

---

# CONSOLIDATING AND DEVELOPING BENCHMARKING DATASETS FOR THE NEPALI NATURAL LANGUAGE UNDERSTANDING TASKS

---

\*Jinu Nyachhyon, \*Mridul Sharma, \*Prajwal Thapa, Bal Krishna Bal

Information and Language Processing Research Lab (ILPRL), Kathmandu University

December 2, 2024

## ABSTRACT

The Nepali language has distinct linguistic features, especially its complex script (Devanagari script), morphology, and various dialects, which pose a unique challenge for natural language processing (NLP) evaluation. While the Nepali Language Understanding Evaluation (Nep-gLUE) benchmark provides a foundation for evaluating models, it remains limited in scope, covering four tasks. This restricts their utility for comprehensive assessments of NLP models. To address this limitation, we introduce eight new datasets, creating a new benchmark, the Nepali Language Understanding Evaluation (NLUE) benchmark, which covers a total of 12 tasks for evaluating the performance of models across a diverse set of Natural Language Understanding (NLU) tasks. The added tasks include single-sentence classification, similarity and paraphrase tasks, and Natural Language Inference (NLI) tasks. On evaluating the models using added tasks, we observe that the existing models fall short in handling complex NLU tasks effectively. This expanded benchmark sets a new standard for evaluating, comparing, and advancing models, contributing significantly to the broader goal of advancing NLP research for low-resource languages.

## 1 Introduction

Nepali is written in the Devanagari script and is a highly inflected language. The Nepali language incorporates a complex system of noun, adjective, and verb inflections. Nouns have a system of gender, case, and number [1]. It has a rich vocabulary with many homonyms and is spoken in different dialects across various regions, and there are variations in vocabulary, grammar, and pronunciation. In order to develop and establish robust models for Nepali, it is crucial to have reliable mechanisms for evaluating their quality and effectiveness. Tools that enable us to assess how well models handle the unique linguistic challenges while identifying their limitations are really important to drive the progress.

Despite Nepali's importance as a primary or secondary language for millions of speakers, research efforts and resources dedicated to its computational processing and evaluation remain relatively sparse. Existing benchmarks, such as Nep-gLUE [2], have made significant progress in this direction, providing a foundation for evaluating models on fundamental tasks. However, these benchmarks are limited in scope, primarily addressing a few tasks and overlooking critical aspects of linguistic understanding such as pronoun resolution, paraphrase interpretation, and advanced inference capabilities. To address this, we present an expanded suite of Natural Language Understanding (NLU) datasets designed to evaluate models on a broader range of linguistic tasks. Building on the Nep-gLUE benchmark [2], which evaluates models on four tasks, we introduce eight additional datasets that cover diverse aspects of NLU. The new tasks include Sentiment Analysis (SA), Corpus of Linguistic Acceptability (CoLA), Paraphrase Detection, with datasets such as Quora Question Pairs (QQP) and the Microsoft Research Paraphrase Corpus (MRPC), NLI, with datasets such as Multi-Genre NLI (MNLI), Question-Answer NLI (QA/NLI), Recognizing Textual Entailment (RTE), and Coreference Resolution (CR). These tasks collectively offer a more comprehensive evaluation of NLU capabilities for Nepali language models.

The table below provides an overview of the tasks, the number of data points, the evaluation metrics employed, and the domains from which the datasets were collected. All statistics presented are derived from the translated benchmark.

Corpus	Train	Test	Task	Metric	Domain
<b>Nep-gLUE (Existing)</b>					
NER	68.8k	17.2k	Named Entity Recognition	F1	misc.
POS	89.1k	22.2k	Part-of-Speech Tagging	F1	misc.
CPS	36k	9k	Categorical Pair Similarity	F1	misc.
CC	35.5k	8.8k	Content Classification	F1	misc.
<b>Single sentence tasks (Added)</b>					
SA	65.1k	16.3k	sentiment analysis	F1	Movie Reviews
CoLA	8.4k	1k	acceptability judgements	F1	misc.
<b>Similarity and Paraphrase Tasks (Added)</b>					
QQP	20k	4.29k	paraphrase	F1	social QA
MPRC	3.2k	815	paraphrase	F1	News
<b>Natural Language Inference (Added)</b>					
MNLI	19.2k	4.8k	NLI	F1	misc.
QA/NLI	12k	3k	QA/NLI	F1	Wikipedia
RTE	2.2k	554	NLI	F1	News, Wikipedia
CR	635	71	coreference resolution	F1	Fiction

Table 1: Task descriptions and statistics showing the existing Nep-gLUE dataset and newly added datasets.

