

Tracking Progress Towards Sustainable Development Goal 6 Using Satellite Imagery

Othmane Echchabi [†], Nizar Talty [†], Josh Manto [†], Aya Lahlou [‡], Ka Leung Lam ^{†,*}

[†] Division of Natural and Applied Sciences, Duke Kunshan University, Kunshan, Jiangsu 215316, China.

[‡] Department of Earth and Environmental Engineering, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, United States.

*Corresponding author. Email: kaleung.lam@dukekunshan.edu.cn

Abstract

Clean water and sanitation are essential for health, well-being, and sustainable development, yet significant global disparities remain. Although the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goal 6 has clear targets for universal access to clean water and sanitation, data coverage and openness remain obstacles for tracking progress in many countries. Nontraditional data sources are needed to fill this gap. This study incorporated Afrobarometer survey data, satellite imagery (Landsat 8 and Sentinel-2), and deep learning techniques (Meta's DINO model) to develop a modelling framework for evaluating access to piped water and sewage systems across diverse African regions. The modelling framework demonstrated high accuracy, achieving over 96% and 97% accuracy in identifying areas with piped water access and sewage system access respectively using satellite imagery. It can serve as a screening tool for policymakers and stakeholders to potentially identify regions for more targeted and prioritized efforts to improve water and sanitation infrastructure. When coupled with spatial population data, the modelling framework can also estimate and track the national-level percentages of the population with access to piped water and sewage systems. In the future, this approach could potentially be extended to evaluate other SDGs, particularly those related to critical infrastructure.

Keywords: sustainable development goals, clean water, sanitation, satellite imagery, deep learning

1 Introduction

Access to clean water and sanitation is the most basic human need for health and wellbeing. The United Nations Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 6 has clear targets (Targets 6.1 and 6.2) on achieving this vision ¹. 129 countries and territories were still not on track to achieve a broader vision of sustainable water management by 2030. As of 2023, 26% of the world population is lacking access to safely managed drinking water service and 46% is lacking safely managed sanitation ².

Accurate, timely and disaggregated data are essential for measuring progress towards all the SDGs and associated targets ³. High quality data are instrumental in identifying challenges, developing solutions, monitoring implementation, and making the necessary adjustments ⁴. Most water and sanitation quality assessments are conducted through field surveys. The major input to SDG reporting is presently data gathered by national statistics offices, government ministries, and international organizations. However, these methods are logistically challenging and costly to perform on a large scale, especially in developing countries. Developing countries lack necessary funds as well as the infrastructure to collect necessary data from traditional sources. This impedes progress as there is no benchmark or measuring tool of successful change. As significant investment is required to achieve universal access to safe drinking water and sanitation, being able to know where to invest and monitor the performance of investment is critical ⁵.

Data collected at the national level is often insufficient to show existing regional variations to clean water and sanitation access. Without disaggregated data, it is difficult to address regional inequalities in water and sanitation access. The "SDGs for all" vision involves reaching the most remote communities that may be missed on official population maps and disadvantaged from receiving the consideration of many policy initiatives ⁶. In addition, data-driven water management has been mostly performed in the urban setting of the developed countries, while developing countries may have limited resources and political incentives to continue monitor their progresses and regional variations, let alone using a more data-driven approach. While censuses and UN statistics offer valuable insights into a

country's water and sanitation access on a broad scale, they may not always provide a detailed account of sub-national variations. This can mask regional disparities and inequalities in access to safely managed water and sanitation within the same country. Efforts to obtain and analyze data at more localized levels are important to fully understand and address these disparities.

To address this challenge, geospatial imagery and deep learning have been increasingly applied to assess progress towards various SDGs ⁷. For instance, Oshri *et al.* (2018)⁸ demonstrated the effectiveness of convolutional neural networks in evaluating infrastructure quality across Africa, achieving high accuracy in predicting access to essential services. Yeh *et al.* (2020)⁹ utilized multispectral satellite imagery and deep learning models to assess economic well-being in African villages and highlighted the potential of these approaches in understanding infrastructure disparities. Persello *et al.* (2022)¹⁰ have demonstrated the application of deep learning techniques in analyzing Earth observation data to support the SDGs, contributing to more accurate and scalable monitoring efforts.

