

Semiclassical CEV Option Pricing Model: an Analytical Approach

José A. Capitán

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada y Grupo de Sistemas Complejos,
Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
ja.capitan@upm.es

José Lope-Alba

Departamento de Análisis Económico: Economía Cuantitativa,
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
jose.lope@uam.es

Juan J. Morales-Ruiz

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada,
Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
juan.morales-ruiz@upm.es

December 31, 2024

Abstract

This paper is devoted to obtain closed form solutions for the semiclassical (or WKB) approximation of the heat kernel propagator of the diffusion equation defined by the constant elasticity variance (CEV) option pricing model. One of the key points is that our calculations are based on the Van Vleck-Morette determinant instead of the Van Vleck determinant used by other authors. In fact, we compute this determinant in two different ways: by means of the solution of the classical Hamiltonian equations, and by solving the variational equations. Furthermore, the calculation reveals an exponential factor in the prefactor of the kernel not considered in previous works.

1 Introduction: the semiclassical approximation formula

Let be a Hamiltonian system of one degree of freedom defined by the standard Hamiltonian function $H = H(x, p) = T(p) + V(x)$ and a particular classical path γ in configuration space, $x = x(t)$, between the fixed points x_1 and x_2 , where t stands for time, being t_1 and t_2 the initial and final times, respectively. The propagator

$$K(x_2, t_2 | x_1, t_1)$$

is the solution of the corresponding Schrödinger equation with initial condition $K(x_2, t_1 | x_1, t_1) = \delta(x_2 - x_1)$.

To state the semiclassical approximation for the propagator, we consider the general classical solution around the particular path, by allowing variations around the initial and final positions. We define the Van Vleck-Morette determinant as the Jacobian of the final position with respect to the initial momentum, evaluated at the final time t_2 ,

$$J = \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial p_1}(t_2). \quad (1.1)$$

In fact, as the classical Hamiltonian is autonomous, J depends on the elapsed time $t_2 - t_1$. The function J can be obtained in two ways:

- i) By means of the formula (1.1) above.

- ii) By means of the solution of the variational equations of the Hamiltonian system around the classical integral curve $x = x(t)$, $p = p(t)$, corresponding to the classical path $x = x(t)$ in configuration space, which is given by the linear system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi} \\ \dot{\eta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x \partial p}(x(t), p(t)) & \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p^2}(x(t), p(t)) \\ -\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x^2}(x(t), p(t)) & -\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x \partial p}(x(t), p(t)) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.2)$$

Here $\xi = \delta x$ and $\eta = \delta p$ stand for the variations of position and momentum, respectively. Let $\xi = \xi(t_2 - t_1, \xi_1, \eta_1)$ be the solution of the variational equation (1.2), given the variation of the momentum at time $t_2 - t_1$ with respect to the initial conditions, $\xi_1 = \xi(t_1), \eta_1 = \eta(t_1)$. Then J will be the coefficient of η_1 in ξ , in ξ as a linear combination of ξ_1 and η_1 . It is clear that J is the following element of the fundamental matrix $\Phi(t_2)$ of Eq. (1.2):

$$\Phi(t_2) = \begin{pmatrix} * & J \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.3)$$

with initial condition the identity matrix

$$\Phi(t_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In other words, J is given as the the variation of the position with respect to the momentum at the final time. It gives the same result as (1.1) as a simple consequence of the definition of the variational equations: their solutions represent the linear part of the flow of the Hamiltonian system with respect to the initial conditions. For more details about this connection between the Van Vleck-Morette determinant and the variational equations, see [11].

We denote by $S(\gamma)$ the action computed along γ . Then the Pauli-Morette semiclassical or WKB formula for the propagator of the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi = \hat{H} \psi, \quad (1.4)$$

is

$$K_{\text{WKB}}(x_2, t_2 | x_1, t_1) = A e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S(\gamma)}, \quad A := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i \hbar J}}, \quad (1.5)$$

(see [15, 6]; the formula was already implicit in Morette's early paper [14]).

This formula provides semiclassical quantum fluctuations along the particular path γ . Moreover, when the Lagrangian, or corresponding Hamiltonian is quadratic, the semiclassical approximation is exact, and the true propagator coincides with the semiclassical one ($K = K_{\text{WKB}}$). This occurs for the free particle and for the harmonic oscillator cases.

