

Explicit error bounds of the SE and DE formulas for integrals with logarithmic and algebraic singularity

Tomoaki Okayama^{1*}, Kosei Arakawa², Ryo Kamigaki³,
Eita Yabumoto⁴

^{1*}Graduate School of Information Sciences, Hiroshima City University,
3-4-1, Ozuka-higashi, Asaminami-ku, 731-3194, Hiroshima, Japan.

² NEC Communication Systems, Ltd., Mita Kokusai Building, 1-4-28,
Mita, Minato-ku, 108-0073, Tokyo, Japan.

³ Mazda Motor Corporation, 3-1 Shinchu, Fuchu-cho, Aki-gun, 730-8670,
Hiroshima, Japan.

⁴ Sobal Corporation, Osaki MT Building, Kita-Shinagawa 5-9-11,
Shinagawa-ku, 141-0001, Tokyo, Japan.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): okayama@hiroshima-cu.ac.jp;

Abstract

The SE and DE formulas are known as efficient quadrature formulas for integrals with endpoint singularity. Particularly, for integrals with algebraic singularity, explicit error bounds in a computable form have been provided, which are useful for computations with guaranteed accuracy. Such explicit error bounds have also been provided for integrals with logarithmic singularity. However, these error bounds have two points to be discussed. The first point is on overestimation of divergence speed of logarithmic singularity. The second point is on the case where there exist both logarithmic and algebraic singularity. To address these issues, this study provides new error bounds for integrals with logarithmic and algebraic singularity. Although existing and new error bounds described above handle integrals over the finite interval, the SE and DE formulas can be applied to integrals over the semi-infinite interval. On the basis of the new results, this study provides new error bounds for integrals over the semi-infinite interval with logarithmic and algebraic singularity at the origin.

Keywords: SE transformation, DE transformation, trapezoidal formula, error bound

1 Introduction and summary

We are concerned with numerical integration of the integral

$$\int_0^T f(t)dt,$$

where $T > 0$ and f may have integrable singularity at the endpoints of the interval. The single-exponential (SE) and double-exponential (DE) formulas are known to be efficient quadrature formulas for such an integral [1]. These formulas are derived by combining the SE/DE transformation and the trapezoidal formula. In the case of the SE formula, we first apply the SE transformation

$$t = \psi_1(x) = \frac{T}{2} \tanh\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \frac{T}{2}$$

to the given integral as

$$\int_0^T f(t)dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\psi_1(x))\psi_1'(x)dx.$$

Next, we apply the trapezoidal formula as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\psi_1(x))\psi_1'(x)dx \approx h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\psi_1(kh))\psi_1'(kh),$$

where h denotes mesh size. Then, we truncate the infinite sum on the right-hand side at some integers M and N . The final form of the SE formula is expressed as

$$\int_0^T f(t)dt \approx h \sum_{k=-M}^N f(\psi_1(kh))\psi_1'(kh),$$

which is also referred to as the tanh rule. After the formula was proposed [2], Takahasi and Mori [3] derived another formula by replacing the SE transformation with

$$t = \phi_1(x) = \frac{T}{2} \tanh\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \sinh x\right) + \frac{T}{2},$$

which is referred to as the DE transformation. The final form of the DE formula is expressed as

$$\int_0^T f(t)dt \approx h \sum_{k=-M}^N f(\phi_1(kh))\phi_1'(kh).$$

Computable error bounds of the two formulas have been provided [4] in the case where the integrand f has algebraic singularity at the endpoints as

$$\int_0^T \frac{g(t)}{t^{1-\alpha}(T-t)^{1-\beta}} dt, \quad (1.1)$$

where g is bounded, and α and β are positive constants. The result has been utilized for the computation library of verified numerical integration [5].

In the case where the integrand f has logarithmic singularity as

$$\int_0^T g(t) \log t dt, \quad (1.2)$$

computable error bounds of the two formulas have also been provided [6]. However, two points remain to be discussed regarding these error bounds. The first point is on overestimation of divergence speed of logarithmic singularity. To derive the error bounds, the following inequality

$$|\log z| \leq \frac{C}{|z|^{1/(2\pi)}} \quad (1.3)$$

was proved with some constant C , and the existing result for (1.1) was utilized with $\alpha = 1 - 1/(2\pi)$ and $\beta = 1$. Even though the inequality (1.3) is mathematically correct, the right-hand side is unnecessarily large around the origin, because the divergence speed of algebraic singularity is essentially higher than that of logarithmic singularity. Such an overestimation may result in non-sharp error bounds. The second point is on the case where the integrand f has both logarithmic and algebraic singularity, such as

$$\int_0^T \frac{g(t)}{t^{1-\alpha}(T-t)^{1-\beta}} \log t dt. \quad (1.4)$$

The provided error bounds for (1.2) cannot handle the case as (1.4), because it is assumed that the function $g(t)$ is bounded.

The first contribution of this study is to provide computable error bounds of the SE and DE formulas in the case (1.4), to address the aforementioned two points. Rather than utilizing the error bounds for (1.1), we perform the error analysis for the case (1.4) directly. Furthermore, especially for the DE formula, we employ the optimal selection formulas of h , M and N [7]. Consequently, we obtain not only sharper error bounds but also better convergence profiles, which can be observed in numerical experiments.

The second contribution of this study is to provide computable error bounds in the case of the semi-infinite interval as

$$\int_0^\infty f(t) \log t \, dt,$$

where f may have algebraic singularity at the origin. Two types of the SE and DE formulas were proposed depending on the decay rate of f . When f decays algebraically as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the SE and DE formulas [2, 3] are expressed as

$$\int_0^\infty f(t) \log t \, dt \approx h \sum_{k=-M}^N f(\psi_2(kh)) \log(\psi_2(kh)) \psi_2'(kh), \quad (1.5)$$

$$\int_0^\infty f(t) \log t \, dt \approx h \sum_{k=-M}^N f(\phi_2(kh)) \log(\phi_2(kh)) \phi_2'(kh), \quad (1.6)$$

where ψ_2 and ϕ_2 denote the SE and DE transformations defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2(x) &= e^x, \\ \phi_2(x) &= e^{(\pi/2) \sinh x}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. When f decays exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the SE formula [8] is derived by replacing ψ_2 in (1.5) with

$$\psi_3(x) = \log(1 + e^x),$$

and the DE formula [9] is derived by replacing ϕ_2 in (1.6) with

$$\phi_3(x) = \log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh x}).$$

For both types, we provide computable error bounds of the SE and DE formulas in the same manner as the case of the finite interval.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, after reviewing existing theorems, we present new theorems, which are the main results of this study. In Section 3, we present some numerical examples. In Section 4, we provide proofs of the main results.

2 Existing and new results

The trapezoidal formula, which is employed in both the SE and DE formulas, works accurately if the integrand is analytic on the strip region

$$\mathcal{D}_d = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im} \zeta| < d\},$$

where $d > 0$. Therefore, in the following theorems, the given integrand f is assumed to be analytic on the translated domain by the SE or DE transformation, denoted by

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_i(\mathcal{D}_d) &= \{z = \psi_i(\zeta) : \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d\} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \\ \phi_i(\mathcal{D}_d) &= \{z = \phi_i(\zeta) : \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d\} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3),\end{aligned}$$

respectively. Using the notions, we summarize existing and new error bounds below.

2.1 Existing and new error bounds in the case of the finite interval

The existing error bounds for the SE and DE formulas are expressed as follows.

Theorem 2.1 (Okayama [6, Theorem 2]). *Let K and d be positive constants with $d < \pi$. Assume that f is analytic on $\psi_1(\mathcal{D}_d)$, and satisfies*

$$|f(z)| \leq K |\log z| \quad (2.1)$$

for all $z \in \psi_1(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\gamma = (2\pi - 1)/(2\pi)$, let n be a positive integer, let h be selected by

$$h = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi d}{\gamma n}},$$

and let M and N be selected by

$$M = n, \quad N = \lceil \gamma n \rceil.$$

Then, it holds that

$$\left| \int_0^T f(t) dt - h \sum_{k=-M}^N f(\psi_1(kh)) \psi_1'(kh) \right| \leq C e^{-\sqrt{2\pi d} \gamma n},$$

where C is a constant independent of n , expressed as

$$C = \frac{2KT}{\gamma \cos^{1/(2\pi)}(d/2)} \sqrt{\pi^2 + \left\{ \log \left(\frac{T}{\cos(d/2)} \right) \right\}^2} \left[\frac{2}{(1 - e^{-\sqrt{2\pi d} \gamma}) \cos^{\gamma+1}(d/2)} + 1 \right].$$

Theorem 2.2 (Okayama [6, Theorem 3]). *Let K and d be positive constants with $d < \pi/2$. Assume that f is analytic on $\phi_1(\mathcal{D}_d)$, and satisfies (2.1) for all $z \in \phi_1(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\gamma = (2\pi - 1)/(2\pi)$, let n be a positive integer, let h be selected by*

$$h = \frac{\log(4dn/\gamma)}{n},$$

and let M and N be selected by

$$M = n, \quad N = n - \left\lfloor \frac{\log(1/\gamma)}{h} \right\rfloor.$$

Then, it holds that

$$\left| \int_0^T f(t) dt - h \sum_{k=-M}^N f(\phi_1(kh)) \phi_1'(kh) \right| \leq C e^{-2\pi d n / \log(4dn/\gamma)},$$

where C is a constant independent of n , expressed as

$$C = \frac{2KTc_d^{1/(2\pi)}}{\gamma} \sqrt{\pi^2 + \{\log(Tc_d)\}^2} \left[\frac{2c_d^{\gamma+1}}{(1 - e^{-\pi\gamma e/2}) \cos d} + e^{\pi/2} \right],$$

where $c_d = 1/\cos((\pi/2) \sin d)$.

In this paper, we present the following error bounds for the SE and DE formulas. Their proofs are provided in Section 4.1.

Theorem 2.3. Let K , α , β and d be positive constants with $d < \pi$. Assume that f is analytic on $\psi_1(\mathcal{D}_d)$, and satisfies

$$|f(z)| \leq K|z|^{\alpha-1}|T-z|^{\beta-1}|\log z| \quad (2.2)$$

for all $z \in \psi_1(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let n be a positive integer, let h be selected by

$$h = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi d}{\mu n}}, \quad (2.3)$$

and let M and N be selected by

$$M = \left\lfloor \frac{\mu}{\alpha} n \right\rfloor, \quad N = \left\lfloor \frac{\mu}{\beta} n \right\rfloor. \quad (2.4)$$

Let n be taken sufficiently large so that $n \geq 1/(2\pi d\mu)$ is satisfied. Then, it holds that

$$\left| \int_0^T f(t) dt - h \sum_{k=-M}^N f(\psi_1(kh)) \psi_1'(kh) \right| \leq C\sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{2\pi d\mu n}},$$

where C is a constant independent of n , expressed as

$$C = \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\mu} \left[\frac{4|\log T| \cos(d/2) + 2l_\mu}{(1 - e^{-\sqrt{2\pi d\mu}}) \cos^{\alpha+\beta+1}(d/2)} + 2|\log T| + l_\mu + \sqrt{\frac{2\pi d}{\mu}} \right],$$

where $l_\mu = 2\log 2 + (1/\mu)$.

Theorem 2.4. Let K, α, β and d be positive constants with $d < \pi/2$. Assume that f is analytic on $\phi_1(\mathcal{D}_d)$, and satisfies (2.2) for all $z \in \phi_1(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let n be a positive integer, let h be selected by

$$h = \frac{\operatorname{arsinh}(2dn/\mu)}{n}, \quad (2.5)$$

and let M and N be selected by

$$M = \left\lceil \frac{1}{h} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha} q \left(\frac{2dn}{\mu} \right) \right) \right\rceil, \quad N = \left\lceil \frac{1}{h} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{\mu}{\beta} q \left(\frac{2dn}{\mu} \right) \right) \right\rceil, \quad (2.6)$$

where $q(x) = x / \operatorname{arsinh} x$. Let n be taken sufficiently large so that $n \geq \mu \sinh(1)/(2d)$ and $h \leq \pi d$ are satisfied. Then, it holds that

$$\left| \int_0^T f(t) dt - h \sum_{k=-M}^N f(\phi_1(kh)) \phi_1'(kh) \right| \leq C n e^{-2\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(2dn/\mu)},$$

where C is a constant independent of n , expressed as

$$C = \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\mu} \left[\frac{c_d^{\alpha+\beta} (4|\log T| \cos d + 2l_\mu c_d)}{(1 - e^{-\pi\mu q(2d/\mu)}) \cos^2 d} + 2|\log T| + l_\mu + \frac{2\pi d}{\mu} \right],$$

where $c_d = 1 / \cos((\pi/2) \sin d)$ and $l_\mu = \log 2 + (1/\mu)$.

