

EventCrab: Harnessing Frame and Point Synergy for Event-based Action Recognition and Beyond

Meiqi Cao¹ Xiangbo Shu^{1*} Jiachao Zhang² Rui Yan³ Zechao Li¹ Jinhui Tang¹

¹ Nanjing University of Science and Technology ² Nanjing Institute of Technology ³ Nanjing University

Abstract

Event-based Action Recognition (EAR) possesses the advantages of high-temporal resolution capturing and privacy preservation compared with traditional action recognition. Current leading EAR solutions typically follow two regimes: project unconstructed event streams into dense constructed event frames and adopt powerful frame-specific networks, or employ lightweight point-specific networks to handle sparse unconstructed event points directly. However, such two regimes are blind to a fundamental issue: failing to accommodate the unique dense temporal and sparse spatial properties of asynchronous event data. In this article, we present a synergy-aware framework, i.e., **EventCrab**, that adeptly integrates the “lighter” frame-specific networks for dense event frames with the “heavier” point-specific networks for sparse event points, balancing accuracy and efficiency. Furthermore, we establish a joint frame-text-point representation space to bridge distinct event frames and points. In specific, to better exploit the unique spatiotemporal relationships inherent in asynchronous event points, we devise two strategies for the “heavier” point-specific embedding: i) a Spiking-like Context Learner (SCL) that extracts contextualized event points from raw event streams. ii) an Event Point Encoder (EPE) that further explores event-point long spatiotemporal features in a Hilbert-scan way. Experiments on four datasets demonstrate the significant performance of our proposed EventCrab, particularly gaining improvements of $\uparrow 5.17\%$ on SeAct and $\uparrow 7.01\%$ on HARDVS.

1. Introduction

Accurate action recognition, essential for intelligent systems to sense human behaviors thoroughly, hinges on the precision of the identification methods employed and the quality of the benchmark datasets collected. Though current methods have shown impressive performance [41, 42], they are predominantly tailored for data captured by RGB cam-

* Corresponding author: Xiangbo Shu.




Figure 1. Insight of our work. Previous methods are limited to using “heavy” frame-specific encoders to extract features from densely stacked event frames or employing “light” point encoders to process sparse raw event points. Our Frame&Point-based approach integrates prompt-aware language semantic information to achieve a synergistic enhancement among the distinct event representations, balancing efficiency and accuracy.

eras, which encounter difficulties in the conditions of rapid motion, extreme lighting, and privacy concerns, etc. With the iterative advancements of dynamic vision sensors, e.g., event cameras, their ability to emulate the human visual system is increasingly garnering broad attention [6, 56]. Unlike traditional RGB cameras, event cameras can swiftly capture changes in brightness, outputting highly sparse, dynamic, and asynchronous events [34]. Thereby, event cameras can mitigate the issues of motion blur caused by rapid movement [35] and the lack of scene texture in low-light conditions. Meanwhile, event cameras primarily capture the edges of objects, thereby offering a potential solution to privacy concerns. Therefore, leveraging the inherent advantages of event cameras, such as low latency and wide dynamic range, the pursuit of Event-based Action Recognition (EAR) has become increasingly relevant in practical applications [1, 6].

Currently, a principal challenge in the development of EAR is how to effectively harness asynchronous event streams that possess dense temporal information while exhibiting highly sparse spatial information concurrently.

Considerable efforts have been made: i) stacking raw events into a series of 2D frames to leverage neural networks designed for RGB data [2, 16]. It typically uses fixed-time intervals to acquire dense event frames and employ powerful frame-specific networks with or without the guidance of the text encoder [58], as shown in Fig. 1(a)(c). ii) directly applying the point-specific networks to event points sampled by sliding windows leverages its suitability for processing asynchronous event points [17, 36], as shown in Fig. 1(b). However, the above solutions have distinct focals: i) adapt “heavy” frame-specific encoders to dense event frames, achieving satisfactory performance but at the expense of efficiency, and ii) adapt “light” point-specific encoders to sparse event points, suffering from performance loss while achieving energy efficiency.

In light of the challenges mentioned above, we seek to achieve a trade-off between recognition performance and energy consumption. Furthermore, to adeptly accommodate the unique sparse spatial and dense temporal attributes of the asynchronous event streams, we delve into the integrated compatibility of diverse event representations. More concretely, we introduce an elegant event frame-point fusion framework designed to fully harness the unique event information from both frame and point embedding perspectives, as depicted in Fig. 1(d). This framework integrates distinct representations of event frames and event points into a unified structure based on a primary strategy: *heavier modeling for sparse points, and lighter modeling for dense frames*. The “heavier” point-based branch endeavors to ameliorate performance by exploring the long contextualized information (*e.g.*, high dynamic motions) from asynchronous event points, and the “lighter” frame-based branch is a residual branch to maintain efficiency by capturing detailed information (*e.g.*, accumulated static silhouettes). Finally, we establish a joint frame-text-point representation space to bridge event frames and event points.

Formally, we propose a unified framework (EventCrab) to seamlessly accommodate language-guided event-frame and event-point embedding learning, enabling both effective and efficient event-based action recognition. Specifically, to align with the unique spatiotemporal characteristics of sparse event points, we first design a Spiking-like Context Learner (SCL) to recurrently extract event points with contextual information from raw event points. After that, we present an Event-Point Encoder to further explore event-point features while preserving spatiotemporal correlations in a Hilbert-scan way. Second, the event-point feature is guided by the point-prompt feature from the CLIP text encoder with the specifically designed point-related prompt. Meanwhile, the event frames, stacked from the event stream, are processed by an event-frame encoder to yield event-frame features, which are guided by the frame-prompt features from the CLIP text encoder with

the specifically designed frame-related prompt. Finally, the event-frame feature is integrated with the event-point feature through a residual-like connection, enabling the recognition task.

In summary, the main contributions of this work are summarized as follows,

- **Novel event frame-point fusion framework:** we propose an EventCrab framework to effectively and efficiently address the problem of EAR, by learning the language-guided event-frame and event-point embeddings as the pretext task.
- **Learnable event point contextualizing:** we design a Spiking-like Context Learner (SCL) to recurrently extract event points with contextual information delivered by redundant event points, which align closely with the nature of asynchronous events.
- **Long spatiotemporal-sensitive point encoder:** we present an Event-Point Encoder that further explores event-point features while preserving spatiotemporal correlations in a Hilbert scan way.

