Parameterized Complexity of Fair Many-to-One Matchings

Ramin Javadi 💿

Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran rjavadi@iut.ac.ir

Hossein Shokouhi

Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran h.shokouhizadeh@math.iut.ac.ir

— Abstract

Given a bipartite graph $G = (U \cup V, E)$, a left-perfect many-to-one matching is a subset $M \subseteq E$ such that each vertex in U is incident with exactly one edge in M. If U is partitioned into some groups, the matching is called fair if for every $v \in V$, the difference between the number of vertices matched with v in any two groups does not exceed a given threshold. In this paper, we investigate parameterized complexity of fair left-perfect many-to-one matching problem with respect to the structural parameters of the input graph. In particular, we prove that the problem is W[1]-hard with respect to the feedback vertex number, tree-depth and the maximum degree of U, combined. Also, it is W[1]-hard with respect to the path-width, the number of groups and the maximum degree of U, combined. In the positive side, we prove that the problem is FPT with respect to the treewidth and the maximum degree of V. Also, it is FPT with respect to the neighborhood diversity of the input graph (which implies being FPT with respect to vertex cover and modular-width). Finally, we prove that the problem is FPT with respect to the tree-depth and the number of groups.

2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation \rightarrow Fixed parameter tractability

Keywords and phrases Many-to-one Matching, Fair Matching

Acknowledgements

1 Introduction

A left-perfect many-to-one matching for a bipartite graph G with a bipartition (U, V) is a subset of edges $M \subseteq E(G)$ such that each vertex in U is incident with exactly one edge in M. In this paper, we study the problem of finding a left-perfect many-to-one matching which satisfies a fairness Max-Min criterion. In particular, the set U is colored with a set of colors C and we aim to find a left-perfect many-to-one matching M such that for each vertex $v \in V$. the number of vertices matched to v of all colors are almost equitable with a prescribed tolerance, i.e. the difference of most and least frequent colors of vertices matched with each vertex in V is bounded by a given constant. The concept of fairness in matching problems have many applications in different assignment problems in which you are going to allocate to each object (e.g. jobs, schools, hospitals, constituencies) a number of representatives (e.g. employees, students, doctors, voters) such that the assigned items to each object are fairly distributed with some sense such as age, ethnic, region, type, etc. Computational complexity of this problem has been firstly studied in [4] and it is proved that the problem is NP-hard even when $|C| = \Delta_U = \Delta_V = 3$, where |C| is the number of colors, Δ_U and Δ_V are the maximum degree of vertices in U and V, respectively. It is also proved in [4] that the problem is FPT with respect to |V| (see Theorem 1) and polynomial solvable for complete bipartite graphs. We will generalize two former results by proving that the problem is FPT with respect to the neighborhood diversity of the input graph. In [4], fair matching problem is also studied with another fairness measure called margin of victory (MoV) which is defined



Figure 1 Example of a left-perfect many-to-one fair matching

as the difference of most and second most frequent colors in matched vertices of each vertex in V.

Our Contributions

In this paper, we investigate the parameterized complexity of fair matching problem with respect to different structural parameters of the input graph such as vertex cover number (vc), treewidth (tw), path-width (pw), mudular-width (mw), clique-width (cw), tree-depth (td), neighborhood diversity (nd), feedback vertex number (fvn) and feedback edge number (fen) as well as the intrinsic parameters such as the number of colors and the maximum degree of both parts in the input graph. In particular, we prove that the problem is FPT with respect to feedback edge number (Theorem 5), neighborhood diversity (Theorem 7) and thus vertex cover number. It is also FPT with respect to treewidth and Δ_V , combined (Theorem 6). On the negative side, by a parameterized reduction from MULTICOLORED CLIQUE we prove that it is W[1]-hard with respect to the feedback vertex number, tree-depth and Δ_U , combined (Theorem 3). Moreover, if we consider the number of colors |C| as a parameter, we can prove that the problem is FPT with respect to tree-depth and |C| (Theorem 8). We also prove that in this result tree-depth cannot be replaced with path-width, since it is W[1]-hard with respect to path-width, |C| and Δ_U , combined (Theorem 4 by a parameterized reduction from UNARY BIN PACKING). A summary of our main results is depicted in Figure 2. For definition of notions in parameterized complexity, see [7]. Also, for the definition of structural parameters of graphs see [20].

Related Work

The first study of a fairness notion in combinatorial problems dates back to 1989 in [22] where the FAIR EDGE DELETION problem is studied. In this problem, we are going to delete some edges of the graph to obtain an acyclic subgraph such that the number of deleted edges incident with each vertex is bounded by a given constant. In general, a FAIR DELETION PROBLEM seeks for a set of elements (vertices or edges) S of a graph G such that the number of deleted elements in the neighborhood of each vertex is minimized. The parameterized complexity of these problems is investigated in [18, 19, 23]. Two special problems in the class of fair vertex deletion problems are FAIR VERTEX COVER and FAIR FEEDBACK VERTEX SET problems in which we are seeking for a vertex cover (resp. a feedback vertex set) S



Figure 2 Summary of our main results regarding GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING problem. An arrow from f to g means that g is bounded by a function of f and so W[1]-hardness result with respect to f implies W[1]-hardness with respect to g. Parameters marked by green are proved to be FPT. Parameters marked by red are proved to be W[1]-hard and the situation of the parameter marked by yellow is unknown.

such that the number of neighbors of every vertex in S is bounded by a given constant. In [18] it is proved that FAIR VERTEX COVER is W[1]-hard with respect to tree-depth and feedback vertex number of the input graph and FPT with respect to modular-width of the input graph. In [15], it is proved that FAIR FEEDBACK VERTEX SET is W[1]-hard with respect to tree-depth and FPT with respect to neighborhood diversity of the input graph.

A generalization of FAIR VERTEX COVER problem is FAIR HITTING SET in which we are given a universe \mathcal{U} and two families \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{B} of subsets of \mathcal{U} and we are looking for a subset $S \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ of a given size k which hits every element of \mathcal{F} and its intersection with each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is bounded by a given constant. In [13], among other results, it is proved that the problem is W[1]-hard with respect to k and if every element of \mathcal{U} appears in at most q sets in \mathcal{B} and d sets in \mathcal{F} , then it is FPT with respect to q, d and k.

The Max-Min fairness measure is also considered in FAIR SHORT PATH problem [3] in which we are given a graph G whose vertex set is colored, two vertices s, t and two integers ℓ, δ and the question is that if there exists an s - t-path P of length at most ℓ such that the difference of the numbers of vertices in P in each two color classes is bounded by δ . Bentert et al. [3] proved that FAIR SHORT PATH is in XP and W[1]-hard with respect to the number of colors and is FPT with respect to ℓ and δ .

The margin of victory fairness measure (MoV) is studied e.g. in FAIR CONNECTED DISTRICTING problem in which we are given a vertex-colored graph and we are looking for a partition of vertices into k districts (connected subgraphs) such that each district is ℓ -fair with MoV measure. This problem is firstly introduced by Stoica et al. [24] and, the parameterized complexity of the problem is investigated in [5] In particular, it is proved that the problem is W[1]-hard with respect to treewidth, k and the number of colors, combined. Also, it is W[1]-hard with respect to feedback edge number and k, combined. It is also FPT with respect to vertex cover number and the number of colors, combined.

The notion of fairness is also studied widely in the literature of machine learning such as data clustering [1, 2, 11, 12], matroids and matchings [6], influence maximization [17] and graph mining [8, 16].

2 Preliminaries

For positive integers m, n, m < n, let us define $[m, n] = \{m, m + 1, ..., n\}$ and [n] = [1, n]. For a graph G = (V, E) and two subsets $A, B \subseteq E$ the set E(A, B) denotes the set of all edges in E with one endpoint in A and one endpoint in B. Also, for a vertex $v \in V$, we say that v is complete (resp. incomplete) to A if v is adjacent (resp. nonadjacent) to all vertices in A.