The expanded NLU dataset is inspired by the General Language Understanding Evaluation (GLUE) benchmark [3] and was primarily created through a combination of automated and manual processes to ensure high-quality, task-specific datasets. Our contributions involves translating datasets with large language models (LLMs), particularly gpt-4o-mini[4]. We ensured the accuracy and contextual relevance of these translations. We also conducted a thorough review of the availability of existing Nepali datasets for each task and if such datasets existed, we integrated them with the translated data, carefully removing duplicates to create a unified, comprehensive dataset. For tasks like Acceptability Judgments and WNLI (Coreference), where suitable datasets or high-quality translations were unavailable, we performed manual translations to ensure linguistic accuracy and consistency. These efforts collectively ensure that the final dataset is both robust and reflective of the linguistic diversity in the Nepali language.

To assess the effectiveness of the expanded benchmark and performance of models, we conducted experiments by fine-tuning both monolingual models trained exclusively on Nepali-language data and multilingual models that include Nepali as one of their supported languages. Each model was fine-tuned on the newly introduced tasks and evaluated using metrics provided in Table 1, providing a comprehensive understanding of their performance on various aspects of Natural Language Understanding (NLU).

## 2 Related Works

Benchmarks such as GLUE [3] and its successor Super General Language Understanding Evaluation (SuperGLUE) benchmark [5] have been instrumental in advancing research in Natural Language Understanding (NLU). GLUE [3] introduced a multitask framework for evaluating various NLU capabilities, such as single-sentence classification, sentence-pair similarity, and inference tasks. SuperGLUE [5] extended this with more challenging tasks, including causal reasoning and co-reference resolution, addressing the limitations of GLUE [3] for state-of-the-art models. These benchmarks set a standard for evaluating linguistic and semantic understanding in high-resource languages like English, inspiring adaptations in other languages and low-resource settings. Efforts such as XGLUE [6] and XTREME [7] expanded these concepts to multilingual contexts, allowing learning of cross-lingual transfer.

Nep-gLUE [2] is the first comprehensive benchmark for Natural Language Understanding (NLU) tasks in Nepali. It includes four core tasks: Named Entity Recognition (NER), Part-of-Speech Tagging (POS), Content Classification (CC), and Categorical Pair Similarity (CPS). Although Nep-gLUE offers a robust foundation with its multitask dataset, it falls short in addressing more advanced NLP tasks necessary for comprehensive evaluations of models at the linguistic level. Advanced and complex tasks are crucial for further progress in low-resource languages such as Nepali.

Nepali Sentiment Analysis (NepSA) [8] is a targeted aspect-based sentiment analysis dataset, comprising 3,068 comments extracted from 37 YouTube videos across 9 channels. The dataset is annotated using a binary sentiment polarity schema across six aspects: General, Profanity, Violence, Feedback, Sarcasm, and Out-of-scope. Another dataset, Aspect-Based Sentiment Analysis [9], contains 1,576 sentences, equally divided between positive and negative sentiments. Additionally, sentiment analysis datasets like Nepali Language Sentiment Analysis - Movie Reviews [10], 602 data points, and Nepali Sentiment Analysis [11], 2,161 data points found on Kaggle, are limited in size and domain specific. For our benchmark, we used the NepCOVIDTweets dataset [12], which includes 33.5k sentiments labeled as positive, negative, or neutral. We selected 14.9k positive and 13.5k negative data points for sentiment analysis. A more recent dataset, Sentiment of Election-Based Nepali Tweets [13], contains 17.8k tweets but includes English characters and numbers, making it less suitable for our benchmark dataset. And there are no publicly available datasets for co-reference resolution, acceptability judgment, or paraphrase detection in the Nepali language. While some studies have explored aspects of Nepali grammar, the absence of datasets for advanced tasks represents a significant gap of resources.

### 3 Tasks

NLUE is a benchmark designed to evaluate the performance of language understanding models across a variety of tasks, building on the foundation established by its predecessor, Nep-gLUE. The objective of NLUE is to provide a robust evaluation metric applicable to a broad range of language understanding challenges. We describe the tasks below and in Table 1.

#### 3.1 Single-Sentence Tasks

Single-sentence tasks in the NLUE benchmark focus on assessing a model’s ability to understand and analyze individual sentences. These tasks evaluate a model’s ability to understand and interpret the meaning, sentiment, and grammatical structure of individual sentences.

