

Space to Policy: Scalable Brick Kiln Detection and Automatic Compliance Monitoring with Geospatial Data

ZEEL B PATEL, RISHABH MONDAL, SHATAXI DUBEY, and SURAJ JAISWAL, IIT Gandhinagar, India
SARATH GUTTIKUNDA, UrbanEmissions.Info, India
NIPUN BATRA, IIT Gandhinagar, India

Air pollution is responsible for approximately 7 million deaths annually. The brick manufacturing industry significantly contributes to both economic development and environmental challenges, accounting for 8–14% of air pollution in India. Brick kilns provide employment to millions of workers, raising concerns related to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), such as Goal 8.7 (modern slavery). Policymakers have implemented various regulations and compliance measures for brick kilns to mitigate air pollution and associated health effects. Emission inventories quantifying the contribution of different sectors, including but not limited to traffic, construction, brick kilns, and household burnings are critical for air quality modeling and source apportionment studies. However, the largely unorganized nature of the brick kiln sector necessitates labor-intensive survey efforts, hindering compliance assessment and emission inventory development. Recent efforts by air quality researchers have relied on manual annotation of brick kilns using satellite imagery to build emission inventories, but this approach lacks scalability. Machine-learning-based object detection methods have shown promise for detecting brick kilns; however, previous studies often rely on costly high-resolution imagery and fail to integrate the analysis with governmental policies. To address these limitations and support evidence-based decision-making, we developed a scalable machine-learning pipeline that detected and classified 30638 brick kilns across five states in the Indo-Gangetic Plain using a free-for-research, moderate-resolution satellite imagery. Our study covers an area of over 520,000 km² with a population of 448 million. We validated our detections with on-ground surveys, achieving a high correlation of 0.94. Additionally, we performed automated compliance analysis based on government policies and found that a considerable number of brick kilns violate at least one compliance rule. We find that, in the Delhi airshed, stricter policy enforcement and heightened focus on air pollution have led to the adoption of more efficient brick kiln technologies. Using chemical transport modeling (WRF-CAMx), we conducted a PM_{2.5} source apportionment study, estimating the brick kiln contribution to be 8% in the Delhi airshed. Furthermore, over 30 million people live within 800 meters of brick kilns, contravening government policies. Acknowledging the socio-economic importance of the brick kiln sector, this study highlights the need for inclusive policies that balance environmental sustainability with the livelihoods of workers. By leveraging advances in machine learning and satellite imagery, this work provides tools to foster cleaner air, healthier communities, and a more sustainable future.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: object detection, satellite imagery, brick kilns, air quality, UN SDGs

ACM Reference Format:

Zeel B Patel, Rishabh Mondal, Shataxi Dubey, Suraj Jaiswal, Sarath Guttikunda, and Nipun Batra. 2024. Space to Policy: Scalable Brick Kiln Detection and Automatic Compliance Monitoring with Geospatial Data. In *Proceedings of arXiv*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 22 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnn.nnnnnnnn>

Authors' Contact Information: Zeel B Patel, patel_zeel@iitgn.ac.in; Rishabh Mondal, rishabh.mondal@iitgn.ac.in; Shataxi Dubey, shataxi.dubey@iitgn.ac.in; Suraj Jaiswal, jaiswalsuraj@iitgn.ac.in, IIT Gandhinagar, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India; Sarath Guttikunda, sguttikunda@gmail.com, UrbanEmissions.Info, India; Nipun Batra, nipun.batra@iitgn.ac.in, IIT Gandhinagar, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

Manuscript submitted to ACM

Manuscript submitted to ACM

1

Scope of Applicability

This study is conducted to advance scientific understanding and inform policy development on air quality in India and in other regions. The findings of our work are not intended for direct enforcement or punitive actions against individual entities or groups. We acknowledge the socio-economic importance of brick kilns and recommend that any policy interventions based on this work be developed in consultation with all stakeholders, including kiln operators, workers, policymakers and researchers.

1 Introduction

Air pollution causes seven million deaths annually with 22% occurring in India [38]. In 2019, 99% of the world's population lived in areas that did not meet WHO air quality guideline levels [42]. In India, brick kilns contribute 8–14% of air pollution [43] and employ 15 million workers, including children [9, 32], directly impacting UN Sustainable Development Goal 8.7 [9].

Initially, many brick kilns operated with Fixed Chimney Bull's Trench Kiln (FCBK), an older technology. Studies show that converting to Zigzag technology can reduce CO and particulate matter emissions by 70% [37]. FCBKs and Zigzag kilns account for 75% of the brick production in India [32]. The National Clean Air Program (NCAP), launched in 2019, introduced guidelines to mitigate air pollution and identified 130 cities as "non-attainment cities" due to non-compliance with air quality guidelines. Indian central government and state governments have established compliance rules for brick kilns to regulate their operations [1]. Source apportionment studies and air quality modeling rely on accurate emission inventory [15]. Developing emission inventories and monitoring compliance require accurate geo-mapping of brick kilns and their technologies. However, brick kilns are small-scale, unorganized units, making geo-mapping through field surveys resource-intensive.

Air quality researchers often use tools like 'Google Earth' to manually annotate brick kilns in satellite imagery for emission inventory development. Manually annotating an area of size 3600 km² takes around 6-8 hours. Scaling this for a country like India would require more than 7000 hours of manual effort. Periodically updating the inventory would again require a similar effort. Recent studies [9, 17, 18, 23, 28, 33, 35] have demonstrated the potential of object detection methods to scale brick kiln detection from satellite imagery. In our work, we employ state-of-the-art object detection models to detect brick kilns and identify their technologies. To the best of our knowledge, ours is the first large-scale study that accurately detects brick kilns using freely available moderate-resolution imagery. Ours is also the first study that combines brick kiln detection with compliance monitoring in close alignment with government policies and systematically analyzes the growth of brick kilns over time.

In this study, we use quarterly satellite imagery with a resolution of 4.77 m from Planet Labs, freely available under a 'Personal Research License' through their Education and Research Program [21]. To create the initial training data for object detection models, we annotated brick kilns in four regions selected based on criteria such as high pollution levels, population density, recommendations from air quality experts, and designation as non-attainment cities. This dataset involved manual annotation of 15,018 km² area, requiring approximately 125 hours of effort. We train YOLO [20] model variants to detect brick kilns and classify their technologies into three categories: i) Circular Fixed Chimney Bull's Trench Kiln (CFCBK); ii) Fixed Chimney Bull's Trench Kiln (FCBK) and iii) Zigzag kilns. The dataset was divided into training, validation, and test sets for model selection, with yolo11m-obb achieving the best performance on the test set. This model was then used to predict kilns in Uttar Pradesh (the largest state of India by population) followed by manual validation, yielding 15627 newly identified kilns. We further fine-tuned the model by incorporating these newly

validated kilns into the training data. The updated model was then applied across five Indo-Gangetic states, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, West Bengal, Haryana, and Punjab, with an area of over 520,000 km² area with a population of 448 million. After manual validation, we obtained 30638 geo-located kilns, categorized by technology and annotated with bounding boxes. Our analysis revealed that CFCBKs, the oldest technology, are predominantly found in Uttar Pradesh. FCBKs are distributed across all five states, while Zigzag kilns are concentrated in Bihar and areas near Delhi. We validated our results against a survey conducted by the Uttar Pradesh Pollution Control Board (UPPCB) [40], achieving a strong correlation of 0.94.