2. Related Work

Event-based Action Recognition. In general, due to the dense temporal and sparse spatial nature of asynchronous event stream, existing solutions fall into two categories: i) converting unstructured event stream into formats compatible with powerful RGB-based models like event frame [2] or time surface representations [55], *e.g.*, [16] transformed event camera outputs into processable frames using a binary-decimal aggregation strategy. However, such a solution inevitably discards critical temporal cues. ii) developing network architectures like Spiking Neural Networks (SNNs) [37] or Graph Convolutional Networks (GNNs) that cater to the unique properties of event data. *e.g.*, [17] designed a GNN leveraging the spatiotemporal information of events by treating asynchronous events as nodes. Such a solution tends to be computationally efficient and capable of preserving point-wise semantics. Unfortunately, its performance in large-scale scenarios is still not desirable. Consequently, a prevalent of subsequent efforts make full use of multi-modal information to boost EAR, *e.g.* utilize aligned RGB and event data [47]. In this paper, our aim at enhance EAR from frame and point perspective.

Spiking Neural Networks in Recognition. Spiking Neural Networks (SNNs), due to their ability to simulate the dynamic behaviour of biological neurons, are highly efficient at processing signals with temporal dynamics [33, 37, 53]. Initial vanilla SNN is directly designed for event gesture recognition, *e.g.*, [9] proposed a novel SNN on the DVS gesture dataset that combines multiple convolutional layers to extract spatial features with a reservoir layer for extracting temporal features. Subsequently, some studies [39] introduced powerful convolution and attention mechanisms




Figure 2. Framework of the proposed EventCraB. For the event-point embedding, the Spiking-like Context Learner (SCL) and the Event-Point Encoder (EPE) are designed to extract contextual points and explore point features f_o^e with consideration of the spatiotemporal dependencies in asynchronous event points, respectively. It is guided by the point-prompt feature f_o^t from CLIP Text Encoder with the point-related prompt. Meanwhile, for the event-frame embedding, the event frames stacked from the event stream are fed to an Event-Frame Encoder (e.g., Transformer) to obtain the event-frame feature f_a^e , which is similarly guided by the frame-prompt feature f_a^t from CLIP Text Encoder with the frame-related prompt.

into SNNs to enhance performance while maintaining efficiency, e.g., [15] implemented a deeper Spiking Convolutional Neural Network based on a ResNet architecture; Differently, we leverage the spike-based processing capabilities of SNNs to propose a spiking-like context learner that comprehensively understands asynchronous event data.

State Space Models. State Space Models (SSMs) have achieved success in various tasks [5, 11, 12, 43], which stems from their ability to capture long-range dependencies. Building on this, Mamba [11] introduced a selective SSM mechanism to enable the model to selectively focus on or ignore certain parameterized inputs. Subsequently, VisionMamba [60] and Vmamba [26] combined bidirectional SSM and cross-scanning to bridge the gap between the ordered nature of 1D selective scanning and the non-continuous structure of 2D visual data. Besides, the great potential of Mamba has also inspired a series of cross-disciplinary work, e.g., point cloud analysis (PointMamba [23]), medical segmentation (U-Mamba [29]), and video understanding (SpikeMba [22]). However, previous work has directly used SSM to extract features from raw data, overlooking important sparsity and asynchrony properties in event data. In this paper, we employ a spiking neural network (SNN) that captures spatiotemporal relationships to preprocess the raw event data. This allows the SSM to become sensitive to the spatiotemporal relations of events and adapt to the concept of asynchronous event occurrence.

3. Method

3.1. Overview

As shown in Fig. 2, our proposed EventCraB is designed to fully harness the abundant event information from both event-frame and event-point embedding perspectives. For one event stream $E = \{e_i\}_{i \in (0, N)} = \{(x_i, y_i, p_i, t_i)\}_{i \in (0, N)}$ with the action label Y , we first obtain its corresponding event points E_o and event frames E_a , where e_i denotes one event, (x_i, y_i) denotes the event’s position, polarity $p_i = \pm 1$ indicates the polarity of brightness change, and $t_i \in [1, T]$ is the timestemp of one event point. For “heavier” event-point embedding, the event points E_o are firstly fed to Spiking-like Context learner (SCL) (Sec. 3.2) for extracting contextual event points, denoted by E_c . Then, E_c is fed into the Event-Point Encoder (EPE) (Sec. 3.3), to explore the event-point feature $f_o^e \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times D}$. Simultaneously, the “lighter” Event-Frame Encoder (EFE) obtains the event-frame feature $f_a^e \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times D}$ from E_a , as follows:

$$\begin{cases} f_o^e = \text{EPE}(\text{SCL}(E_o)), \\ f_a^e = \text{EFE}(E_a). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Subsequently, the f_a^e is residually connected to the f_o^e for the final action feature f_a^e :

$$f_a^e = f_a^e + f_a^e \odot f_o^e, \quad (2)$$

where \odot is element multiplication. While the CLIP Text Encoder transforms the point- and frame-related prompts of the event label into the frame-prompt feature $\mathbf{f}_a^t \in \mathbb{R}^{Q \times D}$ and the point-prompt feature $\mathbf{f}_o^t \in \mathbb{R}^{Q \times D}$, which guide the semantic consistency of \mathbf{f}_a^e and \mathbf{f}_o^e via contrastive loss \mathcal{L}_a and \mathcal{L}_o , respectively. Here, Q indicates the number of action classes.

3.2. Spiking-like Context Learner (SCL)

Considering the high temporal resolution characteristic of event cameras, the large amount of raw event stream recorded at the microsecond level poses a challenge for most EAR methods [54]. For these methods, the sparse and asynchronous event stream is sampled and aggregated through a size-fixed sliding window, as shown in Fig. 1 (b). Thus, such a sampling strategy not only disrupts the temporal correlations between events but also operates independently of the subsequent feature extraction. Inspired by [51], we find that spiking firing in Spiking Neural Networks (SNN) aligns well with event-based sampling. Therefore, we address the challenges mentioned above by introducing a Spiking-like Context Learner (SCL) that can extract contextual event points from redundant raw events using Spiking Residual Recurrent Neural Network (SR-RNN) and effectively integrate them with subsequent feature exploration.