Related to the simplification that implies using the Van Vleck-Morette determinant (1.1) in (1.5) instead of the Van Vleck determinant,

$$\frac{\partial^2 S(\gamma)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2},$$

(they are not the same, but one is the opposite inverse of the other), Cecile DeWitt-Morette said

"...It seems nevertheless little known by physicists (myself for example until I rediscover it) who often carry on long calculations instead of using it..." ([6], p. 371)

Our paper will be essentially an illustration of this remark.

For more details about this formula and its connection with differential Galois theory, see [11, 1]. In particular, in [11] it was proved that if the initial classical Hamiltonian system is integrable, then it is possible to obtain K_{WKB} in closed form in the framework of differential Galois theory: the essential step in the computation is the Van Vleck-Morette determinant, and it can be done by the variational equations, whose integrability also follows from differential Galois theory. The necessary condition for the integrability of the Hamiltonian system is rigorously justified by a theorem of the third author with Ramis, see reference [13] (and also [12]). In this paper we will only consider one degree of freedom

Hamiltonian systems, and, as they are integrable, we can obtain the semiclassical propagator K_{WKB} in closed form. Hence, although we shall not make an explicit use of differential Galois theory in this paper, it is behind the closed form computations presented here.

Instead of a Schrödinger equation (1.4), we are interested here in a diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \psi = \hat{H} \psi, \quad \hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} q(x) \hat{p}^2 + r(x) \hat{p} + V(x), \quad (1.6)$$

being now the momentum operator

$$\hat{p} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x},$$

and $q(x)$, $r(x)$ and $V(x)$ functions of the position x , with $q(x) \geq 0$. We point out that the semiclassical approximation is valid not only for small \hbar , as in the typical semiclassical problem in quantum mechanics, but *also for small times* [7, 9].

Another difference with respect to the Hamiltonian considered previously in the Pauli-Morette formula is that the classical Hamiltonian,

$$H(x, p) = \frac{1}{2} q(x) p^2 + r(x) p + V(x), \quad (1.7)$$

contains terms mixing coordinates and momenta. This fact *implies a modification* in the Pauli-Morette formula, by introducing an additional exponential factor in the formula. We remark that if the Hamiltonian has the form $H = T(p) + V(x)$, then it is possible to apply the original Pauli-Morette formula but in the so-called euclidean time.

The extension of the Pauli-Morette formula to this class of diffusion problems is given in [10, 9] and the Pauli-Morette formula becomes

$$K_{\text{WKB}}(x, 0 | x_T, T) = A e^{-S(\gamma)}, \quad A := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi J}} e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p \partial x} H(x(\tau), p(\tau)) d\tau}, \quad (1.8)$$

with initial condition

$$K_{\text{WKB}}(x, T | x_T, T) = \delta(x - x_T),$$

being $(x(\tau), p(\tau))$ the classical integral curve of the Hamiltonian system in phase space with “initial” condition $x(0) = x_T$, $p(0) = p(T) := p_T$, where x stands for the “final” position at time $\tau = T$, i.e., $x = x(\tau, x_T, p_T)$. Then the Van Vleck-Morette determinant is now

$$J = \frac{\partial x}{\partial p_T}(\tau = T),$$

and it will be a function of T and x_T, p_T , that we can express in terms of the endpoints in configuration space, namely $x_T = x(\tau = 0)$ and $x = x(\tau = T)$. We remark that

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial p_T}(\tau = 0) = 0,$$

i.e., $J = 0$ for $T = 0$, because it is a non diagonal element of the Jacobian matrix of the flow of the Hamiltonian system

$$(x_T, p_T) \mapsto (x(\tau, x_T, p_T), p(\tau, x_T, p_T)),$$

that for $\tau = 0$ is the identity map.

The above notation of the initial and final points of the classical paths is motivated by the usual terminology in financial pricing problems: $T > t$ is the maturity time, and x_T and x are the starting and final points in configuration space, with respect to the remaining time to maturity, $\tau = T - t$. Then the classical path connects x_T and x as τ increases, $0 \leq \tau = T - t \leq T$.