Using the geo-located brick kiln dataset, we applied various big data resources for automated compliance monitoring, focusing on two types of compliance rules: distance-based and technology-based. **Our analysis of distance-based compliance, using OpenStreetMap data [30] across 13 categories, revealed that 70% of brick kilns violate at least one rule.** For technology-based compliance, we examined trends in brick kiln technologies over 12 years in two non-attainment city airsheds, Delhi (the capital city of India) and Lucknow (the capital city of Uttar Pradesh) using Esri’s historical high-resolution imagery [2]. Between 2017 and 2021, brick kilns around Delhi significantly shifted toward advanced technologies, reflecting stricter policy enforcement. In contrast, no such shift was observed in Lucknow, indicating weaker implementation of regulations. We further estimated daily emissions for each state using experimentally established emission rates [32]. These emissions, combined with other sources, were incorporated into an emission inventory to perform a source apportionment study for Delhi March and April 2024 using WRF-CAMx [11]. **Our findings indicate that brick kilns contribute approximately 8% to PM_{2.5} concentrations in Delhi. Additionally, using LandScan population data [22], we determined that 30.66 million people live within 800 meters of brick kilns, violating central government regulations [1].**

Our work demonstrates a scalable approach to accelerating policy validation processes by leveraging advancements in machine learning, object detection, satellite imagery, and publicly available big data. Notably, aside from computational resources for model training, all components of our study are cost-free and free from proprietary licenses. This openness enables researchers and policymakers to build upon our framework or conduct similar studies without financial constraints.

This article is structured as follows: Section 2 provides an overview of brick kilns, their impact on air pollution and alignment with UN SDGs, relevant Indian government policies, and object detection models. Section 8 reviews related studies on object detection from aerial imagery, the application of computer vision for UN SDGs, and satellite-based brick kiln detection. In Section 3, we outline the big data resources used for automated compliance monitoring and population exposure estimation. Section 4 details the process of creating a geo-located brick kiln dataset using object detection on satellite imagery. Section 5 presents results from automated compliance monitoring. Section 6 explores the experiments linking air pollution and health effects associated with brick kilns. Section 7 discusses potential policy improvements, and finally, we address the study’s limitations, propose future work, and conclude.

Reproducibility Statement: our work is fully reproducible and we will release all the code and resource links to reproduce the results in this work upon acceptance.

2 Background

In this section, we provide the background on brick kiln operations and technologies and their association with air pollution, sustainability, and Indian government policies.

2.1 Brick Kilns

A brick kiln is a facility where bricks are produced through shaping, drying, firing, and cooling processes. Brick-making requires a sufficient supply of soil, sand, water, and fuel. Soil used for brick-making requires moderate clay content, typically found in deposits along riverbanks, leading to the establishment of many kilns near rivers. The brick-making process involves four main steps [31]:

- i) **Shaping:** Bricks are molded into the desired shapes, either manually or using machines.
- ii) **Drying:** The bricks are air-dried to allow shrinkage caused by water loss and to strengthen them before stacking for firing.
- iii) **Firing:** Bricks are heated in kilns to achieve high mechanical strength and water resistance. This step releases polluting gases and particulates into the air through chimneys.
- iv) **Exporting:** The finished bricks are removed in batches and transported from the kiln.

2.2 Continuous Fire Brick Kilns

Brick kilns are primarily classified by their firing method into two categories: batch production kilns and continuous fire kilns. Continuous fire kilns are more energy-efficient as they reuse warm air from the combustion zone to dry unfired bricks, and the heat contained in the fired bricks is used to preheat the air. In India, approximately 75% of bricks are produced by continuous fire kilns [41], with FCBK and Zigzag kilns accounting for over 75% of continuous fire kilns [37]. FCBKs feature a closed circular or oval circuit of bricks stacked in the annular space between the kiln's outer and inner walls. They operate using natural draught generated by a central chimney but suffer from incomplete combustion, leading to significant pollutant emissions [27, 31]. Zigzag kilns, on the other hand, have a rectangular design with a chimney positioned at the center or side. The bricks are arranged to guide the fire along a zigzag path, enabling more efficient combustion and better fuel efficiency. The zigzag kilns can operate under natural draught or draught created by an induced draught fan. A majority of the zigzag kilns in India use induced draught fans. Figure 1 illustrates satellite views of Circular Fixed Chimney Bull's Trench Kilns (CFCBK), FCBKs, and Zigzag kilns.

2.3 Brick Kilns and Sustainability

2.3.1 Air Pollution. In 2017, India's total brick production was estimated at 233 ± 15 billion bricks, consuming 990 ± 125 PJ yr^{-1} of energy [31]. The primary fuels for brick production are coal and biomass, which release polluting gases and particulates, including particulate matter (PM), SO_2 , CO, and CO_2 [32]. Brick kilns contribute an estimated 8-14% of India's air pollution [43]. Advanced technologies, such as Zigzag kilns, use improved firing methods, reducing PM and CO emissions by approximately 60-70% [32]. Approximately 45% of India's brick production occurs in five states: Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, West Bengal, Haryana, and Punjab, all located in the Indo-Gangetic plain—a region known for its dense population and severe air pollution [37]. For this reason, our study focuses on these five states.

2.3.2 United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. Brick production relies heavily on labor for shaping bricks, fueling kilns, and maintaining continuous operations [31]. In India, the industry employs approximately 15 million workers, including children [9, 32]. This raises concerns related to forced labor, modern slavery, and human trafficking, which are central issues addressed by UN Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 8.7 [3]. Developing a comprehensive inventory of brick kiln locations can facilitate targeted surveys to identify potential human rights violations, provide assistance to workers, and establish clear guidelines for kiln owners to promote ethical practices. Furthermore, our research intersects with the following SDGs:

Manuscript submitted to ACM

- **SDG 3.4 & 3.9:** Addressing premature deaths and illnesses from air pollution.
- **SDG 9.4:** Mitigating CO₂ emissions from industries.
- **SDG 11.6:** Monitoring PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ emissions in cities.
- **SDG 12.c:** Reducing fossil-fuel subsidies.
- **SDG 13.2:** Tracking greenhouse gas emissions.




Fig. 1. Satellite view of brick kilns with bounding boxes. CFCBK is Circular Fixed Chimney Bull’s Trench Kiln, and FCBK is Fixed Chimney Bull’s Trench Kiln. We use Esri’s high-resolution basemap (zoom level 17-19, 1.19-0.3 m per pixel) to create geo-referenced bounding boxes and hand-validate the predicted bounding boxes. We use Planet’s moderate-resolution imagery (zoom level 15, 4.77 m per pixel) to train object detection models. Planet Imagery © 2024 Planet Labs Inc. Esri imagery © Esri, TomTom, Garmin, Foursquare, METI/NASA, USGS.

2.4 Government Policies

Several government policies outline guidelines for regulating brick kilns. The National Clean Air Program (NCAP) [4], launched in 2019, aims to reduce air pollution levels to comply with National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). By 2024, 131 cities were identified as non-attainment cities for failing to meet these standards. NCAP has directed states, the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB), and State Pollution Control Boards (SPCBs) to expedite the adoption of cleaner technologies, such as Zigzag firing technology, in brick kilns [4]. In 2017, brick kilns operating without permits or failing to comply with environmental guidelines were shut down in Delhi and the National Capital Region (NCR) [4].

The Environment (Protection) Amendment Rules, 2022 [1], mandated the conversion of all brick kilns within 10 km of non-attainment cities to cleaner technologies, including Zigzag technology, vertical shaft kilns, or piped natural gas, by 2024. The rules also specified:

- Brick kilns must be located at least 800 meters from residential areas and fruit orchards.
- A minimum distance of 1 km must be maintained between two brick kilns.