In the context of water, satellite remote sensing techniques have been largely applied in studying freshwater and marine water quality ^{11,12}, urban water ^{13,14}, evapotranspiration and rainfall ^{15,16}, and water ecosystems ^{17,18}. The techniques can provide large-scale and up-to-date data on water resources to facilitate their monitoring and management. Deep learning models can potentially further enhance these techniques to enable the extraction of valuable insights from high resolution satellite imagery ¹⁹. While the techniques have been applied to study water bodies and natural water cycles, there is a limited use of this approach for water infrastructure quality assessment.

This study aims to demonstrate the use of satellite imagery and deep learning to evaluate piped water access and sewage system access in African regions. The developed modelling framework showcases the potential use of satellite imagery and deep learning to derive data to support the tracking of progress toward SDG 6. High-quality, high-resolution, and globally available data provide an evidence base to truly understand where we are succeeding and falling short on the SDGs.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Workflow overview

This study comprised three main stages: data compilation and processing, model training, and model evaluation (Figure 1). In the data compilation and processing stage, the focus was on establishing a reliable ground truth dataset and standardizing the satellite imagery (See the Data sources section). The Afrobarometer survey data from Round 7 (2019) and Round 8 (2021) including 45,823 and 48,084 interview responses respectively were first compiled ^{20,21}. These interviews were conducted across 9,013 and 6,236 unique locations respectively in Africa. The survey responses indicated whether piped water systems and sewage systems were present in the primary sampling unit or enumeration area, and accessible to most houses within their area. By integrating GPS coordinates with the classifications of piped water access and sewage system access, a dataset that reflected the on-the-ground conditions was created. To augment the survey data, satellite images from Landsat 8 and Sentinel-2 were obtained via Google Earth Engine ²². For each surveyed location, monthly satellite images for the 12 months surrounding the survey years were acquired based on the GPS coordinates from the survey. Each image was screened to ensure a cloud cover of 10% or less. The satellite images were also standardized across both Landsat 8 and Sentinel-2 datasets to ensure consistency in the data used for model training. This resulted in a dataset of 75,850 Landsat 8 images and 68,398 Sentinel-2 images.




Figure 1. Workflow for evaluating access to piped water and sewage systems using survey data and satellite images.

In the model training stage, a self-supervised learning approach was employed (See the Modelling approach section). The model was trained on three distinct datasets: Landsat 8 images alone, Sentinel-2 images alone, and a combined dataset incorporating both sources. Each dataset was processed independently to assess the model’s adaptability and performance across different image types. This approach allowed us to evaluate how the model performs when exposed to varying levels of spatial and spectral resolution provided by the different satellite sources.

Finally, in the model evaluation stage, the performance of the model was assessed by determining its accuracy in predicting the presence of piped water access and sewage system access based on satellite imagery. Comparative analyses were conducted across the different training configurations to identify the most effective data combinations and model settings. This evaluation provided insights into the strengths and limitations of each dataset configuration, guiding us toward the most optimal model performance for our classification tasks.

2.2 Data sources

In this research, the Afrobarometer survey data from Round 7 (2019) and Round 8 (2021) were utilized. The datasets include 45,823 and 48,084 interview responses across 9,013 and 6,236 unique locations in Africa (Figure 2). This survey provides rich, ground-level insights into various socio-economic indicators, including access to piped water and sewage systems. Specifically, the survey includes responses that indicate whether piped water systems and sewage systems are present and accessible to most households within the surveyed areas. Additionally, variables capturing the reliability and consistency of water supply such as the frequency of time respondents or their families went without enough clean water for home use over the past year were incorporated. This data enhances our ground truth dataset by providing both the presence of infrastructure and its reliability based on interview observations ²¹.

To address spatial data gaps, satellite images from USGS Landsat 8 (30 m resolution) and Harmonized Sentinel-2 MSI (10 m resolution) via Google Earth Engine were incorporated. By filtering images to ensure a cloud cover of 10% or less and acquiring monthly images for 12 months surrounding the survey years, a substantial dataset of 75,850 Landsat 8 and 68,398 Sentinel-2 images was compiled. This high-resolution, regularly updated imagery facilitates the identification and analysis of water and sanitation infrastructure across diverse African regions (Figure 3).

There are distinct differences between areas with and without piped water access (Figure 3). Due to the limitations in directly visualizing underground or concealed infrastructure, our approach presenting in the following sections utilizes a self-supervised learning model that infers the presence of piped water systems based on observable environmental features, land use features, and water infrastructure components such as bulk water transfer pipes, reservoirs, pump stations, treatment facilities, water tanks, and water towers.