Let us compare the existing and new theorems. For a fair comparison, we set $\alpha = \beta = 1$ in the new theorems here, so that (2.2) becomes the same condition as (2.1). According to Theorem 2.1 (existing theorem), the convergence rate of the SE formula is $O(e^{-\sqrt{2\pi d \gamma n}})$, where $\gamma = (2\pi - 1)/(2\pi)$. In contrast, Theorem 2.3 (new theorem) states that the convergence rate of the SE formula is $O(\sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{2\pi d n}})$, which is higher than $O(e^{-\sqrt{2\pi d \gamma n}})$ (note that $\gamma < 1$).

However, we must note that the aforementioned convergence rates are given with respect to n , not with respect to the total number of function evaluation ($M + N + 1$). For this reason, we cannot conclude immediately from the theorems above that the SE formula in the new theorem converges more rapidly than that in the existing theorem. In fact, the total number of function evaluation ($M + N + 1$) differs according to the theorems; $M + N + 1 = 2n + 1$ in Theorem 2.3, whereas $M + N + 1 = n + \lceil \gamma n \rceil + 1$ in Theorem 2.1, which is less than $2n + 1$.

Similarly, in the case of the DE formula as well, it is difficult to judge which is better from Theorems 2.2 and 2.4. To compare the convergence profiles with respect to $M + N + 1$ practically, numerical experiments are useful, which are demonstrated in the next section.

2.2 New error bounds in the case of the semi-finite interval

Here, we present new error bounds for the SE and DE formulas in the case where the integral interval is $(0, \infty)$, i.e., the semi-infinite interval. Their proofs are provided in Section 4.2.

We consider two cases depending on the decay rate of the integrand: algebraic decay or exponential decay. First, we consider the case of algebraic decay.

Theorem 2.5. *Let K, α, β and d be positive constants with $d < \pi/2$. Assume that f is analytic on $\psi_2(\mathcal{D}_d)$, and satisfies*

$$|f(z)| \leq K \frac{|z|^{\alpha-1}}{|1+z^2|^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}} |\log z| \quad (2.7)$$

for all $z \in \psi_2(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let n be a positive integer, let h be selected by (2.3), and let M and N be selected by (2.4). Let n be taken sufficiently large so that $n \geq 1/(2\pi d\mu)$ is satisfied. Then, it holds that

$$\left| \int_0^\infty f(t)dt - h \sum_{k=-M}^N f(\psi_2(kh))\psi_2'(kh) \right| \leq C\sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{2\pi d\mu}n},$$

where C is a constant independent of n , expressed as

$$C = \frac{2K}{\mu^2} \left[\frac{2(1+\mu d)}{(1-e^{-\sqrt{2\pi d\mu}})\cos^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}d} + \sqrt{2\pi d\mu} + 1 \right].$$

Theorem 2.6. *Let K, α, β and d be positive constants with $d < \pi/2$. Assume that f is analytic on $\phi_2(\mathcal{D}_d)$, and satisfies (2.7) for all $z \in \phi_2(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let n be a positive integer, let h be selected by*

$$h = \frac{\operatorname{arsinh}(4dn/\mu)}{n}, \quad (2.8)$$

and let M and N be selected by

$$M = \left\lceil \frac{1}{h} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha} q \left(\frac{4dn}{\mu} \right) \right) \right\rceil, \quad N = \left\lceil \frac{1}{h} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{\mu}{\beta} q \left(\frac{4dn}{\mu} \right) \right) \right\rceil, \quad (2.9)$$

where $q(x) = x/\operatorname{arsinh} x$. Let n be taken sufficiently large so that $n \geq \mu \sinh(1)/(4d)$ and $h \leq \pi d$ are satisfied. Then, it holds that

$$\left| \int_0^\infty f(t)dt - h \sum_{k=-M}^N f(\phi_2(kh))\phi_2'(kh) \right| \leq Cn e^{-2\pi dn/\operatorname{arsinh}(4dn/\mu)},$$

where C is a constant independent of n , expressed as

$$C = \frac{2K}{\mu^2} \left[\frac{(2 + \pi\mu \cos d)c_d^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}}{(1 - e^{-\pi\mu q(4d/\mu)^2}) \cos^2 d} + 2\pi d + 1 \right],$$

where $c_d = 1/\cos((\pi/2)\sin d)$.

Next, we consider the case of exponential decay.

Theorem 2.7. *Let K , α , β and d be positive constants with $\alpha \leq 1$ and $d < \pi$. Assume that f is analytic on $\psi_3(\mathcal{D}_d)$, and satisfies*

$$|f(z)| \leq K \left| \frac{z}{1+z} \right|^{\alpha-1} |e^{-z}|^\beta |\log z| \quad (2.10)$$

for all $z \in \psi_3(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let n be a positive integer, let h be selected by (2.3), and let M and N be selected by (2.4). Let n be taken sufficiently large so that $n \geq 1/(2\pi d\mu)$ is satisfied. Then, it holds that

$$\left| \int_0^\infty f(t)dt - h \sum_{k=-M}^N f(\psi_3(kh))\psi_3'(kh) \right| \leq C\sqrt{n}e^{-\sqrt{2\pi d\mu n}},$$

where C is a constant independent of n , expressed as

$$C = \frac{2K}{\mu^2} \left[\frac{2\tilde{L}_d^{1-\alpha}\tilde{c}_d^{\alpha+\beta} \{(1 + \tilde{c}_d)(1 + \mu d) - \mu \log(\log 2) \log(2 + \tilde{c}_d)\}}{(1 - e^{-\sqrt{2\pi d\mu}}) \log(2 + \tilde{c}_d)} + e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \left\{ \sqrt{2\pi d\mu} + 1 - \mu \log(\log 2) \right\} \right],$$

where $\tilde{c}_d = 1/\cos(d/2)$ and

$$\tilde{L}_d = \frac{1 + \log(2 + \tilde{c}_d)}{\log(2 + \tilde{c}_d)}(1 + \tilde{c}_d). \quad (2.11)$$

Theorem 2.8. *Let K , α , β and d be positive constants with $\alpha \leq 1$ and $d < \pi/2$. Assume that f is analytic on $\phi_3(\mathcal{D}_d)$, and satisfies (2.7) for all $z \in \phi_3(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let n be a positive integer, let h be selected by (2.5), and let M and N be selected by (2.6), where $q(x) = x/\operatorname{arsinh} x$. Let n be taken sufficiently large so that $n \geq \mu \sinh(1)/(2d)$ and $h \leq \pi d$ are satisfied. Then, it holds that*

$$\left| \int_0^\infty f(t)dt - h \sum_{k=-M}^N f(\phi_3(kh))\phi_3'(kh) \right| \leq Cn e^{-2\pi dn/\operatorname{arsinh}(2dn/\mu)},$$

where C is a constant independent of n , expressed as

$$C = \frac{2K}{\mu^2} \left[\frac{2L_d^{1-\alpha} c_d^{\alpha+\beta} \{(1+c_d)(1+d)(1+\pi\mu \cos d) - \mu \log(\log 2) \log(2+c_d) \cos d\}}{(1 - e^{-\pi\mu q(2d/\mu)}) \log(2+c_d) \cos^2 d} + e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \{2\pi d + 1 - \mu \log(\log 2)\} \right],$$

where $c_d = 1/\cos((\pi/2) \sin d)$ and

$$L_d = \frac{1 + \log(2 + c_d)}{\log(2 + c_d)} (1 + c_d). \quad (2.12)$$

3 Numerical experiments

In this section, we present numerical results for integrals with logarithmic singularity. We implemented the programs in C with double-precision arithmetic.

We first consider the following integral over the finite interval, where algebraic singularity does not exist.

Example 1. Consider the following integral

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\log t}{1+t} dt = -\frac{\pi^2}{12}.$$

In this case, the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are fulfilled with $K = 1+e$ and $d = 3$, and those of Theorem 2.2 are fulfilled with $K = 3\sqrt{2}$ and $d = \pi/3$. Furthermore, the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 are fulfilled with $K = 1+e$, $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and $d = 3$, and those of Theorem 2.4 are fulfilled with $K = 3\sqrt{2}$, $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and $d = \pi/3$. The results are shown in Fig. 1. In the figure, the error bounds given in Theorems 2.1–2.4 include corresponding observed errors. The convergence profile of the SE formula of Theorem 2.3 is almost identical to that of Theorem 2.1. In contrast, the convergence profile of the DE formula of Theorem 2.4 is superior to that of Theorem 2.2. The main reason for this improvement may be due to employment of the optimal selection formulas of h , M and N [7].

The next example is also an integral over the finite interval, but algebraic singularity exists at the origin. For this reason, we cannot use Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 for this example.

Example 2. Consider the following integral

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\log t}{\sqrt{t}(1+t)} dt = -4G,$$

where G is Catalan's constant.

In this case, the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 are fulfilled with $K = 1+e$, $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and $d = 3$, and those of Theorem 2.4 are fulfilled with $K = 3\sqrt{2}$, $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and

$d = \pi/3$. The results are shown in Fig. 2. In this figure as well, the error bounds given in Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 include corresponding observed errors.

The next example is an integral over the semi-infinite interval, where the integrand decays polynomially.

Example 3. Consider the following integral

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\log t}{t^{1/3}(1+t^2)} dt = -\frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

In this case, the assumptions of both Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 are fulfilled with $K = 1$, $\alpha = 2/3$, $\beta = 4/3$ and $d = 3/2$. The results are shown in Fig. 3. In this figure as well, the error bounds given in Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 include corresponding observed errors.

The final example is the integral over the semi-infinite interval, where the integrand decays exponentially.

Example 4. Consider the following integral

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t} \log t}{\sqrt{t}} dt = -\sqrt{\pi}(\gamma + 2 \log 2),$$

where γ is Euler's constant.

In this case, the assumptions of Theorem 2.7 are fulfilled with $K = 2\pi/3$, $\alpha = 1/2$, $\beta = 1$ and $d = 3$, and those of Theorem 2.8 are fulfilled with $K = 2\pi/3$, $\alpha = 1/2$, $\beta = 1$ and $d = 3/2$. The results are shown in Fig. 4. In this figure as well, the error bounds given in Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 include corresponding observed errors.




Fig. 1 Observed error and error bound for the integral of Example 1.




Fig. 2 Observed error and error bound for the integral of Example 2.




Fig. 3 Observed error and error bound for the integral of Example 3.

4 Proofs

In this section, we provide proofs for Theorems 2.3–2.8.

4.1 In the case of the finite interval

Here, we prove theorems in the case of the finite interval, i.e., Theorems 2.3 (for the SE formula) and 2.4 (for the DE formula).




Fig. 4 Observed error and error bound for the integral of Example 4.

4.1.1 Proof of Theorem 2.3

We analyze the error of the SE formula first. Applying the SE transformation $t = \psi_1(x)$ and putting $F(x) = f(\psi_1(x))\psi_1'(x)$, we rewrite the error of the SE formula as

$$\left| \int_0^T f(t)dt - h \sum_{k=-M}^N f(\psi_1(kh))\psi_1'(kh) \right| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x)dx - h \sum_{k=-M}^N F(kh) \right|.$$

Furthermore, we divide the right-hand side into two terms as

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x)dx - h \sum_{k=-M}^N F(kh) \right| &\leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x)dx - h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} F(kh) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} F(kh) + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} F(kh) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The first and second terms are called the discretization and truncation errors, respectively. The following function space is important to analyze the discretization error.

Definition 1. Let d be a positive constant. Then, $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{D}_d)$ denotes the family of all functions F that are analytic on \mathcal{D}_d , such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \int_{-d}^d |F(x + iy)|dy = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

and such that $\mathcal{N}(F, d) < \infty$, where

$$\mathcal{N}(F, d) = \lim_{y \rightarrow d-0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{|F(x+iy)| + |F(x-iy)|\} dx.$$

If the integrand F belongs to $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{D}_d)$, the discretization error is bounded as follows.

Theorem 4.1 (classical; cf. Stenger [10, Theorem 3.4]). *Assume that $F \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Then, it holds that*

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) dx - h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} F(kh) \right| \leq \mathcal{N}(F, d) \frac{e^{-2\pi d/h}}{1 - e^{-2\pi d/h}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Therefore, our main task is to show $F \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{D}_d)$. To this end, the following bounds are required.