Let $G = (U \cup V, E)$ be a bipartite graph. For an edge set $M \subseteq E$ and a vertex $x \in U \cup V$, let us define M(x) be the set of all vertices y such that xy is in M, i.e. $M(x) = \{y \in U \cup V : xy \in M\}$. We say that M is a many-to-one matching if for every vertex $u \in U$, we have $|M(u)| \leq 1$. A many-to-one matching is called *left-perfect* if |M(u)| = 1 for all $u \in U$.

Now, let C be a set of colors and col : $U \to C$ be a coloring of vertices in U. For a set $U' \subseteq U$ and a color $c \in C$, we define U'_c as the set of all vertices in U' with color c. Also, define $G_c = G[U_c \cup V]$. Now, let ℓ be an integer. we say that U' is ℓ -fair if $\max_{c \in C} |U'_c| - \min_{c \in C} |U'_c| \leq \ell$. A many-to-one matching is called ℓ -fair if for every vertex $v \in V$, M(v) is ℓ -fair. Now, we define FAIR MATCHING problem as follows.

FAIR MATCHING

Input: A bipartite graph $G = (U \cup V, E)$, a coloring col : $U \to C$ and a non-negative integer ℓ .

Question: Is there a left-perfect many-to-one matching for G which is ℓ -fair?

We can also generalize the concept of ℓ -fairness as follows. Suppose that $L: V \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ is a threshold function which assigns an integer to each vertex in V. Then, a many-to-one matching is called *L*-fair if for every vertex $v \in V$, M(v) is L(v)-fair. GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING can be defined as follows.

Generalized Fair Matching	
Input:	A bipartite graph $G = (U \cup V, E)$, a set of colors C , a coloring col : $U \to C$
	and a threshold function $L: V \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$
Question:	Is there a left-perfect many-to-one matching for G which is L -fair?

In [4], it is proved that FAIR MATCHING in FPT with respect to k = |V|. In fact, they proposed the following ILP formulation for the problem.

Let M be a many-to-one matching and for each vertex $v \in V$, let x_v (resp. y_v) be the minimum (resp. maximum) number of vertices of some color $c \in C$ matched to v, i.e. $x_v = \max_{c \in C} M(v)_c$, $y_v = \min_{c \in C} M(v)_c$. For a vertex $w \in U \cup V$, let $N_G(w)$ be the set of neighbors of w in G. For each $W \subseteq U \cup V$, let $N_G(W) = \bigcup_{w \in W} N_G(w)$. Also, define $\nu_G(W) = \{w' \in U \cup V : N_G(w') \subseteq W\}$. Moreover, for each $c \in C$, let us define $N_c(W) = N_{G_c}(W)$ and $\nu_c(W) = N_{G_c}(W)$. then, we can define the following ILP with variables $x_v, y_v, v \in V$.

$$\sum_{v \in W} y_v \ge \max_{c \in C} |\nu_c(W)| \qquad \qquad \forall W \subseteq V \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{v \in W} x_v \le \min_{c \in C} |N_c(W)| \qquad \forall W \subseteq V \tag{2}$$

$$0 \le y_v - x_v \le \ell \qquad \qquad \forall v \in V \tag{3}$$

$$x_v, y_v \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\} \qquad \qquad \forall v \in V \tag{4}$$

It is proved in [4] that ILP1 has a feasible solution if and only if the graph G has a left-perfect many-to-one matching which is ℓ -fair. ILP1 has O(k) variables and $O(2^k)$ constraints. So, by a result of Lenstra [21], it can be solved in time $O^*(k^{O(k)})$ and so Fair Matching is FPT with respect to k. It can be easily seen that in Condition (3), ℓ can be replaced with L(v)and so this result is also valid for GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING.

▶ **Theorem 1.** Let $G = (U \cup V, E)$ be the input graph and |V| = k. Then, GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING can be solved in time $O^*(k^{O(k)})$.

In order to prove that Generalized Fair Matching is FPT with respect to (td, |C|), we will write another ILP formulation for this problem (see ILP2) which has many more number of variables but the structure of the associated graph to this ILP is related to the structure of the input graph. For this, we need some results in parameterized complexity of integer linear programming (ILP). Consider a standard form of ILP as follows.

$$\min\{\mathbf{w}.\mathbf{x} \mid A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \ \mathbf{l} \le \mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{u}, \ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\},\tag{5}$$

where A is an integer $m \times n$ matrix, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{u} \in (\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm \infty\})^n$. It is known that solving an ILP in its general form is NP-hard, however it can be solved in FPT time when the structure of the coefficient matrix A is confined with a special parameter. To do this, we associate to A two graphs, namely *primal* and *dual* graphs as follows. The primal graph $G_P(A)$ is the graph whose vertex set is corresponding to the columns of A and two vertices corresponding to columns j_1, j_2 are adjacent if there is a row *i* such that A_{ij_1} and A_{ij_2} are non-zero. Similarly, the dual graph $G_D(A)$ is defined as the graph with vertex set corresponding to the rows of A and two vertices corresponding to rows i_1, i_2 are adjacent if there is a column *j* such that A_{i_1j} and A_{i_2j} are non-zero. The primal and dual tree-depth of A denoted by $td_P(A)$ and $td_D(A)$ respectively is defined as the tree-depth of the primal and dual graph, respectively. We need the following results which asserts that ILP can be solved in FPT time with respect to the dual tree-depth of A and $||A||_{\infty}$.

▶ Theorem 2. [9] The ILP in (5) can be solved in time $O^*((||A||_{\infty}+1)^{2^{\operatorname{td}_D(A)}})$.

3 W[1]-hard Results

In this section, we prove two W[1]-hard results regarding GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING. In the first result the parameters are feedback vertex number, tree-depth and Δ_U , combined and reduction is from MULTICOLORED CLIQUE. In the second result, the parameters are path-with, number of colors and Δ_U , combined and the reduction is from UNARY BIN PACKING.

▶ **Theorem 3.** GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING is W[1]-hard with respect to (fvn, td) even on graphs with $\Delta_U = 2$ where fvn and td are the feedback vertex number and the tree-depth of the input graph and Δ_U is the maximum degree of vertices in U.

Proof. We give a parameterized reduction from MULTICOLORED CLIQUE which is known to be W[1]-hard with respect to the size of the clique ℓ [10].

Multicolored Clique

Input: A graph G = (V, E) where the vertex set V is partitioned into ℓ independent sets U_1, \ldots, U_{ℓ} .

Question: Is there a clique of size ℓ in G?

Let $G = (U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_{\ell}, E)$ be an instance of MULTICOLORED CLIQUE such that for each $a \in [\ell], |U_a| = n$. We define an ordering on the vertices in each U_a and if there is an edge between *i*-th vertex in U_a and *j*-th vertex in U_b , we denote such edge by $ij \in E(U_a, U_b)$. Now, we construct an instance $G' = (U' \cup V', E')$ of GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING whose feedback vertex number and tree-depth are $O(\ell^2)$ and $\Delta_U = 2$. The set of colors is defined as $C = \{(a, i) : a \in [\ell], i \in [0, n]\}$, so $|C| = (n + 1)\ell$.

Firstly, for each edge $ij \in E(U_a, U_b)$, we construct the gadget H_{ij}^{ab} which is defined as follows. The bipartite graph H_{ij}^{ab} has a bipartition (U, V) where $V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and $U = U_{ij}^{ab} \cup U_{ji}^{ba}$, where U_{ij}^{ab} is a set of n - i vertices with colors $(b, i + 1), \ldots, (b, n)$ and U_{ji}^{ba} is a set of n - j vertices with colors $(a, j + 1), \ldots, (a, n)$. The set U_{ij}^{ab} is complete to $\{v_1, v_2\}$ and U_{ji}^{ba} is complete to $\{v_2, v_3\}$. There is no more edges in H_{ij}^{ab} .

