Insensitizing controls of a volume-surface reaction-diffusion equation with dynamic boundary conditions

Idriss Boutaayamou[a], Fouad Et-tahri[b,*], Lahcen Maniar[c,d]

[a]*Lab-SIV, Polydisciplinary Faculty-Ouarzazate, Ibnou Zohr University, Ouarzazate, 45000, B.P. 638, Morocco*
[b]*Lab-SIV, Faculty of Sciences-Agadir, Ibnou Zohr University, Agadir, B.P. 8106, Morocco*
[c]*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Semlalia, LMDP, UMMISCO (IRD-UPMC), Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakesh, 40000, B.P. 2390, Morocco*
[d]*University Mohammed VI Polytechnic, Vanguard Center, Benguerir, Morocco*

Abstract

This paper deals with the insensitizing controllability property of the quasilinear parabolic equation with dynamic boundary conditions. This problem can be reformulated as a null controllability problem for a cascade quasilinear system with dynamic boundary conditions. To this end, we approach the problem by first dealing with null controllability in the framework of an inhomogeneous linearized system. Next, we derive new estimates of control and state, allowing us to apply a local inversion theorem to obtain null controllability of the quasilinear system.

Keywords: Reaction-diffusion equations, quasilinear parabolic equations, volume-surface, insensitizing controls, null controllability, dynamic boundary conditions.
2020 MSC: 35K55, 35K57, 35K59, 93C20.

Contents

1. Introduction

In this paper, we focus on analyzing the property of the insensitizing controllability of reaction-diffusion equations with dynamic boundary conditions of the surface diffusion type (generalized Wentzell type), which means that controls are resistant to small, unknown perturbations of the initial state. The equation we are dealing with reads as follows:

$$
\begin{cases}
\psi_t - \nabla \cdot (\sigma(\psi)\nabla\psi) + a(\psi) = f + v\mathbb{1}_\omega & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\
\psi_{\Gamma,t} - \nabla_\Gamma \cdot (\delta(\psi_\Gamma)\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma) + \sigma(\psi_\Gamma)\partial_\nu\psi + b(\psi_\Gamma) = f_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\
\psi_\Gamma = \psi_{|\Gamma} & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\
(\psi(\cdot,0), \psi_\Gamma(\cdot,0)) = (\psi_0 + \tau\widehat{\psi_0}, \psi_{0,\Gamma} + \tau_\Gamma\widehat{\psi_{0,\Gamma}}) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma.
\end{cases}
\tag{1.1}
$$

Here Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ $(d \geq 1)$ with boundary Γ of class C^2, $\omega \subset \Omega$ an open subset of Ω, for every subset D of $\overline{\Omega}$, we denote $D_T := D \times (0,T)$ and $\mathbb{1}_D$ the characteristic function of D, (ψ, ψ_Γ) is the state of the system, $(\psi_0, \psi_{0,\Gamma})$ is the initial state perturbed by $(\tau\widehat{\psi_0}, \tau_\Gamma\widehat{\psi_{0,\Gamma}})$, $\tau, \tau_\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ are unknown and small enough, v is the function control and (f, f_Γ) is the source term. We denote by $\psi_{|\Gamma}$ the trace of ψ on Γ, ∂_ν the normal derivative associated to the outward normal ν of Ω, ∇_Γ and $\nabla_\Gamma\cdot := \text{div}_\Gamma$ are tangential gradient and tangential divergence, respectively.

Given the existence of global solutions of (1.1) for certain initial state, source and control spaces X_0, X_1 and V (see Proposition 4.5), we consider the energy function:

$$
\mathcal{J}(\psi, \psi_\Gamma) = \frac{\theta}{2} \int_{\mathcal{O}_T} |\psi(x,t,\tau,\tau_\Gamma,v)|^2 \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t + \frac{\theta_\Gamma}{2} \int_{\Sigma_T} |\psi_\Gamma(x,t,\tau,\tau_\Gamma,v)|^2 \mathrm{d}S\mathrm{d}t,
\tag{1.2}
$$

where $\theta > 0$ and $\theta_\Gamma \geq 0$ are positive real constants, $\mathcal{O}$ and Σ are given nonempty open subsets of Ω and Γ, respectively, and $(\psi, \psi_\Gamma) = (\psi(\cdot,t,\tau,\tau_\Gamma,v), \psi_\Gamma(\cdot,t,\tau,\tau_\Gamma,v))$ is the corresponding (global) solution of the equation (1.1) associated to τ, τ_Γ and v. Now, we introduce the following definition of insensitizing controls for (1.1):

Definition 1.1. For given functions $(f, f_\Gamma) \in X_1$, $(\psi_0, \psi_{0,\Gamma}) \in X_0$, a control function $v \in V$ is said to insensitize $\mathcal{J}$ if for all $(\widehat{\psi_0}, \widehat{\psi_{0,\Gamma}}) \in X_0$ with $\|(\widehat{\psi_0}, \widehat{\psi_{0,\Gamma}}\|_{X_0} = 1$ the corresponding solution (ψ, ψ_Γ) of (1.1) satisfies

$$\left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial \tau}\right|_{\tau = \tau_\Gamma = 0} = \left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial \tau_\Gamma}\right|_{\tau = \tau_\Gamma = 0} = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

A brief overview of related literature. The issue of insensitizing controls was first introduced by J. L. Lions in [1, 2], which subsequently led to numerous studies on the topic, both for hyperbolic and parabolic equations. The existence of insensitizing controls for parabolic equations with Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions has been widely studied by numerous authors (see, for example, [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]). However, there are few results on insensitizing controllability for parabolic equations with dynamic boundary conditions. To the best of our knowledge, the paper [11] is the first to address a parabolic equation with semilinearity only in the bulk, while [12] discusses an insensitizing control problem involving tangential gradient terms for a specific semilinear equation. As far as we know our paper is the first contribution in the quasilinear framework, both in the bulk and on the surface. Moreover, in the context of equations with dynamic boundary conditions, the functional $\mathcal{J}$ encompasses both the energy within the bulk and the localized surface energy, whereas in the literature, the usual functional only accounts for the local energy in the bulk.

Structure of this paper. In Section 2 we collect some notation, assumptions, preliminaries and important tools. Next, Section 3 introduces the main result (Theorem 3.1), reformulated as the null controllability of a quasilinear cascade system, with the proof of this reformulation detailed in Appendix B. The discussion in Section 4 focuses on the well-posedness and regularity properties required for reformulating the problem for specific linear systems, alongside the proof of the well-posedness for the quasilinear system, as shown in Appendix A. Following this, Section 5 establishes the null controllability of the inhomogeneous linearized cascade system, accompanied by state estimates. The local null controllability of the quasilinear system is addressed in Section 6, including the proof of main result. Lastly, Section 7 provides concluding remarks and final comments.

2. Preliminaries, notation and assumptions

2.1. Preliminaries

Let us first introduce some basic notation. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded domain with boundary Γ of class C^2. For any $s \geq 0$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$, the Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces for functions mapping from Ω to $\mathbb{R}$ are denoted as $L^p(\Omega)$ and $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$. We write $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}$ to denote the standard norms on these spaces. In the case $p = 2$, we use the notation $H^s(\Omega) = W^{s,2}(\Omega)$. We use the same notation for Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces on Γ. For any Banach space X, the Bochner spaces of functions mapping from an interval I into X are denoted by $L^p(I; X)$ and $W^{s,p}(I; X)$, and the space $C(I; X)$ denotes the set of continuous functions mapping from I to X. The natural state space for our problems is

$$\mathbb{L}^2 := L^2\left(\overline{\Omega}; \mathrm{d}x \otimes \mathrm{d}S\right),$$

3

where dx denotes the Lebesgue measure on Ω and dS denotes the natural surface measure on Γ. This space can be identified with

$$L^2(\Omega, dx) \otimes L^2(\Gamma, dS).$$

It is a Hilbert space endowed with the following inner product

$$\langle (y, y_\Gamma), (z, z_\Gamma) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} := \langle y, z \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} + \langle y_\Gamma, z_\Gamma \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma)}.$$

We will also denote $\mathbb{L}^\infty := L^\infty(\Omega) \otimes L^\infty(\Gamma)$. This is a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$\|(y, y_\Gamma)\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} := \|y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|y_\Gamma\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}.$$

We introduce the Sobolev-type spaces needed in the sequel:

$$\mathbb{H}^s := \{(y, y_\Gamma) \in H^s(\Omega) \times H^s(\Gamma) \ : \ y_{|\Gamma} = y_\Gamma\}, \quad \text{for } s \geq 1.$$

The following continuous embeddings hold when $d \leq 3$ (see [13, Theorem 4.12] and [14, Theorem 2.2]):

$$H^2(M) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(M) \quad \text{and} \quad H^2(M) \hookrightarrow W^{1,4}(M), \quad M = \Omega \text{ or } \Gamma. \tag{2.1}$$

To describe the surface heat diffusion, we need to define certain differential operators on Γ, defined locally in terms of the standard Riemannian metric on Γ, see [15]. In this paper, we will not use the local formulas that define these operators, but rather the relevant properties such as the surface divergence theorem. These operators can be defined by extensions as follows, we refer to [16]:

- We define the tangential gradient $\nabla_\Gamma y_\Gamma$ for any smooth function y_Γ on Γ by

$$\nabla_\Gamma y_\Gamma := \nabla y - (\partial_\nu y)\nu, \tag{2.2}$$

  where y is an extension of y_Γ in a neighborhood of Γ. It can be seen as the projection of the standard Euclidean gradient ∇y onto the tangent space on Γ.

- We define the tangential divergence $\text{div}_\Gamma Y_\Gamma$ for any smooth vector field Y_Γ on Γ by

$$\text{div}_\Gamma Y_\Gamma := \text{div} Y - Y'\nu \cdot \nu, \tag{2.3}$$

  where $Y' = (\partial_i Y_j)$ and Y is an extension of Y_Γ in a neighborhood of Γ. Note that formulas (2.2) and (2.3) do not depend on the chosen extension, and $\text{div}_\Gamma(Y_\Gamma)$ can be considered as a continuous linear form on $H^1(\Gamma)$

$$\text{div}_\Gamma(Y_\Gamma) \ : \ H^1(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad z_\Gamma \mapsto -\int_\Gamma \langle Y_\Gamma, \nabla_\Gamma z_\Gamma \rangle_\Gamma dS,$$

  where $\langle \ , \ \rangle_\Gamma$ is the Riemannian inner product of tangential vectors on Γ. In the following, we will denote $\nabla_\Gamma \cdot Y_\Gamma$ instead of $\text{div}_\Gamma(Y_\Gamma)$ and $\cdot$ instead of $\langle \ , \ \rangle_\Gamma$.

- The Laplace-Beltrami $\Delta_\Gamma y_\Gamma$ is defined by

$$\Delta_\Gamma y_\Gamma = \text{div}_\Gamma(\nabla_\Gamma y_\Gamma) \quad \forall y_\Gamma \in H^2(\Gamma).$$

In particular, the Stokes divergence theorem on Γ holds, see [17],

$$\int_\Gamma \Delta_\Gamma y_\Gamma z_\Gamma dS = -\int_\Gamma \nabla_\Gamma y_\Gamma \cdot \nabla_\Gamma z_\Gamma dS \quad \forall y_\Gamma \in H^2(\Gamma), \forall z_\Gamma \in H^1(\Gamma). \tag{2.4}$$

Remark 1. In the one-dimensional case, Γ is a manifold of dimension 0. Consequently, the tangential operators are trivial: $\nabla_\Gamma = 0$ and $\Delta_\Gamma = 0$.

2.2. Notation

Throughout this paper, we adopt the following notations:

- In order to simplify the presentation of the estimates we introduce the following notation

$$\nabla Y := (\nabla y, \nabla_\Gamma y_\Gamma), \quad \Delta Y := (\Delta y, \Delta_\Gamma y_\Gamma), \quad Y_t := (y_t, y_{\Gamma,t}), \quad Y_{tt} := (y_{tt}, y_{\Gamma,tt}),$$

  where $Y := (y, y_\Gamma)$, ∇_Γ is the tangential gradient, Δ_Γ is the Laplace-Beltrami, Y_t and Y_{tt} respectively denote the first and second-order partial derivative of Y with respect to t.

- We introduce the following energy spaces:

$$\mathfrak{E}_T := H^1(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2) \cap L^2(0,T;\mathbb{H}^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{F}_T := H^1(0,T;\mathbb{H}^2) \cap L^2(0,T;\mathbb{H}^4).$$

  We recall the usual continuous embedding (see Theorem III.4.10.2 in [18]):

$$\mathfrak{E}_T \hookrightarrow C([0,T];\mathbb{H}^1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{F}_T \hookrightarrow C([0,T];\mathbb{H}^3).$$

  In particular, for $d \leq 3$, using (2.1), we obtain the existence of a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|Y\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^\infty)}, \|\nabla Y\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^\infty)} \leq C\|Y\|_{\mathfrak{F}_T} \quad \forall Y \in \mathfrak{F}_T. \tag{2.5}$$

- For any $Y = (y, y_\Gamma) \in \mathfrak{E}_T$, we will denote

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{L}_1 Y := y_t - \sigma(0)\Delta y + a'(0)y, \\ \mathbf{L}_2 Y := y_{\Gamma,t} - \delta(0)\Delta_\Gamma y_\Gamma + \sigma(0)\partial_\nu y + b'(0)y_\Gamma, \\ \mathbf{L}_1^\star Y := -y_t - \sigma(0)\Delta y + a'(0)y, \\ \mathbf{L}_2^\star Y := -y_{\Gamma,t} - \delta(0)\Delta_\Gamma y_\Gamma + \sigma(0)\partial_\nu y + b'(0)y_\Gamma. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

- For any Banach space X, we denote by $B_X(a,r)$ and $\overline{B}_X(a,r)$ the open and closed balls centered at a with radius r, respectively.

- We omit the infinitesimals $\mathrm{d}t$, $\mathrm{d}x$ and $\mathrm{d}S$ as they can be deduced by looking at the integration domain.

- The symbol C will stand for a generic positive constant depending on Ω, ω, s, λ, T, θ, θ_Γ, σ, δ, a and b, where s and λ are known parameters in Carleman estimates.

2.3. Assumptions

We make the following general assumptions.

(A1) $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded domain with boundary of class C^2 and $d \in \{1,2,3\}$.

(A2) $\mathcal{O}$ and Σ are given nonempty open subsets of Ω and Γ, respectively.

(A3) $\omega \Subset \Omega$ an open subset such that $\omega \cap \mathcal{O} \neq \varnothing$, where $\omega \Subset \Omega$ denotes $\overline{\omega} \subset \Omega$.

(A4) $(\psi_0, \psi_{0,\Gamma}) = (0,0)$.

(A5) $(\widehat{\psi_0}, \widehat{\psi_{0,\Gamma}}) \in \mathbb{H}^3$ with $\|(\widehat{\psi_0}, \widehat{\psi_{0,\Gamma}})\|_{\mathbb{H}^3} = 1$.

(A6) The parameters $\tau, \tau_\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ are unknown and small enough.

(A7) The diffusion coefficients satisfy

$$\sigma, \delta \in C^3(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \quad \sigma(r) \geq \rho \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(r) \geq \rho,$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ for some $\rho > 0$.

(A8) The reaction terms verify

$$a, b \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad a(0) = b(0) = 0.$$

3. Main result

We state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that assumptions (A1)-(A8) hold. Then, there exist constants $\varepsilon > 0$ and $C = C(\Omega, \omega, T) > 0$ such that for any $F := (f, f_\Gamma) \in \mathfrak{E}_T$ verifying*

$$\|F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{H}^2)}^2 + \|e^{C/t}F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|e^{C/t}F_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 < \varepsilon, \tag{3.1}$$

one can find a control $v \in H^1(0,T; L^2(\omega)) \cap L^2(0,T; H^2(\omega))$ that insensitizes the functional $\mathcal{J}$ defined by (1.2). Moreover there exist a constant $C' > 0$ such that

$$\|v\|_{H^1(0,T;L^2(\omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;H^2(\omega))}^2 \leq C' \left(\|e^{C/t}F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|e^{C/t}F_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \right).$$

Reduction of the insensitizing problem. The computation of partial derivatives of the functional defined in (1.2) and a duality argument, allows us to reformulate our insensitivity problem as a problem of null controllability of a cascade system. Specifically, we present the following cascade system:

$$\begin{cases} \psi_t - \nabla \cdot (\sigma(\psi)\nabla\psi) + a(\psi) = f + v\mathbb{1}_\omega & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ -h_t - \sigma(\psi)\Delta h + a'(\psi)h = \theta\psi\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \psi_{\Gamma,t} - \nabla_\Gamma \cdot (\delta(\psi_\Gamma)\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma) + \sigma(\psi_\Gamma)\partial_\nu\psi + b(\psi_\Gamma) = f_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ -h_{\Gamma,t} - \delta(\psi_\Gamma)\nabla_\Gamma h_\Gamma + \sigma(\psi_\Gamma)\partial_\nu h + b'(\psi_\Gamma)h_\Gamma = \theta_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma\mathbb{1}_\Sigma & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ \psi_\Gamma = \psi_{|\Gamma}, \ h_\Gamma = h_{|\Gamma} & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ (\psi(\cdot,0), \psi_\Gamma(\cdot,0)) = (0,0), \ (h(\cdot,T), h_\Gamma(\cdot,T)) = (0,0) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

The reformulation is as follows:

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that assumptions (A1), (A2) and (A4)-(A8) hold. Let $(f, f_\Gamma) \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{H}^2)$ and $v\mathbb{1}_\omega \in L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))$ such that the system (1.1) has a unique global solution $\Psi = (\psi, \psi_\Gamma) \in \mathfrak{F}_T$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

6

- *The solution (Ψ, H) of the cascade system (3.2) associated with v verifies*

$$(h(\cdot, 0), h_\Gamma(\cdot, 0)) = (0, 0) \; in \; \Omega \times \Gamma. \tag{3.3}$$

- *The control v insensitizes the functional $\mathcal{J}$ in the sense of Definition 1.1.*

The local null controllability of (3.2) (in the sense of (3.3)) is reformulated as a surjectivity of the mapping $\Lambda : \mathbb{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Y}$:

$$\Lambda(\Psi, H, v) := ((\Lambda_1(\Psi, H, v), \Lambda_3(\Psi, H, v)), (\Lambda_2(\Psi, H, v), \Lambda_4(\Psi, H, v))), \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_1(\Psi, H, v) &:= \psi_t - \nabla \cdot (\sigma(\psi)\nabla\psi) + a(\psi) - v\mathbb{1}_\omega, \\
\Lambda_2(\Psi, H, v) &:= -h_t - \sigma(\psi)\Delta h + a'(\psi)h - \theta\psi\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}}, \\
\Lambda_3(\Psi, H, v) &:= \psi_{\Gamma,t} - \nabla_\Gamma \cdot (\delta(\psi_\Gamma)\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma) + \sigma(\psi_\Gamma)\partial_\nu\psi + b(\psi_\Gamma), \\
\Lambda_4(\Psi, H, v) &:= -h_{\Gamma,t} - \delta(\psi_\Gamma)\Delta_\Gamma h_\Gamma + \sigma(\psi_\Gamma)\partial_\nu h + b'(\psi_\Gamma)h_\Gamma - \theta_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma\mathbb{1}_\Sigma.
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{Y}_1 \times \mathbb{Y}_2$ are appropriate spaces of the state-control (see Section 6). More precisely, Theorem 3.1 is equivalent to

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0, \; \forall F = (f, f_\Gamma) \in B_{\mathbb{Y}_1}(0, \varepsilon), \; \exists (\Psi, v) \in \mathbb{X}, \; \text{such that } \Lambda(\Psi, H, v) = (f, f_\Gamma, 0, 0).$$

To achieve this, we apply the Lyusternik-Graves Inverse Mapping Theorem in infinite dimensional spaces, whose proof can be referenced in [19].