States can set additional siting criteria to regulate brick kilns. However, monitoring compliance with these policies remains a challenge due to the limitations of manual surveys, which are resource-intensive. Automated Geographic Information System (GIS) analysis offers a scalable alternative, enabling near-instantaneous compliance monitoring. By minimizing reliance on time-consuming manual methods, GIS tools can enhance efficiency and support targeted inspections for effective policy enforcement.

2.5 Object Detection Models

Object detection, a core task in machine learning-based computer vision, involves identifying objects in an image by predicting their bounding boxes and classifying them into predefined categories. The two types of bounding boxes commonly used in object detection are:

- **Axis-Aligned Bounding Boxes (AA):** Rectangular boxes with edges parallel to the horizontal and vertical axes.
- **Oriented Bounding Boxes (OBB):** Rectangular boxes aligned with the orientation of the objects in the image.

OBBs offer a more precise representation of object areas, especially for irregularly oriented objects. In the context of brick kilns, OBBs facilitate accurate spatial extent estimation, which is essential for assessing brick production capacity and calculating emission factors for air quality modeling. Therefore, we employ object detection models supporting OBB annotations to improve the accuracy and applicability of our analysis.

3 Big Data Resources

In this section, we describe the national and global datasets utilized in our work for brick kiln detection and automatic compliance monitoring.

3.1 Satellite Imagery

Selecting suitable satellite imagery requires balancing cost and computational efficiency. High-resolution commercial imagery, such as Maxar (30-50 cm) and Airbus products, offer detailed views but is often too expensive for research. Sentinel-2 imagery from the European Space Agency offers free 10-meter resolution with a 5-day revisit cycle, making it a cost-effective alternative. Brick kilns, on average, cover 150 meters in latitude and longitude and thus span approximately 15×15 pixels in Sentinel-2 imagery. Detecting them at such a low resolution is challenging. Therefore, we utilized freely available moderate-resolution imagery (4.77 meters) under a research license, as detailed in subsequent sections.

3.1.1 Planet Labs. We utilize satellite imagery from Planet Labs in this work [21]. Planet offers multiple satellite products, including 3-meter resolution daily imagery, 4.77-meter monthly and quarterly mosaics¹. Imagery is provided through Planet’s Research and Education Program [21] and can be freely downloaded via the Mosaics API [6]. **Our study area spans five Indian states, covering over 520,000 km² (15.8% of India) area.** The large 4096×4096 sized images are divided into overlapping 640×640 sized crops with a 64-pixel overlap (≈ 300 meters), ensuring that brick kilns do not get cut at the image edges. We selected imagery from the first quarter of 2024 to align with the peak operational season and favorable weather conditions for cloud-free imagery. Although Planet imagery offers better resolution than Sentinel-2, it remains insufficient for identifying kiln technologies. To overcome this, we annotated high-resolution imagery and transferred the labels to Planet imagery, as detailed in the next section.

3.1.2 Esri Wayback Imagery. Esri, a leading provider of GIS technology [13], delivers high-resolution basemaps (up to 30 cm) through a Web Map Service (WMS). These timestamped basemaps are typically available for one or two dates per month. We utilized Esri imagery from February 8, 2024, to align with the first-quarter Planet mosaics of 2024. We could not use high-resolution Esri imagery to train the object detection models because the WMS endpoint is designed for on-demand access rather than bulk downloads.

3.2 OpenStreetMap

OpenStreetMap (OSM) [29], a community-driven initiative, offers a vast array of geographic datasets contributed by volunteers globally. These datasets include detailed information on infrastructure and natural features, enabling extensive spatial analysis. Using the Geofabrik platform [30], we extracted geometry data for features such as railway

¹<https://desktop.arcgis.com/en/arcmap/latest/manage-data/raster-and-images/what-is-a-mosaic.htm>

tracks, highways, rivers, schools, and habitation. We utilize this data for distance-based compliance monitoring. Table 1 summarizes the extracted features and provides the filters we use to extract the data.

3.3 Government Data

We obtained geolocation data for 165,000 hospitals and nursing homes from the Indian Government’s data portal [5]. This dataset supports distance-based compliance monitoring of brick kilns, as discussed in Section 5.

3.4 Population Data

We used the LandScan global population dataset [22], produced by Oak Ridge National Laboratory, at a resolution of 0.0083 degrees. We download the 2023 version of the dataset. We utilize this dataset to analyze population exposure due to brick kilns’ air pollution, as detailed in Section 6.3.

Table 1. Datasets extracted from OpenStreetMap and filters applied to extract them.

Shapefile	Data	Filters
gis_osm_landuse_a_free_1.shp	Habitation	fclass = “residential”
	Orchards	fclass = “orchard”
	Nature reserves	fclass = “nature_reserve”
gis_osm_buildings_a_free_1.shp	Schools	type = “school”
	Hospitals	type = “hospital”
	Religious places	type = “temple” or “mosque” or “church”
gis_osm_roads_free_1.shp	National & Express highways	ref starts with “NH” or “NE”
	State highways	ref starts with “SH”
	District highways	ref starts with “MDR”
gis_osm_water_a_free_1.shp	Wetland	fclass = “wetland”
gis_osm_waterways_free_1.shp	Rivers	fclass = “river”
gis_osm_railways_free_1.shp	Railway tracks	No filter

4 Brick Kiln Dataset

4.1 Initial Data Preparation

An initial labeled dataset is required to train the object detection models. We select the regions for initial labeling with the following criteria: i) highly polluted; ii) highly populated (highly impacted by brick kilns); iii) high likelihood of kilns (as suggested by an air quality expert); and iv) non-attainment cities.

- (1) **Delhi Airshed, India:** Delhi, India’s capital, is highly populated and consistently ranks among the world’s most polluted cities [19]. While no brick kilns are located within Delhi due to strict regulations, the surrounding region has a dense concentration of kilns. We selected an 80×80 grid over Delhi with a 0.01-degree resolution, as defined by Guttikunda et al. [14]. The selected region is illustrated in Figure 7a.
- (2) **Lucknow Airshed, Uttar Pradesh, India:** Lucknow, the capital of Uttar Pradesh (India’s most populous state), is classified as a non-attainment city under NCAP. A 60×60 airshed grid with 0.01-degree resolution was chosen for annotation, following the definition by Guttikunda et al. [14]. The selected region is illustrated in Figure 7b.

- (3) **West Bengal Small Airshed, West Bengal:** A small airshed near the Haldi River in West Bengal was selected due to the high density of brick kilns in the area. A 639 km² region surrounding the identified kilns was annotated as illustrated in Figure 7c.
- (4) **Ahmedabad Buffer Region:** Ahmedabad, a non-attainment city, was studied in collaboration with the Gujarat Pollution Control Board (GPCB). Following the Environment (Protection) Amendment Rules, 2022 [1], we geo-located brick kilns within a 10 km buffer of Ahmedabad city. The kilns and selected region is shown in Figure 7d.

Across these four regions, we manually scanned over 15,018 km² area and identified 1,621 brick kilns, completing the annotations in 125 hours. Table 2 provides region-wise details of area, annotation time, and class-wise distribution. The selected regions are visualized in Figure 7 in the Appendix.

