Exploring the energy landscape of aluminas through machine learning interatomic potential

Lei Zhang,¹ Wenhao Luo,¹ Renxi Liu,^{2,3,4} Mohan Chen,^{2,3,4} Zhongbo Yan,¹ and Kun Cao^{1,*}

¹Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Magnetoelectric Physics and Devices,

State Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Materials and Technologies,

Center for Neutron Science and Technology, School of Physics,

²HEDPS, CAPT, College of Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China

³Academy for Advanced Interdisciplinary Studies, Peking University, Beijing, 90871, China

⁴AI for Science Institute, Beijing, 100080, China

(Dated: December 5, 2024)

Aluminum oxide (alumina, Al_2O_3) exists in various structures and has broad industrial applications. While the crystal structure of α -Al₂O₃ is well-established, those of transitional aluminas remain highly debated. In this study, we propose a universal machine learning interatomic potential (MLIP) for aluminas, trained using the neuroevolution potential (NEP) approach. The dataset is constructed through iterative training and farthest point sampling, ensuring the generation of the most representative configurations for an exhaustive sampling of the potential energy surface. The accuracy and generality of the potential are validated through simulations under a wide range of conditions, including high temperatures and pressures. A phase diagram is presented that includes both transitional aluminas and α -Al₂O₃ based on the NEP. We also successfully extrapolate the phase diagram of aluminas under extreme conditions ([0, 4000] K and [0, 200] GPa ranges of temperature and pressure, respectively), while maintaining high accuracy in describing their properties under more moderate conditions. Furthermore, combined with our developed structure search workflow, the NEP provides an evaluation of existing γ -Al₂O₃ structure models. The NEP developed in this work enables highly accurate dynamic simulations of various aluminas on larger scales and longer timescales, while also offering new insights into the study of transitional aluminas structures.

I. INTRODUCTION

Although "alumina" specifically refers to substances with the chemical formula Al_2O_3 , it encompasses a series of polymorphic structures, including amorphous-Al₂O₃ $(a-Al_2O_3)$, α -Al_2O_3 (corundum), and various transitional aluminas^[1]. These materials are crucial in applications like polishing, cutting, adsorption^[2], sensing, and catalysis^[3] due to their superior mechanical properties. corrosion resistance, electrical insulation, and, in the case of transitional aluminas, unique surface activity [4, 5]. Transitional aluminas were initially discovered during the calcination of boehmite to produce aluminum oxide via the Bayer process. The final product, α -Al₂O₃, is the most thermodynamically stable form, with other aluminas irreversibly transforming into it during calcination. However, these other forms are stable below their formation temperatures and are thus termed transitional aluminas to differentiate them from "metastable" forms[1]. Currently, a series of transitional aluminas, such as κ -[6], θ -[7], δ -[8], χ -[9], γ -[10], and η -[11]Al₂O₃ have been reported [1, 4].

Despite extensive industrial use and active research over the past decades, the crystal structures of transitional aluminas, especially γ -Al₂O₃, remain contentious and are not definitively determined[1, 11–14]. The Bayer process dehydrates bauxite to produce alumina, resulting in transitional aluminas that are highly defective, poorly crystalline, and consist of multiple coexisting phases during continuous calcination. These factors complicate structure determination through experiments alone[1, 13], and for the phase transitions between these structures, only rough temperature ranges can be identified [1]. On the other hand, numerical simulations, including density functional theory (DFT)[15] calculations and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, overcome some experimental limitations. However, while DFT offers high accuracy, it encounters difficulties when dealing with complex defects and large-scale systems. MD, although computationally efficient, often relies on empirical potentials that lack accuracy and reliability. These potentials, typically derived from equilibrium thermodynamic data, are inadequate for describing systems under extreme conditions. Consequently, the phase diagram of various transitional aluminas is rarely explored in numerical simulations.

In contrast, machine learning interatomic potentials (MLIPs)[16–18], which are trained on configurations that include energies, forces, and other data derived from DFT calculations, enable MD simulations to achieve nearquantum-mechanical accuracy while maintaining computational efficiency. In the past several years, significant progress has been made in MLIPs[19–25], which opens up a wide range of applications, including but not limited to structural phase transitions[26], molecular structure predictions[27], thermal transport [28], and phase diagrams under extreme thermodynamic conditions[29].

Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, China

^{*} caok7@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Research on metal and non-metal oxides [30–37] using MLIPs continues to emerge. The versatility, high precision, and efficiency of MLIPs make them powerful tools for studying the intricate structures of transitional aluminas. However, the application of MLIPs in the study of aluminas remains relatively limited. Current research primarily focuses on using MLIPs to explore thermal transport properties of single-crystalline α -Al₂O₃[38, 39] and structural features of a-Al₂O₃[40, 41]. No comprehensive MLIP studies, covering a wide range of aluminas structures, have been reported.

In this work, leveraging the neuroevolution machine learning potential (NEP) approach[42-44], we develop a NEP that comprehensively covers the configuration space of aluminas, which is rigorously tested to validate its accuracy. Using nonequilibrium thermodynamic integration (NETI) method [45-47], we calculate phase diagrams incorporating multiple transitional aluminas that are previously underexplored. Through moderate extrapolation of the NEP, we further predict phase boundaries of high-pressure alumina phases not present in the training dataset. Furthermore, by integrating NEP with our developed structure search workflow, we provide NEPbased insights into the energetically favorable configurations and the plausibility of two models of γ -Al₂O₃, namely the Smrčok model[48] and the Luo model[49], which have been shown to match experimental results well^[13]. This study aims to enhance future research, enriching methodologies for investigating the structures of transitional aluminas.

II. GENERALIZED DATASET AND POTENTIAL FITTING

A. Generalized dataset

Given the structural complexity of transitional aluminas, only the crystal structures of θ - and κ -Al₂O₃ are relatively well understood[11, 50], and both exhibit widespread twinning phenomena[1]. Due to the complex structural intergrowth, the exact number of necessary variants within the δ -Al₂O₃ family remains unclear, although some variants of δ -Al₂O₃ have been resolved thanks to advancements in experimental techniques[51, 52].

Verwey[10] first investigated γ -Al₂O₃ in 1935, describing its structure as a traditional AB₂O₄ spinel (space group Fd $\bar{3}$ m) with a lattice parameter of approximately 7.9 Å. As shown in FIG. 1, in spinel structures such as MgAl₂O₄, oxygen atoms are arranged in a face-centered cubic sublattice (32e Wyckoff positions), with the A cations (Mg) occupying the 8a tetrahedral (T_d) Wyckoff positions, and the B cations (Al) occupying the 16d octahedral (O_h) Wyckoff positions. These 8a+16d positions are referred to as spinel sites. Summing the full-occupied Wyckoff positions in spinel model of γ -Al₂O₃ yields the stoichiometric Al₂₄O₃₂, simplified to Al₃O₄. To achieve



FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the conventional cell of MgAl₂O₄ with the Fd $\bar{3}$ m space group. The pink, orange, and blue spheres represent the O, Mg, and Al atoms located at the 32e, 8a, and 16d Wyckoff positions, respectively. The two insets on the right provide a clearer depiction of the anion coordination around the cations, where the cations at 8a are tetrahedrally coordinated (T_d) and those at 16d are octahedrally coordinated (O_h), respectively.

the correct Al_2O_3 stoichiometry, 8/3 cation vacancies must be introduced per $Al_{24}O_{32}$, resulting in a simplified formula of $Al_{8/3}O_4$. This feature has led to the partial occupancy of Al cations in some γ -Al₂O₃ models. The distribution of vacancies in spinel sites has remained a controversial issue. Improved experimental studies using diffraction data or nuclear magnetic resonance indicate that vacancies are primarily at tetrahedral sites [8, 53] or a mix of tetrahedral and octahedral sites [54], while computational studies support the concentration of vacancies at octahedral sites [55]. Pinto *et al.* [56], who proposed a monoclinic configuration of γ -Al₂O₃ based on DFT from a spinel configuration, also indicated that vacancies were concentrated at octahedral sites, with lattice constants (transformed to cubic symmetry) closely matching experimental data.