LIF Neuron. We choose the Leaky Integrate-and-Fire (LIF) neuron [10] used in neuromorphic computing as the basis for our approach. Its charging process is described by the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} u_i^\ell[t] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau_m}\right) v_i^\ell[t-1] + \frac{1}{\tau_m} I_i^\ell[t], \\ s_i^\ell[t] = \text{H}(u_i^\ell[t] - u_{th}) = \begin{cases} 1, & u_i^\ell[t] \geq u_{th}, \\ 0, & u_i^\ell[t] < u_{th} \end{cases}, \\ v_i^\ell[t] = u_i^\ell[t] (1 - s_i^\ell[t]) + u_{reset} s_i^\ell[t]. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Here, $u_i^\ell[t]$ and $v_i^\ell[t]$ denote the membrane potential of the i -th neuron in the l -th layer before and after charging, with $I_i^\ell[t]$ as input current. $s_i^\ell[t]$ is the output of the spiking neuron. τ_m and u_{th} denote the membrane time constant and the firing threshold, respectively. $\text{H}(\cdot)$ is the Heaviside function. Upon receiving spiking inputs, a neuron accumulates the input current $I_i^\ell[t]$ into its membrane potential $u_i^\ell[t]$, which decays at a constant rate. When the membrane potential $u_i^\ell[t]$ exceeds the threshold u_{th} , a spike is emitted, resetting $u_i^\ell[t]$ to $v_i^\ell[t]$ with u_{reset} denoting the resetting potential. Such the LIF neuron is referred to as ‘‘SN(\cdot)’’ for the subsequent equation.

Spiking Residual Recurrent Neural Network (SRRNN). The mechanism of membrane potential accumulation in SNN is highly congruent with the rationale behind event-based sampling, where events from asynchronous streams should be selected once the accumulated event information surpasses a predefined threshold. Therefore, we leverage

the functionality of the LIF model and fully consider the contextual information among events to learn the sampling for the raw event points. Taking into account the sparsity in spatial dimensions and the density in temporal dimensions of event data, we employ recurrent synaptic connectivity to extract a contiguous and information-dense subset of event points embedded with contextual information, as follows:

$$\begin{cases} u[t] = \gamma[t]v[t-1] + \alpha I[t] + (1-\alpha)W_u^I * I[t-1], \\ I[t] = W_I^e * \mathbf{E}_o[t] + W_I^s * s[t-1], \\ \gamma[t] = \sigma(W_\gamma^e * \mathbf{E}_o[t] + W_\gamma^s * s[t-1]). \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Here, $*$ denotes the convolution operation, t denotes timestep of the event, $\mathbf{E}_o[t]$ denotes the event points between $[t-1, t]$, σ denotes the sigmoid function, and α is the residual weight, which defaults to 0.5.

The event points $\mathbf{E}_o[t]$ at t -th timestep and the spike firing $s[t-1]$ at the $(t-1)$ -th timestep contribute to the $I[t]$ by recurrent convolution W_I^e and W_I^s , respectively. Likewise, the decay factor $\gamma[t]$ at the t -th timestep is updated by $\mathbf{E}_o[t]$ and $s[t-1]$ through recurrent convolution W_γ^e and W_γ^s . In light of the process above, we achieve interval sampling by acquiring the event slice between the previous spike firing time t^{k-1} and the current spike firing time t^k ($k = [1, T']$ denotes the index of sampling interval), as follows,

$$\mathbf{E}_o^k = \{(x_j, y_j, p_j, t_j) | t_j \in [t^{k-1}, t^k]\}_{j \in (0, N)}. \quad (5)$$

Finally, we aggregate contextual event points $\mathbf{E}_c \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times T' \times C}$ from $\{\mathbf{E}_o^k\}_{k=1}^{T'}$ [47], where H and W denote the spatial size, T' denotes the sampled timestep and C is the channel.

3.3. Hilbert-scan Spiking Mamba (HSM)

Previous schemes for the event point encoding are typically bifurcated into two distinct categories: 1) the direct employment of spiking neural networks (SNNs) that are congruent with the ethos of event cameras, and 2) the utilization of point cloud or graph structures, originally intended for processing three-dimensional data, to manage event points. Nonetheless, these schemes have not adequately addressed the inherent spatiotemporal nuances of event data, as well as the long-term interdependency of asynchronous event points, both of which are pivotal for accurate recognition tasks. To this end, we propose a novel Hilbert-scan Spiking-Mamba (HSM) as Event Point Encoder (EPE) that rethinks the nature of event points. Specifically, HSM mainly comprises Hilbert Scanning, and Spiking Mamba block as detailed below.

Hilbert Scanning. In order to preserve the spatiotemporal distribution properties of event points, we first employ a space-filling curve, the Hilbert curve, to traverse all event points without repetition while retaining spatial topology. First, 2D-spatial and 1D-temporal event information of event points are constructed into a 3D representation to

be processed in the forward and backward Hilbert curves. Second, we reindex the contextual event points E_c and use a 3D convolution to project E_c into non-overlapping patches $f_p \in \mathbb{R}^{(L \times T') \times D}$ with D-dimension, where $L = H \times W/P^2$ and (P, P) is the size of the patch. Third, we obtain serialized point tokens $f_s \in \mathbb{R}^{(L \times T') \times D}$ by incorporating a learnable spatial position embedding $p_s \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times D}$ and a temporal position embedding $p_t \in \mathbb{R}^{T' \times D}$:

$$f_s = f_p + p_s + p_t. \quad (6)$$

Spiking Mamba Block. We integrate the spiking neural network (SNN), leveraging its asynchronous event processing capabilities based on spikes (refer to Eq.3), into the Mamba block for analyzing long time series of contextual event points. This ensures low power consumption while fully exploiting the high temporal resolution information of the event points. First, the Mamba block effectively parallels and captures long-term temporal correlations within event series by selective State Space Model (SSM) [11]. Such this operation is referred to as ‘‘MAMBA(·)’’ for subsequent equation:

$$\text{SMAMBA}(f) = \text{SN}(\text{Mamba}(\text{SN}(f))), \quad (7)$$

where $\text{SMAMBA}(\cdot)$ extracts explicit temporal features from the serialized event-point sequences, $\text{SN}(\cdot)$ denotes the spiking activation function. Second, based on the Spiking Feed-Forward Network (SFFN) [59], the Spiking Group-wise Convolution (SGC) layers are incorporated into the Spiking Point-wise Convolution (SPC) layers to extract local features while reducing computational overhead, which is formulated as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SPC}(f) &= \text{Conv}(\text{SN}(f)), \\ \text{SGC}(f) &= \text{GWConv}(\text{SN}(f)) + f, \\ \text{SPGC}(f) &= \text{SPC}(\text{SGC}(\text{SPC}(f))). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Here, $\text{SPC}(\cdot)$ denote the spiking point-wise convolution layer, $\text{Conv}(\cdot)$ is the point-wise convolution. $\text{SGC}(\cdot)$ denote the spiking group-wise convolution layer and $\text{GWConv}(\cdot)$ is group-wise convolution. $\text{SPGC}(\cdot)$ denotes that the stacked SGC layer and SPC layers extract local features. When feeding f_s into the l -th Spiking Mamba block, we obtain the event-point feature $f_o^e \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times D}$. It can be formulated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_s^l &= \text{SMAMBA}(f_s^l) + f_s^l, \\ f_s^{l+1} &= \text{SPGC}(\hat{f}_s^l), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where f_s^{l+1} denotes the output features of the l -th Spiking Mamba block and the output of the final block is recognized as the ultimate event-point feature f_o^e .

3.4. Training and Testing

When the raw event points are converted into event-point features f_o^e , we also implement the event-frame embedding and text-prompt embedding to obtain the event-frame feature f_a^e and the frame/point-prompt features f_a^t/f_o^t , respectively.

Event-Frame Embedding. As shown in Fig. 2, it inputs stacked N_t event frames $E_a \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times N_t \times 3}$ of the spatial size (H, W) to the Event-Frame Encoder (e.g., Transformer), which outputs the event-frame feature $f_a^e \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times D}$. Based on Eq. (2), it is residually connected to the event-point feature for the final frame feature f_a^e .

Text-Prompt Embedding. The CLIP Text Encoder extracts text features from the frame- and point-related prompts, converting them into corresponding text features, e.g., $f_a^t \in \mathbb{R}^{Q \times D}$ and $f_o^t \in \mathbb{R}^{Q \times D}$, where Q indicates the number of action class.

Training Process. We constrain the event-text consistency via the contrastive loss $\mathcal{L}(f_*^e, f_*^t)$ between the event-frame/point feature and the frame/point-prompt feature as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}(f_*^e, f_*^t) = -\frac{1}{Q} \sum_{q=1}^Q \log \frac{\exp((f_*^e)^\top \cdot f_{(*,q)}^t / \tau)}{\sum_{k=1}^Q \exp((f_*^e)^\top \cdot f_{(*,k)}^t / \tau)}, \quad (10)$$

where the subscript is $*$ $\in \{a, o\}$, τ is the temperature coefficient. Based on Eq. (10), we obtain specific contrastive losses \mathcal{L}_a and \mathcal{L}_o for the event-frame embedding and event-point embedding branches, respectively. The final overall recognition loss is composed of \mathcal{L}_a and \mathcal{L}_o , as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_a + \lambda \mathcal{L}_o, \quad (11)$$

where λ is the weight coefficient.

Testing Process. Formally, we denote the frame-text features of all class labels as $f^t = [f_1^t, f_2^t, \dots, f_q^t, \dots, f_Q^t]$, where $f_q^t \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times D}$ denotes the text feature of the q -th class. Given an event-frame feature $f_a^e \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times D}$ that undergoes residual fusion with event-point features, we get the classification logit \mathcal{P}_q of the q -th class as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}_q = \frac{\exp((f_a^e)^\top \cdot f_q^t)}{\sum_{i=1}^Q \exp((f_a^e)^\top \cdot f_i^t)}. \quad (12)$$

4. Experiments

4.1. Dataset and Settings

Datasets. We conduct our research on four datasets, including PAF [31], SeAct [58], HARDVS [48] and DVS128 Gesture [1]. PAF (DVS Action) contains ten action categories with 450 recordings, conducted in an unoccupied office environment. SeAct is the first semantic-abundant dataset for event-text action recognition, containing 58 actions under four themes. HARDVS is a recently released

Dataset	Method	Accuracy (%)	
		Top-1	Top-5
PAF	HMAX SNN [52]	55.00	-
	STCA [13]	71.20	-
	Motion SNN [25]	78.10	-
	MST [50]	88.21	-
	Swin-T(BN) [50]	90.14	-
	EV-ACT [7]	92.60	-
	ExACT [58]	94.83	98.28
	Ours	96.49(+1.66)	100.00(+1.72)
SeAct	EventTransAct [2]	57.81	64.22
	EvT [38]	61.30	67.81
	ExACT [58]	67.24	75.00
	Ours	72.41(+5.17)	89.65(+14.65)
HARDVS	X3D	45.82	52.33
	SlowFast [4]	46.54	54.76
	ACTION-Net [49]	46.85	56.19
	R2Plus1D [45]	49.06	56.43
	ResNet18 [14]	49.20	56.09
	TAM [27]	50.41	57.99
	C3D [44]	50.52	56.14
	ESTF [48]	51.22	57.53
	Video-SwinTrans [28]	51.91	59.11
	TSM [24]	52.63	60.56
	TSCFormer [46]	53.04	62.67
	ExACT [58]	90.10	96.69
	Ours	97.11(+7.01)	99.48(+2.79)
DVS128 Gesture	Time-surfaces [30]	90.62	-
	SNN eRBP [18]	92.70	-
	Slayer [40]	93.64	-
	DECOLLE [19]	95.54	-
	EvT [38]	96.20	-
	TBR [16]	97.73	-
	EventTransAct [2]	97.92	-
	ExACT [58]	98.86	98.86
	Ours	98.80(-0.08)	100.00(+1.14)

Table 1. Comparative performance (%) for EAR on the PAF, SeAct, HARDVS and DVS128 Gesture datasets. The best results are in **bold** and the second-best ones are in underlined.

dataset for event-based action recognition, currently having the largest action classes, namely 107,646 recordings for 300 action categories. Both of the above three datasets have an average time duration of 5 seconds with 346×260 resolution. DVS128 Gesture is collected using a DAVIS128 camera with 128×128 resolution, dividing into 11 distinct classes of gestures.