Airshed	Area (km ²)	CFCBK	FCBK	Zigzag	Total Kilns	Annotation time (hours)
Delhi Airshed [14]	6937	2	35	746	783	58
Lucknow Airshed [14]	3962	26	225	241	492	33
West Bengal Small	639	0	89	110	199	5
Ahmedabad 10 km buffer	3480	38	108	1	147	29
Total	15018	66	457	1098	1621	125

Table 2. Statistics of initial dataset. The ‘Delhi Airshed’ mostly has Zigzag kilns because it contains the National Capital Region (NCR) and receives the most attention from policymakers. The ‘Lucknow Airshed’ and ‘West Bengal Small’ have a relatively balanced distribution of FCBK and Zigzag. ‘Ahmedabad 10 km buffer’ region has a large number of FCBKs. All regions have less number of CFCBKs because CFCBK is an old technology, and most kilns have been upgraded to either FCBK or Zigzag over time.

4.2 Annotation Process

The annotation process entails labeling brick kilns with oriented bounding boxes (OBBs) and assigning a category to represent the kiln’s technology. We developed a custom labeling interface using Leafmap [44], as shown in Figure 2, utilizing the Esri Wayback Satellite Imagery WMS layer. The region of interest was divided into a 1 km² grid (Figure 2a), and each grid cell was manually inspected. Within each cell, we draw OBBs around the identified kilns (Figure 2b). After completing the annotations, the labeled data were overlaid onto cropped, geo-referenced Planet images. Since both Esri and Planet imagery are in the Web Mercator projection (EPSG:3857), the OBBs remained undistorted during reprojection from Esri to Planet imagery, as demonstrated in Figure 1.

4.3 Model Selection

We utilize YOLO-OBB models provided by Ultralytics [20] for our experiments. These models deliver performance comparable to state-of-the-art OBB detection frameworks. YOLO’s algorithm enables faster inference by detecting objects in a single forward pass, making it well-suited for scaling predictions across large geographic areas. We evaluate all OBB variants (‘YOLOv8’ and ‘YOLO11’) across different sizes (‘n’: nano, ‘s’: small, ‘m’: medium, ‘l’: large, ‘x’: extra-large). The dataset described in Table 2 is combined and split into stratified subsets with an 80:10:10 ratio for training, validation, and testing. Stratification ensures a proportional representation of all classes in each subset. The training set (‘train’) is used to train the models, while the validation set (‘validation’) guides performance monitoring and early stopping. The test set (‘test’) evaluates final model performance. We measure model accuracy using the




Fig. 2. Illustration of the labeling process. We first create a grid over the labeling region with 1 km^2 grid cells, as shown in (a). Blue boxes are the grids, and the red line is the boundary of the region of interest. Then, we zoom into a grid cell, put an OBB around kilns, and select the type of the kiln as shown in (b). Right menu buttons from the second button onward are used to draw, edit, move, and rotate an OBB in that order.

‘Weighted mAP50’ (mean Average Precision at 50% Intersection over Union) metric, which adjusts the standard mAP50 by weighting class-specific average precisions (APs) inversely to their test dataset counts. Table 3 summarizes the performance of various models on the test dataset. Among the evaluated models, yolo11m-obb achieves the highest performance and is therefore used for brick kiln detection in subsequent experiments.

Table 3. Performance of various models on the initial dataset. yolo11m-obb model is yielding the best ‘Weighted mAP’ among all models, and thus, we use it for brick kiln detection.

Model	CFCBK	FCBK	Zigzag	Weighted mAP
yolo81-obb	0.61	0.58	0.83	0.62
yolo8x-obb	0.63	0.55	0.82	0.63
yolo11x-obb	0.66	0.57	0.80	0.66
yolo11l-obb	0.68	0.51	0.76	0.66
yolo8m-obb	0.68	0.54	0.79	0.66
yolo11m-obb	0.73	0.61	0.83	0.71

4.4 Iterative Dataset Building Process

To expand our dataset, we applied the model trained on the initial data to detect brick kilns in Uttar Pradesh (UP), the most populous state in India and a significant contributor to brick kiln activity. We employed a ‘hand-validation’ process to ensure the accuracy of the model’s predictions. The steps involved in hand-validation are as follows:

- (1) If a bounding box is entirely incorrect (does not correspond to a brick kiln), we mark it as a false detection and discard it.
- (2) If a bounding box partially overlaps a brick kiln, we adjust it to align accurately with the kiln.
- (3) If the detected brick kiln is misclassified, we correct the category label.

We used an IoU (Intersection over Union) threshold of 0.33 for Non-Maximum Suppression (NMS) to eliminate duplicate detections. This threshold balances the need to suppress overlapping boxes while retaining accurate detections. For classification confidence, we set a minimum threshold of 0.25, to gain more recall at the expense of precision.

Out of 26978 detected kilns in Uttar Pradesh, 15627 were found to be correct, yielding a precision of 58%. While the model’s precision may appear low, it substantially reduces manual annotation effort. Annotating the entire Uttar Pradesh region manually would require over 2000 hours, assuming an average of 15 seconds per annotation. By contrast, the hand-validation of 26978 detections requires approximately 112 hours, representing a significant efficiency improvement.

In the next iteration, we combine the initial dataset with 15627 newly identified and hand-validated kilns and fine-tune the model using a validation split. The updated model is then applied to detect brick kilns across five states: Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, West Bengal, Haryana, and Punjab. The precision of the model in this iteration is 82% for Uttar Pradesh, 76% for Bihar, 66% for West Bengal, 72% for Haryana, and 71% for Punjab. These precision values are derived by dividing the number of correctly identified kilns by the total number of detections in each state. Uttar Pradesh achieves the highest precision, as the updated training dataset includes a significant number of examples from this region, improving the model’s accuracy there. Figure 3 illustrates sample detections, including bounding boxes around each brick kiln, categorized by kiln type. After completing this iterative process, **we develop a comprehensive dataset containing a total of 30638 hand-validated brick kilns with oriented bounding boxes**. Table 4 presents the state-wise distribution of hand-validated brick kilns, while Figure 4 maps the geographic distribution of each kiln technology. From our analysis, we observe the following patterns:

- CFCBKs: Predominantly found in Uttar Pradesh.
- FCBKs: Present in all five states but most common in Uttar Pradesh.
- Zigzag Kilns: Concentrated near the Delhi-NCR region, Bihar, and the eastern part of West Bengal.

This analysis, enriched with geographic and technological classifications, is valuable for further research and policy planning.




Fig. 3. A few samples of predicted brick kilns of each brick kiln category from our model. The first, second, and third rows show samples of CFCBK, FCBK, and Zigzag in that order. Imagery © 2024 Planet Labs Inc.




Fig. 4. Brick kiln locations across five states of the Indo-Gangetic Plain, India. CFCBKs, which use a relatively old technology, are most prevalent in Uttar Pradesh. FCBKs are present in all 5 states, but their presence is strong in eastern Uttar Pradesh. Zigzag kilns are also present in all states and are densely located in Bihar and the area close to Delhi National Capital Region (Delhi-NCR).

Table 4. Brick kilns dataset across 5 states of Indo-Gangetic plain, India. We extract this data by covering 520,000 km² area with 448 million population.

State	CFCBK	FCBK	Zigzag	Total
Uttar Pradesh	1450	9933	5952	17335
Bihar	40	1584	4424	6048
West Bengal	33	1105	1967	3105
Haryana	1	130	1948	2079
Punjab	0	305	1766	2071
Total	1524	13057	16057	30638

4.5 External Validation

In 2023, the Uttar Pradesh Pollution Control Board (UPPCB) conducted a comprehensive field survey and prepared a report for judicial proceedings [39]. This report provides district-wise counts of operational brick kilns, revealing a total of 19,671 brick kilns in Uttar Pradesh as of 2022. Though it provides the addresses of brick kilns, we do not reverse geo-locate them to avoid false mapping.