Additionally, the possibility of Al cations occupying non-spinel sites (positions other than 8a and 16d) was first proposed by Zhou and Snyder[11]. They suggested a structure with Al cations at 32e sites. Since then, more non-spinel models have emerged, including the monoclinic model proposed by Digne *et al.*[57], which is widely used in first-principles calculations due to its smaller number of atoms although incorrectly assumes that all Al vacancies are located on tetrahedral sites[12]. Paglia *et al.*[58] introduced a tetragonal model with space group I4₁amd, which is the maximal subgroup of Fd $\bar{3}m$, by empirically fitting neutron diffraction data.

A recent study of Ayoola *et al.*[13], by growing highquality single crystals of γ -Al₂O₃, has confirmed that the non-spinel model proposed by Smrčok *et al.*[48] in 2006 fits experimental data more accurately than the previously mentioned models. Additionally, based on Rietveld refinement of electron diffraction patterns, distinct from Paglia *et al.*'s[58], Luo[49] proposed a new tetragonal model with I4₁ and symmetry. These findings suggest that non-spinel configurations, which allow Al cations to occupy more sites, offer greater structural flexibility, thus fitting experimental data better compared to the spinel models. Furthermore, more degrees of freedom may need to be considered; for instance, Kovarik *et al.*[14] have recently emphasized that the Al cation vacancies alone cannot fully account for the structural complexity of γ -Al₂O₃, highlighting the role of antiphase boundaries.

In addition to γ -Al₂O₃, the existence of χ -Al₂O₃ has also been noted in literature[1, 9], but accurate structural information for this phase remains unclear[59]. Moreover, η - and γ -Al₂O₃ exhibit significant structural similarities, yet the degree of order in these phases is still debated[12, 60]. Notably, no widely accepted structural model for η -Al₂O₃ currently exists. Therefore, we focus on selecting well-characterized models of transitional aluminas for our dataset, which ensures that our study comprehensively covers the most relevant and characteristic phase space of aluminas. By excluding highly debated structural models, the risk of introducing misleading information is reduced.

As shown in FIG. 2, the diagram on the right illustrates the final composition of the dataset, which can be broadly divided into four categories: crystalline phases, dimers and clusters, non-stoichiometric $Al_x O_y$, melt and $a-Al_2O_3$ with varying densities. The crystalline part of our dataset includes the α -, θ -, κ -Al₂O₃, and four variants of δ -Al₂O₃ (designated as jp50s1[51], jp50s2[51], jp8bs1[52], and jp8bs2[52] based on their respective literature sources). Additionally, we have incorporated two widely adopted γ -Al₂O₃ models as mentioned above, namely the Pinto model[56] and the Digne model[57]. Furthermore, we include four γ -Al₂O₃ models with partially occupied Al cations: the Ouyang model[61], which originates from the model of Zhou and Snyder [11], the non-spinel tetragonal model proposed by Paglia et al. [58] in 2003 (referred to as Paglia2003), the local structure model proposed by Paglia et al. [62] in 2006 (referred to as Paglia2006), and the Smrčok model[48]. Additionally, although we do not consider Luo's new model with I4₁ and symmetry [49], this configuration is included when constructing structures with randomly distributed Al cations. Detailed construction specifics and the sources of all structures of various aluminas included in the dataset, along with the necessary corresponding information, are provided in the supplementary materials.

B. NEP training

The NEP approach is an MLIP based on artificial neural networks (ANN), trained using the separable natural evolution strategy (SNES). According to the energy locality hypothesis proposed by Behler *et al.*[16], where the energy of each atom can be determined by its local atomic environment within a cutoff radius, the NEP is trained to establish a mapping relationship between the descriptor vector with $N_{\rm des}$ components of the local atomic environment of a central atom *i* and its site energy:

$$U_i\left(\{q_{\nu}^i\}_{\nu=1}^{N_{\text{des}}}\right) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{N_{\text{neu}}} w_{\mu}^{(1)} \tanh\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{N_{\text{des}}} w_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} q_{\nu}^i - b_{\mu}^{(0)}\right) - b^{(1)},$$
(1)

where the tanh(*) represents the activation function of the hidden layer, and $N_{\rm neu}$ denotes the number of neurons. The parameters $w_{\mu}^{(1)}$, $w_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$, $b_{\mu}^{(0)}$, and $b^{(1)}$ are the trainable weights and biases in the ANN of the NEP. The descriptor q_{ν}^{i} in NEP are composed of radial and angular components, constructed using Chebyshev polynomials and the atomic cluster expansion approach[63], respectively. For a more comprehensive description of the NEP approach, readers are encouraged to consult references[42–44].

The training process of the MLIPs involves minimizing a loss function, which is typically a function of the optimization target. In NEP, the loss function, $L = \lambda_e \Delta E + \lambda_f \Delta F + \lambda_v \Delta V + \lambda_1 L_1 + \lambda_2 L_2$, is designed to include the root-mean-squared error (RMSE) of the energy (ΔE) , force (ΔF) , and virial (ΔV) , combined with regularization terms L_1 and L_2 to prevent overfitting. The coefficients λ_e , λ_f , λ_v , λ_1 , and λ_2 serve as weight factors for each term in the loss function. The flowchart of NEP training as shown on the left side of FIG. 2 decomposes the process into three modules based on color differentiation: initial dataset generation, NEP training, and active learning. We begin by generating structures through random perturbation and scaling of lattices at equilibrium, combined with structures mined from literature. These structures undergo first-principles calculations to establish a mapping among their energies, forces, virials, and configurations, a process referred to as "Labelled by DFT". With these labelled structures, we fit the first-generation potential using the NEP approach and then execute the active learning process, i.e. MD simulation under specific thermodynamic conditions based on the first-generation potential, to generate new structures. Using the farthest point sampling (FPS) technique^[64, 65] based on NEP descriptor^[44], the most representative new structures are pruned and selected for further DFT calculations. The newly labelled structures are added to the dataset, and the training is iteratively performed until the potential reaches the desired accuracy.

The final training dataset used for training the NEP comprises 3,335 structures and 378,762 atoms, including 2,122 crystalline structures, 423 amorphous or liquid structures, 436 non-stoichiometric Al_xO_y structures, and 191 dimer or cluster structures. DFT calculations have been performed on all structures in the final dataset using the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP)



FIG. 2. A flowchart of dataset construction and potential fitting, along with the composition of the final dataset. The composition of the final dataset can be broadly categorized into four parts: crystals, non-stoichiometric Al_xO_y , dimers and clusters, and amorphous and liquid aluminas. Each part exhibits its variations. For crystals, phases or models with an asterisk (*) in the subscript indicate the existence of multiple variants, with only one variant shown in the illustration for brevity. The white sphere and deep blue sphere in the Smrčok model represent a possible position at 16c and 48f Wyckoff positions, respectively, while the remaining atoms together form the spinel configuration. Comprehensive details of the flowchart and the final dataset are provided in the supplementary materials.

[66, 67]. The projector augmented wave (PAW) method [68, 69] and the PBEsol functional [70] were employed. Compared to the PBE functional, the PBEsol functional provides a more accurate description of self-interaction for electrons, yielding results for properties such as lattice constants and bulk modulus that are closer to experimental values. Detailed information regarding the DFT calculations and NEP training hyperparameters can be found in the supplementary materials. The training process, conducted using this dataset on GPUMD[44], is illustrated in FIG. 3. FIG. 3(a) shows the evolution of each parameter in the loss function during the final training. FIG. 3(b)-(d) provide a more detailed depiction of the fitting accuracy and coverage range for energies, forces, and stresses, respectively. The histograms above each plot display the distribution of the corresponding data. Quantitatively, the RMSEs for the energy, force, and stress in the training dataset for this potential are 18.11 meV/atom, 250.88 meV/Å, and 81.01 MPa, respectively. Results for the test dataset of different compositions are presented in the supplementary materials (FIG. S1). Overall, considering the broad range of energies, forces, and stresses covered by our NEP, these metrics demonstrate its high accuracy. We will further validate its performance.