Theorem 3.3 (Lyusternik-Graves' Theorem). *Let X and Y be Banach spaces and let $\Lambda : B_X(0, r) \subset X \to Y$ be a C^1 mapping. Let us assume that the derivative $\Lambda'(0) : X \to Y$ is surjective and let us set $\xi_0 = \Lambda(0)$. Then, there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, a mapping $W : B_Y(\xi_0, \varepsilon) \subset Y \to X$ and a constant $C > 0$ satisfying:*

- $W(z) \in B_X(0, r)$ *and* $\Lambda \circ W(z) = z \quad \forall z \in B_Y(\xi_0, \varepsilon)$,

- $\|W(z)\|_X \leq C\|z - \xi_0\|_Y \quad \forall z \in B_Y(\xi_0, \varepsilon)$.

The most difficult task is therefore the choice of spaces $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{Y}$ for which mapping Λ is well defined. The surjectivity of $\Lambda'(0, 0, 0)$ is linked to the null controllability of the following inhomogeneous linearized system (around zero) of (3.2):

$$
\begin{cases}
\psi_t - \sigma(0)\Delta\psi + a'(0)\psi = f + v\mathbb{1}_\omega & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\
-h_t - \sigma(0)\Delta h + a'(0)h = g + \theta\psi\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\
\psi_{\Gamma,t} - \delta(0)\Delta_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma + \sigma(0)\partial_\nu\psi + b'(0)\psi = f_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\
-h_{\Gamma,t} - \delta(0)\Delta_\Gamma h_\Gamma + \sigma(0)\partial_\nu h + b'(0)h_\Gamma = g_\Gamma + \theta_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma\mathbb{1}_\Sigma & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\
\psi_\Gamma = \psi_{|\Gamma}, \; h_\Gamma = h_{|\Gamma} & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\
(\psi(\cdot, 0), \psi_\Gamma(\cdot, 0)) = (0, 0), \; (h(\cdot, T), h_\Gamma(\cdot, T)) = (0, 0) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma,
\end{cases}
\tag{3.5}
$$

Given suitable assumptions on f, f_Γ, g and g_Γ, the system (3.5) is null controllable in the sens of (3.3).

Comments on assumptions (A1), (A3) and (A4). The condition $d \leq 3$ is used to estimate the terms I_1 and I_3 in the right hand side of (6.6) via the embedding (2.1), which is only valid when $d \leq 3$. Therefore, this question remains open for $d \geq 4$ as mentioned in [10, 20]. The main result 3.1 is based on assumption (A4), which allows for the establishment of a global Carleman estimate for the adjoint system of (3.5). However, by slightly relaxing the functional $\mathcal{J}$:

$$\mathcal{J}_{t_0}(\psi, \psi_\Gamma) = \frac{\theta}{2} \int_{\mathcal{O} \times (t_0, T)} |\psi(x, t, \tau, \tau_\Gamma, v)|^2 \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t + \frac{\theta_\Gamma}{2} \int_{\Sigma \times (t_0, T)} |\psi_\Gamma(x, t, \tau, \tau_\Gamma, v)|^2 \mathrm{d}S\mathrm{d}t, \quad (3.6)$$

where $t_0 \in (0, T)$. We can find a control $v \in L^2(\omega_T)$ that insensitizes the functional $\mathcal{J}_{t_0}$ for sufficiently small initial data and source terms. Indeed, based on [21], a control can be found that drives the state of (1.1) to zero at time t_0. Consequently, Theorem 3.1 can be applied from t_0 onwards. The geometric condition (A3) is also a technical condition in the proof of the Carleman estimate. However, the case where $\omega \cap \mathcal{O} = \varnothing$ is involved is also of significant interest. For further discussion and remarks on this topic, see [22].

4. Well-posedness results

In this subsection, we will briefly present the well-posedness of the systems that we will need in this paper.

4.1. Linear systems

In this subsection, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 1$) is a bounded domain with boundary Γ of class C^2. Let us first consider the following linear equation:

$$\begin{cases} \psi_t - \sigma(0)\Delta\psi + a'(0)\psi = f & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \psi_{\Gamma,t} - \delta(0)\Delta_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma + \sigma(0)\partial_\nu\psi + b'(0)\psi = f_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ \psi_\Gamma = \psi_{|\Gamma} & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ (\psi(\cdot, 0), \psi_\Gamma(\cdot, 0)) = (\psi_0, \psi_{0,\Gamma}) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

We are interested in the following categories of solutions for (4.1), see[23].

Definition 4.1. Let $F = (f, f_\Gamma) \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$, $\Psi_0 = (\psi_0, \psi_{0,\Gamma}) \in \mathbb{L}^2$.

(1) A distributional solution (solution by transposition) of (4.1) is a function $\Psi = (\psi, \psi_\Gamma) \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$ such that for any $Z = (z, z_\Gamma) \in \mathfrak{E}_T$ with $Z(\cdot, T) = 0$, we have

$$\langle \Psi, \mathbf{L}^* Z \rangle_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} = \langle F, Z \rangle_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + \langle \Psi_0, Z(\cdot, 0) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2}. \quad (4.2)$$

where $\mathbf{L}^\star := (\mathbf{L}_1^\star, \mathbf{L}_2^\star)$.

(2) A strong solution of (4.1) is a function $(\psi, \psi_\Gamma) \in \mathfrak{E}_T$ fulfilling (4.1) in $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$.

The well-posedness and regularity properties of the solutions to (4.1) are based on semigroup theory, as studied in detail in [23, Propositions 2.4 and 2.5] and [24].

Proposition 4.2. *Let* $F = (f, f_\Gamma) \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$.

(1) If $\Psi_0 = (\psi_0, \psi_{0,\Gamma}) \in \mathbb{L}^2$. Then, there exists a unique distributional solution $\Psi = (\psi, \psi_\Gamma) \in C([0,T]; \mathbb{L}^2)$ of (4.1). Moreover, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\Psi\|_{C([0,T];\mathbb{L}^2)} \leq C \left(\|\Psi_0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} \right). \tag{4.3}$$

(2) If $\Psi_0 = (\psi_0, \psi_{0,\Gamma}) \in \mathbb{H}^1$. Then, there exists a unique strong solution $\Psi = (\psi, \psi_\Gamma) \in \mathfrak{E}_T$ of (4.1). Moreover, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\Psi\|_{\mathfrak{E}_T} \leq C \left(\|\Psi_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} + \|F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} \right). \tag{4.4}$$

We now present the distributional solution and the strong solution of the linearized cascade system:

$$\begin{cases}
\psi_t - \sigma(0)\Delta\psi + a'(0)\psi = f & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\
-h_t - \sigma(0)\Delta h + a'(0)h = g + \theta\psi\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\
\psi_{\Gamma,t} - \delta(0)\Delta_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma + \sigma(0)\partial_\nu\psi + b'(0)\psi = f_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\
-h_{\Gamma,t} - \delta(0)\Delta_\Gamma h_\Gamma + \sigma(0)\partial_\nu h + b'(0)h_\Gamma = g_\Gamma + \theta_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma\mathbb{1}_\Sigma & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\
\psi_\Gamma = \psi_{|\Gamma}, \ h_\Gamma = h_{|\Gamma} & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\
(\psi(\cdot,0), \psi_\Gamma(\cdot,0)) = (0,0), \ (h(\cdot,T), h_\Gamma(\cdot,T)) = (0,0) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma,
\end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

Definition 4.3. Let $F = (f, f_\Gamma), G = (g, g_\Gamma) \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)$.

(1) A distributional solution of (4.5) is a functions $\Psi = (\psi, \psi_\Gamma), H = (h, h_\Gamma) \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)$ such that for any $Z = (z, z_\Gamma), W = (w, w_\Gamma) \in \mathfrak{E}_T$ with $Z(\cdot,T) = Z(\cdot,0) = 0$, we have

$$\langle \Psi, \mathbf{L}^\star Z - \mathbf{B}W \rangle_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + \langle H, \mathbf{L}W \rangle_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} = \langle F, Z \rangle_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + \langle G, W \rangle_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)},$$

where $\mathbf{L} := (\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_2)$, $\mathbf{L}^\star := (\mathbf{L}_1^\star, \mathbf{L}_2^\star)$ and $\mathbf{B}W := (\theta w\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}}, \theta_\Gamma w_\Gamma\mathbb{1}_\Sigma)$.

(2) A strong solution of (4.5) is a functions $\Psi = (\psi, \psi_\Gamma), H = (h, h_\Gamma) \in \mathfrak{E}_T$ fulfilling (4.5) in $L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)$.

The well-posedness and regularity properties of the solutions to (4.5) are based on the cascade structure of the system and on Proposition 4.2.

Proposition 4.4. Let $F = (f, f_\Gamma), G = (g, g_\Gamma) \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)$. Then,

(1) There exists a unique distributional solution $\Psi = (\psi, \psi_\Gamma), H = (h, h_\Gamma) \in C([0,T];\mathbb{L}^2)$ of (4.5). Moreover, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\Psi\|_{C([0,T];\mathbb{L}^2)} + \|H\|_{C([0,T];\mathbb{L}^2)} \leq C \left(\|F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + \|G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} \right). \tag{4.6}$$

(2) There exists a unique strong solution $\Psi = (\psi, \psi_\Gamma), H = (h, h_\Gamma) \in \mathfrak{E}_T$ of (4.5). Moreover, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\Psi\|_{\mathfrak{E}_T} + \|H\|_{\mathfrak{E}_T} \leq C \left(\|F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + \|G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} \right). \tag{4.7}$$

Remark 2. The adjoint systems of (4.1) and (4.5) yield the same results, due to the principal operator of the equation (4.1) is self-adjoint, see [23, Proposition 2.1].

9

4.2. Nonlinear system

Now, we present the well-posedness and regularity properties of solutions to:

$$\begin{cases} \psi_t - \nabla \cdot (\sigma(\psi)\nabla\psi) + a(\psi) = f & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \psi_{\Gamma,t} - \nabla_\Gamma \cdot (\delta(\psi_\Gamma)\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma) + \sigma(\psi_\Gamma)\partial_\nu\psi + b(\psi_\Gamma) = f_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ \psi_\Gamma = \psi_{|\Gamma} & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ (\psi(\cdot,0), \psi_\Gamma(\cdot,0)) = (\psi_0, \psi_{0,\Gamma}) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma. \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

Note that we have proven in [21] that the equation (4.8) admits local solutions in $\mathfrak{F}_{T'}$ for all $T' < T_{max}$, and there exists a control and a global solution with regularity different from that of $\mathfrak{F}_{T'}$. However, for our current problem, we will need global solutions that belong to $\mathfrak{F}_T$ (at least for sufficiently small data). To this end, we will present the Proposition 4.5, the proof of which will be summarized in Appendix A. Existence is guaranteed by Lyusternik-Graves' Theorem 3.3, while uniqueness is ensured by an energy estimate and Gronwall's inequality.

Proposition 4.5. *Assume that assumptions (A1), (A7) and (A8) hold. Then, there exists a constants $\kappa > 0$ such that for any $F = (f, f_\Gamma) \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{H}^2)$ and $\Psi_0 = (\psi_0, \psi_{0,\Gamma}) \in \mathbb{H}^3$ verifying*

$$\|F\|^2_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{H}^2)} + \|\Psi_0\|^2_{\mathbb{H}^3} < \kappa,$$

the equation (4.8) has a unique global solution $(\psi, \psi_\Gamma) \in \mathfrak{F}_T$. Moreover, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\Psi\|_{\mathfrak{F}_T} \leq C \left(\|F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{H}^2)} + \|\Psi_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^3} \right). \tag{4.9}$$

5. Carleman estimate for cascade system with dynamic boundary conditions

In this section, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ $(d \geq 1)$ is a bounded domain with boundary Γ of class C^2 and assumptions (A2), (A3) are satisfied.

5.1. Null controllability of (3.5)

The aim of this section is to prove null controllability for the system (3.5), we also prove estimates on the state and regularity on the control which require some regularity of the source terms. First, let us recall the definitions of several classical weights, frequently used in this framework, see [25]. We consider the following positive weight functions α and ξ which depend on Ω and ω

$$\alpha(x,t) = \frac{e^{2\lambda m} - e^{\lambda(m+\eta(x))}}{t(T-t)} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi(x,t) = \frac{e^{\lambda(m+\eta(x))}}{t(T-t)}.$$

Here, $\lambda, m > 1$ and $\eta = \eta(x)$ is a function in $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfying

$$\eta > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \eta = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad \inf_{\Omega\backslash\omega'} |\nabla\eta(x)| > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \max_\Omega \eta = 1, \tag{5.1}$$

10

where ω' is a nonempty open set of $\omega \cap \mathcal{O}$, we also consider ω'' and ω''' two open subsets of $\omega \cap \mathcal{O}$ such that $\omega' \Subset \omega'' \Subset \omega''' \Subset \omega \cap \mathcal{O}$. The following lemma is a Carleman estimate for the adjoint system of (3.5):

$$
\begin{cases}
-\phi_t - \sigma(0)\Delta\phi + a'(0)\phi = f^1 + \theta k \mathbb{1}_\mathcal{O} & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\
k_t - \sigma(0)\Delta k + a'(0)k = g^1 & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\
-\phi_{\Gamma,t} - \delta(0)\Delta_\Gamma \phi_\Gamma + \sigma(0)\partial_\nu \phi + b'(0)\phi = f_\Gamma^1 + \theta_\Gamma k_\Gamma \mathbb{1}_\Sigma & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\
k_{\Gamma,t} - \delta(0)\Delta_\Gamma k_\Gamma + \sigma(0)\partial_\nu k + b'(0)k_\Gamma = g_\Gamma^1 & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\
\phi_\Gamma = \phi_{|\Gamma}, \ k_\Gamma = k_{|\Gamma} & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\
(\phi(\cdot,T), \phi_\Gamma(\cdot,T)) = (0,0), \ (k(\cdot,0), k_\Gamma(\cdot,0)) = (0,0) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma.
\end{cases}
\tag{5.2}
$$

We introduce the following notation:

$$
I(\Phi, s, \lambda, t_1, t_2) := \int_{\Omega \times (t_1,t_2)} e^{-2s\alpha} \left[(s\xi)^{-1} \left(|\phi_t|^2 + |\Delta\phi|^2 \right) + \lambda^2(s\xi)|\nabla\phi|^2 + \lambda^4(s\xi)^3|\phi|^2 \right]
$$

$$
+ \int_{\Gamma \times (t_1,t_2)} e^{-2s\alpha} \left[(s\xi)^{-1} \left(|\phi_{\Gamma,t}|^2 + |\Delta_\Gamma\phi_\Gamma|^2 \right) + \lambda(s\xi)|\nabla_\Gamma\phi_\Gamma|^2 + \lambda^3(s\xi)^3|\phi_\Gamma|^2 \right]
$$

$$
+ \int_{\Gamma \times (t_1,t_2)} e^{-2s\alpha} \lambda(s\xi)|\partial_\nu\phi|^2,
$$

where $\Phi := (\phi, \phi_\Gamma) \in \mathfrak{E}_T$ and $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le T$.

Lemma 5.1. *There are constants $C_1 > 0$ and $\lambda_1, s_1 \ge 1$ such that for any $s \ge s_1$, any $\lambda \ge \lambda_1$ and any $\Phi = (\phi, \phi_\Gamma), K = (k, k_\Gamma) \in \mathfrak{E}_T$ solution of (5.2), we have the following estimate*

$$
I(\Phi, s, \lambda, 0, T) + I(K, s, \lambda, 0, T) \le C_1 \left(s^7 \lambda^8 \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha} \xi^7 |\phi|^2 \right.
$$

$$
\left. + \int_{\Omega_T} e^{-2s\alpha} \left(s^3 \lambda^4 \xi^3 |f^1|^2 + |g^1|^2 \right) + \int_{\Gamma_T} e^{-2s\alpha} \left(|f_\Gamma^1|^2 + |g_\Gamma^1|^2 \right) \right).
\tag{5.3}
$$

Furthermore, C_1 and λ_1 only depend on Ω and ω, and s_1 can be chosen of the form $s_1 = C(T + T^2)$, where C only depends on Ω, ω, $\sigma(0)$, $\delta(0)$, $a'(0)$ and $b'(0)$.

Proof. Using the Carleman estimate of [23, Lemma 3.2] for $d \ge 2$ and [24, Lemma 2] for $d = 1$, we obtain

$$
I(\Phi, s, \lambda, 0, T) \le C_0 \left(s^3 \lambda^4 \int_{\omega_T''} e^{-2s\alpha} \xi^3 |\phi|^2 + \theta \int_{\mathcal{O}_T} e^{-2s\alpha} |k|^2 + \theta_\Gamma \int_{\Sigma_T} e^{-2s\alpha} |k_\Gamma|^2 \right.
$$

$$
\left. + \int_{\Omega_T} e^{-2s\alpha} |f^1|^2 + \int_{\Gamma_T} e^{-2s\alpha} |f_\Gamma^1|^2 \right)
\tag{5.4}
$$

and

$$
I(K, s, \lambda, 0, T) \le C_0 \left(s^3 \lambda^4 \int_{\omega_T''} e^{-2s\alpha} \xi^3 |k|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} e^{-2s\alpha} |g^1|^2 + \int_{\Gamma_T} e^{-2s\alpha} |g_\Gamma^1|^2 \right),
\tag{5.5}
$$

11

for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and $s \geq s_0$ for some $C_0 > 0$ and $\lambda_0 > 0$ only depend on Ω and ω, and s_0 can be chosen of the form $s_0 = C(T + T^2)$, where C only depends on Ω, ω, $\sigma(0)$, $\delta(0)$, $a'(0)$ and $b'(0)$.

