

# Paired-domination Problem on Circle and $k$ -polygon Graphs

Ta-Yu Mu

College of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science  
National Taiwan University  
Taipei, Taiwan 10617  
Email: f08922132@ntu.edu.tw

Ching-Chi Lin<sup>†</sup>

College of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science  
National Taiwan Ocean University  
Keelung, Taiwan 20224  
Email: lincc@mail.ntou.edu.tw

**Abstract**—A vertex set  $D \subseteq V$  is considered a dominating set of  $G$  if every vertex in  $V - D$  is adjacent to at least one vertex in  $D$ . We called a dominating set  $D$  as a paired-dominating set if the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $D$  contains a perfect matching. In this paper, we show that determining the minimum paired-dominating set on circle graphs is NP-complete. We further propose an  $O(n(\frac{n}{k^2-k})^{2k^2-2k})$ -time algorithm for  $k$ -polygon graphs, a subclass of circle graphs, for finding the minimum paired-dominating set. Moreover, we extend our method to improve the algorithm for finding the minimum dominating set on  $k$ -polygon graphs in [E.S. Elmallah and L.K. Stewart, *Independence and domination in polygon graphs*, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 1993] and reduce their time-complexity from  $O(n^{4k^2+3})$  to  $O(n(\frac{n}{k^2-k})^{2k^2-4k})$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , a dominating set  $D \subseteq V$  is defined such that every vertex  $v \in V - D$  is adjacent to at least one vertex in  $D$ . Finding a dominating set is fundamental in the field of graph theory, with applications in diverse domains, including network design, facility location, and the analysis of social networks [15, 16]. The minimum dominating set problem on  $G$  is to find a dominating set  $D$  with minimum size. In recent decades, there have been several variants of domination, e.g., total domination [2], connected domination [28], independent domination [11], and paired-domination [17]. Total domination required that the subgraph induced by a dominating set  $D$ , denoted by  $G[D]$ , had no isolated vertex, while connected domination required  $G[D]$  to be connected. Independent domination asked that a dominating set must be an independent set as well.

We say that  $M \subseteq E$  is a matching, if all edges of  $M$  share no common vertex, and further, a matching  $M$  is perfect, if all vertices in  $V$  appear in  $M$ . Paired-domination required that  $G[D]$  had a perfect matching. The concept of paired-domination was first introduced in [17] by conceptualizing the area monitoring problem, in which a minimum even number of guards, each located at a site, were assigned to monitor all sites such that each guard was paired with another at a neighboring site, serving as a backup for each other. More applications of it could be found in the fields of security




Fig. 1. A graph with a minimum dominating set  $\{a, g\}$  and a minimum paired-dominating set  $\{a, c, f, h\}$ .

and surveillance, resource allocation, facility location, network communication, and coding theory [14]. The minimum paired-domination problem on  $G$  is to find a dominating set  $D$  (paired-dominating set, hereafter) such that  $D$  is minimum and  $G[D]$  has a perfect matching. Its NP-completeness for general graphs was shown in [17]. Figure 1 illustrates an example of a minimum dominating set and a minimum paired-dominating set. Below, more prior research about paired domination was to be reviewed.

In [1], the minimum subdivision number of edges was calculated, in order to increase the size of the minimum paired-dominating set. In [5, 6], the sizes of minimum dominating sets, minimum total dominating sets, and minimum paired-dominating sets were explored. The paired domination stability was studied in [12], which meant the minimum non-isolated vertex set whose removal would reduce the paired-dominating set. In [13], inspired by paired-domination, a game with two roles, dominator and staller, was devised, in which the dominator (staller) wanted to minimize (maximize) a paired-dominating set, in terms of paired-domination. In [18], the problem of finding the maximum sizes of minimal paired-dominating sets on bipartite graphs, threshold graphs, chain graphs, and proper interval graphs were studied.

In [22], the sizes of minimum paired-dominating sets on

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author.

two special families of transformation graphs were compared. In [8], an  $O(n^{4k^2+3})$ -time algorithm was proposed for finding a minimum dominating set on a  $k$ -polygon graph. Algorithms for finding minimum paired-dominating sets could be found in [19, 21]. In [21], an  $O(n+m)$ -time algorithm was proposed for distance-hereditary graphs, and in [19], a linear-time algorithm was proposed for permutation graphs, and in, where  $n$  and  $m$  are the numbers of vertices and edges, respectively. In [20], assigning each vertex a positive weight, an  $O(n+m)$ -time algorithm was suggested to find a paired-dominating set of a block graph whose total vertex weight is minimum.