Figure 2. Map showing the study locations of the survey data

Regions without piped water access



15°01'03.4"N 23°36'58.1"W
Cabo Verde




16°13'33.6"N 3°13'28.2"W
Mali



35°07'52.7"N 4°30'55.5"W
Morocco

Regions with piped water access



13°28'41.9"N 16°31'37.3"W
The Gambia



14°55'03.8"N 23°30'06.3"W
Cabo Verde



35°24'59.6"N 9°07'27.9"E
Tunisia

Figure 3. Labelled Sentinel-2 satellite images of some sampled regions in Africa

There are distinct differences between areas with and without piped water access (Figure 3). Due to the limitations in directly visualizing underground or concealed infrastructure, our approach presenting in the following sections utilizes a self-supervised learning model that infers the presence of piped water systems based on observable environmental features, land use features, and water infrastructure components such as bulk water transfer pipes, reservoirs, pump stations, treatment facilities, water tanks, and water towers.

2.3 Modelling approach


A self-supervised learning approach utilizing the Meta’s DINO (Distillation with No Labels) model in conjunction with Vision Transformers (ViTs) was employed²³. DINO leverages self-supervised learning techniques to extract meaningful features from large datasets of unlabeled images, making it particularly suitable for remote sensing applications where labelled data is often limited. Vision Transformers, known for their ability to capture long-range dependencies through self-attention mechanisms, efficiently process the complexity and variability inherent in satellite imagery²⁴.

2.3.1 Model Architecture and Pre-training

At the core of our modelling approach lies the Vision Transformer (ViT) architecture, specifically the ViT-base configuration and the ViT-tiny configuration. This model comprises 12 transformer layers, each with 768 hidden dimensions and 12 attention heads, striking a balance between computational efficiency and model capacity²³. The ViT-base has demonstrated superior performance in various image recognition tasks by effectively capturing intricate patterns and relationships within the data²³.

The training process was initiated with self-supervised pre-training using the DINO framework. DINO employs a knowledge distillation approach, where two identical networks—a student and a teacher—are utilized. The teacher network’s weights are maintained as an exponential moving average of the student’s weights. During training, the student network learns to generate representations that closely match those of the teacher network without requiring labelled data. This methodology encourages the development of robust and invariant feature representations, and enhances the model’s ability to generalize across diverse and unlabeled satellite images²³.

As an example, Figure 4 illustrates the 12 attention heads of the ViT-base model applied to a Sentinel-2 image of Casablanca, Morocco. Each attention head highlights different regions and features, such as urban areas, vegetation, water bodies, and finer textures, demonstrating the model’s ability to capture both global and local patterns simultaneously. This diverse focus allows the Vision Transformer to effectively differentiate between various land cover types and intricate details, enhancing its image recognition and classification performance. The coordinated attention across multiple heads showcases the ViT’s strength in integrating complex spatial information, ensuring a comprehensive analysis of high-resolution remote sensing data.



33° 35' 35" N 7° 36' 59" W
Casablanca, Morocco




Figure 4. The 12 attention heads of the ViT-base model

2.3.2 Fine-Tuning for Classification Tasks

Following the pre-training stage, the model was fine-tuned to tackle specific classification tasks related to water and sanitation services. Two distinct classification heads, one for binary classification and another for multiclass objectives were created. This could allow the model to assess infrastructure presence and reliability in greater detail. The fine-tuning process incorporates k-Nearest Neighbors (k-NN) for classification. In k-NN, feature representations of both training and test samples are extracted using the ViT model. For each test sample, the 'k' closest samples from the training set are identified based on a distance metric like Euclidean distance. The test sample is then classified by assigning it the most common label among its 'k' nearest neighbors.

The binary classification (two Classes) relies solely on the presence or absence of piped water and sewage systems as observed by interviewers from the Afrobarometer survey (Table 1). It categorizes each surveyed location into either having (1) or not having (0) the respective infrastructure. The multiclass classification (four Classes) combines the presence of piped water with the reliability of water supply based on survey responses about the frequency of water shortages (Table 2). This classification allows the model to differentiate between various levels of piped water access quality and reliability.

