

Advancements in Myocardial Infarction Detection and Classification Using Wearable Devices: A Comprehensive Review

Abhijith S*

Dept. of Electronics and Comm.Engg.
College of Engineering
Trivandrum,India
tve20ae005@cet.ac.in

Arjun Rajesh*

Dept. of Electronics and Comm.Engg.
College of Engineering
Trivandrum,India
tve20ae019@cet.ac.in

Mansi Manoj*

Dept. of Electronics and Comm.Engg.
College of Engineering
Trivandrum,India
tve20ae038@cet.ac.in

Sandra Davis Kollannur*

Dept. of Electronics and Comm.Engg.
College of Engineering
Trivandrum,India
tve20ae063@cet.ac.in

Sujitta R V*

Dept. of Electronics and Comm.Engg.
College of Engineering
Trivandrum,India
tve22ecra16@cet.ac.in

Jerrin Thomas Panachakel

Dept. of Electronics and Comm.Engg.
College of Engineering
Trivandrum,India
jerrin.panachakel@cet.ac.in

* These authors contributed equally

Abstract—Myocardial infarction (MI), commonly known as a heart attack, is a critical health condition caused by restricted blood flow to the heart. Early-stage detection through continuous ECG monitoring is essential to minimize irreversible damage. This review explores advancements in MI classification methodologies for wearable devices, emphasizing their potential in real-time monitoring and early diagnosis. It critically examines traditional approaches, such as morphological filtering and wavelet decomposition, alongside cutting-edge techniques, including Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and VLSI-based methods. By synthesizing findings on machine learning, deep learning, and hardware innovations, this paper highlights their strengths, limitations, and future prospects. The integration of these techniques into wearable devices offers promising avenues for efficient, accurate, and energy-aware MI detection, paving the way for next-generation wearable healthcare solutions.

Index Terms—Myocardial infarction(MI),classification, wearables

I. INTRODUCTION

Myocardial infarction (MI), also known as a heart attack, is caused by reduced blood flow to the heart chambers. MI can be silent and undetected, or it can have serious effects and lead to death. Most myocardial infarctions are caused by coronary artery disease. When a coronary artery blockage occurs, there is a lack of oxygen within the heart muscle. Prolonged lack of oxygen supply to the heart can lead to death and necrosis of myocardial cells. Patients experience chest discomfort or tightness that can spread to the neck, jaw, shoulders, or arms. MI is one of the major causes of passings causing 9 million

fatalities annually around the world, which is anticipated to extend up to 12 million by 2030[10]. MI advances in three stages, specifically, early MI (EMI), intense MI (AMI) and constant MI (CMI) characterized by its seriousness. Delay in taking ECG may cause irreversible damage, so it's important to take ECGs in certain intervals to get an accurate result so necessary action can be taken as soon as possible. Many solutions have been proposed by researchers around the world for the analyzation and classification of MI. Wearable devices have recently taken the spotlight for being easily accessible, accurate, and user-friendly. Such devices also have the merit of being energy-efficient and comparatively less complex in their ministrations, which are cardinal factors to consider while designing ECG classification gadgets. In order to examine the operational capabilities of the devised contraption, it will have to be tested against existing data.

The following sections will delve deep into the comparisons between various MI classification methods put forward by researchers over the years, which will facilitate a clear understanding on the same. The paper explores various methodologies including machine learning, deep learning, VLSI, and IoT-based methods contributing to efficient and accurate detection and classification of Myocardial infarction that can be implemented in wearables for a timely analysis. By synthesizing findings from relevant studies, the review highlights strengths, limitations, and potential future directions in leveraging ECG signals for accurate and efficient myocardial stage identification.

TABLE I
COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT CLASSIFICATION METHODS

Serial No	Reference	Classification Method	Accuracy
1	D. Sopic et al.[1]	Two level SVM Classifier	90%
2	M. Odema et al [2]	BCNN	91.22%
3	N. Rashid et al [3]	BCNN	90.29%
4	D. Sopic et al [5]	Two level Hierarchical classification	83.26%
5	Yu-Hung Chuang et al [6]	MLP Classifier	99.72%
6	X. Ma et al [7]	CDD net	98.95%
7	Hadjem et al [8]	Statistical method	73%

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Preprocessing and Feature Extraction

Feature extraction plays a crucial role in the process of myocardial infarction (MI) detection, it involves identifying relevant patterns or characteristics from the physiological signals obtained through wearables. The goal is to transform raw data into a set of distinctive markers that can be analyzed to identify potential indicators of myocardial infarction.

[1] and [3] converge on a shared traditional signal processing approach, incorporating morphological filtering, FIR band-pass filtering, and PanTompkin's algorithm. These methods collectively address baseline wander, high-frequency noise, and R-peak detection for subsequent feature extraction. In parallel, [2] distinguishes itself by introducing advanced Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Binary CNNs, leveraging their inherent convolution process for more efficient feature extraction.