In this contribution, we will obtain a closed-form expression for the semiclassical approximation of the propagator in diffusion problems of this kind (for diffusion problems, the propagator is also called the heat kernel or simply the kernel). The final expression of the propagator will be given in terms of T and of course x_T and x .

2 Black-Scholes model

2.1 Heat kernel for the Black-Scholes model

As a preliminary exercise, aimed at showing how the calculation proceeds, we compute the well-known propagator for the Black-Scholes model.

The Black-Scholes PDE equation is (see [3])

$$\psi_\tau = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \psi_{SS} + rS\psi_S - r\psi, \quad (2.1)$$

written in time $\tau = T - t$, $0 \leq \tau \leq T$, for ψ the price of an option given as function of the stock price S .

Under the well-known change of variable $e^x = S$ (where x refers to the logarithm of the stock price S), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - r \right) \psi = \hat{H}\psi, \quad \mu := r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}. \quad (2.2)$$

For this PDE, we identify the classical Hamiltonian as

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 p^2 - \mu p - r. \quad (2.3)$$

Observe that the Hamiltonian is a quadratic function, hence the semiclassical approximation is exact in this case, $K_{\text{WKB}} = K$.

The Hamiltonian equations are

$$\dot{x} = \sigma^2 p - \mu, \quad \dot{p} = 0,$$

from which we trivially find

$$p = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\dot{x} + \mu) \quad (2.4)$$

as a first integral, being \dot{x} also constant, i.e., the motion in x is uniform

$$x(\tau) = C_1 + C_2\tau. \quad (2.5)$$

From now on the dot means derivative with respect to τ .

The constant C_1 does not depend on the initial momentum $p(\tau = 0) = p_T$. The dependence of C_2 with respect to p_T is

$$C_2 = \frac{x - x_T}{T} = \dot{x} = \sigma^2 p_T - \mu. \quad (2.6)$$

Hence, the solution of the Hamilton equations with respect to the initial conditions (x_T, p_T) is

$$x(\tau) = x_T + (\sigma^2 p_T - \mu)\tau, \quad p = p_T, \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq T, \quad (2.7)$$

being the corresponding classical path γ the projection of the above phase integral curve onto the configuration space,

$$x(\tau) = x_T + \frac{x - x_T}{T}\tau, \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq T. \quad (2.8)$$

At this point, we have obtained all the elements to compute the action on γ and the Van Vleck-Morette determinant.

From (2.7) we find that the Lagrangian restricted to γ is

$$L(x(\tau)) = \dot{x}p - H = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 p^2 + r = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\frac{x - x_T}{T} + \mu \right)^2 + r,$$

which is also a first integral, i.e., it does not depend on τ . The action reduces to

$$S(\gamma) = \int_0^T L(x(\tau)) d\tau = L(x(\tau))T = \frac{1}{2T\sigma^2} (x - x_T + \mu T)^2 + rT \quad (2.9)$$

and the Van Vleck-Morette determinant

$$J = \frac{\partial x}{\partial p_T}(T) = \sigma^2 T.$$

The propagator of the Black-Scholes model follows from (1.8):

$$K = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi J(T)}} e^{-S(\gamma)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 T}} e^{-\frac{1}{2T\sigma^2}(x_T - x - \mu T)^2 - rT}. \quad (2.10)$$

Recall that, as the classical Hamiltonian system is linear, then the semiclassical approximation of the propagator is exact. Note also that the term

$$e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p \partial x} H(x(\tau), p(\tau)) d\tau}$$

in this example is equal to 1, so it does not introduce any extra term on the expression because the non-dual dependence in the Hamiltonian of the moments and coordinates holds in this case.

2.2 Pricing function of an European call option under the Black-Scholes kernel

The solution of the Back-Scholes equation (2.2) amounts to apply the propagator to the initial condition of the PDE. For an European call option, the price reduces to

$$\psi(x, 0) = \frac{e^{-rT}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 T}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2 T}(x_T - x - \mu T)^2} \psi_T(x_T) dx_T, \quad (2.11)$$

where, at maturity ($\tau = T$), the price of the option has to be $\psi_T(x_T) = \max[e^{x_T} - E, 0]$, being E the strike price of the call option. Simple manipulations yield

$$\psi(x, 0) = \frac{e^{-rT}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 T}} \int_{\ln K}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2 T}(x_T - x - \mu T)^2} (e^{x_T} - E) dx_T, \quad (2.12)$$