III. RESULTS

A. Crystalline structures

Crystalline polymorphs of aluminas, play a crucial role in the dataset and are extensively characterized, which are indispensable. In FIG. 4(a), the equation of state (EOS) curves of various crystalline aluminas, fitted from the NEP, are compared to the corresponding DFT calculations, serving as an important starting point for evaluating whether the potential can accurately capture the dynamics of various alumina polymorphs. The EOS curves reveal that α -Al₂O₃ possesses the lowest equilibrium volumetric energy, followed by κ -Al₂O₃, several variants of δ -Al₂O₃ and θ -Al₂O₃, a few structural models of γ -Al₂O₃, and the paglia2006 model with the highest equilibrium volumetric energy. Moreover, the significant overlap of the EOS curves near the equilibrium position for these transitional aluminas reflects the possibility of phase competition or coexistence, consistent with experimental observations^[1]. Although slight deviations from DFT results remain, the NEP correctly captures the energy sequence of different structures and the main features of the EOS curves. Additionally, the elastic constants of various alumina polymorphs are tested, showing



FIG. 3. (a) Evolution of the various terms in the loss function for the training dataset with respect to the generation, including the L_1 and L_2 regularization, the energy (*E*) RMSE, the force (*F*) RMSE, and the virial (*V*) RMSE. (b)-(d) Reflect the fitting of NEP to the energy, force, and stress information in the dataset, where stress can be calculated by dividing the virial per atom by the volume per atom. Subfigures (b)-(d) present the regression evaluation metrics, including RMSE, Mean absolute error (MAE), and R-squared (R²), with the histograms above each subfigure showing the corresponding data distribution. The solid lines in panels (b)-(d) are a guide for the eyes.

good agreement with the results from DFT calculations, as detailed in the supplementary materials (Table S2).

Phonons, as fundamental properties of materials, provide insights into thermodynamic behaviors. To verify the accuracy of the NEP in predicting thermodynamic properties of alumina, the phonon dispersions of α -Al₂O₃ are investigated, calculated using Phonopy [73]. The phonon dispersions obtained with the NEP closely align with the DFT results in the acoustic branches. Primary discrepancies emerge in the high-frequency optical branches near the Γ point. In ionic crystals, the macroscopic electric field induced by atomic displacements leads to the splitting of longitudinal and transverse optical (LO-TO) modes near the Γ point. Accurately capturing the impact of this LO-TO splitting on the phonon spectra requires considering Coulomb interactions between ions through the non-analytical correction (NAC) [73, 74]. However, the current NEP approach does not account for charge and polarization interactions, resulting in the lack of NAC and, consequently, inconsistencies between the NEP and DFT results in the highfrequency optical branches.

Nevertheless, since the phonon dispersion relations are obtained based on the quasi-harmonic approximation and the optical branches do not dominate the thermal transport properties of most materials, the thermal conductivity of α -Al₂O₃ is computed at various temperatures using the homogeneous nonequilibrium MD (HNEMD) method[75], with results showing good agreement with experiments[71, 72], as seen in FIG. 4(c). This indirectly indicates that, for α -Al₂O₃, the exclusion of the NAC does not have a significant impact on the thermal conductivity.

As shown in FIG. 4(d), the thermal expansion of α -Al₂O₃ is calculated using the NEP to further demonstrate that the NEP effectively captures higher-order interactions. The fitted results for the thermal expansion coefficient, $a_x \approx a_y = 1.05 \times 10^{-5} \text{ K}^{-1}$ and $a_z = 1.10 \times 10^{-5} \text{ K}^{-1}$, show excellent agreement with experimental measurement[76] and successfully capture the slight anisotropy of thermal expansion in α -Al₂O₃.

B. Amorphous and liquid aluminas

Simulating disordered materials using DFT-based methods is challenging due to high computational demand. Employing an MLIP offers a viable alternative for conducting accurate atomic-level studies of these systems. To validate the ability of our NEP in describing disordered aluminas, we conduct a series of tests, as detailed in the section below.

Since the process of melting α -Al₂O₃ to obtain liquid alumina is a phase transition, we first calculat the melting point of α -Al₂O₃ using the two-phase method. The result, 2530 K, is shown in FIG. 5(a), which is higher than the experimentally measured value of 2327 K[77]. This discrepancy could be attributed to the fact that the crystal model used in the MD simulations is a perfect, defect-free crystal, whereas experimental samples typically contain defects, free surfaces, and interfaces in contact with the container. Taking these factors into account, the error of approximately 10% in our NEP-based calculation is within an acceptable range.

The radial distribution function (RDF) is commonly employed to characterize short-range order in disordered systems. In this study, we utilize the RDF of liquid alumina at 3000 K, computed via *ab initio* molecular dvnamics (AIMD) using the PBEsol functional, as a benchmark for evaluating the accuracy of various interatomic potentials for liquid alumina. To ensure consistency in our comparisons and account for the limitations of AIMD in simulation scale, all calculations are performed on a 240-atom equilibrium supercell of α -Al₂O₃ within the NpT ensemble (isothermal-isobaric ensemble). As illustrated in FIG. 5(b)-(f), the AIMD-derived RDF reveals the first and second peaks, corresponding to the Al-O and O-O nearest neighbors, at 1.77 Å and 3.09 Å, respectively, which closely align with the experimentally reported values of 1.76 Å and 3.08 Å for liquid alumina [78], indicating that our AIMD results are highly reliable. Using the results from AIMD as a reference, we assess the empirical potentials of Vashishta^[79, 80], Alvarez^[81, 82],



FIG. 4. (a) The equation of state (EOS) curves for various alumina polymorphs were obtained by fitting the Birch-Murnaghan equation to the NEP-calculated results and were compared with the corresponding DFT-calculated points. Here, the volume and energy values are normalized per formula unit (f.u.) for comparison. The inset, which enlarges the region marked by the red dashed lines, is provided to more clearly show the energy ordering among these polymorphs. (b) Comparison of phonon spectra calculated by NEP (red) and DFT (blue). (c) The thermal conductivity of α -Al₂O₃ was calculated using the HNEMD method at different temperatures, ranging from 300 K to 2000 K, and compared with experimental results[71, 72]. (d) The thermal expansion coefficient of α -Al₂O₃ was fitted during continuous temperature increases from 100 K to 2000 K. The a-, b-, and c-axis are mutually perpendicular, with the c-axis representing the [0001] crystal orientation of α -Al₂O₃.

Matsui[83], and Streitz-Mintmire (SM)[84] against our NEP. Notable deviations from the AIMD results are observed in the peak radii of all empirical potentials, except for the Vashishta, which, however, is slightly inferior when compared to the NEP.

When α -Al₂O₃ melts, its structure and coordination number (CN) undergo significant changes. In α -Al₂O₃, Al cations occupy octahedral sites, surrounded by hexagonally close-packed O anions, resulting in a high Al-O CN (n_{AlO} = 6). However, in the molten disordered state, the Al-O CN deviates significantly from 6, forming a cornersharing network dominated by 4-fold tetrahedra along with some higher-coordinated Al[85, 86]. These structural changes also help explain the complex solidification behaviors observed when cooling the melt. For molten alumina, different quenching rates can produce various final products. According to prior studies, an a-Al₂O₃ can only form at quenching rates approaching 10⁵ K/s[87]. At relatively lower quenching rates, γ -Al₂O₃ can form directly from the melt[78, 87], while α -Al₂O₃ forms at quenching rates between 1 and 100 K/s[88]. This indicates that as the quenching rate decreases, the n_{AlO} of the final product increases.