Settings. The implementation of the overall framework is carried out on PyTorch in a Linux environment with two NVIDIA GeForce 4090 GPUs. We utilize SpikingJelly [3] to enhance the training efficiency of the spike-based backbone. The event-frame encoder of the event-image-text model [57] is utilized for event-frame embedding and the CLIP text encoder from pre-trained CLIP [32] is utilized for Text-Prompt embedding. We use the Adam [21] optimizer with the initial learning rate of 1×10^{-5} and weight decay of 2×10^{-4} . CosineAnnealingLR learning rate schedule is employed with a minimum learning rate of 1×10^{-6} .

Method	SeAct		PAF	
	Top-1	Top-5	Top-1	Top-5
Baseline	66.37	79.31	91.22	95.80
+ EPE	70.68(+4.31)	87.85(+8.54)	94.73(+3.51)	98.24 (+2.44)
+ SCL, EPE	72.41(+1.73)	89.65(+1.80)	96.49(+1.76)	100.00(+1.76)

Table 2. Ablation study for effectiveness of each component in Event framework.

By default, we set the parameter α in Eq. 4 to 0.5, and the parameter λ in Eq. 11 to 0.8.

4.2. Comparison with SOTA Methods

As shown in Table 1, we evaluate our proposed method against currently representative methods on PAF [31], SeAct [58], HARDVS [48] and DVS128 Gesture [1] datasets. Our proposed EventCrab demonstrates superior performance on these datasets. Specifically, EventCrab achieves improvements of 5.17% (Top-1) and 14.65% (Top-5), respectively, on the SeAct dataset with 58 dynamic actions. On the HARDVS dataset with 300 action classes, EventCrab brings improvements of 7.01% (Top-1) and 2.79% (Top-5), significantly outperforming ExACT [58]. Concurrently, EventCrab achieves an accuracy of 96.49% on the PAF dataset, which encompasses 10 distinct classes, and 98.80% on the DVS128 Gesture dataset, spanning 11 distinct gesture classes, demonstrating comparable performance to the ExACT. These results suggest that while ExACT performs well on small datasets, it faces challenges with large datasets that contain a multitude of categories. However, our EventCrab, approaching from both the event-frame and event-point perspectives, thoroughly exploits the spatiotemporal information within asynchronous event streams, effectively addressing the challenges posed by complex, large-scale datasets.

4.3. Ablation Studies

We conduct extensive ablation experiments to validate key designs of EventCrab. Results are reported on SeAct and PAF datasets.

Effectiveness of Each Component. As detailed in Table 2, we conduct an ablation study to test the effectiveness of Spiking-like Context Learner (SCL) and Event-Point Encoder (EPE) within the proposed framework. The baseline model is trained only using contrastive loss [32] between the event frame and its corresponding text embeddings without the event-point embedding branch. Building upon this baseline, adding the event-point embedding branch with only EPE gains performance improvements of 4.31% on the SeAct dataset and 3.51% on the PAF dataset, respectively. These performance improvements demonstrate that it is significantly effective for harnessing the abundant information contained within the raw event points. Notably, when SCL is further added event-point embedding branch, there is a continuous performance improvement,

Module	SeAct		PAF	
	Top-1	Top-5	Top-1	Top-5
Sliding window	68.10	83.62	92.98	96.41
SNN	68.96(+0.86)	84.48(+0.86)	93.96(+0.98)	97.68(+1.27)
SCL	70.68(+1.72)	87.85(+3.37)	94.73(+0.77)	98.24 (+0.56)

Table 3. Ablation study for the superiority of SCL.




Figure 3. (left) Impact of different values of λ balanced between event-frame and event-point on PAF dataset. (right) Impact of different numbers of Spiking Mamba blocks proposed in Event-Point Encoder on PAF dataset.

namely improvements of 1.72% and 1.76% in Top-1 on the SeAct and PAF datasets, respectively. These improvements highlight the synergistic effectiveness of the proposed SCL and EPE, emphasizing the strengths that contribute to the enhanced performance.

Superiority of Spiking-like Contextual Learner (SCL).

We also validate the superiority of the proposed SCL compared to the conventional sliding window- [37] and vanilla SNN-based [10] sampling strategies. As shown in Table 3, the comparison results demonstrate that the vanilla SNN-based sampling, consistent with asynchronous event sampling principles, is beneficial to event sampling, gaining an improvement of 0.98% (Top-1) compared with sliding window sampling on PAF. Evolved from SNN-base sampling, our SCL considering the important contextual information, demonstrates better performance improvement compared with the alternatives.

4.4. Diagnose Analysis

Balance Between Event-point and Event-frame. Our findings suggest that achieving a balance between \mathcal{L}_a and \mathcal{L}_o (Eq. 11) is crucial. The contribution of \mathcal{L}_o is modified via λ . As shown in Fig.3 (left), the variation of λ affects the accuracy performance. The best performance is achieved with a weight of 0.8. Notably, our findings highlight the potential benefits of leveraging complementary information from multiple event text representations to enhance the power of the proposed model for EAR.

Impact of the Different Number of Spiking Mamba Blocks. As shown in Fig. 3 (right), we explore the impact of different numbers of Spiking Mamba blocks which exploit the high temporal resolution information of the event points. Our findings indicate that accuracy escalates with incrementing the number of blocks up to 6. Subsequent




Figure 4. Visualization of events before/after processed by SCL on the SeAct dataset.