Table 1. The structure of the binary classification setting

Input Features	Indicators of piped water system and sewage system presence	
Output Classes	0: No piped water access	1: Piped water access
	0: No sewage system access	1: Sewage system access

Table 2. The structure of the multiclass classification setting

Input Features	Indicators of piped water system and its reliability			
Output Classes	0: No piped water access	1: Drinking water access without piped water infrastructure	2: Piped water access with reliable and high-quality supply	3: Piped water access with occasional shortages or quality issues

2.4 Training Setup

The model was trained with different settings (e.g., model architecture, number of classes, satellite imagery sources) on 8 NVIDIA A40 GPUs in a distributed learning setting to utilize parallel processing capabilities and reduce training time. The training duration varied between 2 to 5 days, depending on the complexity and size of the dataset. For instance, training a model with the ViT Base architecture on a merged dataset comprising Landsat and Sentinel-2 imagery required approximately 4 days.

A batch size ranging from 16 to 32 was utilized, with a patch size of 8 for image inputs, aligning with standard ViT configurations. For optimization, the AdamW optimizer was employed. Other hyperparameters were set to their default values, as variations did not significantly impact model performance in our experiments (e.g., teacher temperature, weight decay). The learning rate was initialized at 0.0005, with an initial weight decay of 0.04, which increased to 0.4 towards the final stages of training to stabilize the learning process.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Evaluating piped water access

The availability of piped water supply is a critical indicator for achieving SDG 6, which aims to “ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all”. Specifically, Target 6.1 focuses on achieving universal and equitable access to safe and affordable drinking water ¹. In this context, this study evaluated the effectiveness of various Vision Transformer (ViT) models in classifying regions based on their access to piped water, utilizing satellite imagery from Landsat and Sentinel datasets (Figure 5). The models employ different ViT architectures (i.e., Base or Tiny) and pre-training datasets (Landsat, Sentinel, or merged satellite imagery). Image sizes were consistently set to 256 pixels, with pre-training epochs varying across models to optimize performance (See Supporting Information showing the accuracy over epochs).

The multiclass classification models (i.e., four classes) achieved k-Nearest Neighbors (k-NN) accuracy scores ranging from 77.32% to 84.88% (Figure 5 and Table S1). The accuracy improved consistently over the epochs (Table S1). These results highlight the complexity of distinguishing between multiple classes of water access, reflecting the challenges in monitoring progress toward SDG Target 6.1 at a granular level. Notably, models pre-trained on merged datasets (combining Landsat and Sentinel imagery) tended to perform better, achieving up to 84.88% accuracy. This suggests that integrating data from multiple satellite sources enhances the ability of the model to capture diverse features related to water infrastructure.

In contrast, the binary classification models consistently achieved higher k-NN accuracy scores, ranging from 88.34% to 96.05% (Figure 5 and Table S1). The higher accuracy in binary classification reflects the inherent simplicity of distinguishing between two classes compared to four. It also emphasizes the potential for these models to reliably identify areas lacking basic water services, directly informing efforts to achieve SDG Target 6.1.




Figure 5. Classification accuracy for piped water access and sewage system access

Comparing the binary and multiclass classification models reveals a clear trade-off between simplicity and granularity. While binary models offer higher accuracy, multiclass models provide more detailed insights into the varying levels of water access, which is helpful for more targeted interventions and resource allocation. The superior performance of the ViT Base architecture over ViT Tiny architecture indicates that larger models with greater capacity are better suited for capturing the complex patterns inherent in satellite imagery related to water infrastructure. This aligns with existing literature highlighting the advantages of deeper and more complex models in handling high-dimensional data.

Furthermore, the slight decrease in accuracy when using larger validation image sizes (1024 pixels) suggests that models trained on 256-pixel inputs can generalize accurately to larger images. This scalability is crucial for practical applications and efficient analysis of high-resolution satellite imagery across extensive geographic areas, especially when the objective is to screen out some potential areas for further investigations.

3.2 Evaluating sewage system access

Effective sewage management is integral to SDG 6, particularly Target 6.2, which aims to “achieve access to adequate and equitable sanitation and hygiene for all”¹. Proper sewage systems are essential for preventing waterborne diseases, reducing environmental pollution, and ensuring overall public health and safety. The access to sewage systems was evaluated using a similar binary classification approach as in the evaluation of the access to piped water.

The binary classification models for sewage system detection achieved k-Nearest Neighbors (k-NN) accuracy scores ranging from **91.51%** to **97.45%** (Figure 5 and Table S2). Specifically, the ViT Base models pre-trained on Landsat and Sentinel datasets demonstrated the highest accuracies of 97.45% and 97.35%, respectively. Models trained on merged datasets also performed exceptionally well, indicating that combining Landsat and Sentinel imagery enhances the model's ability to potentially identify sewage infrastructure. Even when validated on larger image sizes (1,024 pixels), the models maintained high accuracy, suggesting strong generalization capabilities.