We examine the structural details of a-Al₂O₃ generated at different densities and quenching rates to assess whether the NEP can reproduce the trends observed in experiments. To ensure complete melting of high-density alumina, the melting temperature is set uniformly to 4000 K. Initially, in an NpT ensemble, α -Al₂O₃ is held at 4000 K for 0.5 ns and then quenched to 300 K at



FIG. 5. (a) The melting point of α -Al₂O₃ under ambient conditions was calculated based on the two-phase method, compared with the experiment. And the comparison of RDF and AIMD results calculated by (b) NEP, (c) Vashishta, (d) Alvarez, (e) Matsui, and (f) Streitz-Mintmire (SM) for 240atom liquid aluminas at 3000 K.



FIG. 6. The influence of quenching rates and density on RDF of a-Al₂O₃. The inset, which enlarges the region marked by the red dashed lines, is reflected the relative height of the first peak of RDFs between three quenching rates. The quenching rates with densities indicate simulations conducted at fixed density, while the quenching rates under NpT indicate simulations conducted in the NpT ensemble.

TABLE I. Summary of average Al-O coordination number and speciation (%) of a-Al₂O₃ with different quenching rates (K/s) or final density (g/cm³) obtained using $R_{cut} = 2.2$ Å.

Quenching rates	10^{11}	10^{11}	10^{11}	10^{12}	10^{13}
ensemble	NVT	NVT	NpT	NpT	NpT
density	3.60	2.83	3.18	3.18	3.18
AlO_4	25.74	56.78	46.24	46.91	48.12
AlO_5	31.50	39.76	44.26	45.27	45.28
AlO_6	42.73	3.45	9.49	7.81	6.59
n_{AlO}	5.17	4.47	4.63	4.60	4.57

three different rates— 10^{11} , 10^{12} , and 10^{13} K/s—followed by another 0.5 ns equilibration. As shown in FIG. 6, we calculate the RDF for the $a-Al_2O_3$ produced at the three quenching rates and find that the RDFs nearly overlap, with the only noticeable difference being that the first RDF peak, corresponding to the Al-O nearestneighbor distance, decreases as the quenching rate slows. This suggests that slower quenching rates allow more relaxation time for the system to equilibrate, though the quenching rates used in our simulations are still much higher than those achievable in experiments due to time scale limitations. Furthermore, we find that the final density of the resulting $a-Al_2O_3$ is largely unaffected by the quenching rate, with all samples having a density of 3.18 g/cm^3 , which is consistent with the experimentally reported range for a- $Al_2O_3[89, 90]$. The CNs obtained for the three quenching rates presented in Table I are close to those found in previous theoretical studies [53, 90]. Additionally, it is indicated that slower quenching rates lead to higher average CNs, which is consistent with the experimental trend mentioned earlier. The melt-quench process is studied at two different densities, 2.83 and 3.60 g/cm^3 , using a quenching rate of 10^{11} K/s. The corresponding results, as presented in FIG. 6, demonstrate that the first RDF peak for the lower density sample (2.83 g/cm^3) is higher and broader. Coupled with the calculated average CN of $n_{AlO} = 4.47$, this suggests that the a-Al₂O₃ obtained from the low-density quenching resembles more closely the liquid alumina, which has a n_{AlO} of 4.4[78]. In contrast, the quenched sample with a higher density of 3.60 g/cm^3 exhibits features in both the RDF and CN that are more characteristic of a crystalline structure.

C. Phase diagrams

The phase transitions between α -Al₂O₃ and its transition phases are the subject of extensive research. Most studies focus on understanding the transition pathways. The main mechanisms include diffusional and martensitic transitions[1, 91], symmetry-constrainted transition paths[92], and mutual transitions between the γ - and θ -Al₂O₃ analyzed through first-principles calculations[93]. The recently proposed "synchro-shear" model, initially developed to describe the γ -to- α transition in Fe₂O₃[94], is adapted for explaining the θ (or γ)-to- α transition in alumina^[95]. However, research on the phase diagram of aluminas focuses on α -Al₂O₃ and its potential highpressure phases[96-100], with less attention given to the phase relationships between α -Al₂O₃ and its transitional forms. To the best of our knowledge, only one prior theoretical study, conducted by Zhou and co-workers^[101], explores the DFT-calculated pressure-temperature (PT) phase diagram of α -Al₂O₃ and some of its transitional phases. However, they use the quasi-harmonic approximation (QHA) to estimate phase boundaries, which may not accurately capture the dynamics of materials at high temperatures. Additionally, their study does not account for δ -Al₂O₃ or other partial-occupancy structural models of γ -Al₂O₃, and lacks a detailed discussion on structural phase transitions of aluminas under high-temperature and high-pressure conditions.

In this section, the NETI method [45-47], based on the NEP, is employed as it fully accounts for anharmonic effects, thereby providing a more accurate description of phase transitions. The NETI method estimates the desired free energy difference by traversing the thermodynamic path between the target and reference systems through a time-dependent process. Unlike the equilibrium TI approach [102], the NETI method has been shown to yield accurate results using only a limited number of relatively short non-equilibrium simulations^[103]. Using this method, the phase diagrams for θ -Al₂O₃, four variants of δ -Al₂O₃, four models of γ -Al₂O₃ (Pinto, Digne, Paglia2006, and Ouyang), and α -Al₂O₃ are calculated. The results are shown in FIG. 7(a), where we calculate the PT phase diagram within the ranges of [0, 2300] K and [-10, 0] GPa. It is evident that α -Al₂O₃ remains stable around ambient conditions, indicating that other transitional aluminas are metastable under ambient conditions from a computational standpoint.

The four variants of δ -Al₂O₃ exhibit nearly identical slopes for their phase boundaries relative to the θ on the PT phase diagram, which suggests that these variants share essential lattice characteristics. This finding is consistent with experimental studies, which can only distinguish these four variants through differences in intergrowth directions and stacking sequences of structural motifs[51, 52]. Moreover, the relatively narrow phase region between the θ and δ phase suggests that some δ -Al₂O₃ variants may coexist with the θ phase under certain thermodynamic conditions[1, 52]. The κ -Al₂O₃ appears at higher temperatures and minor negative pressures, aligning with its higher density characteristic (3.98 g/cm³).

Within the investigated pressure and temperature range, no stable regions are identified for the Pinto, Digne, Paglia2006, and Ouyang models of γ -Al₂O₃, indicating an absence of phase boundaries between these models and δ -Al₂O₃. This absence of stable regions may be attributed to the fact that δ -Al₂O₃ is generally regarded as a superstructure of γ -Al₂O₃[104], a concept that has consistently been reflected in the conventions of lattice constant notation found in the related literature. In these conventions, the lattice constants of δ -Al₂O₃ are often described as multiples of those of γ -Al₂O₃, from the early model proposed by Lippens *et al.*[105] to the four δ -Al₂O₃ variants used in this study. Additionally, electron diffraction studies by Jayaram and Levi[106] on the γ -to- δ transition reveal that this transition is a continuous process[1, 107] marked by an increase in cation ordering while the anion arrangement remains unchanged. As a result, it is challenging to determine an accurate phase boundary between γ - and δ -Al₂O₃ using free energy calculations alone.

Although MLIPs typically exhibit limited extrapolation capabilities [16], appropriate extrapolation tests can be conducted to evaluate whether phase space of the target system has been sufficiently explored. Notably, our dataset does not explicitly include high-pressure alumina phases. To assess the extrapolation capability of our NEP, the high-pressure phase diagram of aluminas is further computed. Specifically, the phase boundaries for the transitions from α -Al₂O₃ to the Rh₂O₃(II)-type structure and from the $Rh_2O_3(II)$ -type to the CaIrO₃-type structure are calculated. While there is some discrepancy between our calculated phase boundaries and the experimental results shown in FIG. 7(b), the trend of the α to $Rh_2O_3(II)$ -type alumina transition is consistent with experimental observations. Besides, experimental studies by Funamori *et al.* [108] and Lin *et al.* [96] observe that the transition from α to the Rh₂O₃(II)-type alumina occurs near 90 GPa, which shows a better agreement with our calculated results. Additionally, the phase boundary lies within the range reported in earlier theoretical studies employing different approximations [97]. At 1000 K and 1800 K, the Clapeyron slopes $(dP/dT = \Delta S/\Delta V)$ for the α -Al₂O₃ to Rh₂O₃(II)-type transition are -3.90 and -6.15 MPa/K, respectively, compared to theoretical values of -3.6[97] and $-6.5\pm1.5[100]$ MPa/K reported in literature.