Secondly, For every subset of colors $\hat{C} \subseteq C$, we define the gadget $H[\hat{C}]$ as follows. The bipartite graph $H[\hat{C}]$ has a bipartition (\hat{U}, \hat{V}) where $|\hat{U}| = |\hat{C}|$ and for each color $c \in \hat{C}$, there is exactly one vertex u^c in \hat{U} of color c. There is a vertex $\bar{v} \in \hat{V}$ which is complete \hat{U} . Also, each vertex u^c in \hat{U} has a private neighbor v^c in \hat{V} . Also, $L(\bar{v}) = 0$ and $L(v^c) = 1$ for all $c \in \hat{C}$. There is no more edges in $H[\hat{C}]$.

Now, we construct the graph H as follows. The bipartite graph H has a bipartition $U_H \cup V_H$, where $V_H = \{v_0, v_{ab}, v'_{ai}; a, b \in [\ell], a \neq b, i \in [n]\}$ and

$$U_H = \bigcup_{a \in [0,\ell]} U_a \bigcup_{a,b \in [\ell]} U_{a,b} \bigcup_{a,b \in [\ell], i \in [n]} U_{a,b,i}.$$

For each $a, b \in [\ell], a \neq b$ and $i \in [n], U_a$ is a set of n vertices all with color $(a, 0), U_{a,b,i}$ is a set of i vertices of colors $(b, 1), \ldots, (b, i), U_0$ is a set of $n\ell$ vertices with colors $(a, i), a \in [\ell], i \in [n]$, and finally, $U_{a,b}$ is a set of $(n + 1)(\ell - 1)$ vertices with colors $(c, i), c \in [\ell] \setminus \{b\}, i \in [0, n]$. Adjacencies are as follows. The vertex v_0 is complete to $U_0 \cup U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_\ell$. v_{ab} is complete to $U_{ab} \bigcup_{i \in [n]} U_{a,b,i}$ and v'_{ai} is complete to $\bigcup_{b \in [\ell]} U_{a,b,i}$ as well as i-th vertex in U_a .

Finally, the bipartite graph $G' = (U \cup V, E')$ is constructed as follows. We take a copy of H and for each edge $ij \in E(U_a, U_b)$, we add a disjoint gadget H_{ij}^{ab} and then identify the vertex v_1 with the vertex v_{ab} and the vertex v_3 with the vertex v_{ba} . Also, for every vertex $v \in V \setminus \{v_0, v_{ab}, a, b \in [\ell], a \neq b\}$, setting \hat{C}_v to be the set of colors in C not appearing in the neighbors of v, we add a disjoint gadget $H[\hat{C}_v]$ and identify \bar{v} with v (see Figure 3). Note that for every vertex $v \in V$ except vertices in the gadgets $H[\hat{C}]$, we have L(v) = 0.

Now, we prove that this is a parameterized reduction.



Figure 3 The graph G'.

Proof of Sufficiency. Let $G = (U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_{\ell}, E)$ be a yes-instance of MULTICOLORED CLIQUE and for all $a \in [\ell]$, i_a -th vertex in U_a form a clique of size ℓ in G. We will construct a fair matching M in G' as follows. For each $a \in [\ell]$, v_0 is matched to i_a in U_a along with all vertices in U_0 . Also, for each $a, b \in [\ell], a \neq b, v_{ab}$ is matched to all vertices in U_{a,b,i_a} along with all vertices in $U_{i_a i_b}^{ab} \cup U_{a,b}$. Now, for each $a \in [\ell]$ and each $i \neq i_a$, the vertex $v'_{a,i}$ is matched to all its neighbors. Finally, for each $a, b \in [\ell], a \neq b$, each $i, j \in [n]$ where $i \neq i_a$, in the gadget H_{ij}^{ab} , the vertex v_2 is matched to all its neighbors. Every vertex in U which is not yet matched, is in some $H[\hat{C}_v]$. So, we match these unmatched vertices to their pendent neighbors. It is clear that M is a left-perfect matching. To see that M is L-fair, note that if $v \in V \setminus \{v_0, v_{ab}, a, b \in [\ell], a \neq b\}$, then v is either matched to all its neighbors, or matched to none of its neighbors. Also, since the clique of G has only one element in each U_a, v_0 is matched to one vertex of color (a, 0) and it pendent neighbors are of colors $(a, i), i \in [n]$. So, v_0 is fair. Finally, the vertex v_{ab} is matched to vertices in U_{a,b,i_a} which are of colors $(b, 1), \ldots, (b, i_a)$ along with vertices in $U_{i_a i_b}^{ab}$ which are of colors $(b, i_a + 1), \ldots, (b, n)$. Other colors appear in vertices of $U_{a,b}$. So, v_{ab} is also fair.

Proof of Necessity. Now, suppose that G' has a left-perfect *L*-fair matching *M*. Since *M* is left-perfect, all pendant vertices in *U* are matched with their unique neighbors. Thus, v_0 has to be matched with only one vertex with color (a, 0) for each $a \in [\ell]$. Suppose that v_0 is

matched to i_a -th vertex in U_a . We show that these vertices i_1, \ldots, i_ℓ form a clique in G. Let $a, b \in [\ell], a \neq b$. Since i_a is matched with v_0, v'_{a,i_a} is matched to no vertex. Therefore, v_{ab} is matched to all vertices in U_{a,b,i_a} . Now, since v_{ab} is fair, it should be matched with vertices of colors $(b, i_a + 1), \ldots, (b, n)$. We show that these vertices should be in some gadget $H_{i_aj}^{ab}$, for some j. To see this, note that in the gadget H_{ij}^{ab} , the vertex v_2 is either matched to all vertices in U_{ij}^{ab} , or none of its neighbors. Therefore, v_{ab} is matched to all vertices in $U_{i_aj}^{ab}$, for some j, in the gadget $H_{i_aj}^{ab}$. Now, we show that $j = i_b$. Note that the vertex v_2 in $H_{i_aj}^{ab}$ is matched to either two neighbors of the same color (a, i_b) , or no vertex of color (a, j). This contradiction implies that $j = i_b$ and therefore, i_a and i_b are adjacent. Therefore, $G = (U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_\ell, E)$ is a yes-instance of MULTICOLORED CLIQUE.

Finally, note that in the graph G', we have $\Delta_U = 2$ and if one removes all vertices v_{ab} , $a, b \in [\ell]$ then the remaining graph is a forest consisting of trees of depth at most 4. Thus, the feedback vertex number and the tree-depth of G' is at most $O(\ell^2)$. Moreover, the number of vertices of G' is at most $O(n\ell^3 + n^2\ell^2 + n\ell m)$, where m is the number of edges of G. Hence, this is a parameterized reduction and the proof is complete.

▶ **Theorem 4.** GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING is W[1]-hard with respect to $(pw, |C|, \Delta_U)$ where pw is the path-width of the input graph, Δ_U is the maximum degree of vertices in U and |C| is the number of colors.

Proof. We give a reduction form UNARY BIN PACKING which is known to be W[1]-hard with respect to the number of bins m [14].

Unary Bin Packing	
Input:	A number of positive integers x_1, \ldots, x_n , m and B which are given in unary
	encoding and we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = mB$.
Question:	Can we partition x_1, \ldots, x_n into m bins of capacity B?

Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_n; m, B)$ be an instance of UNARY BIN PACKING and we construct an instance of GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING where the path-width of the graph as well as the number of colors and the maximum degree of vertices in U is O(m).