##### 3.1.1 SA

A sentiment analysis dataset has been added to evaluate models’ ability to classify the emotional tone (positive, negative) of Nepali text. We created the dataset for sentiment analysis by translating Stanford Sentiment Treebank [14] which consists of sentences from movie reviews and human annotations of their sentiment from the GLUE Benchmark using GPT-4o-mini [4], and manually translating instances that could not be accurately translated. It has 51k data points and is approximately equally divided between two classes, positive and negative sentiment, and uses only sentence-level labels. We incorporated this dataset with pre-existing sentiment analysis of Nepali COVID-19-related tweets [12], with 15k data points for each positive and negative sentiment. In total, the dataset has 81k data points, split equally between both classes. The evaluation metrics are Accuracy and F1 score.

##### 3.1.2 CoLA

This dataset tests the model’s ability to distinguish grammatically correct and incorrect sentences in Nepali. The task involves determining whether a given sentence follows the linguistic rules of Nepali, ensuring the model can assess grammaticality. To create the acceptability judgments dataset, we translated the Corpus of Linguistic Acceptability (CoLA)[15] into Nepali which consists of judgments drawn from books and journal articles on linguistic theory from the GLUE Benchmark using gpt-4o-mini [4]. For sections that were not translated correctly, we relied on manual translation. It has 9.5k data points, with both (correct/incorrect) classes.

#### 3.2 Similarity and Paraphrase Task

Similarity and Paraphrase Task in the NLUE benchmark evaluates a model’s ability to determine whether two sentences convey the same meaning or are paraphrases of each other. By focusing on this aspect of language comprehension, these tasks provide valuable insights into a model’s proficiency in handling diverse expressions of similar ideas.

##### 3.2.1 QQP

We have introduced a paraphrase detection dataset to assess whether models can correctly determine whether two Nepali sentences convey the same meaning. The Quora Question Pairs [16] dataset is a collection of question pairs from the community question-answering website Quora. Using GPT-4o-mini,[16] we translated the Quora Question

Pairs from the GLUE Benchmark into Nepali to create a paraphrase detection dataset. The class distribution of paraphrase detection is almost balanced, and we report F1 score.

### 3.2.2 MRPC

We have introduced another paraphrase detection dataset based on the Microsoft Research Paraphrase Corpus [17]. The MRPC dataset, originally developed by Microsoft, consists of sentence pairs extracted from news articles, is used to identify whether the sentences are paraphrases of each other. Using GPT-4o-mini, we translated the MRPC dataset into Nepali to create a paraphrase detection dataset for evaluation. The class distribution of this dataset is 70-30, with a higher proportion of paraphrase pairs, and we report an F1 score to evaluate model performance.

## 3.3 Inference Tasks

The NLI tasks in this benchmark, assess a model’s ability to understand relationships between sentences, such as entailment, contradiction, and neutral alignment. These tasks are crucial because they evaluate a model’s comprehension of contextual meaning, logical inference, and its ability to handle complex linguistic structures, making them essential for advancing robust language understanding.

### 3.3.1 CR

This dataset tests the model’s ability to resolve coreference relationships within a Nepali text. We developed the conference resolution dataset by manually translating the Winograd Schema Challenge [18], which is a reading comprehension task in which a system must read a sentence with a pronoun and select the referent of that pronoun from a list of choices. To convert the problem into sentence pair classification, sentence pairs are constructed by replacing the ambiguous pronoun with each possible referent. The task is to predict if the sentence with the pronoun substituted is entailed by the original sentence. The training set has 635 data points and the test set has 71 data points, balanced between two classes, evaluated with F1 score.

### 3.3.2 MNL

The dataset is translated into Nepali from the Stanford Natural Language Inference Corpus [19] using GPT-4o-mini. This corpus is a crowd-sourced collection of sentence pairs annotated with textual entailment labels. Each pair consists of a premise and a hypothesis, and the task is to predict the relationship between them—whether the premise entails the hypothesis (entailment), contradicts it (contradiction), or is unrelated (neutral).

### 3.3.3 QA/NLI

The QA/NLI (Question-answering Natural Language Inference) dataset has been adapted for Nepali from GLUE benchmark by translating the original English dataset using GPT-4o-mini which originates from the Stanford Question Answering Dataset [20] that contains question-paragraph pairs sourced from Wikipedia. This dataset evaluates a model’s ability to determine whether a context sentence contains the answer to a given question, framed as a sentence-pair classification task. The dataset has an equal division between entailment and non-entailment pairs, ensuring balanced class distribution.

### 3.3.4 RTE

The Recognizing Textual Entailment (RTE) dataset for this benchmark is converted to Nepali by translating the GLUE benchmark’s RTE dataset using GPT-4o-mini. The dataset evaluates a model’s ability to predict whether a hypothesis logically follows from a given premise, framed as a two-class classification task. For consistency, examples are categorized into entailment and not entailment, collapsing the neutral and contradiction classes into the latter where applicable, securing balanced class distribution.