which is equal to the convolution between the propagator and the boundary condition for the underlying:

$$\psi(x, 0) = K * \psi_T(x_T) \quad (2.13)$$

After de-making variable changes $S = e^{x_t}$, doing some simplifications and regrouping in a well known manner to obtain the error function, we get the cumulative distribution function $N(\cdot)$ of the standard normal distribution. It is left to the reader to follow [3] to obtain the contract function:

$$\psi(S, 0) = S_0 N(d_1) - E e^{-rT} N(d_2), \quad (2.14)$$

where $d_1 = \frac{\ln(\frac{S_0}{E}) - \tau(r + \sigma^2)}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}}$ and $d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{\tau}$, which are basically the two probabilities of the final state at maturity T of the underlying price S with respect to the strike price E .

3 CEV Model

3.1 The Backward Kolmogorov Equation for the CEV Model

In 1975, Cox [4] introduced the so called constant elasticity variance (CEV) model, which is defined as follows.

Given a random process in continuous time, X_t , defined by the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = \mu(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t, \quad (3.1)$$

where W_t stands for a Brownian motion, and $\mu(x)$ and $\sigma(x)$ are suitable functions of x . Then to (3.1) it is associated a diffusion equation, the so-called backward Kolmogorov equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \varphi + \mu(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\varphi(x, t)$ stands for the probability that the random process takes the value x at time t . Because we work with the backward Kolmogorov equation In this case, we do not include the discount rate r for the option payoff in the drift term of the stochastic process, as we did before while discussing the Black-Scholes equation, cf. Eq. (2.2). Therefore, when we obtain the option pricing formula after the calculation of the propagator, we have to account for the discount rate by multiplying the result by the factor e^{-rT} (see section 3.3). Observe that this factor naturally arose in the calculation for the BS model (see Eq. (2.10) applied to the initial condition $\max[S_T - E, 0]$).

The CEV model is defined by assuming the following stochastic process for the stock price S :

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t^{\alpha+1} dW_t, \quad (3.3)$$

being μ , σ and α constants. Following to [4], we assume that $-1 \leq \alpha < 0$. In [4] it is used $\beta = 2(\alpha+1)$, instead α . For $\alpha = 0$, the CEV model becomes the Black-Scholes one. It will be relevant later that $\alpha < 0$.

By means of a change of variable, the CEV model reduces to one studied by Feller in 1951 [8, 5]. Assuming $\alpha \neq 0$, let us define the new random process X_t from S_t from

$$S_t^{-2\alpha} = \sigma^2 \alpha^2 X_t.$$

Then the following stochastic differential equation is obtained for X_t :

$$dX_t = \left(2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} - 2\alpha\mu X_t\right) dt - 2\sqrt{X_t} dW_t,$$

The associated backward Kolmogorov equation reduces to

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} = \left(2x \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + (a - bx) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \psi, \quad a = 2 + \frac{1}{\alpha}, \quad b = 2\alpha\mu. \quad (3.4)$$

Equation (3.4) is of the type studied by Feller (see [8]),

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (\beta x \psi) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [(\gamma + \delta x) \psi], \quad (3.5)$$

$\beta > 0$, γ and δ being constant parameters. The reduction of the CEV model to the diffusion equation studied by Feller in [8] was pointed out for the first time by Cox [4].

We begin with the diffusion PDE given by Eq. (3.4). By means of a Laplace transform in time, it is possible to study the Green function of (3.5) as a confluent hypergeometric function, but we will not follow this approach here. *Our objective is to obtain a semiclassical closed-form solution for the heat kernel of (3.4).* This problem was studied in [2], but here we simplify the calculations.

3.2 Heat kernel for the CEV model

As with the Black-Scholes model, we start solving the classical Hamiltonian system associated to the diffusion equation (3.4). The classical Hamiltonian is

$$H = 2xp^2 + (bx - a)p. \quad (3.6)$$

As the Hamiltonian is cubic, the semiclassical approximation is not exact.

The Hamilton equations are

$$\dot{x} = 4xp + (bx - a), \quad \dot{p} = -2p^2 - bp, \quad (3.7)$$

with initial conditions

$$x(\tau = 0) = x_T, \quad p(\tau = 0) = p_T,$$

being $x(\tau = T) = x$. We recall that the dot means derivative with respect to τ .