A circle graph  $G = (V, E)$  represents an intersection model of chords positioned on a circle, where each  $v \in V$  uniquely corresponds to a chord and there is an edge  $(u, v) \in E$  if and only if the pair of chords corresponding to  $u$  and  $v$  intersect. Instead, if the chords position on a  $k$ -polygon, then a  $k$ -polygon graph results. In fact, the graph shown in Figure 1 is both a circle graph and a 4-polygon graph. Their corresponding intersection models are shown in Figure 2. Also notice that  $k$ -polygon graphs are a sub-class of circle graphs, which was shown in [9]. Besides, there was an  $O(4^k n^2)$  algorithm that could determine whether or not a given circle graph is a  $k$ -polygon graph.

In this paper, we first showed it NP-complete to find a minimum paired-dominating set on a circle graph. Then, we proposed two polynomial time algorithms, one for finding minimum dominating sets in  $O(n(\frac{n}{k^2-k})^{2k^2-4k})$  time and the other for finding minimum paired-dominating sets in  $O(n(\frac{n}{k^2-k})^{2k^2-2k})$  time, both on  $k$ -polygon graphs, a subclass of circle graphs. Our results improved the work of [8]. In the next two sections, the NP-hardness proof and the two proposed algorithms are presented. Finally, before ending this paper, some remarks are given in Section 4.

## 2. NP-COMPLETENESS FOR CIRCLE GRAPHS

We begin by introducing our notations. Let the chord set  $J(G)$ , or simply  $J$  if  $G$  is unambiguous, denote the intersection model of  $G = (V, E)$ . For each pair of chords  $c_i, c_j \in J$ , corresponding to the vertices  $v_i, v_j \in V$ , respectively,  $c_i$  intersects  $c_j$  if and only if  $(v_i, v_j) \in E$ . We further define the close neighbor on  $J$  as  $N_J[c] = \{d \mid d \text{ intersects } c\} \cup \{c\}$  and  $N_J[S] = \bigcup_{c \in S} N_J[c]$ . For the sets  $D \subseteq J$  and  $M \subseteq J \times J$ , when unambiguous, we also call  $M$  a perfect matching of  $J[D]$  if  $G[\{v_i \mid c_i \in V\}]$  simultaneously contains a perfect matching  $\{(v_i, v_j) \mid (c_i, c_j) \in M\}$ .

In our NP-completeness result, we choose to reduce from the Hamiltonian path problem on directed graphs, a well-known NP-complete problem for finding a path visiting each vertex of the graph exactly once, known as a Hamiltonian path [10]. The decision formulation of this problem is defined as below:

### Hamiltonian path problem

INPUT: A directed graph  $G_H$  with the designated starting vertex and ending vertex.

OUTPUT: Determine whether there exists a Hamiltonian path




Fig. 2. Two intersection models for the graph shown in Figure 1.

in  $G_H$  from the starting vertex to the ending vertex.

In our reduction, we construct a circle graph  $G_c$  in polynomial time corresponding to any instance  $G_H$  of Hamiltonian path problem. Let  $G_H = (V_H, E_H)$  where  $|V_H| = n$  and  $|E_H| = m$ . The following theorem is involved in establishing our NP-completeness result, where the correctness is ensured by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The graph  $G_H$  contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if  $G_c$  has a paired-dominating set with cardinality  $2n^2 + 2n - 2$ .*

Now we begin introducing the construction of the  $G_c$  based on the given instance  $G_H$  of the Hamiltonian path problem. Without loss of generality, we may assume the starting vertex as  $v_1$  and the ending vertex as  $v_n$ . Instead of presenting  $G_c$  directly, we detail the structure of its chord intersection model  $J$  as below. Fig 3 illustrates the structure of  $J$  for the instance

$G_H$  where  $V_H = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  and  $E_H = \{(v_1, v_3), (v_2, v_3)\}$  as an example.