Figure 5 presents a district-wise comparison of brick kiln counts derived from the UPPCB survey and our dataset. Notably, our study is the first to validate a machine-learning-derived brick kiln dataset using independently collected survey data. A correlation analysis, shown in Figure 5c, demonstrates strong alignment between the two datasets, with a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.94. The error analysis reveals a mean error of 41.8, a median error of 33.0, and a standard deviation of 40.7 across districts. These results underscore the robustness of our approach in estimating brick kiln distributions and counts at a district level.

5 Automatic Compliance Monitoring

In this section, we present compliance rules and results from automatic compliance monitoring based on distance-based criteria.




Fig. 5. District-wise brick kiln counts in Uttar Pradesh as per (a) UPPCB 2023 survey (19671 kilns) [40] and (b) our hand-validated data (17335 kilns). A Comparison between our counts and the survey counts is shown in (c). Our counts have a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.94 with the survey counts.

5.1 Distance-based Compliance Monitoring

The Environment (Protection) Amendment Rules, 2022 [1], outline compliance requirements for brick kiln operations across all states of India. Among these, two key distance-based siting rules are: i) brick kilns must maintain a minimum distance of 800 meters from habitation and fruit orchards; ii) brick kilns must be at least 1 kilometer apart from one another. States are permitted to establish additional or stricter siting criteria. For our analysis, if both national and state-specific criteria exist, we prioritize state-specific rules. We examined the compliance criteria for Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, West Bengal, Haryana, and Punjab. Using the big data resources described in Section 3, we automatically computed distances between points of interest (e.g., habitation, hospitals, other kilns) and brick kilns. A summary of the results is provided in Table 5, with the corresponding distance thresholds detailed in Table 9 in the Appendix. We visualize the locations of violating brick kilns in Figure 8. Based on our analysis, the following patterns emerge:

- The most frequent violations occur with the ‘Inter-kiln distance’ policy, indicating that brick kilns are predominantly established in clusters.
- The second highest violations are associated with the ‘hospitals’ category, which specifies minimum distances from healthcare facilities.
- Uttar Pradesh has the most violations as well as the highest percentage of violations.
- Punjab and Haryana exhibit fewer violations, partly due to less stringent state-specific siting criteria for some categories.

The clustering of kilns and proximity to habitation are recurrent issues across all studied states. These findings underscore the need for better policy implementations and the utility of automatic compliance monitoring in identifying policy violations systematically and at scale.

5.2 Technology-based Compliance Monitoring

As per the guidelines issued by the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) [8], new brick kilns in non-attainment cities are required to adopt induced-draft zigzag technology. To track the technology used in brick kilns over time, we leverage high-resolution historical imagery from Esri, which allows us to identify and classify kiln technologies on a year-by-year basis. This analysis can be approached in the following ways:

Table 5. Automatic compliance detection of brick kilns in various states with respect to state-wise and central policies. ‘-’ suggests that a policy is not defined for that state-criterion pair. The ‘Non compliant’ row shows the total counts of brick kilns which violate at least one rule. More than 70% of brick kilns violate at least one compliance rule.

	State						Total
	Uttar Pradesh	Bihar	West Bengal	Haryana	Punjab		
Inter kiln	6469	2343	669	1035	604	11120	
Hospital	6741	656	1271	176	-	8844	
Habitation	5765	1471	362	131	327	8056	
National highway	1495	579	128	-	-	2202	
River	-	1029	338	-	-	1367	
State highway	720	150	96	-	40	1006	
Criterion District highway	695	-	-	-	-	695	
Railway	358	122	84	-	-	564	
Nature reserve	328	-	21	0	-	349	
Orchard	120	8	4	0	11	143	
Wetland	-	70	-	-	-	70	
School	19	15	26	4	-	64	
Religious places	6	-	3	-	-	9	
Non compliant	13296	3997	2081	1162	866	21402	
Brick Kiln count	17335	6048	3105	2079	2071	30638	
Percentage violations	77	66	67	56	42	70	

- **Automatic method:** We can apply a detection model to identify kilns across different time periods, capturing both active and potentially closed kilns. This method requires fine-tuning our model on past imagery to optimize detection accuracy.
- **Manual method:** Alternatively, we manually backtrack the locations of kilns and document their transition or establishment timestamps. This approach misses kilns that may have existed earlier but are now closed. We acknowledge this limitation and discuss recommendations for improving future methodologies in Section 9.

For this work, we opt for the manual method to accurately determine the year of establishment or conversion for each kiln. We employ a binary search strategy [24] on the kilns detected by our model and hand-validated, ensuring a high level of precision in identifying key transitions over time.

We start by examining imagery from 2016, the midpoint of the 2010–2022 timeframe. If kilns were detected in 2016, we then check 2013 (the midpoint between 2010 and 2016) to refine the timeline. We continue narrowing the search in this manner, progressively focusing on shorter periods until we pinpoint the exact year of kiln establishment or technology transition. If kilns were not detected in the selected year, we adjust the timeframe accordingly, moving forward or backward as needed. Using this approach, we classify the kiln technology for each year, beginning in 2010. The resulting counts of kilns by technology over time are shown in Figure 6. Notably, in the Delhi airshed (Figure 6a), we observe a sharp transition from Fixed Chimney Bull’s Kilns (FCBK) to Zigzag kilns around 2016, aligning with the stricter enforcement of policies. In Figure 6b, we observe that Lucknow airshed, including another non-attainment city, Lucknow, has adopted Zigzag technology kilns much earlier than Delhi, but the growth of FCBK and Zigzag has been similar and declining over time. Due to the policy regulations over time, we do not observe a decline in the counts of FCBKs over time in Lucknow airshed. This analysis, enabled by high-resolution historical satellite imagery and

advanced detection algorithms, not only reveals technological shifts in kiln practices across regions but also underscores the significant role of data-driven monitoring in tracking the adoption of cleaner technologies.




Fig. 6. Brick kiln technology evolution over 12 years (2010-2022) for two NCAP non-attainment city airsheds: (a) Delhi Airshed [14], (b) Lucknow Airshed [14]. Around 2017-21 in Delhi, there was a drastic change in technology from FCBK to Zigzag kilns, which could be attributed to strong policy enforcement in the region. In Lucknow, FCBK and Zigzag kiln growth has stabilized over the years, but no drastic technology shift has been observed. Lucknow started adapting to the Zigzag technology much earlier than Delhi.

6 Air Pollution and Health Effects

6.1 Emissions

In this section, we estimate air pollutant emissions from brick kilns. We derive emission rates (g/kg) from previous studies [32, 41], as listed in Table 6. Tibrewal et al. [37] provide annual brick production data (kg/year). Brick kilns typically operate for six months each year, from 15th November to 15th May in Bihar and West Bengal, and from 15th December to 15th June in Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, and Haryana, based on expert inputs. We calculate daily production by dividing the annual production by 180 days. We estimate emissions in tonnes per day for each state by combining emission rates (g/kg) for each technology with the mass of bricks produced (kg). We allocate the total production among different technologies based on our detections. We performed the calculations as follows (for Uttar Pradesh):

$$\text{CFCBK} + \text{FCBK} = 1450 + 9933 = 11443 \text{ kilns}$$

$$\text{Zigzag kilns} = 5952 \text{ kilns}$$

$$\text{Total kilns} = 17335 \text{ kilns}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PM}_{2.5} \text{ emissions} &= [(0.18 \text{ g/kg} \times 11443 \text{ kilns} + 0.09 \text{ g/kg} \times 5952 \text{ kilns}) / 17335 \text{ kilns}] \times (794816.67 \times 1000) \text{ kg} \\ &= 118.51 \text{ tonnes} \end{aligned}$$

The final emission estimates are shown in Table 7. This analysis enables policymakers to assess the state-level contribution of brick kilns to various pollutants. Integrating these estimates with precise brick kiln locations can support the development of fine-grained emission inventories and facilitate detailed source apportionment studies as we discuss in the next section.