Lemma 4.2 (Okayama et al. [4, Lemma 4.21]). *For all real numbers x and y with $|y| < \pi$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{1 + e^{x+iy}} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{(1 + e^x) \cos(y/2)}, \\ \left| \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(x+iy)}} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-x}) \cos(y/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let T be a real positive number. For all real numbers x and y with $|y| < \pi$, it holds that*

$$\left| \log \left(\frac{T}{1 + e^{-(x+iy)}} \right) \right| \leq |\log T| + \frac{1}{\cos(y/2)} \log(1 + e^{-x}).$$

Proof. First, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \log \left(\frac{T}{1 + e^{-(x+iy)}} \right) \right| &= \left| \log T - \log(1 + e^{-(x+iy)}) \right| \\ &\leq |\log T| + |\log(1 + e^{-(x+iy)})|. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, noting $(\log(1 + e^{-\zeta}))' = -1/(1 + e^{\zeta})$ and using Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\log(1 + e^{-(x+iy)})| &= \left| \int_x^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + e^{t+iy}} dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_x^{\infty} \frac{1}{|1 + e^{t+iy}|} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\cos(y/2)} \int_x^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 + e^t)} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\cos(y/2)} \log(1 + e^{-x}), \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain the desired inequality. \square

Using these bounds, we show the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Let K, α, β and d be positive constants with $d < \pi$. Assume that f is analytic on $\psi_1(\mathcal{D}_d)$, and satisfies (2.2) for all $z \in \psi_1(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Then, putting $F(x) = f(\psi_1(x))\psi_1'(x)$, we have (4.2), where*

$$\mathcal{N}(F, d) \leq \frac{2KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\mu \cos^{\alpha+\beta}(d/2)} \left\{ 2|\log T| + \left(2\log 2 + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \frac{1}{\cos(d/2)} \right\}.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that $F \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Because $f(\psi_1(\cdot))$ is analytic on \mathcal{D}_d and ψ_1' is analytic on \mathcal{D}_π , F is analytic on \mathcal{D}_d . Next, we show (4.1). From (2.2) and Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, it holds for $\zeta = x + iy \in \mathcal{D}_d$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(\zeta)| &\leq \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{|1 + e^{-\zeta}|^\alpha |1 + e^\zeta|^\beta} \left| \log \left(\frac{T}{1 + e^{-\zeta}} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{(1 + e^{-x})^\alpha \cos^\alpha(y/2)(1 + e^x)^\beta \cos^\beta(y/2)} \left(|\log T| + \frac{1}{\cos(y/2)} \log(1 + e^{-x}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Using this inequality, for $x \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-d}^d |F(x + iy)| dy \\ &\leq \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{(1 + e^{-x})^\alpha (1 + e^x)^\beta} \int_{-d}^d \frac{1}{\cos^{\alpha+\beta}(y/2)} \left(|\log T| + \frac{1}{\cos(y/2)} \log(1 + e^{-x}) \right) dy \\ &\rightarrow 0 \cdot \int_{-d}^d \frac{1}{\cos^{\alpha+\beta}(y/2)} \left(|\log T| + \frac{1}{\cos(y/2)} \cdot 0 \right) dy \quad (x \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

and for $x < 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-d}^d |F(x + iy)| dy \\ &\leq \frac{(-x)KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{(1 + e^{-x})^\alpha (1 + e^x)^\beta} \int_{-d}^d \frac{1}{\cos^{\alpha+\beta}(y/2)} \left(\frac{|\log T|}{(-x)} + \frac{1}{\cos(y/2)} \frac{\log(1 + e^{-x})}{(-x)} \right) dy \\ &\rightarrow 0 \cdot \int_{-d}^d \frac{1}{\cos^{\alpha+\beta}(y/2)} \left(|\log T| \cdot 0 + \frac{1}{\cos(y/2)} \cdot 1 \right) dy \quad (x \rightarrow -\infty), \end{aligned}$$

which shows (4.1). Finally, we estimate $\mathcal{N}(F, d)$. Using (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{|F(x + iy)| + |F(x - iy)|\} dx \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{(1 + e^{-x})^\alpha (1 + e^x)^\beta \cos^{\alpha+\beta}(y/2)} \left(|\log T| + \frac{\log(1 + e^{-x})}{\cos(y/2)} \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{(1+e^{-x})^{\alpha}(1+e^x)^{\beta} \cos^{\alpha+\beta}(-y/2)} \left(|\log T| + \frac{\log(1+e^{-x})}{\cos(-y/2)} \right) dx \\
\rightarrow & \frac{2KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\cos^{\alpha+\beta}(d/2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+e^{-x})^{\alpha}(1+e^x)^{\beta}} \left(|\log T| + \frac{\log(1+e^{-x})}{\cos(d/2)} \right) dx \quad (y \rightarrow d-0).
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term of the integral, using $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\log T|}{(1+e^{-x})^{\alpha}(1+e^x)^{\beta}} dx & \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\log T|}{(1+e^{-x})^{\mu}(1+e^x)^{\mu}} dx \\
& = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{|\log T|}{(1+e^{-x})^{2\mu}} e^{-\mu x} dx \\
& \leq 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{|\log T|}{(1+0)^{2\mu}} e^{-\mu x} dx \\
& = \frac{2}{\mu} |\log T|.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term of the integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\cos(d/2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\log(1+e^{-x})}{(1+e^{-x})^{\alpha}(1+e^x)^{\beta}} dx \\
& = \frac{1}{\cos(d/2)} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{e^{\alpha x} \{-x + \log(1+e^x)\}}{(1+e^x)^{\alpha+\beta}} dx + \frac{1}{\cos(d/2)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\beta x} \log(1+e^{-x})}{(1+e^{-x})^{\alpha+\beta}} dx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\cos(d/2)} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{e^{\alpha x} \{-x + \log(1+e^0)\}}{(1+0)^{\alpha+\beta}} dx + \frac{1}{\cos(d/2)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\beta x} \log(1+e^{-0})}{(1+0)^{\alpha+\beta}} dx \\
& = \frac{1}{\alpha \cos(d/2)} \left(\log 2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) + \frac{\log 2}{\beta \cos(d/2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, using $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, we obtain the conclusion. \square

Next, we estimate the truncation error. For this purpose, we use the following result.

Proposition 4.5. *Let α and β be positive constants. Let $G_-(x) = -xe^{\alpha x}$ and $G_+(x) = xe^{-\beta x}$. Then, G_- monotonically increases for $x \leq -1/\alpha$, and G_+ monotonically decreases for $x \geq 1/\beta$.*

We bound the truncation error as follows.

Lemma 4.6. *Let K, α, β be positive constants. Assume that f satisfies (2.2) for all $z \in (0, T)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let n be positive integer, and let M and N be selected by (2.4). Let $Mh \geq 1/\alpha$ be satisfied. Then, putting $F(x) = f(\psi_1(x))\psi_1'(x)$, we have*

$$\left| h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} F(kh) + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} F(kh) \right| \leq \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\mu} \left\{ 2|\log T| + 2\log 2 + \frac{1}{\mu} + nh \right\} e^{-\mu nh}.$$

Proof. From (2.2), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x)| &\leq \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{(1+e^{-x})^\alpha(1+e^x)^\beta} \left| \log \left(\frac{T}{1+e^{-x}} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{(1+e^{-x})^\alpha(1+e^x)^\beta} \{ |\log T| + \log(1+e^{-x}) \}, \end{aligned}$$

which is also obtained by substituting $y = 0$ into (4.3). Using this inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} F(kh) + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} F(kh) \right| \\ &\leq h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{(1+e^{-kh})^\alpha(1+e^{kh})^\beta} \{ |\log T| + \log(1+e^{-kh}) \} \\ &\quad + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{(1+e^{-kh})^\alpha(1+e^{kh})^\beta} \{ |\log T| + \log(1+e^{-kh}) \} \\ &= h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} e^{\alpha kh}}{(1+e^{kh})^{\alpha+\beta}} \{ |\log T| + (-kh) + \log(1+e^{kh}) \} \\ &\quad + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} e^{-\beta kh}}{(1+e^{-kh})^{\alpha+\beta}} \{ |\log T| + \log(1+e^{-kh}) \} \\ &\leq h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} e^{\alpha kh}}{(1+0)^{\alpha+\beta}} \{ |\log T| + (-kh) + \log(1+e^0) \} \\ &\quad + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} e^{-\beta kh}}{(1+0)^{\alpha+\beta}} \{ |\log T| + \log(1+e^{-0}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 4.5, for $Mh \geq 1/\alpha$, we bound the first sum as

$$\begin{aligned} &h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} \{ |\log T| + (-kh) + \log 2 \} e^{\alpha kh} \\ &\leq KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} \int_{-\infty}^{-Mh} \{ |\log T| + (-x) + \log 2 \} e^{\alpha x} dx \\ &= \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\alpha} \left(|\log T| + Mh + \log 2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) e^{-\alpha Mh} \\ &\leq \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\mu} \left(|\log T| + Mh + \log 2 + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) e^{-\alpha Mh}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is used at the last inequality. Similarly, we bound the second term as

$$\begin{aligned} h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} (|\log T| + \log 2) e^{-\beta kh} &\leq KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} (|\log T| + \log 2) \int_{Nh}^{\infty} e^{-\beta x} dx \\ &= KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} (|\log T| + \log 2) \cdot \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-\beta Nh} \\ &\leq KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} (|\log T| + \log 2) \cdot \frac{1}{\mu} e^{-\beta Nh}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using (2.4), we have $Mh \leq nh$ (because $M \leq n$), $e^{-\alpha Mh} \leq e^{-\mu nh}$ (because $\alpha M \geq \mu n$) and $e^{-\beta Nh} \leq e^{-\mu nh}$ (because $\beta N \geq \mu n$), from which we obtain the conclusion. \square

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.3. Note that if $n \geq 1/(2\pi d\mu)$, then with h selected by (2.3), it holds that

$$Mh = \left\lceil \frac{\mu}{\alpha} n \right\rceil \sqrt{\frac{2\pi d}{\mu n}} \geq \frac{\mu}{\alpha} n \sqrt{\frac{2\pi d}{\mu n}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sqrt{2\pi d \mu n} \geq \frac{1}{\alpha}. \quad (4.4)$$

Therefore, from Lemmas 4.4 and 4.6, substituting (2.3) into h , we have

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) dx - h \sum_{k=-M}^N F(kh) \right| \leq C(n) \sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{2\pi d \mu n}},$$

where

$$C(n) = \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\mu} \left[\frac{4|\log T| \cos(d/2) + 2l_{\mu}}{\sqrt{n}(1 - e^{-\sqrt{2\pi d \mu n}}) \cos^{\alpha+\beta+1}(d/2)} + \frac{2|\log T| + l_{\mu}}{\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{2\pi d}{\mu}} \right],$$

where $l_{\mu} = 2 \log 2 + (1/\mu)$. Furthermore, $C(n) \leq C(1)$ holds, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.

4.1.2 Proof of Theorem 2.4

In the case of the DE formula as well, we estimate both the discretization and truncation errors. To bound the discretization error, the following bounds are required.

Lemma 4.7 (Okayama et al. [4, Lemma 4.22]). *For all real numbers x and y with $|y| < \pi/2$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x+i y)}} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{(1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos y}) \cos((\pi/2) \sin y)}, \\ \left| \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x+i y)}} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y}) \cos((\pi/2) \sin y)}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.8. *Let T be a real positive number. For all real numbers x and y with $|y| < \pi/2$, it holds that*

$$\left| \log \left(\frac{T}{1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x+iy)}} \right) \right| \leq |\log T| + \frac{1}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin y) \cos y} \log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y}).$$

Proof. First, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \log \left(\frac{T}{1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x+iy)}} \right) \right| &= \left| \log T - \log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x+iy)}) \right| \\ &\leq |\log T| + |\log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x+iy)})|. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, noting $(\log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}))' = -\pi \cosh \zeta / (1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta})$ and using Lemma 4.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x+iy)})| &= \left| \int_x^\infty \frac{\pi \cosh(t+iy)}{1 + e^{\pi \sinh(t+iy)}} dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_x^\infty \frac{\pi |\cosh(t+iy)|}{|1 + e^{\pi \sinh(t+iy)}|} dt \\ &\leq \int_x^\infty \frac{\pi \cosh t}{(1 + e^{\pi \sinh(t) \cos y}) \cos((\pi/2) \sin y)} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin y) \cos y} \log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y}), \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain the desired inequality. \square

Using these bounds, we show the following lemma.