We solve the system first for the momentum variable:

$$p(\tau) = \frac{b}{C_1 e^{b\tau} - 2}. \quad (3.8)$$

Observe that, in order to match the initial condition for the momentum, it must hold that

$$C_1 = \frac{b}{p_T} + 2. \quad (3.9)$$

Then

$$p(\tau) = \frac{bp_T}{(b + 2p_T)e^{b\tau} - 2p_T}. \quad (3.10)$$

Now, by substituting (3.8) in the first equation of (3.7), the equation for the position becomes an elementary linear differential equation with solution

$$x(\tau) = d - 4C_1C_2 + C_1^2C_2e^{b\tau} + (4C_2 - \frac{2d}{C_1})e^{-b\tau}, \quad d := \frac{a}{b}, \quad (3.11)$$

where we have defined the new parameter $d = a/b$, and C_2 stands for the second integration constant.

$$C_2 = -\frac{p_T^2((a - x_T)b - 2p_Tx_T)}{b^2(b + 2p_T)}. \quad (3.12)$$

We remark that, by construction, the constants C_1 and C_2 are positive, because they are given by suitable exponentials.

By recasting the constants, it is possible to write (3.11) as

$$x(\tau) = D_1 + D_2e^{b\tau} + \frac{D_1^2 - d^2}{4D_2}e^{-b\tau} = \frac{(D_1 + 2D_2e^{b\tau})^2 - d^2}{4D_2e^{b\tau}}, \quad (3.13)$$

being

$$D_1 = d - 4C_1C_2, \quad D_2 = C_1^2C_2. \quad (3.14)$$

Equivalently,

$$C_1 = \frac{4D_2}{d - D_1}, \quad C_2 = \frac{(d - D_1)^2}{16D_2}. \quad (3.15)$$

Observe that Eq. (3.13) coincides with the solution obtained in [2] by means of the Lagrange equations. Hence, the solution of the Hamiltonian system is

$$x = \frac{(D_1 + 2D_2e^{b\tau})^2 - d^2}{4D_2e^{b\tau}}, \quad p = \frac{b}{C_1e^{b\tau} - 2} = \frac{b(d - D_1)}{4D_2e^{b\tau} + 2D_1 - 2d}. \quad (3.16)$$

Now, the solution above can be written as a function of the initial conditions $x_T = x(\tau = 0)$ and $p_T = p(\tau = 0)$ by substituting the constants D_1 and D_2 by

$$D_1 = -\frac{8x_T}{b^2}p_T^2 - \frac{4(x_T - d)}{b}p_T + d, \quad D_2 = \frac{4x_T}{b^2}p_T^2 + \frac{2(2x_T - d)}{b}p_T + x_T - d. \quad (3.17)$$

So,

$$x = \frac{1}{b^2}[db^2 + 4(d - x_T)p_Tb - 8p_T^2x_T + (2p_T + b)(2x_Tp_T + x_Tb - db)e^{b\tau} + (4p_T^2x_T - 2p_Tbd)e^{-b\tau}]. \quad (3.18)$$

From (3.7), the momentum can be also written as a function of the position x and its time derivative \dot{x} as:

$$p = \frac{\dot{x} + bd - bx}{4x}. \quad (3.19)$$

At this point, we have all the elements for computing the semiclassical approximation of the propagator.

First, we compute the integration constants D_1 and D_2 with respect to the initial and final points of the path in configuration space, $x(0) = x_T$ and $x(T) = x$. From (3.13) it follows the system of equations

$$x_T = \frac{(D_1 + 2D_2)^2 - d^2}{4D_2}, \quad x = \frac{(D_1 + 2D_2e^{bT})^2 - d^2}{4D_2e^{bT}},$$

that solved for the integration constants D_1 and D_2 leads to the following expressions in terms of x and x_T ,

$$D_1 = \frac{(e^{bT} + 1) \sqrt{d^2 (e^{bT} - 1)^2 + 4xx_T e^{bT}} - 2(x + x_T) e^{bT}}{(e^{bT} - 1)^2}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$D_2 = \frac{xe^{bT} + x_T - \sqrt{d^2 (e^{bT} - 1)^2 + 4xx_T e^{bT}}}{(e^{bT} - 1)^2}, \quad (3.21)$$

which coincide with the expressions obtained in [2].