Table 6. Emission rates for kiln technologies in g/kg of fired brick product from previous work [32, 41].

Pollutant	CFCBK/FCBK	Zigzag
PM _{2.5}	0.18	0.09
SO ₂	0.52	0.15
CO	3.63	1.19
CO ₂	179.00	107.50

Table 7. Production of brick kilns and Emissions (tonnes per day) in various states

State	Mass	PM _{2.5}	SO ₂	CO	CO ₂
Uttar Pradesh	794816.67	118.51	312.33	2219.30	122759.72
Bihar	401661.11	45.86	100.15	741.14	50890.09
West Bengal	321627.78	39.56	91.86	670.36	43003.29
Haryana	124283.33	11.89	21.54	167.01	13920.39
Punjab	99488.89	10.27	20.34	154.14	11742.67
Total	1741877.78	226.08	546.23	3951.95	242316.16

6.2 Source Apportionment

Emissions can be estimated from various sources, but they do not translate to air pollution without accounting for meteorology and complex physicochemical reactions in the atmosphere. PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ are major pollutants linked to respiratory diseases in humans [36]. Using guidance from an air quality expert, we estimated PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ concentrations in the Delhi Airshed by employing the Chemical Transport Model (CTM), CAMx [11]. CAMx utilizes meteorological inputs generated by the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model [34]. We ran the model over the Delhi Airshed on an 80 km × 80 grid, covering the months of March and April 2024.

Our findings reveal that brick kilns contributed 8% of the total PM_{2.5} in the Delhi Airshed during this period. This analysis provides a foundation for creating decision support systems to assess the impact of transitioning brick kiln technologies, such as converting to cleaner Zigzag technology or alternative fuels, on air quality. Such systems can guide policymakers in designing targeted interventions to reduce emissions and mitigate public health risks.

6.3 Population Exposure

In Section 5 and Table 5, we observed that many brick kilns are found close to human habitation. According to an analysis of the Air Quality Life Index conducted by the Energy Policy Institute at the University of Chicago (EPIC) [12], an average citizen living in the Indo-Gangetic plain is likely to lose seven-and-half years of life. We use the global population data ‘LandScan’ from Oak Ridge National Laboratory [22] to estimate the population living near the brick kilns. As we show in Table 8, 30.66 million people live within 800 meters of brick kilns, which is a non-complying range as per the central government policy [1].

Table 8. Population (in millions) living within 0.8 km, 2 km, and 5 km of brick kilns across five states in the Indo-Gangetic Plain. According to central government policy, brick kilns must be at least 800 meters away from human habitation. However, more than 30 million people live within this range of brick kilns [1]. Our analysis highlights the need for targeted studies to assess the health impacts of this proximity and evaluate interventions, such as relocating kilns or adopting cleaner technologies, to mitigate risks.

State	< 0.8 km	< 2 km	< 5 km
Uttar Pradesh	13.81	63.32	168.83
Bihar	9.43	44.22	98.41
West Bengal	4.35	18.54	50.47
Punjab	1.95	10.03	25.64
Haryana	1.12	6.34	19.36
Total	30.66	142.45	362.71

7 Discussion

Our study demonstrates the potential of machine learning and satellite imagery to monitor compliance and assess the environmental impact of brick kilns at scale. By identifying violations and estimating contributions to air pollution, our work provides actionable insights for policymakers. However, such insights must be contextualized within the socio-economic realities of the brick kiln industry to ensure that interventions are equitable and sustainable. The brick kiln sector is not just a contributor to air pollution but also a critical source of livelihoods for approximately 15 million workers in India. Many of these workers come from underprivileged backgrounds, with limited alternative employment opportunities. Regulatory interventions, if implemented abruptly, risk adversely affecting these vulnerable populations. For instance, stringent enforcement of compliance rules or sudden shutdowns of non-compliant kilns could lead to loss of employment for thousands of workers.

To address this, we suggest the following changes.

- We advocate for gradual implementation timelines and targeted support for kiln owners and workers. This includes skill development programs to enable smoother transitions to advanced kiln technologies like Zigzag kilns, which reduce emissions and improve working conditions for the workers.
- In this work, we find that various states have uneven policies defined for the brick kilns. In our opinion, policies must be informed by evidence-based studies like ours, which integrate spatial data, emission estimates, and population exposure. This ensures that interventions are effective without being unduly punitive.
- The health and safety of workers in brick kilns are often overlooked in policy discussions. Cleaner technologies can potentially mitigate occupational hazards, such as respiratory illnesses caused by prolonged exposure to particulate matter and harmful gases. Complementary measures, such as access to healthcare and education, are essential for long-term social upliftment of the workers.

Our work provides an opportunity to develop sustainable and inclusive policies for the brick kiln sector. By addressing both environmental and human dimensions, this approach aligns with the goals of cleaner air, improved public health, and social equity.

8 Related Work

We discuss related work in three areas: object detection from aerial imagery, the application of satellite imagery for addressing UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), and prior efforts on brick kiln detection.

8.1 Object Detection from Aerial Imagery

Object detection in aerial imagery is challenging due to (i) the sparse and non-uniform distribution of targets, which complicates efficient detection, and (ii) the small size of focal objects, such as pedestrians, which often blend with their surroundings. This contrasts with datasets like MS COCO [25], where objects are larger and more distinguishable. Benchmark datasets like DOTA [46] (18 categories, 11,268 images, and 1,793,658 instances) and HRSC2016 [26] (1,070 high-resolution images with 2,976 ship instances) have been instrumental in advancing object detection for aerial imagery. Recent methods [16, 47, 48] have leveraged oriented bounding boxes (OBBs) to improve detection accuracy for irregularly oriented objects. Our work also involves object detection from satellite imagery, and we have created total 30638 geo-referenced OBBs as part of this work.

8.2 Computer Vision on Satellite Imagery for UN SDGs

The United Nations' 17 SDGs have been a focal point for research since their introduction in 2016 [3]. Satellite imagery and computer vision techniques have been effectively employed to address various SDGs. For instance, Burke et al. [10] applied computer vision to large-scale satellite data for agriculture, economics, and informal settlement detection. Xi et al. [45] developed a dataset supporting 25 SDG indicators, such as population, nighttime light, poverty, health, and living environment, to aid urban policymaking and SDG monitoring. Kumar et al. [7] used object detection on satellite imagery to measure poverty, aligning with SDGs by informing resource allocation and livelihood improvements. Yeh et al. [49] predicted economic well-being across 20,000 African villages using multi-spectral imagery and deep learning. We have discussed the intersection of our work with UN SDGs in Section 2.3.2.