Lemma 4.9. *Let K , α , β and d be positive constants with $d < \pi/2$. Assume that f is analytic on $\phi_1(\mathcal{D}_d)$, and satisfies (2.2) for all $z \in \phi_1(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Then, putting $F(x) = f(\phi_1(x))\phi_1'(x)$, we have (4.2), where*

$$\mathcal{N}(F, d) \leq \frac{2KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\mu \cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos d} \left\{ 2|\log T| + \frac{2 \log 2 + (1/\mu)}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos d} \right\}.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that $F \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Because $f(\phi_1(\cdot))$ is analytic on \mathcal{D}_d and ϕ_1' is analytic on $\mathcal{D}_{\pi/2}$, F is analytic on \mathcal{D}_d . Next, we show (4.1). From (2.2) and Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8, it holds for $\zeta = x + iy \in \mathcal{D}_d$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(\zeta)| &\leq \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} \pi |\cosh \zeta|}{|1 + e^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}|^\alpha |1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta}|^\beta} \left| \log \left(\frac{T}{1 + e^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} \pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\alpha (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\beta \cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin y)} \\ &\quad \cdot \left\{ |\log T| + \frac{\log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin y) \cos y} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}\pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\mu (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\mu \cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin y)} \\
&\quad \cdot \left\{ |\log T| + \frac{\log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin y) \cos y} \right\}, \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is used at the last inequality. Using this inequality (4.5), for $x \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-d}^d |F(x + iy)| dy \\
&\leq \int_{-d}^d \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}\pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\mu (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\mu \cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin y)} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(|\log T| + \frac{\log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin y) \cos y} \right) dy \\
&\leq \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}\pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^\mu (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^\mu \cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin d)} \\
&\quad \cdot \int_{-d}^d \left(|\log T| + \frac{\log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin y) \cos y} \right) dy \\
&\rightarrow 0 \cdot \int_{-d}^d \left(|\log T| + \frac{0}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin y) \cos y} \right) dy \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),
\end{aligned}$$

and for $x < 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-d}^d |F(x + iy)| dy \\
&\leq \int_{-d}^d \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}\pi \cosh x \cdot \pi \sinh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\mu (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\mu \cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin y)} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\frac{|\log T|}{\pi \sinh x} + \frac{\log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin y) \pi \sinh(x) \cos y} \right) dy \\
&\leq \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}\pi \cosh x \cdot \pi \sinh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^\mu (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^\mu \cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin d)} \\
&\quad \cdot \int_{-d}^d \left(\frac{|\log T|}{\pi \sinh x} + \frac{\log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin y) \pi \sinh(x) \cos y} \right) dy \\
&\rightarrow 0 \cdot \int_{-d}^d \left(0 + \frac{1}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin y)} \right) dy \quad (x \rightarrow -\infty),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows (4.1). Finally, we estimate $\mathcal{N}(F, d)$. Using (4.5), we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{|F(x + iy)| + |F(x - iy)|\} dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{-d}^d \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}\pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\mu (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\mu \cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin y)} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(|\log T| + \frac{\log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin y) \cos y} \right) dx \\
&+ \int_{-d}^d \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}\pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos(-y)})^\mu (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos(-y)})^\mu \cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin(-y))} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(|\log T| + \frac{\log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos(-y)})}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin(-y)) \cos y} \right) dx \\
&\rightarrow \frac{2KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin d)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^\mu (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^\mu} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(|\log T| + \frac{\log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos d} \right) dx \quad (y \rightarrow d - 0).
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term of the integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\log T| \pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^\mu (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^\mu} dx \\
&= 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{|\log T| \pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^{2\mu}} e^{-\pi \mu \sinh(x) \cos d} dx \\
&\leq 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{|\log T| \pi \cosh x}{(1 + 0)^{2\mu}} e^{-\pi \mu \sinh(x) \cos d} dx \\
&= \frac{2}{\mu \cos d} |\log T|.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term of the integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos d} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d}) \pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^\mu (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^\mu} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos d} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d + \log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})\} \pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^{2\mu} e^{-\pi \mu \sinh(x) \cos d}} dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos d} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d}) \pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \mu \sinh(x) \cos d})^{2\mu} e^{\pi \mu \sinh(x) \cos d}} dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos d} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d + \log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh(0) \cos d})\} \pi \cosh x}{(1 + 0)^{2\mu} e^{-\pi \mu \sinh(x) \cos d}} dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos d} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(0) \cos d}) \pi \cosh x}{(1 + 0)^{2\mu} e^{\pi \mu \sinh(x) \cos d}} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\mu \cos((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos^2 d} \left(\log 2 + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) + \frac{\log 2}{\mu \cos((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos^2 d}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the conclusion. \square

Next, we estimate the truncation error. For this purpose, we use the following result.

Proposition 4.10. *Let α and β be positive constants. Let G_- , G_+ , \tilde{G}_- , and \tilde{G}_+ be defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} G_-(x) &= -\sinh(x) \cosh(x) e^{\pi\alpha \sinh x}, \\ G_+(x) &= \sinh(x) \cosh(x) e^{-\pi\beta \sinh x}, \\ \tilde{G}_-(x) &= \cosh(x) e^{\pi\alpha \sinh x}, \\ \tilde{G}_+(x) &= \cosh(x) e^{-\pi\beta \sinh x}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, G_- and \tilde{G}_- monotonically increase for $x \leq -\operatorname{arsinh}(2/(\pi\alpha))$, and G_+ and \tilde{G}_+ monotonically decrease for $x \geq \operatorname{arsinh}(2/(\pi\beta))$.

Proof. From $x \leq -\operatorname{arsinh}(2/(\pi\alpha))$, $\pi\alpha \sinh x \leq -2$ holds, from which we have

$$1 + \pi\alpha \sinh x \leq -1 < -\frac{\sinh^2 x}{\cosh^2 x}.$$

From the inequality, $\sinh^2 x + (1 + \pi\alpha \sinh x) \cosh^2 x < 0$ holds, from which we have

$$G'_-(x) = -\{\sinh^2 x + (1 + \pi\alpha \sinh x) \cosh^2 x\} e^{\pi\alpha \sinh x} > 0.$$

Thus, the claim on the function G_- follows. Similarly, from $x \geq \operatorname{arsinh}(2/(\pi\beta))$, $\pi\beta \sinh x \geq 2$ holds, from which we have

$$1 - \pi\beta \sinh x \leq -1 < -\frac{\sinh^2 x}{\cosh^2 x}.$$

From the inequality, $\sinh^2 x + (1 - \pi\beta \sinh x) \cosh^2 x < 0$ holds, from which we have

$$G'_+(x) = \{\sinh^2 x + (1 - \pi\beta \sinh x) \cosh^2 x\} e^{-\pi\beta \sinh x} < 0.$$

Thus, the claim on the function G_+ follows.

Next, we consider \tilde{G}_- and \tilde{G}_+ . If $\alpha \geq 1/(2\pi)$ and $\beta \geq 1/(2\pi)$, then \tilde{G}_- monotonically increases for $x \leq 0$ and \tilde{G}_+ monotonically decreases for $x \geq 0$. Therefore, in this case, it is also true that \tilde{G}_- monotonically increases for $x \leq -\operatorname{arsinh}(2/(\pi\alpha))$ and \tilde{G}_+ monotonically decreases for $x \geq \operatorname{arsinh}(2/(\pi\beta))$. If $\alpha < 1/(2\pi)$ and $\beta < 1/(2\pi)$, then \tilde{G}_- monotonically increases for $x \leq -x_\alpha$ and \tilde{G}_+ monotonically decreases for $x \geq x_\beta$, where x_γ is defined for $\gamma \in (0, 1/(2\pi))$ by

$$x_\gamma = \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - (2\pi\gamma)^2}}{2\pi\gamma} \right).$$

Note that

$$x_\gamma \leq \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 0^2}}{2\pi\gamma} \right) = \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{1}{\pi\gamma} \right) < \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{2}{\pi\gamma} \right).$$

Therefore, in this case as well, \tilde{G}_- monotonically increases for $x \leq -\operatorname{arsinh}(2/(\pi\alpha))$ and \tilde{G}_+ monotonically decreases for $x \geq \operatorname{arsinh}(2/(\pi\beta))$. Thus, the claim on the functions \tilde{G}_- and \tilde{G}_+ follows. \square

We bound the truncation error as follows.

Lemma 4.11. *Let K, α, β be positive constants. Assume that f satisfies (2.2) for all $z \in (0, T)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let n be positive integer, and let M and N be selected by (2.6). Let $Mh \geq \operatorname{arsinh}(2/(\pi\alpha))$ and $Nh \geq \operatorname{arsinh}(2/(\pi\beta))$ be satisfied. Then, putting $F(x) = f(\phi_1(x))\phi_1'(x)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} F(kh) + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} F(kh) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\mu} \left\{ 2|\log T| + 2\log 2 + \frac{1}{\mu} + \pi \sinh(Mh) \right\} e^{-\pi\mu q(2dn/\mu)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $q(x) = x/\operatorname{arsinh} x$.

Proof. From (2.2), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x)| & \leq \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}\pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh x})^\alpha (1 + e^{\pi \sinh x})^\beta} \left| \log \left(\frac{T}{1 + e^{-\pi \sinh x}} \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}\pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh x})^\alpha (1 + e^{\pi \sinh x})^\beta} \{ |\log T| + \log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh x}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} F(kh) + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} F(kh) \right| \\ & \leq h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}\pi \cosh(kh)}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(kh)})^\alpha (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(kh)})^\beta} \{ |\log T| + \log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(kh)}) \} \\ & \quad + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}\pi \cosh(kh)}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(kh)})^\alpha (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(kh)})^\beta} \{ |\log T| + \log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(kh)}) \} \\ & = h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}\pi \cosh(kh) \{ |\log T| + (-\pi \sinh(kh)) + \log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh(kh)}) \}}{(1 + e^{\pi \sinh(kh)})^{\alpha+\beta} e^{-\pi\alpha \sinh(kh)}} \\ & \quad + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}\pi \cosh(kh) \{ |\log T| + \log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(kh)}) \}}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(kh)})^{\alpha+\beta} e^{\pi\beta \sinh(kh)}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} \pi \cosh(kh) \{|\log T| + (-\pi \sinh(kh)) + \log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh(0)})\}}{(1+0)^{\alpha+\beta} e^{-\pi \alpha \sinh(kh)}} \\ &\quad + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} \pi \cosh(kh) \{|\log T| + \log(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(0)})\}}{(1+0)^{\alpha+\beta} e^{\pi \beta \sinh(kh)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 4.10, for $Mh \geq \operatorname{arsinh}(2/(\pi\alpha))$, we bound the first sum as

$$\begin{aligned} &h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} \pi \cosh(kh) \{|\log T| + (-\pi \sinh(kh)) + \log 2\} e^{\pi \alpha \sinh(kh)} \\ &\leq KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} \int_{-\infty}^{-Mh} \pi \cosh(x) \{|\log T| + (-\pi \sinh x) + \log 2\} e^{\pi \alpha \sinh x} dx \\ &= \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\alpha} \left(|\log T| + \pi \sinh(Mh) + \log 2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) e^{-\pi \alpha \sinh(Mh)} \\ &\leq \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\mu} \left(|\log T| + \pi \sinh(Mh) + \log 2 + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) e^{-\pi \alpha \sinh(Mh)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is used at the last inequality. Similarly, for $Nh \geq \operatorname{arsinh}(1/(2\beta))$, we bound the second term as

$$\begin{aligned} &h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} \pi \cosh(kh) (|\log T| + \log 2) e^{-\pi \beta \sinh(kh)} \\ &\leq KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} (|\log T| + \log 2) \int_{Nh}^{\infty} \pi \cosh x e^{-\pi \beta \sinh x} dx \\ &= KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} (|\log T| + \log 2) \cdot \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-\pi \beta \sinh(Nh)} \\ &\leq KT^{\alpha+\beta-1} (|\log T| + \log 2) \cdot \frac{1}{\mu} e^{-\pi \beta \sinh(Nh)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using (2.6), we have $e^{-\pi \alpha \sinh(Mh)} \leq e^{-\pi \mu q(2dn/\mu)}$ (because $\alpha \sinh(Mh) \geq \mu q(2dn/\mu)$) and $e^{-\pi \beta \sinh(Nh)} \leq e^{-\pi \mu q(2dn/\mu)}$ (because $\beta \sinh(Nh) \geq \mu q(2dn/\mu)$), from which we obtain the conclusion. \square

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.4. Note that if $h \leq \pi d$, then with h selected by (2.5), it holds that

$$Mh \geq \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha} q \left(\frac{2dn}{\mu} \right) \right) = \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{2d}{\alpha h} \right) \geq \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{2}{\pi \alpha} \right). \quad (4.6)$$

Similarly, it holds that

$$Nh \geq \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{\mu}{\beta} q \left(\frac{2dn}{\mu} \right) \right) = \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{2d}{\beta h} \right) \geq \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{2}{\pi \beta} \right). \quad (4.7)$$

Furthermore, if $n \geq \mu \sinh(1)/(2d)$, i.e., if $\operatorname{arsinh}(2dn/\mu) \geq 1$, then with h selected by (2.5), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
M &= \left\lceil \frac{1}{h} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{2dn/\alpha}{\operatorname{arsinh}(2dn/\mu)} \right) \right\rceil \\
&= \left\lceil \frac{n}{\operatorname{arsinh}(2dn/\mu)} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{2dn/\alpha}{\operatorname{arsinh}(2dn/\mu)} \right) \right\rceil \\
&\leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{\operatorname{arsinh}(2dn/\mu)} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{2dn/\alpha}{1} \right) \right\rceil \\
&\leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{\operatorname{arsinh}(2dn/\mu)} \operatorname{arsinh}(2dn/\mu) \right\rceil \\
&= n,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

from which the truncation error in Lemma 4.11 is further bounded as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\mu} \left\{ 2|\log T| + 2\log 2 + \frac{1}{\mu} + \pi \sinh(Mh) \right\} e^{-\pi\mu q(2dn/\mu)} \\
&\leq \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\mu} \left\{ 2|\log T| + 2\log 2 + \frac{1}{\mu} + \pi \sinh(nh) \right\} e^{-\pi\mu q(2dn/\mu)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from Lemmas 4.9 and 4.11, substituting (2.5) into h , we have

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x)dx - h \sum_{k=-M}^N F(kh) \right| \leq C(n)n e^{-\pi\mu q(2dn/\mu)},$$

where

$$C(n) = \frac{KT^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\mu} \left[\frac{c_d^{\alpha+\beta} (4|\log T| \cos d + 2l_\mu c_d)}{n(1 - e^{-\pi\mu q(2dn/\mu)}) \cos^2 d} + \frac{2|\log T| + l_\mu}{n} + \frac{2\pi d}{\mu} \right],$$

where $c_d = 1/\cos((\pi/2) \sin d)$ and $l_\mu = \log 2 + (1/\mu)$. Furthermore, $C(n) \leq C(1)$ holds, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.4.