First, let C = [m+1] be the set of colors and $H = (U_H \cup V_H; E_H)$ be the bipartite graph constructed as follows. The vertex set U_H consists of the disjoint union of sets X, Y_i and $X_{ij}, i \in [n]$ and $j \in [m]$, where |X| = B, $|X_{ij}| = x_i$ and $|Y_i| = (m-1)x_i$ for each $i \in [n]$ and $j \in [m]$. Each vertex in X_{ij} has color j and each vertex in $X \cup Y_i$ has color m+1. Also, we have $V_H = \{v_0, v_{ij}, i \in [n], j \in [m]\}$. For all vertices $v \in V_H$ we have L(v) = 0. Now, the edges of H are defined as follows. First, v_0 is complete to X and $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{j=1}^m X_{ij}$. Also, each vertex v_{ij} is complete to $X_{ij} \cup Y_i$ (see Figure 6). We also construct two gadgets as follows.

Gadget $H[\hat{C}, k]$

For every positive integer k and every subset of colors $\hat{C} \subseteq C$, we define a gadget $H[\hat{C}, k]$ as follows. The bipartite graph $H[\hat{C}, k]$ has a bipartition (U, V) where $|U| = |\hat{C}|k$ and for each color $c \in \hat{C}$, there are k vertices $u_c^1, \ldots, u_c^k \in U$ of color c. There is a vertex $\bar{v} \in V$ which is complete to U. Also, each vertex $u_c^i \in U$ has a private neighbor v_c^i in V. Also, L(v) = 0 and $L(v_c^i) = 1$ for all $c \in \hat{C}$. There is no more edges in $H[\hat{C}, k]$ (see Figure 4).



Figure 4 The gadget graph $H[\hat{C}, k]$.

Gadget $H_1[k, l]$

Also, for each positive integer k and each $l \in [m]$, we construct a gadget $H_1[k, l]$ as follows. The bipartite graph $H_1[k, l]$ has a bipartition (U, V) where $U = \{u_i, u'_i, u''_j, u'''_j; i \in [k], j \in [k-2]\}$ and $V = \{\hat{v}, v'_i, v''_j; i \in [2, k], j \in [2, k-1]\}$. For all $v \in V$, we have L(v) = 0. All vertices u_1, \ldots, u_k are of color l. The vertex u'_1 is of color l+2 (mod m). For each $i \in [2, k]$, the vertex u'_i is of color l+1 (mod m). For each $j \in [k-2]$, the vertex u''_j is of color l+1 (mod m). For each $j \in [k-2]$, the vertex u''_j is of color l+2. The edges are as follows. The vertex \hat{v} is adjacent to all $u_i, i \in [k]$. Each vertex v_i is adjacent to u_i and u'_i . For each $i \in [2, k], v'_i$ is adjacent to u'_i . Also, v'_2 is adjacent to u'_1 . For each $j \in [k-2], u''_j$ is adjacent to v'_{j+1} and v'_{j+2} . Now, for each vertex $v \in V \setminus \{\hat{v}\}$, we add a gadget $H[\hat{C}_v, 1]$ and identify \bar{v} and v (see Figure 5).

Now, we are ready to construct the reduction. The graph G is defined as follows. We take the graph H and for each $i \in [n]$ and $j \in [m]$, we add a disjoint copy of the gadget $H_1[x_i, j+1]$ (j+1) is taken module m) and identify \hat{v} to v_{ij} . Also, let \hat{C}_{ij} be the set of colors in C which are absent in neighbors of v_{ij} . Finally, we add a gadget $H[\hat{C}_{ij}, x_i]$ and identify \bar{v} and v_{ij} . Let G be the obtained graph (see Figure 6).

Proof of Sufficiency. Suppose that (x_1, \ldots, x_n, m, B) is a yes-instance of UNARY BIN PACKING and A_1, \ldots, A_m are the bins such that $\sum_{x_i \in A_j} x_i = B$ for each $j \in [m]$. We are going to obtain a fair matching in G as follows. Fix some $i \in [n]$. If x_i is in bin A_j , then v_0 is matched to all vertices in X_{ij} . The vertex v_0 is also matched with B neighbors of color m + 1. Also, in the gadget $H_1[x_i, j + 1]$ corresponding to v_{ij} , the vertices v_1, \ldots, v_{x_i} and $v''_2, \ldots, v''_{x_i-1}$ are matched to all their neighbors. For each $t \in [2, x_i]$ and each $c \in \hat{C}_{v'_i}$, in the gadget $H[\hat{C}_{v'_i}, 1]$ corresponding to v'_i , the vertex v_c^1 is matched to its unique neighbor. Finally, in the gadget $H[\hat{C}_{ij}, x_i]$ corresponding to v_{ij} , the vertices $v_c^1, \ldots, v_c^{x_i}$ are matched to its unique neighbor, for all $c \in \hat{C}_{ij}$.

Now, for each $j' \in [m] \setminus \{j\}$, $v_{ij'}$ is matched to all vertices in $X_{ij'}$. Also, $v_{ij'}$ is matched to x_i vertices in Y_i (this can be done since $|Y_i| = (m-1)x_i$). Now, in the gadget $H[\hat{C}_{ij'}, x_i]$ corresponding to $v_{ij'}$, the vertex \hat{v} (which is identified with $v_{ij'}$) is matched to all its neighbors. In the gadget $H_1[x_i, j' + 1]$ corresponding to $v_{ij'}$, the vertices v'_2, \ldots, v'_{x_i} and \hat{v} (which is identified with $v_{ij'}$) are matched to all its neighbors. Also, for each v_t in $H_1[x_i, j' + 1]$, $t \in [x_i]$, and for each v''_t in $H_1[x_i, j' + 1]$, $t \in [2, x_i - 1]$, in its corresponding gadget $H[\hat{C}_{v_t}, 1]$, the vertex v_c^1 is matched to its unique neighbor, for all $c \in \hat{C}_{v_t}$. Since the size of items in each bin is equal to B, v_0 has B matched neighbor in each color. Other vertices $v \in V$ with L(v) = 0 have equal matched neighbors in each color. Hence, the obtained matching is an



Figure 5 The gadget graph $H_1[k, l]$.

L-fair matching.

Proof of necessity. Suppose that M is an L-fair matching for G. Fix some $i \in [n]$. For each $j \in [m]$, we have either $|M_{m+1}(v_{ij})| = x_i$ or $|M_{m+1}(v_{ij})| = 0$. To see this, consider the vertex v'_{x_i} in the gadget $H_1[x_i, j+1]$ corresponding to the vertex v_{ij} . Since $L(v'_{x_i}) = 0, v'_{x_i}$ is matched either with all its neighbors or none of them. In the former case, the vertices $v_1, \ldots v_{x_i}$ and $v''_2, \ldots v''_{x_i-1}$ are matched with no vertex and $v'_2, \ldots v'_{x_i-1}$ are matched to all their neighbors. Thus, u_1, \ldots, u_{x_i} are matched with v_{ij} . Since $L(v_{ij}) = 0$, v_{ij} has exactly x_i matched neighbors in Y_i . In the latter case, since M is left perfect, the vertices $v''_{2,\ldots,v''_{x_i-1}}$ are matched to all its neighbors and v'_2, \ldots, v'_{x_i} are matched to no vertex. So, v_1, \ldots, v_{x_i} are matched to all its neighbors. Thus, v_{ij} is not matched to u_1, \ldots, u_{x_i} and so has no matched neighbor in Y_i . Hence, the number of matched neighbors of v_{ij} in Y_i is either 0 or x_i . Thus, exactly m-1 vertices among v_{i1}, \ldots, v_{im} are matched with vertices in Y_i and exactly one vertex say v_{ij_0} is matched to no vertex in Y_i and so is matched to no vertex in X_{ij_0} . Therefore, vertices in X_{ij_0} are matched to v_0 . Now, we put the item x_i to the bin A_{j_0} . This gives a partition of the set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ into m bins A_1, \ldots, A_m . Now, since v_0 has B matched neighbors in the color class m + 1, v_0 has also B matched neighbor in each color class $i \in [m]$. This implies that the sum of item sizes in each bin A_i is equal to B and thus $(x_1,\ldots,x_n;m,B)$ is a ves-instance of UNARY BIN PACKING.