Let us introduce a function $\pi \in C_0^\infty(\omega''')$ satisfying $0 \leq \pi \leq 1$ and $\pi = 1$ in ω''. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
s^3\lambda^4 \int_{\omega_T''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3|k|^2 &\leq s^3\lambda^4 \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3\pi|k|^2 \\
&= s^3\lambda^4\theta^{-1} \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3\pi k(-\phi_t - \sigma(0)\Delta\phi + a'(0)\phi - f^1) \\
&= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}
$$

Integrating by parts in time, $\Phi(\cdot, T) = K(\cdot, 0) = 0$, $|\alpha_t|, |\xi_t| \leq CT\xi^2$ and $s \geq CT$ provides

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= -2s^4\lambda^4\theta^{-1} \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\alpha_t\xi^3\pi k\phi + 3s^3\lambda^4\theta^{-1} \int_{\omega_T} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi_t\xi^2\pi k\phi + s^3\lambda^4\theta^{-1} \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3\pi k_t\phi \\
&\leq C\left(s^5\lambda^4 \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^5|k||\phi| + s^4\lambda^4 \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^4|k||\phi| + s^3\lambda^4 \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3|k_t||\phi|\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Cauchy Schwarz inequality, it follows that

$$
I_1 \leq \frac{1}{8}s^3\lambda^4 \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3|k|^2 + \frac{1}{2}s^{-1} \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^{-1}|k_t|^2 + Cs^7\lambda^4 \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^7|\phi|^2. \tag{5.7}
$$

For I_2, we have

$$
I_2 = -\sigma(0)s^3\lambda^4\theta^{-1} \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3\pi k\Delta\phi = -\sigma(0)s^3\lambda^4\theta^{-1} \int_{\omega_T'''} \Delta(e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3\pi k)\phi.
$$

A simple computation leads to

$$
|\Delta(e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3\pi k)| \leq C\left(s^2\lambda^2 e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^5|k| + s\lambda e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^4|\nabla k| + e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3|\Delta k|\right).
$$

Then, the Cauchy Schwarz inequality yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\leq C\left(s^5\lambda^6 \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^5|k||\phi| + s^4\lambda^5 \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^4|\nabla k||\phi| + s^3\lambda^4 \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3|\Delta k||\phi|\right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8}s^3\lambda^4 \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3|k|^2 + \frac{1}{2}s\lambda^2 \int_{\omega_T} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi|\nabla k|^2 + \frac{1}{2}s^{-1} \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^{-1}|\Delta k|^2 \\
&\quad + Cs^7\lambda^8 \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^7|\phi|^2. \tag{5.8}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the remaining terms, one can clearly see that

$$
I_3 = a'(0)s^3\lambda^4\theta^{-1} \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3\pi k\phi
$$

12

$$\leq \ \frac{1}{8}s^3\lambda^4 \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3|k|^2 + Cs^3\lambda^4 \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3|\phi|^2 \tag{5.9}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_4 &= -s^3\lambda^4\theta^{-1}\int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3\pi k f^1 \\
&\leq \ \frac{1}{8}s^3\lambda^4 \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3|k|^2 + Cs^3\lambda^4 \int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^3|f^1|^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.10}
$$

By summing (5.4) and (5.5) and then, applying the estimates (5.6)-(5.10), we obtain the required estimate (5.3). $\qquad\square$

We will deduce a Carleman estimate similar to (5.3) with functions blowing up only at $t = 0$. Define the new weight functions:

$$\beta(x,t) = \frac{e^{2\lambda m} - e^{\lambda(m+\eta(x))}}{\ell(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(x,t) = \frac{e^{\lambda(m+\eta(x))}}{\ell(t)}, \quad (x,t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times (0,T),$$

where the function ℓ is given by

$$\ell(t) = \begin{cases} t(T-t) & \text{if } t \in [0, T/2], \\ \frac{T^2}{4} & \text{if } t \in [T/2, T]. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\ell \in C^1([0,T])$. An estimate with such weights is given in the following result. In the proof, we will use Lemma 5.1 and energy estimate (4.7). We introduce the following notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(\Phi, s, \lambda, t_1, t_2) &:= \int_{\Omega\times(t_1,t_2)} e^{-2s\beta}\left[\ell(t)\left(|\phi_t|^2 + |\Delta\phi|^2\right) + \ell^{-1}(t)|\nabla\phi|^2 + \ell^{-3}(t)|\phi|^2\right] \\
&+ \int_{\Gamma\times(t_1,t_2)} e^{-2s\beta}\left[\ell(t)\left(|\phi_{\Gamma,t}|^2 + |\Delta_\Gamma\phi_\Gamma|^2\right) + \ell^{-1}(t)|\nabla_\Gamma\phi_\Gamma|^2 + \ell^{-3}(t)|\phi_\Gamma|^2\right] \\
&+ \int_{\Gamma\times(t_1,t_2)} e^{-2s\beta}\ell^{-1}(t)|\partial_\nu\phi|^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Phi := (\phi, \phi_\Gamma) \in \mathfrak{E}_T$ and $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T$.

Proposition 5.2. *There exist constants $\lambda_1, s_1 \geq 1$ such that for any $s \geq s_1$, any $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$, there exists a constant $C := C(s, \lambda, T) > 0$ which satisfies the following property: for any $\Phi = (\phi, \phi_\Gamma), K = (k, k_\Gamma) \in \mathfrak{E}_T$, we have the following estimate:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(\Phi, s, \lambda, 0, T) + J(K, s, \lambda, 0, T) &\leq C_1 \left(\int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\beta}\ell^{-7}(t)|\phi|^2 \right. \\
&\left. + \int_{\Omega_T} e^{-2s\beta}\left(\ell^{-3}(t)|f^1|^2 + |g^1|^2\right) + \int_{\Gamma_T} e^{-2s\beta}\left(|f_\Gamma^1|^2 + |g_\Gamma^1|^2\right) \right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.11}
$$

with s_1 and λ_1 as in Lemma 5.1.

13

Proof. To do so, we split the left-hand side of (5.11) into two parts on $(0, T/2)$ and $(T/2, T)$. Firstly, on $(0, T/2)$. Using Carleman estimate (5.3), $\alpha = \beta$ and $\xi = e^{\lambda(m+\eta(x))}\ell^{-1}$ in $\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T/2)$, we obtain

$$J(\Phi, s, \lambda, 0, T/2) + J(K, s, \lambda, 0, T/2) \leq C \left(\int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^7|\phi|^2 \right.$$

$$\left. + \int_{\Omega_T} e^{-2s\alpha}\left(\xi^3|f^1|^2+|g^1|^2\right) + \int_{\Gamma_T} e^{-2s\alpha}\left(|f_\Gamma^1|^2+|g_\Gamma^1|^2\right) \right). \tag{5.12}$$

Through a comparison of the weight functions, we obtain

$$e^{-2s\alpha}\xi^j \leq Ce^{-2s\beta}\ell^{-j} \quad \text{in } \overline{\Omega} \times (0, T), \ j = 0, 1, \tag{5.13}$$

where $C > 0$ only depending on s, λ and T. Considering (5.12) and (5.13), we obtain

$$J(\Phi, s, \lambda, 0, T/2) + J(K, s, \lambda, 0, T/2) \leq C \left(\int_{\omega_T'''} e^{-2s\beta}\ell^{-7}(t)|\phi|^2 \right.$$

$$\left. + \int_{\Omega_T} e^{-2s\beta}\left(\ell^{-3}(t)|f^1|^2+|g^1|^2\right) + \int_{\Gamma_T} e^{-2s\beta}\left(|f_\Gamma^1|^2+|g_\Gamma^1|^2\right) \right). \tag{5.14}$$

Secondly, on $(T/2, T)$. Let us define a function $\vartheta \in C^1([0, T])$ such that

$$\vartheta(t) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad [0, T/4] \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta(t) = 1 \quad \text{in} \quad [T/2, T].$$

Put $Y := (y, y_\Gamma), Z := (z, z_\Gamma)$ defined by $Y := \vartheta\Phi, Z := \vartheta K$, then (Y, Z) is the strong solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{L}_1^\star(Y) = \vartheta f^1 + z\mathbb{1}_\mathcal{O} - \vartheta'\phi & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \mathbf{L}_1(Z) = \vartheta g^1 + \vartheta'k & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \mathbf{L}_2^\star(Y) = \vartheta f_\Gamma^1 + z_\Gamma\mathbb{1}_\Sigma - \vartheta'\phi_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma_T \\ \mathbf{L}_2(Z) = \vartheta g_\Gamma^1 + \vartheta'k_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma_T \\ y_\Gamma = y_{|\Gamma}, \ z_\Gamma = z_{|\Gamma} & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ (y(\cdot, T), y_\Gamma(\cdot, T)) = (0, 0), \ (z(\cdot, 0), z_\Gamma(\cdot, 0)) = (0, 0) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

We write the energy estimate (4.7) for Y and, based on the definition ϑ, it follows that

$$\|\Phi\|_{L^2(T/2,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\Phi_t\|_{L^2(T/2,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\nabla\Phi\|_{L^2(T/2,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\Delta\Phi\|_{L^2(T/2,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \tag{5.15}$$

$$+ \|\partial_\nu\phi\|_{L^2(T/2,T;L^2(\Gamma))}^2 + \|K\|_{L^2(T/2,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|K_t\|_{L^2(T/2,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2$$

$$+ \|\nabla K\|_{L^2(T/2,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\Delta K\|_{L^2(T/2,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\partial_\nu k\|_{L^2(T/2,T;L^2(\Gamma))}^2$$

$$\leq C \left(\|(f^1, f_\Gamma^1)\|_{L^2(T/4,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\Phi\|_{L^2(T/4,T/2;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|(g^1, g_\Gamma^1)\|_{L^2(T/4,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|K\|_{L^2(T/4,T/2;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \right).$$

By the boundedness from above and from below of the weight functions β and ℓ in $\overline{\Omega} \times (T/4, T)$ and (5.15), we can derive that

$$J(\Phi, s, \lambda, T/2, T) + J(K, s, \lambda, T/2, T) \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} e^{-2s\beta}\left(\ell^{-3}(t)|f^1|^2+|g^1|^2\right) \right.$$

14

$$+ \int_{\Gamma_T} e^{-2s\beta} \left(|f_\Gamma^1|^2 + |g_\Gamma^1|^2 \right) + \int_{T/4}^T \int_\Omega e^{-2s\beta} \ell^{-3}(t) (|\phi|^2 + |k|^2)$$

$$+ \int_{T/4}^T \int_\Gamma e^{-2s\beta} \ell^{-3}(t) (|\phi|^2 + |k|^2) \Bigg)$$

which, together with (5.14), leads to (5.11). $\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

Henceforth, we fix $s = s_1$, $\lambda = \lambda_1$, and introduce the following weights, which will be used in the sequel.

Remark 3. Choosing $m > 1$ large enough for instance $m > \frac{\log(5e^\lambda - 4)}{\lambda}$, one finds that

$$\hat\beta(t) < (5/4)\check\beta(t) \quad \forall(x,t) \in \overline\Omega \times (0,T),$$

where

$$\hat\beta(t) := \max_{x\in\overline\Omega} \beta(x,t), \quad \check\beta(t) := \min_{x\in\overline\Omega} \beta(x,t).$$

Notation. Let us introduce the notations:

$$\gamma(t) := \hat\beta/5, \quad \mu(t) := e^{5s\gamma(t)} \ell^{3/2}, \quad \mu_0(t) := e^{4s\gamma(t)} \ell^{3/2},$$
$$\mu_1(t) := \mu_0(t)\ell^2, \quad \mu_k(t) := e^{3s\gamma(t)} \ell^{(2k+9)/2} \quad \forall k \in \{2, \cdots, 5\}.$$

Remark 4. The following elementary estimates hold:

$$\mu_3 \mu_1^{-2} = \mu^{-1}\ell^2, \quad (\mu_3\mu_1^{-2})_t \leq C\mu^{-1}, \quad |\mu_{3,t}| \leq C\mu_1, \quad \mu_0 \leq C\mu, \quad \mu \leq C\mu_5^2,$$
$$\mu_k \leq C\mu_{k-1} \quad \forall k \in \{1, \cdots, 5\}, \quad |\mu_k\mu_{k,t}| \leq C\mu_{k-1}^2 \quad \forall k \in \{2, \cdots, 5\}.$$

As a consequence of Proposition 5.2, we we establish the null controllability of (3.5) for source terms which decay sufficiently fast to zero as $t \to 0^+$:

Proposition 5.3. *Let $F = (f, f_\Gamma), G = (g, g_\Gamma)$ such that $\mu F, \mu G \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)$. Then, there exists a control v such that the solution (Ψ, H) of (3.5) corresponding to v, F and G, satisfies*

$$\|\mu_0\Psi\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu_0 H\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu_1 v\|_{L^2(\omega_T)}^2$$
$$\leq C \left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \right). \qquad (5.16)$$

In particular (3.5) is null controllable a time $t = 0$. Moreover, we can choose v satisfying

$$\mu_3 v \in H^1(0,T;L^2(\omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;H^2(\omega)) \qquad (5.17)$$

and

$$\|\mu_3 v_t\|_{L^2(\omega_T)}^2 \leq C \left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \right). \qquad (5.18)$$

Proof. The proof of this result is inspired by the method of Fursikov and Imanuvilov [25]. Let us consider the following space:

$$\mathbf{P} \ := \ \big\{(Y,Z) = ((y,y_\Gamma),(z,z_\Gamma)) \ : \ y,z \in C^2(\overline{\Omega_T}) \text{ and } y_{|_\Gamma}(\cdot,t) = y_\Gamma(\cdot,t),$$
$$z_{|_\Gamma}(\cdot,t) = z_\Gamma(\cdot,t), \ t \in [0,T] \quad \text{and} \quad y(x,0) = z(x,T) = 0, \ x \in \Omega \big\}.$$

We define the bilinear form $\mathbf{B}:\mathbf{P}\times\mathbf{P}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathbf{B}((Y,Z),(\overline{Y},\overline{Z})) := \int_{\Omega_T} \mu_0^{-2}(\mathbf{L}_1^\star Y - z\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}})(\mathbf{L}_1^\star\overline{Y} - \overline{z}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}})$$

$$+ \int_{\Gamma_T} \mu_0^{-2}(\mathbf{L}_2^\star Y - z_\Gamma\mathbb{1}_\Sigma)(\mathbf{L}_2^\star\overline{Y} - \overline{z}_\Gamma\mathbb{1}_\Sigma) + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu_0^{-2}\mathbf{L}_1 Z\mathbf{L}_1\overline{Z}$$

$$+ \int_{\Gamma_T} \mu_0^{-2}\mathbf{L}_2 Z\mathbf{L}_2\overline{Z} + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu_1^{-2}\chi y\overline{y},$$

where $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\omega)$ (the space of test functions with compact support in ω) is given such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ and $\chi_{|_{\omega'''}} = 1$; and $\mathbf{L}_1$, $\mathbf{L}_2$, $\mathbf{L}_1^\star$ and $\mathbf{L}_2^\star$ are defined in (2.6). We also define the linear form $\mathbf{F}:\mathbf{P}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathbf{F}(Y,Z) := \langle F,Y\rangle_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + \langle G,Z\rangle_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}.$$

We claim that $\mathbf{B}$ is an inner product in $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ is continuous for the norm $\|\cdot\|_\mathbf{B}$ associated with the scalar product $\mathbf{B}$. Indeed, due to Carleman estimate (5.11), there exists a constant $C := C(s,\lambda,T) > 0$ such that for all $Y = (y,y_\Gamma), Z = (z,z_\Gamma) \in \mathbf{P}$, one has

$$\int_{\Omega_T} \mu^{-2}(|y|^2+|z|^2) + \int_{\Gamma_T} \mu^{-2}(|y_\Gamma|^2+|z_\Gamma|^2) \leq C\,\mathbf{B}((Y,Z),(Y,Z)). \qquad (5.19)$$

In particular, $\mathbf{B}$ is a scalar product in $\mathbf{P}$. To ensure the continuity of $\mathbf{F}$, using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (5.19), we obtain

$$|\mathbf{F}(Y,Z)| \leq C\left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + \|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}\right)\|(Y,Z)\|_\mathbf{B}. \qquad (5.20)$$

In the sequel, we will denote by $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ the completion of $\mathbf{P}$ for the norm $\|\cdot\|_\mathbf{B}$ and we will still denote $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ the corresponding continuous extensions. From the Riesz Representation theorem, there exists a unique $(\Phi,K) \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$ such that

$$\mathbf{B}((\Phi,K),(Y,Z)) = \mathbf{F}(Y,Z) \quad \forall(Y,Z) \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}. \qquad (5.21)$$

Using (5.20) and (5.21), we obtain

$$\|(\Phi,K)\|_\mathbf{B} \leq C\left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + \|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}\right). \qquad (5.22)$$

Let us introduce (Ψ,H,v) with

$$\Psi := \mu_0^{-2}(\mathbf{L}_1^*\Phi - k\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathbf{L}_2^*\Phi - k_\Gamma\mathbb{1}_\Sigma), \ H := \mu_0^{-2}(\mathbf{L}_1 K,\mathbf{L}_2 K), \ v := -\chi\mu_1^{-2}\phi|_{\omega_T}. (5.23)$$

According to (5.23) and the definition of $\mathbf{B}$ and $\chi^2 \leq \chi$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_T} \mu_0^2(|\psi|^2+|h|^2) + \int_{\Gamma_T} \mu_0^2(|\psi_\Gamma|^2+|h_\Gamma|^2) + \int_{\omega_T} \mu_1^2|v|^2 \leq \mathbf{B}((\Phi,K),(\Phi,K)).$$

Using this estimate and (5.22), we deduce estimate (5.16). As a consequence $\Psi, H \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)$, $v \in L^2(\omega_T)$ and from (5.21), (Ψ, H) is the unique distributional solution of (3.2) associated with the control v. Let $(W, Z) := -\chi\mu_1^{-2}(\Phi, K)$ and $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) := (\mathbf{L}_1^\star(\mu_3 W) - \mu_3 z \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathbf{L}(\mu_3 Z))$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f} &= -\chi\mu_3\mu_1^{-2}(\mathbf{L}_1^\star\Phi - k\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}}) + \chi(\mu_3\mu_1^{-2})_t\phi + 2\sigma(0)\mu_3\mu_1^{-2}\nabla\chi \cdot \nabla\phi \\
&\quad + \sigma(0)\mu_3\mu_1^{-2}\Delta\chi \, \phi := \tilde{f}_1 + \tilde{f}_2 + \tilde{f}_3 + \tilde{f}_4
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.24}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{g} &= -\chi\mu_3\mu_1^{-2}\mathbf{L}_1 K - \chi(\mu_3\mu_1^{-2})_t k + 2\sigma(0)\mu_3\mu_1^{-2}\nabla\chi \cdot \nabla k \\
&\quad + \sigma(0)\mu_3\mu_1^{-2}\Delta\chi \, k := \tilde{g}_1 + \tilde{g}_2 + \tilde{g}_3 + \tilde{g}_4.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.25}
$$

By the definition of ψ and h in (5.23) and Remark 4, we obtain

$$
\begin{cases}
|\tilde{f}_1| \leq C\mu_0|\psi|, & |\tilde{f}_2| \leq C\mu^{-1}|\phi|, & |\tilde{f}_3| \leq C\mu^{-1}\ell|\nabla\phi|, & |\tilde{f}_4| \leq C\mu^{-1}|\phi| \\
|\tilde{g}_1| \leq C\mu_0|h|, & |\tilde{g}_2| \leq C\mu^{-1}|k|, & |\tilde{g}_3| \leq C\mu^{-1}\ell|\nabla k|, & |\tilde{g}_4| \leq C\mu^{-1}|k|.
\end{cases}
\tag{5.26}
$$

From the Carleman estimate (5.11) and (5.22), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_T} \mu^{-2}\left[\ell^2|\nabla\phi|^2 + |\phi|^2\right] &+ \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^{-2}\left[\ell^2|\nabla k|^2 + |k|^2\right] \\
&\leq C\left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.27}
$$

Taking into account (5.24)-(5.27), we obtain $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in L^2(\Omega_T)$. Moreover

$$
\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq C\left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right).
\tag{5.28}
$$

Since $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\omega)$ and $\omega \Subset \Omega$, then $(\mu_3 W, \mu_3 Z)$ is the strong solution of

$$
\begin{cases}
\mathbf{L}_1^\star(\mu_3 W) - \mu_3 z \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} = \tilde{f} & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\
\mathbf{L}_1(\mu_3 Z) = \tilde{g} & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\
\mathbf{L}_2^\star(\mu_3 W) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\
\mathbf{L}_2(\mu_3 Z) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\
(\mu_3 w)_\Gamma = (\mu_3 w)_{|\Gamma}, \; (\mu_3 z)_\Gamma = (\mu_3 z)_{|\Gamma} & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\
(\mu_3 w(\cdot,T), \mu_3 w_\Gamma(\cdot,T)) = (\mu_3 z(\cdot,0), \mu_3 z_\Gamma(\cdot,0)) = (0,0) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma.
\end{cases}
$$

Using estimates (4.7) and (5.28), we obtain

$$
\|\mu_3 W\|_{\mathfrak{E}_T}^2 + \|\mu_3 Z\|_{\mathfrak{E}_T}^2 \leq C\left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right).
\tag{5.29}
$$

Finally, since $\mu_3 w|_\omega = \mu_3 v$, $\mu_3 v_t = (\mu_3 v)_t - \mu_{3,t}v$ and $|\mu_{3,t}| \leq C\mu_1$, then (5.17) and (5.18) are satisfied. $\qquad\square$

5.2. Additional estimates for the state of (3.5)

We provide the following additional estimates for the state of (3.5), which will be essential for proving the surjectivity of the mapping Λ defined in (3.4).

