 d\mu_2}{\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{\sigma_1^2}^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma_1^k} \pi(\theta | x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2) d\sigma_1^2 d\sigma_2^2 d\mu_1 d\mu_2}$$

After simplification we obtain the generalized Bayes estimator of σ_1^k is

$$\delta_{B1}^{(1)} = \frac{\int_0^\infty \int_{\sigma_1^2}^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma_1^{k+4} \sigma_2^4} e^{-\frac{s_1}{2\sigma_1^2} - \frac{s_2}{2\sigma_2^2}} \left(\frac{s_1}{\sigma_1^2}\right)^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}} \left(\frac{s_2}{\sigma_2^2}\right)^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}} d\sigma_1^2 d\sigma_2^2}{\int_0^\infty \int_{\sigma_1^2}^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma_1^{2k+4} \sigma_2^4} e^{-\frac{s_1}{2\sigma_1^2} - \frac{s_2}{2\sigma_2^2}} \left(\frac{s_1}{\sigma_1^2}\right)^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}} \left(\frac{s_2}{\sigma_2^2}\right)^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}} d\sigma_1^2 d\sigma_2^2}$$

After taking the transformation $v_1 = \frac{s_1}{\sigma_1^2}$, $t_1 = \frac{s_2}{s_1} \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2}$, we get the following expression

$$\delta_{B1}^{(1)} = s_1^{\frac{k}{2}} \frac{\int_0^\infty \int_0^{\frac{s_2}{s_1}} e^{-\frac{v_1}{2}(1+t_1)} v_1^{\frac{p_1+p_2+k-4}{2}} t_1^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}} dt_1 dv_1}{\int_0^\infty \int_0^{\frac{s_2}{s_1}} e^{-\frac{v_1}{2}(1+t_1)} v_1^{\frac{p_1+p_2+2k-4}{2}} q^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}} dt_1 dv_1}$$

which is $\delta_{\phi_{BZ}}^{(1)}(h_1)$, $h_1 = \frac{s_2}{s_1}$. By using the similar argument as for $L_1(t)$ we get the generalize Bayes for L_2 loss

$$\delta_{B1}^{(2)} = s_1^{\frac{k}{2}} \frac{\int_0^\infty \int_0^{\frac{s_2}{s_1}} e^{-\frac{v_1}{2}(1+t_1)} v_1^{\frac{p_1+p_2-4}{2}} t_1^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}} dt_1 dv_1}{\int_0^\infty \int_0^{\frac{s_2}{s_1}} e^{-\frac{v_1}{2}(1+t_1)} v_1^{\frac{p_1+p_2+k-4}{2}} q^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}} dt_1 dv_1}$$

which is $\delta_{\phi_{BZ}}^{(2)}(h_1)$, $h_1 = \frac{s_2}{s_1}$. For the symmetric L_3 we obtain the generalized Bayes estimator as

$$\delta_{B1}^{(3)} = s_1^{\frac{k}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\int_0^\infty \int_0^{\frac{s_2}{s_1}} e^{-\frac{v_1}{2}(1+t_1)} v_1^{\frac{p_1+p_2-k-4}{2}} t_1^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}} dt_1 dv_1}{\int_0^\infty \int_0^{\frac{s_2}{s_1}} e^{-\frac{v_1}{2}(1+t_1)} v_1^{\frac{p_1+p_2+k-4}{2}} q^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}} dt_1 dv_1}}$$

which is $\delta_{\phi_{BZ}}^{(3)}(h_1)$, $h_1 = \frac{s_2}{s_1}$.

2.2. Improved estimation when $\mu_1 \geq 0, \mu_2 \geq 0$

In the previous subsection we have obtained improved estimation of σ_1^k when $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$. Here we consider $\mu_1 \geq 0, \mu_2 \geq 0$, we will propose some more estimators which dominates BAE. Consider a class of estimators, which is of the form

$$\left\{ \delta_{\zeta_1} = \zeta_1(U, W_1) S_1^{\frac{k}{2}} : W_1 = \frac{X_1}{\sqrt{S_1}}, \zeta_1(\cdot) \text{ is a positive measurable function} \right\}$$

Theorem 2.11. Let $Z_3 \sim \chi_{p_1+p_2+k-1}^2$ and α_3 be a solution of the equation

$$EL' \left(Z_3^{k/2} \alpha_3 \right) = 0$$

Then the risk function of the estimator

$$\delta_{1ST3} = \begin{cases} \min \{ \zeta_1(U, W_1), c_{1,0}(U, W_1) \} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1 > 0 \\ c_{01} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is nowhere larger than the estimator δ_{ϕ_1} provided $P(c_{1,0}(U, W_1) < \zeta_1(U, W_1)) > 0$ under a general scale invariant loss function $L(t)$, where $c_{1,0}(U, W_1) = \alpha_3 (1 + U + p_1 W_1^2)^{k/2}$.

Proof: Proof is similar to Theorem 2.13. We will prove Theorem 2.13.

Corollary 2.12. The estimator

$$\delta_{1ST3} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ c_{01}, \alpha_3 (1 + U + p_1 W_1^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1 > 0 \\ c_{01} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

dominates the BAE under a general scale invariant loss function provided $\alpha_3 \leq c_{01}$.

Again we consider another class of estimators of the form

$$\left\{ \delta_{\zeta_2} = \zeta_2(U, W_1, W_2) S_1^{\frac{k}{2}} : W_1 = \frac{X_1}{\sqrt{S_1}}, W_2 = \frac{X_2}{\sqrt{S_1}}, \zeta_2(\cdot) \text{ is a positive measurable function} \right\}$$

Theorem 2.13. Let $Z_4 \sim \chi_{p_1+p_2+k}^2$ and α_4 be a solution of the equation

$$EL' \left(Z_4^{k/2} \alpha_4 \right) = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

Then the risk function of the estimator

$$\delta_{1ST4} = \begin{cases} \min \{ \zeta_2(U, W_1, W_2), c_{1,0,0}(U, W_1, W_2) \} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1 > 0, W_2 > 0 \\ c_{01} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is nowhere larger than the estimator δ_{ϕ_1} provided $P(c_{1,0,0}(U, W_1, W_2) < \zeta_2(U, W_1, W_2)) > 0$ under a general scale invariant loss function $L(t)$, where $c_{1,0,0}(U, W_1, W_2) = \alpha_4 (1 + U + p_1 W_1^2 + p_2 W_2^2)^{k/2}$.