The predicted phase boundary for the $Rh_2O_3(II)$ - to CaIrO₃-type alumina transition aligns well with previous calculations in the low-temperature range (0-2000 K)[97], although the accuracy diminishes above 2000 K. At 1000 K, the Clapeyron slope for the $Rh_2O_3(II)$ - to CaIrO₃type alumina transition is -4.04 MPa/K, compared to a literature value of -9.4 MPa/K[97]. These results indicate that, while the former transition is accurately captured within the precision range, the latter shows a larger deviation as temperature increases. However, qualitatively, the NEP correctly predicts negative Clapeyron slopes for both phase transitions (at least up to 2000 K for the $Rh_2O_3(II)$ - to CaIrO₃-type alumina transition), indicating that the trend of increasing vibrational entropy across the phase transitions is accurately captured. Importantly, despite the absence of high-pressure alumina phases in our dataset, we are able to accurately predict the phase boundaries of alumina under moderate high pressure. This suggests that our NEP is well-trained, and the structural space is comprehensively explored during the construction of the final dataset.



FIG. 7. Phase diagram of aluminas calculated by NEP. (a) The phase diagram of α -Al₂O₃ and transitional aluminas; the dash-dot line represents the phase boundary between κ and jp8bs1. Regarding the transition between the θ - and δ -Al₂O₃, at 0 K, the lines from top to bottom represent the phase boundaries between θ and jp50s1, θ and jp50s2, θ and jp8bs2, and θ and jp8bs1, respectively. (b) The phase diagram of α -Al₂O₃ and high-pressure phases. The scatter points with error bars represent experimental results[100].

D. Structures of γ -alumina

Handling the inherently defective structures of γ - Al_2O_3 presents significant challenges in both experimental and theoretical studies. While structural models are developed based on physical intuition to closely fit experimental data, accurately determining the distribution of cations within these models remains difficult. The complex energy landscape arising from defect distribution further complicates the search for energetically favorable structures using conventional numerical methods. Additionally, at larger scales, new degrees of freedom, such as antiphase boundaries that influence structural stability, emerge^[14]. Traditional structure search methods typically rely on constraints related to symmetry or thermodynamic conditions, rendering them unsuitable for addressing the above complexities. Furthermore, DFT methods, due to their high computational cost, are not capable of evaluating energetically favorable features in large-scale structures. However, with the advent of MLIPs, it is feasible to explore energetically favorable structures in such inherently defective systems. By using the cation distribution as a constraint, MLIPs enable an efficient and affordable search for energetically favorable structures within this complex energy landscape.

Paglia exhaustively analyzes structural models of γ -Al₂O₃ with space groups Fd $\bar{3}$ m or I4₁amd. It is found that when cations occupy spinel sites in specific proportions, there are approximately 1.47 billion possible configurations[109]. Clearly, when considering more

occupation sites, the number of possible combinations increases dramatically, even under certain constraints. This complexity in γ -Al₂O₃ has prompted us to develop a structure search workflow based on the differential evolution algorithm[110]. In this approach, we treat the possible site distributions within the corresponding space groups of γ -Al₂O₃ as variables subject to crossover, mutation, and iteration. The NEP is then used to optimize the structures, with the resulting optimized energy serving as a criterion for structural stability.

As previously mentioned, the Smrčok model has recently been confirmed to better align with experimental results on high-quality single-crystal samples of γ -Al₂O₃. Under this model, a structural search for the cation distribution is conducted based on the lattice parameters and other essential information provided in Ref. [48]. Using this workflow and leveraging the NEP, we identify energetically stable γ -Al₂O₃ structures in approximately 500 generations of a structure search with a population size of 30 per generation, although additional generations are performed to ensure convergence (see FIG. S3 in the supplementary materials). Subsequently, the 20 lowest-energy configurations are selected from the identified structures for further processing and analysis, as shown in Table II.

By comparing the occupation number of spinel sites to that of non-spinel sites, we find that the average ratio obtained from the 20 lowest-energy structures is 97:3, slightly higher than the 94:6 reported in Smrčok's original paper[48]. This discrepancy might be due to the

TABLE II. Summary of the distribution of cations amongst the possible site positions. The Wyckoff positions and possible numbers of sites where Al cations can occupy in the Smrčok model with 160 atoms supercell, the maximum and minimum occupancy (occ.) numbers of these sites found in the actual structure search, and the 2σ range were provide.

Wyckoff position	8a	16c	16d	48f
Possible occ. (/supercell)	24	48	48	144
Min occ.	21	0	37	0
Max occ.	24	3	41	2
Average	22.75	1.25	39.20	0.8
2σ range	21.09-24.4	-0.8-3.3	37.2 - 41.2	-0.8-2.4

presence of high-energy occupancies in the experimental sample, where local atomic constraints prevent full relaxation. Luo[49] proposes a structure with the I4₁ and space group, based on the Paglia2003 model, which is also reported to be in good agreement with experimental spectroscopic data. However, our results based on Luo model deviate significantly from the reported ratio. This difference could be due to the presence of nearest-neighbor 4a-8d cation pairs[111] in the Paglia2003 model, which are not allowed due to strong Coulomb repulsion between these cation pairs[1]. In contrast, we do not observe such nearest-neighbor cation pairs in the low-energy structures generated under the Smrčok model.

IV. DISCUSSION

In this work, we focus on the aluminas, developing a nearly universal MLIP based on the NEP approach. The developed NEP is subjected to rigorous testing, covering a wide range of properties from equilibrium states to extreme thermodynamic conditions. We calculate the elastic constants and fit the equations of state for all alumina polymorphs included in the final dataset, comparing the results with those obtained from DFT calculations. Taking α -Al₂O₃ as an example, we compare and analyze the phonon spectra calculated using NEP and DFT. The accurate description of anharmonic interactions of phonons in α -Al₂O₃ by NEP is confirmed through simulations of thermal expansion and thermal conductivity. Using non-equilibrium thermodynamic integration method, we construct a phase diagram that includes α -Al₂O₃ and its transitional aluminas. Furthermore, through moderate extrapolation, we obtain a phase diagram of highpressure alumina structures that are not present in the final training dataset. The analysis of the phase diagram under high pressure fully demonstrates the robustness of our NEP.

After validating the reliability and accuracy of the potential, we make efforts to gain a deeper understanding of the structure of γ -Al₂O₃. Given its structural complexity and recognizing the limitations of existing methods in addressing such problems, we develop a search workflow that can effectively identify energetically favorable structures in systems containing intrinsic vacancies. The workflow allows us to quickly examine the correctness of the Smrčok model and the Luo model, two models that have recently been shown to agree well with spectroscopic measurements. We provide an NEP-based understanding of the accuracy of both structural models. This workflow enables high-precision validation of existing $\gamma\text{-}$ Al_2O_3 models and provides a rapid evaluation method for designing new, viable γ -Al₂O₃ structures. It can be extended to systems of any size, enabling the study of larger-scale structural features.

We hope that our work on aluminas, along with the datasets and resources we have developed, as well as the structure search workflow designed for vacancystructured systems, will inspire further research and understanding of transitional aluminas, particularly the structure of γ -Al₂O₃. Building on the dataset we have prepared, we plan to expand our investigations to include other transitional alumina phases not discussed in this work, and to further explore the high-pressure phases of alumina, clarifying their structural details and evolutionary characteristics.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The final potential of aluminas and associated DFT reference data, as well as relevant structural data, are openly available in the Zenodo repository at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13850687.