Moreover, the path-width of the graph H is at most m + 1. To see this, note that if one remove v_0 from H we obtain n disjoint bipartite graphs where each one has a part of size m. Also, each of the gadgets $H[\hat{C}, k]$ and $H_1[k, l]$ has path-width at most 3 (since $H[\hat{C}, k]$



Figure 6 The constructed graph *G*.

is a tree of depth two and if one remove \hat{v} from $H_1[k, l]$, a subdivision of a caterpillar is obtained). Therefore, the path-width of the graph G is at most m + 4. Also, the number of colors is m + 1 and all vertices in $U \cap V(G)$ are of degree at most m (vertices in Y_i have degree equal to m), so $\Delta_U = m$. Finally, the graph H has at most $O(m^2B)$ vertices and each added gadget has at most O(mB) vertices. So, the size of G is at most a polynomial in m and B. Also, the input of UNARY BIN PACKING is given in unary encoding. Hence, this is a parameterized reduction and so GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING is W[1]-hard with respect to the path-width of the input graph, the number of colors and Δ_U .

4 FPT Results

In this section, we prove that GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING is FPT with respect to treewidth and Δ_V (combined), tree-depth and number of colors (combined), fen and neighborhood diversity.

▶ **Theorem 5.** GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING can be solved in time $O(2^{\text{fen}}n \log n)$, where n and fen are the number of vertices and the feedback edge number of the input graph, respectively.

Proof. Suppose that the input graph is a bipartite graph $G = (U \cup V, E)$ and F is a subset of E such that |F| = fen and G - F is acyclic. Also, the coloring col : $U \to C$ and a threshold

function $L: V \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ are given. Fix a subset $F' \subseteq F$ such that F' is a many-to-one matching and suppose that F' is included in the solution. Also, define U' as a subset of U consisting of vertices which are matched by edges in F', i.e. $U' = \{u \in U : \exists uv \in F'\}$ and for every vertex $v \in V$ and $c \in C$, let m_c^v be the number of vertices of color c which are matched by v in F', i.e. $m_c^v = |\{u \in U_c : uv \in F'\}|$. Now, remove from G all vertices in U' and all edges in F and let T be a connected component of the obtained graph which is a tree. For a subtree T' of T and a many-to-one matching M for T', we say that a vertex $v \in V$ is $\tilde{L}(v)$ -fair in M, if we have $\max_{c,c' \in C} |M_c(v)| + m_c^v - |M_{c'}(v)| - m_{c'}^v \leq L(v)$. Also, we say that M is \tilde{L} -fair if all of vertices $v \in V \cap V(T')$ are $\tilde{L}(v)$ -fair in M.

Let T be rooted at an arbitrary vertex $u_0 \in U$. For every vertex $w \in U \cup V$ with parent $z \in U \cup V$, we define p(w) = z (for convenience, we define $p(u_0) = u_0$) and T_w as the subtree of T consisting of all vertices in T which are descendant of w (including w itself) and also let T_{wz} be obtained from T_w by adding the edge wz.

We define two functions $f: U \cup V \to \{0,1\}$ and $g: U \cup V \to \{0,1\}$ as follows. First, for every $w \in U \cup V$, we define f(w) = 1 if and only if there exists an \tilde{L} -fair left-perfect many-to-one matching for T_w . Also, for every $u \in U$, we define g(u) = 1 if and only if there exists a left-perfect many-to-one matching M for $T_{up(u)}$ such that M(u) = p(u) and for every vertex $v \in V(T_u) \cap V$, v is $\tilde{L}(v)$ -fair in M. Moreover, for every $v \in V$, we define g(v) = 1 if and only if there exists an \tilde{L} -fair left-perfect many-to-one matching M for $T_{vp(v)}$ (note that in this case $p(v) \in M(v)$). It is clear that the answer to GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING is yes if and only if there exists an $F' \subseteq F$ such that $f(u_0) = 1$.

Now, we compute the value of the functions f, g recursively by traversing the tree upward. First, suppose that $u \in U$ has k children v_1, \ldots, v_k . If there exists some $i \in [k]$ such that $g(v_i) = 1$ and $f(v_j) = 1$ for all $j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}$, then we have f(u) = 1 (since u has to matched with one of vertices v_1, \ldots, v_k), otherwise we define f(u) = 0. Also, if for all $j \in [k]$ we have $f(v_j) = 1$, then we have g(u) = 1 (since u is matched to its parent and no vertex v_j is matched to u). Otherwise, we have g(u) = 0.

Now, let $v \in V$ have k children u_1, \ldots, u_k . If there exists $i \in [k]$ such that $f(u_i) = g(u_i) =$ 0, then there is no feasible solution and the answer is no. So, suppose that for each u_i , either $f(u_i) = 1$ or $g(u_i) = 1$. Now, for each $c \in C$, let n_c^1 be the number of vertices u_i of color csuch that $f(u_i) = 0$ and $g(u_i) = 1$ and let n_c^2 be the number of vertices u_i of color c such that $f(u_i) = 1$ and $g(u_i) = 1$. Note that if $f(u_i) = 0$ and $g(u_i) = 1$, then u_i should be matched with its parent v and if $f(u_i) = 1$ and $g(u_i) = 1$, then u_i is allowed to be matched with its parent v. Therefore, in any \tilde{L} -fair matching M for T_v , we have $|M_c(v)| \in [n_c^1, n_c^1 + n_c^2]$. Define $A = \max_{c \in C} (n_c^1 + m_c^v)$ and $B = \min_{c \in C} (n_c^1 + n_c^2 + m_c^v)$. We claim that f(v) = 1 if and only if $A - B \leq L(v)$. To see this, note that if A - B > L(v), then there are some colors c, c' such that $|M_c(v)| + m_c^v - |M_{c'}(v)| - m_{c'}^v \ge n_c^1 + m_c^v - (n_{c'}^1 + n_{c'}^2 + m_{c'}^v) = A - B > L(v)$ and thus, f(v) = 0. Now, suppose that $A - B \leq L(v)$. For each color $c \in C$, define $\ell_c = \max(\min(A, B), n_c^1 + m_c^v)$. It is evident that $n_c^1 \leq \ell_c - m_c^v \leq n_c^1 + n_c^2$. So, we can choose $\ell_c - m_c^v$ vertices of color c among children u_i 's of v with $g(u_i) = 1$ and match it with u such that for any unmatched child u_i , we have $f(u_i) = 1$. Note that for any two colors $c, c' \in C$, if $A \leq B$, then we have $\ell_c - \ell_{c'} = A - A = 0$ and if $A \geq B$, then we have $\ell_c - \ell_{c'} \leq \max(B, n_c^1 + m_c^v) - B \leq A - B \leq L(v)$. Therefore, v is $\tilde{L}(v)$ -fair. Finally, for every unmatched child u_j , we have $f(u_j) = 1$, so we have an L-fair left-perfect many-to-one matching for T_{u_i} . The union of all these matchings form an \tilde{L} -fair left-perfect many-to-one matching for T_v and so f(v) = 1.

Computing g(v) is very similar to computation of f(v) with only one difference that v should be matched with its parent p(v). Let p(v) be of color c_0 . We do the same computations

as above and just add $n_{c_0}^1$ by one and we have g(v) = 1 if and only if $A - B \leq L(v)$.

Using the above recursion, we can find the value of f for the root u_0 and it is clear that a solution exists if and only if there is some $F' \subseteq F$ for which $f(u_0) = 1$. Also, the runtime of the algorithm is at most $O(2^{\text{fen}} n \log n)$ (because we have to do the above process for each subset of F and in each round, computing the numbers n_c^1 , n_c^2 , A and B takes $O(n \log n)$ time).

Using a dynamic programming, we can prove that GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING is FPT with respect to (tw, Δ_V) .