Proposition 5.4. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 5.3 and if* (Ψ, H, v) *is a state-control for* (3.5) *provided by Proposition 5.3 associated with data* $F = (f, f_\Gamma)$ *and* $G = (g, g_\Gamma)$ *such that* $\mu F, \mu G \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$ *and* $\mu_4 F_t \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$. *The following estimates are satisfied*

(1)

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_2^2(t)\|\Psi(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mu_2 \nabla \Psi\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_2^2(t)\|H(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2$$
$$+ \|\mu_2 \nabla H\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \leq C \left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \right). \tag{5.30}$$

(2)

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_3^2(t)\|\nabla \Psi(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mu_3 \Psi_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu_3 \Delta \Psi\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2$$
$$+ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_3^2(t)\|\nabla H(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mu_3 H_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu_3 \Delta H\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2$$
$$\leq C \left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \right). \tag{5.31}$$

(3)

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_4^2(t)\|\Psi_t(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mu_4 \nabla \Psi_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2$$
$$\leq C \left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu_4 F_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \right). \tag{5.32}$$

(4)

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_5^2(t)\|\nabla \Psi_t(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mu_5 \Psi_{tt}\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu_5 \Delta \Psi_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2$$
$$+ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_5^2(t)\|\Delta \Psi(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mu_5 \nabla \Psi_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2$$
$$\leq C \left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu_4 F_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \right). \tag{5.33}$$

Proof. (1) Firstly, multiplying the first system of (3.5) by $\mu_2^2 \psi$ and integrating it in Ω, one has

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \int_\Omega \mu_2^2 |\psi|^2 + \sigma(0) \int_\Omega \mu_2^2 |\nabla \psi|^2 = \int_\Omega \mu_2 \mu_{2,t} |\psi|^2$$
$$+ \sigma(0) \int_\Gamma \mu_2^2 \psi \partial_\nu \psi - a'(0) \int_\Omega \mu_2^2 |\psi|^2 + \int_\omega \mu_2^2 \psi v + \int_\Omega \mu_2^2 \psi f.$$

Secondly, multiplying the third system of (3.5) by $\mu_2^2 \psi_\Gamma$ and integrating it on Γ, using the Stokes divergence formula (2.4), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Gamma \mu_2^2 |\psi_\Gamma|^2 + \delta(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_2^2 |\nabla_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma|^2 = \int_\Gamma \mu_2 \mu_{2,t} |\psi_\Gamma|^2$$

$$-\sigma(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_2^2 \psi_\Gamma \partial_\nu \psi - b'(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_2^2 |\psi_\Gamma|^2 + \int_\Gamma \mu_2^2 \psi_\Gamma f_\Gamma.$$

Next, we add these identities, using the fact that $|\mu_2 \mu_{2,t}| \leq C\mu_0^2$, $\mu_2 \leq C\mu_0$ and Young's inequality, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\mu_2 \Psi\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \sigma(0)\int_\Omega \mu_2^2 |\nabla \psi|^2 + \delta(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_2^2 |\nabla_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma|^2$$

$$\leq C\|\mu_0 \Psi\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C\left(\int_\omega \mu_2^2 |v|^2 + \int_\Omega \mu_2^2 |f|^2 + \int_\Gamma \mu_2^2 |f_\Gamma|^2\right).$$

Integrating over $(0, t)$, one has

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_2^2(t)\|\Psi(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mu_2 \nabla \Psi\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2$$

$$\leq C\left(\|\mu_0 \Psi\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu_2 v\|_{L^2(\omega_T)}^2 + \|\mu_2 F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right).$$

Using the fact that $\mu_2 \leq C\mu_1$, $\mu_2 \leq C\mu$ and estimate (5.16), we deduce

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_2^2(t)\|\Psi(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mu_2 \nabla \Psi\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \leq C\left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right). \quad (5.34)$$

A computation similar to the proof of (5.34), by multiplying the second system of (3.5) by $\mu_2^2 h$ and the fourth system of (3.5) by $\mu_2^2 h_\Gamma$, leads to the conclusion

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_2^2(t)\|H(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mu_2 \nabla H\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2$$

$$\leq C\left(\|\mu_0 H\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu_2 \Psi\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu_2 G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right).$$

Using the fact that $\mu_2 \leq C\mu_0$, $\mu_2 \leq C\mu$ and estimates (5.16) and (5.34), we deduce (5.30).

(2) Firstly, multiplying the first system of (3.5) by $\mu_3^2 \psi_t$ and integrating it in Ω, we obtain

$$\int_\Omega \mu_3^2 |\psi_t|^2 + \frac{\sigma(0)}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Omega \mu_3^2 |\nabla \psi|^2 = \sigma(0)\int_\Omega \mu_3 \mu_{3,t} |\nabla \psi|^2$$

$$+\sigma(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2 \psi_t \partial_\nu \psi - a'(0)\int_\Omega \mu_3^2 \psi \psi_t + \int_\omega \mu_3^2 \psi_t v + \int_\Omega \mu_3^2 \psi_t f.$$

Using Young's inequality and the following elementary estimates

$$|\mu_3 \mu_{3,t}| \leq C\mu_2^2, \qquad \mu_3 \leq C\mu_0, \tag{5.35}$$

19

we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \mu_3^2|\psi_t|^2+\frac{\sigma(0)}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Omega \mu_3^2|\nabla\psi|^2\leq C\left(\int_\Omega \mu_2^2|\nabla\psi|^2+\int_\Omega \mu_0^2|\psi|^2\right) \qquad (5.36)$$

$$+\sigma(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2\psi_t\partial_\nu\psi+C\left(\int_\omega \mu_3^2|v|^2+\int_\Omega \mu_3^2|f|^2\right).$$

On the other hand, multiplying the third system of (3.5) by $\mu_3^2\psi_{\Gamma,t}$ and integrating it on Γ, by the Stokes divergence formula (2.4), we find

$$\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2|\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2+\frac{\delta(0)}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2|\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma|^2= \delta(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_3\mu_{3,t}|\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma|^2$$

$$-\sigma(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2\psi_{\Gamma,t}\partial_\nu\psi - b'(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2\psi_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t} + \int_\Gamma \mu_3^2\psi_{\Gamma,t}f_\Gamma.$$

Using Young's inequality and (5.35), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2|\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2+\frac{\delta(0)}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2|\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma|^2\leq C\left(\int_\Gamma \mu_2^2|\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma|^2+\int_\Gamma \mu_0^2|\psi_\Gamma|^2\right)$$

$$-\sigma(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2\psi_{\Gamma,t}\partial_\nu\psi + C\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2|f_\Gamma|^2. \qquad (5.37)$$

By summing (5.36) and (5.37), integrating over $(0,t)$, using $\mu_3 \leq C\mu_1$, $\mu_3 \leq C\mu$ and estimates (5.16) and (5.30), one has

$$\|\mu_3\Psi_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+ \sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_3^2(t)\|\nabla\Psi(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2\leq C\left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+\|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right)(5.38)$$

Now, multiplying the first system of (3.5) by $-\mu_3^2\Delta\psi$ and integrating it in Ω, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Omega \mu_3^2|\nabla\psi|^2+\sigma(0)\int_\Omega \mu_3^2|\Delta\psi|^2= \int_\Omega \mu_3\mu_{3,t}|\nabla\psi|^2$$

$$+\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2\psi_t\partial_\nu\psi + a'(0)\int_\Omega \mu_3^2\Delta\psi\psi - \int_\omega \mu_3^2\Delta\psi v - \int_\Omega \mu_3^2\Delta\psi f.$$

Using $|\mu_3\mu_{3,t}|\leq C\mu_2$, Young's inequality and the continuity of the normal derivative from $H^2(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Gamma)$, we find

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Omega \mu_3^2|\nabla\psi|^2+\frac{\sigma(0)}{2}\int_\Omega \mu_3^2|\Delta\psi|^2\leq C\left(\int_\Omega \mu_2^2|\nabla\psi|^2\right.$$

$$\left.+\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2|\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2+\int_\Omega \mu_0^2|\psi|^2+\int_\omega \mu_1^2|v|^2+\int_\Omega \mu^2|f|^2\right).$$

Integrating over $(0,t)$ and using estimates (5.16), (5.30) and (5.38), we obtain

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_3^2(t)\|\nabla\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2+\|\mu_3\Delta\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2\leq C\left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+\|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right)(5.39)$$

On the other hand, multiplying the second system of (3.5) by $-\mu_3^2 \Delta_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma$ and integrating it on Γ, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2 |\nabla_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma|^2 + \delta(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2 |\Delta_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma|^2 = \int_\Gamma \mu_3 \mu_{3,t}|\nabla_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma|^2$$
$$+\sigma(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2 \Delta_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma \partial_\nu \psi + b'(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2 \Delta_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma - \int_\Gamma \mu_3^2 \Delta_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma f_\Gamma.$$

Using Young's inequality and the continuity of the normal derivative from $H^2(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Gamma)$, one has

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2 |\nabla_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma|^2 + \frac{\delta(0)}{2}\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2 |\Delta_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma|^2 \leq C\left(\int_\Gamma \mu_2^2 |\nabla_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma|^2\right.$$
$$\left.+\int_\Omega \mu_3^2 |\Delta \psi|^2 + \int_\Omega \mu_3^2 |\psi|^2 + \int_\Gamma \mu_3^2 |\psi_\Gamma|^2 + \int_\Gamma \mu_3^2 |f_\Gamma|^2\right).$$

Integrating over $(0, t)$ and using estimates (5.30) and (5.39), we get

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_3^2(t)\|\nabla_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 + \|\mu_3 \Delta_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 \leq C\left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right).$$
$$(5.40)$$

Based on (5.38)-(5.40), we obtain

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_3^2(t)\|\nabla \Psi(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mu_3 \Psi_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu_3 \Delta \Psi\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2$$
$$\leq C\left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right).\tag{5.41}$$

Using the same multiplication techniques as in (5.41), we arrive at

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_3^2(t)\|\nabla H(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mu_3 H_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu_3 \Delta H\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2$$
$$\leq C\left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right).\tag{5.42}$$

Finally, from (5.41) and (5.42), we deduce (5.31).

(3) Differentiating with respect to time the system (3.5), one has

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{tt} - \sigma(0)\Delta \psi_t + a'(0)\psi_t = f_t + v_t \mathbb{1}_\omega & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \psi_{\Gamma,tt} - \delta(0)\Delta_\Gamma \psi_{\Gamma,t} + \sigma(0)\partial_\nu \psi_t + b'(0)\psi_{\Gamma,t} = f_{\Gamma,t} & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ \psi_{\Gamma,t} = \psi_t|_\Gamma, & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ (\psi_t(\cdot, 0), \psi_{\Gamma,t}(\cdot, 0)) = (0, 0) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma, \end{cases}\tag{5.43}$$

Multiplying the first equation of (5.43) by $\mu_4^2 \psi_t$ and integrating it in Ω, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \mu_4^2 |\psi_t|^2 + \sigma(0)\int_\Omega \mu_4^2 |\nabla \psi_t|^2 = \int_\Omega \mu_4 \mu_{4,t}|\psi_t|^2$$

$$+\sigma(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_4^2\psi_t\partial_\nu\psi_t - a'(0)\int_\Omega \mu_4^2|\psi_t|^2 + \int_\omega \mu_4^2\psi_t v_t + \int_\Omega \mu_4^2\psi_t f_t.$$

Using Young's inequality, $|\mu_4\mu_{4,t}|\leq C\mu_3^2$ and $\mu_4^2 \leq C\mu_3^2$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Omega \mu_4^2|\psi_t|^2 + \sigma(0)\int_\Omega \mu_4^2|\nabla\psi_t|^2 \leq C\int_\Omega \mu_3^2|\psi_t|^2$$

$$+\sigma(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_4^2\psi_t\partial_\nu\psi_t + C\int_\omega \mu_3^2|v_t|^2 + C\int_\Omega \mu_4^2|f_t|^2. \tag{5.44}$$

Multiplying the second equation of (5.43) by $\mu_4^2\psi_{\Gamma,t}$ and integrating it on Γ, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Gamma \mu_4^2|\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2 + \delta(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_4^2|\nabla_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2 = \int_\Gamma \mu_4\mu_{4,t}|\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2$$

$$-\sigma(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_4^2\psi_{\Gamma,t}\partial_\nu\psi_t - b'(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_4^2|\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2 + \int_\Gamma \mu_4^2\psi_{\Gamma,t}f_{\Gamma,t}.$$

$$\leq C\int_\Gamma \mu_3^2|\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2 - \sigma(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_4^2\psi_{\Gamma,t}\partial_\nu\psi_t + C\int_\Gamma \mu_4^2|f_{\Gamma,t}|^2. \tag{5.45}$$

By summing (5.44) and (5.45) and integrating the estimate obtained over $(0,t)$, we find

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_4^2(t)\|\Psi_t(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mu_4\nabla\Psi_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2$$

$$\leq C\left(\|\mu_3\Psi_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu_3 v_t\|_{L^2(\omega_T)}^2 + \|\mu_4 F_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right). \tag{5.46}$$

Using estimates (5.46), (5.31) and (5.18), we obtain (5.32).

(4) Firstly, multiplying the first equation of (5.43) by $\mu_5^2\psi_{tt}$ and integrating it in Ω, we obtain

$$\int_\Omega \mu_5^2|\psi_{tt}|^2 + \frac{\sigma(0)}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Omega \mu_5^2|\nabla\psi_t|^2 = \sigma(0)\int_\Omega \mu_5\mu_{5,t}|\nabla\psi_t|^2$$

$$+\sigma(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_5^2\psi_{tt}\partial_\nu\psi_t - a'(0)\int_\Omega \mu_5^2\psi_t\psi_{tt} + \int_\omega \mu_5^2\psi_{tt}v_t + \int_\Omega \mu_5^2\psi_{tt}f_t.$$

Using Young's inequality and the following elementary estimates

$$|\mu_5\mu_{5,t}|\leq C\mu_4^2, \qquad \mu_{k+1}\leq C\mu_k,$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \mu_5^2|\psi_{tt}|^2 + \frac{\sigma(0)}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Omega \mu_5^2|\nabla\psi_t|^2 \leq C\int_\Omega \mu_4^2|\nabla\psi_t|^2$$

$$+\sigma(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_5^2\psi_{tt}\partial_\nu\psi_t + C\int_\Omega \mu_4^2|\psi_t|^2 + C\int_\omega \mu_3^2|v_t|^2\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega \mu_4^2|f_t|^2. \tag{5.47}$$

Secondly, multiplying the second equation of (5.43) by $\mu_5^2\psi_{\Gamma,tt}$ and integrating over Γ, we find

$$\int_\Gamma \mu_5^2|\psi_{\Gamma,tt}|^2 + \frac{\delta(0)}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Gamma \mu_5^2|\nabla_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2 = \delta(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_5\mu_{5,t}|\nabla_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2$$

$$-\sigma(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_5^2 \psi_{\Gamma,tt}\partial_\nu\psi_t - b'(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_5^2 \psi_{\Gamma,t}\psi_{\Gamma,tt} + \int_\Gamma \mu_5^2 \psi_{\Gamma,tt}f_{\Gamma,t}.$$

Using Young's inequality, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_\Gamma \mu_5^2 |\psi_{\Gamma,tt}|^2 + \frac{\delta(0)}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Gamma \mu_5^2 |\nabla_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2 \leq C\int_\Gamma \mu_4^2 |\nabla_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2$$

$$-\sigma(0)\int_\Gamma \mu_5^2 \psi_{\Gamma,tt}\partial_\nu\psi_t + C\int_\Gamma \mu_4^2 |\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2 + C\int_\Gamma \mu_4^2 |f_{\Gamma,t}|^2. \tag{5.48}$$

By summing (5.47) and (5.48) and integrating over $(0,t)$, one has

$$\|\mu_5\Psi_{tt}\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\nabla\Psi_t(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_4^2(t)\|\Psi_t(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2\right.$$

$$\left.+\|\mu_4\nabla\Psi_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu_3 v_t\|_{L^2(\omega_T)}^2 + \|\mu_4 F_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right). \tag{5.49}$$

Based on (5.49) and estimates (5.32), (5.18), we obtain

$$\|\mu_5\Psi_{tt}\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\nabla\Psi_t(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2$$

$$\leq C\left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu_4 F_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right). \tag{5.50}$$

Multiplying the first equation of (5.43) by $-\mu_5^2\Delta\psi_t$ and integrating it in Ω, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Omega \mu_5^2 |\nabla\psi_t|^2 + \sigma(0)\int_\Omega \mu_5^2 |\Delta\psi_t|^2 = \int_\Omega \mu_5\mu_{5,t}|\nabla\psi_t|^2$$

$$+\int_\Gamma \mu_5^2 \psi_{tt}\partial_\nu\psi_t + a'(0)\int_\Omega \mu_5^2\Delta\psi_t\psi_t - \int_\omega \mu_5^2\Delta\psi_t v_t - \int_\Omega \mu_5^2\Delta\psi_t f_t.$$

Using Young's inequality and the continuity of the normal derivative from $H^2(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Gamma)$, we find

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Omega \mu_5^2 |\nabla\psi_t|^2 + \frac{\sigma(0)}{2}\int_\Omega \mu_5^2 |\Delta\psi_t|^2 \leq C\left(\int_\Omega \mu_4^2 |\nabla\psi_t|^2\right.$$

$$\left.+\int_\Gamma \mu_5^2 |\psi_{\Gamma,tt}|^2 + \int_\Omega \mu_4^2 |\psi_t|^2 + \int_\omega \mu_3^2 |v_t|^2 + \int_\Omega \mu_4^2 |f_t|^2\right).$$

Integrating over $(0,t)$, we obtain

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\int_\Omega \mu_5^2 |\nabla\psi_t(\cdot,t)|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu_5^2 |\Delta\psi_t|^2 \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T} \mu_4^2 |\nabla\psi_t|^2\right.$$

$$\left.+\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\int_\Omega \mu_4^2 |\psi_t|^2 + \int_{\Gamma_T} \mu_5^2 |\psi_{\Gamma,tt}|^2 + \int_{\omega_T} \mu_3^2 |v_t|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu_4^2 |f_t|^2\right).$$

Using estimates (5.32) and (5.50), we get

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\nabla\psi_t(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\mu_5\Delta\psi_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2$$

$$\leq C\left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+\|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+\|\mu_4 F_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right). \tag{5.51}$$

Now, multiplying the second equation of (5.43) by $-\mu_5^2\Delta_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}$ and integrating it on Γ, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Gamma \mu_5^2|\nabla_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2+\delta(0)\int_\Gamma\mu_5^2|\Delta_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2=\int_\Gamma\mu_5\mu_{5,t}|\nabla_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2$$
$$+\sigma(0)\int_\Gamma\mu_5^2\Delta_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}\partial_\nu\psi_t+b'(0)\int_\Gamma\mu_5^2\Delta_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}\psi_{\Gamma,t}-\int_\Gamma\mu_5^2\Delta_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}f_{\Gamma,t}.$$

Similarly as above, one has

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Gamma\mu_5^2|\nabla_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2+\frac{\delta(0)}{2}\int_\Gamma\mu_5^2|\Delta_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2\leq C\left(\int_\Gamma\mu_4^2|\nabla_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2\right.$$
$$\left.+\int_\Omega\mu_5^2|\psi_t|^2+\int_\Omega\mu_5^2|\Delta\psi_t|^2+\int_\Gamma\mu_5^2|\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2+\int_\Gamma\mu_4^2|f_{\Gamma,t}|^2\right).$$

Hence

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\nabla_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2+\|\mu_5\Delta_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2$$
$$\leq C\left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+\|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+\|\mu_4 F_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right). \tag{5.52}$$

From (5.51) and (5.52), we obtain

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\nabla\Psi_t(\cdot,t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2+\|\mu_5\Delta\Psi_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2$$
$$\leq C\left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+\|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\|\mu_4 F_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right). \tag{5.53}$$

Multiplying the first equation of (3.5) by $-\mu_5^2\Delta\psi_t$ and integrating it in Ω, we obtain

$$\int_\Omega\mu_5^2|\nabla\psi_t|^2+\frac{\sigma(0)}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Omega\mu_5^2|\Delta\psi|^2=\int_\Gamma\mu_5^2\psi_t\partial_\nu\psi_t+\sigma(0)\int_\Omega\mu_5\mu_{5,t}|\Delta\psi|^2$$
$$+a'(0)\int_\Omega\mu_5^2\psi\Delta\psi_t-\int_\omega\mu_5^2\Delta\psi_t v-\int_\Omega\mu_5^2\Delta\psi_t f.$$