These results demonstrate the capability of the modelling framework to accurately identify regions with and without proper sewage infrastructure, providing insights for monitoring progress toward SDG Target 6.2. Similar to the piped water access modelling framework, those pre-trained on merged datasets generally outperformed single-dataset modelling, highlighting the benefits of diverse training data in capturing the multifaceted characteristics of sewage systems from satellite imagery.

3.3 National-level assessment

To further explore the application of the modelling framework built, the trained model with the highest accuracy (i.e., ViT Base architecture with a binary classification setting, pre-trained with the Sentinel dataset) was employed to evaluate the national-level situation of piped water access for a specific country – Madagascar. It involved utilizing satellite images from regions in Madagascar with high population density (collectively, a total coverage of 95% population in Madagascar) (Figure 6). Coupling the classification results (i.e., with or without piped water access by region) and the population density data from Meta's Data for Good initiative^{25,26}, it was estimated that approximately 46.3% of Madagascar's population had access to piped water. The estimate is comparable to the SDG reported figure on the proportion of the combined population having basic and safely managed drinking water services (54.4% in 2022)²⁷. The discrepancy may arise from factors such as the difference in the definitions of water service levels (i.e., piped water access VS basic/safely managed service), the limitations in image resolution and the uncertainty in the population density data (which originated from remote sensing). With the use of higher resolution satellite images and a higher number of attention heads in the model, it is expected that the results could be further enhanced. Nevertheless, the model can still serve as a screening tool to identify potential regions (especially regions with higher population density) for more targeted and prioritized efforts for improving water supply and sanitation infrastructure.




Figure 6. Piped water access assessment in Madagascar

3.4 Policy Implications

This study demonstrated the potential of deep learning models to monitor and evaluate piped water access and sewage system access from satellite imagery. By utilizing both Landsat and Sentinel datasets and ViT architectures, the precision and reliability of water and sanitation infrastructure assessments have been enhanced in this work. While previous approaches faced limitations such as geographic displacement noise and lower accuracy rates^{10,28}, the developed modelling framework in this work achieved very high accuracy levels for identifying piped water access and sewage system access. This developed modelling framework can serve as a screening tool for policymakers and stakeholders to identify regions, especially remote areas, for more targeted policy initiatives for making progress toward SDG 6. As higher-resolution satellite imagery becomes increasingly available, it is expected that some of the water infrastructure features could be classified with even greater accuracy. The use of Google Earth Engine²² facilitates the scalable processing of vast satellite datasets, aligning with the scalable solutions needed for SDG reporting at both national and subnational levels²⁹.

This study utilized alternative data sources to compensate for the existing challenges and limitations in data coverage and openness for supporting tracking of SDGs. Data coverage and openness related to SDGs varies significantly around the world³⁰. The continents with the highest data coverage and data openness scores are Europe and North America, two continents which are known to be more developed and with more financial resources. Africa has significantly lower scores for both data coverage and data openness compared to other continents. There exists a large variance in data coverage score even between different countries of Africa. Moreover, it is unlikely that continents such as Africa can overcome this gap in data coverage and openness through traditional data collection methods given the current staggering \$4 trillion gap in sustainable development investments for developing countries³¹. Therefore, coupling high-resolution satellite imagery and advanced deep learning models can help fill gaps in data coverage and openness, and support progress tracking.

A recent project, the Goal Tracker Platform, from the United Nations started tackling the data gap issue to create intra-country level portals containing detailed information about regional UN SDG indicators³². However, this project is still in its beginning stages and has data from only of few countries such as South Africa, Tanzania, and Sweden. Moreover, the tracker only has country portals for indicators of a few SDGs. While goal tracker is hoping to expand to other countries, it also indirectly favors developed countries into joining the project since it requires the existence of a specialized statistical agency and full-time engineers from those countries. The project, however, also accepts nontraditional data reports for countries with limited data. Datasets derived from satellite imagery as in this study could potentially serve as a data source.