▶ **Theorem 6.** GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING can be solved in time $O^*(\operatorname{tw}^{\operatorname{tw}} 2^{|\Delta_V|(\operatorname{tw}+3)})$, where Δ_V is the maximum degree of vertices in V and tw is the treewidth of the input graph (assuming that nice tree decomposition of width tw is given).

Proof. Consider an instance $G = (U \cup V, E)$ endowed with the coloring $\operatorname{col} : U \to C$ and a threshold function $L : V \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Also, let $(T, \{B_t\}_{t \in V(T)})$ be a nice tree-decomposition for G of width tw and $O(\operatorname{tw} |V(G)|)$ nodes. For each vertex $t \in V(T)$, let G_t be the subgraph of G induced on all vertices in $B_{t'}$ where t' is a descendant of t. Define $U^t = U \cap B_t$ and $V^t = V \cap B_t$. Also, for each $u \in U^t$, define a variable x_u as follows.

$$x_u = \begin{cases} 0 & u \text{ is matched with no vertex in } V(G_t), \\ \text{out} & u \text{ is matched with a vertex in } V(G_t) \setminus B_t \\ v & u \text{ is matched with the vertex } v \in B_t. \end{cases}$$

Then, for each $v \in V^t$ and each color $c \in C$, define a variable y_v^c which is equal to the number of vertices in $V(G_t) \setminus B_t$ with color c matched with v. Finally, define $z_v^c = |\{u \in B_t : x_u = v, \operatorname{col}(u) = c\}|$, i.e. the number of vertices in B_t with color c matched with v. It is clear that $y_v^c + z_v^c \in [0, \Delta_V]$. Now, define $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (x_u, y_v^c; u \in U^t, v \in V^t, c \in C)$ and the function f_t , where $f_t(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is equal to one if and only if there exists a many-to-one matching M for G_t such that for all vertices $v \in (V \cap V(G_t)) \setminus B_t$, v is L(v)-fair, for each vertex $u \in (U \cap V(G_t)) \setminus B_t$, u is matched to a vertex and also, for each $u \in U^t$ and $v \in V^t$, M is compatible with (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) , i.e. $x_u = v$ if $uv \in M$, $x_u = \operatorname{out}$, if M(u) is in $V(G_t) \setminus B_t$ and $x_u = 0$ if $M(u) = \emptyset$. Also, $|M_c(v)| = y_v^c + z_v^c$, for all $c \in C$. It is clear that the answer of GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING is yes if and only if $f_r(\emptyset, \emptyset) = 1$, where r is the root of T (note that $B_r = \emptyset$).

First, we enumerate the domain size of f_t , i.e. the number of vectors (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) . Each x_u can take $|V^t| + 2$ values, so \mathbf{x} can take $(|V^t| + 2)^{|U^t|}$ values. Also, let $v \in V^t$ and let k be the number of color classes in which v has a neighbor. It is clear that $k \leq |\Delta_V|$ and each variable y_v^c can take values in $[0, |N_c(v)|]$. Hence, the number of possible vectors $(y_v^c; c \in C)$ is at most

$$\prod_{c \in C} \left(|N_c(v)| + 1 \right) \le \left(\frac{|\Delta_V|}{k} + 1 \right)^k \le 2^{|\Delta_V|}.$$

$$\tag{6}$$

Therefore, the number of vectors (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) is at most

$$(|V^t|+2)^{|U^t|}2^{|\Delta_V|.|V^t|} \le O(\operatorname{tw}^{\operatorname{tw}} 2^{|\Delta_V||V^t|}).$$

First inequality in (6) holds because the product is maximized when all $|N_c(v)|$ are equal and the last inequality in (6) holds because the function $(|\Delta_V|/k+1)^k$ is increasing in terms of k.

Now, we will recursively find the value of the function f_t on each (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) in terms of the value of $f_{t'}$ for the children t' of t. We consider the following three possibilities.

Case 1. *t* is an introduce node.

Suppose that t has a unique child t', where $B_t = B_{t'} \cup \{w\}$. Firstly, let $w \in U$ and the color of w is c_0 . If $x_w = \text{out}$, then $f_t(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0$ (since w has no neighbor in $V(G_t) \setminus B_t$). If $x_w = 0$ or $x_w = v$ for some $v \in B_t$, then

$$f_t(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = f_{t'}(x_u, y_v^c; u \in U^t \setminus \{w\}, v \in V^t, c \in C).$$

Secondly, let $w \in V$. If $y_w^c \neq 0$ for some $c \in C$, then $f_t(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0$ (since w has no neighbor in $V(G_t) \setminus B_t$). Also, if $x_u = w$ for some u which is not adjacent to w, then evidently $f_t(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0$. Now, suppose that $y_w^c = 0$ for all $c \in C$ and if $x_u = w$, then u is adjacent to w. For all $u \in U^t$, define

$$\hat{x}_u = \begin{cases} 0 & x_u = w, \\ x_u & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$f_t(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = f_{t'}(\hat{x}_u, y_v^c; u \in U^t, v \in V^t \setminus \{w\}, c \in C).$$

Computing f_t for each (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) takes $O(|U^t|)$ time.

Case 2. t is a forget node.

Suppose that t has a unique child t', where $B_t = B_{t'} \setminus \{w\}$. Firstly, let $w \in U$ and $\operatorname{col}(u) = c_0$. Consider all values $x_w \in \{v; v \in N(w) \cap B_t\} \cup \{\operatorname{out}\}$. If $x_w = v_0$, for some $v_0 \in N(w) \cap B_t$, then for every $v \in V^t$ and $c \in C$, define

$$\hat{y}_v^c = \begin{cases} y_v^c - 1 & v = v_0, c = c_0, \\ y_v^c & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also, if $x_w = \text{out}$, then define $\hat{y}_v^c = y_v^c$. Now,

$$f_t(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \max_{x_w \in \{v; v \in N(w) \cap B_t\} \cup \{\text{out}\}} f_{t'}(x_w, x_u, \hat{y}_v^c; u \in U^t, v \in V^t, c \in C).$$

Secondly, let $w \in V$. Let \tilde{U} be the set of all vertices $u \in U^t$ such that $x_u = \text{out and } u$ is adjacent to w. For each subset $Y \subseteq \tilde{U}$ and each $u \in U^t$, define

$$\hat{x}_u(Y) = \begin{cases} w & u \in Y, \\ x_u & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Also, for each $c \in C$, define $n_c = |(N_c(w) \cap V(G_t)) \setminus B_{t'}|$. Now, if there exist some $Y \subseteq \tilde{U}$ and some integers $y_w^c \in [0, n_c], c \in C$, such that

$$\max_{c}(y_w^c + |Y \cap U_c|) - \min_{c}(y_w^c + |Y \cap U_c|) \le L(w),$$

and

$$f_{t'}(\hat{x}_u(Y), y_v^c, y_w^c; u \in U^t, v \in V^t, c \in C) = 1,$$

then, $f_t(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 1$. Otherwise, we have $f_t(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0$.

If $w \in U$, then the number of values of x_w is at most $O(|V^t|)$. If $w \in V$, then the size of \tilde{U} is at most $|\Delta_V|$ and by (6), the number of values for y_w^c is at most $2^{|\Delta_V|}$. Therefore, computing f_t for each (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) takes time at most $O(|V^t| + |C||U^t|2^{2|\Delta_V|}) = O^*(2^{2|\Delta_V|})$.

Case 3. t is a join node.