Using Young's inequality and the trace theorem for the normal derivative, we have

$$\int_\Omega\mu_5^2|\nabla\psi_t|^2+\frac{\sigma(0)}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Omega\mu_5^2|\Delta\psi|^2\leq C\left(\int_\Gamma\mu_3^2|\psi_t|^2+\int_\Omega\mu_3^2|\psi_t|^2\right.$$
$$\left.+\int_\Omega\mu_5^2|\Delta\psi_t|^2+\int_\Omega\mu_3^2|\Delta\psi|^2+\int_\Omega\mu_0^2|\psi|^2+\int_\omega\mu_1^2|v|^2+\int_\Omega\mu^2|f|^2\right). \tag{5.54}$$

Multiplying the third equation of (3.5) by $-\mu_5^2\Delta_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}$ and integrating it in Γ, we obtain

$$\int_\Gamma\mu_5^2|\nabla_\Gamma\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2+\frac{\delta(0)}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Gamma\mu_5^2|\Delta_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma|^2=\delta(0)\int_\Gamma\mu_5\mu_{5,t}|\Delta_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma|^2$$

24

$$+\sigma(0)\int_{\Gamma}\mu_5^2\Delta_{\Gamma}\psi_{\Gamma,t}\partial_\nu\psi+b'(0)\int_{\Gamma}\mu_5^2\psi_{\Gamma}\Delta_{\Gamma}\psi_{\Gamma,t}-\int_{\Gamma}\mu_5^2\Delta_{\Gamma}\psi_{\Gamma,t}f_{\Gamma}.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\Gamma}\mu_5^2|\nabla_{\Gamma}\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2+\frac{\delta(0)}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\Gamma}\mu_5^2|\Delta_{\Gamma}\psi_{\Gamma}|^2\leq C\left(\int_{\Gamma}\mu_3^2|\Delta_{\Gamma}\psi_{\Gamma}|^2\right.$$
$$\left.+\int_{\Gamma}\mu_5^2|\Delta_{\Gamma}\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2+\int_{\Omega}\mu_0^2|\psi|^2+\int_{\Omega}\mu_3^2|\Delta\psi|^2+\int_{\Gamma}\mu_0^2|\psi_{\Gamma}|^2+\int_{\Gamma}\mu^2|f_{\Gamma}|^2\right). \qquad (5.55)$$

Summing (5.54) and (5.55) and integrating over $(0,t)$, one has

$$\|\mu_5\nabla\Psi_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\Delta\Psi(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2\leq C\left(\|\mu_3\Psi_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right.$$
$$+\|\mu_3\Delta\Psi\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+\|\mu_5\Delta\Psi_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+\|\mu_0\Psi\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+\|\mu_1 v\|_{L^2(\omega_T)}^2$$
$$\left.+\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right).$$

Using (5.31), (5.16) and (5.53), we obtain

$$\|\mu_5\nabla\Psi_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\Delta\Psi(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2$$
$$\leq C\left(\|\mu F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+\|\mu G\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+\|\mu_4 F_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right). \qquad (5.56)$$

Finally, from (5.50), (5.53) and (5.56), we deduce (5.33). $\qquad\square$

6. Null Controllability of the quasilinear system (3.2)

We will establish the local null controllability of system (3.2) using Lyusternik-Graves' Theorem.

6.1. Study of the mapping Λ given in (3.4)

We introduce suitable spaces so that the mapping Λ verifies the conditions of Theorem 3.3.

$\mathbb{X}:=\big\{(\Psi,H,v)\ :\quad \mu_0\Psi,\,\mu_0 H,\,\mu_3\Delta H,\,\mu_4\Psi_t,\,\mu_5\Delta\Psi_t\in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2),\ \mu_1 v,\,\mu_3 v_t\in L^2(\omega_T),$
$v\mathbb{1}_{\omega_T}\in L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega)),\,\mu(\mathbf{L}_1\Psi-v\mathbb{1}_{\omega},\mathbf{L}_2\Psi),\mu_4(\mathbf{L}_1\Psi-v\mathbb{1}_{\omega},\mathbf{L}_2\Psi)_t\in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2),$
$\mu(\mathbf{L}_1^*H-\theta\psi\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}},\mathbf{L}_2^*H-\theta_{\Gamma}\psi_{\Gamma}\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma})\in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2),$
$\displaystyle\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\Psi_t(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2<\infty,\ \sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\Psi(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2<\infty\big\},$
$\mathbb{Y}_1:=\{F\ :\ \mu F,\,\mu_4 F_t\in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)\},\quad \mathbb{Y}_2:=\{G\ :\ \mu G\in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)\},$
$\mathbb{Y}:=\mathbb{Y}_1\times\mathbb{Y}_2,$

where $\mathbf{L}_1$ and $\mathbf{L}_2$ are defined in (2.6). These spaces are naturally equipped with the following norms

$$\|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}:=\left(\|\mu_0\Psi\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+\|\mu_0 H\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+\|\mu_3\Delta H\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2+\|\mu_4\Psi_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\right.$$

$$+\|\mu_5\Delta\Psi_t\|^2_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}+\|\mu_1 v\|^2_{L^2(\omega_T)}+\|\mu_3 v_t\|^2_{L^2(\omega_T)}+\|v\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\omega))},$$

$$+\|\mu(\mathbf{L}_1\Psi-v\mathbb{1}_\omega,\mathbf{L}_2\Psi)\|^2_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}+\|\mu_4(\mathbf{L}_1\Psi-v\mathbb{1}_\omega,\mathbf{L}_2\Psi)_t\|^2_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}$$

$$+\|\mu(\mathbf{L}_1^\star H-\theta\psi\mathbb{1}_\mathcal{O},\mathbf{L}_2^\star H-\theta_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma\mathbb{1}_\Sigma)\|^2_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}+\sup_{0\le t\le T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\Psi_t(\cdot,t)\|^2_{\mathbb{H}^1}+\sup_{0\le t\le T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\Psi(\cdot,t)\|^2_{\mathbb{H}^2}\Big)^{1/2},$$

$$\|F\|_{\mathbb{Y}}:=\left(\|\mu F\|^2_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}+\|\mu G\|^2_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}+\|\mu_4 F_t\|^2_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}\right)^{1/2}.$$

It is straightforward to show that these are Hilbert spaces.

Remark 5. One can easily observe that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$\int_0^T\mu_5^2(t)\|\Psi_t(\cdot,t)\|^2_{\mathbb{H}^2}\le C\|(\Psi,H,v)\|^2_{\mathbb{X}}\quad\forall(\Psi,H,v)\in\mathbb{X}.$$

Consider the mapping $\Lambda:\mathbb{X}\longrightarrow\mathbb{Y}$ given in (3.4). To simplify, we consider the linear part of Λ:

$$L(\Psi,H,v):=(\mathbf{L}_1\Psi-v\mathbb{1}_\omega,\mathbf{L}_2\Psi,\mathbf{L}_1^\star H-\theta\psi\mathbb{1}_\mathcal{O},\mathbf{L}_2^\star H-\theta_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma\mathbb{1}_\Sigma)$$

and the nonlinear part of Λ:

$$\begin{aligned}A(\Psi,H,v)&:=&L(\Psi,H,v)-\Lambda(\Psi,H,v)\\&:=&(A_1(\Psi,H,v),A_3(\Psi,H,v),A_2(\Psi,H,v),A_4(\Psi,H,v)),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_1(\Psi,H,v):=\nabla\cdot((\sigma(\psi)-\sigma(0))\nabla\psi)-(a(\psi)-a'(0)\psi),$$
$$A_2(\Psi,H,v):=(\sigma(\psi)-\sigma(0))\Delta h-(a'(\psi)-a'(0))h,$$
$$A_3(\Psi,H,v):=\nabla_\Gamma\cdot((\delta(\psi_\Gamma)-\delta(0))\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma)-(\sigma(\psi_\Gamma)-\sigma(0))\partial_\nu\psi-(b(\psi_\Gamma)-b'(0)\psi_\Gamma),$$
$$A_4(\Psi,H,v):=(\delta(\psi_\Gamma)-\delta(0))\Delta_\Gamma h_\Gamma-(\sigma(\psi_\Gamma)-\sigma(0))\partial_\nu h-(b'(\psi_\Gamma)-b'(0))h_\Gamma.$$

The following lemma confirms that the mapping Λ is well-defined.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $r>0$. There is a positive constant $C:=C(r)>0$ such that the mapping $\Lambda:\mathbb{X}\to\mathbb{Y}$ defined above verifies*

$$\|\Lambda(\Psi,H,v)\|^2_{\mathbb{Y}}\le C\left(\|(\Psi,H,v)\|^2_{\mathbb{X}}+\|(\Psi,H,v)\|^4_{\mathbb{X}}+\|(\Psi,H,v)\|^6_{\mathbb{X}}\right),\tag{6.1}$$

for all $(\Psi,H,v)\in\overline{B}_{\mathbb{X}}(0,r)$. In particular, $\Lambda:\mathbb{X}\to\mathbb{Y}$ is well defined.

Proof. Let $r>0$ and $(\Psi,H,v)\in\overline{B}_{\mathbb{X}}(0,r)$. From the definition of the norm of $\mathbb{X}$ and the continuity of Sobolev embedding $\mathbb{H}^2\hookrightarrow\mathbb{L}^\infty$, we can easily obtain that

$$\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^\infty)}\le C_0\|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}\le C_0 r\quad\text{for all }(\Psi,H,v)\in\overline{B}_{\mathbb{X}}(0,r).\tag{6.2}$$

Throughout this proof, we will use the fact that the ith derivative of σ and δ, the jth derivative of a and b are Lipschitz-continuous on the interval $J_0:=[-C_0 r,C_0 r]$ for $i=0,1,2$ and $j=0,1$. Firstly, according to the definition of the norm of $\mathbb{X}$, the linear part is bounded:

$$\|L(\Psi,H,v)\|^2_{\mathbb{Y}}=\|\mu(\mathbf{L}_1\Psi-v\mathbb{1}_\omega,\mathbf{L}_2\Psi)\|^2_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}+\|\mu_4(\mathbf{L}_1\Psi-v\mathbb{1}_\omega,\mathbf{L}_2\Psi)_t\|^2_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}$$

$$+\|\mu(\mathbf{L}_1^\star H - \theta\psi\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathbf{L}_2^\star H - \theta_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma\mathbb{1}_\Sigma)\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2$$

$$\leq \quad \|(\Psi, H, v)\|^2. \tag{6.3}$$

Now, we analyze the nonlinear part A. One has

$$\|A(\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{Y}}^2 = \|\mu(A_1(\Psi, H, v), A_3(\Psi, H, v))\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2$$
$$+\|\mu_4(A_1(\Psi, H, v), A_3(\Psi, H, v))_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|\mu(A_2(\Psi, H, v), A_4(\Psi, H, v))\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \tag{6.4}$$

Then, it suffices to show that each term of (6.4) is bounded as in estimate (6.1).
Firstly, we claim that

$$\|\mu(A_1(\Psi, H, v), A_3(\Psi, H, v))\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \leq C\left(\|(\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 + \|(\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4\right). \tag{6.5}$$

Using a and b are Lipschitz-continuous on J_0 and $a(0) = b(0) = 0$, we have

$$\|\mu(\Lambda_1(\Psi, H, v), \Lambda_3(\Psi, v))\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 = \|\mu\Lambda_1(\Psi, H, v)\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \|\mu\Lambda_3(\Psi, H, v)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2$$

$$\leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2|\nabla\cdot((\sigma(\psi) - \sigma(0))\nabla\psi)|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2|a(\psi) - a'(0)\psi|^2\right.$$

$$+ \int_{\Gamma_T} \mu^2|\nabla_\Gamma\cdot((\delta(\psi_\Gamma) - \delta(0))\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma)|^2 + \int_{\Gamma_T} \mu^2|\psi_\Gamma|^2|\partial_\nu\psi|^2$$

$$\left.+ \int_{\Gamma_T} \mu^2|b(\psi_\Gamma) - b'(0)\psi_\Gamma|^2\right) := C\left(\sum_{j=1}^5 I_j\right). \tag{6.6}$$

Let us analyze I_1. Since σ is Lipschitz-continuous and bounded on J_0, one has

$$I_1 = \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2|\sigma'(\psi)|^2|\nabla\psi|^4 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2|(\sigma(\psi) - \sigma(0))\Delta\psi|^2$$

$$\leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2|\nabla\psi|^4 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2|\psi|^2|\Delta\psi|^2\right)$$

$$\leq C\left(\int_0^T \left[\mu^2(t)\int_\Omega |\nabla\psi(\cdot, t)|^4\right] + \int_0^T \left[\mu^2(t)\|\psi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \int_\Omega |\Delta\psi(\cdot, t)|^2\right]\right).$$

The fact that $H^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1,4}(\Omega)$ and $H^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ with continuous embeddings, implies that

$$I_1 \leq C\int_0^T \mu^2(t)\|\psi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^4$$
$$\leq C\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^4(t)\|\psi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^4$$
$$\leq C\|(\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4. \tag{6.7}$$

Similarly to I_1, we obtain

$$I_3 \leq C\|(\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4. \tag{6.8}$$

27

Using $a(0) = b(0) = 0$ and Taylor's Inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2 + I_5 &\leq \frac{M^2}{4}\int_{\Omega_T}\mu^2|\psi|^4 + \frac{M_\Gamma^2}{4}\int_{\Gamma_T}\mu^2|\psi_\Gamma|^4 \\
&\leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T}\mu_5^4|\psi|^4 + \int_{\Gamma_T}\mu_5^4|\psi_\Gamma|^4\right) \\
&\leq C\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^4(t)\|\Psi(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^4 \\
&\leq C\|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4,
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.9}
$$

where $M = \sup_{r\in J_0}|a''(r)|$ and $M_\Gamma = \sup_{r\in J_0}|b''(r)|$.

For the last term I_4, thanks to the continuity of the Sobolev embedding $H^2(\Gamma)\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Gamma)$ and the continuity of the normal derivative from $H^2(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Gamma)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_4 &\leq C\int_0^T\mu^2(t)\|\psi_\Gamma(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}^2\|\partial_\nu\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 \\
&\leq C\int_0^T\mu^2(t)\|\psi_\Gamma(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2(\Gamma)}^2\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
&\leq C\int_0^T\mu^2(t)\|\Psi(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^4 \\
&\leq C\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^4(t)\|\Psi(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^4 \\
&\leq C\|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4.
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.10}
$$

From estimates (6.6)-(6.10), we obtain (6.5).

Now, we prove that

$$
\|\mu_4(A_1(\Psi,H,v),A_3(\Psi,H,v))_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \leq C\left(\|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 + \|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4 + \|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^6\right).
\tag{6.11}
$$

We have

$$
\|\mu_4(\Lambda_1(\Psi,H,v),\Lambda_2(\Psi,H,v))_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 = \|\mu_4(\Lambda_1(\Psi,H,v))_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2
\tag{6.12}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\|\mu_4(\Lambda_2(\Psi,H,v))_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T}\mu_4^2|(\nabla\cdot((\sigma(\psi)-\sigma(0))\nabla\psi))_t|^2\right. \\
&+\int_{\Omega_T}\mu_4^2|(a(\psi)-a'(0)\psi)_t|^2 + \int_{\Gamma_T}\mu_4^2|(\nabla_\Gamma\cdot((\delta(\psi_\Gamma)-\delta(0))\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma))_t|^2 \\
&+\int_{\Gamma_T}\mu_4^2|((\sigma(\psi_\Gamma)-\sigma(0))\partial_\nu\psi)_t|^2 + \left.\int_{\Gamma_T}\mu_4^2|(b(\psi_\Gamma)-b'(0)\psi_\Gamma)_t|^2\right) := C\left(\sum_{j=1}^5 J_j\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us show the estimate for J_1. Using σ'' and σ' are bounded on J_0, σ is Lipschitz-continuous on J_0, we have

$$
J_1 \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T}\mu_4^2|\sigma''(\psi)\psi_t|^2|\nabla\psi|^4 + \int_{\Omega_T}\mu_4^2|\sigma'(\psi)\nabla\psi_t\cdot\nabla\psi|^2\right.
$$

28

$$+ \int_{\Omega_T} \mu_4^2 |\sigma'(\psi)\psi_t \Delta\psi|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu_4^2 |(\sigma(\psi) - \sigma(0))\Delta\psi_t|^2 \Big)$$

$$\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} \mu_4^2 |\psi_t|^2 |\nabla\psi|^4 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu_4^2 |\nabla\psi_t \cdot \nabla\psi|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu_4^2 |\psi_t|^2 |\Delta\psi|^2 \right.$$

$$\left. + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu_4^2 |\psi|^2 |\Delta\psi_t|^2 \right) := C \left(\sum_{j=1}^4 J_{1j} \right).$$

Using Sobolev embeddings as above and Remark 5, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{11} &\leq \int_0^T \left[\mu_4^2(t) \|\psi_t(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla\psi(\cdot, t)|^4 \right) \right] \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \left[\mu_4^2(t) \|\psi_t(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \|\psi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^4 \right] \\
&\leq C \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_5^4(t) \|\psi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^4 \right) \int_0^T \mu_5^2(t) \|\psi_t(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
&\leq C \|(\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^6.
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{12} &\leq \int_0^T \left[\mu_4^2(t) \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla\psi_t(\cdot, t)|^2 |\nabla\psi(\cdot, t)|^2 \right) \right] \\
&\leq \int_0^T \left[\mu_4^2(t) \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla\psi_t(\cdot, t)|^4 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla\psi(\cdot, t)|^4 \right)^{1/2} \right] \\
&\leq \int_0^T \mu_4^2(t) \|\psi_t(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \|\psi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
&\leq C \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_5^2(t) \|\psi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \int_0^T \mu_5^2(t) \|\psi_t(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
&\leq C \|(\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the fact that $H^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ is continuous and Remark 5, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{13} &\leq \int_0^T \left[\mu_4^2(t) \|\psi_t(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \left(\int_\Omega |\Delta\psi(\cdot, t)|^2 \right) \right] \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \mu_4^2(t) \|\psi_t(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \|\Delta\psi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
&\leq C \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_5^2(t) \|\Delta\psi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \int_0^T \mu_5^2(t) \|\psi_t(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
&\leq C \|(\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4.
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$J_{14} \leq \int_0^T \left[\mu_4^2(t) \|\psi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \left(\int_\Omega |\Delta\psi_t(\cdot, t)|^2 \right) \right]$$

$$\leq C \int_0^T \mu_4^2(t)\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2\|\Delta\psi_t(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

$$\leq C \left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2\right)\int_0^T \mu_5^2(t)\|\Delta\psi_t(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

$$\leq C\|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4.$$

Consequently,

$$J_1 \leq C\left(\|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^6+\|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4\right). \tag{6.13}$$

Analogously, we obtain

$$J_3 \leq C\left(\|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^6+\|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4\right). \tag{6.14}$$

Using a' and b' are bounded on J_0, we find

$$J_2 + J_5 \leq C\|\mu_4\Psi_t\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \leq C\|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2. \tag{6.15}$$