The chord set  $J$  consists of eight types of chords: type- $\ell$ , type- $c$ , type- $r$ , type- $e$ , type- $f$ , type- $f'$ , type- $a$ , type- $a'$ , type- $b$ , and type- $b'$  chords. The type- $\ell$ , type- $c$ , and type- $r$  chords are defined relative to  $V_H$ . Specifically, for each vertex  $v_i \in V_H$  (where  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), the corresponding chords  $\ell_i^j$ ,  $c_i^j$ , and  $r_i^j$  exist for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , with the exception that  $\ell_1^1$  and  $r_n^n$  do not exist. The type- $\ell$  and type- $r$  chords are pairwise non-intersection and arranged in counterclockwise order from  $i = 1$  to  $n$  and from  $j = 1$  to  $n$ . The type- $c$  chord intersects both the type- $\ell$  and type- $r$  chords corresponding to the same  $i, j$  pair. Fig. 3(a) provides an example of the types of chords mentioned.

For each edge  $(v_x, v_y) \in E(G_H)$ , there exists corresponding type- $e$  chords  $e_{x,y}^j \in J$  for  $1 \leq j < n$  intersecting both  $r_x^j$  and  $\ell_y^{j+1}$ . We omit the description of intersections between pairs of type- $e$  chords, as their intersections are irrelevant for our reduction. Intersecting with those type- $e$  chords  $e_{x,y}^j$  with the same superscript, the type- $f$  chord  $f^j$  for  $1 \leq j < n$  has one endpoint falls in the open interval in between  $r_n^j$  and  $\ell_n^{j+1}$ . The other endpoints of type- $f$  chords are located counterclockwise immediately after  $c_1^1$  and arranged from  $j = 1$  to  $n - 1$ . This arrangement ensures that those type- $f$  chords do not intersect each other. The type- $f'$  chord  $f'^j$  where  $1 \leq j < n$  is exactly and only intersects the type- $f$  chord  $f^j$  with the same index  $j$ . Fig. 3(b) shows the types of chords mentioned above, specifically emphasizing the type- $e$  and type- $f$  chords with superscript  $j = 1$ .

The type- $b$  chord  $b_i^j$ , where  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , has one endpoint positioned counterclockwise immediately after  $f'^1$ , arranged in counterclockwise order from  $i = 1$  to  $n$  and from  $j = 1$  to  $n$ . The other endpoint of each  $b_i^j$  is positioned at a specific intersection with  $c_i^j$  while avoiding  $\ell_i^j$  and  $r_i^j$ . The type- $a$  chord  $a_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  exclusively intersects all  $b_i^j$  with the same index  $i$ . The type- $b'$  chord  $b_i'^j$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  and type- $a'$  chord  $a_i'$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  merely intersects with  $b_i^j$  and  $a_i$ , respectively. In Fig. 3(c), all type of chords are depicted, highlighting those type- $b$  and type- $a$  chords associated with the subscript  $j = 1$ .

The cardinality of  $J$  is within a polynomial bound: there are  $n^2 - 1$  type- $\ell$  and type- $r$  chords,  $n^2$  type- $c$  chords,  $nm$  type- $e$  chords,  $n - 1$  type- $f$  and type- $f'$  chords,  $n^2$  type- $b$  and type- $b'$  chords, and  $n$  type- $a$  and type- $a'$  chords. Altogether, there are  $5n^2 + 4n - 4 + nm$  chords for  $J$ . Therefore, both the circle graph  $G_c$  and the chord intersection model  $J$  can be constructed in  $O(n \cdot (n + m))$  time.

Now, we demonstrate the correctness of Theorem 2.1, by showing  $G_H$  contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if  $J$  has a paired-dominating set with cardinality  $2n^2 + 2n - 2$ , as stated in Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 for the necessity and sufficient conditions, respectively.

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $G_H$  contains a Hamiltonian path, then  $J$  has a paired-dominating set with cardinality  $2n^2 + 2n - 2$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $G_H$  contains a Hamiltonian Path from  $v_1$




Fig. 3. The corresponding intersection model  $J$  of the given instance  $G_H = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}, \{(v_1, v_3), (v_2, v_3)\}$ .

to  $v_n$ . There exists an invertible function  $\sigma : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , where  $\sigma(1) = 1$  and  $\sigma(n) = n$ , such that the sequence  $P = (v_{\sigma(1)}, v_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)})$  forms a Hamiltonian path. Note that the  $i$ -th node in  $P$  is represented by  $\sigma^{-1}(i)$ . Let  $S$  be the chord set consists of all type- $b$  and type- $f$  chords initially. One can find that  $|S| = n^2 + n - 1$  and  $N_J[S]$  including all type- $b$ , type- $b'$ , type- $f$ , and type- $e$  chords. Thus, it suffices to transform  $S$  into a paired-dominating of  $J$  by subsequently adding a unique neighbor for each chord  $c \in S$  such that finally the remaining type- $a$ , type- $a'$ , type- $\ell$ , and type- $r$  chords are all in  $N_J[S]$ .