Now we have to compute: the action $S(\gamma)$, the integral

$$\int_0^T \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x \partial p}(\tau) d\tau,$$

and the Van Vleck-Morette determinant J .

In order to compute the action, we first obtain the Lagrangian as a function of time τ , which turns out to be

$$L = 2p^2 x = \frac{b^2 (d - D_1)^2 [(d + D_1) e^{-b\tau} + 2D_2]}{8D_2 (2D_2 e^{b\tau} + D_1 - d)}.$$

Thus, the action on the classical path is given by

$$S(\gamma) = \int_0^T \frac{b^2 (d - D_1)^2 [(d + D_1) e^{-b\tau} + 2D_2]}{8D_2 (2D_2 e^{b\tau} + D_1 - d)} d\tau = \left[\frac{db}{2} \log(2D_2 e^{b\tau} + D_1 - d) + \frac{b}{8D_2} (d^2 - D_1^2) e^{-b\tau} - \frac{1}{2} db^2 \tau \right]_{\tau=0}^{\tau=T} \quad (3.22)$$

which results, after simplifications, in

$$S(\gamma) = \log \left[\left(\frac{2D_2 e^{bT} + D_1 - d}{2D_2 + D_1 - d} \right)^{bd/2} \right] + \frac{b}{8D_2} (d^2 - D_1^2) (e^{-bT} - 1) - \frac{1}{2} db^2 T. \quad (3.23)$$

Secondly,

$$\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x \partial p} = 4p + b = -b + \frac{4D_2 b e^{b\tau}}{2D_2 e^{b\tau} + D_1 - d}.$$

Integrating over time, we find

$$\int_0^T \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x \partial p} d\tau = -bT + 2 \log \left(\frac{2D_2 e^{bT} + D_1 - d}{2D_2 + D_1 - d} \right). \quad (3.24)$$

It remains to compute the Van Vleck-Morette determinant. From equation (3.13), to compute

$$J = \frac{\partial x}{\partial p_T}(T)$$

we have to obtain the derivatives of the constants with respect to the momentum. This calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial D_1}{\partial p_T}(T) &= -\frac{16x_T}{b^2} p_T + \frac{4(d - x_T)}{b} = \frac{D_1^2 - 4D_2^2 - d^2}{bD_2}, \\ \frac{\partial D_2}{\partial p_T}(T) &= \frac{8x_T}{b^2} p_T + \frac{2(2x_T - d)}{b} = \frac{4D_2 + 2D_1}{b}, \end{aligned}$$

as follows from (3.20), (3.21) and (3.16). Hence the Van Vleck-Morette determinant becomes

$$J = \frac{1}{bD_2} [D_1^2 - 4D_2^2 - d^2 + (4D_2^2 + 2D_1D_2)e^{bT} + (d^2 - 2D_1D_2 - D_1^2)e^{-bT}]. \quad (3.25)$$

As a second verification, in Appendix A we compute the Van Vleck-Morette determinant (3.25) by means of the variational equation. We observe that for $T = 0$, $J = 0$ as it should be. Moreover, from the formula (3.18) it is obtained another expression of J as a function of the initial conditions in phase space

$$J = \frac{4db - 4x_T b - 16x_T p_T + (4x_T b + 8x_T p_T - 2db)e^{bT} + (8x_T p_T - 2db)e^{-bT}}{b^2}. \quad (3.26)$$

Then as

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial T}(T = 0) = 4x_T > 0,$$

necessarily $J(T) > 0$, for small enough $T > 0$, as also it should be.