Suppose that t has two children t', t'', where $B_t = B_{t'} = B_{t''}$. Now, for each $u \in U^t$, define x'_u and x''_u such that if $x_u \neq \text{out}$, then $x'_u = x''_u = x_u$, and if $x_u = \text{out}$, then $(x'_u, x''_u) = (0, \text{out})$ or (out, 0). Also, for each $v \in V^t$ and $c \in C$, define y'_v^c and y''_v^c such that $y'_v^c + y''_v^c = y_v^c$. Then, we have

$$f_t(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \max_{\substack{x'_u, x''_u, y''_v, y''_v \\ \min(f_{t'}(x'_u, y'_v; u \in U^t, v \in V^t, c \in C), f_{t''}(x''_u, y''_v; u \in U^t, v \in V^t, c \in C))}.$$

The number of possible values for x'_u and x''_u is at most $2^{|U^t|}$ and the number of possible values for y'_v^c and y''_v^c is at most $2^{|\Delta_V|}$ (with an argument similar to (6)). Therefore, computing f_t for each (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) takes time at most $O(2^{|U^t|+|\Delta_V|})$.

Finally, we compute the runtime of the whole algorithm. The number of nodes in the tree decomposition is at most $O(\operatorname{tw} n)$, where n is the number of vertices of G. Hence, the runtime of the whole algorithm is at most

$$O^*(\operatorname{tw}^{\operatorname{tw}} 2^{|\Delta_V||V^t|} \max\{2^{2|\Delta_V|}, 2^{|U^t|+|\Delta_V|}\}) \le O^*(\operatorname{tw}^{\operatorname{tw}} 2^{|\Delta_V|(\operatorname{tw}+3)}).$$

The following theorem asserts that GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING is FPT with respect to neighborhood diversity and thus it is FPT with respect to vertex cover number (this can be viewed as a generalization of the result of [4] which asserts that fair matching is FPT with respect to |V|).

▶ **Theorem 7.** If the input graph has n vertices with neighborhood diversity nd, then GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING can be solved in $O^*(nd^{O(nd)})$ time.

Proof. Let $G = (U \cup V, E)$ be the input graph along with the coloring col : $U \to C$ and a threshold function $L : V \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that G has no isolated vertex. Moreover, we can remove all connected components isomorphic to K_2 (because for any connected component uv of G with $u \in U$ and $v \in V$, if L(v) = 0, then the answer is no and if $L(v) \ge 1$, then we can add the edge uv to the matching and v is trivially L-fair).

Now, suppose that (V_1, \ldots, V_{nd}) is a partition of $U \cup V$, such that $G[V_i]$ is either a clique or a stable set and for each $i \neq j$, V_i is either complete or incomplete to V_j . Since G has no connected component isomorphic to K_1 and K_2 , for each i, either $V_i \subseteq U$ or $V_i \subseteq V$. So, assume that $V_1, \ldots, V_t \subseteq V$ and $V_{t+1}, \ldots, V_{nd} \subseteq U$.

Now, define an auxiliary bipartite graph $G' = (U \cup V', E')$, where $V' = \{v_1, \ldots, v_t\}$ and $u \in U$ is adjacent to v_i in G' if and only if u is complete to V_i in G. Also, define the threshold function $L' : V' \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, as $L'(v_i) = \sum_{v \in V_i} L(v)$. Now, we claim G has an L-fair matching if and only if G' has an L'-fair matching.

To see this, note that if M is an L-fair matching for G, then define M' to be the matching where $uv_i \in M'$ if and only if there is an edge $uv \in M$, for some $v \in V_i$. For every two color classes U_c and $U_{c'}$ and every vertex $v \in V$, we have $|M_c(v)| - |M_{c'}(v)| \leq L(v)$. Therefore, for each $i \in [t]$, we have

$$|M'_{c}(v_{i})| - |M'_{c'}(v_{i})| = \sum_{v \in V_{i}} (|M_{c}(v)| - |M_{c'}(v)|) \le \sum_{v \in V_{i}} L(v) = L'(v_{i}).$$

Thus, M' is an L'-fair matching for G'. Now, suppose that M' is an arbitrary L'-fair matching for G'. Fix an $i \in [t]$. Suppose that $V_i = \{v^1, \ldots, v^s\}$ where $\ell_j = L(v^j)$ for each $j \in [s]$. Also, for each $c \in C$, let $|M'_c(v_i)| = L'(v_i)q_c + r_c$, where $0 \le r_c < L'(v_i)$. Define the sequence of integers (r_c^1, \ldots, r_c^s) , where $r_c^1 = \ell_1, \ldots, r_c^k = \ell_k, r_c^{k+1} = r_c - \sum_{j=1}^k \ell_j, r_c^{k+2} = \cdots = r_c^s = 0$ (note that if $r_c \le \ell_1$, then define $r_c^1 = r_c, r_c^2 = \cdots, r_c^s = 0$). Now, partition $M'_c(v_i)$ into s disjoint subsets M_c^1, \ldots, M_c^s , where $|M_c^j| = q_c\ell_j + r_c^j$. Define the matching M for G where $M_c(v^j) = M_c^j$. Now, we prove that M is an L-fair matching for G. For this, let $c, c' \in C$ be two colors and $v^j \in V_i$. We have to prove that $|M_c(v^j)| - |M_{c'}(v^j)| \le \ell_j$. Since M' is L'-fair, we have $|M'_c(v_i)| - |M'_{c'}(v_i)| = L'(v_i)(q_c - q_{c'}) + r_c - r_{c'} \le L'(v_i)$. We consider the following two cases. If $r_c > r_{c'}$, then $q_c - q_{c'} \le 0$. So, $|M_c(v^j)| - |M_{c'}(v^j)| = (q_c - q_{c'})\ell_j + r_c^j - r_{c'}^j \le r_c^j \le \ell_j$. Now, if $r_c \le r_{c'}$, then $q_c - q_{c'} \le 1$ and $r_c^j \le r_{c'}^j$ for all $j \in [s]$. Therefore, $|M_c(v^j)| - |M_{c'}(v^j)| = (q_c - q_{c'})\ell_j + r_c^j - r_{c'}^j \le \ell_j$. Hence, M is an L-fair matching.

Hence, solving the problem for G is reduced to solving the problem for G' which can be done in time $O^*(\mathrm{nd}^{O(\mathrm{nd})})$ by Theorem 1.

Note that in bipartite graphs, neighborhood diversity and modular-width are equal. So, Theorem 7 is also valid for the modular-width.

For the last result of the paper, applying a result in parametrized complexity of integer programming, we prove that GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING is FPT with respect to (td, |C|).

▶ **Theorem 8.** If the tree-depth of the input graph is equal to td and |C| be the number of colors, then GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING can be solved in time $O^*(2^{2^{td}(|C|+1)})$.

Proof. Let $(G = (U \cup V, E), \operatorname{col}, L)$ be an instance of GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING. We propose an ILP formulation for the problem as follows. For each edge $uv \in E$, we have a variable x_{uv} which is equal to one if and only if uv is within the solution M. Also, for each vertex $v \in V$, we define the variables x_v and y_v to be respectively the maximum and the minimum number of vertices of some color $c \in C$ matched to v. So, we have the following ILP formulation:

ILP2:

$$\sum_{v \in V} x_{uv} = 1, \qquad \forall \ u \in U, \tag{7}$$

$$y_v - x_v \le L(v), \qquad \forall v \in V, \tag{8}$$

$$x_v \le \sum_{u \in U_c} x_{uv} \le y_v, \qquad \qquad \forall v \in V, \ c \in C, \tag{9}$$

$$x_{uv} \in \{0,1\}, \ x_v, y_v \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \qquad \qquad \forall \ uv \in E, v \in V$$