It remains to analyze the last term J_4. Using σ' is bounded on J_0, σ is Lipscitz-continuous on J_0, $H^2(\Gamma)\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Gamma)$ is continuous, the normal derivative is continuous from $H^2(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Gamma)$ and Remark 5, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
J_4 &\leq C\left(\int_{\Gamma_T}\mu_4^2|\psi_{\Gamma,t}|^2|\partial_\nu\psi|^2+\int_{\Gamma_T}\mu_4^2|\psi_\Gamma|^2|\partial_\nu\psi_t|^2\right)\\
&\leq C\left(\int_0^T\left[\mu_4^2(t)\|\psi_{\Gamma,t}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}^2\int_\Gamma|\partial_\nu\psi(\cdot,t)|^2\right]\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\int_0^T\left[\mu_4^2(t)\|\psi_\Gamma(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}^2\int_\Gamma|\partial_\nu\psi_t(\cdot,t)|^2\right]\right)\\
&\leq C\left(\int_0^T\mu_4^2(t)\|\psi_{\Gamma,t}(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2(\Gamma)}^2\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\int_0^T\mu_4^2(t)\|\psi_\Gamma(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2(\Gamma)}^2\|\psi_t(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2\right)\\
&\leq C\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2\int_0^T\mu_5^2(t)\|\psi_{\Gamma,t}(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2(\Gamma)}^2\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\psi_\Gamma(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2(\Gamma)}^2\int_0^T\mu_5^2(t)\|\psi_t(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2\right)\\
&\leq C\|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4. \tag{6.16}
\end{aligned}$$

From estimates (6.12)-(6.16), we obtain (6.11).
Now, we claim that

$$\|\mu(\Lambda_2(\Psi,H,v),\Lambda_4(\Psi,H,v))\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2\leq C\left(\|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2+\|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4\right). \tag{6.17}$$

We have

$$\|\mu(\Lambda_2(\Psi,H,v),\Lambda_4(\Psi,H,v))\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2=\|\mu\Lambda_2(\Psi,H,v)\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2+\|\mu\Lambda_4(\Psi,H,v)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2$$

$$\leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T}\mu^2|(\sigma(\psi)-\sigma(0))\Delta h|^2+\int_{\Omega_T}\mu^2|(a'(\psi)-a'(0))h|^2\right.$$
$$+\int_{\Gamma_T}\mu^2|(\delta(\psi_\Gamma)-\delta(0))\Delta_\Gamma h_\Gamma|^2+\int_{\Gamma_T}\mu^2|(\sigma(\psi_\Gamma)-\sigma(0))\partial_\nu h|^2$$
$$\left.+\int_{\Gamma_T}\mu^2|(b'(\psi_\Gamma)-b'(0))h_\Gamma|^2\right):=C\left(\sum_{j=1}^{7}K_j\right). \tag{6.18}$$

Using σ and δ are Lipschitz-continuous on J_0 and $H^2(\Omega)\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ with continuous embeddings, one has

$$K_1+K_3\leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T}\mu^2|\psi|^2|\Delta h|^2+\int_{\Gamma_T}\mu^2|\psi_\Gamma|^2|\Delta_\Gamma h_\Gamma|^2\right)$$
$$\leq C\left(\int_0^T\left[\mu_5^2(t)\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2\int_\Omega\mu_3^2|\Delta h|^2\right]\right.$$
$$\left.+\int_0^T\left[\mu_5^2(t)\|\psi_\Gamma(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}^2\int_\Gamma\mu_3^2|\Delta_\Gamma h_\Gamma|^2\right]\right)$$
$$\leq C\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2\|\mu_3\Delta h\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2\right.$$
$$\left.+\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2(\Gamma)}^2\|\mu_3\Delta_\Gamma h_\Gamma\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma))}^2\right)$$
$$\leq C\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\Psi(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2\|\mu_3\Delta H\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2$$
$$\leq C\|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4. \tag{6.19}$$

Let us now analyze K_2 and K_5. Using a' and b' are Lipschitz-continuous on J_0 and $H^2(\Omega)\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ with continuous embeddings, we obtain

$$K_2+K_5\leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T}\mu^2|\psi|^2|h|^2+\int_{\Gamma_T}\mu^2|\psi_\Gamma|^2|h_\Gamma|^2\right)$$
$$\leq C\left(\int_0^T\left[\mu_5^2(t)\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2\int_\Omega\mu_0^2|h|^2\right]\right.$$
$$\left.+\int_0^T\left[\mu_5^2(t)\|\psi_\Gamma(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}^2\int_\Gamma\mu_0^2|h_\Gamma|^2\right]\right)$$
$$\leq C\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2\|\mu_0 h\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2\right.$$
$$\left.+\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2(\Gamma)}^2\|\mu_0 h_\Gamma\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma))}^2\right)$$
$$\leq C\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu_5^2(t)\|\Psi(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2\|\mu_0 H\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2$$
$$\leq C\|(\Psi,H,v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4. \tag{6.20}$$

For the last term K_4, thanks to the continuity of the Sobolev embedding $H^2(\Gamma)\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Gamma)$

and the continuity of the normal derivative from $H^2(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Gamma)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_4 &\leq C \int_0^T \mu^2(t) \|\psi_\Gamma(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} \|\partial_\nu h(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \mu^2(t) \|\psi_\Gamma(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^2(\Gamma)} \|h(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} \\
&\leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_5^2(t) \|\Psi(\cdot,t)\|^2_{\mathbb{H}^2} \|\mu_3 H\|^2_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{H}^2)} \\
&\leq C \|(\Psi,v)\|^4_{\mathbb{X}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.21}
$$

From estimates (6.18)-(6.21), we obtain (6.17).

Finally, by $\Lambda = L - A$ and estimates (6.3)-(6.5), (6.11) and (6.17), we obtain (6.1). $\qquad \square$

Lemma 6.2. *The mapping $\Lambda : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{Y}$ is continuously differentiable.*

Proof. Recall that $\Lambda = L - A$ and L is linear continuous from $\mathbb{X}$ to $\mathbb{Y}$, then L is continuously differentiable and $DL(\Psi, H, v) = L$, for any $(\Psi, H, v) \in \mathbb{X}$. Let us show that A is continuously differentiable. We will start by proving that A is Gateaux-differentiable at any $(\Psi, H, v) \in \mathbb{X}$ and determine the G-derivative $A'(\Psi, H, v)$. Let $\varepsilon \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$ and $(\Psi, H, v), (\Phi, K, u) \in \mathbb{X}$.

A simple computation yields

$$
\frac{A_1((\Psi,H,v) + \varepsilon(\Phi,K,u)) - A_1(\Psi,H,v)}{\varepsilon} = \frac{\sigma(\psi + \varepsilon\phi) - \sigma(\psi)}{\varepsilon} \Delta\psi
$$
$$
+ \left[\frac{\sigma'(\psi + \varepsilon\phi) - \sigma'(\psi)}{\varepsilon} \right] |\nabla\psi|^2 + (\sigma(\psi + \varepsilon\phi) - \sigma(0))\Delta\phi
$$
$$
+ 2\sigma'(\psi + \varepsilon\phi)\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\phi - \frac{a(\psi + \varepsilon\phi) - a(\psi)}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon\sigma'(\psi + \varepsilon\phi)|\nabla\phi|^2 + a'(0)\phi := \sum_{j=1}^7 A_{1,j}^\varepsilon,
$$

$$
\frac{A_3((\Psi,H,v) + \varepsilon(\Phi,K,u)) - A_3(\Psi,H,v)}{\varepsilon} = \frac{\delta(\psi_\Gamma + \varepsilon\phi_\Gamma) - \delta(\psi_\Gamma)}{\varepsilon} \Delta_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma
$$
$$
+ \left[\frac{\delta'(\psi_\Gamma + \varepsilon\phi_\Gamma) - \delta'(\psi_\Gamma)}{\varepsilon} \right] |\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma|^2 + (\delta(\psi_\Gamma + \varepsilon\phi_\Gamma) - \delta(0))\Delta_\Gamma\phi_\Gamma
$$
$$
+ 2\delta'(\psi_\Gamma + \varepsilon\phi_\Gamma)\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma \cdot \nabla_\Gamma\phi_\Gamma - \frac{\sigma(\psi_\Gamma + \varepsilon\phi_\Gamma) - \sigma(\psi_\Gamma)}{\varepsilon} \partial_\nu\psi
$$
$$
- (\sigma(\psi_\Gamma + \varepsilon\phi_\Gamma) - \sigma(0))\partial_\nu\phi - \frac{b(\psi_\Gamma + \varepsilon\phi_\Gamma) - b(\psi_\Gamma)}{\varepsilon}
$$
$$
+ \varepsilon\delta'(\psi_\Gamma + \varepsilon\phi_\Gamma)|\nabla_\Gamma\phi_\Gamma|^2 + b'(0)\phi_\Gamma := \sum_{j=1}^9 A_{3,j}^\varepsilon,
$$

$$
\frac{A_2((\Psi,H,v) + \varepsilon(\Phi,K,u)) - A_2(\Psi,H,v)}{\varepsilon} = \frac{\sigma(\psi + \varepsilon\phi) - \sigma(\psi)}{\varepsilon} \Delta h
$$
$$
+ (\sigma(\psi + \varepsilon\phi) - \sigma(0))\Delta k - \frac{a'(\psi + \varepsilon\phi) - a'(\psi)}{\varepsilon} h
$$

32

$$-(a'(\psi + \varepsilon\phi) - a'(0))k := \sum_{j=1}^{4} A_{2,j}^{\varepsilon}$$

and

$$\frac{A_4((\Psi, H, v) + \varepsilon(\Phi, K, u)) - A_4(\Psi, H, v)}{\varepsilon} = \frac{\delta(\psi_\Gamma + \varepsilon\phi_\Gamma) - \delta(\psi_\Gamma)}{\varepsilon}\Delta_\Gamma h_\Gamma$$

$$+ (\delta(\psi_\Gamma + \varepsilon\phi_\Gamma) - \delta(0))\Delta_\Gamma k_\Gamma - \frac{\sigma(\psi_\Gamma + \varepsilon\phi_\Gamma) - \sigma(\psi_\Gamma)}{\varepsilon}\partial_\nu h$$

$$- (\sigma(\psi_\Gamma + \varepsilon\phi_\Gamma) - \sigma(0))\partial_\nu k - \frac{b'(\psi_\Gamma + \varepsilon\phi_\Gamma) - b'(\psi_\Gamma)}{\varepsilon}h_\Gamma$$

$$- (b'(\psi_\Gamma + \varepsilon\phi_\Gamma) - b'(0))k_\Gamma := \sum_{j=1}^{6} A_{4,j}^{\varepsilon},$$

We consider the linear mapping $\mathbf{D}A(\Psi, H, v) : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{Y}$ defined by

$$\mathbf{D}A_1(\Psi, H, v)(\Phi, K, u) := \sigma'(\psi)\phi\Delta\psi + \sigma''(\psi)\phi|\nabla\psi|^2 + (\sigma(\psi) - \sigma(0))\Delta\phi$$

$$+2\sigma'(\psi)\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\phi - a'(\psi)\phi + a'(0)\phi := \sum_{j=1}^{7} A_{1,j}, \tag{6.22}$$

$$\mathbf{D}A_3(\Psi, H, v)(\Phi, K, u) := \delta'(\psi_\Gamma)\phi_\Gamma\Delta_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma + \delta''(\psi_\Gamma)\phi_\Gamma|\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma|^2$$

$$+(\delta(\psi_\Gamma) - \delta(0))\Delta_\Gamma\phi_\Gamma + 2\delta'(\psi_\Gamma)\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma \cdot \nabla_\Gamma\phi_\Gamma - \sigma'(\psi_\Gamma)\phi_\Gamma\partial_\nu\psi - (\sigma(\psi_\Gamma) - \sigma(0))\partial_\nu\phi$$

$$-b'(\psi_\Gamma)\phi_\Gamma + b'(0)\phi_\Gamma := \sum_{j=1}^{9} A_{3,j}, \tag{6.23}$$

$$\mathbf{D}A_2(\Psi, H, v)(\Phi, K, u) := \sigma'(\psi)\phi\Delta h + (\sigma(\psi) - \sigma(0))\Delta k - a''(\psi)\phi h$$

$$-(a'(\psi) - a'(0))k := \sum_{j=1}^{4} A_{2,j}, \tag{6.24}$$

$$\mathbf{D}A_4(\Psi, H, v)(\Phi, K, u) := \delta'(\psi_\Gamma)\phi_\Gamma\Delta_\Gamma h_\Gamma + (\delta(\psi_\Gamma) - \delta(0))\Delta_\Gamma k_\Gamma - \sigma'(\psi_\Gamma)\phi_\Gamma\partial_\nu h$$

$$-(\sigma(\psi_\Gamma) - \sigma(0))\partial_\nu k - b''(\psi_\Gamma)\phi_\Gamma h_\Gamma - (b'(\psi_\Gamma) - b'(0))k_\Gamma := \sum_{j=1}^{6} A_{4,j}, \tag{6.25}$$

were we have denoted $A_{1,6} = A_{3,8} = 0$ (Since $A_{1,6}^{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow 0$ and $A_{3,8}^{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$). Using the dominated convergence theorem, we can show that

$$\frac{A((\Psi, H, v) + \varepsilon(\Phi, K, u)) - A(\Psi, H, v)}{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}A(\Psi, H, u)(\Phi, K, v) \text{ in } \mathbb{Y} \text{ as } \varepsilon \longrightarrow 0.$$

Indeed, using $A_{1,7}^{\varepsilon} = A_{1,7}$ and $A_{3,9}^{\varepsilon} = A_{3,9} = 0$, then

$$\left\|\frac{A((\Psi, H, v) + \varepsilon(\Phi, K, u)) - A(\Psi, H, v)}{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{D}A(\Psi, H, v)(\Phi, K, u)\right\|_{\mathbb{Y}}^{2}$$

$$\leq C\left(\sum_{j=1}^{6}\left[\|\mu(A_{1,j}^{\varepsilon} - A_{1,j})\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \|\mu_4(A_{1,j}^{\varepsilon} - A_{1,j})_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2\right]\right.$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{8} \left[\|\mu(A_{3,j}^{\varepsilon} - A_{3,j})\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 + \|\mu_4(A_{3,j}^{\varepsilon} - A_{3,j})_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{4} \|\mu(A_{2,j}^{\varepsilon} - A_{2,j})\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{6} \|\mu(A_{4,j}^{\varepsilon} - A_{4,j})\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 \Bigg).$$

Let us start with the term $\|\mu(A_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} - A_{1,1})\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2$.

$$\|\mu(A_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} - A_{1,1})\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 = \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 \left| \frac{\sigma(\psi + \varepsilon\phi) - \sigma(\psi) - \varepsilon\phi\sigma'(\psi)}{\varepsilon} \right|^2 |\Delta\psi|^2.$$

Since σ is of class C^2, by Taylor-Lagrange inequality, we have

$$\mu^2 \left| \frac{\sigma(\psi + \varepsilon\phi) - \sigma(\psi) - \sigma'(\psi)\varepsilon\phi}{\varepsilon} \right|^2 |\Delta\psi|^2 \leq \frac{M^2}{4} \mu^2 |\phi|^4 |\Delta\psi|^2,$$

where $M := \sup_{r \in [-\rho_0, \rho_0]} |\sigma''(r)|$ and $\rho_0 = C_0(\|(\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}} + \|(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{X}})$. Moreover

$$\int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |\phi|^4 |\Delta\psi|^2 \leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_5^4(t) \|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^4 \int_{\Omega_T} \mu_5^2 |\Delta\psi|^2$$

$$\leq C \|(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4 \|(\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2.$$

Then, using the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\|\mu(A_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} - A_{1,1})\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \longrightarrow 0.$$

For the term $\|\mu_4(A_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} - A_{1,1})_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2$, one has

$$\|\mu_4(A_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} - A_{1,1})_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} \mu_4^2 \left| \frac{\sigma'(\psi + \varepsilon\phi) - \sigma'(\psi) - \varepsilon\phi\sigma''(\psi)}{\varepsilon} \right|^2 |\psi_t|^2 |\Delta\psi|^2 \right.$$

$$\left. + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu_4^2 |\sigma'(\psi + \varepsilon\phi) - \sigma'(\psi)|^2 |\phi_t|^2 |\Delta\psi|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu_4^2 \left| \frac{\sigma(\psi + \varepsilon\phi) - \sigma(\psi) - \varepsilon\phi\sigma'(\psi)}{\varepsilon} \right|^2 |\Delta\psi_t|^2 \right).$$

Using the dominated convergence theorem as above, we obtain

$$\|\mu_4(A_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} - A_{1,1})_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \longrightarrow 0.$$

The same applies to other terms in fraction form whose denominator is ε and the remaining terms are easy to study. Thus concluding that A is Gateaux-differentiable at (Ψ, H, v) and $A'(\Psi, H, v) = \mathbf{D}A(\Psi, H, v)$. To conclude, it is sufficient to show $A' : \mathbb{X} \to \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ is continuous. Let $(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n), (\Psi, H, v), (\Phi, K, u) \in \mathbb{X}$ such that (Ψ^n, H^n, v^n) converges to (Ψ, H, v) in $\mathbb{X}$ and we will prove that

$$\|(A'(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n)(\Phi, K, u) - A'(\Psi, H, v)(\Phi, K, u))\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \varepsilon_n \|(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{X}}, \tag{6.26}$$

for some (ε_n) converging to 0.

Firstly, let $r > 0$, such that $(\Psi, H, v) \in \overline{B}_{\mathbb{X}}(0, r)$, according to (Ψ^n, H^n, v^n) converges to (Ψ, H, v) in $\mathbb{X}$ and (6.2), we can assume that

$$\|\Psi^n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^\infty)}, \|\Psi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^\infty)} \leq C_0 r \quad \text{for } n \text{ large enough.}$$

We consider

$$B_{i,j}^n := A_{i,j}(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n)(\Phi, K, u) - A_{i,j}(\Psi, H, v)(\Phi, K, u).$$

where $A_{i,j}$ are defined in (6.22)-(6.25). Recall that $A_{1,6} = A_{3,8} = 0$, and note that $B_{1,6} = B_{3,8} = 0$ as well as $B_{1,7} = B_{3,9} = 0$. Then

$$\|A'(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n)(\Phi, K, u) - A'(\Psi, H, v)(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{Y}}^2 \tag{6.27}$$

$$\leq C \left(\sum_{j=1}^{5} \left[\|\mu B_{1,j}^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \|\mu_4(B_{1,j}^n)_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \right] \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{j=1}^{7} \left[\|\mu B_{3,j}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 + \|\mu_4(B_{3,j}^n)_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \|\mu B_{2,j}^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{6} \|\mu B_{4,j}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 \right).$$

Let us start with the term $\|\mu B_{1,1}^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2$. Using σ' is Lipschitz-continuous and bounded on $J_0 = [-C_0 r, C_0 r]$, we obtain

$$\|\mu B_{1,1}^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |(\psi^n - \psi)\phi \Delta \psi^n|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |\phi \Delta(\psi^n - \psi)|^2 \right).$$

Now using the fact that $H^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ is continuous we get

$$\|\mu B_{1,1}^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq C \left(\int_0^T \left[\mu^2(t) \|(\psi^n - \psi)(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \int_\Omega |\Delta \psi^n(x, t)|^2 \right] \right.$$

$$\left. + \int_0^T \left[\mu^2(t) \|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \int_\Omega |\Delta(\psi^n - \psi)(x, t)|^2 \right] \right)$$

$$\leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_5^2(t) \|(\psi^n - \psi)(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_5^2(t) \|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2$$

$$\times \left(\int_{\Omega_T} \mu_5^2 |\Delta \psi^n(x, t)|^2 + 1 \right)$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon_{11}^n \|(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2, \tag{6.28}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{11}^n := \|(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n) - (\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 (\|(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 + 1).$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\|\mu B_{3,1}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 + \|\mu B_{3,5}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 + \|\mu B_{2,1}^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \|\mu B_{4,1}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 \leq C \varepsilon_{11}^n \|(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2, \tag{6.29}$$

were we have used the continuity of the normal derivative from $H^2(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Gamma)$ for the term $\|\mu B_{3,5}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2$.