For each  $b_{\sigma(i)}^i$  where  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we add  $a^i \in N_J[b_{\sigma(i)}^i]$  into  $S$ . This ensures that all type- $a$  and type- $a'$  chords are included by  $N_J[S]$ . Furthermore, for each  $f^j$  where  $1 \leq j < n$ , we add  $e_{\sigma^{-1}(j), \sigma^{-1}(j+1)}^j \in N_J[f^j]$  into  $S$  to make  $\ell_{\sigma^{-1}(j)}^j$  and  $r_{\sigma^{-1}(j+1)}^{j+1}$  are included in  $N_J[S]$ . One can verify that the remaining chords needing to be dominated are  $\ell_i^j$  and  $r_i^j$  with  $j \neq \sigma(i)$ . These  $i, j$  correspond precisely to the chords  $b_i^j$  in  $S$  for which we have not yet found a neighbor. We can add all  $c_i^j \in N_J[b_i^j]$  into  $S$  and finally make every type- $\ell$  and type- $r$  chord in  $N_J[S]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $G_c$  has a paired-dominating set with cardinality  $2n^2 + 2n - 2$ , then  $G_H$  contains a Hamiltonian path.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $D$  is a paired-dominating set of  $J$  with cardinality  $2n^2 + 2n - 2$ . Since each type- $a'$ , type- $b'$ , and type- $f'$  chord is in  $N[D]$ ,  $D$  includes the union of all type- $a$ , type- $b$ , and type- $f$  chords, which we denoted by  $X$ . One can verify that  $|X| = n^2 + 2n - 1$  and  $N[X]$  contains no any type- $\ell$  or type- $r$  chord. Furthermore, the cardinality of type- $\ell$  and type- $r$  chords in total is  $2n^2 - 2$ , which equals  $2|D - X|$ . Those imply that  $D - X$  consists of some type- $c$  and type- $e$  chords, as those are the only candidates that can intersect at most twice with the type- $\ell$  and type- $r$  chords.

Note that the candidates of  $a_i$  to be paired in a perfect matching of  $J[D]$  are only the type- $b$  chords with the same subscript  $i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , which we denoted those type- $b$  chord as  $b_i^{p(i)}$ . Thus, it suffices to show that  $p^{-1}(i)$  is unique for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  while  $e_{p^{-1}(i-1), p^{-1}(i)}^i \in D$  if  $i > 1$ , proving the existence of a Hamiltonian path  $P = (p^{-1}(1), p^{-1}(2), \dots, p^{-1}(n))$ . y using the induction based on the index  $i$ . Considering the initial value  $i = 1$ , for  $j \neq 1$ ,  $c_1^j$  is the only chord intersecting  $\ell_1^j$  and twice type- $\ell$  and type- $r$  chords which have not been dominated yet, implying that  $c_1^j \in D$  paired with  $b_1^j$  for  $j \neq 1$ . Thus,  $p^{-1}(1) = 1$  is unique. y applying the induction hypothesis, using the similar method, one can refer that  $c_{i-1}^j \in D$  for  $j \neq p^{-1}(i-1)$ . Therefore, the candidates for intersecting  $\ell_i^j$  and twice the chords of type- $\ell$  and type- $r$  which have not been dominated yet should be  $c_i^j$  or  $e_{p^{-1}(i-1), i}^{j-1}$ . However,  $b_i^{p^{-1}(i)}$  have been paired with  $a^{p^{-1}(i)}$ , meaning  $c_i^{p^{-1}(i)} \notin D$ . Those imply that  $e_{p^{-1}(i-1), p^{-1}(i)}^{j-1} \in D$  and  $p^{-1}(i)$  is unique since  $c_i^j \in D$  for  $j \neq p^{-1}(i)$ . Hence, the induction stands affirmed and the Hamiltonian path  $P$  exists.  $\square$

Below, we show the NP-completeness of the paired-domination problem on circle graphs. Obviously, it falls in NP. Moreover, for each graph  $G_H$ , one can construct a circle graph  $G_c$  and determine whether  $G_H$  contains a Hamiltonian path or not by Theorem 2.1. Since the construction of  $G_c$  takes polynomial time, the paired-domination problem on circle graph also remains NP-complete.