As a result of this calculation, we can write the semiclassical propagator K in terms of the Pauli-Morette formula (1.8) with action given by Eq. (3.23), and the prefactor being explicitly determined by Eqs. (3.24) and (3.25):

$$K_{\text{WKB}} = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}bT + \log\left(\frac{2D_2e^{bT} + D_1 - d}{2D_2 + D_1 - d}\right)}}{\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{bD_2} [D_1^2 - 4D_2^2 - d^2 + (4D_2^2 + 2D_1D_2)e^{bT} + (d^2 - 2D_1D_2 - D_1^2)e^{-bT}]} \times e^{-\frac{bd}{2} \log\left(\frac{2D_2e^{bT} + D_1 - d}{2D_2 + D_1 - d}\right) + \frac{b}{8D_2}(D_1^2 - d^2)(e^{-bT} - 1) + \frac{1}{2}db^2T}}. \quad (3.27)$$

After some simplifications, we obtain

$$K_{\text{WKB}} = \frac{\left(\frac{2D_2e^{bT} + D_1 - d}{2D_2 + D_1 - d}\right)^{1 - \frac{bd}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}bT(db - 1) + \frac{b}{8D_2}(D_1^2 - b^2)(e^{-bT} - 1)}}{\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{bD_2} [D_1^2 - 4D_2^2 - d^2 + (4D_2^2 + 2D_1D_2)e^{bT} + (d^2 - 2D_1D_2 - D_1^2)e^{-bT}]} \quad (3.28)$$

with the constants D_1 and D_2 are to be expressed as functions of x_T and x (see formulas (3.20) and (3.21), respectively).

The reader can compare our result for the propagator, c.f. Eq. (3.28), with the one obtained by Araneda et al. in reference [2]. Importantly, the exponential factor

$$e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p \partial x} H(x(\tau), p(\tau)) d\tau}$$

was missing in that reference [2].

3.3 Pricing function of an European call option under the CEV Kernel

At maturity, the pay-off of the resulting option is $\max[S_T - E, 0]$, being E the strike price. The payoff at maturity must be discounted by a constant rate r . After the change of variables

$$S_T^{-2\alpha} = \sigma^2 \alpha^2 X_T,$$

the payoff at maturity becomes

$$\psi_T(x_T) = e^{-rT} \max \left[\frac{1}{(\sigma^2 \alpha^2 x_T)^{1/(2\alpha)}} - E, 0 \right].$$

This payoff is only non-negative when

$$\frac{1}{(\sigma^2 \alpha^2 x_T)^{1/(2\alpha)}} \geq E,$$

which after some elementary algebra reduces to

$$x_T \geq \frac{E^{-2\alpha}}{\sigma^2 \alpha^2},$$

because $\alpha < 0$. Therefore, applying the semiclassical approximation for the propagator, we obtain the pricing function

$$\psi(x, 0) = K_{\text{WKB}} * \psi_T(x_T), \quad (3.29)$$

which reduces to

$$\psi(x, 0) = e^{-rT} \int_{(\sigma \alpha E^\alpha)^{-2}}^{\infty} K_{\text{WKB}}(x, 0|x_T, T) ((\sigma^2 \alpha^2 x_T)^{-1/(2\alpha)} - E) dx_T. \quad (3.30)$$

Finally, as $\alpha = \frac{1}{a-2} = \frac{1}{bd-2}$, then

$$\psi(x, 0) = e^{-rT} \int_{\left(\frac{2-bd}{\sigma}\right)^2 E^{\frac{2}{2-bd}}}^{\infty} K_{\text{WKB}}(x, 0|x_T, T) \left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{2-bd} \sqrt{x_T} \right)^{2-bd} - E \right] dx_T. \quad (3.31)$$

The contract function $\psi(x, 0)$ has been written in terms of the parameter combinations that arise in the formula for the semiclassical approximation of the propagator, namely b and d .

Acknowledgements.

JAC acknowledges financial support from grant PRIORITY (PID2021-127202NB-C22), funded by Agencia Estatal de Investigación (MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033) and ‘‘ERDF. A way of making Europe’’. JJMR has been supported by Universidad Politecnica de Madrid research group *Modelos Matematicos no lineales*.

A The variational equations for the CEV model

In this appendix we illustrate the method of computation of the Van Vleck-Morette determinant by means of the solution of the variational equation (1.3). We working in time τ , i.e., the initial conditions are ξ_0, η_0 , and the final time $\tau = T$.

The Hamiltonian is (3.6) and the variational equation (1.2) becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi} \\ \dot{\eta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4p+b & 4x \\ 0 & -4p-b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (A.1)$$

being $x = x(\tau)$ and $p = p(\tau)$ the classical solution of the Hamiltonian system, (3.11) and (3.8). We remark that now this particular solution of the Hamiltonian system is fixed, i.e., *the integration constants D_1 and D_2 are fixed*.