Proof: The risk function of the estimator $\delta_{\zeta_2}(U, W_1, W_2)S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}$ is

$$R(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \delta_{\zeta_2}) = E \left[E \left\{ L \left(V_1^{\frac{k}{2}} \zeta_2(U, W_1, W_2) \right) \mid U = u, W_1 = w_1, W_2 = w_2 \right\} \right]$$

The conditional risk can be written as $R_1(\theta, c) = E \left\{ L \left(V_1^{\frac{k}{2}} c \right) \mid U = u, W_1 = w_1, W_2 = w_2 \right\}$. We have conditional distribution of V_1 given $U = u, W_1 = w_1, W_2 = w_2$ is

$$g_{\eta, \eta_1, \eta_2}(v_1) \propto e^{-\frac{v_1}{2}(1+u\eta^2) - \frac{p_1}{2}(w_1\sqrt{v_1} - \eta_1)^2 - \frac{p_2}{2}(w_2\sqrt{v_1}\eta - \eta_2)^2} v_1^{\frac{p_1+p_2-2}{2}}$$

$v_1 > 0, u > 0, w_2 \in \mathbb{R}, w_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ where $\eta = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} < 1, \eta_1 = \frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1} \geq 0$ and $\eta_2 = \frac{\mu_2}{\sigma_2} \geq 0$. We have $\frac{g_{\eta, \eta_1, \eta_2}(v_1)}{g_{\eta, \eta_1, 0}(v_1)}$ is increasing in v_1 for all $w_2 > 0$. For all $c > 0$ we obtain $E_{\eta, \eta_1, \eta_2} \left[L' \left(V_1^{k/2} c \right) V_1^{k/2} \right] \geq E_{\eta, \eta_1, 0} \left[L' \left(V_1^{k/2} c \right) V_1^{k/2} \right]$. Again we have $\frac{g_{\eta, \eta_1, 0}(v_1)}{g_{\eta, 0, 0}(v_1)}$ is increasing in v_1 for all $w_1 > 0$. For all $c > 0$ we have $E_{\eta, \eta_1, 0} \left[L' \left(V_1^{k/2} c \right) V_1^{k/2} \right] \geq E_{\eta, 0, 0} \left[L' \left(V_1^{k/2} c \right) V_1^{k/2} \right]$. Again we have $\frac{g_{\eta, 0, 0}(v_1)}{g_{1, 0, 0}(v_1)}$ is increasing in v_1 . For all $c > 0$ we have

$$E_{\eta, 0, 0} \left[L' \left(V_1^{k/2} c \right) V_1^{k/2} \right] \geq E_{1, 0, 0} \left[L' \left(V_1^{k/2} c \right) V_1^{k/2} \right].$$

Let $c_{\eta, \eta_1, \eta_2}(u, w_1, w_2)$ is the unique minimizer of $R'_1(\theta, c) = 0$. Now take $c = c_{1, 0, 0}(u, w_1, w_2)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\eta, \eta_1, \eta_2} \left[L' \left(V_1^{\frac{k}{2}} c_{1, 0, 0}(u, w_1, w_2) \right) V_1^{k/2} \right] &\geq E_{1, 0, 0} \left[L' \left(V_1^{\frac{k}{2}} c_{1, 0, 0}(u, w_1, w_2) \right) V_1^{k/2} \right] = 0 \\ &= E_{\eta, \eta_1, \eta_2} \left[L' \left(V_1^{\frac{k}{2}} c_{\eta, \eta_1, \eta_2}(u, w_1, w_2) \right) V_1^{k/2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Since $L(t)$ is strictly bowl-shaped so $L'(t)$ is increasing. From the above the inequality, we have $c_{\eta, \eta_1, \eta_2}(u, w_1, w_2) \leq c_{1, 0, 0}(u, w_1, w_2)$, where $c_{1, 0, 0}(u, w_1, w_2)$ is the unique solution of

$$E_{1, 0, 0} \left[L' \left(V_1^{\frac{k}{2}} c_{1, 0, 0}(u, w_1, w_2) \right) V_1^{k/2} \right] = 0.$$

Using the transformation $z_4 = v_1 (1 + u + p_1 w_1^2 + p_2 w_2^2)$ we obtain

$$E L' \left(Z_4^{k/2} c_{1, 0, 0}(u, w_1, w_2) (1 + u + p_1 w_1^2 + p_2 w_2^2)^{-k/2} \right) = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

where $Z_4 \sim \chi_{p_1+p_2+k}^2$. Comparing with equation (2.6) we get

$$c_{1, 0, 0}(u, w_1, w_2) = \alpha_4 (1 + u + p_1 w_1^2 + p_2 w_2^2)^{k/2}.$$

Consider a function $\zeta_2^*(u, w_1, w_2) = \min \left\{ \zeta_2(u, w_1, w_2), \alpha_4 (1 + u + p_1 w_1^2 + p_2 w_2^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\}$. Now we have $c_{\eta, \eta_1, \eta_2}(u, w_1, w_2) \leq c_{\eta, \eta_1, 0}(u, w_1, w_2) \leq c_{\eta, 0, 0}(u, w_1, w_2) \leq c_{1, 0, 0}(u, w_1, w_2) = \zeta_2^* < \zeta_2(u, w_1, w_2)$ provided $P(\zeta_2^*(U, W_1, W_2) < \zeta_2(U, W_1, W_2)) > 0$. Hence we get $R_1(\theta, \zeta_2(u, w_1, w_2)) < R(\theta, \zeta_2^*(u, w_1, w_2))$. This complete the proof of the result.

Corollary 2.14. *The estimator*

$$\delta_{1ST4} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ c_{01}, \alpha_4 (1 + U + p_1 W_1^2 + p_2 W_2^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1 > 0, W_2 > 0 \\ c_{01} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

dominates the BAE under a general scale invariant loss function provided $\alpha_4 < c_{01}$.

Example 2.2. (i) *For the quadratic loss function $L_1(t)$ we have $\alpha_3 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+2k-1}{2})}$, $\alpha_4 =$*

$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+2k}{2})}$. The improved estimators of σ_1^k can be written as follows

$$\delta_{1ST3}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+2k-1}{2})}, \alpha_3 (1 + U + p_1 W_1^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1 > 0 \\ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+2k-1}{2})} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_{1ST4}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+2k-1}{2})}, \alpha_4 (1 + U + p_1 W_1^2 + p_2 W_2^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1 > 0, W_2 > 0 \\ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+2k-1}{2})} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(ii) *Under the entropy loss function $L_2(t)$ we get $\alpha_3 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-1}{2})}$, $\alpha_4 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k}{2})}$. So we get the improved estimators as*

$$\delta_{1ST3}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}, \alpha_3 (1 + U + p_1 W_1^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1 > 0 \\ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_{1ST4}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}, \alpha_4 (1 + U + p_1 W_1^2 + p_2 W_2^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1 > 0, W_2 > 0 \\ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(iii) *For the symmetric loss function $L_3(t)$ we obtain $\alpha_3 = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2-k-1}{2})}{2^k\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-1}{2})}}$, $\alpha_4 = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2-k}{2})}{2^k\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k}{2})}}$.*

The improved estimators of σ_1^k is obtained as

$$\delta_{1ST3}^{(3)} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1-k-1}{2})}{2^k\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}}, \alpha_3 (1 + U + p_1 W_1^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1 > 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1-k-1}{2})}{2^k\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_{1ST4}^{(3)} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1-k-1}{2})}{2^k\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}}, \alpha_4 (1 + U + p_1 W_1^2 + p_2 W_2^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1 > 0, W_2 > 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1-k-1}{2})}{2^k\Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}} S_1^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

3. Improved estimation for σ_2^k

In this section we will propose several improved estimators of σ_2^k . To find an improved estimator we consider a class of estimators of the form

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \left\{ \delta_{\psi_1} = \psi_1(U^*) S_2^{\frac{k}{2}} : U^* = S_1 S_2^{-1} \text{ and } \psi_1(\cdot) \text{ is positive measurable function.} \right\} \quad (3.1)$$