**Theorem 2.4.** *The paired-domination problem on circle graphs is NP-complete.*

### 3. ALGORITHM FOR $k$ -POLYGON GRAPHS

For the intersection model  $J$  of a  $k$ -polygon graph  $G$ , we assume that each endpoint of the chords in  $J$  is labeled in counterclockwise order within the  $k$ -polygon. Let  $[\ell, r]$  denote set of endpoints obtained by progressing counterclockwise from  $\ell$  to  $r$ , including both endpoints. For any two endpoint  $a, b \in [\ell, r]$ , we say that  $a$  is to the left (right) of  $b$  if  $a$  appears before (after)  $b$  in a counterclockwise walk from  $\ell$  to  $r$ . Moreover, we call  $[\ell, r]$  a side if it exactly and exclusively contains every endpoint on one side of the  $k$ -polygon. We let that each side  $S_i$  is ordered counterclockwise for  $i$  modulo  $k$  and, without loss of generality, assume that the label 1 is the leftmost label in side  $S_1$ . As an example in Fig 2,  $S_1 = \{1, 2, 3\} = S_5$  and  $S_2 = \{4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$ .

Given a chord set  $D \subseteq J$ , we denote  $c(d)$  as the chord with endpoint  $d$  and  $c(D) = \{c(d) \mid d \in D\}$ . We further define  $\ell_i(D)$  and  $r_i(D)$  as the chord with the leftmost and rightmost endpoint on side  $S_i$ , respectively. We represent  $D_{ij} = D \cap c(S_i) \cap c(S_j)$ . The definition of the outer boundary  $O$  of  $D$  consists of  $O_{ij}$  as below.

$$O_{ij} = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } D_{ij} = \emptyset, \\ c(\{\ell_i(D_{ij}), r_j(D_{ij})\}) & \text{if } j = i + 1, \\ c(\{\ell_t(D_{ij}), r_t(D_{ij}) \mid t \in \{i, j\}\}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For  $D$  as a dominating set of  $J$ , the chord set  $J' = J - N_J[O]$ , denotes the subset of chords in  $J$  not dominated by the outer boundary  $O$ .  $J'_{ij}$  can be determined as described below.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $j = i+1$ , there are endpoints  $a_i \in S_i$  and  $a_j \in S_j$  such that  $J'_{ij} = c([a_i, a_j])$  while  $c(a_i), c(a_j) \in O$ . Otherwise, there are endpoints  $b_i, c_i \in S_i$  and  $b_j, c_j \in S_j$  such that  $J'_{ij} = c([b_i, c_i]) \cap c([b_j, c_j])$  while  $c(b_i), c(c_i), c(b_j), c(c_j) \in O$ . Furthermore, determining all  $J'_{ij}$  can be done in  $O(n)$  time, and for each chord  $j \in J'_{ij}$ ,  $j \notin N_J[D - D_{ij}]$ .*

*Proof.* If  $D_{ij} = \emptyset$ ,  $J_{ij}$  is dominated by chords with some pairs of sides that do not include both  $S_i$  and  $S_j$ . Thus, since  $O$  contains every chord with the leftmost and rightmost endpoints for each pair of sides of  $D$ ,  $J_{ij} \subseteq N_J[O]$ , implying  $J'_{ij} = \emptyset$ . Otherwise, in the case that  $j = i + 1$ , let  $a_i$  be the leftmost endpoint such that  $c(a_i) \notin N_J[O]$  on side  $S_i$ , and  $a_j$  be the rightmost endpoint such that  $c(a_j) \notin N_J[O]$  on side  $S_j$ . Clearly,  $c([a_i, a_j]) \notin N_J[O]$ . Furthermore, since  $D$  is a dominating set, each chord in  $J_{ij} - c([a_i, a_j]) \subseteq N_J[O]$ . Thus,  $J'_{ij} = c([a_i, a_j])$ . In the remaining cases, let  $b_i$  and  $c_i$

be the leftmost and rightmost endpoint, respectively, such that  $c(b_i), c(c_i) \notin N_J[O]$  on side  $S_i$ , and  $b_j$  and  $c_j$  be the leftmost and rightmost endpoint, respectively, such that  $c(b_j), c(c_j) \notin N_J[O]$  on side  $S_j$ . Using a method similar to the case that  $j = i + 1$ , one can verify that  $J''_{ij} = c([b_i, c_i]) \cap c([b_j, c_j])$ . Furthermore, all such endpoints can be determined by scanning every endpoints of  $J$  once. Those imply that all  $J''_{ij}$  can be done in  $O(n)$  time. Moreover, for each chord  $j \in J''_{ij}$ , since  $j \notin N_J[O]$  and  $O$  includes every chord with the leftmost and rightmost endpoints for each pair of sides of  $D$ , it follows that  $j \notin N_J[D - D_{ij}]$ .  $\square$