Figure 5. The t-SNE visualization of event features learned by different methods on the SeAct dataset. Ten action classes on the dataset are randomly selected. Best view in color.

augmentation from 6 to 12 blocks results in a gradual performance decrement, implying that an appropriate number of blocks is more conducive to effectively capturing the features of event points. Intuitively, the selection of an appropriate number of Spiking Mamba blocks, which possess a formidable ability to capture features, is of paramount importance for smaller event datasets. Consequently, we set the number of blocks to 6.

4.5. Qualitative Analysis

Visualization of Spiking-like Context Learner (SCL). To explore the superiority of the designed SCL, we visualize the events before/after processed by SCL on the SeAct dataset. Notably, we employ the intuitive stacked frames to represent the event points, with red indicating the event before SCL processing and blue indicating the event after SCL processing. As shown in Fig. 4, redundant event points are markedly diminished while critical event points are retained by the SCL. The results demonstrate that our proposed SCL effectively extracts raw event points by leveraging spatiotemporal contextual information, thereby alleviating the burden of feature exploration from event points.

The t-SNE of Features Learned by Different Methods.

We employ t-SNE to qualitatively visualize the distribution of the original data, the features learned by ExACT [58], and the features learned by our proposed method (Eq. 2). Notably, Fig. 5 visualize the ten action classes distribution on the SeAct dataset, which include the challenging pairs with similar semantics, e.g. “walk with headache” vs. “leg injury walking”. Comparing Fig. 5(b) with Fig. 5(c), we can find that the features in different classes are widely distributed while our proposed method advances in distin-

ExACT: Clap (0.783) Circle arm (0.096) Jumping jack (0.002)					Ours: Jumping jack (0.997) Circle arm (0.0008) Long jump(0.0004)
ExACT: Jump squat (0.873) Tie shoelaces (0.055) Bend forward(0.041)					Ours: Squat down (0.531) Jump squat (0.459) Bend forward(0.008)
ExACT: Run with ball (0.608) Walk with box(0.357) Handing box(0.020)					Ours: Walk with box(0.469) Walk with ball(0.438) Run with ball(0.059)
ExACT: Walking (0.204) Walk with pain(0.163) Running(0.146)					Ours: Staggering(0.326) Falling down (0.168) Leg injury walk(0.119)

Figure 6. Visualization of the Top-3 predicted results on the SeAct dataset.

guishing these classes. The results compellingly demonstrate that our frame-point fusion strategy boosts the model’s capacity to distinguish event features, particularly for event actions that are closely related.

Recognition Results. Fig. 6 presents visualizations of four groups of event actions in the form of event frames, alongside comparative Top-3 recognition results for two methods (ExACT [58] vs. Ours) on the SeAct dataset. As shown in the first and third rows, rapid movements result in the blur of stacked event frames, which hinders the recognition ability of the frame-based method (*i.e.*, ExACT), for “run with ball” vs. “walk with box”. Rows two and four elucidate that event actions with subtle inter-class disparities (*e.g.*, “walking” vs. “staggering”) become misleading to the ExACT method when stacked into event frames. These results suggest that our approach, which integrates spatial contour information from event frames with a temporal trajectory from event points, adeptly seizes the essence of motion dynamics and thus mitigates the impact of motion blur.

Computational Efficiency. We compare our EventCrab with the state-of-the-art ExACT [58] on computational efficiency in Fig. 7. Our EventCrab has 147M parameters, which is 8M less than ExACT. The FLOPs of ExACT and EventCrab are 702 and 669. Meanwhile, our EventCrab achieves faster inference speeds. Our EventCrab adeptly balances computational efficiency with performance by harnessing the prowess for capturing event-point long dependencies and the efficiency for detailing event frame nuances.

4.6. Extension to Other Tasks

Text retrieval has a multitude of practical applications [8, 20] and adheres to the following procedure: input the text to be queried, and retrieve events that correspond to it. We




Figure 7. Computational effectiveness analysis between ours EventCrab and SOTA ExACT, which is a frame-text method.

side kick: A human action side kick involves someone performing a swift, sideways kicking movement, often in martial arts.




Figure 8. Visualization of the Top-3 event action retrieval results on the SeAct dataset.

extend our EventCrab to the task of text retrieval. Notably, we present the event stream in the form of stacked frames. As shown in Fig. 8, we demonstrate the Top-3 retrieved event streams for the query label “side kick”, which are “side kick”, “forward kick”, and “leg lift”. It can be observed that the retrieved events exhibit a high degree of similarity, thereby validating the retrieval effectiveness of our EventCrab on such discernibly challenging events.

5. Conclusion and Discussion

Conclusion. In this paper, we present a novel EAR framework, *i.e.*, EventCrab, that simultaneously learns language-guided event-frame and event-point embeddings for EAR, balancing efficiency and accuracy. To address the challenge of harnessing asynchronous event points, EventCrab has two main insightful components, *i.e.*, Spiking-like Context Learner (SCL), and Event Point Encoder (EPE). SCL extracts event points with contextual information from redundant raw event points. EPE efficiently further explore event-point features while preserving spatiotemporal correlations in a Hilbert scan way. Extensive experimental results well demonstrate the effectiveness and efficiency of the proposed method.

Discussion. While our approach delivers promising results and scalability, it encounters modest limitations due to the temporal overhead associated with event stacking. Future work will focus on devising more efficient strategies to harness the unique asynchronous event streams.