Now we analyse the risk function $R_2(\theta, \delta_{\psi_1}) = E^{U^*} \left[E \left\{ L \left(V_2^{k/2} \psi_1(U^*) \right) \mid U^* = u^* \right\} \right]$ for $k > 0$. The inner conditional risk can be written as $R_2(\theta, d) = E \left\{ L \left(V_2^{k/2} d \right) \mid U^* = u^* \right\}$, where $V_2 \mid U^* = u^* \sim \text{Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{2}(p_1 + p_2 - 2), \frac{1}{2}(1 + u^* \eta^{-2}) \right)$ distribution, with $\eta = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}$. Using MLR property of Gamma distribution we have

$$E_\eta \left(L' \left(V_2^{k/2} d_1(u^*) \right) V_2^{k/2} \mid U^* = u^* \right) \leq E_1 \left(L' \left(V_2^{k/2} d_1(u^*) \right) V_2^{k/2} \mid U^* = u^* \right) = 0$$

Again we have $E_\eta \left(L' \left(V_2^{k/2} d_\eta(u^*) \right) V_2^{k/2} \mid U^* = u^* \right) = 0$. Consequently we get $d_\eta(u^*) \geq d_1(u^*)$, where $d_1(u^*)$ is the unique solution of $E_1 \left(L' \left(V_2^{k/2} d_1(u^*) \right) V_2^{k/2} \mid U^* = u^* \right) = 0$. By the transformation $y_1 = v_2(1 + u^*)$ we have $E \left(L' \left(Y_1^{k/2} d_1(u^*) (1 + u^*)^{-k/2} \right) \right) = 0$. Using (3.2), we obtain $d_1(u^*) = \beta_1(1 + u^*)^{\frac{k}{2}}$. Consider $\psi_1^*(u^*) = \max\{\psi_1(u^*), d_1(u^*)\}$, then for $P(\psi_1(U^*) > d_1(U^*)) \neq 0$ we get $d_\eta(u^*) \geq d_1(u^*) = \psi_1^*(u^*) > \psi_1(u^*)$ on a set of positive probability. Hence we get $R_2(\theta, \psi_1^*) > R_2(\theta, \psi)$ for all θ . So we get the result as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $k > 0$. Let β_1 be a solution of the equation*

$$EL' \left(Y_1^{k/2} \beta_1 \right) = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

where $Y_1 \sim \chi_{p_1+p_2+k-2}^2$. Consider $\psi_1^*(U^*) = \max\{\psi(U^*), d_1(U^*)\}$, then the risk function of the estimator $\delta_{\psi_1^*(U^*)}$ is nowhere larger than the estimator δ_{ψ_1} provided $P(\psi_1(U^*) > d_1(U^*)) \neq 0$ holds true.

In the following corollary we propose an estimator which improve upon the BAEE.

Corollary 3.2. *The risk function of the estimator $\delta_{2ST1} = \max\{c_{02}, \beta_1(1 + U^*)^{k/2}\} S_2^{k/2}$ is nowhere larger than the estimator δ_{02} provided $\beta_1 < c_{02}$.*

Remark 3.1. *For the case $k < 0$ the risk function of the estimator $\delta_{2ST1} = \max\{c_{02}, \beta_1(1 + U^*)^{k/2}\} S_2^{k/2}$ is nowhere larger than the estimator δ_{02} provided $\beta_1 > c_{02}$.*

Next we consider a class of estimators based on both \underline{X} and \underline{S}

$$\mathcal{D}_2 = \left\{ \delta_{\psi_2} = \psi_2(U^*, U_1^*) S_2^{\frac{k}{2}} : U^* = S_1 S_2^{-1}, U_1^* = (X_1 - X_2) S_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\}$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let k be a positive real number and α_2 be a solution of the equation*

$$EL' \left(Y_2^{k/2} \beta_2 \right) = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

where $Y_2 \sim \chi_{p_1+p_2+k-1}^2$. Then the risk function of the estimator

$$\delta_{2ST2} = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ \psi_2(U^*, U_1^*), \beta_2 (1 + U^* + U_1^{*2} (1/p_1 + 1/p_2)^{-1})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & U_1^* > 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is nowhere larger than the estimator δ_{ψ_2} provided $P(d_{1,0}(U^*, U_1^*) < \psi_2(U^*, U_1^*)) > 0$ under a general scale invariant loss function $L(t)$.

Proof: Proof of this Theorem is similar to Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 3.4. *The estimator*

$$\delta_{2ST2} = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_2 (1 + U^* + U_1^{*2} (1/p_1 + 1/p_2)^{-1})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & U_1^* > 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

dominates δ_{02} under a general scale invariant loss function provided $\beta_2 < b_{1,0}$.

Remark 3.2. *The above results we have obtained with the order restriction $\mu_1 < \mu_2$. For the restriction $\mu_1 > \mu_2$ we get the improved estimator as*

$$\delta_{2ST2} = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_2 (1 + U^* + U_1^{*2} (1/p_1 + 1/p_2)^{-1})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & U_1^* < 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Example 3.1. (i) Under the quadratic loss function $L_1(t)$, We obtain $\beta_1 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+2k-2}{2})}$,

$\beta_2 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+2k-1}{2})}$. The improved estimators are obtained as

$$\delta_{2ST1} = \max \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_2+k-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{p_2+2k-1}{2})}, \beta_1 (1 + U^*)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}$$

$$\delta_{2ST2} = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_2+k-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{p_2+2k-1}{2})}, \beta_2 (1 + U^* + U_1^{*2} (1/p_1 + 1/p_2)^{-1})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & U_1^* > 0 \\ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_2+k-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{p_2+2k-1}{2})} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(ii) For the entropy loss function $L_2(t) = t - \ln t - 1$, we found that $\beta_1 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2-2}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2})}$,

$\beta_2 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-1}{2})}$ and the improved estimators are as follows.

$$\delta_{2ST1} = \max \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_2-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{p_2+k-1}{2})}, \beta_1 (1 + U^*)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}$$

$$\delta_{2ST2} = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_2-1}{2}\right)}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{p_2+k-1}{2}\right)}, \beta_2 (1 + U^* + U_1^{*2} (1/p_1 + 1/p_2)^{-1})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & U_1^* > 0 \\ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_2-1}{2}\right)}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{p_2+k-1}{2}\right)} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(iii) Symmetric loss function $L_3(t) = t + \frac{1}{t} - 2$. We have $\beta_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+p_2-k-2}{2}\right)}{2^k\Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2}\right)}}$, $\beta_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+p_2-k-1}{2}\right)}{2^k\Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-1}{2}\right)}}$ and the improve estimators are as follows

$$\delta_{2ST1} = \max \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_2-k-1}{2}\right)}{2^k\Gamma\left(\frac{p_2+k-1}{2}\right)}}, \beta_1 (1 + U^*)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}$$

$$\delta_{2ST2} = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_2-k-1}{2}\right)}{2^k\Gamma\left(\frac{p_2+k-1}{2}\right)}}, \beta_2 (1 + U^* + U_1^{*2} (1/p_1 + 1/p_2)^{-1})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & U_1^* > 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_2-k-1}{2}\right)}{2^k\Gamma\left(\frac{p_2+k-1}{2}\right)}} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In the next theorem we will propose a class of improved estimators which dominate δ_{02} .

Theorem 3.5. Let the function ψ_1 satisfies the following conditions.

(i) $\psi_1(l)$ is increasing function in l and $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \psi_1(l) = b_{01}$.

(ii) $\int_0^\infty \int_{v_2z}^\infty L'(\psi_1(z)v_2^{k/2})v_2^{\frac{k}{2}}h_1(y)h_2(v_2)dydv_2 \geq 0$.

where $h_i \sim \chi_{p_i-1}^2$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then the risk of δ_{ψ_1} in (3.1) is uniformly smaller than the estimator δ_{02} under $L(t)$.

Proof: Proof of this theorem can be done following the argument of Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 3.6 of [24].