4.2 In the case of the semi-infinite interval

Here, we prove theorems in the case of the semi-infinite interval, i.e., Theorems 2.5–2.8. The strategy to analyze the error is the same as above, i.e., we estimate both the discretization and the truncation errors.

4.2.1 Proof of Theorem 2.5

For Theorem 2.5, we bound the discretization error as follows.

Lemma 4.12. *Let K, α, β and d be positive constants with $d < \pi/2$. Assume that f is analytic on $\psi_2(\mathcal{D}_d)$, and satisfies (2.7) for all $z \in \psi_2(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Then, putting $F(x) = f(\psi_2(x))\psi_2'(x)$, we have (4.2), where*

$$\mathcal{N}(F, d) \leq \frac{4K}{\mu \cos^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}(d)} \left(d + \frac{1}{\mu} \right).$$

Proof. It suffices to show that $F \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Because $f(\psi_2(\cdot))$ is analytic on \mathcal{D}_d and ψ_2' is analytic on the whole complex plane, F is analytic on \mathcal{D}_d . Next, we show (4.1). From (2.7) and Lemma 4.2, it holds for $\zeta = x + iy \in \mathcal{D}_d$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(\zeta)| &\leq K \frac{|\zeta|}{|1 + e^{-2\zeta}|^{\alpha/2} |1 + e^{2\zeta}|^{\beta/2}} \\ &\leq K \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}{(1 + e^{-2x})^{\alpha/2} \cos^{\alpha/2}(y) (1 + e^{2x})^{\beta/2} \cos^{\beta/2}(y)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Using this inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-d}^d |F(x + iy)| dy &\leq \frac{K|x|}{(1 + e^{-2x})^{\alpha/2} (1 + e^{2x})^{\beta/2}} \int_{-d}^d \frac{\sqrt{1 + (y/x)^2}}{\cos^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}(y)} dy \\ &\rightarrow 0 \cdot \int_{-d}^d \frac{\sqrt{1 + (0)^2}}{\cos^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}(y)} dy \quad (x \rightarrow \pm\infty), \end{aligned}$$

which shows (4.1). Finally, we estimate $\mathcal{N}(F, d)$. Using (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{|F(x + iy)| + |F(x - iy)|\} dx \\ &\leq \frac{K}{\cos^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}(y)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}{(1 + e^{-2x})^{\alpha/2} (1 + e^{2x})^{\beta/2}} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{K}{\cos^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}(-y)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + (-y)^2}}{(1 + e^{-2x})^{\alpha/2} (1 + e^{2x})^{\beta/2}} dx \\ &\rightarrow \frac{2K}{\cos^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}(d)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + d^2}}{(1 + e^{-2x})^{\alpha/2} (1 + e^{2x})^{\beta/2}} dx \quad (y \rightarrow d - 0). \end{aligned}$$

Using $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, we bound the integral as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + d^2}}{(1 + e^{-2x})^{\alpha/2} (1 + e^{2x})^{\beta/2}} dx &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + d^2}}{(1 + e^{-2x})^{\mu/2} (1 + e^{2x})^{\mu/2}} dx \\ &= 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + d^2}}{(1 + e^{-2x})^{\mu}} e^{-\mu x} dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + d^2}}{(1 + 0)^{\mu}} e^{-\mu x} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \int_0^\infty \sqrt{x^2 + d^2} e^{-\mu x} dx &= 2 \int_0^\infty \sqrt{x^2 + d^2} \left(\frac{e^{-\mu x}}{-\mu} \right)' dx \\
&= \left[2\sqrt{x^2 + d^2} \left(\frac{e^{-\mu x}}{-\mu} \right) \right]_{x=0}^{x=\infty} + \frac{2}{\mu} \int_0^\infty \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + d^2}} e^{-\mu x} dx \\
&\leq \frac{2d}{\mu} + \frac{2}{\mu} \int_0^\infty \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + 0}} e^{-\mu x} dx \\
&= \frac{2d}{\mu} + \frac{2}{\mu^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the conclusion. \square

Next, we bound the truncation error as follows.

Lemma 4.13. *Let K, α, β be positive constants. Assume that f satisfies (2.7) for all $z \in (0, \infty)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let n be positive integer, and let M and N be selected by (2.4). Let $Mh \geq 1/\alpha$ and $Nh \geq 1/\beta$ be satisfied. Then, putting $F(x) = f(\psi_2(x))\psi_2'(x)$, we have*

$$\left| h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} F(kh) + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} F(kh) \right| \leq \frac{2K}{\mu} \left(nh + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) e^{-\mu nh}.$$

Proof. From (2.7), it holds that

$$|F(x)| \leq K \frac{|e^x|^{\alpha-1}}{|1 + e^{2x}|^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}} |\log e^x| |e^x| = K \frac{|x|}{(1 + e^{-2x})^{\alpha/2} (1 + e^{2x})^{\beta/2}},$$

which is also obtained by substituting $y = 0$ into (4.9). Using this inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} F(kh) + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} F(kh) \right| \\
&\leq h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{K|kh|}{(1 + e^{-2kh})^{\alpha/2} (1 + e^{2kh})^{\beta/2}} + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{K|kh|}{(1 + e^{-2kh})^{\alpha/2} (1 + e^{2kh})^{\beta/2}} \\
&= h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{K(-kh) e^{\alpha kh}}{(1 + e^{2kh})^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}} + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{Kkh e^{-\beta kh}}{(1 + e^{-2kh})^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}} \\
&\leq h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{K(-kh) e^{\alpha kh}}{(1 + 0)^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}} + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{Kkh e^{-\beta kh}}{(1 + 0)^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 4.5, for $Mh \geq 1/\alpha$ and $Nh \geq 1/\beta$, we further bound the sums as

$$\begin{aligned}
& h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} K(-kh) e^{\alpha kh} + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} Kkh e^{-\beta kh} \\
& \leq \int_{-\infty}^{-Mh} K(-x) e^{\alpha x} dx + \int_{Nh}^{\infty} Kx e^{-\beta x} dx \\
& = \frac{K}{\alpha} \left(Mh + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) e^{-\alpha Mh} + \frac{K}{\beta} \left(Nh + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) e^{-\beta Nh} \\
& \leq \frac{K}{\mu} \left(Mh + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) e^{-\alpha Mh} + \frac{K}{\mu} \left(Nh + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) e^{-\beta Nh}.
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is used at the last inequality. Finally, using (2.4), we have $Mh \leq nh$ (because $M \leq n$), $Nh \leq nh$ (because $N \leq n$), $e^{-\alpha Mh} \leq e^{-\mu nh}$ (because $\alpha M \geq \mu n$) and $e^{-\beta Nh} \leq e^{-\mu nh}$ (because $\beta N \geq \mu n$), from which we obtain the conclusion. \square

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.5. Note that if $n \geq 1/(2\pi d\mu)$, then with h selected by (2.3), the inequality (4.4) holds, and it also holds that

$$Nh = \left\lceil \frac{\mu}{\beta} n \right\rceil \sqrt{\frac{2\pi d}{\mu n}} \geq \frac{\mu}{\beta} n \sqrt{\frac{2\pi d}{\mu n}} = \frac{1}{\beta} \sqrt{2\pi d \mu n} \geq \frac{1}{\beta}. \quad (4.10)$$

Therefore, from Lemmas 4.12 and 4.13, substituting (2.3) into h , we have

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) dx - h \sum_{k=-M}^N F(kh) \right| \leq C(n) \sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{2\pi d \mu n}},$$

where

$$C(n) = \frac{2K}{\mu^2} \left[\frac{2(\mu d + 1)}{\sqrt{n}(1 - e^{-\sqrt{2\pi d \mu n}}) \cos^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}(d)} + \sqrt{2\pi d \mu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right].$$

Furthermore, $C(n) \leq C(1)$ holds, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.5.

4.2.2 Proof of Theorem 2.6

For Theorem 2.6, we estimate the discretization error as follows.

Lemma 4.14. *Let K , α , β and d be positive constants with $d < \pi/2$. Assume that f is analytic on $\phi_2(\mathcal{D}_d)$, and satisfies (2.7) for all $z \in \phi_2(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Then, putting $F(x) = f(\phi_2(x))\phi_2'(x)$, we have (4.2), where*

$$\mathcal{N}(F, d) \leq \frac{2K}{\mu \cos^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos d} \left(\pi + \frac{2}{\mu \cos d} \right).$$

Proof. It suffices to show that $F \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Because $f(\phi_2(\cdot))$ is analytic on \mathcal{D}_d and ϕ_2' is analytic on the whole complex plane, F is analytic on \mathcal{D}_d . Next, we show (4.1). From (2.7) and Lemma 4.7, it holds for $\zeta = x + iy \in \mathcal{D}_d$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(\zeta)| &\leq K \frac{|(\pi/2) \sinh \zeta| |(\pi/2) \cosh \zeta|}{|1 + e^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}|^{\alpha/2} |1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta}|^{\beta/2}} \\
&\leq K \frac{(\pi/2)^2 \cosh^2 x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^{\alpha/2} (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^{\beta/2} \cos^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}((\pi/2) \sin y)} \\
&\leq K \frac{(\pi/2)^2 \cosh^2 x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^{\mu/2} (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^{\mu/2} \cos^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}((\pi/2) \sin y)},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is used at the last inequality. Using this inequality (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-d}^d |F(x + iy)| dy \\
&\leq \int_{-d}^d \frac{K(\pi/2)^2 \cosh^2 x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^{\mu/2} (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^{\mu/2} \cos^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}((\pi/2) \sin y)} dy \\
&\leq \frac{K(\pi/2)^2 \cosh^2 x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^{\mu/2} (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^{\mu/2} \cos^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}((\pi/2) \sin d)} \int_{-d}^d dy \\
&\rightarrow 0 \cdot 2d \quad (x \rightarrow \pm\infty),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows (4.1). Finally, we estimate $\mathcal{N}(F, d)$. Using (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{|F(x + iy)| + |F(x - iy)|\} dx \\
&\leq \frac{K}{\cos^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}((\pi/2) \sin y)} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(\pi/2)^2 \cosh^2 x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^{\mu/2} (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^{\mu/2}} dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{K(\pi/2)^2 \cosh^2 x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos(-y)})^{\mu/2} (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos(-y)})^{\mu/2}} dx \right\} \\
&\rightarrow \frac{2K}{\cos^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}((\pi/2) \sin d)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(\pi/2)^2 \cosh^2 x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^{\mu/2} (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^{\mu/2}} dx \\
&\quad (y \rightarrow d - 0).
\end{aligned}$$

We bound the integral as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(\pi/2)^2 \cosh^2 x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^{\mu/2} (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^{\mu/2}} dx \\
&= 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(\pi/2)^2 \cosh^2 x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^{\mu}} e^{-(\pi/2)\mu \sinh(x) \cos d} dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{(\pi/2)^2 \cosh^2 x}{(1+0)^\mu} e^{-(\pi/2)\mu \sinh(x) \cos d} dx.$$

Furthermore, using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \cosh x\right)^2 e^{-(\pi/2)\mu \sinh(x) \cos d} dx \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \frac{\pi \cosh x}{\mu \cos d} \left(e^{-(\pi/2)\mu \sinh(x) \cos d}\right)' dx \\ &= \left[-\frac{\pi \cosh x}{\mu \cos d} \left(e^{-(\pi/2)\mu \sinh(x) \cos d}\right)\right]_{x=0}^{x=\infty} + \int_0^\infty \frac{\pi \sinh x}{\mu \cos d} e^{-(\pi/2)\mu \sinh(x) \cos d} dx \\ &\leq \frac{\pi}{\mu \cos d} + \int_0^\infty \frac{\pi \cosh x}{\mu \cos d} e^{-(\pi/2)\mu \sinh(x) \cos d} dx \\ &= \frac{\pi}{\mu \cos d} + \frac{2}{\mu^2 \cos^2 d}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the conclusion. \square

Next, we bound the truncation error as follows.

Lemma 4.15. *Let K, α, β be positive constants. Assume that f satisfies (2.7) for all $z \in (0, \infty)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let n be positive integer, and let M and N be selected by (2.9). Let $Mh \geq \operatorname{arsinh}(4/(\pi\alpha))$ and $Nh \geq \operatorname{arsinh}(4/(\pi\beta))$ be satisfied. Then, putting $F(x) = f(\phi_2(x))\phi_2'(x)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} F(kh) + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} F(kh) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{K}{2\mu} \left(\pi \sinh(Mh) + \pi \sinh(Nh) + \frac{4}{\mu} \right) e^{-(\pi/2)\mu q(4dn/\mu)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $q(x) = x/\operatorname{arsinh} x$.