References

- [1] Arnon Amir, Brian Taba, David Berg, Timothy Melano, Jeffrey McKinstry, Carmelo Di Nolfo, Tapan Nayak, Alexander Andreopoulos, Guillaume Garreau, Marcela Mendoza, et al. A low power, fully event-based gesture recognition system. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 7243–7252, 2017. 1, 5, 6
- [2] Tristan de Blegiers, Ishan Rajendrakumar Dave, Adeel Yousaf, and Mubarak Shah. Eventtransact: A video transformer-based framework for event-camera based action recognition. In *2023 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 1–7. IEEE, 2023. 2, 6
- [3] Wei Fang, Yanqi Chen, Jianhao Ding, Ding Chen, Zhaofei Yu, Huihui Zhou, and Yonghong Tian. other contributors. spikingjelly, 2020. 6
- [4] Christoph Feichtenhofer, Haoqi Fan, Jitendra Malik, and Kaiming He. Slowfast networks for video recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 6202–6211, 2019. 6
- [5] Daniel Y Fu, Tri Dao, Khaled K Saab, Armin W Thomas, Atri Rudra, and Christopher Ré. Hungry hungry hippos: Towards language modeling with state space models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.14052*, 2022. 3
- [6] Guillermo Gallego, Tobi Delbrück, Garrick Orchard, Chiara Bartolozzi, Brian Taba, Andrea Censi, Stefan Leutenegger, Andrew J Davison, Jörg Conradt, Kostas Daniilidis, et al. Event-based vision: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 44(1):154–180, 2020. 1
- [7] Yue Gao, Jiakuan Lu, Siqi Li, Nan Ma, Shaoyi Du, Yipeng Li, and Qionghai Dai. Action recognition and benchmark using event cameras. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2023. 6
- [8] Yuying Ge, Yixiao Ge, Xihui Liu, Dian Li, Ying Shan, Xiaohu Qie, and Ping Luo. Bridging video-text retrieval with multiple choice questions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 16167–16176, 2022. 8
- [9] Arun M George, Dighanchal Banerjee, Sounak Dey, Arijit Mukherjee, and P Balamurali. A reservoir-based convolutional spiking neural network for gesture recognition from dvs input. In *International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, pages 1–9. IEEE, 2020. 2
- [10] Samanwoy Ghosh-Dastidar and Hojjat Adeli. Spiking neural networks. *International Journal of Neural Systems*, 19(04): 295–308, 2009. 4, 7
- [11] Albert Gu and Tri Dao. Mamba: Linear-time sequence modeling with selective state spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00752*, 2023. 3, 5
- [12] Albert Gu, Karan Goel, and Christopher Ré. Efficiently modeling long sequences with structured state spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.00396*, 2021. 3
- [13] Pengjie Gu, Rong Xiao, Gang Pan, and Huajin Tang. Stca: Spatio-temporal credit assignment with delayed feedback in deep spiking neural networks. In *International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 1366–1372, 2019. 6
- [14] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 770–778, 2016. 6
- [15] Yangfan Hu, Huajin Tang, and Gang Pan. Spiking deep residual networks. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 34(8):5200–5205, 2021. 3
- [16] Simone Undri Innocenti, Federico Becattini, Federico Pernici, and Alberto Del Bimbo. Temporal binary representation for event-based action recognition. In *2020 25th International Conference on Pattern Recognition*, pages 10426–10432. IEEE, 2021. 2, 6
- [17] Bo Jiang, Chengguo Yuan, Xiao Wang, Zhimin Bao, Lin Zhu, Yonghong Tian, and Jin Tang. Point-voxel absorbing graph representation learning for event stream based recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.05239*, 2023. 2
- [18] Jacques Kaiser, Alexander Friedrich, J Tieck, Daniel Reichard, Arne Roennau, Emre Neftci, and Rüdiger Dillmann. Embodied neuromorphic vision with event-driven random backpropagation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.04805*, 2019. 6
- [19] Jacques Kaiser, Hesham Mostafa, and Emre Neftci. Synaptic plasticity dynamics for deep continuous local learning. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 14:424, 2020. 6
- [20] Jae Myung Kim, A Koepke, Cordelia Schmid, and Zeynep Akata. Exposing and mitigating spurious correlations for cross-modal retrieval. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2585–2595, 2023. 8
- [21] Diederik P Kingma. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*, 2014. 6
- [22] Wenrui Li, Xiaopeng Hong, Ruiqin Xiong, and Xiaopeng Fan. Spikemba: Multi-modal spiking saliency mamba for temporal video grounding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.01174*, 2024. 3
- [23] Dingkan Liang, Xin Zhou, Wei Xu, Xingkui Zhu, Zhikang Zou, Xiaoqing Ye, Xiao Tan, and Xiang Bai. Pointmamba: A simple state space model for point cloud analysis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.10739*, 2024. 3
- [24] Ji Lin, Chuang Gan, and Song Han. Tsm: Temporal shift module for efficient video understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 7083–7093, 2019. 6
- [25] Qianhui Liu, Dong Xing, Huajin Tang, De Ma, and Gang Pan. Event-based action recognition using motion information and spiking neural networks. In *International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 1743–1749, 2021. 6
- [26] Yue Liu, Yunjie Tian, Yuzhong Zhao, Hongtian Yu, Lingxi Xie, Yaowei Wang, Qixiang Ye, and Yunfan Liu. Vmamba: Visual state space model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.10166*, 2024. 3
- [27] Zhaoyang Liu, Limin Wang, Wayne Wu, Chen Qian, and Tong Lu. Tam: Temporal adaptive module for video recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 13708–13718, 2021. 6
- [28] Ze Liu, Jia Ning, Yue Cao, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Han Hu. Video swin transformer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3202–3211, 2022. 6