In the following, we have obtained improved estimators for σ_2^k under three special loss functions by applying Theorem 3.5.

Corollary 3.6. Let us assume that the function $\psi_1(z)$ fulfils the subsequent criteria:

(i) $\psi_1(z)$ is increasing function in z and $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \psi_1(z) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_2+k-1}{2}\right)}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{p_2+2k-1}{2}\right)}$.

(ii) $\psi_1(z) \leq \psi_{BZ}^{(1)}(z)$

where

$$\psi_{BZ}^{(1)}(z) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2}\right) \int_z^\infty \frac{q^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}}}{(1+q)^{\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2}}} dq}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+p_2+2k-2}{2}\right) \int_z^\infty \frac{q^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}}}{(1+q)^{\frac{p_1+p_2+2k-2}{2}}} dq}$$

Under the scale-invariant quadratic loss function L_1 , the risk of the estimator δ_{ψ_1} provided by (3.1) is nowhere greater than that of $\delta_{02}^{(1)}$.

Corollary 3.7. *Let us assume that the function $\psi_1(z)$ fulfills the subsequent criteria:*

(i) $\psi_1(z)$ is increasing function in z and $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \psi(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_2-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{p_2+k-1}{2})}$.

(ii) $\psi_1(z) \leq \psi_{BZ}^{(2)}(z)$

where

$$\psi_{BZ}^{(2)}(z) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+p_2-2}{2}\right) \int_z^\infty \frac{q^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}}}{(1+q)^{\frac{p_1+p_2-2}{2}}} dq}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2}\right) \int_z^\infty \frac{q^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}}}{(1+q)^{\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2}}} dq}$$

Under the scale-invariant quadratic loss function L_2 , the risk of the estimator δ_{ψ_1} provided by 3.1 is nowhere greater than that of $\delta_{02}^{(2)}$.

Corollary 3.8. *Let us assume that the function $\psi_1(z)$ fulfills the subsequent criteria:*

(i) $\psi_1(z)$ is increasing function in z and $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \psi_1(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_2-k-1}{2})}{2^k \Gamma(\frac{p_2+k-1}{2})}}$.

(ii) $\psi_1(z) \leq \psi_{BZ}^{(3)}(z)$

where

$$\psi_{BZ}^{(3)}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+p_2-k-2}{2}\right) \int_z^\infty \frac{q^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}}}{(1+q)^{\frac{p_1+p_2-k-2}{2}}} dq}{2^k \Gamma\left(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2}\right) \int_z^\infty \frac{q^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}}}{(1+q)^{\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2}}} dq}}$$

Under the scale-invariant quadratic loss function L_3 , the risk of the estimator δ_{ψ_1} provided by 3.1 is nowhere greater than that of $\delta_{02}^{(3)}$.

Remark 3.3. *In the above corollaries we have obtained call of improved estimators for L_1 , L_2 and L_3 . The boundary estimators of this class are obtained as $\delta_{\psi_{BZ}}^{(1)} = \delta_{\psi_{BZ}}^{(1)}$, $\delta_{\psi_{BZ}}^{(2)} = \delta_{\psi_{BZ}}^{(2)}$ and $\delta_{\psi_{BZ}}^{(3)} = \delta_{\psi_{BZ}}^{(3)}$. These estimators are [6] type estimators.*

3.1. Generalized Bayes estimator

Here we find the generalized Bayes estimator for σ_2^k and we have proved that the [6] type estimator is a Generalized Bayes estimator. Consider the prior distribution as

$$\pi(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \mu_1, \mu_2) = \frac{1}{\sigma_1^4 \sigma_2^4}, \quad 0 < \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2, \quad \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

and the corresponding posterior distribution is

$$\pi(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 | \underline{X}, \underline{S}) \propto \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^4 \sigma_2^4} e^{-\frac{s_1}{2\sigma_1^2} - \frac{s_2}{2\sigma_2^2}} \left(\frac{s_1}{\sigma_1^2}\right)^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}} \left(\frac{s_2}{\sigma_2^2}\right)^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}} e^{-\frac{p_1(x_1-\mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2} - \frac{p_2(x_2-\mu_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}} \frac{\sqrt{p_1 p_2}}{2\pi \sigma_1 \sigma_2}}{\int_0^\infty \int_{\sigma_1}^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma_1^4 \sigma_2^4} e^{-\frac{s_1}{2\sigma_1^2} - \frac{s_2}{2\sigma_2^2}} \left(\frac{s_1}{\sigma_1^2}\right)^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}} \left(\frac{s_2}{\sigma_2^2}\right)^{\frac{p_2-3}{2}} d\sigma_1^2 d\sigma_2^2}$$

For the quadratic loss function $L_1(t)$ the generalized Bayes estimator of σ_2^k is obtain as

$$\delta_{B2}^{(1)} = \frac{\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma_1^k} \pi(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 | x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2) d\sigma_1^2 d\sigma_2^2 d\mu_1 d\mu_2}{\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma_1^{2k}} \pi(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 | x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2) d\sigma_1^2 d\sigma_2^2 d\mu_1 d\mu_2}$$

After performing some calculations by taking the transformation $v_2 = \frac{s_2}{\sigma_2^2}$, $t_2 = \frac{s_1}{s_2} \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2}$, we obtain the expression of generalized Bayes estimator of σ_2^k is

$$\delta_{B2}^{(1)} = s_2^{\frac{k}{2}} \frac{\int_0^\infty \int_{\frac{s_1}{s_2}}^\infty e^{-\frac{v_2}{2}(1+t_2)} v_2^{\frac{p_1+p_2+k-4}{2}} t_2^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}} dt_2 dv_2}{\int_0^\infty \int_{\frac{s_1}{s_2}}^\infty e^{-\frac{v_2}{2}(1+t_2)} v_2^{\frac{p_1+p_2+2k-4}{2}} t_2^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}} dt_2 dv_2}$$

which is $\delta_{\psi_{BZ}^{(1)}}(h_2)$, $h_2 = \frac{s_1}{s_2}$. By using the similar argument as for $L_2(t)$ we get the generalized Bayes for L_2 loss

$$\delta_{B2}^{(2)} = s_2^{\frac{k}{2}} \frac{\int_0^\infty \int_{\frac{s_1}{s_2}}^\infty e^{-\frac{v_2}{2}(1+t_2)} v_2^{\frac{p_1+p_2-4}{2}} t_2^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}} dt_2 dv_2}{\int_0^\infty \int_{\frac{s_1}{s_2}}^\infty e^{-\frac{v_1}{2}(1+t_2)} v_2^{\frac{p_1+p_2+k-4}{2}} t_2^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}} dt_2 dv_2}$$

which is $\delta_{\psi_{BZ}^{(2)}}(h_2)$, $h_2 = \frac{s_1}{s_2}$. For the symmetric loss L_3 we obtain the generalized Bayes estimator as

$$\delta_{B2}^{(3)} = s_2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\int_0^\infty \int_{\frac{s_1}{s_2}}^\infty e^{-\frac{v_2}{2}(1+t_2)} v_2^{\frac{p_1+p_2-k-4}{2}} t_2^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}} dt_2 dv_2}{\int_0^\infty \int_{\frac{s_1}{s_2}}^\infty e^{-\frac{v_2}{2}(1+t_2)} v_2^{\frac{p_1+p_2+k-4}{2}} t_2^{\frac{p_1-3}{2}} dt_2 dv_2}}$$

which is $\delta_{\psi_{BZ}^{(3)}}(h_2)$, $h_2 = \frac{s_1}{s_2}$.