Proof. From (2.7), it holds that

$$|F(x)| \leq K \frac{(\pi/2)|\sinh x|(\pi/2) \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh x})^{\alpha/2} (1 + e^{\pi \sinh x})^{\beta/2}}.$$

Using this inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} F(kh) + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} F(kh) \right| \\ & \leq h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{K(\pi/2)|\sinh(kh)|(\pi/2) \cosh(kh)}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(kh)})^{\alpha/2} (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(kh)})^{\beta/2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{K(\pi/2) |\sinh(kh)| (\pi/2) \cosh(kh)}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(kh)})^{\alpha/2} (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(kh)})^{\beta/2}} \\
& = -h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{K(\pi/2)^2 \sinh(kh) \cosh(kh)}{(1 + e^{\pi \sinh(kh)})^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}} e^{(\pi/2)\alpha \sinh(kh)} \\
& \quad + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{K(\pi/2)^2 \sinh(kh) \cosh(kh)}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(kh)})^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}} e^{(\pi/2)\beta \sinh(kh)} \\
& = -h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{K(\pi/2)^2 \sinh(kh) \cosh(kh)}{(1+0)^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}} e^{(\pi/2)\alpha \sinh(kh)} \\
& \quad + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{K(\pi/2)^2 \sinh(kh) \cosh(kh)}{(1+0)^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}} e^{(\pi/2)\beta \sinh(kh)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 4.10, for $Mh \geq \operatorname{arsinh}(4/(\pi\alpha))$ and $Nh \geq \operatorname{arsinh}(4/(\pi\beta))$, we further bound the sums as

$$\begin{aligned}
& -h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} K\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 \sinh(kh) \cosh(kh) e^{(\pi/2)\alpha \sinh(kh)} \\
& \quad + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} K\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 \sinh(kh) \cosh(kh) e^{(\pi/2)\beta \sinh(kh)} \\
& \leq - \int_{-\infty}^{-M-1} K\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 \sinh(x) \cosh(x) e^{(\pi/2)\alpha \sinh x} \\
& \quad + \int_{Nh}^{\infty} K\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 \sinh(x) \cosh(x) e^{(\pi/2)\beta \sinh x} \\
& = \frac{K}{2\alpha} \left(\pi \sinh(Mh) + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right) e^{-(\pi/2)\alpha \sinh(Mh)} + \frac{K}{2\beta} \left(\pi \sinh(Nh) + \frac{2}{\beta} \right) e^{-(\pi/2)\beta \sinh(Nh)} \\
& \leq \frac{K}{2\mu} \left(\pi \sinh(Mh) + \frac{2}{\mu} \right) e^{-(\pi/2)\alpha \sinh(Mh)} + \frac{K}{2\mu} \left(\pi \sinh(Nh) + \frac{2}{\mu} \right) e^{-(\pi/2)\beta \sinh(Nh)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is used at the last inequality. Finally, using (2.9), we have $e^{-(\pi/2)\alpha \sinh(Mh)} \leq e^{-(\pi/2)\mu q(4dn/\mu)}$ (because $\alpha \sinh(Mh) \geq \mu q(4dn/\mu)$) and $e^{-(\pi/2)\beta \sinh(Nh)} \leq e^{-(\pi/2)\mu q(4dn/\mu)}$ (because $\beta \sinh(Nh) \geq \mu q(4dn/\mu)$), from which we obtain the conclusion. \square

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.6. Note that if $h \leq \pi d$, then with h selected by (2.8), it holds that

$$Mh \geq \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha} q \left(\frac{4dn}{\mu} \right) \right) = \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{4d}{\alpha h} \right) \geq \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{4}{\pi\alpha} \right).$$

Similarly, it holds that

$$Nh \geq \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{\mu}{\beta} q \left(\frac{4dn}{\mu} \right) \right) = \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{4d}{\beta h} \right) \geq \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{4}{\pi\beta} \right).$$

Furthermore, if $n \geq \mu \sinh(1)/(4d)$, i.e., if $\operatorname{arsinh}(4dn/\mu) \geq 1$, then with h selected by (2.8), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \left\lceil \frac{1}{h} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{4dn/\alpha}{\operatorname{arsinh}(4dn/\mu)} \right) \right\rceil \\ &= \left\lceil \frac{n}{\operatorname{arsinh}(4dn/\mu)} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{4dn/\alpha}{\operatorname{arsinh}(4dn/\mu)} \right) \right\rceil \\ &\leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{\operatorname{arsinh}(4dn/\mu)} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{4dn/\alpha}{1} \right) \right\rceil \\ &\leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{\operatorname{arsinh}(4dn/\mu)} \operatorname{arsinh}(4dn/\mu) \right\rceil \\ &= n, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \left\lceil \frac{1}{h} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{4dn/\beta}{\operatorname{arsinh}(4dn/\mu)} \right) \right\rceil \\ &= \left\lceil \frac{n}{\operatorname{arsinh}(4dn/\mu)} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{4dn/\beta}{\operatorname{arsinh}(4dn/\mu)} \right) \right\rceil \\ &\leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{\operatorname{arsinh}(4dn/\mu)} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{4dn/\beta}{1} \right) \right\rceil \\ &\leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{\operatorname{arsinh}(4dn/\mu)} \operatorname{arsinh}(4dn/\mu) \right\rceil \\ &= n. \end{aligned}$$

From the inequalities, the truncation error in Lemma 4.15 is further bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{K}{2\mu} \left(\pi \sinh(Mh) + \pi \sinh(Nh) + \frac{4}{\mu} \right) e^{-(\pi/2)\mu q(4dn/\mu)} \\ &\leq \frac{K}{2\mu} \left(\pi \sinh(nh) + \pi \sinh(nh) + \frac{4}{\mu} \right) e^{-(\pi/2)\mu q(4dn/\mu)} \\ &= \frac{K}{\mu} \left(\pi \sinh(nh) + \frac{2}{\mu} \right) e^{-(\pi/2)\mu q(4dn/\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from Lemmas 4.14 and 4.15, substituting (2.8) into h , we have

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) dx - h \sum_{k=-M}^N F(kh) \right| \leq C(n) n e^{-(\pi/2)\mu q(4dn/\mu)},$$

where

$$C(n) = \frac{2K}{\mu^2} \left[\frac{2 + \pi\mu \cos d}{n(1 - e^{-(\pi/2)\mu q(4dn/\mu)}) \cos^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos^2 d} + 2\pi d + \frac{1}{n} \right].$$

Furthermore, $C(n) \leq C(1)$ holds, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.6.

4.2.3 Proof of Theorem 2.7

For Theorem 2.7, the following bounds are required.

Lemma 4.16 (Okayama and Machida [8, Lemma 7]). *Let d be a positive constant with $d < \pi$. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d} \left| \frac{1 + \log(1 + e^\zeta)}{\log(1 + e^\zeta)} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\zeta}} \right| &\leq \tilde{L}_d, \\ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1 + \log(1 + e^x)}{\log(1 + e^x)} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \right| &\leq e^{\pi/12}, \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{L}_d is a constant defined by (2.11).

Lemma 4.17 (Okayama et al. [11, Lemma 5.3]). *Let d be a positive constant with $d < \pi$. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d} \left| \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-\zeta}) \log(1 + e^\zeta)} \right| &\leq \frac{1 + \tilde{c}_d}{\log(2 + \tilde{c}_d)}, \\ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-x}) \log(1 + e^x)} &\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{c}_d = 1/\cos(d/2)$.

Lemma 4.18. *Let d be a positive constant with $d < \pi$. For all real numbers x and y with $|y| \leq d$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |\log(\log(1 + e^{x+iy}))| &\leq \frac{1 + \tilde{c}_d}{\log(2 + \tilde{c}_d)} \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - \log(\log 2), \\ |\log(\log(1 + e^x))| &\leq |x| - \log(\log 2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{c}_d = 1/\cos(d/2)$.

Proof. From $\{\log(\log(1 + e^\zeta))\}' = 1/\{(1 + e^{-\zeta}) \log(1 + e^\zeta)\}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{x+iy} \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-\zeta}) \log(1 + e^\zeta)} d\zeta &= [\log(\log(1 + e^\zeta))]_{\zeta=0}^{\zeta=x+iy} \\ &= \log(\log(1 + e^{x+iy})) - \log(\log 2). \end{aligned}$$

Using this equality and Lemma 4.17, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\log(\log(1 + e^{x+iy}))| &= \left| \log(\log 2) + \int_0^{x+iy} \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-\zeta}) \log(1 + e^\zeta)} d\zeta \right| \\ &\leq |\log(\log 2)| + \int_0^{x+iy} \left| \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-\zeta}) \log(1 + e^\zeta)} \right| |d\zeta| \\ &\leq |\log(\log 2)| + \int_0^{x+iy} \frac{1 + \tilde{c}_d}{\log(2 + \tilde{c}_d)} |d\zeta| \\ &= -\log(\log 2) + \frac{1 + \tilde{c}_d}{\log(2 + \tilde{c}_d)} \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the first inequality. In the same manner, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\log(\log(1 + e^{x+iy}))| &= \left| \log(\log 2) + \int_0^x \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-t}) \log(1 + e^t)} dt \right| \\ &\leq -\log(\log 2) + \int_0^x \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-t}) \log(1 + e^t)} |dt| \\ &\leq -\log(\log 2) + \int_0^x 1 |dt| \\ &= -\log(\log 2) + |x|, \end{aligned}$$

which is the second inequality. □

Using these bounds, we bound the discretization error as follows.

Lemma 4.19. *Let K , α , β and d be positive constants with $\alpha \leq 1$ and $d < \pi$. Assume that f is analytic on $\psi_3(\mathcal{D}_d)$, and satisfies (2.10) for all $z \in \psi_3(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Then, putting $F(x) = f(\psi_3(x))\psi_3'(x)$, we have (4.2), where*

$$\mathcal{N}(F, d) \leq \frac{4K\tilde{L}_d^{1-\alpha}}{\mu \cos^{\alpha+\beta}(d/2)} \left\{ \left(d + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \frac{1 + \tilde{c}_d}{\log(2 + \tilde{c}_d)} - \log(\log 2) \right\},$$

where $\tilde{c}_d = 1/\cos(d/2)$ and \tilde{L}_d is a constant defined by (2.11).

Proof. It suffices to show that $F \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Because $f(\psi_3(\cdot))$ is analytic on \mathcal{D}_d and ψ_3' is analytic on \mathcal{D}_π , F is analytic on \mathcal{D}_d . Next, we show (4.1). From (2.10) and

Lemmas 4.16, 4.2 and 4.18, it holds for $\zeta = x + iy \in \mathcal{D}_d$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(\zeta)| &\leq K \left| \frac{1 + \log(1 + e^\zeta)}{\log(1 + e^\zeta)} \right|^{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{|1 + e^\zeta|^\beta} |\log(\log(1 + e^\zeta))| \frac{1}{|1 + e^{-\zeta}|} \\
&\leq K \left\{ \tilde{L}_d |1 + e^{-\zeta}| \right\}^{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{|1 + e^\zeta|^\beta} |\log(\log(1 + e^\zeta))| \frac{1}{|1 + e^{-\zeta}|} \\
&= \frac{K \tilde{L}_d^{1-\alpha}}{|1 + e^{-\zeta}|^\alpha |1 + e^\zeta|^\beta} |\log(\log(1 + e^\zeta))| \\
&\leq \frac{K \tilde{L}_d^{1-\alpha}}{(1 + e^{-x})^\alpha (1 + e^x)^\beta \cos^{\alpha+\beta}(y/2)} \left(\tilde{\lambda}_d \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - \log(\log 2) \right), \quad (4.12)
\end{aligned}$$

where we put $\tilde{\lambda}_d = (1 + \tilde{c}_d)/\log(2 + \tilde{c}_d)$. Using this inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-d}^d |F(x + iy)| dy \\
&\leq \frac{K \tilde{L}_d^{1-\alpha} |x|}{(1 + e^{-x})^\alpha (1 + e^x)^\beta} \int_{-d}^d \frac{1}{\cos^{\alpha+\beta}(y/2)} \left(\tilde{\lambda}_d \sqrt{1 + \frac{y^2}{x^2}} + \frac{-\log(\log 2)}{|x|} \right) dy \\
&\rightarrow 0 \cdot \int_{-d}^d \frac{1}{\cos^{\alpha+\beta}(y/2)} \left(\tilde{\lambda}_d \sqrt{1 + 0^2} + 0 \right) dy \quad (x \rightarrow \pm\infty),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows (4.1). Finally, we estimate $\mathcal{N}(F, d)$. Using (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{|F(x + iy)| + |F(x - iy)|\} dx \\
&\leq \frac{K \tilde{L}_d^{1-\alpha}}{\cos^{\alpha+\beta}(y/2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_d \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - \log(\log 2)}{(1 + e^{-x})^\alpha (1 + e^x)^\beta} dx \\
&\quad + \frac{K \tilde{L}_d^{1-\alpha}}{\cos^{\alpha+\beta}(-y/2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_d \sqrt{x^2 + (-y)^2} - \log(\log 2)}{(1 + e^{-x})^\alpha (1 + e^x)^\beta} dx \\
&\rightarrow \frac{2K \tilde{L}_d^{1-\alpha}}{\cos^{\alpha+\beta}(d/2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_d \sqrt{x^2 + d^2} - \log(\log 2)}{(1 + e^{-x})^\alpha (1 + e^x)^\beta} dx \quad (y \rightarrow d - 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Using $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, we bound the integral as