8.3 Brick Kiln Detection from Satellite Imagery

Various machine learning methods have been applied to detect brick kilns in South Asia. Nazir et al. [28] used a gated neural network combining classification and detection tasks to identify kilns over a 3,300 km² area with 1,300 kiln images. Lee et al. [23] applied deep learning in Bangladesh, identifying 3,345 kilns. A classical machine learning approach using Sentinel-2 imagery classified kilns in Delhi [18]. Haque et al. [17] analyzed heavy metal contamination in soils near brick kilns in Bangladesh. Boyd et al. [9] employed YOLOv3 with axis-aligned bounding boxes (AABBs) to detect fixed chimney bull's trench kilns (FCBKs) in the Indo-Gangetic plain. However, most previous studies relied on expensive high-resolution satellite imagery, significantly limiting scalability and reproducibility.

Our study marks the first large-scale effort to geo-locate and classify brick kilns by technology type (CFGBK, FCBK, Zigzag) across five states in the Indo-Gangetic Plain, spanning 520,000 km². We identified 30638 kilns, validated our dataset with field surveys, and assessed the evolution of kiln technologies over time. Unlike prior works, we used freely available, moderate-resolution satellite imagery (4.77 m resolution) under an Education and Research license, ensuring scalability, affordability, and reproducibility.

9 Limitations and Future Work

In this section, we outline the limitations of our study and propose directions for future research.

- (1) As we establish in Figure 5, our coverage closely resembles the manual survey conducted by the Uttar Pradesh State Pollution Control Board; however, identifying whether a kiln is operational at a given time remains challenging with RGB imagery. During operation, kilns exhibit elevated temperatures, presenting an opportunity to use thermal sensors from satellites for status detection. Current satellite thermal imagery, with resolutions around 100 meters, lacks the precision needed for such applications. Future advancements in satellite technologies may make operational status detection feasible.
- (2) In Section 5.2 and Figure 6, we manually analyzed the growth of brick kilns over time for two non-attainment cities. Extending this effort to the state level is resource-intensive and requires manual input. Fine-tuning our detection models on historical satellite imagery could enable automated assessment of kiln technology evolution over time.
- (3) We have demonstrated a reasonably accurate precision and practically useful recall of our studies. However, moderate-resolution of the imagery poses a challenge in identifying kiln technologies accurately. One may utilize a high-resolution imagery to improve the accuracy of technology identification.

10 Conclusion


In this work, we geo-locate and hand-validate a large-scale dataset of 30638 brick kilns along with their technology, across five states of Indo-Gangetic plain. We then identify the brick kilns that violate central and state-level compliance policies. We provide emissions estimations, the percentage contribution of brick kilns, and population exposure to brick kiln air pollution. Our work establishes a pipeline to study and analyze a large-scale unorganized sector such as brick kilns and find insights directly consumable by policymakers, researchers, and air quality experts. We also discuss the problems with current policies and how they can negatively impact the lives of the brick kiln workers. We hope our work is utilized by policymakers to form better policies towards a positive impact on the brick sector of India and millions of lives.

References


- [1] [n. d.]. Environment (Protection) Amendment Rules, 2022. https://cpcb.nic.in/uploads/Industry-Specific-Standards/Effluent/74-brick_kiln.pdf. [Accessed 09-11-2024].
- [2] [n. d.]. Esri Wayback Imagery — esri.com. <https://www.esri.com/arcgis-blog/products/arcgis-living-atlas/imagery/wayback-server-connection-in-pro/>. [Accessed 10-11-2024].
- [3] [n. d.]. Goal 8 | Department of Economic and Social Affairs — sdgs.un.org. https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal8#targets_and_indicators. [Accessed 08-11-2024].
- [4] [n. d.]. NCAP — prana.cpcb.gov.in. <https://prana.cpcb.gov.in>. [Accessed 09-11-2024].
- [5] [n. d.]. NIN health facilities geo code and additional parameters. <https://www.data.gov.in/resource/nin-health-facilities-geo-code-and-additional-parameters-updated-till-last-month>. [Accessed 26-11-2024].
- [6] [n. d.]. Planet API Reference. <https://developers.planet.com/docs/basemaps/reference/>. (Accessed on 11/08/2024).
- [7] Kumar Ayush, Burak UzKent, Marshall Burke, David Lobell, and Stefano Ermon. 2020. Generating interpretable poverty maps using object detection in satellite images. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.01612* (2020).
- [8] Central Pollution Control Board. 2019. Central Pollution Control Board. <https://cpcb.nic.in/National-Air-Quality-Index/>. [Online; accessed 02-December-2024].
- [9] Doreen S Boyd, Bethany Jackson, Jessica Wardlaw, Giles M Foody, Stuart Marsh, and Kevin Bales. 2018. Slavery from space: Demonstrating the role for satellite remote sensing to inform evidence-based action related to UN SDG number 8. *ISPRS journal of photogrammetry and remote sensing* 142 (2018), 380–388.
- [10] Marshall Burke, Anne Driscoll, David B Lobell, and Stefano Ermon. 2021. Using satellite imagery to understand and promote sustainable development. *Science* 371, 6535 (2021), eabe8628.
- [11] camx. 2019. camx. <https://www.camx.com/>. [Online; accessed 02-December-2024].
- [12] Energy Policy Institute at the University of Chicago (EPIC). 2024. About the Air Quality Life Index (AQLI). <https://aqli.epic.uchicago.edu/about/>. Accessed: 2024-11-26.
- [13] Esri. 2024. ArcGIS Geographic Information System. <https://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis/about-arcgis/overview>. Accessed: 2024-11-27.
- [14] Sarath Guttikunda, Nishadh Ka, Tanushree Ganguly, and Puja Jawahar. 2023. Plugging the ambient air monitoring gaps in India’s national clean air programme (NCAP) airsheds. *Atmospheric Environment* 301 (2023), 119712. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.119712>
- [15] Sarath K Guttikunda, KA Nishadh, Sudhir Gota, Pratima Singh, Arijit Chanda, Puja Jawahar, and Jai Asundi. 2019. Air quality, emissions, and source contributions analysis for the Greater Bengaluru region of India. *Atmospheric Pollution Research* 10, 3 (2019), 941–953.
- [16] Jiaming Han, Jian Ding, Nan Xue, and Gui-Song Xia. 2021. Redet: A rotation-equivariant detector for aerial object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 2786–2795.
- [17] Shama E Haque, Minhaz M Shahriar, Nazmun Nahar, and Md Sazzadul Haque. 2022. Impact of brick kiln emissions on soil quality: A case study of Ashulia brick kiln cluster, Bangladesh. *Environmental Challenges* 9 (2022), 100640.
- [18] Syed Imaduddin, Yusuf Ahmed Khan, Khushboo Mirza, and BK Bhadra. 2023. Detection of Brick Kilns Using Multi-Spectral Bands of Sentinel-2 Imagery. In *2023 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Smart Communication (AISC)*. IEEE, 496–503.
- [19] IQAir. [n. d.]. World’s Most Polluted Cities - 2024. <https://www.iqair.com/in-en/world-most-polluted-cities>. Accessed: 2024-11-26.
- [20] Glenn Jocher, Jing Qiu, and Ayush Chaurasia. 2023. *Ultralytics YOLO*. Ultralytics. <https://ultralytics.com>
- [21] Planet Labs. [n. d.]. Education and Research Program. <https://www.planet.com/industries/education-and-research/>. [Accessed 08-11-2024].
- [22] V. Lebakula, J. Epting, J. Moehl, C. Stipek, D. Adams, A. Reith, J. Kaufman, J. Gonzales, B. Reynolds, S. Basford, A. Martin, W. Buck, A. Faxon, A. Cunningham, A. Roy, Z. Barbose, J. Massaro, S. Walters, C. Woody, and M. Urban. 2024. LandScan Silver Edition [Data set]. <https://doi.org/10.48690/1531770>
- [23] Jihyeon Lee, Nina R Brooks, Fahim Tajwar, Marshall Burke, Stefano Ermon, David B Lobell, Debashish Biswas, and Stephen P Luby. 2021. Scalable deep learning to identify brick kilns and aid regulatory capacity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 118, 17 (2021), e2018863118.
- [24] Anthony Lin. 2019. Binary search algorithm. *WikiJournal of Science* (2019). <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:62128693>
- [25] Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. 2014. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6–12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V 13*. Springer, 740–755.
- [26] Zikun Liu, Liu Yuan, Lubin Weng, and Yiping Yang. 2017. A high resolution optical satellite image dataset for ship recognition and some new baselines. In *International conference on pattern recognition applications and methods*, Vol. 2. SciTePress, 324–331.
- [27] Greentech Knowledge Solutions Pvt Ltd. 2012. Evaluating Energy Conservation Potential of Brick Production in India. Prepared for SAARC Energy Centre.
- [28] Usman Nazir, Usman Khalid Mian, Muhammad Usman Sohail, Murtaza Taj, and Momin Uppal. 2020. Kiln-net: A gated neural network for detection of brick kilns in South Asia. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing* 13 (2020), 3251–3262.
- [29] OpenStreetMap contributors. 2024. OpenStreetMap. <https://www.openstreetmap.org>. Accessed: 2024-11-27.
- [30] OpenStreetMap contributors. 2024. OpenStreetMap Data Downloaded from Geofabrik. <https://download.geofabrik.de/>. Accessed from Geofabrik [https://download.geofabrik.de/] on [2024-11-27].