3.2. Improved estimation when $\mu_1 \geq 0, \mu_2 \geq 0$

In the previous subsection we have obtained improved estimation of σ_2^k when $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2$. Here we consider $\mu_1 > 0, \mu_2 > 0$, we will give more estimators that will be dominates the BAEE. Consider a class of estimators, which is of the form

$$\left\{ \delta_{\varphi_1} = \varphi_1(U^*, W_1^*) S_2^{\frac{k}{2}} : U^* = \frac{S_1}{S_2}, W_1^* = \frac{X_1}{\sqrt{S_2}}, \varphi_1(\cdot) \text{ is a positive measurable function} \right\}$$

Theorem 3.9. Let $Y_3 \sim \chi_{p_1+p_2+k-1}^2$ and β_3 be a solution of the equation

$$EL' \left(Y_3^{k/2} \beta_3 \right) = 0$$

Then the risk function of the estimator

$$\delta_{2ST3} = \begin{cases} \max \{ \varphi_1(U^*, W_1^*), d_{1,0}(U^*, W_1^*) \} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1^* < 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is nowhere larger than the estimator δ_{φ_2} provided $P(d_{1,0}(U^*, W_1^*) > \varphi_1(U^*, W_1^*)) > 0$ under a

general scale invariant loss function $L(t)$, where $d_{1,0}(U^*, W_1^*) = \beta_3 (1 + U^* + p_1 W_1^{*2})^{k/2}$.

Proof: Proof is similar to Theorem 3.11. We will prove Theorem 3.11.

Corollary 3.10. *The estimator*

$$\delta_{2ST3} = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_3 (1 + U^* + p_1 W_1^{*2})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1^* < 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

dominates the BAE under a general scale invariant loss function provided $\beta_3 \geq c_{02}$.

Again we consider another class of estimators of the form

$$\left\{ \delta_{\varphi_2} = \varphi_2(U^*, W_1^*, W_2^*) S_2^{\frac{k}{2}} : W_1^* = \frac{X_1}{\sqrt{S_2}}, W_2^* = \frac{X_2}{\sqrt{S_2}}, \varphi_2(\cdot) \text{ is a positive measurable function} \right\}$$

Theorem 3.11. *Let $Y_4 \sim \chi_{p_1+p_2+k}^2$ and β_4 be a solution of the equation*

$$EL' \left(Y_4^{k/2} \beta_4 \right) = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

Then the estimator

$$\delta_{2ST4} = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ \varphi_2(U^*, W_1^*, W_2^*), d_{1,0,0}(U^*, W_1^*, W_2^*) \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1^* < 0, W_2^* < 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

dominates δ_{φ_2} provided $P(d_{1,0,0}(U^*, W_1^*, W_2^*) > \varphi_2(U^*, W_1^*, W_2^*)) > 0$ under a general scale invariant loss function $L(t)$, where $d_{1,0,0}(U^*, W_1^*, W_2^*) = \beta_4 (1 + U^* + p_1 W_1^{*2} + p_2 W_2^{*2})^{k/2}$.

Corollary 3.12. *The estimator*

$$\delta_{2ST4} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_4 (1 + U^* + p_1 W_1^{*2} + p_2 W_2^{*2})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1^* < 0, W_2^* < 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

dominates the BAE under a general scale invariant loss function provided $\beta_4 \geq c_{02}$.

Example 3.2. (i) For the quadratic loss function $L_1(t)$ we have $\beta_3 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+2k-1}{2})}$, $\beta_4 =$

$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+2k}{2})}$. The improved estimators of σ_2^k can be written as follows

$$\delta_{2ST3}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_3 (1 + U^* + p_1 W_1^{*2})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1^* < 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_{2ST4}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_4 (1 + U^* + p_1 W_1^{*2} + p_2 W_2^{*2})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1^* < 0, W_2^* < 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(ii) Under the entropy loss function $L_2(t)$ we get $\beta_3 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-1}{2})}$, $\beta_4 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k}{2})}$. So we get the improved estimators as

$$\delta_{2ST3}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_3 (1 + U^* + p_1 W_1^{*2})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1^* < 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_{2ST4}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_4 (1 + U^* + p_1 W_1^{*2} + p_2 W_2^{*2})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1^* < 0, W_2^* < 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(iii) For the symmetric loss function $L_3(t)$ we obtain $\beta_3 = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2-k-1}{2})}{2^k \Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-1}{2})}}$, $\beta_4 = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2-k}{2})}{2^k \Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k}{2})}}$. The improved estimators of σ_2^k is obtained as

$$\delta_{2ST3}^{(3)} = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_3 (1 + U^* + p_1 W_1^{*2})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1^* < 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1-k-1}{2})}{2^k \Gamma(\frac{p_1+k-1}{2})}} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_{2ST4}^{(3)} = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_4 (1 + U^* + p_1 W_1^{*2} + p_2 W_2^{*2})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_1^* < 0, W_2^* < 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Consider a class of estimators, which is of the form

$$\left\{ \delta_{\varphi_3} = \varphi_3(W_2^*) S_2^{\frac{k}{2}} : \varphi_3(\cdot) \text{ is a positive measurable function} \right\}$$

Theorem 3.13. Let $Y_5 \sim \chi_{p_2+k}^2$ and β_5 be a solution of the equation

$$EL' \left(Y_5^{k/2} \beta_5 \right) = 0$$

Then the risk function of the estimator

$$\delta_{2ST5} = \begin{cases} \max \{ \varphi_3(W_2^*), d_0(W_2^*) \} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_2^* > 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is nowhere larger than the estimator δ_{ϕ_2} provided $P(d_0(W_2^*) < \varphi_3(W_2^*)) > 0$ under a general scale invariant loss function $L(t)$, where $d_0(W_2^*) = \beta_5 (1 + p_2 W_2^{*2})^{k/2}$.

Proof: One can prove this theorem by using the similar argument used in the proof of the Theorem 3.11.

Corollary 3.14. The estimator

$$\delta_{2ST5} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_5 (1 + p_2 W_2^{*2})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_2^* > 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

dominates the BAEF under a general scale invariant loss function provided $\beta_5 \leq c_{02}$.

Remark 3.4. The estimator

$$\delta_{2ST5} = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_5 (1 + p_2 W_2^{*2})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_2^* < 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

dominates the BAEF under a general scale invariant loss function provided $\beta_5 \geq c_{02}$.

Example 3.3. (i) For the quadratic loss function $L_1(t)$ we have $\beta_5 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_2+k}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{p_2+2k}{2})}$. The improved estimators of σ_2^k can be written as follows

$$\delta_{2ST5}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_5 (1 + p_2 W_2^{*2})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_2^* > 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(ii) Under the entropy loss function $L_2(t)$ we get $\beta_5 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_2}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{p_2+k}{2})}$. So we get the improved estimators as

$$\delta_{2ST5}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_5 (1 + p_2 W_2^{*2})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_2^* > 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(iii) For the symmetric loss function $L_3(t)$ we obtain $\beta_5 = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_2-k}{2})}{2^k \Gamma(\frac{p_2+k}{2})}}$. The improved estimators of σ_2^k is obtained as

$$\delta_{2ST5}^{(3)} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_5 (1 + p_2 W_2^{*2})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_2^* > 0 \\ c_{02} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

3.3. Double shrinkage improved estimators

In this section we will propose a double shrinkage estimator similar to [10]. We will find the double shrinkage estimator by jointly using the aforementioned improved estimators. Consider the following estimators δ_{2ST1} , δ_{2ST5} which is derived in the corollary 3.2 and 3.14 respectively. Let $\psi_1(u^*)$, $\varphi_3(w_2^*)$ and $L(t)$ are almost every where differentiable along with $\psi_1(u^*)$ is non-increasing and $\varphi_3(w_2^*)$ is non-decreasing. Also satisfies the conditions $\lim_{u^* \rightarrow \infty} \psi_1(u^*) = \lim_{w_2^* \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_3(w_2^*) = c_{02}$.