CODE AVAILABILITY

Alongside the data, the structure search workflow we developed for this project has been made publicly available at https://github.com/luowh35/DELTA

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (Grants No. 2022A1515011618), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, Sun Yat-sen University (Grants No. 23ptpy158), Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Magnetoelectric Physics and Devices (No. 2022B1212010008).

[1] I. Levin and D. Brandon, Metastable alumina polymorphs: Crystal structures and transition sequences, Journal of the American Ceramic Society 81, 1995

(1998).

- [2] J. Rouquerol, K. S. Sing, and P. Llewellyn, 11 adsorption by metal oxides, in Adsorption by Powders and Porous Solids (Second Edition), edited by F. Rouquerol, J. Rouquerol, K. Sing, P. Llewellyn, and G. Maurin (Academic Press, Oxford, 2014) second edition ed., pp. 393-465.
- [3] S. D. Jackson and J. S. Hargreaves, Metal oxide catalysis, 2 volume set, Vol. 1 (John Wiley & Sons, 2008).
- [4] L. K. Hudson, C. Misra, A. J. Perrotta, K. Wefers, and F. Williams, Aluminum oxide, Ullmann's encyclopedia of industrial chemistry (2000).
- [5] H. Abou-Ziyan, D. Abd El-Raheim, O. Mahmoud, and M. Fatouh, Performance characteristics of thinmultilayer activated alumina bed, Applied Energy 190, 29 (2017).
- [6] M. Halvarsson, V. Langer, and S. Vuorinen, X-ray powder diffraction data for κ-al2o3, Powder Diffraction 14, 61 (1999).
- [7] J. Kohn, G. Katz, and J. Broder, Characterization of β-ga2o3 and its alumina isomorph, θ-al2o3, American Mineralogist: Journal of Earth and Planetary Materials 42, 398 (1957).
- [8] V. Jayaram and C. Levi, The structure of δ -alumina evolved from the melt and the $\gamma \rightarrow \delta$ transformation, Acta metallurgica **37**, 569 (1989).
- [9] H. C. Stumpf, A. S. Russell, J. Newsome, and C. Tucker, Thermal transformations of aluminas and alumina hydrates-reaction with 44% technical acid., Industrial & Engineering Chemistry 42, 1398 (1950).
- [10] E. Verwey, Electrolytic conduction of a solid insulator at high fields the formation of the anodic oxide film on aluminium, Physica 2, 1059 (1935).
- [11] R.-S. Zhou and R. L. Snyder, Structures and transformation mechanisms of the η , γ and θ transition aluminas, Acta Crystallographica Section B: Structural Science **47**, 617 (1991).
- [12] R. Prins, On the structure of γ -al2o3, Journal of Catalysis **392**, 336 (2020).
- [13] H. O. Ayoola, S. D. House, C. S. Bonifacio, K. Kisslinger, W. A. Saidi, and J. C. Yang, Evaluating the accuracy of common γ-al2o3 structure models by selected area electron diffraction from high-quality crystalline γ-al2o3, Acta Materialia 182, 257 (2020).
- [14] L. Kovarik, M. Bowden, K. Khivantsev, J. H. Kwak, and J. Szanyi, Structural complexity of γ -al2o3: The nature of vacancy ordering and the structure of complex antiphase boundaries, Acta Materialia **266**, 119639 (2024).
- [15] W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation effects, Physical review 140, A1133 (1965).
- [16] J. Behler and M. Parrinello, Generalized neural-network representation of high-dimensional potential-energy surfaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 146401 (2007).
- [17] J. Behler, Atom-centered symmetry functions for constructing high-dimensional neural network potentials, The Journal of chemical physics 134 (2011).
- [18] J. Behler, Neural network potential-energy surfaces in chemistry: a tool for large-scale simulations, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 13, 17930 (2011).
- [19] L. Bonati and M. Parrinello, Silicon liquid structure and crystal nucleation from ab initio deep metadynamics, Physical review letters 121, 265701 (2018).

- [20] A. P. Bartók, J. Kermode, N. Bernstein, and G. Csányi, Machine learning a general-purpose interatomic potential for silicon, Physical Review X 8, 041048 (2018).
- [21] P. Rowe, V. L. Deringer, P. Gasparotto, G. Csányi, and A. Michaelides, An accurate and transferable machine learning potential for carbon, The Journal of Chemical Physics 153 (2020).
- [22] V. L. Deringer, M. A. Caro, and G. Csányi, A generalpurpose machine-learning force field for bulk and nanostructured phosphorus, Nature communications 11, 5461 (2020).
- [23] C. Chen and S. P. Ong, A universal graph deep learning interatomic potential for the periodic table, Nature Computational Science 2, 718 (2022).
- [24] K. Song, R. Zhao, J. Liu, Y. Wang, E. Lindgren, Y. Wang, S. Chen, K. Xu, T. Liang, P. Ying, *et al.*, General-purpose machine-learned potential for 16 elemental metals and their alloys, arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.04732 (2023).
- [25] I. Batatia, P. Benner, Y. Chiang, A. M. Elena, D. P. Kovács, J. Riebesell, X. R. Advincula, M. Asta, W. J. Baldwin, N. Bernstein, *et al.*, A foundation model for atomistic materials chemistry, arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.00096 (2023).
- [26] B. Cheng, G. Mazzola, C. J. Pickard, and M. Ceriotti, Evidence for supercritical behaviour of high-pressure liquid hydrogen, Nature 585, 217 (2020).
- [27] S. Wengert, G. Csányi, K. Reuter, and J. T. Margraf, Data-efficient machine learning for molecular crystal structure prediction, Chemical science 12, 4536 (2021).
- [28] H. Dong, Y. Shi, P. Ying, K. Xu, T. Liang, Y. Wang, Z. Zeng, X. Wu, W. Zhou, S. Xiong, *et al.*, Molecular dynamics simulations of heat transport using machinelearned potentials: A mini-review and tutorial on gpumd with neuroevolution potentials, Journal of Applied Physics **135** (2024).
- [29] P. Richard, A. Castellano, R. Béjaud, L. Baguet, J. Bouchet, G. Geneste, and F. Bottin, Ab initio phase diagram of gold in extreme conditions, Physical Review Letters 131, 206101 (2023).
- [30] N. Artrith, T. Morawietz, and J. Behler, Highdimensional neural-network potentials for multicomponent systems: Applications to zinc oxide, Physical Review B—Condensed Matter and Materials Physics 83, 153101 (2011).
- [31] M. F. Calegari Andrade and A. Selloni, Structure of disordered tio₂ phases from ab initio based deep neural network simulations, Physical Review Materials 4, 113803 (2020).
- [32] J. Timmermann, F. Kraushofer, N. Resch, P. Li, Y. Wang, Z. Mao, M. Riva, Y. Lee, C. Staacke, M. Schmid, *et al.*, Iro₂ surface complexions identified through machine learning and surface investigations, Physical review letters **125**, 206101 (2020).
- [33] J. Zhao, J. Byggmästar, H. He, K. Nordlund, F. Djurabekova, and M. Hua, Complex ga₂o₃ polymorphs explored by accurate and general-purpose machine-learning interatomic potentials, npj Computational Materials **9**, 159 (2023).
- [34] G. Sivaraman, L. Gallington, A. N. Krishnamoorthy, M. Stan, G. Csányi, Á. Vázquez-Mayagoitia, and C. J. Benmore, Experimentally driven automated machinelearned interatomic potential for a refractory oxide,

Physical Review Letters 126, 156002 (2021).