## 4 Result

We conducted fine-tuning and evaluation across all tasks using a range of hyperparameter combinations to ensure comprehensive analysis. Specifically, we experimented with learning rates between  $1e-5$  and  $5e-5$ , freezing and unfreezing final four layers, and trained for 4 to 10 epochs. For each task, we selected the best-performing model on the test set based on its evaluation metrics. Scores for each model across each task is provided in Table 2.

Our results demonstrate that the models generally perform well on simpler tasks, such as Named Entity Recognition (NER) and Part-of-Speech (POS) tagging, where patterns in the data are easier to learn and do not require deep contextual understanding. However, as the complexity of the tasks increases, such as in tasks requiring reasoning or contextual inference (e.g., Natural Language Inference), model performance declines significantly. This gap is particularly pronounced in tasks where the available fine-tuning data is limited, further emphasizing the models’ inability to generalize effectively when trained on smaller datasets.

This trend highlights a critical limitation in the current Nepali language models. While they can excel in tasks with abundant data and straightforward structures, their performance struggles to scale for tasks demanding complex reasoning or where training data is sparse.

Model	PARAMS	NER	POS	CC	CPS	SA	CoLA	QQP	MPRC	MNLI	QA/NLI	RTE	CR
multilingual BERT [21]	172M	85.45	94.65	91.08	93.60	86.711	80.803	78.16	70.14	74.45	78.56	63.90	45.77
XLM-Rbase [22]	270M	87.59	94.88	92.33	93.65	88.732	81.776	77.73	69.22	76.42	81.22	56.11	47.513
NepBERT [23]	110M	79.12	90.63	90.98	91.05	87.565	81.175	72.01	71.55	71.28	79.37	55.81	54.921
NepaliBERT [24]	110M	82.45	91.67	90.10	89.46	83.421	80.974	66.46	69.31	71.59	79.28	52.4	49.2
NepBERTa [2]	110M	91.09	95.56	93.13	94.42	84.438	80.656	74.42	71.29	72.80	80.3	57.72	52.198
BERT Nepali [25]	110M	93.57	96.94	94.47	95.72	87.901	81.646	75.28	70.38	74.66	80.29	52.43	58.816
RoBERTa Nepali [25]	125M	93.74	97.52	94.68	96.49	88.33	21.56	78.43	69.87	75.78	80.86	54.64	47.21

Table 2: Scores of each model across twelve evaluation tasks

## 5 Analysis

Both monolingual and multilingual models show impressive performance on simpler tasks like named entity recognition (NER), part-of-speech tagging (POS), and content classification, which primarily depend on token-level or lexical patterns. However, their effectiveness drops when given more complex challenges, such as natural language inference (NLI) and paraphrase detection, which requires contextual understanding. This suggests that although these models are good at identifying linguistic features, they frequently encounter difficulties in tasks that require understanding, logical inference or adaptation to specific domains. The result shows us the significant limitations in generalization capabilities of all models. Tasks such as RTE and WNLI, which span diverse domains like Wikipedia and fiction, and contain fewer examples to finetune, reveal notable performance gaps, highlighting the critical need for more diverse and representative training corpora.

## 6 Conclusion

In this paper, we created a new benchmark (NLUE), extending the Nep-gLUE benchmark by adding eight new tasks, resulting in a total of twelve tasks. Through our experiments, we found that while there have been a few models developed for Nepali, their ability to generalize in complex linguistic scenarios is still limited. Specifically, when fine-tuned on datasets of limited size, these models often underperform, highlighting challenges in their robustness across diverse language use cases. A key factor contributing to this limitation can be the heavy reliance on news data for training, which does not fully capture the variety and richness of the Nepali language. As a result, models trained primarily on news data struggle to generalize effectively when evaluated on tasks or domains they have not encountered before. Future work should focus on diversifying the training data and exploring novel methods to improve generalization across various tasks and domains. We believe that these benchmarks will serve as foundation for future research in Language Models.

## References

- [1] Bal Krishna Bal. *Structure of Nepali Grammar*. 2004.
- [2] Sulav Timilsina, Milan Gautam, and Binod Bhattarai. Nepberta: Nepali language model trained in a large corpus. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Conference of the Asia-Pacific Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 12th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL), 2022.
- [3] Alex Wang. Glue: A multi-task benchmark and analysis platform for natural language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.07461*, 2018.
- [4] OpenAI. Gpt-4o mini: Advancing cost-efficient intelligence., 2024.