Then we have the following results

Theorem 3.15. The estimators $\delta_{DS1} = \{\psi_1(U^*) + \varphi(W_2^*) - c_{02}\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}$ dominates both δ_{2ST1} and δ_{2ST5} provided $L'(t)$ is non decreasing.

Proof: The theorem can be proof by using the similar argument as used in [10].

Example 3.4. (i) For the quadratic loss function $L_1(t)$ we have $\beta_1 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+2k-2}{2})}$, $\beta_5 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_2+k}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_2+2k}{2})}$. The improved double shrinkage estimators of σ_2^k can be written as follows

$$\delta_{DS1}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} \left[\max \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_1 (1 + U^*)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} + \min \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_5 (1 + p_2 W_2^{*2})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} - c_{02} \right] S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_2^* > 0 \\ \max \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_1 (1 + U^*)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(ii) Under the entropy loss function $L_2(t)$ we get $\beta_1 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2-2}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2})}$, $\beta_5 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_2}{2})}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p_2+k}{2})}$. So we get the improved double shrinkage estimators as

$$\delta_{DS1}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} \left[\max \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_1 (1 + U^*)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} + \min \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_5 (1 + p_2 W_2^{*2})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} - c_{02} \right] S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_2^* > 0 \\ \max \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_1 (1 + U^*)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(iii) For the symmetric loss function $L_3(t)$ we obtain $\beta_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2-k-2}{2})}{2^k\Gamma(\frac{p_1+p_2+k-2}{2})}}$, $\beta_5 = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_2-k}{2})}{2^k\Gamma(\frac{p_2+k}{2})}}$. The improved double shrinkage estimators of σ_2^k is obtained as

$$\delta_{DS1}^{(3)} = \begin{cases} \left[\max \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_1 (1 + U^*)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} + \min \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_5 (1 + p_2 W_2^{*2})^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} - c_{02} \right] S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & W_2^* > 0 \\ \max \left\{ c_{02}, \beta_1 (1 + U^*)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\} S_2^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

4. A simulation study

In this section, we will compare the risk performance of the improved estimators proposed in the previous sections with the respect to various scale invariant loss function. For this purpose we have generated 60000 random samples from two normal populations $N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ and $N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ for various values of (μ_1, μ_2) and (σ_1, σ_2) . It is observed that the risk of estimators depend on the parameter σ_1 and σ_2 through $\eta = \sigma_1/\sigma_2$. The performance measure of the improved estimators has been studied by relative risk improvement (RRI) with respect to BAEE. The relative risk improvement of the estimators δ with the respect to δ_0 is defined as

$$\text{RRI}(\delta) = \frac{\text{Risk}(\delta_0) - \text{Risk}(\delta)}{\text{Risk}(\delta_0)} \times 100$$

In the simulation study we have consider the case $k = 2$. We have plotted the RRI of the improved estimator of σ_1^2 in Figure 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6. From the figure 1 and 4 (quadratic loss) we have the following observations.

- (i) The RRI of $\delta_{1ST1}^{(1)}$ and $\delta_{1ST2}^{(1)}$ are increasing functions of η . The improvement region of $\delta_{1ST1}^{(1)}$ larger than $\delta_{1ST2}^{(1)}$ for all values of η .
- (ii) The risk performance $\delta_{1ST1}^{(1)}$ and $\delta_{1ST2}^{(1)}$ is better when (μ_1, μ_2) is closed to $(0, 0)$.
- (iii) The RRI of $\delta_{\phi_{BZ}}^{(1)}$ increases and then decreases as a function η . However, $\delta_{\phi_{BZ}}^{(1)}$ achieve the highest risk improvement region compared to $\delta_{1ST1}^{(1)}$ and $\delta_{1ST2}^{(1)}$ for all values of η . When η

lies between 0.6 to 0.9, $\delta_{1ST1}^{(1)}$ outperforms $\delta_{\phi_{BZ}^{(1)}}^{(1)}$ and $\delta_{1ST2}^{(1)}$.


- (iv) The risk performance of $\delta_{\phi_{BZ}^{(1)}}^{(1)}$ is better than $\delta_{1ST1}^{(1)}$ and $\delta_{1ST2}^{(1)}$ in the region $0.1 \leq \eta \leq 0.73$ (approximately).
- (v) The estimator $\delta_{\phi_{BZ}^{(1)}}^{(1)}$ under performed when $\eta \geq 0.73$ (approximately). In this region the performance of $\delta_{1ST1}^{(1)}$ is better the other estimators.
- (vi) When the sample sizes p_1 and p_2 increases the region of improvement decreases. For $p_1 \leq p_2$, the performance of the estimators is significantly better compared to the case when $p_1 \geq p_2$.
- (vii) The RRI $\delta_{1ST3}^{(1)}$ and $\delta_{1ST4}^{(1)}$ are increasing function of η . The region of improvement in these estimators becomes smaller when the sample size increases. The risk performance $\delta_{1ST3}^{(1)}$ is better than $\delta_{1ST4}^{(1)}$.

We can observe similar behavior in the simulation results for the entropy loss function $L_2(t)$ and the symmetric loss function $L_3(t)$.


We have plotted the RRI of the improved estimators of σ_2^2 in Figure 7, 8, 9 under $L_1(t)$ $L_2(t)$ and $L_3(t)$ respectively. From Figure 7 (Quadratic loss function $L_1(t)$), we have the following observations.

- (i) The relative risk improvements of $\delta_{DS1}^{(1)}$, $\delta_{2ST1}^{(1)}$ and $\delta_{2ST2}^{(1)}$ are increasing function η . The improvement region of $\delta_{DS1}^{(1)}$ larger than $\delta_{2ST1}^{(1)}$ and $\delta_{2ST2}^{(1)}$.
- (ii) The risk improvement of $\delta_{2ST1}^{(1)}$ always more than $\delta_{DS1}^{(1)}$. The difference of RRI between $\delta_{2ST1}^{(1)}$ and $\delta_{DS1}^{(1)}$ negligible when (μ_1, μ_2) are close to $(0, 0)$. The RRI becomes equal when μ_1 , and μ_2 is away from 0.
- (iii) The RRI of $\delta_{\psi_{BZ}^{(1)}}^{(1)}$ increase when η lies between 0.1 to 0.8 (approximately) and decreases when $\eta \geq 0.8$ However, it achieve highest risk improvement region compared to the estimators $\delta_{2ST1}^{(1)}$, $\delta_{2ST2}^{(1)}$, $\delta_{DS1}^{(1)}$. When η lies between 0.8 to 0.9, $\delta_{DS1}^{(1)}$ outperforms $\delta_{\psi_{BZ}^{(1)}}^{(1)}$.
- (iv) For (μ_1, μ_2) is close enough to $(0, 0)$ then risk performance of $\delta_{\psi_{BZ}^{(1)}}^{(1)}$ is significantly better than other estimators in the domain $0.1 \leq \eta \leq 0.8$ (approximately). In the domain $0.8 \leq \eta \leq 0.9$ risk improvement region of $\delta_{DS1}^{(1)}$ is greater than all other estimators.
- (v) The region of improvement $\delta_{2ST1}^{(1)}$ and $\delta_{2ST2}^{(1)}$ is more when the value of the parameter (μ_1, μ_2) is closed enough to $(0, 0)$ and region of improvement become smaller when (μ_1, μ_2) is away from $(0, 0)$.
- (vi) As the sample size increases, the improvement region decreases for all the estimators.
- (vii) When the value of sample size (p_1, p_2) is fixed but the value of μ_1 and μ_2 increases then the risk improvement region of $\delta_{DS1}^{(1)}$ decreases but for the other estimators it is negligible. When $p_1 \leq p_2$, the performance of the estimators is significantly better compared to the case when $p_1 \geq p_2$.