- [35] L. Ma, J. Wu, T. Zhu, Y. Huang, Q. Lu, and S. Liu, Ultrahigh oxygen ion mobility in ferroelectric hafnia, Physical Review Letters 131, 256801 (2023).
- [36] L. C. Erhard, J. Rohrer, K. Albe, and V. L. Deringer, A machine-learned interatomic potential for silica and its relation to empirical models, npj Computational Materials 8, 90 (2022).
- [37] L. C. Erhard, J. Rohrer, K. Albe, and V. L. Deringer, Modelling atomic and nanoscale structure in the silicon– oxygen system through active machine learning, Nature Communications 15, 1927 (2024).
- [38] J. Tiwari and T. Feng, Accurate prediction of thermal conductivity of al₂o₃ at ultrahigh temperatures, Physical Review B **109**, 075201 (2024).
- [39] C. Rodríguez-Martínez, T. Schwedek, E. Salazar, and X. Bokhimi, Utilizing wyckoff sites to construct machine-learning-driven interatomic potentials for crystalline materials: A case study on α -alumina, The Journal of Physical Chemistry C **128**, 1746 (2024).
- [40] W. Li, Y. Ando, and S. Watanabe, Effects of density and composition on the properties of amorphous alumina: A high-dimensional neural network potential study, The Journal of Chemical Physics 153 (2020).
- [41] T. Du, H. Liu, L. Tang, S. S. Sørensen, M. Bauchy, and M. M. Smedskjaer, Predicting fracture propensity in amorphous alumina from its static structure using machine learning, ACS nano 15, 17705 (2021).
- [42] Z. Fan, Z. Zeng, C. Zhang, Y. Wang, K. Song, H. Dong, Y. Chen, and T. Ala-Nissila, Neuroevolution machine learning potentials: Combining high accuracy and low cost in atomistic simulations and application to heat transport, Physical Review B 104, 104309 (2021).
- [43] Z. Fan, Improving the accuracy of the neuroevolution machine learning potential for multi-component systems, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 34, 125902 (2022).
- [44] Z. Fan, Y. Wang, P. Ying, K. Song, J. Wang, Y. Wang, Z. Zeng, K. Xu, E. Lindgren, J. M. Rahm, *et al.*, Gpumd: A package for constructing accurate machinelearned potentials and performing highly efficient atomistic simulations, The Journal of Chemical Physics 157 (2022).
- [45] M. de Koning, A. Antonelli, and S. Yip, Singlesimulation determination of phase boundaries: A dynamic clausius-clapeyron integration method, The Journal of Chemical Physics 115, 11025 (2001).
- [46] R. Freitas, M. Asta, and M. De Koning, Nonequilibrium free-energy calculation of solids using lammps, Computational Materials Science 112, 333 (2016).
- [47] S. Cajahuaringa and A. Antonelli, Non-equilibrium freeenergy calculation of phase-boundaries using lammps, Computational Materials Science 207, 111275 (2022).
- [48] L. Smrčok, V. Langer, and J. Křest'an, γ-alumina: a single-crystal x-ray diffraction study, Acta Crystallographica Section C: Crystal Structure Communications 62, i83 (2006).
- [49] Z. Luo, Structure of boehmite-derived γ -alumina and its transformation mechanism revealed by electron crystallography, Acta Crystallographica Section B: Structural Science, Crystal Engineering and Materials **77**, 772 (2021).
- [50] P. Liu and J. Skogsmo, Space-group determination and structure model for κ -al2o3 by convergent-beam elec-

tron diffraction (cbed), Acta Crystallographica Section B: Structural Science **47**, 425 (1991).

- [51] L. Kovarik, M. Bowden, A. Genc, J. Szanyi, C. H. Peden, and J. H. Kwak, Structure of δ -alumina: toward the atomic level understanding of transition alumina phases, The Journal of Physical Chemistry C **118**, 18051 (2014).
- [52] L. Kovarik, M. Bowden, D. Shi, J. Szanyi, and C. H. Peden, Structural intergrowth in δ -al2o3, The Journal of Physical Chemistry C **123**, 9454 (2019).
- [53] C. V. Chandran, C. E. Kirschhock, S. Radhakrishnan, F. Taulelle, J. A. Martens, and E. Breynaert, Alumina: discriminative analysis using 3d correlation of solidstate nmr parameters, Chemical Society Reviews 48, 134 (2019).
- [54] M.-H. Lee, C.-F. Cheng, V. Heine, and J. Klinowski, Distribution of tetrahedral and octahedral a1 sites in gamma alumina, Chemical Physics Letters 265, 673 (1997).
- [55] B. Ealet, M. Elyakhloufi, E. Gillet, and M. Ricci, Electronic and crystallographic structure of γ -alumina thin films, Thin solid films **250**, 92 (1994).
- [56] H. P. Pinto, R. M. Nieminen, and S. D. Elliott, Ab initio study of γ- al 2 o 3 surfaces, Physical review B 70, 125402 (2004).
- [57] M. Digne, P. Sautet, P. Raybaud, P. Euzen, and H. Toulhoat, Use of dft to achieve a rational understanding of acid-basic properties of γ -alumina surfaces, Journal of Catalysis **226**, 54 (2004).
- [58] G. Paglia, C. E. Buckley, A. L. Rohl, B. A. Hunter, R. D. Hart, J. V. Hanna, and L. T. Byrne, Tetragonal structure model for boehmite-derived γ-alumina, Phys. Rev. B 68, 144110 (2003).
- [59] F. D. Cortes-Vega, W. Yang, J. Zarate-Medina, S. R. Brankovic, J. M. Herrera Ramírez, and F. C. Robles Hernandez, Room-temperature synthesis of χ-al2o3 and ruby (α-cr:al2o3), CrystEngComm **20**, 3505 (2018).
- [60] G. Busca, Structural, surface, and catalytic properties of aluminas, in *Advances in catalysis*, Vol. 57 (Elsevier, 2014) pp. 319–404.
- [61] C. Y. Ouyang, i. c. v. Šljivančanin, and A. Baldereschi, First-principles study of γ-al₂o₃ (100) surface, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 235410 (2009).
- [62] G. Paglia, E. S. Božin, and S. J. Billinge, Fine-scale nanostructure in γ-al2o3, Chemistry of Materials 18, 3242 (2006).
- [63] R. Drautz, Atomic cluster expansion for accurate and transferable interatomic potentials, Phys. Rev. B 99, 014104 (2019).
- [64] S. De, A. P. Bartók, G. Csányi, and M. Ceriotti, Comparing molecules and solids across structural and alchemical space, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 18, 13754 (2016).
- [65] A. P. Bartók, S. De, C. Poelking, N. Bernstein, J. R. Kermode, G. Csányi, and M. Ceriotti, Machine learning unifies the modeling of materials and molecules, Science advances 3, e1701816 (2017).
- [66] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set, Computational materials science 6, 15 (1996).
- [67] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set, Physical Review B 54, 11169 (1996).