- [31] International Labour Organisation. and United Nations Development Programme. 1984. *Small-scale brickmaking*. ILO, Geneva.
- [32] Uma Rajarathnam, Vasudev Athalye, Santhosh Ragavan, Sameer Maitihel, Dheeraj Lalchandani, Sonal Kumar, Ellen Baum, Cheryl Weyant, and Tami Bond. 2014. Assessment of air pollutant emissions from brick kilns. *Atmospheric Environment* 98 (2014), 549–553. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.075>
- [33] Joseph Redmon, Santosh Divvala, Ross Girshick, and Ali Farhadi. 2016. You only look once: Unified, real-time object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 779–788.
- [34] W. C. Skamarock, J. B. Klemp, J. Dudhia, D. O. Gill, Z. Liu, J. Berner, W. Wang, J. G. Powers, M. G. Duda, D. M. Barker, and X.-Y. Huang. 2019. *A Description of the Advanced Research WRF Version 4*. NCAR Technical Note NCAR/TN-556+STR. National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR). 145 pages. <https://doi.org/10.5065/1dfh-6p97>
- [35] Christian Szegedy, Sergey Ioffe, Vincent Vanhoucke, and Alexander Alemi. 2017. Inception-v4, inception-resnet and the impact of residual connections on learning. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, Vol. 31.
- [36] Prakash Thangavel, Duckshin Park, and Young-Chul Lee. 2022. Recent insights into particulate matter (PM_{2.5})-mediated toxicity in humans: an overview. *International journal of environmental research and public health* 19, 12 (2022), 7511.
- [37] Kushal Tibrewal, Chandra Venkataraman, Harish Phuleria, Veena Joshi, Sameer Maitihel, Anand Damle, Anurag Gupta, Pradnya Lokhande, Shahadev Rabha, Binoy K Saikia, et al. 2023. Reconciliation of energy use disparities in brick production in India. *Nature Sustainability* 6, 10 (2023), 1248–1257.
- [38] UNEP. 2019. Emissions Gap Report 2019. , 11 pages.
- [39] Uttar Pradesh Pollution Control Board (UPPCB). [n. d.]. Guidelines for brick kiln Industry. http://uppcb.com/pdf/brick_280519.pdf. Accessed: 2024-11-26.
- [40] Uttar Pradesh Pollution Control Board (UPPCB). 2023. UPPCB Report on Brick Kilns - March 2023. <http://www.indiaenvironmentportal.org.in/files/file/UPPCB-report-brick-kilns-March-2023.pdf> Accessed: 2024-11-04.
- [41] Cheryl Weyant, Vasudev Athalye, Santhosh Ragavan, Uma Rajarathnam, Dheeraj Lalchandani, Sameer Maitihel, Ellen Baum, and Tami C Bond. 2014. Emissions from South Asian brick production. *Environmental science & technology* 48, 11 (2014), 6477–6483.
- [42] World Health Organization. n.d.. Air Pollution in India. [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ambient-\(outdoor\)-air-quality-and-health](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ambient-(outdoor)-air-quality-and-health) Accessed: 2024-11-29.
- [43] WorldBank. 2020. DIRTY STACKS, HIGH STAKES: An Overview of Brick Sector in South Asia.
- [44] Qiusheng Wu. 2021. Leafmap: A Python package for interactive mapping and geospatial analysis with minimal coding in a Jupyter environment. *Journal of Open Source Software* 6, 63 (2021), 3414. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03414>
- [45] Yanxin Xi, Yu Liu, Tong Li, Jingtao Ding, Yunke Zhang, Sasu Tarkoma, Yong Li, and Pan Hui. 2023. A satellite imagery dataset for long-term sustainable development in united states cities. *Scientific data* 10, 1 (2023), 866.
- [46] Gui-Song Xia, Xiang Bai, Jian Ding, Zhen Zhu, Serge Belongie, Jiebo Luo, Mihai Datcu, Marcello Pelillo, and Liangpei Zhang. 2018. DOTA: A large-scale dataset for object detection in aerial images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 3974–3983.
- [47] Xingxing Xie, Gong Cheng, Jiabao Wang, Xiwen Yao, and Junwei Han. 2021. Oriented R-CNN for object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*. 3520–3529.
- [48] Xue Yang and Junchi Yan. 2022. On the arbitrary-oriented object detection: Classification based approaches revisited. *International Journal of Computer Vision* 130, 5 (2022), 1340–1365.
- [49] Christopher Yeh, Anthony Perez, Anne Driscoll, George Azzari, Zhongyi Tang, David Lobell, Stefano Ermon, and Marshall Burke. 2020. Using publicly available satellite imagery and deep learning to understand economic well-being in Africa. *Nature communications* 11, 1 (2020), 2583.


A Initial Dataset Regions




(a) Delhi Airshed



(b) Lucknow Airshed



(c) West Bengal Small



(d) Ahmedabad 10 km buffer

Fig. 7. Visualization of geographic regions for initial data annotation. The blue boundaries are the regions for annotation. The green boundaries show the cities. The red dots show the locations of brick kilns.

B Automatic Compliance Monitoring




Fig. 8. (a) Compliance with hospitals; (b) Compliance with inter kiln distance policy; (c) Compliance with railway tracks.

Table 9. Distance thresholds (in meters) for compliance criteria in each state as per various state-level siting criteria and central government rules.

Criterion	Uttar Pradesh	Bihar	West Bengal	Haryana	Punjab
Inter kiln	800	1000	300	1000	1000
Habitation	1000	800	800	800	500
National highway	300	300	200	-	-
River	-	500	200	-	-
State highway	300	200	200	-	100
District highway	100	-	-	-	-
Railway	200	200	200	-	-
Nature reserve	5000	-	5000	1000	-
Orchard	800	800	800	800	800
Wetland	-	500	-	-	-
School	1000	800	1000	1000	-
Religious places	1000	-	1000	-	-