The developed modelling framework can potentially be extended to evaluate other SDGs (especially those related to critical infrastructure), such as SDG 7 on Affordable and Clean Energy³³, SDG 9 on Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure, SDG 11 on Sustainable Cities and Communities³⁴, and SDG 15 on life on land³⁵. As infrastructure development is a key supporting factor for many of the SDGs, the extension will support many new opportunities and create useful datasets for policymakers and stakeholders to make more informed decisions.

References

1. United Nations, Goal 6: Clean Water and Sanitation. <https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal6> (accessed 2024-11-01).
2. UNESCO World Water Assessment Programme, *The United Nations World Water Development Report 2023: partnerships and cooperation for water*. the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization: Paris, France, 2023.
3. United Nations, Data for Development - A Needs Assessment for SDG Monitoring and Statistical Capacity Development. <https://sdgs.un.org/publications/data-development-needs-assessment-sdg-monitoring-and-statistical-capacity-development> (accessed 2024-11-01).
4. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (DESA) *Sustainable Development Goals Report 2024, 2024*. <https://desapublications.un.org/publications/sustainable-development-goals-report-2024>.
5. Larsen, T. A.; Hoffmann, S.; Lüthi, C.; Truffer, B.; Maurer, M., Emerging solutions to the water challenges of an urbanizing world. *Science* **2016**, *352*, (6288), 928-933, DOI 10.1126/science.aad8641.
6. International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD), How Satellite Imagery Can Support Clean Water for All. <https://sdg.iisd.org/news/how-satellite-imagery-can-support-clean-water-for-all/> (accessed 2024-11-01).
7. Yeh, C.; Meng, C.; Wang, S.; Driscoll, A.; Rozi, E.; Liu, P.; Lee, J.; Burke, M.; Lobell, D.; Ermon, S., SustainBench: Benchmarks for Monitoring the Sustainable Development Goals with Machine Learning. In *35th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS 2021)*, 2021.
8. Oshri, B.; Hu, A. N.; Adelson, P.; Chen, X.; Dupas, P.; Weinstein, J.; Burke, M.; Lobell, D.; Ermon, S., Infrastructure Quality Assessment in Africa using Satellite Imagery and Deep Learning. *Kdd'18: Proceedings of the 24th Acm Sigkdd International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining* **2018**, 616-625, DOI 10.1145/3219819.3219924.
9. Yeh, C.; Perez, A.; Driscoll, A.; Azzari, G.; Tang, Z. Y.; Lobell, D.; Ermon, S.; Burke, M., Using publicly available satellite imagery and deep learning to understand economic well-being in Africa. *Nat Commun* **2020**, *11*, (1), DOI 10.1038/s41467-020-16185-w.
10. Persello, C.; Wegner, J. D.; Hänsch, R.; Tuia, D.; Ghamisi, P.; Koeva, M.; Camps-Valls, G., Deep Learning and Earth Observation to Support the Sustainable Development Goals. *Ieee Geosc Rem Sen M* **2022**, *10*, (2), 172-200, DOI 10.1109/Mgrs.2021.3136100.
11. Fichot, C. G.; Downing, B. D.; Bergamaschi, B. A.; Windham-Myers, L.; Marvin-Dipasquale, M.; Thompson, D. R.; Gierach, M. M., High-Resolution Remote Sensing of Water Quality in the San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50*, (2), 573-583, DOI 10.1021/acs.est.5b03518.
12. Chen, Y.; Hozalski, R. M.; Olmanson, L. G.; Page, B. P.; Finlay, J. C.; Brezonik, P. L.; Arnold, W. A., Prediction of Photochemically Produced Reactive Intermediates in Surface Waters via Satellite Remote Sensing. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54*, (11), 6671-6681, DOI 10.1021/acs.est.0c00344.
13. Wang, Y.; Li, Z.; Zeng, C.; Xia, G. S.; Shen, H., An Urban Water Extraction Method Combining Deep Learning and Google Earth Engine. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing* **2020**, *13*, 769-782, DOI 10.1109/JSTARS.2020.2971783.
14. Chen, F.; Chen, X.; Van de Voorde, T.; Roberts, D.; Jiang, H.; Xu, W., Open water detection in urban environments using high spatial resolution remote sensing imagery. *Remote Sens Environ* **2020**, *242*, 111706, DOI 10.1016/j.rse.2020.111706.
15. Eggimann, S.; Mutzner, L.; Wani, O.; Schneider, M. Y.; Spuhler, D.; Moy De Vitry, M.; Beutler, P.; Maurer, M., The Potential of Knowing More: A Review of Data-Driven Urban Water Management. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51*, (5), 2538-2553, DOI 10.1021/acs.est.6b04267.
16. Karimi, P.; Bastiaanssen, W. G. M., Spatial evapotranspiration, rainfall and land use data in water accounting - Part 1: Review of the accuracy of the remote sensing data. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* **2015**, *19*, (1), 507-532, DOI 10.5194/hess-19-507-2015.
17. Hakimdavar, R.; Hubbard, A.; Policelli, F.; Pickens, A.; Hansen, M.; Fatoyinbo, T.; Lagomasino, D.; Pahlevan, N.; Unninayar, S.; Kavvada, A.; Carroll, M.; Smith, B.; Hurwitz, M.; Wood, D.; Schollaert Uz, S., Monitoring Water-Related Ecosystems with Earth Observation Data in Support