As the classical Hamiltonian system is integrable, because it is a 1-degree of freedom, we know by a theorem of the third author with Ramis (see [11] and references therein) that the variational equation must be solved also in closed form, in the sense of the differential Galois theory.

We start to solve the variation of the momentum

$$\eta(\tau) = \frac{A_1 e^{b\tau}}{(2D_2 e^{b\tau} + D_1 - d)^2}, \quad (A.2)$$

being A_1 the integration constant. With the above equation we can solve the variation of the position by means of variation of constants,

$$\xi(\tau) = \frac{1}{2bD_2^2} [(2bA_2(d - D_1)^2 D_2^2 - A_1 D_1) e^{-b\tau} + 8bA_2 D_2^4 e^{b\tau} - 8bA_2(d - D_1) D_2^3 - 2A_1 D_2], \quad (A.3)$$

being A_2 the other integration constant. The equations (A.2) and (A.3) are the solutions of the variational equations, but we need to write them as a function of the initial conditions.

The integration constants depend on the initial conditions in time τ , $\xi_0 = \xi(\tau = 0)$ and $\eta_0 = \eta(\tau = 0)$, as the linear combination

$$A_1 = (2D_2 + D_1 - d)^2 \eta_0 \quad A_2 = \frac{2D_2 + D_1}{2bD_2^2} \eta_0 + \alpha \xi_0, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where we will not need the coefficient of ξ_0 : only the dependence with respect to η_0 will be relevant here.

By substituting the above equations in (A.3), for $\tau = T$, we obtain the Van Vleck-Morette determinant as the coefficient of η_0 , i.e., $\xi(T) = J\eta_0 + \dots$, which turns out to be

$$J = \frac{1}{bD_2} [D_1^2 - 4D_2^2 - d^2 + (4D_2^2 + 2D_1D_2)e^{bT} + (d^2 - 2D_1D_2 - D_1^2)e^{-bT}],$$

the same result obtained in Eq. (3.25).

References

- [1] Acosta-Humánez, P., Lázaro, J.T., Morales-Ruiz, J.J. and Pantazi, C., Semiclassical quantification of some two degree of freedom potentials: A differential Galois approach. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* (2024) 65(1).
- [2] Araneda, Axel A., and Marcelo J. Villena, Computing the CEV option pricing formula using the semiclassical approximation of path integral. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 388 (2021): 113244.
- [3] Black, Fischer, and Myron Scholes, The pricing of options and corporate liabilities. *Journal of political economy* 81 (1973) 637-654.
- [4] Cox, John C, The Constant Elasticity of Variance Option Pricing Model. *The Journal of Portfolio Management*, 23(5) (1996), 15-17.
- [5] Cox, John C., and Stephen A. Ross, The valuation of options for alternative stochastic processes. *Journal of financial economics* 3.1-2 (1976) 145-166.
- [6] Cécile DeWitt-Morette. The semiclassical expansion. *Annals of Physics*, 97(2):367–399, 1976.
- [7] Feynman R.P., Hibbs A. R., *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965.
- [8] Feller, W., Two singular diffusion problems. *Ann. Math.* 54 (1961) 173-182.
- [9] Kolokoltsov, Vassili N. *Semiclassical analysis for diffusions and stochastic processes*. Springer, 2007.
- [10] Langouche F., Rockaerts D., Tirapegui E., *Functional Integration and Semiclassical Expansion*. Reidel, Dordrecht 1982.
- [11] Morales-Ruiz, J.J., A differential Galois approach to path integrals. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*,(2020) 61(5).
- [12] Morales-Ruiz, J.J., *Differential Galois Theory and Non-integrability of Hamiltonian Systems*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Reprint 2013 of the 1999 Edition by Birkhäuser, Springer, Basel.
- [13] Morales-Ruiz J.J., Ramis J.-P., Galoisian obstructions to integrability of Hamiltonian systems. *Methods and Applications of Analysis* 8 (2001) 33-96.
- [14] Cécile Morette. On the definition and approximation of Feynman's path integrals. *Physical Review* 81(5):848, 1951.
- [15] Pauli W, *Selected topics in field quantization*, Pauli Lectures in Physics vol. 6. Dover 2000 (based on lectures given by Pauli at Zurich in 1950-51).