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_d \sqrt{x^2 + d^2} - \log(\log 2)}{(1 + e^{-x})^\alpha (1 + e^x)^\beta} dx &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_d \sqrt{x^2 + d^2} - \log(\log 2)}{(1 + e^{-x})^\mu (1 + e^x)^\mu} dx \\
&= 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_d \sqrt{x^2 + d^2} - \log(\log 2)}{(1 + e^{-x})^{2\mu}} e^{-\mu x} dx \\
&\leq 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_d \sqrt{x^2 + d^2} - \log(\log 2)}{(1 + 0)^{2\mu}} e^{-\mu x} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_0^\infty \left(\tilde{\lambda}_d \sqrt{x^2 + d^2} - \log(\log 2) \right) e^{-\mu x} dx \\
&= 2 \int_0^\infty \left(\tilde{\lambda}_d \sqrt{x^2 + d^2} - \log(\log 2) \right) \left(\frac{e^{-\mu x}}{-\mu} \right)' dx \\
&= 2 \left[\left(\tilde{\lambda}_d \sqrt{x^2 + d^2} - \log(\log 2) \right) \cdot \frac{e^{-\mu x}}{-\mu} \right]_{x=0}^{x=\infty} + \frac{2\tilde{\lambda}_d}{\mu} \int_0^\infty \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + d^2}} e^{-\mu x} dx \\
&\leq \frac{2 \left(\tilde{\lambda}_d d - \log(\log 2) \right)}{\mu} + \frac{2\tilde{\lambda}_d}{\mu} \int_0^\infty \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + 0}} e^{-\mu x} dx \\
&= \frac{2 \left(\tilde{\lambda}_d d - \log(\log 2) \right)}{\mu} + \frac{2\tilde{\lambda}_d}{\mu^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the conclusion. \square

Next, we bound the truncation error as follows.

Lemma 4.20. *Let K, α, β be positive constants with $\alpha \leq 1$. Assume that f satisfies (2.10) for all $z \in (0, \infty)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let n be positive integer, and let M and N be selected by (2.4). Let $Mh \geq 1/\alpha$ and $Nh \geq 1/\beta$ be satisfied. Then, putting $F(x) = f(\psi_3(x))\psi_3'(x)$, we have*

$$\left| h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} F(kh) + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} F(kh) \right| \leq \frac{2K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12}}{\mu} \left(nh - \log(\log 2) + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) e^{-\mu nh}.$$

Proof. From (2.10) and Lemmas 4.16 and 4.18, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(x)| &\leq K \left| \frac{\log(1 + e^x)}{1 + \log(1 + e^x)} \right|^{\alpha-1} \left| e^{-\log(1+e^x)} \right|^\beta |\log(\log(1 + e^x))| \left| \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \right| \\
&= K \left\{ \frac{1 + \log(1 + e^x)}{\log(1 + e^x)} \right\}^{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{(1 + e^x)^\beta} |\log(\log(1 + e^x))| \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \\
&\leq K \left\{ e^{\pi/12} (1 + e^{-x}) \right\}^{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{(1 + e^x)^\beta} \{|x| - \log(\log 2)\} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \\
&= \frac{K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \{|x| - \log(\log 2)\}}{(1 + e^{-x})^\alpha (1 + e^x)^\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this inequality, we have

$$\left| h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} F(kh) + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} F(kh) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \left[h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{|kh| - \log(\log 2)}{(1 + e^{-kh})^\alpha (1 + e^{kh})^\beta} + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{|kh| - \log(\log 2)}{(1 + e^{-kh})^\alpha (1 + e^{kh})^\beta} \right] \\
&= K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \left[h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{-kh - \log(\log 2)}{(1 + e^{kh})^{\alpha+\beta}} e^{\alpha kh} + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{kh - \log(\log 2)}{(1 + e^{-kh})^{\alpha+\beta}} e^{-\beta kh} \right] \\
&\leq K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \left[h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{-kh - \log(\log 2)}{(1 + 0)^{\alpha+\beta}} e^{\alpha kh} + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{kh - \log(\log 2)}{(1 + 0)^{\alpha+\beta}} e^{-\beta kh} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 4.5, for $Mh \geq 1/\alpha$ and $Nh \geq 1/\beta$, we further bound the sums as

$$\begin{aligned}
&K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \left[h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \{-kh - \log(\log 2)\} e^{\alpha kh} + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \{kh - \log(\log 2)\} e^{-\beta kh} \right] \\
&\leq K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{-Mh} \{-x - \log(\log 2)\} e^{\alpha x} dx + \int_{Nh}^{\infty} \{x - \log(\log 2)\} e^{-\beta x} dx \right] \\
&= K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \left[\left(Mh - \log(\log 2) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \frac{e^{-\alpha Mh}}{\alpha} + \left(Nh - \log(\log 2) + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \frac{e^{-\beta Nh}}{\beta} \right] \\
&\leq K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \left[\left(Mh - \log(\log 2) + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \frac{e^{-\alpha Mh}}{\mu} + \left(Nh - \log(\log 2) + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \frac{e^{-\beta Nh}}{\mu} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is used at the last inequality. Finally, using (2.4), we have $Mh \leq nh$ (because $M \leq n$), $Nh \leq nh$ (because $N \leq n$), $e^{-\alpha Mh} \leq e^{-\mu nh}$ (because $\alpha M \geq \mu n$) and $e^{-\beta Nh} \leq e^{-\mu nh}$ (because $\beta N \geq \mu n$), from which we obtain the conclusion. \square

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.7. Note that if $n \geq 1/(2\pi d\mu)$, then with h selected by (2.3), the inequalities (4.4) and (4.10) hold. Therefore, from Lemmas 4.19 and 4.20, substituting (2.3) into h , we have

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) dx - h \sum_{k=-M}^N F(kh) \right| \leq C(n) \sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{2\pi d\mu n}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C(n) &= \frac{2K}{\mu^2} \left[\left(\frac{2\tilde{L}_d^{1-\alpha}(\mu d + 1)}{\cos^{\alpha+\beta}(d/2)} \cdot \frac{1 + \tilde{c}_d}{\log(2 + \tilde{c}_d)} - \mu \log(\log 2) \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}(1 - e^{-\sqrt{2\pi d\mu n}})} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \left(\sqrt{2\pi d\mu} + \frac{1 - \mu \log(\log 2)}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $C(n) \leq C(1)$ holds, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.7.

4.2.4 Proof of Theorem 2.8

For Theorem 2.8, the following bounds are required.

Lemma 4.21 (Okayama [9, Lemma 7]). *Let d be a positive constant with $d < \pi/2$. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d} \left| \frac{1 + \log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta})}{\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta})} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}} \right| &\leq L_d, \\ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1 + \log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh x})}{\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh x})} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\pi \sinh x}} \right| &\leq e^{\pi/12}, \end{aligned}$$

where L_d is a constant defined by (2.12).

Lemma 4.22 (Okayama et al. [12, Lemma 6.12]). *Let d be a positive constant with $d < \pi/2$. Then, it holds that*

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d} \left| \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}) \log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta})} \right| \leq \frac{1 + c_d}{\log(2 + c_d)},$$

where $c_d = 1/\cos((\pi/2) \sin d)$.

Lemma 4.23. *Let d be a positive constant with $d < \pi/2$. For all real numbers x and y with $|y| \leq d$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \log(\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x+iy)})) \right| &\leq \frac{\pi(1 + c_d)}{\log(2 + c_d)} \{1 + |y|\} \cosh x - \log(\log 2), \\ \left| \log(\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh x})) \right| &\leq |\pi \sinh x| - \log(\log 2), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_d = 1/\cos((\pi/2) \sin d)$.

Proof. From

$$\left\{ \log(\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta})) \right\}' = \frac{\pi \cosh \zeta}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}) \log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta})},$$

it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{x+iy} \frac{\pi \cosh \zeta}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}) \log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta})} d\zeta &= \left[\log(\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta})) \right]_{\zeta=0}^{\zeta=x+iy} \\ &= \log(\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x+iy)})) - \log(\log 2). \end{aligned}$$

Using this equality and Lemma 4.22, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \log(\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x+iy)})) \right| \\ &= \left| \log(\log 2) + \int_0^{x+iy} \frac{\pi \cosh \zeta}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}) \log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta})} d\zeta \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq -\log(\log 2) + \int_0^{x+iy} \left| \frac{\pi \cosh \zeta}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}) \log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta})} \right| |d\zeta| \\
&\leq -\log(\log 2) + \frac{\pi(1+c_d)}{\log(2+c_d)} \int_0^{x+iy} |\cosh \zeta| |d\zeta| \\
&\leq -\log(\log 2) + \frac{\pi(1+c_d)}{\log(2+c_d)} \left(\int_0^x \cosh t |dt| + \int_0^y |\cosh(x+iu)| |du| \right) \\
&\leq -\log(\log 2) + \frac{\pi(1+c_d)}{\log(2+c_d)} (|\sinh x| + |y| \cosh x) \\
&\leq -\log(\log 2) + \frac{\pi(1+c_d)}{\log(2+c_d)} (1+|y|) \cosh x,
\end{aligned}$$

which is the first inequality. The second inequality is obtained by replacing x with $\pi \sinh x$ in the second inequality of Lemma 4.18. \square

Using these bounds, we bound the discretization error as follows.

Lemma 4.24. *Let K , α , β and d be positive constants with $\alpha \leq 1$ and $d < \pi/2$. Assume that f is analytic on $\phi_3(\mathcal{D}_d)$, and satisfies (2.10) for all $z \in \phi_3(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Then, putting $F(x) = f(\phi_3(x))\phi_3'(x)$, we have (4.2), where*

$$\mathcal{N}(F, d) \leq \frac{4KL_d^{1-\alpha}}{\mu \cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos d} \left\{ \left(\pi + \frac{1}{\mu \cos d} \right) \frac{(1+c_d)(1+d)}{\log(2+c_d)} - \log(\log 2) \right\},$$

where $c_d = 1/\cos((\pi/2) \sin d)$ and L_d is a constant defined by (2.12).

Proof. It suffices to show that $F \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Because $f(\phi_3(\cdot))$ is analytic on \mathcal{D}_d and ϕ_3' is analytic on $\mathcal{D}_{\pi/2}$, F is analytic on \mathcal{D}_d . Next, we show (4.1). From (2.10) and Lemmas 4.21, 4.7 and 4.23, it holds for $\zeta = x + iy \in \mathcal{D}_d$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|F(\zeta)| \\
&\leq K \left| \frac{1 + \log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta})}{\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta})} \right|^{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{|1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta}|^\beta} |\log(\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta}))| \frac{\pi |\cosh \zeta|}{|1 + e^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}|} \\
&\leq K \{L_d |1 + e^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}|\}^{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{|1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta}|^\beta} |\log(\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta}))| \frac{\pi |\cosh \zeta|}{|1 + e^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}|} \\
&= \frac{KL_d^{1-\alpha} |\log(\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta}))| \pi |\cosh \zeta|}{|1 + e^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}|^\alpha |1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta}|^\beta} \\
&\leq \frac{KL_d^{1-\alpha} \{\pi \lambda_d (1 + |y|) \cosh x - \log(\log 2)\} \pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\alpha (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\beta \cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin y)} \\
&\leq \frac{KL_d^{1-\alpha} \{\pi \lambda_d (1 + |y|) \cosh x - \log(\log 2)\} \pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\mu (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\mu \cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin y)}, \tag{4.13}
\end{aligned}$$

where we put $\lambda_d = (1 + c_d)/\log(2 + c_d)$. Using this inequality (4.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-d}^d |F(x + iy)| dy \\
& \leq \int_{-d}^d \frac{KL_d^{1-\alpha} \{\pi\lambda_d(1 + |y|) \cosh x - \log(\log 2)\} \pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\mu (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\mu \cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin y)} dy \\
& \leq \frac{KL_d^{1-\alpha} \{\pi\lambda_d(1 + d) \cosh x - \log(\log 2)\} \pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^\mu (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^\mu \cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin d)} \cdot \int_{-d}^d dy \\
& \rightarrow 0 \cdot 2d \quad (x \rightarrow \pm\infty),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows (4.1). Finally, we estimate $\mathcal{N}(F, d)$. Using (4.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{|F(x + iy)| + |F(x - iy)|\} dx \\
& \leq \frac{KL_d^{1-\alpha}}{\cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin y)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\{\pi\lambda_d(1 + |y|) \cosh x - \log(\log 2)\} \pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\mu (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos y})^\mu} dx \\
& \quad + \frac{KL_d^{1-\alpha}}{\cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin(-y))} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\{\pi\lambda_d(1 + |-y|) \cosh x - \log(\log 2)\} \pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos(-y)})^\mu (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos(-y)})^\mu} dx \\
& \rightarrow \frac{2KL_d^{1-\alpha}}{\cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin d)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\pi\lambda_d(1 + d) \cosh x - \log(\log 2)}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^\mu (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^\mu} dx \quad (y \rightarrow d - 0).
\end{aligned}$$