- of Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 6 Reporting. *Remote Sens-Basel* **2020**, *12*, (10), 1634, DOI 10.3390/rs12101634.
18. Xie, C.; Huang, X.; Mu, H.; Yin, W., Impacts of Land-Use Changes on the Lakes across the Yangtze Floodplain in China. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51*, (7), 3669-3677, DOI 10.1021/acs.est.6b04260.
 19. Sagan, V.; Peterson, K. T.; Maimaitijiang, M.; Sidike, P.; Sloan, J.; Greeling, B. A.; Maalouf, S.; Adams, C., Monitoring inland water quality using remote sensing: potential and limitations of spectral indices, bio-optical simulations, machine learning, and cloud computing. *Earth Sci. Rev.* **2020**, *205*, 103187, DOI 10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103187.
 20. Afrobarometer, Merged data. <https://www.afrobarometer.org/data/merged-data/> (accessed 2024-11-01).
 21. Saad, M. N. B.; Kayanja, G. W.; Ssevume, S. M. *Water and sanitation still major challenges in Africa, especially for rural and poor citizens*, **2024**. <https://www.afrobarometer.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/AD784-PAP11-Water-and-sanitation-still-major-challenges-across-Africa-Afrobarometer-2-19march24.pdf>.
 22. Gorelick, N.; Hancher, M.; Dixon, M.; Ilyushchenko, S.; Thau, D.; Moore, R., Google Earth Engine: Planetary-scale geospatial analysis for everyone. *Remote Sens Environ* **2017**, *202*, 18-27, DOI 10.1016/j.rse.2017.06.031.
 23. Caron, M.; Touvron, H.; Misra, I.; Jegou, H.; Mairal, J.; Bojanowski, P.; Joulin, A., Emerging Properties in Self-Supervised Vision Transformers. *2021 Ieee/Cvf International Conference on Computer Vision (Iccv 2021)* **2021**, 9630-9640, DOI 10.1109/Iccv48922.2021.00951.
 24. Kim, J.; Gao, R.; Park, J.; Yoon, J.; Cho, K., Switchable-Encoder-Based Self-Supervised Learning Framework for Monocular Depth and Pose Estimation. *Remote Sens-Basel* **2023**, *15*, (24), DOI 10.3390/rs15245739.
 25. Meta, Data for Good: Population Density Maps. <https://dataforgood.fb.com/> (accessed 2024-11-01).
 26. Meta, Madagascar: High Resolution Population Density Maps + Demographic Estimates. <https://data.humdata.org/dataset/highresolutionpopulationdensitymaps-mdg?> (accessed 2024-11-01).
 27. United Nations, Progress on Drinking Water (SDG target 6.1). <https://www.sdg6data.org/en/indicator/6.1.1> (accessed 2024-11-01).
 28. Elmustafa, A.; Rozi, E.; He, Y.; Mai, G.; Ermon, S.; Burke, M.; Lobell, D., Understanding economic development in rural Africa using satellite imagery, building footprints and deep models. In *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems*, Association for Computing Machinery: Seattle, Washington, 2022; p Article 89.
 29. Cochran, F.; Daniel, J.; Jackson, L.; Neale, A., Earth Observation-Based Ecosystem Services Indicators for National and Subnational Reporting of the Sustainable Development Goals. *Remote Sens Environ* **2020**, *244*, 1-111796, DOI 10.1016/j.rse.2020.111796.
 30. Fritz, S.; See, L.; Carlson, T.; Haklay, M. M.; Oliver, J. L.; Fraisl, D.; Mondardini, R.; Brocklehurst, M.; Shanley, L. A.; Schade, S.; Wehn, U.; Abrate, T.; Anstee, J.; Arnold, S.; Billot, M.; Campbell, J.; Espey, J.; Gold, M.; Hager, G.; He, S.; Hepburn, L.; Hsu, A.; Long, D.; Masó, J.; McCallum, I.; Muniafu, M.; Moorthy, I.; Obersteiner, M.; Parker, A. J.; Weissplug, M.; West, S., Citizen science and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. *Nat Sustain* **2019**, *2*, (10), 922-930, DOI 10.1038/s41893-019-0390-3.
 31. United Nations, Developing countries face \$4 trillion investment gap in SDGs. <https://news.un.org/en/story/2023/07/1138352> (accessed 2024-11-01).
 32. United Nations, Goal Tracker Platform. <https://sdgs.un.org/goaltracker> (accessed 2024-11-01).
 33. Kruitwagen, L.; Story, K. T.; Friedrich, J.; Byers, L.; Skillman, S.; Hepburn, C., A global inventory of photovoltaic solar energy generating units. *Nature* **2021**, *598*, (7882), 604-610, DOI 10.1038/s41586-021-03957-7.
 34. Mudau, N.; Mwaniki, D.; Tsoeleng, L.; Mashalane, M.; Beguy, D.; Ndugwa, R., Assessment of SDG Indicator 11.3.1 and Urban Growth Trends of Major and Small Cities in South Africa. *Sustainability* **2020**, *12*, (17), 7063, DOI 10.3390/su12177063.
 35. Prince, S. D., Challenges for remote sensing of the Sustainable Development Goal SDG 15.3.1 productivity indicator. *Remote Sens Environ* **2019**, *234*, DOI 10.1016/j.rse.2019.111428.