Condition (7) guarantees that the matching is left-perfect and Conditions (8) and (9) guarantee fairness of the matching. Now, we compute the dual graph \tilde{G} of ILP2 as follows. The vertex set of \tilde{G} corresponds to the constraints, so $V(\tilde{G}) = U \cup V \cup (V \times [|C|])$. There are |U| + |V| constraints in (7) and (8) which have no common variable. So $U \cup V$ is a stable set in \tilde{G} . For each $v \in V$, there are |C| + 1 constraints in (8) and (9) which share common variables x_v and y_v , so $\{v\} \cup (\{v\} \times [|C|])$ forms a clique of size |C| + 1 in \tilde{G} . For each vertex $v \in V$ and $u \in U_c$, for some color c, the constraints corresponding to u in (7) and constraints corresponding to (v, c) in (9) have the common variable x_{uv} if and only if u is adjacent to v in G_c . There is no more edges in \tilde{G} . The schematic of the graph \tilde{G} is depicted in Figure 7. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by blowing up each vertex of V to a clique of size



Figure 7 The schematic of the dual graph \tilde{G} .

|C| + 1. It is clear that \tilde{G} is a subgraph of G' and so $td(\tilde{G}) \leq td(G') \leq (|C| + 1) td$. The last inequality is because in the td-decomposition of G with depth td, we can replace each vertex $v \in V$ with a path of |C| + 1 vertices to obtain a td-decomposition for G' of depth at most (|C| + 1) td and the topological height at most td. Finally, if A is the coefficient matrix of ILP2, then it is clear that $||A||_{\infty} = 1$. By Theorem 2, ILP2 can be solved in $O^*((||A||_{\infty} + 1)^{2^{td_D(A)}}) = O^*(2^{2^{td(|C|+1)}})$. Hence, GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING can be solved in FPT time with respect to td + |C|.

5 Concluding Remark

In this paper, the structural complexity landscape of GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING problem has been investigated. It is proved that the boundary of W[1]-hardness is feedback vertex number and tree-depth. Also, we proved that when we add the number of colors as a parameter, then the boundary is moved from tree-depth to path-width. The complexity class for some parameters are remained unknown, which are listed below.

- In Theorems 3 and 8, we proved that GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING is W[1]-hard with respect to (fvn, td) and FPT with respect to (td, |C|). So, it is natural to ask if the problem is FPT with respect to (fvn, |C|)?
- In Theorem 6, we proved that GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING is FPT with respect to (tw, Δ_V) , where both parameters are in the exponent in the running time. This gives rise to the question if the problem is in XP with respect to tw? Also, the W[1]-hardness of the problem with respect to (cw, Δ_V) is unknown.
- In Theorem 7, we proved that GENERALIZED FAIR MATCHING is FPT with respect to nd and since vc $\leq O(2^{\text{nd}})$, it is also FPT with respect to vc. Therefore, we can ask if the problem admits a polynomial kernel with respect to vc? Moreover, the parameter vertex integrity is a generalization of vertex cover number, so one may ask if the problem is FPT with respect to vi?
- Finally, all FPT results are also valid for FAIR MATCHING problem in which all vertices in V have the same threshold ℓ . However, in W[1]-hardness results, our reductions construct graphs where the threshold of vertices in V are zero and one. So, it is also valuable if one can find reductions that all vertices have the same threshold (for instance zero).

References

- 1 Sara Ahmadian, Alessandro Epasto, Marina Knittel, Ravi Kumar, Mohammad Mahdian, Benjamin Moseley, Philip Pham, Sergei Vassilvitskii, and Yuyan Wang. Fair hierarchical clustering. In 34th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, 2020.
- 2 Sara Ahmadian, Alessandro Epasto, Ravi Kumar, and Mohammad Mahdian. Fair correlation clustering. In *International conference on artificial intelligence and statistics*, pages 4195–4205. PMLR, 2020.
- **3** Matthias Bentert, Leon Kellerhals, and Rolf Niedermeier. The structural complexity landscape of finding balance-fair shortest paths. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 933:149–162, 2022.
- 4 Niclas Boehmer and Tomohiro Koana. The complexity of finding fair many-to-one matchings. ACM Transactions on Algorithms, 20(2):1–37, 2024.
- **5** Niclas Boehmer, Tomohiro Koana, and Rolf Niedermeier. A refined complexity analysis of fair districting over graphs. *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems*, 37(1):13, 2023.
- 6 Flavio Chierichetti, Ravi Kumar, Silvio Lattanzi, and Sergei Vassilvtiskii. Matroids, matchings, and fairness. In *The 22nd international conference on artificial intelligence and statistics*, pages 2212–2220. PMLR, 2019.
- 7 Marek Cygan, Fedor V Fomin, Łukasz Kowalik, Daniel Lokshtanov, Dániel Marx, Marcin Pilipczuk, Michał Pilipczuk, and Saket Saurabh. *Parameterized algorithms*, volume 5. Springer, 2015.
- 8 Yushun Dong, Jing Ma, Song Wang, Chen Chen, and Jundong Li. Fairness in graph mining: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 35(10):10583– 10602, 2023.
- 9 Friedrich Eisenbrand, Christoph Hunkenschröder, Kim-Manuel Klein, Martin Kouteckỳ, Asaf Levin, and Shmuel Onn. An algorithmic theory of integer programming. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.01361, 2019.
- 10 Michael R Fellows, Danny Hermelin, Frances Rosamond, and Stéphane Vialette. On the parameterized complexity of multiple-interval graph problems. *Theoretical computer* science, 410(1):53–61, 2009.
- 11 Zachary Friggstad and Ramin Mousavi. Fair correlation clustering with global and local guarantees. In Algorithms and Data Structures: 17th International Symposium, WADS 2021, Virtual Event, August 9–11, 2021, Proceedings 17, pages 414–427. Springer, 2021.
- 12 Vincent Froese, Leon Kellerhals, and Rolf Niedermeier. Modification-fair cluster editing. Social Network Analysis and Mining, 14(1):109, 2024.
- 13 Tanmay Inamdar, Lawqueen Kanesh, Madhumita Kundu, Nidhi Purohit, and Saket Saurabh. Fixed-parameter algorithms for fair hitting set problems. In 48th International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS 2023). Schloss-Dagstuhl-Leibniz Zentrum für Informatik, 2023.
- 14 Klaus Jansen, Stefan Kratsch, Dániel Marx, and Ildikó Schlotter. Bin packing with fixed number of bins revisited. Journal of Computer and System Sciences, 79(1):39–49, 2013.
- 15 Lawqueen Kanesh, Soumen Maity, Komal Muluk, and Saket Saurabh. Parameterized complexity of fair feedback vertex set problem. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 867:1–12, 2021.
- 16 Jian Kang and Hanghang Tong. Fair graph mining. In Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management, pages 4849–4852, 2021.

REFERENCES

- 17 Moein Khajehnejad, Ahmad Asgharian Rezaei, Mahmoudreza Babaei, Jessica Hoffmann, Mahdi Jalili, and Adrian Weller. Adversarial graph embeddings for fair influence maximization over social networks. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth International Conference on International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 4306–4312, 2021.
- 18 Dušan Knop, Tomáš Masařík, and Tomáš Toufar. Parameterized complexity of fair vertex evaluation problems. In 44th International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS 2019). Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2019.
- 19 Petr Kolman, Bernard Lidickỳ, and Jean-Sébastien Sereni. Fair edge deletion problems on tree-decomposable graphs and improper colorings. 2010.
- 20 Michael Lampis. Structural Graph Parameters, Fine-Grained Complexity, and Approximation. PhD thesis, Université Paris Dauphine, 2022.
- 21 Hendrik W Lenstra Jr. Integer programming with a fixed number of variables. *Mathematics* of operations research, 8(4):538–548, 1983.
- 22 Lishin Lin and Sartaj Sahni. Fair edge deletion problems. *IEEE transactions on computers*, 38(5):756–761, 1989.
- 23 Tomáš Masařík and Tomáš Toufar. Parameterized complexity of fair deletion problems. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 278:51–61, 2020.
- 24 Ana-Andreea Stoica, Abhijnan Chakraborty, Palash Dey, and Krishna P Gummadi. Minimizing margin of victory for fair political and educational districting. In *Proceedings* of the 19th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and MultiAgent Systems, pages 1305–1313, 2020.