Considering  $D$  as a paired-dominating set of  $J$  with  $J[D]$  containing a perfect matching  $\mathcal{M}$ , according to its outer boundary  $O$ , we further define the inner boundary  $I$  as follows. For simplicity, denote those chords paired with another locating on the same two sides in  $\mathcal{M}$  as  $M_{ij} = \{d_1, d_2 \mid d_1, d_2 \in D_{ij} \text{ and } (d_1, d_2) \in \mathcal{M}\}$  and  $D'_{ij} = (D - M_{ij}) \cup B$ .

$$I_{ij} = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } J'_{ij} = \emptyset, \\ c(r_i\{D'_{ij}\}, \ell_j\{D'_{ij}\}) & \text{if } j = i + 1, \\ c(\{\ell_t\{D'_{ij}\}, r_t\{D'_{ij}\} \mid t \in \{i, j\}\}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $J'' = J - N_J[O \cup I]$ , denotes the chord subset of  $J$  dominated by neither outer boundary  $O$  nor inner boundary  $I$ .  $J''_{ij}$  can be determined as described below. The proof is similar to that in Lemma 3.1, so we omit it here.

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $J'_{ij} = \emptyset$ , then  $J''_{ij} = \emptyset$ . Otherwise, if  $j = i + 1$ , there are endpoints  $a'_i \in S_i$  and  $a'_j \in S_j$  such that  $J'_{ij} = c([a'_i, a'_j])$  while  $c(a'_i), c(a'_j) \in I$ . Otherwise, there are endpoints  $b'_i, c'_i \in S_i$  and  $b'_j, c'_j \in S_j$  such that  $J'_{ij} = c([b'_i, c'_i]) \cap c([b'_j, c'_j])$  while  $c(b'_i), c(c'_i), c(b'_j), c(c'_j) \in I$ . Furthermore, determining all  $J''_{ij}$  can be done in  $O(n)$  time, and for each chord  $j \in J''_{ij}$ ,  $j \notin N_J[D - M_{ij}]$ .*

The idea of our algorithm is to exhaustively explore every possible outer boundary  $O$  and corresponding inner boundary  $I$ . Then, we recover it into a minimum paired-dominating set.

**Theorem 3.3.** *The Algorithm 1 determines a minimum paired-dominating set of  $G$  in  $O(n(\frac{n}{k^2-k})^{2k^2-2k})$  time.*

*Proof.* The correctness of Algorithm 1 is ensured since we explore at least one minimum paired-dominating set  $D$  such that  $J[D]$  contains a perfect matching  $\mathcal{M}$ , having the outer boundary  $O$  and the inner boundary  $I$ . Furthermore, one can verify that  $J[J_{ij}]$  is exactly a permutation graph and, by Lemma 3.2, for each  $j \in J''_{ij}$ ,  $j \notin N_J[J - M_{ij}]$ . Thus, each  $M_{ij}$  can be replaced by the set  $D'_{ij}$ , determining in Step (4), while still ensuring that  $D$  remains a minimum paired-dominating set.

Next, the time complexity is shown below. We first discuss the number of every possible outer boundary  $O$  and corresponding inner boundary  $I$  in Step (3). Let  $n_{ij}$  is the cardinality of  $J_{ij}$ . If  $j = i + 1$ , the number of possibilities to exhaustively explore  $O_{ij}$  and  $I_{ij}$  is on the order of  $O((n_{ij})^2)$ . Otherwise, the number of possibilities to exhaustively explore  $O_{ij}$  and  $I_{ij}$  is on the order of  $O((n_{ij})^4)$ . However, if