We have a similar type observations for the entropy loss function $L_2(t)$ and the symmetric loss function $L_3(t)$. In conclusion, overall performance of $\delta_{\phi_{BZ}^{(1)}}^{(1)}$, $\delta_{\phi_{BZ}^{(2)}}^{(2)}$ and $\delta_{\phi_{BZ}^{(3)}}^{(3)}$ are better than the other estimators. So we recommend this estimator for the users in real life application.




(a) $(p_1, p_2) = (4, 7), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (-0.5, -0.3)$




(b) $(p_1, p_2) = (5, 8), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (-0.2, -0.1)$




(c) $(p_1, p_2) = (6, 6), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (-0.1, 0)$




(d) $(p_1, p_2) = (7, 11), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0, 0)$




(e) $(p_1, p_2) = (5, 5), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.2, 0.4)$




(f) $(p_1, p_2) = (5, 5), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (1, 1.5)$




(g) $(p_1, p_2) = (8, 8), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (1.6, 2)$




(h) $(p_1, p_2) = (10, 10), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (1.6, 2)$




(i) $(p_1, p_2) = (13, 9), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (2.2, 2.8)$



(j) $(p_1, p_2) = (12, 17), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (2.6, 3)$




(k) $(p_1, p_2) = (14, 18), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (2.7, 3.1)$




(l) $(p_1, p_2) = (17, 13), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (3.2, 3.5)$


Figure 1: RRI of different estimators for σ_1^2 under $L_1(t)$




(a) $(p_1, p_2) = (4, 7), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (-0.5, -0.3)$




(b) $(p_1, p_2) = (5, 8), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (-0.2, -0.1)$




(c) $(p_1, p_2) = (6, 6), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (-0.1, 0)$




(d) $(p_1, p_2) = (7, 11), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0, 0)$




(e) $(p_1, p_2) = (5, 5), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.2, 0.4)$




(f) $(p_1, p_2) = (5, 5), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (1, 1.5)$




(g) $(p_1, p_2) = (8, 8), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (1.6, 2)$




(h) $(p_1, p_2) = (10, 10), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (1.6, 2)$




(i) $(p_1, p_2) = (13, 9), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (2.2, 2.8)$



(j) $(p_1, p_2) = (12, 17), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (2.6, 3)$




(k) $(p_1, p_2) = (14, 18), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (2.7, 3.1)$




(l) $(p_1, p_2) = (17, 13), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (3.2, 3.5)$


Figure 2: RRI of different estimators for σ_1^2 under $L_2(t)$




(a) $(p_1, p_2) = (4, 7), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (-0.5, -0.3)$




(b) $(p_1, p_2) = (5, 8), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (-0.2, -0.1)$




(c) $(p_1, p_2) = (6, 6), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (-0.1, 0)$




(d) $(p_1, p_2) = (7, 11), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0, 0)$




(e) $(p_1, p_2) = (5, 5), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.2, 0.4)$




(f) $(p_1, p_2) = (5, 5), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (1, 1.5)$




(g) $(p_1, p_2) = (8, 8), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (1.6, 2)$




(h) $(p_1, p_2) = (10, 10), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (1.6, 2)$




(i) $(p_1, p_2) = (13, 9), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (2.2, 2.8)$



(j) $(p_1, p_2) = (12, 17), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (2.6, 3)$




(k) $(p_1, p_2) = (14, 18), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (2.7, 3.1)$




(l) $(p_1, p_2) = (17, 13), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (3.2, 3.5)$


Figure 3: RRI of different estimators for σ_1^2 under $L_3(t)$




(a) $(p_1, p_2) = (4, 7), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0, 0)$




(b) $(p_1, p_2) = (5, 5), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.1, 0.2)$




(c) $(p_1, p_2) = (8, 8), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.1, 0.2)$




(d) $(p_1, p_2) = (9, 11), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.2, 0.3)$




(e) $(p_1, p_2) = (9, 11), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.4, 0.4)$




(f) $(p_1, p_2) = (15, 13), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.4, 0.5)$



(g) $(p_1, p_2) = (13, 15), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.4, 0.5)$




(h) $(p_1, p_2) = (13, 15), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.3, 0.5)$




(i) $(p_1, p_2) = (13, 15), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.5, 0.5)$


Figure 4: RRI of different estimators for σ_1^2 with $\mu_1 \geq 0$ and $\mu_2 \geq 0$ under $L_1(t)$




(a) $(p_1, p_2) = (4, 7), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0, 0)$




(b) $(p_1, p_2) = (5, 5), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.1, 0.2)$




(c) $(p_1, p_2) = (8, 8), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.1, 0.2)$




(d) $(p_1, p_2) = (9, 11), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.2, 0.3)$




(e) $(p_1, p_2) = (9, 11), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.4, 0.4)$




(f) $(p_1, p_2) = (15, 13), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.4, 0.5)$



(g) $(p_1, p_2) = (13, 15), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.4, 0.5)$




(h) $(p_1, p_2) = (13, 15), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.3, 0.5)$




(i) $(p_1, p_2) = (13, 15), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.5, 0.5)$


Figure 5: RRI of different estimators for σ_1^2 with $\mu_1 \geq 0$ and $\mu_2 \geq 0$ under $L_2(t)$




(a) $(p_1, p_2) = (4, 7), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0, 0)$




(b) $(p_1, p_2) = (5, 5), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.1, 0.2)$




(c) $(p_1, p_2) = (8, 8), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.1, 0.2)$




(d) $(p_1, p_2) = (9, 11), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.2, 0.3)$




(e) $(p_1, p_2) = (9, 11), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.4, 0.4)$




(f) $(p_1, p_2) = (15, 13), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.4, 0.5)$



(g) $(p_1, p_2) = (13, 15), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.4, 0.5)$




(h) $(p_1, p_2) = (13, 15), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.3, 0.5)$




(i) $(p_1, p_2) = (13, 15), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.5, 0.5)$


Figure 6: RRI of different estimators for σ_1^2 with $\mu_1 \geq 0$ and $\mu_2 \geq 0$ under $L_3(t)$




(a) $(p_1, p_2) = (4, 7), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0, 0)$




(b) $(p_1, p_2) = (5, 9), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0, 0.2)$




(c) $(p_1, p_2) = (8, 8), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.1, 0.3)$




(d) $(p_1, p_2) = (10, 10), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.1, 0.3)$




(e) $(p_1, p_2) = (9, 12), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.3, 0.4)$




(f) $(p_1, p_2) = (9, 12), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.4, 0.5)$



(g) $(p_1, p_2) = (14, 16), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.6, 0.6)$