- [68] P. E. Blöchl, Projector augmented-wave method, Physical Review B 50, 17953 (1994).
- [69] G. Kresse and D. Joubert, From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method, Physical Review B 59, 1758 (1999).
- [70] J. P. Perdew, A. Ruzsinszky, G. I. Csonka, O. A. Vydrov, G. E. Scuseria, L. A. Constantin, X. Zhou, and K. Burke, Restoring the density-gradient expansion for exchange in solids and surfaces, Physical Review Letters 100, 136406 (2008).
- [71] D. G. Cahill, S.-M. Lee, and T. I. Selinder, Thermal conductivity of κ -al 2 o 3 and α -al 2 o 3 wear-resistant coatings, Journal of applied physics **83**, 5783 (1998).
- [72] R. Powell, C. Y. Ho, and P. E. Liley, *Thermal conductivity of selected materials*, Vol. 8 (US Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards Washington, DC, 1966).
- [73] A. Togo and I. Tanaka, First principles phonon calculations in materials science, Scripta Materialia 108, 1 (2015).
- [74] R. M. Pick, M. H. Cohen, and R. M. Martin, Microscopic theory of force constants in the adiabatic approximation, Physical Review B 1, 910 (1970).
- [75] Z. Fan, H. Dong, A. Harju, and T. Ala-Nissila, Homogeneous nonequilibrium molecular dynamics method for heat transport and spectral decomposition with manybody potentials, Physical Review B **99**, 064308 (2019).
- [76] Y. S. Touloukian, Thermal expansion, Nonmetallic solids, Thermophysical properties of matter 13, 244 (1977).
- [77] S. Schneider, Cooperative determination of the melting point of alumina, Pure and Applied Chemistry 21, 115 (1970).
- [78] S. Ansell, S. Krishnan, J. R. Weber, J. J. Felten, P. C. Nordine, M. A. Beno, D. L. Price, and M.-L. Saboungi, Structure of liquid aluminum oxide, Physical Review Letters 78, 464 (1997).
- [79] P. Vashishta, R. K. Kalia, A. Nakano, and J. P. Rino, Interaction potentials for alumina and molecular dynamics simulations of amorphous and liquid alumina, Journal of Applied Physics **103** (2008).
- [80] P. Vashishta, R. K. Kalia, A. Nakano, and J. P. Rino, Erratum:" molecular dynamics simulation studies of amorphous and liquid alumina" (j. appl. phys. 103, 083504 (2008), Journal of Applied Physics 105, 59901 (2009).
- [81] L. J. Alvarez, L. E. Leon, J. F. Sanz, M. J. Capitan, and J. A. Odriozola, Surface structure of cubic aluminum oxide, Physical Review B 50, 2561 (1994).
- [82] L. J. Alvarez, L. E. Leon, J. F. Sanz, M. J. Capitan, and J. A. Odriozola, Computer simulation of. gamma.al2o3 microcrystal, The Journal of Physical Chemistry 99, 17872 (1995).
- [83] M. Matsui, A transferable interatomic potential model for crystals and melts in the system cao-mgo-al2o3-sio2, Mineralogical Magazine 58, 571 (1994).
- [84] F. H. Streitz and J. W. Mintmire, Electrostatic potentials for metal-oxide surfaces and interfaces, Phys. Rev. B 50, 11996 (1994).
- [85] D. R. Neuville, D. de Ligny, L. Cormier, G. S. Henderson, J. Roux, A.-M. Flank, and P. Lagarde, The crystal and melt structure of spinel and alumina at high temperature: An in-situ xanes study at the al and mg k-edge, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 73, 3410

(2009).

- [86] L. B. Skinner, A. C. Barnes, P. S. Salmon, L. Hennet, H. E. Fischer, C. J. Benmore, S. Kohara, J. R. Weber, A. Bytchkov, M. C. Wilding, *et al.*, Joint diffraction and modeling approach to the structure of liquid alumina, Physical Review B—Condensed Matter and Materials Physics 87, 024201 (2013).
- [87] C. Levi, V. Jayaram, J. Valencia, and R. Mehrabian, Phase selection in electrohydrodynamic atomization of alumina, Journal of Materials Research 3, 969 (1988).
- [88] J. R. Weber, C. D. Anderson, D. R. Merkley, and P. C. Nordine, Solidification behavior of undercooled liquid aluminum oxide, Journal of the American Ceramic Society 78, 577 (1995).
- [89] S.-M. Lee, D. G. Cahill, and T. H. Allen, Thermal conductivity of sputtered oxide films, Physical review B 52, 253 (1995).
- [90] C. Shi, O. L. Alderman, D. Berman, J. Du, J. Neuefeind, A. Tamalonis, J. R. Weber, J. You, and C. J. Benmore, The structure of amorphous and deeply supercooled liquid alumina, Frontiers in Materials 6, 38 (2019).
- [91] R. Bagwell, G. Messing, and P. Howell, The formation of α -al2o3 from θ -al2o3: The relevance of a "critical size" and: Diffusional nucleation or "synchro-shear"?, Journal of Materials Science **36**, 1833 (2001).
- [92] I. Levin, L. Bendersky, D. Brandon, and M. Rühle, Cubic to monoclinic phase transformations in alumina, Acta materialia 45, 3659 (1997).
- [93] S.-H. Cai, S. N. Rashkeev, S. T. Pantelides, and K. Sohlberg, Phase transformation mechanism between γ -and θ -alumina, Physical review B **67**, 224104 (2003).
- [94] S. Kachi, K. Momiyama, and S. Shimizu, An electron diffraction study and a theory of the transformation from γ -fe2o3 to α -fe2o3, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan **18**, 106 (1963).
- [95] Y. Huang, X. Peng, and X.-Q. Chen, The mechanism of θ -to α -al2o3 phase transformation, Journal of Alloys and Compounds **863**, 158666 (2021).
- [96] J.-F. Lin, O. Degtyareva, C. T. Prewitt, P. Dera, N. Sata, E. Gregoryanz, H.-K. Mao, and R. J. Hemley, Crystal structure of a high-pressure/high-temperature phase of alumina by in situ x-ray diffraction, Nature Materials 3, 389 (2004).
- [97] J. Tsuchiya, T. Tsuchiya, and R. M. Wentzcovitch, Transition from the rh 2 o 3 (ii)-to-ca ir o 3 structure and the high-pressure-temperature phase diagram of alumina, Physical Review B—Condensed Matter and Materials Physics 72, 020103 (2005).
- [98] R. Caracas and R. Cohen, Prediction of a new phase transition in al2o3 at high pressures, Geophysical research letters **32** (2005).
- [99] K. Umemoto and R. M. Wentzcovitch, Prediction of an u2s3-type polymorph of al2o3 at 3.7 mbar, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 105, 6526 (2008).
- [100] J. Kato, K. Hirose, H. Ozawa, and Y. Ohishi, Highpressure experiments on phase transition boundaries between corundum, rh2o3 (ii)-and cairo3-type structures in al2o3, American Mineralogist 98, 335 (2013).
- [101] H. Zhou, Y. Ji, Y. Wang, K. Feng, B. Luan, X. Zhang, and L.-Q. Chen, First-principles lattice dynamics and thermodynamic properties of α-, θ-, κ-and γ-al2o3 and solid state temperature-pressure phase diagram, Acta Materialia **263**, 119513 (2024).

- [102] J. G. Kirkwood, Statistical mechanics of fluid mixtures, The Journal of chemical physics 3, 300 (1935).
- [103] C. Jarzynski, Nonequilibrium equality for free energy differences, Physical Review Letters 78, 2690 (1997).
- [104] I. Levin, L. Bendersky, D. Brandon, and M. Rühle, Cubic to monoclinic phase transformations in alumina, Acta Materialia 45, 3659 (1997).
- [105] B. Lippens and J. De Boer, Study of phase transformations during calcination of aluminum hydroxides by selected area electron diffraction, Acta Crystallographica 17, 1312 (1964).
- [106] V. Jayaram and C. Levi, The structure of δ -alumina evolved from the melt and the $\gamma \rightarrow \delta$ transformation, Acta metallurgica **37**, 569 (1989).
- [107] S. Wilson, The dehydration of boehmite, γ-alooh, to γal203, Journal of Solid State Chemistry 30, 247 (1979).

- [108] N. Funamori and R. Jeanloz, High-pressure transformation of al203, Science 278, 1109 (1997).
- [109] G. Paglia, A. L. Rohl, C. E. Buckley, and J. D. Gale, Determination of the structure of γ -alumina from interatomic potential and first-principles calculations: The requirement of significant numbers of nonspinel positions to achieve an accurate structural model, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 224115 (2005).
- [110] R. Storn and K. Price, Differential evolution-a simple and efficient heuristic for global optimization over continuous spaces, Journal of global optimization 11, 341 (1997).
- [111] The equivalent spinel sites in a tetragonal lattice with $I4_1$ and symmetry are the 4a position (tetrahedrally coordinated) and the 8d position (octahedrally coordinated) in the Wyckoff notation.