---

**Algorithm 1** Determining the minimum paired-dominating set on  $k$ -polygon graphs

---

**Require:** a  $k$ -polygon graph  $G$ .

**Ensure:** a minimum paired-dominating set of  $G$ .

- 1: Let  $J$  be the intersection model of  $G$ ;
  - 2: **for** each possible  $O$  and corresponding  $I$  **do**
  - 3:   **for** each pair of sides  $S_i$  and  $S_j$  of  $J$  **do**
  - 4:     Determine a minimum set  $D''_{ij} \subseteq J_{ij}$  such that  $J''_{ij} \subseteq N_J[D''_{ij}]$  while  $J[D''_{ij}]$  contains a perfect matching;
  - 5:   **end for**
  - 6:   **if**  $N_J[D'' \cup O \cup I] = J$  **then**
  - 7:     Determine a minimum chord set  $\Psi \subseteq J$  such that  $J[O \cup I \cup \Psi]$  contains a perfect matching;
  - 8:     Let  $D \leftarrow D'' \cup O \cup I \cup \Psi$ ;
  - 9:   **end if**
  - 10: **end for**
  - 11: **return** the minimum set  $D$  in Step (8).
- 

$I_{ij} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $O_{i'j'} = \emptyset$  for each  $i' \in [i + 1, j - 1]$  and  $j' \in [j + 1, i - 1]$ . Otherwise,  $J_{ij} \subseteq N_J[O_{i'j'}]$ , implying  $J'_{ij} = \emptyset$  and  $I_{ij} = \emptyset$ , resulting in a contradiction. Thus, the bound of cardinality of  $O$  and  $I$  is leading by exhausting  $O_{ij}$  for each  $i, j$  and  $I_{ij}$  for  $j = i + 1$ . Therefore, there are  $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (n_{ij})^4$  possibilities of boundaries. By the AM-GM inequality  $\sqrt[c(k,2)]{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (n_{ij})} \leq \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} n_{ij}}{C(k,2)}$ , where  $C(k, 2) = \frac{k^2-k}{2}$  represents the combination number for  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ , the possibilities of boundaries is  $O(n(\frac{n}{k^2-k})^{2k^2-2k})$ . Then, in Step (4), one can adopt the  $O(|V|)$ -time minimum paired-domination method for permutation graphs [19] to determine each  $D''_{ij}$  with minor modifications, totaling  $O(n)$  time. In Step (7), one can use the  $O(|V|^2|E|)$ -time blossom algorithm [7] for  $J[O \cup I]$ , which takes  $O(k^8)$  time. Hence, the time complexity of Algorithm 1 is  $O(\frac{n}{k^2-k})^{2k^2-2k} \cdot (O(n) + O(k^8)) = O(n(\frac{n}{k^2-k})^{2k^2-2k})$ .  $\square$

The algorithm in [8], determining the minimum dominating set of  $k$ -polygon graphs, can be enhanced, by using the similar approach of Algorithm 1 and the analysis of Theorem 3.3, from  $O(n^{4k+3})$  to  $O(n(\frac{n}{k^2-k})^{2k^2-4k})$  as below. The correctness and time complexity analysis of Algorithm 2 is similar to those in Algorithm 1, and thus are omitted here.

**Theorem 3.4.** *The Algorithm 2 determines a minimum dominating set of  $G$  in  $O(n(\frac{n}{k^2-k})^{2k^2-4k})$  time.*

#### 4. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we present two main results concerning paired domination on circle graphs and  $k$ -polygon graphs. For the result on circle graphs, we successfully demonstrated the NP-completeness of the paired-domination problem. Importantly, our unique reduction from the Hamiltonian path problem

---

**Algorithm 2** Determining the minimum dominating set on  $k$ -polygon graphs

---

**Require:** a  $k$ -polygon graph  $G$ .

**Ensure:** a minimum dominating set of  $G$ .

```

1: Let  $J$  be the intersection model of  $G$ ;
2: for each possible  $O$  do
3:   for each pair of sides  $S_i$  and  $S_j$  of  $J$  do
4:     Determine a minimum set  $D'_{ij} \subseteq J_{ij}$  such that  $J'_{ij} \subseteq N_J[D'_{ij}]$  while  $J[D'_{ij}]$  contains a perfect matching;
5:   end for
6:   if  $N_J[D' \cup O] = J$  then
7:     Let  $D \leftarrow D' \cup O$ ;
8:   end if
9: end for
10: return the minimum set  $D$  in Step (7).
```

---

sets our approach apart from existing research methodologies. We encourage readers to employ this novel strategy in investigating the computational complexity of domination and its variants on circle graphs, as well as other classes of graphs. Notably, prior work by Damian and Pemmaraju [3] has established the APX-hardness of the domination and total domination problems on circle graphs. Therefore, we conjecture that the paired-domination problem also maintains APX-hardness on circle graphs, presenting a promising avenue for exploration using our innovative reduction approach. Additionally, leveraging the  $(2 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation algorithm for the domination problem from [4], a  $(4 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation algorithm for the paired-domination problem on circle graphs can be readily derived for  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Future research can focus on devising approximation algorithms with factors below 4, representing an exciting direction for further investigation.