Expanding the repertoire, [5] employs wavelet decomposition but extends the feature set beyond conventional parameters. Normalized signal energy, fractal dimension, and various entropies are incorporated, broadening the scope of information extracted from the ECG signals. Innovative strategies are evident in [7] and [8]. [7] explores the transformation of ECG signals into images using Hilbert curve mapping, introducing a unique encoding approach. On the other hand, [8] combines wavelet decomposition, baseline wandering removal, and the CUSUM algorithm for ST elevation analysis, presenting a holistic preprocessing strategy for MI detection.

Furthermore, [9] and [10] contribute to the discussion on feature selection. While [9] explores Relief, Minimal-Redundancy-Maximal Relevance, and Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator algorithms, [10] introduces an optimized ECG feature extraction algorithm based on derivatives. These approaches highlight the importance of selecting relevant features for accurate MI classification.

B. Classification Methods

Classification models use various algorithms to analyze extracted features from physiological signals and classify individuals into categories, distinguishing between those with and without indications of myocardial infarction.

In the realm of wearable devices for myocardial infarction (MI) classification, various classification methods have been employed by numerous researchers and may fall under machine learning, deep learning, or other categories as illustrated

in Fig.1. [1], adopted a two-stage classification scheme where the first level, computationally efficient but less accurate, activates a more complex second-level classifier if the first-level classifier is unable to classify the sample data with the required confidence. Another prevalent method is the use of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), exemplified in [2] and [3], where Binary Convolutional Neural Networks (BCNNs) are designed for memory efficiency, with additional mentions of SVM and Random Forest in related works. The proposed methodology in [2] incorporates Neural Architecture Search (NAS) using Multi-Objective Bayesian Optimization (MOBO) to optimize the design of neural network architectures for MI detection. [5] used a hierarchical classification with first stage being a binary classifier to classify between abnormal and normal ECG beats. Then in the second stage a multi-class classifier is used to classify the abnormal beats into one of four categories: MI, bundle branch block, premature ventricular contraction, or other. The paper also mentions that the classifiers were trained using a support vector machine (SVM) with a radial basis function (RBF) kernel.

In contrast, [9] explored multiple machine learning algorithms, including Support Vector Machines, Naive Bayes, and Decision Tree Classifier, for MI detection. [7] employed a unique Convolutional Dendritic model (CDD) designed for feature extraction and logical relationship interpretation. [6] opted for a multilayer perceptron (MLP) classifier for MI classification based on derived vectorcardiography, demonstrating its capability to categorize ECG beats into specific MI classes. Furthermore, Decision Tree-based algorithms were employed for real-time classification [10], optimized for low power and area consumption in a VLSI architecture. The research landscape thus showcases a diverse array of classification techniques, including SVMs, CNNs, MLPs, and Decision Trees, each with its own strengths and trade-offs, contributing to the advancement of early detection and prevention of myocardial infarction through wearable devices.

III. HARDWARE USED

Various studies have employed distinct hardware configurations to achieve optimal performance. Notably, the Smart-Cardia device [1,2,3], utilizes an ultra-low-power 32-bit microcontroller, the STM32L151, featuring an ARM Cortex-M3 operating at a maximum frequency of 32 MHz. This microcontroller is equipped with 48 KB of RAM, 384 KB of Flash memory, and essential analog peripherals, including




Fig. 1. Tree diagram of different classification methods

a 12-bit ADC. The device also incorporates a 710 mAh battery to sustain its operations. Additionally, the EFM32 Leopard Gecko development board, detailed in [2], shares a similar ARM Cortex-M3 architecture with the SmartCardia INYU, establishing it as a relevant low-power target device for experimental purposes. Notably, [1] and [5] highlight the use of the STM32L151RDT6 microcontroller for real-time event-driven classification, coupled with the nRF8001 for Bluetooth Low Energy communication. Furthermore, the integration of multiple sensors, such as a temperature sensor, heart rate sensor, pressure sensor, and cardiac pod sensor is emphasized in [9].

These sensors gather crucial data for MI detection, which is subsequently processed by a computational module. The implementation of the proposed architecture as an Application-Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC) using SCL180nm Bulk CMOS PDKs and Synopsys design compiler and IC compiler tools is detailed in [10], showcasing a design that occupies 1.38mm² area and consumes 5.12 μ W power at 1.98V and 8kHz. This comprehensive hardware overview underscores the diverse yet interconnected approaches employed in wearable devices for MI classification, offering valuable insights for researchers and practitioners in the field.

IV. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Several studies have conducted thorough performance evaluations to determine the efficacy of their suggested tech-

niques in the field of wearable myocardial infarction (MI) categorization. For instance, in [1], the authors introduced a classification technique exhibiting a remarkable reduction in energy consumption by a factor of 3, achieving a medically acceptable accuracy level of 90%. This outperformed previous works, as evidenced by lower computational complexity and significantly extended battery life. Similarly, [3] presented a methodology with an impressive average accuracy of 90.29%, sensitivity of 90.41%, and specificity of 90.16% across 10 folds. Additionally, [8] implemented a statistical model for early MI detection, yielding a detection rate of 73% and a false alarm rate of 5% when evaluated on the EDB medical database.