- [29] Jun Ma, Feifei Li, and Bo Wang. U-mamba: Enhancing long-range dependency for biomedical image segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.04722*, 2024. 3
- [30] Jean-Matthieu Maro, Sio-Hoi Ieng, and Ryad Benosman. Event-based gesture recognition with dynamic background suppression using smartphone computational capabilities. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 14:275, 2020. 6
- [31] Shu Miao, Guang Chen, Xiangyu Ning, Yang Zi, Kejia Ren, Zhenshan Bing, and Alois Knoll. Neuromorphic vision datasets for pedestrian detection, action recognition, and fall detection. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, 13:38, 2019. 5, 6
- [32] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 8748–8763, 2021. 6
- [33] Nitin Rathi, Indranil Chakraborty, Adarsh Kosta, Abhronil Sengupta, Aayush Ankit, Priyadarshini Panda, and Kaushik Roy. Exploring neuromorphic computing based on spiking neural networks: Algorithms to hardware. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 55(12):1–49, 2023. 2
- [34] Henri Rebecq, René Ranftl, Vladlen Koltun, and Davide Scaramuzza. Events-to-video: Bringing modern computer vision to event cameras. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3857–3866, 2019. 1
- [35] Henri Rebecq, René Ranftl, Vladlen Koltun, and Davide Scaramuzza. High speed and high dynamic range video with an event camera. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 43(6):1964–1980, 2019. 1
- [36] Hongwei Ren, Yue Zhou, Haotian Fu, Yulong Huang, Renjing Xu, and Bojun Cheng. Ttpoint: A tensorized point cloud network for lightweight action recognition with event cameras. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 8026–8034, 2023. 2
- [37] Hongwei Ren, Yue Zhou, Yulong Huang, Haotian Fu, Xiaopeng Lin, Jie Song, and Bojun Cheng. Spikepoint: An efficient point-based spiking neural network for event cameras action recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.07189*, 2023. 2, 7
- [38] Alberto Sabater, Luis Montesano, and Ana C Murillo. Event transformer. a sparse-aware solution for efficient event data processing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2677–2686, 2022. 6
- [39] Xinyu Shi, Zecheng Hao, and Zhaofei Yu. Spikingresformer: Bridging resnet and vision transformer in spiking neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5610–5619, 2024. 2
- [40] Sumit B Shrestha and Garrick Orchard. Slayer: Spike layer error reassignment in time. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 31, 2018. 6
- [41] Xiangbo Shu, Jinhui Tang, Guo-Jun Qi, Wei Liu, and Jian Yang. Hierarchical long short-term concurrent memory for human interaction recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 43(3):1110–1118, 2019. 1
- [42] Xiangbo Shu, Liyan Zhang, Guo-Jun Qi, Wei Liu, and Jinhui Tang. Spatiotemporal co-attention recurrent neural networks for human-skeleton motion prediction. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 44(6):3300–3315, 2021. 1
- [43] Jimmy TH Smith, Andrew Warrington, and Scott W Linderman. Simplified state space layers for sequence modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.04933*, 2022. 3
- [44] Du Tran, Lubomir Bourdev, Rob Fergus, Lorenzo Torresani, and Manohar Paluri. Learning spatiotemporal features with 3d convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 4489–4497, 2015. 6
- [45] Du Tran, Heng Wang, Lorenzo Torresani, Jamie Ray, Yann LeCun, and Manohar Paluri. A closer look at spatiotemporal convolutions for action recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6450–6459, 2018. 6
- [46] Xiao Wang, Yao Rong, Shiao Wang, Yuan Chen, Zhe Wu, Bo Jiang, Yonghong Tian, and Jin Tang. Unleashing the power of cnn and transformer for balanced rgb-event video recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11128*, 2023. 6
- [47] Xiao Wang, Zongzhen Wu, Yao Rong, Lin Zhu, Bo Jiang, Jin Tang, and Yonghong Tian. Sstformer: bridging spiking neural network and memory support transformer for frame-event based recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.04369*, 2023. 2, 4
- [48] Xiao Wang, Zongzhen Wu, Bo Jiang, Zhimin Bao, Lin Zhu, Guoqi Li, Yaowei Wang, and Yonghong Tian. Hardvs: Revisiting human activity recognition with dynamic vision sensors. In *Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence*, pages 5615–5623, 2024. 5, 6
- [49] Zhengwei Wang, Qi She, and Aljosa Smolic. Action-net: Multipath excitation for action recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 13214–13223, 2021. 6
- [50] Ziqing Wang, Yuetong Fang, Jiahang Cao, Qiang Zhang, Zhongrui Wang, and Renjing Xu. Masked spiking transformer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1761–1771, 2023. 6
- [51] Ziming Wang, Ziling Wang, Huaning Li, Lang Qin, Runhao Jiang, De Ma, and Huajin Tang. Eas-snn: End-to-end adaptive sampling and representation for event-based detection with recurrent spiking neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.12574*, 2024. 4
- [52] Rong Xiao, Huajin Tang, Yuhao Ma, Rui Yan, and Garrick Orchard. An event-driven categorization model for aer image sensors using multispikes encoding and learning. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 31(9):3649–3657, 2019. 6
- [53] Kashu Yamazaki, Viet-Khoa Vo-Ho, Darshan Bulsara, and Ngan Le. Spiking neural networks and their applications: A review. *Brain Sciences*, 12(7):863, 2022. 2
- [54] Man Yao, Huanhuan Gao, Guangshe Zhao, Dingheng Wang, Yihan Lin, Zhaoxu Yang, and Guoqi Li. Temporal-wise at-

- tention spiking neural networks for event streams classification. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 10221–10230, 2021. [4](#)
- [55] Xu Zheng and Lin Wang. Eventdance: Unsupervised source-free cross-modal adaptation for event-based object recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 17448–17458, 2024. [2](#)
- [56] Xu Zheng, Yexin Liu, Yunfan Lu, Tongyan Hua, Tianbo Pan, Weiming Zhang, Dacheng Tao, and Lin Wang. Deep learning for event-based vision: A comprehensive survey and benchmarks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.08890*, 2023. [1](#)
- [57] Jiazhou Zhou, Xu Zheng, Yuanhuiyi Lyu, and Lin Wang. E-clip: Towards label-efficient event-based open-world understanding by clip. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.03135*, 2023. [6](#)
- [58] Jiazhou Zhou, Xu Zheng, Yuanhuiyi Lyu, and Lin Wang. Exact: Language-guided conceptual reasoning and uncertainty estimation for event-based action recognition and more. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18633–18643, 2024. [2](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#)
- [59] Zhaokun Zhou, Yuesheng Zhu, Chao He, Yaowei Wang, Shuicheng Yan, Yonghong Tian, and Li Yuan. Spikformer: When spiking neural network meets transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.15425*, 2022. [5](#)
- [60] Lianghui Zhu, Bencheng Liao, Qian Zhang, Xinlong Wang, Wenyu Liu, and Xinggang Wang. Vision mamba: Efficient visual representation learning with bidirectional state space model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.09417*, 2024. [3](#)