We bound the integral as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\{\pi\lambda_d(1 + d) \cosh x - \log(\log 2)\} \pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^\mu (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^\mu} dx \\
& = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\{\pi\lambda_d(1 + d) \cosh x - \log(\log 2)\} \pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos d})^{2\mu}} e^{-\pi\mu \sinh(x) \cos d} dx \\
& \leq 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\{\pi\lambda_d(1 + d) \cosh x - \log(\log 2)\} \pi \cosh x}{(1 + 0)^{2\mu}} e^{-\pi\mu \sinh(x) \cos d} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_0^{\infty} \{\pi\lambda_d(1 + d) \cosh x - \log(\log 2)\} \pi \cosh x e^{-\pi\mu \sinh(x) \cos d} dx \\
& = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \{\pi\lambda_d(1 + d) \cosh x - \log(\log 2)\} \left(\frac{e^{-\pi\mu \sinh(x) \cos d}}{-\mu \cos d} \right)' dx \\
& = 2 \left[\{\pi\lambda_d(1 + d) \cosh x - \log(\log 2)\} \cdot \left(\frac{e^{-\pi\mu \sinh(x) \cos d}}{-\mu \cos d} \right) \right]_{x=0}^{x=\infty} \\
& \quad + \frac{2\lambda_d(1 + d)}{\mu \cos d} \int_0^{\infty} \pi \sinh(x) e^{-\pi\mu \sinh(x) \cos d} dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{2\{\pi\lambda_d(1+d) - \log(\log 2)\}}{\mu \cos d} + \frac{2\lambda_d(1+d)}{\mu \cos d} \int_0^\infty \pi \cosh(x) e^{-\pi\mu \sinh(x) \cos d} dx \\
&= \frac{2\{\pi\lambda_d(1+d) - \log(\log 2)\}}{\mu \cos d} + \frac{2\lambda_d(1+d)}{\mu^2 \cos^2 d}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the conclusion. \square

Next, we bound the truncation error as follows.

Lemma 4.25. *Let K, α, β be positive constants with $\alpha \leq 1$. Assume that f satisfies (2.10) for all $z \in (0, \infty)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let n be positive integer, and let M and N be selected by (2.6). Let $Mh \geq \operatorname{arsinh}(2/(\pi\alpha))$ and $Nh \geq \operatorname{arsinh}(2/(\pi\beta))$ be satisfied. Then, putting $F(x) = f(\phi_3(x))\phi_3'(x)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} F(kh) + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} F(kh) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12}}{\mu} \left(\pi \sinh(Mh) + \pi \sinh(Nh) - 2 \log(\log 2) + \frac{2}{\mu} \right) e^{-\pi\mu q(2dn/\mu)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $q(x) = x / \operatorname{arsinh} x$.

Proof. From (2.10) and Lemmas 4.21 and 4.23, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|F(x)| \\
&\leq K \left\{ \frac{1 + \log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh x})}{\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh x})} \right\}^{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{(1 + e^{\pi \sinh x})^\beta} |\log(\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh x}))| \frac{\pi \cosh x}{1 + e^{-\pi \sinh x}} \\
&\leq K \left\{ e^{\pi/12} (1 + e^{-\pi \sinh x}) \right\}^{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{(1 + e^{\pi \sinh x})^\beta} \{ \pi |\sinh x| - \log(\log 2) \} \frac{\pi \cosh x}{1 + e^{-\pi \sinh x}} \\
&= \frac{K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \{ \pi |\sinh x| - \log(\log 2) \} \pi \cosh x}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh x})^\alpha (1 + e^{\pi \sinh x})^\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} F(kh) + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} F(kh) \right| \\
&\leq h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \{ \pi |\sinh(kh)| - \log(\log 2) \} \pi \cosh(kh)}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(kh)})^\alpha (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(kh)})^\beta} \\
&\quad + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \{ \pi |\sinh(kh)| - \log(\log 2) \} \pi \cosh(kh)}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(kh)})^\alpha (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(kh)})^\beta} \\
&= h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \{ -\pi \sinh(kh) - \log(\log 2) \} \pi \cosh(kh)}{(1 + e^{\pi \sinh(kh)})^{\alpha+\beta}} e^{\pi\alpha \sinh(kh)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \{\pi \sinh(kh) - \log(\log 2)\} \pi \cosh(kh)}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(kh)})^{\alpha+\beta}} e^{-\pi\beta \sinh(kh)} \\
& \leq h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} \frac{K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \{-\pi \sinh(kh) - \log(\log 2)\} \pi \cosh(kh)}{(1 + 0)^{\alpha+\beta}} e^{\pi\alpha \sinh(kh)} \\
& + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \{\pi \sinh(kh) - \log(\log 2)\} \pi \cosh(kh)}{(1 + 0)^{\alpha+\beta}} e^{-\pi\beta \sinh(kh)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 4.10, for $Mh \geq \operatorname{arsinh}(2/(\pi\alpha))$ and $Nh \geq \operatorname{arsinh}(2/(\pi\beta))$, we further bound the sums as

$$\begin{aligned}
& h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \{-\pi \sinh(kh) - \log(\log 2)\} \pi \cosh(kh) e^{\pi\alpha \sinh(kh)} \\
& + h \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \{\pi \sinh(kh) - \log(\log 2)\} \pi \cosh(kh) e^{-\pi\beta \sinh(kh)} \\
& \leq K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \int_{-\infty}^{-Mh} \{-\pi \sinh x - \log(\log 2)\} \pi \cosh x e^{\pi\alpha \sinh x} dx \\
& + K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \int_{Nh}^{\infty} \{\pi \sinh x - \log(\log 2)\} \pi \cosh x e^{-\pi\beta \sinh x} dx \\
& = \frac{K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12}}{\alpha} \left(\pi \sinh(Mh) - \log(\log 2) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) e^{-\pi\alpha \sinh(Mh)} \\
& + \frac{K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12}}{\beta} \left(\pi \sinh(Nh) - \log(\log 2) + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) e^{-\pi\beta \sinh(Nh)} \\
& \leq \frac{K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12}}{\mu} \left(\pi \sinh(Mh) - \log(\log 2) + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) e^{-\pi\alpha \sinh(Mh)} \\
& + \frac{K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12}}{\mu} \left(\pi \sinh(Nh) - \log(\log 2) + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) e^{-\pi\beta \sinh(Nh)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is used at the last inequality. Finally, using (2.6), we have $e^{-\pi\alpha \sinh(Mh)} \leq e^{-\pi\mu q(2dn/\mu)}$ (because $\alpha \sinh(Mh) \geq \mu q(2dn/\mu)$) and $e^{-\pi\beta \sinh(Nh)} \leq e^{-\pi\mu q(2dn/\mu)}$ (because $\beta \sinh(Nh) \geq \mu q(2dn/\mu)$), from which we obtain the conclusion. \square

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.8. Note that if $h \leq \pi d$, then with h selected by (2.5), the inequalities (4.6) and (4.7) hold. Furthermore, if $n \geq \mu \sinh(1)/(2d)$, i.e., if $\operatorname{arsinh}(2dn/\mu) \geq 1$, then with h selected by (2.5), the inequality (4.8) holds. Similarly, it holds that

$$N = \left\lceil \frac{1}{h} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{2dn/\beta}{\operatorname{arsinh}(2dn/\mu)} \right) \right\rceil$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left\lceil \frac{n}{\operatorname{arsinh}(2dn/\mu)} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{2dn/\beta}{\operatorname{arsinh}(2dn/\mu)} \right) \right\rceil \\
&\leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{\operatorname{arsinh}(2dn/\mu)} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{2dn/\beta}{1} \right) \right\rceil \\
&\leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{\operatorname{arsinh}(2dn/\mu)} \operatorname{arsinh}(2dn/\mu) \right\rceil \\
&= n.
\end{aligned}$$

From the inequalities, the truncation error in Lemma 4.25 is further bounded as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12}}{\mu} \left(\pi \sinh(Mh) + \pi \sinh(Nh) - 2 \log(\log 2) + \frac{2}{\mu} \right) e^{-\pi\mu q(2dn/\mu)} \\
&\leq \frac{K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12}}{\mu} \left(\pi \sinh(nh) + \pi \sinh(nh) - 2 \log(\log 2) + \frac{2}{\mu} \right) e^{-\pi\mu q(2dn/\mu)} \\
&= \frac{2K e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12}}{\mu} \left(\pi \sinh(nh) - \log(\log 2) + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) e^{-\pi\mu q(2dn/\mu)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from Lemmas 4.24 and 4.25, substituting (2.5) into h , we have

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) dx - h \sum_{k=-M}^N F(kh) \right| \leq C(n) n e^{-\pi\mu q(2dn/\mu)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C(n) = \frac{2K}{\mu^2} &\left[\frac{2L_d^{1-\alpha} \{(1+c_d)(1+d)(1+\pi\mu \cos d) - \mu \log(\log 2) \log(2+c_d) \cos d\}}{n(1-e^{-\pi\mu q(2dn/\mu)}) \log(2+c_d) \cos^{\alpha+\beta}((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos^2 d} \right. \\
&\left. + e^{\pi(1-\alpha)/12} \left\{ 2\pi d + 1 - \frac{\mu \log(\log 2)}{n} \right\} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $C(n) \leq C(1)$ holds, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.8.

Acknowledgments. This work was partially supported by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) Number JP23K03218.

Data availability. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Statements and Declarations

- **Competing Interests:** The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

References

- [1] Mori, M.: Quadrature formulas obtained by variable transformation and the DE-rule. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **12/13**, 119–130 (1985) [https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0427\(85\)90011-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0427(85)90011-1)
- [2] Schwartz, C.: Numerical integration of analytic functions. *J. Comput. Phys.* **4**, 389–396 (1971) [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991\(69\)90037-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991(69)90037-0)
- [3] Takahasi, H., Mori, M.: Double exponential formulas for numerical integration. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., Kyoto Univ.* **9**, 721–741 (1974) <https://doi.org/10.2977/prims/1195192451>
- [4] Okayama, T., Matsuo, T., Sugihara, M.: Error estimates with explicit constants for Sinc approximation, Sinc quadrature and Sinc indefinite integration. *Numer. Math.* **124**, 361–394 (2013) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00211-013-0515-y>
- [5] Yamanaka, N., Okayama, T., Oishi, S., Ogita, T.: A fast verified automatic integration algorithm using double exponential formula. *Nonlinear Theo. Appl., IEICE* **1**, 119–132 (2010) <https://doi.org/10.1587/nolta.1.119>
- [6] Okayama, T.: Explicit error bound for the tanh rule and the DE formula for integrals with logarithmic singularity. *JSIAM Lett.* **6**, 9–11 (2014) <https://doi.org/10.14495/jsiaml.6.9>
- [7] Okayama, T., Kawai, Y.: Optimal selection formulas of mesh size and truncation numbers for the double-exponential formula. *JSIAM Lett.* **15**, 81–84 (2023) <https://doi.org/10.14495/jsiaml.15.81>
- [8] Okayama, T., Machida, K.: Error estimate with explicit constants for the trapezoidal formula combined with Muhammad–Mori’s SE transformation for the semi-infinite interval. *JSIAM Lett.* **9**, 45–47 (2017) <https://doi.org/10.14495/jsiaml.9.45>
- [9] Okayama, T.: Error estimates with explicit constants for Sinc quadrature and Sinc indefinite integration over infinite intervals. *Reliab. Comput.* **19**, 45–65 (2013)
- [10] Stenger, F.: Numerical methods based on Whittaker cardinal, or sinc functions. *SIAM Rev.* **23**, 165–224 (1981) <https://doi.org/10.1137/1023037>
- [11] Okayama, T., Nomura, T., Tsuruta, S.: New conformal map for the trapezoidal formula for infinite integrals of unilateral rapidly decreasing functions. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **389**, 113354 (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2020.113354>
- [12] Okayama, T., Hara, R., Goto, S.: Error analyses of Sinc-collocation methods for exponential decay initial value problems. *Nonlinear Theo. Appl., IEICE* **15**, 630–650 (2024) <https://doi.org/10.1587/nolta.15.630>