Supporting Information

Table S1. Accuracy for Binary Classification and Multiclass Classification for Piped Water Access

Model Architecture	Number of classes	Pre-training Dataset	Image Size	Pre-training epochs	Validation Dataset	Image Size	KNN accuracy (%)
ViT Base	4	Landsat	256	340	Sentinel	256	84.88
ViT Tiny	4	Sentinel	256	640	Sentinel	256	84.61
ViT Base	4	Sentinel	256	180	Sentinel	256	83.05
ViT Base	4	Merged	256	220	Merged	256	82.45
ViT Base	4	Landsat	256	400	Landsat	256	81.90
ViT Tiny	4	Landsat	256	520	Landsat	256	77.41
ViT Base	4	Sentinel	256	620	Landsat	256	77.32
ViT Base	2	Merged	256	200	Sentinel	256	96.05
ViT Base	2	Landsat	256	380	Sentinel	256	95.68
ViT Tiny	2	Sentinel	256	700	Sentinel	256	95.68
ViT Base	2	Sentinel	256	180	Sentinel	256	95.29
ViT Base	2	Landsat	256	440	Sentinel	1024	94.99
ViT Base	2	Merged	256	200	Sentinel	1024	94.49
ViT Base	2	Sentinel	256	280	Sentinel	1024	93.57
ViT Base	2	Merged	256	220	Merged	256	92.37
ViT Base	2	Landsat	256	380	Landsat	256	90.93
ViT Base	2	Merged	256	200	Landsat	256	90.20
ViT Tiny	2	Landsat	256	360	Landsat	256	88.5
ViT Base	2	Sentinel	256	620	Landsat	256	88.34

Table S2. Accuracy for Binary Classification for Sewage System Access

Model Architecture	Number of classes	Pre-training Dataset	Image Size	Pre-training epochs	Validation Dataset	Image Size	KNN accuracy (%)
ViT Base	2	Landsat	256	400	Sentinel	256	97.45
ViT Base	2	Merged	256	220	Sentinel	256	97.35
ViT Base	2	Sentinel	256	160	Sentinel	256	97.09
ViT Base	2	Merged	256	220	Merged	256	94.84
ViT Base	2	Landsat	256	440	Landsat	256	92.85
ViT Base	2	Merged	256	220	Landsat	256	92.57
ViT Base	2	Sentinel	256	420	Landsat	256	91.51




Figure S1. Accuracy Over Epochs for Selected Multiclass Classification Models




Figure S2. Accuracy Over Epochs for Selected Binary Classification Models




Figure S3. Accuracy Over Epochs for Selected Sewage Systems Binary Classification Models