- [5] Alex Wang, Yada Pruksachatkun, Nikita Nangia, Amanpreet Singh, Julian Michael, Felix Hill, Omer Levy, and Samuel R. Bowman. Superglue: A stickier benchmark for general-purpose language understanding systems, 2020.
- [6] Yaobo Liang, Nan Duan, Yeyun Gong, Ning Wu, Fenfei Guo, Weizhen Qi, Ming Gong, Linjun Shou, Daxin Jiang, Guihong Cao, Xiaodong Fan, Ruofei Zhang, Rahul Agrawal, Edward Cui, Sining Wei, Taroon Bharti, Ying Qiao, Jiun-Hung Chen, Winnie Wu, Shuguang Liu, Fan Yang, Daniel Campos, Rangan Majumder, and Ming Zhou. XGLUE: A new benchmark dataset for cross-lingual pre-training, understanding and generation. In Bonnie Webber, Trevor Cohn, Yulan He, and Yang Liu, editors, *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 6008–6018, Online, November 2020. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [7] Junjie Hu, Sebastian Ruder, Aditya Siddhant, Graham Neubig, Orhan Firat, and Melvin Johnson. Xtreme: A massively multilingual multi-task benchmark for evaluating cross-lingual generalization, 2020.
- [8] Oyesh Mann Singh, Sandesh Timilsina, Bal Krishna Bal, and Anupam Joshi. Aspect based abusive sentiment detection in nepali social media texts. In *2020 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM)*, pages 301–308, 2020.
- [9] Sujan Tamrakar, Bal Krishna Bal, and Rajendra Thapa. *Aspect Based Sentiment Analysis of Nepali Text Using Support Vector Machine and Naive Bayes*. PhD thesis, 10 2020.
- [10] Shikhar Ghimire. Nepali language sentiment analysis - movie reviews.
- [11] Mahesh Acharya. Nepali language sentiment analysis.
- [12] Chiranjibi Sitaula, Anish Basnet, Ashish Mainali, and Tej Shahi. Deep learning-based methods for sentiment analysis on nepali covid-19-related tweets. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2021, 11 2021.
- [13] Durga Pokharel. Sentiment of election based nepali tweets.
- [14] Richard Socher, Alex Perelygin, Jean Wu, Jason Chuang, Christopher D. Manning, Andrew Ng, and Christopher Potts. Recursive deep models for semantic compositionality over a sentiment treebank. In David Yarowsky, Timothy Baldwin, Anna Korhonen, Karen Livescu, and Steven Bethard, editors, *Proceedings of the 2013 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1631–1642, Seattle, Washington, USA, October 2013. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [15] Alex Warstadt, Amanpreet Singh, and Samuel R. Bowman. Neural network acceptability judgments. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 7:625–641, 2019.
- [16] Shankar Iyer, Nikhil Dandekar, and Kornél Csernai. First quora dataset release: Question pairs. *QuoraData*, 2017.
- [17] William B. Dolan and Chris Brockett. Automatically constructing a corpus of sentential paraphrases. In *Proceedings of the Third International Workshop on Paraphrasing (IWP2005)*, 2005.
- [18] Hector J. Levesque, Ernest Davis, and L. Morgenstern. The winograd schema challenge. In *AAAI Spring Symposium: Logical Formalizations of Commonsense Reasoning*, 2011.
- [19] Samuel R. Bowman, Gabor Angeli, Christopher Potts, and Christopher D. Manning. A large annotated corpus for learning natural language inference, 2015.
- [20] Pranav Rajpurkar, Jian Zhang, Konstantin Lopyrev, and Percy Liang. Squad: 100,000+ questions for machine comprehension of text, 2016.
- [21] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 4171–4186. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019.
- [22] Alexis Conneau, Kartikay Khandelwal, Naman Goyal, Vishrav Chaudhary, Guillaume Wenzek, Francisco Guzmán, Edouard Grave, Myle Ott, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.02116v2*, 2020.
- [23] Shushanta Pudasaini, Subarna Shakya, Aakash Tamang, Sajjan Adhikari, Sunil Thapa, and Sagar Lamichhane. Nepalibert: Pre-training of masked language model in nepali corpus. In *7th International Conference on IoT in Social, Mobile, Analytics and Cloud*, 2023.
- [24] Rajan. Nepalibert, 2021.
- [25] Prajwal Thapa, Jinu Nyachhyon, Mridul Sharma, and Bal Krishna Bal. Development of pre-trained transformer-based models for the nepali language, 2024.