Several papers employed cross-validation schemes, such as 10-fold [2], 5-fold [7], and K-fold [9], to rigorously evaluate their models. Notably, [10] implemented a classifier using Verilog HDL on an FPGA board, achieving an the average sensitivity of 86.18%, and specificity of 96.5%. A detailed comparison of various classification methods and their associated accuracies is given in Table 1. Considering the collective findings, it is evident that these wearable MI classification technologies, particularly those in [1, 3, 8, 10], showcase robust performance metrics encompassing accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity, positioning them as promising candidates for further exploration and potential integration into wearable healthcare devices.

V. CONCLUSION

The diverse landscape of feature extraction methodologies in wearable Myocardial Infarction (MI) classification, ranging from traditional signal processing to advanced Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and innovative techniques like Hilbert curve mapping, underscores the multidimensional approach to enhancing accuracy and robustness in MI detection. The incorporation of novel features such as normalized signal energy, fractal dimension, and various entropies, as demonstrated in [5], exemplifies the continuous evolution of feature sets to encompass a broader scope of information from ECG signals.

Furthermore, the comprehensive performance evaluations showcased in [1, 3, 8, 10] reveal not only the effectiveness of these methodologies but also their potential for real-world applications. Achieving significant reductions in energy consumption, improved accuracy levels, and extended battery life, these technologies exhibit promising metrics for integration into wearable healthcare devices. The intersection of advanced feature extraction and rigorous performance assessments positions these studies as pivotal contributions to the advancement of MI classification, paving the way for future research and practical implementation in the realm of wearable health technologies.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Sopic, A. Aminifar, A. Aminifar and D. Atienza, "Real-time classification technique for early detection and prevention of myocardial infarction on wearable devices," 2017 IEEE Biomedical Circuits and Systems Conference (BioCAS), Turin, Italy, 2017, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/BIOCAS.2017.8325140.
- [2] M. Odema, N. Rashid and M. Abdullah Al Faruque, "Energy-Aware Design Methodology for Myocardial Infarction Detection on Low-Power Wearable Devices," 2021 26th Asia and South Pacific Design Automation Conference (ASP-DAC), Tokyo, Japan, 2021, pp. 621-626.
- [3] N. Rashid and M. A. Al Faruque, "Energy-efficient Real-time Myocardial Infarction Detection on Wearable Devices," 2020 42nd Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine & Biology Society (EMBC), Montreal, QC, Canada, 2020, pp. 4648-4651, doi: 10.1109/EMBC44109.2020.9175232.
- [4] J. Sandelin, T. Koivisto, J. -P. Sirkiä and A. Anzanpour, "Identification of Myocardial Infarction by High Frequency Serial ECG Measurement," 2022 Computing in Cardiology (CinC), Tampere, Finland, 2022, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.22489/CinC.2022.185.
- [5] D. Sopic, A. Aminifar, A. Aminifar and D. Atienza, "Real-Time Event-Driven Classification Technique for Early Detection and Prevention of Myocardial Infarction on Wearable Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 982-992, Oct. 2018, doi: 10.1109/TBCAS.2018.2848477.
- [6] Yu-Hung Chuang, Ching-Yu Lee, Yin-Husan Chen, and Wen-Whei Chang, "A Hybrid System for Myocardial Infarction Classification with Derived Vectorcardiography".
- [7] X. Ma, X. Fu, Y. Sun, N. Wang, X. Ning and Y. Gao, "Convolutional Dendrite Net detects myocardial infarction based on ECG signal measured by flexible sensor" 2021 IEEE International Conference on Flexible and Printable Sensors and Systems (FLEPS), Manchester, United Kingdom, 2021, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/FLEPS51544.2021.9469850.
- [8] Hadjem, Medina & Salem, Osman & Naït-Abdesselam, Farid & Mehaoua, Ahmed. (2013). "Early detection of Myocardial Infarction using WBAN" 2013 IEEE 15th International Conference on e-Health Networking, Applications and Services, Healthcom 2013,135-139. 10.1109/HealthCom.2013.6720654.

- [9] V. Kaviya and G. R. Suresh, "INTELLIGENT WEARABLE DEVICE FOR EARLY DETECTION OF MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION USING IoT," 2020 Sixth International Conference on Bio Signals, Images, and Instrumentation (ICBSII), Chennai, India, 2020, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/ICBSII49132.2020.9167592.
- [10] M. Janveja, R. Parmar and G. Trivedi, "MInSC: A VLSI Architecture for Myocardial Infarction Stages Classifier for Wearable Healthcare Applications," in IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 1159-1163, March 2023, doi: 10.1109/TC-SII.2022.3222738.