Using σ' and σ'' are Lipschitz-continuous and bounded on J_0, we obtain

$$\|\mu(B_{1,1}^n)_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |(\psi^n - \psi)\psi_t^n \phi \Delta \psi^n|^2 \right.$$

$$+\int_{\Omega_T}\mu^2|(\psi^n_t-\psi_t)\phi\Delta\psi^n|^2+\int_{\Omega_T}\mu^2|(\psi^n-\psi)\phi_t\Delta\psi^n|^2$$

$$+\int_{\Omega_T}\mu^2|(\psi^n-\psi)\phi\Delta\psi^n_t|^2+\int_{\Omega_T}\mu^2|\psi_t\phi\Delta(\psi^n-\psi)|^2$$

$$+\int_{\Omega_T}\mu^2|\phi_t\Delta(\psi^n-\psi)|^2+\int_{\Omega_T}\mu^2|\phi\Delta(\psi^n_t-\psi_t)|^2\bigg).\qquad(6.30)$$

Let us analyze the first term in the right-hand side of (6.30). Using the fact that the embeddings $H^2(\Omega)\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ is continuous and Remark 5, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_T}\mu^2|(\psi^n-\psi)\psi^n_t\phi\Delta\psi^n|^2$$

$$\leq\int_0^T\bigg[\mu^2(t)\|(\psi^n-\psi)(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\|\phi(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\|\psi^n_t(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\int_\Omega|\Delta\psi^n(x,t)|^2\bigg]$$

$$\leq C\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu^2_5(t)\|(\psi^n-\psi)(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu^2_5(t)\|\phi(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}$$

$$\times\left(\int_0^T\mu^2_5(t)\|\psi^n_t(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\right)\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mu^2_5(t)\|\psi^n(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}$$

$$\leq C\|(\Psi^n,H^n,v^n)-(\Psi,H,v)\|^2_{\mathbb{X}}\|(\Phi,K,u)\|^2_{\mathbb{X}}\|(\Psi^n,H^n,v^n)\|^4_{\mathbb{X}}.$$

The same applies to the other terms in the right-hand side of (6.30), consequently

$$\|\mu(B^n_{1,1})_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_T)}\leq\tau^n_{1,1}\|(\Phi,K,u)\|^2_{\mathbb{X}},\qquad(6.31)$$

where

$$\tau^n_{1,1}:=C\|(\Psi^n,H^n,v^n)-(\Psi,H,v)\|^2_{\mathbb{X}}(\|(\Psi^n,H^n,v^n)\|^4_{\mathbb{X}}+\|(\Psi^n,H^n,v^n)\|^2_{\mathbb{X}}+\|(\Psi,H,v)\|^2_{\mathbb{X}}+1).$$

In a similar way to $\|\mu(B^n_{1,1})_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_T)}$, we have

$$\|\mu(B^n_{3,1})_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_T)}+\|\mu(B^n_{3,5})_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_T)}\leq C\tau^n_{1,1}\|(\Phi,K,u)\|^2_{\mathbb{X}}.\qquad(6.32)$$

In the computation of $\|\mu B^n_{1,2}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_T)}$, we use σ'' is Lipschitz-continuous and bounded on J_0 and Cauchy Schwarz inequality.

$$\|\mu B^n_{1,2}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_T)}\leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T}\mu^2|(\sigma''(\psi^n)-\sigma''(\psi))\phi|\nabla\psi^n|^2|^2+\int_{\Omega_T}\mu^2|\sigma''(\psi)\phi(|\nabla\psi^n|^2-|\nabla\psi|^2)|^2\right)$$

$$\leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T}\mu^2|(\psi^n-\psi)\phi|\nabla\psi^n|^2|^2+\int_{\Omega_T}\mu^2|\phi(|\nabla\psi^n|^2-|\nabla\psi|^2)|^2\right)$$

$$\leq C\left(\int_0^T\bigg[\mu^2(t)\|(\psi^n-\psi)(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\|\phi(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\int_\Omega|\nabla\psi^n|^4\bigg]\right.$$

$$\left.+\int_0^T\bigg[\mu^2(t)\|\phi(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\left(\int_\Omega|\nabla(\psi^n-\psi)|^4\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_\Omega|\nabla(\psi^n+\psi)|^4\right)^{1/2}\bigg]\right)$$

By the embeddings $H^2(\Omega)\hookrightarrow W^{1,4}(\Omega)$ is continuous, it follows that

$$\|\mu B^n_{1,2}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_T)}\leq C\left(\int_0^T\mu^2(t)\|(\psi^n-\psi)(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\|\phi(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\|\psi^n(\cdot,t)\|^4_{H^2(\Omega)}\right.$$

36

$$+ \int_0^T \mu^2(t) \|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \|(\psi^n - \psi)(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \|(\psi^n + \psi)(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \Big)$$

$$\leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_5^2(t) \|(\psi^n - \psi)(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_5^2(t) \|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2$$

$$\times \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_5^4(t) \|\psi^n(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^4 + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_5^2(t) \|(\psi^n + \psi)(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon_{1,2}^n \|(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2, \tag{6.33}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{1,2}^n := \|(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n) - (\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 \big(\|(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4 + \|(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n) + (\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 \big).$$

In the same way as for $\|\mu B_{1,2}^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2$, we obtain

$$\|\mu B_{3,2}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 \leq C \varepsilon_{1,2}^n \|(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2. \tag{6.34}$$

Now we will compute $\|\mu (B_{1,2}^n)_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2$.

$$\|\mu (B_{1,2}^n)_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |(\sigma'''(\psi^n) - \sigma'''(\psi)) \psi_t^n \phi |\nabla \psi^n|^2|^2 \right.$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |\sigma'''(\psi)(\psi_t^n - \psi_t) \phi |\nabla \psi^n|^2|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |(\sigma''(\psi^n) - \sigma''(\psi)) \phi_t |\nabla \psi^n|^2|^2$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |(\sigma''(\psi^n) - \sigma''(\psi)) \phi \nabla \psi^n \cdot \nabla \psi_t^n|^2$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |\sigma'''(\psi) \psi_t \phi (|\nabla \psi^n|^2 - |\nabla \psi|^2)|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |\sigma''(\psi) \phi_t (|\nabla \psi^n|^2 - |\nabla \psi|^2)|^2$$

$$+ \left. \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |\sigma''(\psi) \phi \nabla (\psi^n - \psi) \cdot \nabla \psi_t^n|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |\sigma''(\psi) \phi \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla (\psi^n - \psi)|^2 \right).$$

As above, we arrive at

$$\|\mu (B_{1,2}^n)_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |(\psi^n - \psi) \psi_t^n \phi |\nabla \psi^n|^2|^2 \right.$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |(\psi_t^n - \psi_t) \phi |\nabla \psi^n|^2|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |(\psi^n - \psi) \phi_t |\nabla \psi^n|^2|^2$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |(\psi^n - \psi) \phi \nabla \psi^n \cdot \nabla \psi_t^n|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |\psi_t \phi (|\nabla \psi^n|^2 - |\nabla \psi|^2)|^2$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |\phi_t (|\nabla \psi^n|^2 - |\nabla \psi|^2)|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |\phi \nabla (\psi^n - \psi) \cdot \nabla \psi_t^n|^2$$

$$+ \left. \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |\phi \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla (\psi^n - \psi)|^2 \right)$$

$$\leq C \tau_{1,2}^n \|(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2, \tag{6.35}$$

where

$$\tau_{1,2}^n := \|(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n) - (\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 \big(\|(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^6 + \|(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^4$$

$$+\|(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 \|(\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 + \|(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 \|(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n) + (\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2$$
$$+\|(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 + \|(\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 \big).$$

In the same way as for $\|\mu(B_{1,2}^n)_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2$, we have

$$\|\mu(B_{3,2}^n)_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 \leq C\tau_{1,2}^n \|(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2. \tag{6.36}$$

For terms $\|\mu B_{1,3}^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2$ and $\|\mu(B_{1,3}^n)_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2$, it is obvious that

$$\|\mu B_{1,3}^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq C\varepsilon_{1,3}^n \|(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2, \tag{6.37}$$
$$\|\mu(B_{1,3}^n)_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq C\tau_{1,3}^n \|(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2, \tag{6.38}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{1,3}^n := \|(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n) - (\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2,$$
$$\tau_{1,3}^n := \|(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n) - (\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 \big(\|(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 + 1\big).$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\|\mu B_{3,3}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 + \|\mu B_{3,6}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 + \|\mu B_{2,2}^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \|\mu B_{2,4}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2$$
$$+\|\mu B_{4,2}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 + \|\mu B_{4,4}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 + \|\mu B_{4,6}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 \leq C\varepsilon_{1,3}^n \|(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2, \tag{6.39}$$
$$\|\mu(B_{3,3}^n)_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 + \|\mu(B_{3,6}^n)_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 \leq C\tau_{1,3}^n \|(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2, \tag{6.40}$$

were we have used the continuity of the normal derivative from $H^2(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Gamma)$ for the terms $\|\mu B_{3,6}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2$, $\|\mu B_{4,4}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2$ and $\|\mu(B_{3,6}^n)_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2$.
In the Computation of $\|\mu B_{1,4}^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2$, using σ' is Lipschitz-continuous and bounded on $\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\|\mu B_{1,4}^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |(\psi^n - \psi)\nabla\psi^n \cdot \nabla\phi|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |\nabla(\psi^n - \psi) \cdot \nabla\phi|^2\right).$$

By the fact that $H^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $H^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1,4}(\Omega)$ are continuous and Cauchy Schwarz inequality, it follows that

$$\|\mu B_{1,4}^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq C\left(\int_0^T \left[\mu^2(t)\|(\psi^n - \psi)(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla\psi^n|^4\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla\phi|^4\right)^{1/2}\right]\right.$$
$$+ \int_0^T \left[\mu^2(t) \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla(\psi^n - \psi)|^4 dx\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla\phi|^4 dx\right)^{1/2}\right]\right)$$
$$\leq C\left(\int_0^T \mu^2(t)\|(\psi^n - \psi)(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \|\psi^n(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2\right.$$
$$+ \int_0^T \mu^2(t)\|\psi^n(\cdot, t) - \psi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2\right)$$
$$\leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_5^2(t)\|(\psi^n - \psi)(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_5^2(t)\|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2$$

$$\times \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu_5^2(t) \| \psi^n(\cdot, t) \|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + 1 \right)$$
$$\leq C \varepsilon_{14}^n \| (\Phi, K, u) \|_{\mathbb{X}}^2, \tag{6.41}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{14}^n := \| (\Psi^n, H^n, v^n) - (\Psi, H, v) \|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 (\| (\Psi^n, H^n, v^n) \|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 + 1).$$

In the same way as for $\| \mu B_{1,4}^n \|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2$, we obtain

$$\| \mu B_{3,4}^n \|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 \leq C \varepsilon_{14}^n \| (\Phi, K, u) \|_{\mathbb{X}}^2. \tag{6.42}$$

Now we compute $\| \mu (B_{1,4}^n)_t \|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2$. Since σ'' and σ' are Lipschitz-continuous and bounded on J_0, then

$$\| \mu (B_{1,4}^n)_t \|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |(\psi^n - \psi) \psi_t^n \nabla \psi^n \cdot \nabla \phi|^2 \right.$$
$$+ \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |(\psi_t^n - \psi_t) \nabla \psi^n \cdot \nabla \phi|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |(\psi^n - \psi) \nabla \psi_t^n \cdot \nabla \phi|^2$$
$$+ \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |(\psi^n - \psi) \nabla \psi^n \cdot \nabla \phi_t|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |\psi_t \nabla (\psi^n - \psi) \cdot \nabla \phi|^2$$
$$+ \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |\nabla (\psi_t^n - \psi_t) \cdot \nabla \phi|^2 + \left. \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |\nabla (\psi^n - \psi) \cdot \nabla \phi_t|^2 \right).$$

Now using the fact that $H^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $H^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1,4}(\Omega)$ are continuous and Cauchy Schwarz inequality we get

$$\| \mu (B_{1,4}^n)_t \|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq C \tau_{14}^n \| (\Phi, K, u) \|_{\mathbb{X}}^2, \tag{6.43}$$

where

$$\tau_{14}^n := \| (\Psi^n, H^n, v^n) - (\Psi, H, v) \|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 \left(\| (\Psi^n, H^n, v^n) \|_{\mathbb{X}}^4 + \| (\Psi^n, H^n, v^n) \|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 + \| (\Psi, H, v) \|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 + 1 \right).$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\| \mu (B_{3,4}^n)_t \|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 \leq C \tau_{14}^n \| (\Phi, K, u) \|_{\mathbb{X}}^2. \tag{6.44}$$

Let us compute of $\| \mu B_{1,5}^n \|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2$ and $\| \mu (B_{1,5}^n)_t \|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2$. Using a' and a'' are Lipschitz-continuous and bounded on J_0, we obtain

$$\| \mu B_{1,5}^n \|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq C \varepsilon_{15}^n \| (\Phi, K, u) \|_{\mathbb{X}}^2, \tag{6.45}$$
$$\| \mu (B_{1,5}^n)_t \|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq C \tau_{15}^n \| (\Phi, K, u) \|_{\mathbb{X}}^2, \tag{6.46}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{15}^n := \| (\Psi^n, H^n, v^n) - (\Psi, H, v) \|_{\mathbb{X}}^2,$$
$$\tau_{15}^n := \| (\Psi^n, H^n, v^n) - (\Psi, H, v) \|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 (\| (\Psi^n, H^n, v^n) \|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 + 1).$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\|\mu B_{3,7}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 \leq C\varepsilon_{15}^n \|(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2, \tag{6.47}$$

$$\|\mu (B_{3,7}^n)_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 \leq C\tau_{15}^n \|(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2. \tag{6.48}$$

Now we compute $\|\mu B_{2,3}^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2$. Using a'' is Lipschitz-continuous and bounded on J_0, we obtain

$$\|\mu B_{2,3}^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |(a''(\psi^n) - a''(\psi))\phi h^n|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |a''(\psi)\phi (h^n - h)|^2\right)$$

$$\leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |(\psi^n - \psi)\phi h^n|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \mu^2 |\phi(h^n - h)|^2\right)$$

$$\leq C\left(\int_0^T \left[\mu^2(t)\|(\psi^n - \psi)(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \int_\Omega |h^n(x, t)|^2\right]\right.$$

$$\left. + \int_0^T \left[\mu^2(t)\|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \int_\Omega |h^n(x, t) - h(x, t)|^2 \mathrm{d}x\right]\right)$$

$$\leq C\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \mu_5^2(t)\|(\psi^n - \psi)(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \mu_5^2(t)\|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2\right.$$

$$\left. \times \left(\int_{\Omega_T} \mu_0^2 |h^n|^2\right) + \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \mu_5^2(t)\|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \int_{\Omega_T} \mu_0^2 |h^n - h|^2\right)$$

$$\leq C\varepsilon_{2,3}^n \|(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2. \tag{6.49}$$

where $\varepsilon_{2,3}^n := \|(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n) - (\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 (\|(\Psi^n, H^n, v^n)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 + 1)$.
Similar arguments lead to

$$\|\mu B_{4,3}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 + \|\mu B_{4,5}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2 \leq C\varepsilon_{2,3}^n \|(\Phi, K, u)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2, \tag{6.50}$$

were we have used the continuity of the normal derivative from $H^2(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Gamma)$ for the term $\|\mu B_{4,3}^n\|_{L^2(\Gamma_T)}^2$.
Finally, from (6.27)-(6.29) and (6.31)-(6.50) , we obtain (6.26). $\qquad\square$

6.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1

Due to Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2, the mapping Λ is well-defined and continuously differentiable. Moreover

$$\Lambda'(0, 0, 0)(\Psi, H, v) = (\mathbf{L}_1\Psi - v\mathbb{1}_\omega, \mathbf{L}_2\Psi, \mathbf{L}_1^*H - \theta\psi\mathbb{1}_\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{L}_2^*H - \theta_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma\mathbb{1}_\Sigma).$$

Null controllability results of inhomogenuous linearized system (3.5) obtained in Propositions 5.3 and 5.4, show that $\Lambda'(0, 0, 0) : \mathbb{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ is surjective. We conclude that Lyusternik-Graves' Theorem 3.3 can be applied to the operator Λ, in particular there exist $C = C(\Omega, \omega, T)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, under the condition (3.1), $\|F\|_{\mathbb{Y}_1}$ becomes sufficiently small and consequently, there exists $(\Psi, H, v) \in \mathbb{X}$ such that $\Lambda(\Psi, H, v) = (F, 0)$. As a result, (Ψ, H) is the solution of the system (3.2) associated to the control v, and the exponential growth of the weight μ_0 as $t \to 0^+$ and $\mu_0 H \in L^2(0, T, \mathbb{L}^2)$, ensures that

$$(h(\cdot, 0), h_\Gamma(\cdot, 0)) = (0, 0) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times \Gamma.$$

40

Moreover, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|v\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\omega))} \leq \|(\Psi, H, v)\|_{\mathbb{X}} \leq C\|F\|_{\mathbb{Y}_1}.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 4.5, we obtain $\Psi \in \mathfrak{F}_T$ which allows us to apply Lemma 3.2, which in turn establishes the proof of Theorem (3.1). $\square$

7. Conclusion and final comments

In this work, we have studied the insensitizing controls of a quasilinear reaction-diffusion equation of volume-surface type subject to dynamic boundary conditions. The strategy we have adopted relies on the null controllability of the inhomogeneous linearized cascad system and a local inversion theorem. Although we have considered reaction and diffusion coefficients that depend only on the state variable, our strategy can be adapted to more general diffusion and reaction coefficients depending on both the state and its gradients.

To the best of the authors knowledge, the insensitizing controls of quasilinear parabolic equations with general boundary conditions has not been considered before in the literature. Most of the previous works have dealt only with Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions, semi- linear equations with dynamic boundary conditions, as far as we know.

From a numerical perspective, it would be of much interest to investigate the approximation of null controls numerically based on the theoretical results we have obtained. This will eventually be done in a forthcoming paper.

Appendix A. Proof of Proposition 4.5

This paragraph is devoted to the proof of Proposition 4.5.