Next, we present another main result on  $k$ -polygon graphs. We demonstrate an  $O(n(\frac{n}{k^2-k})^{2k^2-2k})$ -time algorithm for the paired-domination and  $O(n(\frac{n}{k^2-k})^{2k^2-4k})$ -time algorithm for the domination problem. We anticipate that the time complexity of this algorithm can be significantly reduced in future research. Additionally, as circle graphs are a superclass of  $k$ -polygon graphs, the algorithm for solving domination problems and its variants on  $k$ -polygon graphs might provide a feasible direction for designing approximation algorithms on circle graphs.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Amjadi and M. Chellali. Complexity of the paired domination subdivision problem. *Commun. Comb. Optim.*, 7(2):177–182, 2022.
- [2] E. J. Cockayne, R. M. Dawes, and S. T. Hedetniemi. Total domination in graphs. *Networks*, 10(3):211–219, 1980.
- [3] M. Damian and S. V. Pemmaraju. APX-hardness of domination problems in circle graphs. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 97(6):231–237, 2006.
- [4] M. Damian-Iordache and S. V. Pemmaraju. A  $(2 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation scheme for minimum domination on circle graphs. *J. Algorithms*, 42(2):255–276, 2002.
- [5] M. Dettlaff, D. Gözüpek, and J. Raczek. Paired domination versus domination and packing number in graphs. *J. Comb. Optim.*, 44(2):921–933, 2022.
- [6] P. Eakawinrujee and N. Trakultraipruk. Total and paired domination numbers of windmill graphs. *Asian-Eur. J. Math.*, 16(7):Paper No. 2350123, 14, 2023.
- [7] J. Edmonds. Paths, trees, and flowers. *Canadian J. Math.*, 17:449–467, 1965.
- [8] E. S. El-Mallah and L. K. Stewart. Independence and domination in polygon graphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 44(1-3):65–77, 1993.
- [9] E. S. Elmallah and L. K. Stewart. Polygon graph recognition. *J. Algorithms*, 26(1):101–140, 1998.
- [10] M. R. Garey, D. S. Johnson, and L. Stockmeyer. Some simplified NP-complete graph problems. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 1(3):237–267, 1976.
- [11] W. Goddard and M. A. Henning. Independent domination in graphs: a survey and recent results. *Discrete Math.*, 313(7):839–854, 2013.
- [12] A. Gorzkowska, M. A. Henning, M. Pilśniak, and E. Tumidajewicz. Total and paired domination stability in prisms. *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, 43(4):1147–1169, 2023.
- [13] A. D. Gray and M. A. Henning. Paired-domination game played on cycles. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 336:132–140, 2023.
- [14] P. Gupta. Domination in graph with application. *Indian J. Res*, 2(3):115–117, 2013.
- [15] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi, and P. J. Slater. *Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics*. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1998.
- [16] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi, and P. J. Slater. *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1998.
- [17] T. W. Haynes and P. J. Slater. Paired-domination in graphs. *Networks: An International Journal*, 32(3):199–206, 1998.
- [18] M. A. Henning and D. Pradhan. Algorithmic aspects of upper paired-domination in graphs. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 804:98–114, 2020.
- [19] E. Lappas, S. D. Nikolopoulos, and L. Palios. An  $O(n)$ -time algorithm for the paired domination problem on permutation graphs. *European J. Combin.*, 34(3):593–608, 2013.
- [20] C.-C. Lin, C.-Y. Hsieh, and T.-Y. Mu. A linear-time algorithm for weighted paired-domination on block graphs. *J. Comb. Optim.*, 44(1):269–286, 2022.
- [21] T.-Y. Mu and C.-C. Lin. Linear-time algorithm for paired-domination on distance-hereditary graphs. In *International Computing and Combinatorics Conference*, pages 357–367. Springer, 2022.
- [22] H. Tuncel Golpek and A. Aytac. Paired domination in transformation graphs:  $G^{xy+}$  and  $G^{xy-}$ . *Bull. Int. Math.*