(h) $(p_1, p_2) = (15, 18), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.6, 0.7)$




(i) $(p_1, p_2) = (17, 19), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.7, 0.9)$


Figure 7: RRI of different estimators for σ_2^2 under $L_1(t)$




(a) $(p_1, p_2) = (4, 7), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0, 0)$




(b) $(p_1, p_2) = (5, 9), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0, 0.2)$




(c) $(p_1, p_2) = (8, 8), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.1, 0.3)$




(d) $(p_1, p_2) = (10, 10), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.1, 0.3)$




(e) $(p_1, p_2) = (9, 12), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.3, 0.4)$




(f) $(p_1, p_2) = (9, 12), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.4, 0.5)$



(g) $(p_1, p_2) = (14, 16), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.6, 0.6)$




(h) $(p_1, p_2) = (15, 18), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.6, 0.7)$




(i) $(p_1, p_2) = (17, 19), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.7, 0.9)$


Figure 8: RRI of different estimators for σ_2^2 under $L_2(t)$




(a) $(p_1, p_2) = (4, 7), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0, 0)$




(b) $(p_1, p_2) = (5, 9), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0, 0.2)$




(c) $(p_1, p_2) = (8, 8), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.1, 0.3)$




(d) $(p_1, p_2) = (10, 10), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.1, 0.3)$




(e) $(p_1, p_2) = (9, 12), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.3, 0.4)$




(f) $(p_1, p_2) = (9, 12), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.4, 0.5)$



(g) $(p_1, p_2) = (14, 16), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.6, 0.6)$



(h) $(p_1, p_2) = (15, 18), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.6, 0.7)$



(i) $(p_1, p_2) = (17, 19), (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0.7, 0.9)$

Figure 9: RRI of different estimators for σ_2^2 under $L_3(t)$

References

- [1] Barlow, R. E., Bartholomew, D. J., Bremner, J. M. and Brunk, H. D. [1972]. *Statistical inference under order restrictions. The theory and application of isotonic regression*, John Wiley & Sons.
- [2] Bobotas, P. [2019a]. Estimation of the smallest scale parameter of two-parameter exponential distributions, *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*. **48**(11), 2748–2765.
- [3] Bobotas, P. [2019b]. Improved estimation of the smallest scale parameter of gamma distributions, *Journal of the Korean Statistical Society*. **48**(1), 97–105.
- [4] Bobotas, P. and Kourouklis, S. [2009]. Strawderman-type estimators for a scale parameter with application to the exponential distribution, *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*. **139**(9), 3001–3012.
- [5] Bobotas, P. and Kourouklis, S. [2017]. Estimation of the smallest normal variance with applications to variance components models, *Statistics & Probability Letters*. **131**, 38–45.
- [6] Brewster, J. F. and Zidek, J. [1974]. Improving on equivariant estimators, *The Annals of Statistics*. **2**(1), 21–38.
- [7] Chang, Y.-T., Fukuda, K. and Shinozaki, N. [2017]. Estimation of two ordered normal means when a covariance matrix is known, *Statistics*. **51**(5), 1095–1104.
- [8] Chang, Y.-T. and Shinozaki, N. [2002]. A comparison of restricted and unrestricted estimators in estimating linear functions of ordered scale parameters of two gamma distributions, *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*. **54**, 848–860.
- [9] Garg, N. and Misra, N. [2023]. Componentwise equivariant estimation of order restricted location and scale parameters in bivariate models: a unified study, *Brazilian Journal of Probability and Statistics*. **37**(1), 101–123.
- [10] Iliopoulos, G. and Kourouklis, S. [1999]. Improving on the best affine equivariant estimator of the ratio of generalized variances, *Journal of multivariate analysis*. **68**(2), 176–192.
- [11] Jana, N. and Chakraborty, A. [2022]. Estimation of order restricted standard deviations of normal populations with a common mean, *Statistics*. **56**(4), 867–890.
- [12] Jana, N. and Kumar, S. [2015]. Estimation of ordered scale parameters of two exponential distributions with a common guarantee time, *Mathematical Methods of Statistics*. **24**, 122–134.
- [13] Jena, P. and Ranjan Tripathy, M. [2022]. Estimating positive powers of the scale parameters under order restriction for two normal populations with a common mean, *Statistics*. **56**(3), 598–630.
- [14] Kubokawa, T. [1994]. A unified approach to improving equivariant estimators, *The Annals of Statistics*. **22**(1), 290–299.

- [15] Kumar, S. and Sharma, D. [1988]. Simultaneous estimation of ordered parameters, *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*. **17**(12), 4315–4336.
- [16] Kushary, D. and Cohen, A. [1989]. Estimating ordered location and scale parameters, *Statistics & Risk Modeling*. **7**(3), 201–214.
- [17] Ma, T. and Liu, S. [2013]. Estimation of order-restricted means of two normal populations under the linex loss function, *Metrika*. **76**, 409–425.
- [18] Marchand, E. and Strawderman, W. E. [2004]. Estimation in restricted parameter spaces: A review, *Lecture notes-monograph series*. pp. 21–44.
- [19] Misra, N., Choudhary, P., Dhariyal, I. and Kundu, D. [2002]. Smooth estimators for estimating order restricted scale parameters of two gamma distributions, *Metrika*. **56**, 143–161.
- [20] Misra, N. and Singh, H. [1994]. Estimation of ordered location parameters: the exponential distribution, *Statistics: A Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*,. **25**(3), 239–249.
- [21] Misra, N. and van der Meulen, E. C. [1997]. On estimation of the common mean of $k(\geq 2)$ normal populations with order restricted variances, *Statistics & probability letters*. **36**(3), 261–267.
- [22] Oono, Y. and Shinozaki, N. [2005]. Estimation of two order restricted normal means with unknown and possibly unequal variances, *Journal of statistical planning and inference*. **131**(2), 349–363.
- [23] Oono, Y. and Shinozaki, N. [2006]. On a class of improved estimators of variance and estimation under order restriction, *Journal of statistical planning and inference*. **136**(8), 2584–2605.
- [24] Patra, L. K., Kumar, S. and Petropoulos, C. [2021]. Componentwise estimation of ordered scale parameters of two exponential distributions under a general class of loss function, *Statistics*. **55**(3), 595–617.
- [25] Petropoulos, C. [2017]. Estimation of the order restricted scale parameters for two populations from the lomax distribution, *Metrika*. **80**(4), 483–502.
- [26] Robertson, T., Wright, F. T. and Dykstra, R. L. [1988]. *Order restricted statistical inference*, John Wiley & Sons.
- [27] Stein, C. [1964]. Inadmissibility of the usual estimator for the variance of a normal distribution with unknown mean, *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*. **16**(1), 155–160.
- [28] Tripathi, Y. M., Petropoulos, C., Sultana, F. and Rastogi, M. K. [2018]. Estimating a linear parametric function of a doubly censored exponential distribution, *Statistics*. **52**(1), 99–114.
- [29] Tripathy, M. R., Kumar, S. and Pal, N. [2013]. Estimating common standard deviation of two normal populations with ordered means, *Statistical Methods & Applications*. **22**(3), 305–318.

- [30] van Eeden, C. [2006]. *Restricted parameter space estimation problems. Admissibility and minimaxity properties*, Vol. 188 of *Lecture Notes in Statistics*, Springer, New York.
- [31] Vijayasree, G., Misra, N. and Singh, H. [1995]. Componentwise estimation of ordered parameters of $k(\geq 2)$ exponential populations, *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*. **47**, 287–307.