Proof of Proposition 4.5. Consider the mapping $\Upsilon : \mathfrak{F}_T \longrightarrow L^2(0,T;\mathbb{H}^2) \times \mathbb{H}^3$ given by

$$\Upsilon(\Psi) := (\Upsilon_1(\Psi), \Upsilon_2(\Psi), \Psi(\cdot, 0)),$$

where

$$\Upsilon_1(\Psi) := \psi_t - \nabla \cdot (\sigma(\psi)\nabla\psi) + a(\psi),$$
$$\Upsilon_2(\Psi) := \psi_{\Gamma,t} - \nabla_\Gamma \cdot (\delta(\psi_\Gamma)\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma) + \sigma(\psi_\Gamma)\partial_\nu\psi + b(\psi_\Gamma).$$

Firstly, using $\mathfrak{F}_T \hookrightarrow C([0,T], \mathbb{H}^3)$ and (2.5), we obtain $\|\Psi(\cdot, 0)\|_{\mathbb{H}^3} \leq \|\Psi\|_{\mathfrak{F}_T}$ and there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^\infty)} \leq C\|\Psi\|_{\mathfrak{F}_T}$ and $\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^\infty)} \leq C\|\Psi\|_{\mathfrak{F}_T}$.
Now, by applying ideas similar to those in Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2, we can establish that Υ is well-defined, continuously differentiable, and that

$$\Upsilon'(0)(\Psi) = (\mathbf{L}_1\Psi, \mathbf{L}_2\Psi, \Psi_0).$$

Next, using semigroup theory through the form method, as illustrated in [23, Proposition 2.4] or [26, Theorem 4.2], we can prove that $\Upsilon'(0)$ is suejctive. Thus, we are able to apply Lyusternik-Graves' Theorem 3.3, which guarantees the existence of solutions to (1.1) in

$\mathfrak{F}_T$ that satisfy the estimate (4.9) for sufficiently small data $(F, \Psi_0) \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2) \times \mathbb{H}^3$. The uniqueness of solutions to (4.8) in $\mathfrak{F}_T$ follows directly from an energy estimate and Grönwall's lemma. Indeed, we put $Y = \Psi^2 - \Psi^1$, where $\Psi^1, \Psi^2 \in \mathfrak{F}_T$ are both solutions of (4.8). Obviously, $Y = (y, y_\Gamma)$ satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} y_t - \nabla \cdot \left[\sigma(\psi^2) \nabla \psi^2 - \sigma(\psi^1) \nabla \psi^1 \right] + (a(\psi^2) - a(\psi^1)) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ y_{\Gamma,t} - \nabla_\Gamma \cdot \left[\delta(\psi_\Gamma^2) \nabla_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma^2 - \delta(\psi_\Gamma^1) \nabla_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma^1 \right] + (\sigma(\psi_\Gamma^2) \partial_\nu \psi^2 - \sigma(\psi_\Gamma^1) \partial_\nu \psi^1) & \\ \quad + (b(\psi_\Gamma^2) - b(\psi_\Gamma^1)) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \qquad \text{(A.1)} \\ y_\Gamma = y|_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ (y(\cdot, 0), y_\Gamma(\cdot, 0)) = (0, 0) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Multiplying the first equation of (A.1) by y and integrating it in Ω, one has

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega |y|^2 - \int_\Gamma (\sigma(\psi_\Gamma^2) \partial_\nu \psi^2 - \sigma(\psi_\Gamma^1) \partial_\nu \psi^1) y + \int_\Omega \sigma(\psi^2) |\nabla y|^2$$
$$= - \int_\Omega (\sigma(\psi^2) - \sigma(\psi^1)) \nabla \psi^1 \cdot \nabla y - \int_\Omega (a(\psi^2) - a(\psi^1)) y.$$

Multiplying the second equation of (A.1) by y_Γ and integrating it on Γ, using the Stokes divergence formula (2.4), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Gamma |y_\Gamma|^2 dS + \int_\Gamma (\sigma(\psi_\Gamma^2) \partial_\nu \psi^2 - \sigma(\psi_\Gamma^1) \partial_\nu \psi^1) y + \int_\Gamma \delta(\psi_\Gamma^2) |\nabla_\Gamma y_\Gamma|^2$$
$$= - \int_\Gamma (\delta(\psi_\Gamma^2) - \delta(\psi_\Gamma^1)) \nabla_\Gamma \psi_\Gamma^1 \cdot \nabla_\Gamma y_\Gamma - \int_\Gamma (b(\psi_\Gamma^2) - b(\psi_\Gamma^1)) y_\Gamma.$$

Next, we add these identities, using the fact that a, b, σ and δ are Lipschitz- continuous on $[-C_0, C_0]$, $\sigma, \delta \geq \rho$, $\nabla \Psi^1 \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{L}^\infty)$ (see (2.5)) and Young's inequality, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|Y\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \rho \|\nabla Y\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq \frac{\rho}{2} \|\nabla Y\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C \|Y\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2,$$

where $C > 0$ depends on σ, δ, a and b. By Gronwall's inequality, we find that

$$\|Y\|_{C([0,T];\mathbb{L}^2)} \leq e^{CT} \|Y(\cdot, 0)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} = 0.$$

This shows $\Psi^1 = \Psi^2$. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

Appendix B. Proof of Lemma 3.2

This paragraph is devoted to the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. For a fixed $\widehat{\Psi_0} = (\widehat{\psi_0}, \widehat{\psi_{0,\Gamma}}) \in \mathbb{H}^3$ and $v \mathbb{1}_\omega \in L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$, we denote by $\Psi = (\psi, \psi_\Gamma) \in \mathfrak{F}_T$ the solution of (1.1) associated with $\tau = \tau_\Gamma = 0$ and for any $\tau \in (-1, 1)$, $\Psi^\tau = (\psi^\tau, \psi_\Gamma^\tau) \in \mathfrak{F}_T$ denote the solution of (1.1) associated with $\tau_\Gamma = 0$. Using (4.9) and (2.5), we obtain

$$\|\Psi^\tau\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^\infty)} \leq C_0, \quad \|\Psi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^\infty)} \leq C_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla \Psi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^\infty)} \leq C_0,$$

for $C_0 > 0$, independent of τ. According to the definition of the partial derivative, we have

$$\left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial \tau}\right|_{\tau=\tau=0} = \lim_{\tau\to 0}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\int_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\psi^\tau + \psi)\frac{\psi^\tau - \psi}{\tau} + \frac{\theta_\Gamma}{2}\int_{\Sigma_T}(\psi_\Gamma^\tau + \psi_\Gamma)\frac{\psi_\Gamma^\tau - \psi_\Gamma}{\tau}\right). \tag{B.1}$$

We claim that

$$\Psi^\tau \longrightarrow \Psi \text{ in } C([0,T]; \mathbb{L}^2), \text{ as } \tau \longrightarrow 0. \tag{B.2}$$

Indeed, we put $Y^\tau = \Psi^\tau - \Psi$. Obviously, $Y^\tau = (y^\tau, y_\Gamma^\tau)$ satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} y_t^\tau - \nabla\cdot[\sigma(\psi^\tau)\nabla\psi^\tau - \sigma(\psi)\nabla\psi] + (a(\psi^\tau) - a(\psi)) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ y_{\Gamma,t}^\tau - \nabla_\Gamma\cdot[\delta(\psi_\Gamma^\tau)\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma^\tau - \delta(\psi_\Gamma)\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma] + (\sigma(\psi_\Gamma^\tau)\partial_\nu\psi^\tau - \sigma(\psi_\Gamma)\partial_\nu\psi) & \\ \quad + (b(\psi_\Gamma^\tau) - b(\psi_\Gamma)) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ y_\Gamma^\tau = y^\tau|_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ (y^\tau(\cdot, 0), y_\Gamma^\tau(\cdot, 0)) = (\tau\widehat{\psi_0}, 0) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

To avoid repetition, by applying the same argument used in the uniqueness of solutions in Appendix A, we arrive at

$$\|Y^\tau\|_{C([0,T];\mathbb{L}^2)} \leq e^{CT}\|Y^\tau(\cdot, 0)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} = e^{CT}\tau\|\widehat{\psi_0}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

This shows (B.2). Next, we claim that

$$\frac{\Psi^\tau - \Psi}{\tau} \longrightarrow Z \text{ in } C([0,T]; \mathbb{L}^2), \text{ as } \tau \longrightarrow 0, \tag{B.3}$$

where $Z = (z, z_\Gamma)$ satisfies the following linear parabolic equation:

$$\begin{cases} z_t - \nabla\cdot[\sigma(\psi)\nabla z + \sigma'(\psi)z\nabla\psi] + a'(\psi)z = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ z_{\Gamma,t} - \nabla_\Gamma\cdot[\delta(\psi_\Gamma)\nabla_\Gamma z_\Gamma + \delta'(\psi_\Gamma)z_\Gamma\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma] + \sigma(\psi_\Gamma)\partial_\nu z & \\ \quad + \sigma'(\psi_\Gamma)\partial_\nu\psi z_\Gamma + b'(\psi_\Gamma)z_\Gamma = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \tag{B.4}\\ z_\Gamma = z_{|\Gamma} & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ (z(\cdot, 0), z_\Gamma(\cdot, 0)) = (\widehat{\psi_0}, 0) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

To show this, set $W^\tau = \frac{\Psi^\tau - \Psi}{\tau} - Z$. It is easy to check that $W^\tau = (w^\tau, w_\Gamma^\tau)$ satisfies the following:

$$\begin{cases} w_t^\tau - \nabla\cdot[\sigma(\psi^\tau)\nabla w^\tau + D_\sigma^\tau(\psi, z)\nabla\psi + (\sigma(\psi^\tau) - \sigma(\psi))\nabla z] + D_a^\tau(\psi, z) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ w_{\Gamma,t}^\tau - \nabla_\Gamma\cdot[\delta(\psi_\Gamma^\tau)\nabla_\Gamma w_\Gamma^\tau + D_\delta^\tau(\psi_\Gamma, z_\Gamma)\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma + (\delta(\psi_\Gamma^\tau) - \delta(\psi_\Gamma))\nabla_\Gamma z_\Gamma] & \\ \quad + \sigma(\psi_\Gamma^\tau)\partial_\nu w^\tau + D_\sigma^\tau(\psi_\Gamma, z_\Gamma)\partial_\nu\psi + D_b^\tau(\psi_\Gamma, z_\Gamma) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \tag{B.5}\\ w_\Gamma^\tau = w^\tau|_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ (w^\tau(\cdot, 0), w_\Gamma^\tau(\cdot, 0)) = (0, 0) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

where we have used the notation: $D_\sigma^\tau(\psi, z) := \frac{\sigma(\psi^\tau) - \sigma(\psi)}{\tau} - \sigma'(\psi)z$.
Similarly for $D_a^\tau(\psi, z), D_\delta^\tau(\psi_\Gamma, z_\Gamma)$ and $D_b^\tau(\psi_\Gamma, z_\Gamma)$. Multiplying the first equation of (B.5) by w^τ and integrating it in Ω, one has

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Omega |w^\tau|^2 - \int_\Gamma [\sigma(\psi^\tau)\partial_\nu w^\tau + D_\sigma^\tau(\psi, z)\partial_\nu\psi]w^\tau + \int_\Omega \sigma(\psi^\tau)|\nabla w^\tau|^2 = \int_\Gamma (\sigma(\psi^\tau) - \sigma(\psi))\partial_\nu z w^\tau$$

$$-\int_\Omega \left[D_\sigma^\tau(\psi, z)\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla w^\tau + (\sigma(\psi^\tau) - \sigma(\psi))\nabla z \cdot \nabla w^\tau \right] - \int_\Omega D_a^\tau(\psi, z)w^\tau.$$

Multiplying the second equation of (B.5) by w_Γ^τ and integrating it on Γ, using the Stokes divergence formula (2.4), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Gamma |w_\Gamma^\tau|^2 + \int_\Gamma \left(\sigma(\psi_\Gamma^\tau)\partial_\nu w^\tau + D_\sigma^\tau(\psi_\Gamma, z_\Gamma)\partial_\nu\psi\right)w_\Gamma^\tau + \int_\Gamma \delta(\psi_\Gamma^\tau)|\nabla_\Gamma w_\Gamma^\tau|^2$$
$$= -\int_\Gamma \left[D_\delta^\tau(\psi_\Gamma, z_\Gamma)\nabla_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma \cdot \nabla_\Gamma w_\Gamma^\tau + (\delta(\psi_\Gamma^\tau) - \delta(\psi_\Gamma))\nabla_\Gamma z_\Gamma \cdot \nabla_\Gamma w_\Gamma^\tau \right] - \int_\Gamma D_b^\tau(\psi_\Gamma, z_\Gamma)w_\Gamma^\tau.$$

Next, we add these identities, using the fact that σ and δ are Lipschitz-continuous on $[-C_0, C_0]$, $\sigma, \delta \geq \rho$, $|\sigma(\psi^\tau) - \sigma(\psi)| \leq 2\max_{r \in [-C_0, C_0]} |\sigma(r)|$, $\partial_\nu z \in C([0,T]; L^2(\Gamma))$, $\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^\infty)} \leq C_0$ and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|W^\tau\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \rho\|\nabla W^\tau\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq \frac{\rho}{2}\|\nabla W^\tau\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C\|W^\tau\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C\|\Psi^\tau - \Psi\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2$$
$$+ C\left(\int_\Omega |D_\sigma^\tau(\psi, z)|^2 + \int_\Omega |D_a^\tau(\psi, z)|^2 + \int_\Gamma |D_\delta^\tau(\psi_\Gamma, z_\Gamma)|^2 + \int_\Gamma |D_b^\tau(\psi_\Gamma, z_\Gamma)|^2\right),$$

where $C > 0$ depends on $f, f_\Gamma, v, \psi_0, \psi_{0,\Gamma}, \sigma, \delta, a$ and b. The last four terms are similar to treat:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_\sigma^\tau(\psi, z) &= \int_0^1 \sigma'((1-s)\psi^\tau + s\psi)ds \left(\frac{\psi^\tau - \psi}{\tau}\right) - \sigma'(\psi)z \\
&= \int_0^1 (\sigma'((1-s)\psi^\tau + s\psi) - \sigma'(\psi))ds\, z + \int_0^1 \sigma'((1-s)\psi^\tau + s\psi)ds\, w^\tau.
\end{aligned}
$$

For the first term, using σ' is lipschitz-continuous and z is bounded, and for the second, using σ' is bounded, we obtain

$$|D_\sigma^\tau(\psi, z)|^2 \leq C\left(|\psi^\tau - \psi|^2 + |w^\tau|^2\right).$$

Consequently, by Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|W^\tau\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \rho\|\nabla W^\tau\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq \frac{\rho}{2}\|\nabla W^\tau\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C\|W^\tau\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C\|\Psi^\tau - \Psi\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2$$

Using Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$\|W^\tau\|_{C([0,T];\mathbb{L}^2)} \leq e^{CT}\|\Psi^\tau - \Psi\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}.$$

This yields (B.3). Now, using (B.1), (B.2) and (B.3), we find that

$$\left.\frac{\partial\mathcal{J}}{\partial\tau}\right|_{\tau=\tau_\Gamma=0} = \theta\int_{\mathcal{O}_T} \psi z + \theta_\Gamma\int_{\Sigma_T} \psi_\Gamma z_\Gamma.$$

By a duality argument, we obtain

$$\left.\frac{\partial\mathcal{J}}{\partial\tau}\right|_{\tau=\tau_\Gamma=0} = \langle Z(\cdot,0), H(\cdot,0)\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \langle\langle Z(\cdot,T), H(\cdot,T)\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} = \int_\Omega \widehat{\psi_0}h(\cdot,0).$$

where $H = (h, h_\Gamma)$ satisfies the following adjoint linear parabolic equation of (B.4):

$$
\begin{cases}
-h_t - \sigma(\psi)\Delta h + a'(\psi)h = \theta\psi\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\
-h_{\Gamma,t} - \delta(\psi_\Gamma)\Delta_\Gamma h_\Gamma + \sigma(\psi_\Gamma)\partial_\nu h + b'(\psi_\Gamma)h_\Gamma = \theta_\Gamma\psi_\Gamma\mathbb{1}_\Sigma & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\
h_\Gamma = h_{|_\Gamma} & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\
(h(\cdot, T), h_\Gamma(\cdot, T)) = (0, 0) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma.
\end{cases}
\tag{B.6}
$$

Similarly, we can deduce

$$
\left.\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\tau_\Gamma}\right|_{\tau=\tau_\Gamma=0} = \int_\Gamma \widehat{\psi_{0,\Gamma}} h_\Gamma(\cdot, 0).
$$

Consequently, (1.3) is satisfied for all $(\widehat{\psi_0}, \widehat{\psi_{0,\Gamma}}) \in \mathbb{H}^3$ with $\|(\widehat{\psi_0}, \widehat{\psi_{0,\Gamma}})\|_{\mathbb{H}^3} = 1$ if, and only if $(h(\cdot, 0), h_\Gamma(\cdot, 0)) = (0, 0)$ in $\Omega \times \Gamma$. $\qquad\square$

References

[1] J.L. Lions, *Sentinelles pour les systèmes distribués : à données incomplètes*, Masson, Paris, vol 21, 1992.

[2] J.L. Lions, *Quelques notions dans l'analyse et le contrôle de systèmes à données incomplètes*, in *Proceedings of the XIth Congress on Differential Equations and Applications/First Congress on Applied Mathematics*, Univ. Málaga, Málaga, 1989, pp. 43–54.

[3] T. de Teresa, *Insensitizing controls for a semilinear heat equation: Semilinear heat equation, Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 25, no. 1–2, pp. 39–72, 2000. https://doi.org/10.1080/03605300008821507.

[4] O. Bodart, M. González-Burgos, and R. Pérez-García, Insensitizing controls for a semilinear heat equation with a superlinear nonlinearity, *Comptes Rendus Mathématique*, vol. 335, no. 8, pp. 677–682, 2002. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1631-073X(02)02547-5.

[5] O. Bodart, M. González-Burgos, and R. Pérez-García, A local result on insensitizing controls for a semilinear heat equation with nonlinear boundary Fourier conditions, *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 955–969, 2004. https://doi.org/10.1137/S036301290343161X.

[6] O. Bodart, M. González-Burgos, and R. Pérez-García, Insensitizing controls for a heat equation with a nonlinear term involving the state and the gradient, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 687–711, 2004. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2004.03.012.

[7] S. Micu, J. H. Ortega, and L. de Teresa, *An example of ϵ-insensitizing controls for the heat equation with no intersecting observation and control regions*, Applied Mathematics Letters, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 927–932, 2004.

[8] X. Liu, Insensitizing controls for a class of quasilinear parabolic equations, *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 253, no. 5, pp. 1287–1316, 2012. `https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2012.05.018`.

[9] F. Boyer, V. Hernández-Santamaría, and L. de Teresa, Insensitizing controls for a semi-linear parabolic equation: A numerical approach, *Mathematical Control and Related Fields*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 117–158, 2019. `https://doi.org/10.3934/mcrf.2019007`.

[10] D. N. Huaman and M. R. Nuñez-Chávez, Insensitizing controls for a quasi-linear parabolic equation with diffusion depending on gradient of the state, arXiv, 2023. `https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.04316`.

[11] M. Zhang, J. Yin, and H. Gao, Insensitizing controls for the parabolic equations with dynamic boundary conditions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 475, no. 1, pp. 861–873, 2019. `https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2019.02.077`.

[12] M. C. Santos, N. Carreño, and R. Morales, An Insensitizing control problem involving tangential gradient terms for a reaction-diffusion equation with dynamic boundary conditions, arXiv, 2024. `https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.09882`.

[13] R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier, *Sobolev Spaces*, 2nd ed., vol. 140, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003.

[14] J. M. Lee and T. H. Parker, *The Yamabe problem*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 37–91, 1987.

[15] J. Jost, *Riemannian Geometry and Geometric Analysis*, 7th ed., Springer, Cham, 2017.

[16] M. Choulli, *Une introduction aux problèmes inverses elliptiques et paraboliques*, vol. 65, Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.

[17] M. E. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations: Basic Theory*, 2nd ed., vol. 115, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer, New York, 2011.

[18] H. Amann. *Linear and quasilinear parabolic problems*. Vol. 1. Springer, 1995.

[19] V. M. Alekseev, V. M. Tikhomirov, and S. V. Fomin, *Optimal Control*, Plenum Publishing Corporation, New York, 1987.

[20] D. N. Huaman, M. R. Nuñez-Chávez, J. Límaco, and P. P. Carvalho, Local null controllability for the thermistor problem, *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 236, 2023, p. 113330. `https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2023.113330`.

[21] F. Et-tahri, S.-E. Chorfi, L. Maniar, and I. Boutaayamou, Null controllability of a volume-surface reaction-diffusion equation with dynamic boundary conditions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 542, no. 2, p. 128793, 2025. `https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2024.128793`.

[22] S. Ervedoza, P. Lissy, and Y. Privat, Desensitizing control for the heat equation with respect to domain variations, *Journal de l'École polytechnique — Mathématiques*, vol. 9, pp. 1397–1429, 2022. `https://jep.centre-mersenne.org/articles/10.5802/jep.209/`.

[23] L. Maniar, M. Meyries, and R. Schnaubelt, Null controllability for parabolic equations with dynamic boundary conditions, *Evolution Equations and Control Theory*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 381–407, 2017.

[24] E. M. Ait Ben Hassi, M. Jakhoukh, L. Maniar, and W. Zouhair, Internal null controllability for the one-dimensional heat equation with dynamic boundary conditions, *IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 403–424, September 2024. `https://doi.org/10.1093/imamci/dnae015`.

[25] A. V. Fursikov and O. Yu. Imanuvilov, *Controllability of Evolution Equations*, Lecture Note Series. Research Institute of Mathematics. Seoul National University, 1996.

[26] W. Arendt, D. Dier, H. Laasri, and E. M. Ouhabaz, *Maximal regularity for evolution equations governed by non-autonomous forms*, Advances in Differential Equations. 19(11–12), 1043–1066, 2014.